STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2009-10

Bolton School District

PAUL K. SMITH, JR., Superintendent

Telephone: (860) 643-1569

Location: Notch Road Bolton, Connecticut

Website: www.boltonpublicschools.com

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at www.sde.ct.gov.

COMMUNITY DATA

County: Tolland

Town Population in 2000: 5,017 1990-2000 Population Growth: 9.7%

Number of Public Schools: 2

Per Capita Income in 2000: \$29,205

Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000*: 6.4% Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000*: 0.6% District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 93.3%

District Reference Group (DRG): C DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Enrollment on October 1, 2009 880 5-Year Enrollment Change -5.7%

Grade Range

PK - 12

INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

| Need Indicator | Number in District | Percent | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------|------|-------|
| | | District | DRG | State |
| Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals | 77 | 8.8 | 6.7 | 32.6 |
| K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English | 3 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 5.4 |
| Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented* | 43 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 4.1 |
| PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District | 77 | 8.8 | 10.8 | 11.4 |
| Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart | 49 | 92.5 | 85.9 | 80.5 |
| Homeless | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week | 2 | 2.0 | 12.7 | 13.6 |

^{*23.3 %} of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

^{*}To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

| Student Race/Ethnicity | | | | |
|------------------------|--------|---------|--|--|
| Race/Ethnicity | Number | Percent | | |
| American Indian | 0 | 0.0 | | |
| Asian American | 16 | 1.8 | | |
| Black | 42 | 4.8 | | |
| Hispanic | 19 | 2.2 | | |
| White | 803 | 91.3 | | |
| Total Minority | 77 | 8.8 | | |

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 1.0%

Open Choice:

27 student(s) attended this district as part of the Open Choice program. Open Choice brings students from urban areas to attend school in suburban or rural towns, and students from non-urban areas to attend city schools.

Non-English Home Language:

0.5% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 3.

EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

The Bolton Board of Education values highly their students having diverse cultural, ethnic and economic learning experiences. Despite limited financial resources, the district has continued to ensure that a variety of programs are offered in district and out-of district. Seven Bolton students attended Great Path Academy on the campus of Manchester Community College with three others attending the Greater Hartford Academy of the Arts, one attending the International Baccalaureate Academy in East Hartford, and one attending the Public Safety Academy. Twenty-seven CHOICE students from Hartford attended Bolton schools during the 2009-2010 school year. Bolton has been participating in this program for more than ten years. Bolton High School students travel recently has included experiences in France, Italy, Spain, and Costa Rica. Students from Bolton High School also traveled to China in 2010 to visit Bolton High School's "sister school" established in 2008. Bolton students are regular participants in St. Josephs College (Hartford, CT) summer program in international relations, internships in Washington, DC, and research opportunities at the Bermuda Biological Station. Bolton Center School students actively support the Hawkwing Native American foundation for education at the Pine Ridge Indian reservation. In addition, each year, Bolton Center School holds a Mexican cultural visit. Students also supported rebuilding efforts in Haiti through non-governmental organization, Roots of Development.

STUDENT PERFORMANCE

Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

| Grade a | nd CMT Subject | District | State | % of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal | These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable |
|---------|----------------|----------|-------|--|--|
| Grade 3 | Reading | 69.8 | 57.0 | 61.3 | tests who were enrolled in the district at the |
| | Writing | 75.0 | 58.3 | 81.0 | time of testing, |
| | Mathematics | 79.6 | 62.4 | 77.3 | regardless of the length |
| Grade 4 | Reading | 72.4 | 59.9 | 59.7 | of time they were enrolled in the district. |
| | Writing | 86.7 | 63.6 | 96.3 | Results for fewer than |
| | Mathematics | 81.0 | 67.0 | 72.3 | 20 students are not |
| Grade 5 | Reading | 86.0 | 61.8 | 93.9 | presented. |
| | Writing | 83.1 | 68.2 | 74.1 | 7 |
| | Mathematics | 94.7 | 72.4 | 98.8 | |
| | Science | 79.7 | 59.4 | 74.7 | For more detailed CMT results, go to |
| Grade 6 | Reading | 89.0 | 74.9 | 77.3 | www.ctreports. |
| | Writing | 86.5 | 65.9 | 86.0 | 7 |
| | Mathematics | 88.9 | 70.7 | 79.8 | 7 |
| Grade 7 | Reading | 74.2 | 77.4 | 25.3 | To see the NCLB |
| | Writing | 65.2 | 61.2 | 42.2 | Report Card for this |
| | Mathematics | 74.6 | 68.5 | 45.5 | school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and |
| Grade 8 | Reading | 88.4 | 73.3 | 75.8 | click on "No Child Left |
| | Writing | 81.2 | 62.6 | 79.6 | Behind." |
| | Mathematics | 86.3 | 67.3 | 80.9 | 7 |
| | Science | 85.4 | 62.8 | 85.4 | |

