STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2009-10

East Lyme School District

JAMES D. LOMBARDO, Superintendent

Telephone: (860) 739-3966

Location: Boston Post Road East Lyme, Connecticut

Website: www.eastlymeschools.org/

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at www.sde.ct.gov.

COMMUNITY DATA

County: New London

Town Population in 2000: 18,118 1990-2000 Population Growth: 18.1%

Number of Public Schools: 5

Per Capita Income in 2000: \$28,765

Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000*: 12.1% Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000*: 1.1% District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 98.0%

District Reference Group (DRG): D DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Enrollment on October 1, 2009 3,094 5-Year Enrollment Change -4.4% Grade Range PK - 12

INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in District	Percent		
		District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	236	7.6	13.4	32.6
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	33	1.1	2.3	5.4
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	47	1.5	4.8	4.1
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	364	11.8	11.2	11.4
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	151	84.4	85.6	80.5
Homeless	4	0.1	0.1	0.2
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	51	9.3	15.5	13.6

^{*0.0 %} of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

^{*}To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity					
Race/Ethnicity Number Percent					
American Indian	13	0.4			
Asian American	277	8.9			
Black	68	2.2			
Hispanic	104	3.4			
White	2,632	85.1			
Total Minority	462	14.9			

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 1.4%

Non-English Home Language:

5.1% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 32.

EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

All five schools are involved in programs that reduce racial, ethnic and economic isolation. Throughout the school year we strive to improve our children's understanding of the larger world. In Kindergarten, students experienced books on the life of Martin Luther King, Jr., Kwanza art activities and texts, traditions and artifacts of India, and activities about the Chinese New Year. Spanish is taught in grades 1-4. In language arts, books are chosen that delve into a variety of topics such as the homeless and inner city life. Multicultural curricular materials, celebrations and resources are utilized throughout the grades. Parents volunteer as resource speakers to help explain unique aspects of their background and culture. Third grade students study different countries and cultures, and parents are encouraged to visit classes and share their culture, traditions, and ethnic food. Additionally, third grade students learn about customs, culture, and historical significance of dances and musical instruments from Brazil and Mexico. The fourth grade social studies curriculum focuses on the Native American culture; visits to the Pequot Museum occur and Indian celebrations are experienced. Our grade 6 and 7 social studies and Language Arts curricula focus on cultures around the world and the resolution of conflicts. Guest speakers and assembly programs enhance the curricula and further expand understandings of the global community and its diversity. Community service activities included the donation and collection of food and other goods to local charity organizations by middle and high school students. Local clean up efforts helped our students to connect with others in the neighborhood, our local region, and our world. Educators from China visited our school district as part of a Connecticut State Department exchange. The Diversity Club, Amnesty International, Peace Club and the Gay/Straight Alliance continue to be active on campus. Distance learning connections continue with schools and students. Students traveled to Bermuda, Spain and Argentina this year. Freshman Academy and the Mentor/mentee program continue to promote tolerance, understanding, and good citizenship. Our superintendent has charged a Global Education Task Force to develop recommendations regarding ways in which ELPS can best prepare our students to achieve success in an increasingly interdependent world.

STUDENT PERFORMANCE

Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade a	nd CMT Subject	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal	These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable
Grade 3	Reading	67.0	57.0	54.0	tests who were enrolled in the district at the
	Writing	67.8	58.3	58.9	time of testing,
	Mathematics	74.0	62.4	60.1	regardless of the length
Grade 4	Reading	82.4	59.9	90.6	of time they were enrolled in the district.
	Writing	84.3	63.6	91.3	Results for fewer than
	Mathematics	88.1	67.0	88.1	20 students are not
Grade 5	Reading	78.4	61.8	71.5	presented.
	Writing	81.5	68.2	70.5	
	Mathematics	86.1	72.4	71.1	
	Science	82.9	59.4	84.9	For more detailed CMT results, go to
Grade 6	Reading	82.1	74.9	50.9	www.ctreports.
	Writing	85.9	65.9	85.4	7
	Mathematics	81.0	70.7	58.9	
Grade 7	Reading	89.3	77.4	66.2	To see the NCLB
	Writing	81.2	61.2	80.5	Report Card for this
	Mathematics	90.7	68.5	90.3	school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and
Grade 8	Reading	86.2	73.3	70.7	click on "No Child Left
	Writing	75.4	62.6	61.8	Behind."
	Mathematics	79.9	67.3	63.1	7
	Science	75.8	62.8	56.7	7
				•	

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scorable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	59.0	45.9	65.9
Writing Across the Disciplines	77.7	59.6	75.2
Mathematics	67.4	48.7	72.7
Science	61.9	45.3	68.9

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Physical Fitness. The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All Four Tests	District		% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
	63.3	50.7	79.6

SAT® I: Reasoning Test Class of 2009		District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Scores
% of Graduates Tes	ited	76.5	68.5	
Average Score	Mathematics	549	508	83.7
	Critical Reading	533	503	74.4
	Writing	540	506	79.1

SAT® I. The lowest possible score on each SAT® I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Class of 2009	95.5	91.3	58.5
2008-09 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	0.6	3.0	72.1

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	88.9	84.5
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	7.9	10.4

RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

DISTRICT STAFF

Full-Time Equivalent Count of School Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	209.05
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	19.55
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	35.10
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	82.23
Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants	8.60
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs District Central Office School Level	3.00 8.90
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	1.00
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	15.00
School Nurses	6.00
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	136.50

