Connecticut State Department of Education

DISTRICT PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2016–17



Canterbury School District

Dr. Lois Dasilva-Knapton, Superintendent • 860-546-6950 • http://www.canterburypublicschools.org

District Information

Grade Range	PK-8
Number of Schools/Programs	2
Enrollment	471
Per Pupil Expenditures ¹	\$18,530
Total Expenditures ¹	\$9,580,227

¹Expenditure data reflect the 2015-16 year.



Community Information

<u>CERC Town Profiles</u> provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

Related Reports/Publications

CT Reports (CMT/CAPT)

Special Education Annual Performance Reports
SAT®, AP®, PSAT® Report by High School (Class of 2017)
(2017® The College Board)

Contents

Students 1	
Educators2	
Instruction and Resources	
Performance and Accountability4	
Narratives6	

Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2016-17 and include all grades offered by the district.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit edsight.ct.gov.

State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.

Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been suppressed to ensure student confidentiality. N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

Students

October 1, 2016 Enrollment				
		District	State	
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)	
Female	204	43.3	48.4	
Male	267	56.7	51.6	
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	0.3	
Asian	*	*	5.1	
Black or African American	*	*	12.9	
Hispanic or Latino	25	5.3	24.0	
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.1	
Two or More Races	19	4.0	2.9	
White	420	89.2	54.8	
English Learners	0	0.0	6.8	
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	114	24.2	35.9	
Students with Disabilities ¹	57	12.1	14.3	

¹Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

	Chronic		Suspe	ension/
	Absen	Absenteeism ²		ılsion³
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	*	*	*	*
Male	*	*	*	*
Black or African American	0	*	0	*
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	*	*
White	*	*	*	*
English Learners	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	13	11.2	*	*
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	*
District	25	5.9	17	3.6
State		9.9		6.7

Number of students in 2015-16 qualified as truant under state statute: 0

Number of school-based arrests: 0

²A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

³The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

Educators

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)¹ Staff

	FTE
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	35.8
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	1.0
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	4.0
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	11.5
Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	2.2
School Level	2.0
Library/Media	
Specialists (Certified)	0.0
Support Staff	0.6
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers	1.0
Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists	3.0
School Nurses	1.0
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support	24.3

¹In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

Educators by Race/Ethnicity

		District	State
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0.0	0.1
Asian	1	2.0	1.0
Black or African American	0	0.0	3.6
Hispanic or Latino	0	0.0	3.6
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.0
Two or More Races	0	0.0	0.1
White	48	98.0	91.4

Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2015-16

	District	State
Average Number of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time	8.9	9.6

Instruction and Resources

Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers³

	Count	Rate (%)
Autism	8	*
Emotional Disturbance	*	*
Intellectual Disability	N/A	N/A
Learning Disability	16	80.0
Other Health Impairment	12	*
Other Disabilities	*	*
Speech/Language Impairment	6	*
District	47	66.2
State		68.2
Other Health Impairment Other Disabilities Speech/Language Impairment District	12 * 6	* * * 66.2

³Ages 6-21

Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability¹

	District		State
	Count	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Autism	15	2.4	1.7
Emotional Disturbance	*	*	1.0
Intellectual Disability	0	0.0	0.5
Learning Disability	20	3.2	4.9
Other Health Impairment	20	3.2	2.9
Other Disabilities	*	*	1.1
Speech/Language Impairment	10	1.6	1.8
All Disabilities	76	12.0	13.9

¹Grades K-12

Overall Expenditures: 2015-16

		Per Pupil	
	Total (\$)	District (\$)	State (\$)
Instructional Staff and Services	5,210,008	10,611	9,663
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	133,860	273	321
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	115,950	236	578
Student Support Services	509,562	1,038	1,103
Administration and Support Services	908,515	1,850	1,861
Plant Operation and Maintenance	1,316,857	2,682	1,637
Transportation	779,019	898	877
Costs of Students Tuitioned Out	576,557	N/A	N/A
Other	29,899	61	201
Total	9,580,227	18,530	16,236
Additiona	al Expenditures		
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	0	0	1,749

³Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

Special Education Expenditures: 2015-16

	Dist	State	
	Total (\$)	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
Certified Personnel	646,645	23.3	34.6
Noncertified Personnel	577,885	20.8	14.6
Purchased Services	535,231	19.3	5.8
Tuition to Other Schools	756,537	27.2	21.8
Special Ed. Transportation	4,893	0.2	8.5
Other Expenditures	257,076	9.3	14.7
Total Expenditures	2,778,267	100.0	100.0

Expenditures by Revenue Source:⁴ 2015-16

	Percent of Total (%)			
	Including Excluding			
	School	School		
	Construction	Construction		
Local	55.4	55.4		
State	42.6	42.6		
Federal	2.0	2.0		
Tuition & Other	0.0	0.0		

⁴Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction).

