### Connecticut State Department of Education

# DISTRICT PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2013–14



## Trailblazers Academy District

203-977-5690

#### **District Information**

Grade Range	6-8
Number of Schools	1
Enrollment	162
Per Pupil Expenditures <sup>1</sup>	\$25,859
Total Expenditures <sup>1</sup>	\$4,344,389

<sup>1</sup>Expenditure data reflect the 2012-13 year.



### **Community Information**

<u>CERC Town Profiles</u> provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

### **Related Reports/Publications**

CT Reports (CMT/CAPT)
District and School Performance Reports
Special Education Annual Performance Reports

#### Contents

Students 1	Ĺ
Educators	<u>)</u>
Instruction and Resources 2	<u> </u>
Performance4	ļ
Narratives 5	,

#### Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2013-14 and include all grades offered by the district.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit <a href="EdSight">EdSight</a>.

State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.

Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

\* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been suppressed to ensure student confidentiality. N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

### **Students**

October 1, 2013 Enrollment				
		District	State	
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)	
Female	73	45.1	48.3	
Male	89	54.9	51.6	
American Indian	0	0.0	0.2	
Asian	*	*	4.6	
Black or African American	89	54.9	12.9	
Hispanic or Latino	63	38.9	21.2	
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.0	
White	6	3.7	58.4	
Two or More Races	*	*	2.3	
English Language Learners	0	0.0	5.7	
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	143	88.3	37.3	
Students with Disabilities <sup>1</sup>	40	24.7	12.8	

<sup>1</sup>Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

### **Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion**

	Chronic		Suspension/	
	Absenteeism <sup>2</sup>		Ехри	ılsion³
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	0	0.0	*	*
Male	0	0.0	*	*
Black or African American	0	0.0	10	12.6
Hispanic or Latino	0	0.0	*	*
White	0	*	*	*
English Language Learners	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	0	0.0	13	9.9
Students with Disabilities	0	0.0	6	16.2
District	0	0.0	17	11.3
State	10.8			7.4

Number of students in 2012-13 qualified as truant under state statute: 0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

### **Educators**

### Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)1 Staff

	FTE
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	16.5
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	2.0
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	2.5
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	0.0
Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	0.0
School Level	3.0
Library/Media	
Specialists (Certified)	0.0
Support Staff	0.0
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers	0.0
Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists	0.0
School Nurses	0.8
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support	0.0

<sup>1</sup>In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

### **Educators by Race/Ethnicity**

	District		State
	Count Percent of Total (%)		Percent of Total (%)
Asian	0	0	1.0
Black or African American	1	4.2	3.5
Hispanic	1	4.2	3.6
Native American	0	0	0.1
White	22	91.7	91.7

### Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers<sup>2</sup>

	Percent of Total (%)	
District	76.5	
District Poverty Quartile: High		
State High Poverty Quartile Schools	97.8	
State Low Poverty Quartile Schools	99.5	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Core academic classes taught by teachers who are fully certified to teach in that subject area.

#### Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2012-13

	District	State
Average Number of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time	3.5	9.3

### **Instruction and Resources**

# Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers<sup>4</sup>

	Count	Rate (%)
Autism	N/A	N/A
Emotional Disturbance	N/A	N/A
Intellectual Disability	N/A	N/A
Learning Disability	N/A	N/A
Other Health Impairment	N/A	N/A
Other Disabilities	N/A	N/A
Speech/Language Impairment	N/A	N/A
District	N/A	N/A
State		69.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Ages 6-21

### Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability<sup>1</sup>

	Dis	State	
	Count	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Autism	0	0	1.4
Emotional Disturbance	0	0	1.0
Intellectual Disability	0	0	0.4
Learning Disability	0	0	4.2
Other Health Impairment	0	0	2.5
Other Disabilities	0	0	1.0
Speech/Language Impairment	0	0	1.9
All Disabilities	0	0	12.4

# Students with Disabilities Placed Outside of the District<sup>2</sup>

	Dis	State	
	Count	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Public Schools in Other Districts	0	0	2.8
Private Schools or Other Settings	0	0	8.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Grades K-12

### Overall Expenditures: 2012-13

		Per Pupil	
	Total (\$)	District (\$)	State (\$)
Instructional Staff and Services	1,488,362	8,859	8,769
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	17,411	104	275
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	0	0	487
Student Support Services	498,542	2,968	965
Administration and Support Services	1,976,566	11,765	1,600
Plant Operation and Maintenance	224,613	1,337	1,472
Transportation	6,299		786
Costs of Students Tuitioned Out		N/A	N/A
Other	132,596	789	178
Total	4,344,389	25,859	14,642
Additiona	al Expenditures		
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	0	0	1,434

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

### **Special Education Expenditures: 2012-13**

	Dist	State	
	Total (\$)	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
Certified Personnel	0	0.0	35.6
Noncertified Personnel	0	0.0	14.5
Purchased Services	0	0.0	5.0
Tuition to Other Schools	0	0.0	21.4
Special Ed. Transportation	0	0.0	8.5
Other Expenditures	0	0.0	14.9
Total Expenditures	0	0.0	100.0
PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Educ		21.9	

# Expenditures by Revenue Source:<sup>4</sup> 2012-13

	Percent of Total (%)				
	Including	Excluding			
	School	School			
	Construction	Construction			
Local	0.0	0.0			
State	39.6	39.6			
Federal	4.3	4.3			
Tuition & Other	56.1	56.1			

<sup>4</sup>Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Grades K-12

### **Performance**

### **District Performance Index (DPI)**

A District Performance Index (DPI) for the Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT) is the test performance of all subjects tested for all students in the district. The DPI ranges in value from 0 to 100 points. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 88 because in a district with a DPI of 88 or above, students will have performed at or above the "goal" level on the majority of tests. Detailed reports of CMT assessment results are available at www.ctreports.com. School and District Performance Reports produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education (CSDE) are also available online.

CMT	DPI			2013-14			Note: If no		
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	Count	DPI	Target	Achieved	data are displayed for
Black or African American	43.0	45.2	46.4	42.7	79	34.2	50.8	No	2013-14, the
Hispanic or Latino	49.2	44.7	46.9	44.9	61	41.3	52.9	No	district
English Language Learners									implemented the Smarter
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	44.1	44.2	46.1	43.7	130	39.1	50.8	No	Balanced Fie
Students with Disabilities	23.4	29.9	30.4	32.1	36	18.4	33.8	No	Test.
High Needs	44.4	44.5	45.3	43.6	137	37.6	50.6	No	_
District	46.1	45.8	47.1	44.1	146	38.0	52.3	No	

data are displayed for 2013-14, the district implemented the Smarter **Balanced Field** Test.

### **2013 National Assessment of Educational** Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient<sup>1</sup>

READING	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	43%	45%	50%
National Public	34%	34%	36%
MATH	Cuada 1	Cuada 0	Cunda 13
IVIAIT	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	45%	37%	32%

<sup>1</sup>NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across CMT/CAPT and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement CMT/CAPT results.

### Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard<sup>2</sup>

	Percent of Students by			All Tested Grades		
	4	6	8	Count	Rate (%)	
Sit & Reach	N/A	100.0	96.8	100	98.0	
Curl Up	N/A	86.8	71.0	100	77.0	
Push Up	N/A	60.5	61.3	100	61.0	
Mile Run/PACER	N/A	68.4	58.1	100	62.0	
All Tests - District	N/A	44.7	35.5	100	39.0	
All Tests - State	50.2	50.7	50.3		51.1	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

## **Narratives**

**School District Improvement Plans and Parental Outreach Activities** 

**Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation** 

**Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools**