STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2010-11

Bristol School District

PHILIP A. STREIFER, Superintendent

Telephone: (860) 584-7004

Location: 129 Church Street

Bristol, Connecticut

Website: www.bristol.k12.ct.us/

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at www.sde.ct.gov.

COMMUNITY DATA

County: Hartford

Town Population in 2000: 60,062 1990-2000 Population Growth: -1%

Number of Public Schools: 14

Per Capita Income in 2000: \$23,362

Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000*: 19.6% Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000*: 2.3% District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 91.0%

District Reference Group (DRG): G DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Enrollment on October 1, 2010 8,591 5-Year Enrollment Change -4.9% Grade Range PK - 12

INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in District			ţ	
		District	DRG	State	
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	3,453	40.2	40.3	34.1	
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	261	3.1	3.8	5.6	
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	399	4.6	4.0	4.0	
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	1,188	13.8	12.3	11.4	
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	530	82.8	77.1	80.2	
Homeless	22	0.3	0.3	0.3	
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	118	13.5	13.3	13.2	

^{*100.0 %} of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

^{*}To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity				
Race/Ethnicity	Percent			
American Indian	13	0.2		
Asian American	239	2.8		
Black	552	6.4		
Hispanic	1,542	17.9		
Pacific Islander	2	0.0		
White	6,045	70.4		
Two or more races	198	2.3		
Total Minority	2,546	29.6		

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 4.6%

Open Choice:

6 student(s) attended this district as part of the Open Choice program. Open Choice brings students from urban areas to attend school in suburban or rural towns, and students from non-urban areas to attend city schools.

Non-English Home Language:

8.8% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 33.

EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

All students ready for college is a significant goal of the educational programs in Bristol Public Schools. To accomplish this, staff is focused on the achievement of every student in our schools by assisting all students to be successful learners. Because Bristol is a diverse community, our students are fortunate to have educational experiences as part of a diverse school community. Bristol students and staff participate in a variety of programs aimed at providing experiences with diversity. Students' experiences include participating in Interdistrict Grant programs such as "Adventures in Peacemaking and Diversity", "Sister Schools", "Literacy Camp", and "Partners in Science", which bring together students from Bristol with students from urban, suburban and rural communities. Additionally, students participate in other activities, such as after-school enrichment programs and high school clubs focused on multicultural activities and experiences, the CAS Leadership Conference, the Young Educator's Society, Reading Buddies, grade 5 diversity training, Middle School Unity Club, Math League, the Mentor Program, and high school student exchange/sister school programs as a means of reducing social, racial, and economic isolation. At the high school level, tenth grade students participated in a multi-day "challenge" exploring cultural, economic, and social diversity within their own school.Bristol Public Schools continue to develop and implement district and individual school improvement plans known as "Bristol Accountability Plans". These plans are designed to address the achievement of all students and close the achievement gap. District and State assessment data are analyzed at the school and district level in order to identify district and school goals. Goals include reducing the achievement gap between students qualified for free and reduced lunch and those not qualified, decreasing the drop-out rate, improving student attendance and preparing all students for post-secondary education. As the poverty rate continues to increase in Bristol, our staff has focused on increasing the achievement of all students, with particular emphasis on our economically disadvantaged and minority students. Over the years, Bristol has shown steady improvement in closing the gap for these students. The staff and students of the Bristol Public Schools continue to welcome returning students from Hartford through the Open Choice program, many of whom have been with us since elementary school and are in their junior and senior years. Participation by Bristol and Hartford students and families in school programs provides opportunities for multiple interactions among diverse groups. With our strong emphasis on high expectations for all, both staff and students of the Bristol Public Schools have focused efforts on improving the achievement of every student. As our community and schools become more diverse, we aim to close the achievement gap and further our appreciation of diversity.