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scorable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

| CAPT Subject Area | District | State | % of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal |
|--------------------------------|----------|-------|--|
| Reading Across the Disciplines | 82.1 | 45.9 | 95.5 |
| Writing Across the Disciplines | 80.6 | 59.6 | 80.5 |
| Mathematics | 70.1 | 48.7 | 78.8 |
| Science | 74.6 | 45.3 | 91.7 |

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Physical Fitness. The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

| Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All Four Tests | District | | % of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard |
|---|----------|------|--|
| Tests | | | Standard |
| | 64.8 | 50.7 | 82.2 |

| SAT® I: Reasoning Test Class of 2009 | | District | State | % of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Scores |
|---|------------------|----------|-------|--|
| % of Graduates Tes | ted | 68.3 | 68.5 | |
| Average Score | Mathematics | 544 | 508 | 79.1 |
| | Critical Reading | 547 | 503 | 84.5 |
| | Writing | 552 | 506 | 84.5 |

SAT® I. The lowest possible score on each SAT® I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

| Graduation and Dropout Rates | District | State | % of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates |
|--|----------|-------|--|
| Graduation Rate, Class of 2009 | 100.0 | 91.3 | 100.0 |
| 2008-09 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 100.0 |

| Activities of Graduates | District | State |
|--|----------|-------|
| % Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs) | 100.0 | 84.5 |
| % Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services) | 0.0 | 10.4 |

RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

DISTRICT STAFF

| Full-Time Equivalent Count of School Staff | |
|--|--------------|
| General Education | |
| Teachers and Instructors | 64.85 |
| Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants | 5.70 |
| Special Education | |
| Teachers and Instructors | 7.10 |
| Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants | 22.60 |
| Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants | 4.40 |
| Staff Devoted to Adult Education | 0.00 |
| Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs District Central Office School Level | 3.00 3.00 |
| Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists) | 2.00 |
| Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists | 5.70 |
| School Nurses | 2.00 |
| Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support | 42.00 |

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

| Teachers and Instructors | District | DRG | State |
|---|----------|------|-------|
| Average Years of Experience in Education | 13.0 | 14.5 | 13.8 |
| % with Master's Degree or Above | 85.7 | 79.0 | 77.8 |

| Average Class Size | District | DRG | State |
|--------------------|----------|------|-------|
| Grade K | 17.7 | 17.2 | 18.5 |
| Grade 2 | 15.7 | 18.5 | 19.7 |
| Grade 5 | 20.0 | 20.7 | 21.1 |
| Grade 7 | 20.9 | 19.9 | 20.8 |
| High School | 14.2 | 19.0 | 19.6 |

| Hours of Instruction Per Year* | Dist | DRG | State |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Elementary School | 1,058 | 994 | 992 |
| Middle School | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| High School | 1,060 | 1,007 | 1,006 |

| *State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be |
|--|
| offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten, and |
| 450 hours to half-day kindergarten students. |

| Students Per Academic Computer | Dist | DRG | State |
|-----------------------------------|------|-----|-------|
| Elementary School* | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
| Middle School | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| High School | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.3 |

^{*}Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2008-09

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

| Expenditures All figures are unaudited. | Total (in 1000s) | Expenditures Per Pupil | | | |
|---|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------|----------|----------|
| | | District | PK-12 Districts | DRG | State |
| Instructional Staff and Services | \$7,043 | \$8,180 | \$7,819 | \$7,380 | \$7,829 |
| Instructional Supplies and Equipment | \$194 | \$225 | \$274 | \$281 | \$279 |
| Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services | \$547 | \$635 | \$474 | \$406 | \$459 |
| Student Support Services | \$840 | \$975 | \$863 | \$816 | \$859 |
| Administration and Support Services | \$1,415 | \$1,643 | \$1,405 | \$1,400 | \$1,426 |
| Plant Operation and Maintenance | \$1,150 | \$1,335 | \$1,469 | \$1,468 | \$1,462 |
| Transportation | \$785 | \$831 | \$701 | \$675 | \$694 |
| Costs for Students Tuitioned Out | \$523 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Other | \$179 | \$208 | \$163 | \$148 | \$162 |
| Total | \$12,674 | \$14,225 | \$13,458 | \$13,077 | \$13,386 |
| Additional Expenditures | | | | | |
| Land, Buildings, and Debt Service | \$746 | \$866 | \$1,864 | \$1,030 | \$1,825 |

| Special Education Expenditures | District Total | Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|---|--|-------|
| | | District DRG State | | State |
| | \$2,743,047 | 21.6 20.2 20.7 | | 20.7 |

Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source. Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

| District Expenditures | Local Revenue | State Revenue | Federal Revenue | Tuition & Other |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Including School Construction | 65.3 | 29.8 | 1.2 | 3.6 |
| Excluding School Construction | 66.3 | 28.6 | 1.3 | 3.9 |

EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

It is the practice of the district administrators to review specific requests from curriculum leaders for anticipated expenditures each fiscal year. In late fall administrators at each building review these requests and make necessary adjustments as deemed appropriate for programming. Following administrative review, each of the two school's requests are then forwarded to the central office. The Superintendent reviews the district budget with all administrators as a team and together they make reductions, reallocations or additions which best suite the population of the entire district. The administrative team approach ensures appropriate allocation to each school as well as an understanding of district needs. The proposed budget is then forwarded to the Board of Education for their review. Budget review sessions are held with administrators. The Board then may also make reductions, reallocate or add to the budget. Following their approval, the budget is sent to the town's Board of Finance for their review. The budget is then sent along with the town budget to referendum. Once the referendum is passed, the Superintendent reconvenes the administrative team to compile a list of suggested district reductions, if necessary, to be presented to the Board of Education for their approval again ensuring that both school's needs are represented and that an equitable share will be provided to each individual school.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible 79
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities 9.4%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities **Disability** Count **District Percent DRG** Percent **State Percent** Autism 6 0.7 1.0 1.0 Learning Disability 17 2.0 3.9 3.9 Intellectual Disability 6 0.7 0.4 0.5 0.7 **Emotional Disturbance** 13 1.5 1.0 Speech Impairment 10 1.2 2.1 2.2 19 2.3 1.9 2.1 Other Health Impairment* Other Disabilities** 8 1.0 0.7 0.9 Total 79 9.4 10.5 11.6

^{**}Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

| Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible | District | State |
|---|----------|-------|
| % Who Graduated in 2008-09 with a Standard Diploma | 100.0 | 81.0 |
| 2008-09 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21 | 0.0 | 4.1 |

^{*}Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

STATE ASSESSMENTS

Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

| State Assessment | | Students with | Students with Disabilities | | udents |
|------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|----------|--------|
| | | District | State | District | State |
| CMT | Reading | 23.5 | 31.6 | 81.1 | 67.5 |
| | Writing | 17.2 | 19.6 | 79.7 | 63.3 |
| | Mathematics | 16.7 | 32.9 | 84.4 | 68.1 |
| | Science | N/A | N/A | 83.2 | 61.1 |
| CAPT | Reading Across the Disciplines | N/A | N/A | 82.1 | 45.9 |
| | Writing Across the Disciplines | N/A | N/A | 80.6 | 59.6 |
| | Mathematics | N/A | N/A | 70.1 | 48.7 |
| | Science | N/A | N/A | 74.6 | 45.3 |

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

| Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------|--|
| CMT | % Without Accommodations | 42.2 | |
| | % With Accommodations | 57.8 | |
| CAPT % Without Accommodations | | 33.3 | |
| | % With Accommodations | 66.7 | |
| % Assessed Using Skills Checklist | | 14.6 | |

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with non-disabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

| K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District's Schools | | | | |
|--|---|------|--|--|
| Placement Count Percent | | | | |
| Public Schools in Other Districts | 2 | 2.5 | | |
| Private Schools or Other Settings | 9 | 11.4 | | |

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers

| Time Spent with Non-Disabled Peers | Count of Students | Percent of Students | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------|-------|
| | | District | DRG | State |
| 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time | 56 | 70.9 | 76.7 | 73.4 |
| 40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time | 14 | 17.7 | 16.4 | 15.3 |
| 0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time | 9 | 11.4 | 6.9 | 11.3 |

SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

Bolton Public schools focuses on comprehensive special education services providing Pre-K to High School services within the district's schools for our wide range of special needs students. Professional Development is based on our students' needs and profiles, rather than being discipline-specific. The goal of Professional Development is to promote learning that provides cross-discipline involvement and promotes collaboration and collegiality between special education and regular education staff. Bolton Public Schools, with the assistance of parents, administration, community members, students and staff were requested to join together craft a plan for an education 10 years into the future. Through that effort, Bolton 2020 Vision was developed and will now serve as our blueprint to create an experience for students based on a foundation of student outcomes designed to promote learning skills that are essential in the 21st century including creativity, innovation, problem-solving, and the ability to think critically. The Bolton Public Schools community collaborates to encourage learners to become ethical citizens who are innovative, influential leaders in their world. Over the years, parents and teachers have volunteered to foster School-Family-Community Partnerships through PTA and its volunteer network. An example of this collaboration is Middle School Career Day held in May; author visits and special assemblies held In the spring of 2009, the Bolton community passed a referendum to build an addition to throughout the year. Bolton High School and renovate the entire building. This building plan will include state of the art science labs, recognition as one of U.S. News and World Report's list of America's Best High Schools.