Performance and Accountability

District Performance Index (DPI)

A District Performance Index (DPI) is the average performance of students in a subject area (i.e., ELA, Mathematics or Science) on the state summative assessments. The DPI ranges from 0-100. A DPI is reported for all students tested in a district and for students in each individual student group. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 75.

	English Language Arts(ELA)		Mat	h	Science	
	Count	DPI	Count	DPI	Count	DPI
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Asian	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Black or African American	*	*	*	*	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	12	*	12	*	6	*
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Two or More Races	11	*	11	*	6	*
White	260	67.2	260	62.1	99	56.0
English Learners	*	*	*	*	0	N/A
Non-English Learners	285	66.5	285	61.6	112	56.2
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	72	61.6	72	55.9	31	55.7
Not Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	214	68.0	214	63.5	81	56.3
Students with Disabilities	30	50.7	30	44.9	10	*
Students without Disabilities	256	68.3	256	63.5	102	56.1
High Needs	92	59.3	92	52.8	36	55.4
Non-High Needs	194	69.8	194	65.7	76	56.5
District	286	66.4	286	61.6	112	56.2

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient¹

	NAEP	NAEP 2013		
READING	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12	
Connecticut	43%	43%	50%	
National Public	35%	33%	36%	
MATH	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12	
Connecticut	41%	36%	32%	
National Public	39%	32%	25%	

¹NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across Smarter Balanced and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement other state assessment data. To view student subgroup performance on NAEP, click here.

Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard²

	Percent of Students by Grade ³ (%)				All Tested Grades	
	4 6 8 10			Count	Rate (%)	
Sit & Reach	78.6	90.4	93.2	N/A	167	88.6
Curl Up	57.1	65.4	84.9	N/A	167	71.9
Push Up	59.5	76.9	84.9	N/A	167	76.0
Mile Run/PACER	38.1	76.9	78.1	N/A	167	67.7
All Tests - District	26.2	50.0	64.4	N/A	167	50.3
All Tests - State	52.8	51.4	51.4	50.6		51.6

²The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

³Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

Next Generation Accountability Results

Connecticut's Next Generation Accountability System is a broad set of 12 indicators that help tell the story of how well a district/school is preparing its students for success in college, careers, and life. It moves beyond test scores and graduation rates to provide a more holistic, multifactor perspective of district and school performance.

Indicator		Index/Rate	Target	Points Earned	Max Points	% Points Earned	State Average Index/Rate
ELA Performance Index	All Students	66.4	75	44.3	50	88.6	67.1
ELA Performance index	High Needs Students	59.3	75	39.5	50	79.0	55.9
Math Performance Index	All Students	61.6	75	41.0	50	82.1	62.2
Math Performance index	High Needs Students	52.8	75	35.2	50	70.4	50.5
Science Performance	All Students	56.2	75	37.4	50	74.9	55.3
Science Performance	High Needs Students	55.4	75	36.9	50	73.8	45.2
ELA Academic Growth	All Students	44.3%	100%	44.3	100	44.3	55.4%
ELA Academic Growth	High Needs Students	41.3%	100%	41.3	100	41.3	49.8%
Math Academic Growth	All Students	63.1%	100%	63.1	100	63.1	61.7%
Math Academic Growth	High Needs Students	49.4%	100%	49.4	100	49.4	53.7%
Chronic Absenteeism	All Students	5.9%	<=5%	48.2	50	96.5	9.9%
Chronic Absenteeism	High Needs Students	9.5%	<=5%	41.1	50	82.2	15.8%
Dunnanation for CCD	% Taking Courses	N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	70.7%
Preparation for CCR	% Passing Exams	N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	43.5%
On-track to High School G	raduation	98.4%	94%	50.0	50	100.0	87.8%
4-year Graduation All Stud	4-year Graduation All Students (2016 Cohort)		94%	0.0	0	0.0	87.4%
6-year Graduation - High Needs Students (2014		N/A	94%	0.0	0	0.0	82.0%
Postsecondary Entrance (Class of 2016)		N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	72.0%
Physical Fitness (estimated part rate) and (fitness		95.4% 50.3%	75%	33.5	50	67.1	92.0% 51.6%
Arts Access	Arts Access		60%	0.0	0	0.0	50.5%
Accountability Index			605.3	900	67.3		

