96-00 Rev. 11-6

STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2008-09

New Milford School District

JEANANN PADDYFOTE, Superintendent Location: 50 East Street Telephone: (860) 355-8406 New Milford,

Connecticut

Website: www.newmilfordps.org

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at www.sde.ct.gov.

COMMUNITY DATA

County: Litchfield Per Capita Income in 2000: \$29,630

Town Population in 2000: 27,121 Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000*: 10.3% 1990-2000 Population Growth: 14.8% Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000*: 1.1% District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 94.3%

District Reference Group (DRG): D DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Enrollment on October 1, 2008 4,894 Grade Range PK-12 5-Year Enrollment Change -6.7%

INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in		Percent	
	District	District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	499	10.2	11.7	30.3
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	130	2.7	2.3	5.2
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	166	3.4	4.9	4.0
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	674	13.8	11.2	11.4
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	248	74.3	85.8	79.7
Homeless	0	0.0	0.1	0.2
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	105	14.2	22.8	19.0

^{*43.4%} of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

^{*}To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity				
Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent		
American Indian	4	0.1		
Asian American	201	4.1		
Black	113	2.3		
Hispanic	330	6.7		
White	4,246	86.8		
Total Minority	648	13.2		

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 1.2%

Non-English Home Language: 6.5% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 33.

EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

New Milford's minority population has continued to inch upwards to a point where about 13% of the students in our school system are either Afro-American, Asian, or Hispanic. Our English Language Learner (ELL) population has increased sharply as well. As diversity in our school population has increased, a need to pause and take time to instruct students about the larger world and its people beyond our town's borders has also increased. We do this in several ways. At the elementary levels we have expanded recognition of the holidays celebrated by peoples of many cultures. For example, where it once was that we spoke about Christmas and Hanukkah, we now also include Kwanzaa and Ramadan. Every second grader learns about the heritage of Native Americans. Chinese New Year is recognized in each school. Black history month is observed in all the schools. Seventh graders study the holocaust. At the high school level, we recently re-wrote our curricula to incorporate a Global studies program for tenth graders, which has as it major culminating activity a model U.N. program for all tenth graders. Our high school is developing a sister's school project with a school in China. We have established a "Names Can Hurt You Program", aimed at helping students look at diversity and issues of tolerance. Character Education units are woven into our curriculum throughout the grade levels. A major emphasis of that program is placed on the value of tolerance and understanding others, including assembly programs at all of the schools. Every school in the district reaches out to our community and beyond with service projects. Last year in addition to food drives and clothing drives for local agencies, there were other projects to help disadvantaged throughout the world. Lastly, the district participates in inter-district programs through Education Connection bringing together students from as many as 32 towns in our region.

STUDENT PERFORMANCE

Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade and CMT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Grade 3 Reading	64.7	54.6	57.9
Writing	74.3	62.5	64.2
Mathematics	70.8	62.8	52.2
Grade 4 Reading	57.1	60.7	26.4
Writing	66.9	64.2	41.8
Mathematics	50.5	63.6	16.5
Grade 5 Reading	75.6	66.0	57.8
Writing	77.3	66.5	63.6
Mathematics	68.6	68.8	35.2
Science	68.4	58.1	46.9
Grade 6 Reading	73.3	68.9	36.8
Writing	54.8	62.2	23.9
Mathematics	74.6	68.8	44.8
Grade 7 Reading	83.3	74.9	58.6
Writing	64.3	62.9	38.2
Mathematics	71.4	66.0	50.3
Grade 8 Reading	78.5	68.4	58.1
Writing	70.7	66.5	40.6
Mathematics	68.6	64.5	38.1
Science	72.3	60.6	49.7

These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the district. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

For more detailed CMT results, go to www.ctreports.

To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to <u>www.sde.ct.gov</u> and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	54.8	47.4	54.5
Writing Across the Disciplines	71.1	55.0	69.5
Mathematics	69.5	47.8	77.9
Science	64.3	42.8	79.4

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Physical Fitness. The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
Four Tests	37.2	36.2	49.5

SAT® I: Reasonin Class of 2008	g Test	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or	
% of Graduates Te	sted	86.2	74.5	Lower Scores	
Average Score	Mathematics	536	507	74.4	
	Critical Reading	505	503	47.3	
	Writing	513	506	51.9	

SAT[®] **I.** The lowest possible score on each SAT[®] I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Class of 2008	96.2	92.1	64.1
Cumulative Four-Year Dropout Rate for Class of 2008	3.1	6.6	62.0
2007-08 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	1.7	2.5	32.8

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	88.0	84.1
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	6.3	11.0

RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

DISTRICT STAFF

Full-Time Equivalent Count of District Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	293.24
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	42.00
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	48.60
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	110.60
Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants	12.00
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	4.00
School Level	19.31
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	3.80
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	22.50
School Nurses	8.00
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	245.40

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	13.6	14.1	13.6
% with Master's Degree or Above	71.1	75.1	76.1

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	18.6	17.5	18.3
Grade 2	19.0	19.0	19.3
Grade 5	22.0	20.9	21.0
Grade 7	17.7	20.7	20.5
High School	21.0	20.0	19.3

