

**STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2008-09****Newtown School District**

JANET ROBINSON, Superintendent

Telephone: (203) 426-7621

Location: 4 Fairfield Circle Sout

Newtown,  
Connecticut

Website: newtown.k12.ct.us/

---

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at [www.sde.ct.gov](http://www.sde.ct.gov).

---

**COMMUNITY DATA**

County: Fairfield

Per Capita Income in 2000: \$37,786

Town Population in 2000: 25,031

Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000\*: 8.2%

1990-2000 Population Growth: 20.5%

Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000\*: 1%

Number of Public Schools: 7

District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 89.9%

---

\*To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to [www.sde.ct.gov](http://www.sde.ct.gov) and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

---



---

District Reference Group (DRG): B DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

---

**STUDENT ENROLLMENT**

Enrollment on October 1, 2008

5,601

5-Year Enrollment Change

3.4%

**DISTRICT GRADE RANGE**

Grade Range

PK-12

**INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED**

Need Indicator	Number in District	Percent		
		District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	216	3.9	6.4	30.3
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	11	0.2	2.2	5.2
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	233	4.2	6.6	4.0
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	469	8.4	10.2	11.4
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	298	93.1	91.3	79.7
Homeless	0	0.0	0.0	0.2
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	110	18.6	14.2	19.0

\*56.2% of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

## SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity		
Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
American Indian	3	0.1
Asian American	180	3.2
Black	72	1.3
Hispanic	177	3.2
White	5,169	92.3
Total Minority	432	7.7

**Percent of Minority Professional Staff:** 1.5%

**Non-English Home Language:** 1.5% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 20.

---

### EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

---

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

The Newtown Public Schools through the Board of Education, community members, parents, students, teachers, and administrators are committed to fostering understanding of various groups in our society and world, promoting equity and respect among people, and providing learning experiences for students to become more familiar with people from different races, ethnic groups, and economic backgrounds. Since Newtown is a school district with a small minority population, it is important that students be given educational opportunities to understand and appreciate the diversity existing in the larger society. Newtown sends 40 elementary students to the Western Connecticut Academy for International Studies (AIS) Elementary Magnet School in Danbury. An after-school Spanish class is conducted for those sixth grade students returning to Reed Intermediate School after attending AIS in an effort to maintain their Spanish speaking skills. The elementary schools arrange performances and programs to expose students to cultural activities, provide literature that sensitizes them to human differences, engage students in learning experiences that immerse them in other ways of life, and give students opportunities to help others with various economic and social needs. The elementary schools have consciously taught children interpersonal skills that help students to empathize with others, understand their perspectives, and work through conflicts in group meetings using the Responsive Classroom model and through conflict resolution training at kindergarten, 2nd, 4th and 6th grades. Each year new teachers are trained in these programs to ensure continuity. One of Newtown's elementary schools has been recognized as a Vanguard school and is partnered with an urban school. This program allows for teacher visitations and student pen pals, resulting in learning opportunities. The intermediate and middle schools have developed and refined a "respect for diversity" theme based on the principle that students must confront issues of diversity in early adolescence through both intense study and careful reflection. There are three key elements of this theme: student mission statement, in-depth historical study of prejudice, and a student action plan to confront an identified prejudice. The high school has a student exchange program with Bridgeport that fosters a sharing learning environment between Newtown students and young people from diverse urban settings. Online learning opportunities allow students access to World Languages not currently offered at our high school. Newtown educators have traveled to China to open lines of communication for future collaboration between the Newtown public schools and a sister school in Liaochang in the Shandong province. During January, educators and students from Liaochang traveled to Newtown and stayed with local families, attending classes and visiting local sites.

---

## STUDENT PERFORMANCE

**Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Meeting State Goal.** The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade and CMT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Grade 3 Reading	74.7	54.6	86.2
Writing	83.9	62.5	89.9
Mathematics	84.4	62.8	86.8
Grade 4 Reading	84.1	60.7	92.6
Writing	82.7	64.2	88.5
Mathematics	88.8	63.6	93.9
Grade 5 Reading	86.4	66.0	89.4
Writing	84.3	66.5	87.7
Mathematics	88.0	68.8	90.1
Science	79.9	58.1	81.5
Grade 6 Reading	88.9	68.9	83.4
Writing	76.8	62.2	69.3
Mathematics	93.0	68.8	92.6
Grade 7 Reading	94.0	74.9	91.7
Writing	82.4	62.9	87.9
Mathematics	91.2	66.0	95.5
Grade 8 Reading	91.3	68.4	92.9
Writing	85.2	66.5	81.9
Mathematics	88.5	64.5	89.0
Science	83.8	60.6	81.9

These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the district. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

For more detailed CMT results, go to [www.ctreports.com](http://www.ctreports.com).

To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to [www.sde.ct.gov](http://www.sde.ct.gov) and click on "No Child Left Behind."

**Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal.** The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	71.0	47.4	84.8
Writing Across the Disciplines	75.3	55.0	78.6
Mathematics	70.8	47.8	81.7
Science	54.2	42.8	63.4

For more detailed CAPT results, go to [www.ctreports.com](http://www.ctreports.com).

To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to [www.sde.ct.gov](http://www.sde.ct.gov) and click on "No Child Left Behind."

**Physical Fitness.** The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All Four Tests	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
	54.2	36.2	92.1

SAT <sup>®</sup> I: Reasoning Test Class of 2008		District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Scores
% of Graduates Tested		86.1	74.5	
Average Score	Mathematics	543	507	79.8
	Critical Reading	534	503	79.8
	Writing	542	506	83.7

SAT<sup>®</sup> I. The lowest possible score on each SAT<sup>®</sup> I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Class of 2008	96.5	92.1	66.4
Cumulative Four-Year Dropout Rate for Class of 2008	3.6	6.6	57.7
2007-08 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	1.9	2.5	28.5

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	91.6	84.1
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	7.0	11.0

## RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

### DISTRICT STAFF

Full-Time Equivalent Count of District Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	342.97
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	53.52
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	34.27
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	99.25
Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants	15.72
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	5.00
School Level	18.00
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	10.35
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	20.23
School Nurses	11.20
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	258.55

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	13.0	13.7	13.6
% with Master's Degree or Above	85.3	83.3	76.1

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	17.1	18.4	18.3
Grade 2	18.7	19.4	19.3
Grade 5	23.9	22.0	21.0
Grade 7	21.5	21.6	20.5
High School	21.3	20.0	19.3

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	954	991	988
Middle School	1,003	1,018	1,016
High School	939	977	1,007

\*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten, and 450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	4.0	3.4	3.3
Middle School	5.0	2.5	2.6
High School	3.1	2.9	2.4

\*Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

## DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2007-08

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures All figures are unaudited.	Total (in 1000s)	Expenditures Per Pupil			
		District	PK-12 Districts	DRG	State
Instructional Staff and Services	\$36,573	\$6,498	\$7,521	\$7,233	\$7,522
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$1,435	\$255	\$267	\$245	\$271
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$1,717	\$305	\$461	\$461	\$446
Student Support Services	\$4,261	\$757	\$808	\$862	\$806
Administration and Support Services	\$6,226	\$1,106	\$1,351	\$1,342	\$1,369
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$8,676	\$1,542	\$1,382	\$1,386	\$1,377
Transportation	\$4,656	\$790	\$649	\$575	\$644
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	\$1,799	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$796	\$141	\$152	\$164	\$151
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$66,139</b>	<b>\$11,591</b>	<b>\$12,869</b>	<b>\$12,531</b>	<b>\$12,805</b>
<b>Additional Expenditures</b>					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$5,228	\$929	\$1,791	\$1,180	\$1,759

Special Education Expenditures	District Total	Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education		
		District	DRG	State
	\$11240163	17.0	19.2	20.5

**Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source.** Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	88.1	10.0	1.7	0.1
Excluding School Construction	88.8	9.3	1.8	0.1

---

### EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

---

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

The Board of Education and the Superintendent of Schools ensure that there is equitable distribution of resources among district schools. Each of the four elementary schools is allotted the same per pupil amount for regular instruction and staff support services during each budget year. The intermediate, middle, and high schools are also allotted a per pupil amount for regular instruction, staff support services, and guidance services for students. The district follows consistent guidelines for class size in the elementary schools, the intermediate school, the middle school, and the high school. Obsolete computers were replaced ensuring a common platform and technology at all elementary schools. The Board of Education, the Superintendent, and parent groups are vigilant about assuring equitable class size and staffing throughout the district. During the budget process, principals may present special requests to the Superintendent of Schools about equipment purchases and staffing resources that enhance the delivery of the educational program. The Superintendent and the Board consider such requests for inclusion in the Board of Education's Budget after weighing their merit and impact on equitable allocation of resources among district schools. The number and use of electronic whiteboards has been increased at the elementary level. Transportation software has been purchased and used to effectively and efficiently manage routes throughout one of the largest districts in the state.