Gap Indicators	Non-High Needs Rate ¹	High Needs Rate	Size of Gap	State Gap Mean +1 Stdev ²	Is Gap an Outlier? ²
Achievement Gap Size Outlier?					N
ELA Performance Index Gap	69.8	59.3	10.5	16.7	
Math Performance Index Gap	65.7	52.8	12.9	18.7	
Science Performance Index Gap	56.5	55.4	1.2	16.6	
Graduation Rate Gap					

¹ If the Non-High Needs Rate exceeds the ultimate target (75 for Performance Index and 94% for graduation rate), the ultimate target is used for gap calculations.

 $^{^{2}}$ If the size of the gap exceeds the state mean gap plus one standard deviation, the gap is an outlier.

Sul	oject/Subgroup	Participation Rate (%) ³	
ΕLΛ	All Students	99.3	³ Minimum
ELA	High Needs Students	98.0	participation standard is 95%.
Math	All Students	99.3	
Matri	High Needs Students	98.0	
Science	All Students	99.1	
Science	High Needs Students	97.3	

Connecticut's State Identified Measurable Goal for Children with Disabilities (SIMR)

Increase the reading performance of all 3rd grade students with disabilities statewide, as measured by Connecticut's English Language Arts (ELA) Performance Index.

Grade 3 ELA Performance Index for Students with Disabilities:

District: * State: 50.2

Supporting Resources

Two-page FAQ

Detailed Presentation

Using Accountability Results to Guide Improvement: comprehensive documentation and supports

Narratives

School District Improvement Plans and Parental Outreach Activities

Canterbury Public Schools continues to implement a strategic planning process. Our goals include: to improve standards-based instructional practices and student learning outcomes; to develop, implement, and monitor a district-wide technology infrastructure; and to implement a district-wide facilities, and buildings and grounds improvement plan. This strategic plan is our road map to success. In addition we implement the following strategies at our Middle School: effective use of school website and social media outlets, continual use of school outreach tool (Blackboard Connect), use of an after school homework club (open to all interested), office hours available during summer months to allow for parent access to school, transition meetings and Parent Night for elementary school students coming to the middle school, Open House

Back to School night presentation informing parents of school plans, use of PTO to connect parents to the school, incorporation of curricular resources that allow for at home online components, involvement of parents by chaperoning student events and trips. At our Elementary School we implement the following strategies: active Rti process called the Student Assistance Team who follows students and their response to intervention, attendance team meets bi-weekly; communicates often with families; meets with families to problem solve attendance challenges, Parent Advisory Council was started in the spring of 2017 and meets monthly, collaboration with PTO with focus on family events, teachers provide materials to families to assist them with instruction and to keep tools similar at home and at school (number lines; flash cards, etc).

Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation

Canterbury Public Schools continue to provide a program rich in multicultural activities. Students in all grades are introduced and exposed to a variety of literature, research and activities that emphasize a worldwide cultural experience designed to increase awareness of diverse cultural and economic backgrounds. Literature, both fiction and non-fiction, about people of various ethnic backgrounds and special education challenges are embedded in instruction across the curriculum and grade levels. In addition we provide guidance level small groups supporting at risk students of ratios of 8:1; we implement an after school homework club (open to all interested) with a 35:3 ratio, and we provide athletic scholarship program through the Canterbury Athletic Association. Moreover, we provide field trips to cultural events like the orchestra or a play, provide a diversity of literature used for student study, we provide outreach to local child care programs, and provide an increase in technology access for students (Chromebook cart). Additionally, the Lion's club provides an eye screening for all students (access to health care), and our Grant funded NAEYC accredited PK program provides a multitude of opportunities for our students in the Preschool. Finally, we provide outreach to young families.

Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools

The mission of Canterbury Public Schools states: in collaboration with our Community, the Canterbury Public Schools will develop, foster, and cultivate all students to their highest potential, through rigorous academics within a safe, nurturing, and respectful environment. Canterbury Public Schools is comprised of two schools and prides itself on providing the appropriate resources and materials necessary to educate all students. Teaching and learning is the focus of the district. We use a district-wide zero-based budget process in which each district school is represented; feedback from staff and faculty about needs during school budget is heard. The Capital Improvement Plan provides funding for computer and technology upgrades district-wide. Once graduating from eighth grade, students are given the opportunity to choose where they will continue their education. These choices include three high schools, two vocational schools, and one vocational agricultural school. The tuition and transportation of these students is provided by the Canterbury School district.