STUDENT PERFORMANCE

Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade ar Area	nd CMT Subject	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal	These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable
Grade 3	Reading	51.1	58.4	18.1	tests who were enrolled in the district at the
	Writing	54.3	61.1	20.5	time of testing,
	Mathematics	58.5	63.0	24.8	regardless of the length
Grade 4	Reading	51.5	62.5	15.3	of time they were enrolled in the district.
	Writing	56.3	65.5	14.0	Results for fewer than
	Mathematics	58.1	67.0	16.5	20 students are not
Grade 5	Reading	55.3	61.4	23.9	presented.
	Writing	63.0	66.8	27.0	
	Mathematics	69.7	72.5	30.7	
	Science	54.4	59.9	25.8	For more detailed CMT results, go to
Grade 6	Reading	73.4	76.0	25.6	www.ctreports.
	Writing	63.3	65.2	33.3	
	Mathematics	70.0	71.3	34.5	
Grade 7	Reading	76.0	77.8	28.7	To see the NCLB
	Writing	50.8	58.9	21.5	Report Card for this
	Mathematics	63.1	68.4	26.3	school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and
Grade 8	Reading	73.4	74.7	31.2	click on "No Child Left
	Writing	59.8	64.8	26.1	Behind."
	Mathematics	62.2	66.6	27.4	7
	Science	62.3	63.1	28.0	7

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scorable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	35.7	44.7	31.8
Writing Across the Disciplines	53.9	61.2	26.3
Mathematics	49.5	49.5	39.8
Science	43.5	47.0	36.8

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Physical Fitness. The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All Four Tests	District		% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
	60.6	51.0	71.4

SAT® I: Reasoni Class of 2010	ng Test	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Scores
% of Graduates To	ested	65.9	70.6	
Average Score	Mathematics	505	510	40.5
	Critical Reading	501	505	42.7
	Writing	505	510	42.7

SAT® I. The lowest possible score on each SAT® I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Adjusted Cohort Rate 2010	80.8	81.8	24.4
2009-10 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	1.8	2.8	26.6

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	83.5	84.8
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	3.2	9.1

RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

DISTRICT STAFF

Full-Time Equivalent Count of School Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	503.85
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	7.00
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	79.70
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	115.00
Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants	18.00
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	1.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs District Central Office School Level	16.40 29.60
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	19.25
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	36.20
School Nurses	15.00
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	386.50

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	13.0	14.8	13.9
% with Master's Degree or Above	84.9	79.6	79.0

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	18.5	18.3	18.4
Grade 2	19.1	19.5	19.9
Grade 5	21.4	21.7	21.2
Grade 7	22.0	19.3	20.6
High School	20.4	19.7	19.3

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	973	985	992
Middle School	933	1,007	1,017
High School	1,014	1,011	1,010

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be
offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten, and
450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	6.6	3.5	3.1
Middle School	3.1	2.4	2.4
High School	3.8	2.0	2.2

^{*}Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2009-10

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures All figures are unaudited.	Total (in 1000s)	Expenditures Per Pupil			
		District	PK-12 Districts	DRG	State
Instructional Staff and Services	\$65,352	\$7,550	\$8,232	\$8,256	\$8,237
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$2,256	\$261	\$299	\$252	\$300
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$4,850	\$560	\$477	\$379	\$463
Student Support Services	\$4,224	\$488	\$875	\$945	\$872
Administration and Support Services	\$10,387	\$1,200	\$1,433	\$1,360	\$1,459
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$10,684	\$1,234	\$1,421	\$1,418	\$1,410
Transportation	\$5,240	\$562	\$701	\$705	\$692
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	\$5,061	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$1,881	\$217	\$161	\$154	\$159
Total	\$109,935	\$12,511	\$13,878	\$13,783	\$13,780
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$4,499	\$520	\$1,622	\$1,523	\$1,616

Special Education Expenditures	District Total	Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education		
		District	DRG	State
	\$22,332,977	20.3	23.2	21.5

Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source. Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	50.0	38.5	10.6	0.9
Excluding School Construction	49.9	38.1	11.1	0.9

EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

The Bristol Board of Education allocates resources equitably among its elementary, middle and high school programs. School needs are identified and resources are distributed to meet these needs. Schools are provided with funds to purchase materials and supplies required to effectively implement district curricula. In an effort to maintain manageable class sizes, guidelines are in place at each grade level. Instructional staff are assigned to schools based upon district class size guidelines and graduation requirements. Staff, such as special services personnel, instructional support staff, custodians and cafeteria staff, are allocated based upon the building size and student population. Supplemental grant funds are used to maintain small class sizes, provide academic support, create extended school day and school year programs, and fund family resource centers and a host of need-based programs for students. District resources are focused on closing the achievement gap between minority and majority students in our schools.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible 1,182
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities 14.0%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities					
Disability Count District Percent DRG Percent S					
Autism	57	0.7	1.1	1.1	
Learning Disability	375	4.4	3.8	3.9	
Intellectual Disability	20	0.2	0.5	0.4	
Emotional Disturbance	104	1.2	1.2	1.0	
Speech Impairment	230	2.7	2.3	2.2	
Other Health Impairment*	289	3.4	2.4	2.1	
Other Disabilities**	107	1.3	1.2	0.9	
Total	1,182	14.0	12.5	11.6	