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	920	986	988
Middle School	1,016	1,026	1,016
High School	996	1,008	1,007

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be
offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten,
and 450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	6.7	3.7	3.3
Middle School	3.9	3.0	2.6
High School	3.5	3.0	2.4

^{*}Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2007-08

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures	Total		Expenditure	es Per Pupil	
All figures are unaudited.	(in 1000s)	District	PK-12	DRG	State
			Districts		
Instructional Staff and Services	\$33,710	\$6,726	\$7,521	\$7,079	\$7,522
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$778	\$155	\$267	\$266	\$271
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$1,235	\$246	\$461	\$372	\$446
Student Support Services	\$3,726	\$743	\$808	\$754	\$806
Administration and Support Services	\$5,405	\$1,078	\$1,351	\$1,261	\$1,369
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$5,720	\$1,141	\$1,382	\$1,261	\$1,377
Transportation	\$3,982	\$802	\$649	\$590	\$644
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	\$1,981	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$928	\$185	\$152	\$151	\$151
Total	\$57,465	\$11,429	\$12,869	\$12,042	\$12,805
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$5,559	\$1,109	\$1,791	\$1,047	\$1,759

Special Education	District Total	Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education				
Expenditures		District	DRG	State		
	\$12462224	21.7	20.6	20.5		

Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source. Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	72.9	23.5	1.8	1.8
Excluding School Construction	73.2	22.8	2.0	2.0

EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

New Milford Public Schools take care in ensuring that equitable resources are available to children across the district. The annual budget allocations for supplies, texts and other educational materials are based on per pupil allotments. Class sizes are set that each school has similar class ratios. Average class size in the district is approximately 21.0 students per class. Budgeting for men's and women's athletics are proportionate. A replacement schedule for equipment and uniforms exists to ensure gender equity. Great care is taken to provide the optimal learning experience for children who may need special services, regardless of their disabilities. The Board of Education also holds well-publicized, open goal setting and budget hearings to provide the entire community an opportunity to voice their views about whatever resources they believe may be needed. Our Strategic Plan was developed in 2007 is a dynamic working document that ensures the best possible future for our students.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible	668
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities	14.0%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities					
Disability	Count	District Percent	DRG Percent	State Percent	
Autism	49	1.0	1.0	0.8	
Learning Disability	187	3.9	3.3	3.9	
Intellectual Disability	18	0.4	0.4	0.5	
Emotional Disturbance	56	1.2	0.9	1.0	
Speech Impairment	141	3.0	2.5	2.3	
Other Health Impairment*	162	3.4	2.2	2.1	
Other Disabilities**	55	1.2	0.9	0.9	
Total	668	14.0	11.2	11.6	

^{*}Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

^{**}Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2007-08 with a Standard Diploma	78.6	81.4
2007-08 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	7.4	3.5

STATE ASSESSMENTS

Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students wit	Students with Disabilities		udents
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	33.9	30.2	72.5	65.7
	Writing	21.5	19.5	67.9	64.1
	Mathematics	26.7	30.7	67.7	65.7
	Science	27.0	23.8	70.5	59.4
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	16.0	14.1	54.8	47.4
	Writing Across the Disciplines	10.8	13.6	71.1	55.0
	Mathematics	37.9	15.4	69.5	47.8
	Science	22.9	10.6	64.3	42.8

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools					
CMT	% Without Accommodations	10.6			
	% With Accommodations	89.4			
CAPT	CAPT % Without Accommodations				
	% With Accommodations 65.5				
% Asse	% Assessed Using Skills Checklist 7.1				

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with nondisabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

Settings Other Than This District's Schools					
Placement	Count	Percent			
Public Schools in Other Districts	0	0.0			
Private Schools or Other Settings	40	6.0			

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers					
Time Spent with Non-Disabled Count of Percent of Students					
Peers	Students	District	DRG	State	
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	472	70.7	75.5	72.7	
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	152	22.8	15.2	16.1	
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	44	6.6	9.3	11.2	

SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

The New Milford Schools is a school system deemed as "In Need of Improvement" under the provisions of "No Child Left Behind". Targets set for proficiency by the state of Connecticut are that 82% of the students meet proficiency standards in mathematics and 78% meet standards in reading as measured by Connecticut Mastery Tests (CMT) and 89% of 10th graders meet standards in mathematics and 81% in reading as measured by performance on the Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT).

New Milford students' performances exceed those standards. On most tests, 90% of New Milford students meet proficiency level; however some subgroups of students struggle to do as well. For example, only about 50% of the Special Education students have met proficiency. Our English Language Learners have progressed dramatically in the elementary grades with 79% meeting mathematics proficiency standards and 56% meeting the standard in reading. Economically disadvantaged have also improved, but have not met the standard. We aim to do better. Data teams and CALI teams have been established in the schools. On going program developement with an emphasis on SRBI and disaggregating data are our focus for this year. ELL summer school will continue. Team teaching will continue and expand. Our goal is to enhance achievament for all students.