---

### SPECIAL EDUCATION

---

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible	466
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities	8.4%

---

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities				
Disability	Count	District Percent	DRG Percent	State Percent
Autism	67	1.2	1.0	0.8
Learning Disability	172	3.1	3.5	3.9
Intellectual Disability	12	0.2	0.3	0.5
Emotional Disturbance	24	0.4	0.6	1.0
Speech Impairment	91	1.6	2.1	2.3
Other Health Impairment*	66	1.2	2.1	2.1
Other Disabilities**	34	0.6	0.7	0.9
Total	466	8.4	10.2	11.6

\*Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

\*\*Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2007-08 with a Standard Diploma	82.1	81.4
2007-08 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	N/A	3.5

## STATE ASSESSMENTS

**Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal.** The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- **Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation.** The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- **Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation.** The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students with Disabilities		All Students	
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	46.6	30.2	86.7	65.7
	Writing	27.7	19.5	82.5	64.1
	Mathematics	48.1	30.7	89.0	65.7
	Science	39.1	23.8	82.0	59.4
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	71.0	47.4
	Writing Across the Disciplines	5.7	13.6	75.3	55.0
	Mathematics	8.0	15.4	70.8	47.8
	Science	5.4	10.6	54.2	42.8

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to [www.ctreports.com](http://www.ctreports.com). To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to [www.sde.ct.gov](http://www.sde.ct.gov) and click on “No Child Left Behind.”

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools		
CMT	% Without Accommodations	12.2
	% With Accommodations	87.8
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	22.7
	% With Accommodations	77.3
% Assessed Using Skills Checklist		10.1

Accommodations for a student’s disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with non-disabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District’s Schools		
Placement	Count	Percent
Public Schools in Other Districts	0	0.0
Private Schools or Other Settings	25	5.4

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers				
Time Spent with Non-Disabled Peers	Count of Students	Percent of Students		
		District	DRG	State
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	359	77.0	75.9	72.7
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	89	19.1	17.2	16.1
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	18	3.9	6.9	11.2

---

**SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES**

---

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

The Newtown Public Schools, in partnership with community stakeholders, engaged in formal strategic planning during 2008-2009. A new mission statement was adopted by the Board of Education and strategies were developed to meet that mission. Subcommittees met and developed action plans that will be implemented over the next five years. Approved strategies and objectives address student achievement, character development, 21st century skills, communication and the district capital improvement plan. Newtown is represented on a state advisory committee addressing student personal success plans and will be piloting a new program for ninth graders with plans to expand the program to other levels in the coming year.

Newtown High School and Reed Intermediate School educators applied for Positive Behavior Instruction and Support (PBIS) training and a district committee has been formed to support those efforts. Refinement of the SRBI process with relevant professional development in instructional strategies and data analysis is ongoing and overseen by a district administrator. Newtown educators completed a second year of state seminars focusing on SRBI/RtI. Vertical and horizontal monitoring of student performance is facilitated through the use of a district RtI database. Building data teams meet regularly with principals to monitor the progress of all students and address concerns. Teams attended a district meeting to share strategies, challenges and recommendations. An ongoing district goal to accelerate growth of special education and at-risk students was the focus of all district educators with careful attention paid to tiered interventions. An additional supporting goal was to strengthen the process of special education placement and services. A district-wide Inclusion Facilitator supports building-level educators in promoting the success of students with disabilities in the regular education classroom. The RtI/SRBI district plan was developed by a committee of district stakeholders and the achievement of students with disabilities was closely monitored and interventions adjusted using data from the AIMSweb program.

Renovations to Newtown High School began in the spring and will continue for 18 months addressing space and other facility needs. This new construction will result in increased educational and extra-curricular opportunities for students.

The Compelling Conversations process is utilized at the building level and, throughout the school year, district administrators conduct instructional rounds in each building focusing their conversation on teaching and learning. Newtown High School hosted a visiting committee of superintendents, led by Richard Elmore, from the Center for School Change.

---