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	13.0	14.4	13.8
% with Master's Degree or Above	77.3	77.1	77.8

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	17.6	17.2	18.5
Grade 2	17.0	18.9	19.7
Grade 5	16.9	20.9	21.1
Grade 7	14.9	20.3	20.8
High School	18.0	19.6	19.6

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	970	985	992
Middle School	995	1,025	1,018
High School	1,008	1,000	1,006

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be
offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten, and
450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	2.9	3.5	3.2
Middle School	2.2	2.8	2.5
High School	2.6	2.8	2.3

^{*}Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2008-09

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures All figures are unaudited.	Total (in 1000s)	Expenditures Per Pupil			
		District	PK-12 Districts	DRG	State
Instructional Staff and Services	\$23,997	\$7,623	\$7,819	\$7,408	\$7,829
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$526	\$167	\$274	\$280	\$279
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$1,698	\$539	\$474	\$389	\$459
Student Support Services	\$1,454	\$462	\$863	\$800	\$859
Administration and Support Services	\$4,004	\$1,272	\$1,405	\$1,309	\$1,426
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$4,738	\$1,505	\$1,469	\$1,377	\$1,462
Transportation	\$1,819	\$587	\$701	\$641	\$694
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	\$2,055	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$732	\$233	\$163	\$169	\$162
Total	\$41,024	\$12,764	\$13,458	\$12,685	\$13,386
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$2,313	\$735	\$1,864	\$1,136	\$1,825

Special Education Expenditures	District Total	Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education		
		District	DRG	State
	\$9,141,696	22.3	20.9	20.7

Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source. Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	72.4	19.0	1.6	7.0
Excluding School Construction	71.0	19.9	1.7	7.4

EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

The Board of Education policy assures that each school in the district receives an equitable level of materials and financial resources. Through the budget process, per pupil expenses are equalized at each of the three elementary schools to guarantee that all funding levels are based on projected enrollment rather than other criteria. Program change proposals, district cost centers, and other innovative district-wide proposals do not come out of the per pupil allocation. The equalization of per pupil allocation assures that each student receives the necessary materials, supplies and texts.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible 337
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities 11.8%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities						
Disability Count District Percent DRG Percent State						
Autism	43	1.5	1.1	1.0		
Learning Disability	110	3.8	3.4	3.9		
Intellectual Disability	12	0.4	0.4	0.5		
Emotional Disturbance	14	0.5	0.9	1.0		
Speech Impairment	38	1.3	2.4	2.2		
Other Health Impairment*	92	3.2	2.2	2.1		
Other Disabilities**	28	1.0	0.9	0.9		
Total	337	11.8	11.4	11.6		

^{*}Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

^{**}Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2008-09 with a Standard Diploma	90.5	81.0
2008-09 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	0.7	4.1

STATE ASSESSMENTS

Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students with	Disabilities	All Students	
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	45.5	31.6	81.0	67.5
	Writing	32.4	19.6	79.3	63.3
	Mathematics	43.0	32.9	83.3	68.1
	Science	44.0	23.7	79.2	61.1
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	15.8	13.8	59.0	45.9
	Writing Across the Disciplines	26.8	16.8	77.7	59.6
	Mathematics	18.4	16.7	67.4	48.7
	Science	22.0	13.0	61.9	45.3

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools				
CMT % Without Accommodations 28.9				
	71.1			
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	7.7		
	92.3			
% Assessed Using S	6.3			

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with non-disabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District's Schools			
Placement	Count	Percent	
Public Schools in Other Districts	1	0.3	
Private Schools or Other Settings	20	5.9	

١	Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by
١	the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers

Time Spent with Non-Disabled Peers	Count of Students	Percent of Students		dents
		District	DRG	State
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	240	71.2	76.7	73.4
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	38	11.3	13.8	15.3
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	59	17.5	9.5	11.3

SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

East Lyme special education program improvement is directly linked to individual student improvement. As required by law, reviews are held annually to assess student and program success. Informal and formal assessments are reviewed, including general education and classroom grades, CMT/CAPT performance, and the functioning of the whole child to better inform the selection of appropriate interventions and supports to assure student success. CMT data are reviewed to determine curricular and instructional areas of strength and areas in need of review. A Pre-K-12 special education and related services program review as well as an assessment of transportation services, was conducted in 2009 by outside consultants and a local team. Several recommendations have been addressed, including the hiring of two special education coordinators. East Lyme Middle School has restructured the student services model to incorporate a special education teacher into each academic team. This program initiative is aimed at providing appropriate services to all students within the general education setting to the greatest extent possible. The change has proven successful in that special education students who did not make AYP in previous years, did so as a result of the 2010 administration of the CMT-4. The integrated Primary Program, with a strong discrete trial component, continued for a fourth year. It has expanded to two classrooms, Kindergarten Primary and an Integrated Intermediate, serving students in K-4. The high school co-teaching initiative is in its fifth year. The emphasis on differentiated instruction is ongoing with professional development time committed to the topic across the district. Extended Year Services include a variety of programs offered pre-k through 21 focusing on individual's goals. New InitiativesA number of new initiatives bring greater opportunity to our students:- A collaborative agreement with the Southeast CT Chinese School has brought Mandarin Chinese to East Lyme- A Task Force is studying ways to make an Alternative Education program more relevant and useful for our students- The new special education program now allows more students with special needs to be served in East Lyme