^{*}Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

^{**}Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2009-10 with a Standard Diploma	52.6	62.5
2009-10 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	2.2	3.9

STATE ASSESSMENTS

Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students with	Disabilities	All Students	
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	17.5	33.0	64.0	68.6
	Writing	10.3	19.3	57.9	63.7
	Mathematics	21.7	33.4	63.7	68.2
	Science	16.2	21.2	58.5	61.5
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	8.0	14.1	35.7	44.7
	Writing Across the Disciplines	11.0	17.3	53.9	61.2
	Mathematics	19.5	15.8	49.5	49.5
	Science	7.6	13.1	43.5	47.0

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

	Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools			
CMT	% Without Accommodations	15.9		
	% With Accommodations	84.1		
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	8.3		
	% With Accommodations	91.7		
% Assessed Usi	% Assessed Using Skills Checklist 7.9			

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with non-disabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District's Schools				
Placement	Count	Percent		
Public Schools in Other Districts	1	0.1		
Private Schools or Other Settings	85	7.2		

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers

Time Spent with Non-Disabled Peers	Count of Students	Percent of Students		
		District	DRG	State
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	682	57.7	69.3	74.1
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	291	24.6	15.9	14.9
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	209	17.7	14.8	11.0

SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

Bristol Public Schools has a comprehensive accountability plan to systematically assess student performance and refine specific district and school-based indicators for improvement. All teachers and administrators in Bristol participate on one or more data teams. District and school data teams meet regularly to analyze national, state, and local assessment data focusing on district and school-level performance. Instructional data teams are also in place at each school functioning as grade/department level teams. These teams analyze formative assessment data, discuss student strengths and needs, identify high-leverage teaching strategies, and determine results indicators for student achievement. The primary outcome is to improve student achievement while maximizing effective instructional practice. For the past three years, a number of Bristol schools participated in a partnership with UCONN to develop collaborative inquiry groups focused on a problem of practice. These cross-content, cross-grade level teams meet regularly to develop content and pedagogical expertise within a specific instructional area. They are then able to share their expertise with colleagues within their schools. Our inquiry work in 2011-12 will be expanded to all elementary schools. Analysis of CMT data indicates that students who remain in Bristol for more than one year are making gains in their performance from the previous year. In Bristol's matched cohort comparisons, in most cases, students are consistently making at least one year's educational growth in one year's time. In some cases, Bristol students are making moderate to large gains beyond expected growth. In 2011, Bristol students achieved, on average, at or above goal in mathematics, reading, and writing, 64%, 59%, and 58% respectively. On CAPT, the district performed well with approximately 85% of our tenth grade students scoring at or above proficient in reading, mathematics, and science, and writing. Bristol averages exceeded state averages in proficient in math, reading, and science. Demographic analysis for both the CMT and CAPT shows that we are closing the achievement gaps with our economically disadvantaged, Hispanic, and African American students. On the SAT, Bristol's Class of 2011 mean scores were 493 in reading, 490 in writing, and 500 in mathematics. Bristol exceeded the total group mean score for writing. Bristol will continue to emphasize the incorporation of reading and writing strategies across the curriculum. We will continue to provide our teachers with a multitude of professional development opportunities related to CMT, CAPT, SAT and AP preparation in the areas of reading, writing, mathematics, and science. Bristol recognizes the importance of fostering and maintaining partnerships with our families in an effort to improve the academic achievement of all students. This is evident in the variety of school programs and resources offered, including school compacts, parent involvement policies, PTOs, Family Resource Centers, and Family Nights related to curriculum and instruction. At the district level, stakeholders collaborate through the Parent Advisory Council.In the area of special education, we have continued to focus on improving student achievement through professional development and inclusive educational practices. The primary focus has been to define and refine best practices related to literacy, math, and writing instruction.. Special services staff continue to work cooperatively with regular education staff to provide exemplary instruction for all students. We continue to focus on providing educational programs for students on indicators of TWNDP, home school attendance, and extracurricular activity participation and evaluation timelines.