

Treatments in AMI

Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors

In this section:

[Summary](#) | [Benefits](#) | [Harms](#) | [Comment](#)

[Top](#)

Summary

One systematic review in people treated within 14 days of acute myocardial infarction found that angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors reduce mortality after 6 weeks compared with placebo. However, a non-systematic review found that angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors increase persistent hypotension and renal dysfunction at 6 weeks compared with placebo.

[Top](#)

Benefits

We found one systematic review (search date 1997, 15 RCTs with ≥ 6 weeks' follow up, 15 104 people), which compared angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors started within 14 days of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) versus placebo. [42] It found that ACE inhibitors decreased overall mortality and sudden cardiac death compared with placebo after 2–42 months (overall mortality: 1105/7658 [14.4%] with ACE inhibitors v 1251/7446 [16.8%] with placebo; OR 0.83, 95% CI 0.71 to 0.97; sudden cardiac death: OR 0.80, 95% CI 0.70 to 0.92). [42]

[Top](#)

Harms

One non-systematic review of RCTs (search date not reported, 4 RCTs, 98 496 people within 36 hours of AMI) found that ACE inhibitors significantly increased persistent hypotension and renal dysfunction at 6 weeks compared with placebo (hypotension: AR 17.6% with ACE inhibitor v 9.3% with control; CI for difference not reported; $P < 0.01$; renal dysfunction: AR 1.3% v 0.6%; $P < 0.01$). [43] The relative and absolute risks of these adverse effects were uniformly distributed across both the high and lower cardiovascular risk groups. The systematic review did not report on harms. [42]

[Top](#)

Comment

ACE inhibitors in people with AMI work best when treatment is started within 24 hours. The evidence does not answer the question of which people with an AMI should be offered ACE inhibitors, nor for how long after AMI it remains beneficial to start treatment. We found one systematic review (search date not reported; based on individual data from about 100 000 people in RCTs of ACE inhibitors), which found that people receiving both aspirin and ACE inhibitors had the same relative risk reduction as those receiving ACE inhibitors alone. [44] Of the 12 RCTs in the systematic review that reported on left ventricular function among participants, all reported a mean left ventricular ejection fraction of 54% or less. Six of these RCTs reported a mean left ventricular ejection fraction of 40% or less. However, there is debate over whether the benefits of ACE inhibitors also benefit people with normal left ventricular function after AMI.

References

42. Domanski MJ, Exner DV, Borkowf CB, et al. Effect of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibition on sudden cardiac death in patients following acute myocardial infarction. A meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1999;33:598–604. Search date 1997; primary sources Medline and hand searches of reference lists. [\[PubMed\]](#)
43. ACE Inhibitor Myocardial Infarction Collaborative Group. Indications for ACE inhibitors in the early treatment of acute myocardial infarction: systematic overview of individual data from 100 000 patients in randomised trials. *Circulation* 1998;97:2202–2212. Search date not stated; primary source collaboration group of principal investigators of all randomised trials who collated individual patient data. [\[PubMed\]](#)
44. Latini R, Tognoni G, Maggioni AP, et al. Clinical effects of early angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor treatment for acute myocardial infarction are similar in the presence and absence of aspirin. Systematic overview of individual data from 96 712 randomized patients. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2000;35:1801–1807. Search date not stated; primary source individual patient data on all trials involving more than 1000 patients. [\[PubMed\]](#)