#### STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2012-13

# **Regional School District 19**

Bruce W. Silva, Superintendent Location: 1235 Storrs Road

Storrs, Connecticut

Website: www.eosmith.org/

Telephone: (860) 487-1862

This regional school district serves Ashford, Mansfield, Willington

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at <a href="https://www.sde.ct.gov">www.sde.ct.gov</a>.

### **COMMUNITY DATA**

County: Windham, Tolland Town Population in 2000: 30,777 1990-2000 Population Growth: -0.2% Number of Public Schools: 1 Per Capita Income in 2000: \$20,897

Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000\*: 7.1% Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000\*: 1.2% District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 97.5%

District Reference Group (DRG): C DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

### STUDENT ENROLLMENT

#### DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Enrollment on October 1, 2012 1,223 5-Year Enrollment Change 3.3% Grade Range 9 - 12

# INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in District	Percent		
		District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	190	15.5	8.9	36.7
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	20	1.6	0.8	5.8
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	180	14.7	4.8	3.8
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	191	15.6	11.1	11.9
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Homeless	3	0.2	0.0	0.3
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	38	9.1	12.7	12.7

<sup>\*43.9 %</sup> of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

<sup>\*</sup>To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

### SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity					
Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent			
American Indian	2	0.2			
Asian American	67	5.5			
Black	27	2.2			
Hispanic	70	5.7			
Pacific Islander	1	0.1			
White	1,049	85.8			
Two or more races	7	0.6			
Total Minority	174	14.2			

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 2.4%

### Non-English Home Language:

6.1% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 22.

### EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

E.O. Smith has a long tradition of inviting guest speakers with varying backgrounds and experiences to address our student body. Recently, these special guests include individuals from impoverished countries in Africa and the Middle East.E.O. Smith has foreign exchange programs (Spain, France, Germany and Italy) that provide our students, and those of from our sister schools, with a variety of opportunities to visit with each other, practice their language skills, develop an understanding of different cultural practices and celebrate our differences. E.O. Smith students and staff continue to support a non-profit organization for clean water in Haiti called Roots of Development through charitable donations and volunteer trips. Locally, E.O. Smith has an active Connecticut Youth Forum group and hosted an activity this past spring. The process of removing the least challenging academic level will be complete after this school year. As a multi-year initiative, this "lowest" academic level has been collapsed into our college preparatory level while additional classroom and non-classroom supports have been put in place to address any individual academic needs. This process was initiated after a (2011) data analysis revealed a disproportionate percentage of minority and low socio-economic students were taking classes in the non-college preparatory academic level. The students entering E.O. Smith are from six area towns (Ashford, Columbia, Coventry, Mansfield, Willington, and Windham) placing increased emphasis on a successful 9th grade transition program. E.O. Smith has an extensive new student transition program that involves our Peer Natural helper and Student Congress programs. Both groups receive training on a weekend retreat that involves team building training centered on becoming peer leaders and model our five core values of respect, responsibility, integrity, achievement and community. The transition program begins in February of the eighth grade year and extends through January of the ninth grade year and includes a parent mentor component as well.

### STUDENT PERFORMANCE

**Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Goal.** The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Strade 5   Reading   N/A   N/A   N/A   N/A   In the district at the time of testing, regardless of the len of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the len of time they were enrolled in the district with they were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the len of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the len of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the len of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the len of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the len of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the len of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the len of time they were enrolled in the district with they were enrolled in the district with they were enrolled in the district with they were enrolled in the district at the time of time they were enrolled in the district with they were enrolled in the district with they were enrolled in the district with the time of time they were enrolled in the district with the post wards and the provide in the district with the provide in the district with the post wards and the provide in the district with the	Grade ar Area	nd CMT Subject	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal	These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable
Writing N/A N/A N/A time of testing, regardless of the len of time they were enrolled in the distri Results for fewer the 20 students are not presented.  Writing N/A	Grade 3	Reading	N/A	N/A	N/A	tests who were enrolled
Mathematics N/A N/A N/A N/A of time they were enrolled in the district writing N/A		Writing	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A Results for fewer that 20 students are not presented.  Writing N/A		Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	regardless of the length
Writing N/A	Grade 4	Reading	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 5 Reading N/A N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A  Science N/A N/A N/A N/A  Grade 6 Reading N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Grade 7 Reading N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A  Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A N/A		Writing	N/A	N/A	N/A	Results for fewer than
Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A  Science N/A N/A N/A N/A  Grade 6 Reading N/A N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A  Grade 7 Reading N/A N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A  Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A N/A  Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A  Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A N/A		Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics N/A N/A N/A N/A  Science N/A N/A N/A N/A  Grade 6 Reading N/A N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A  Grade 7 Reading N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A N/A	Grade 5	Reading	N/A	N/A	N/A	presented.
Science N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Science N/A		Writing	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Science N/A N/A N/A results, go to www.ctreports.  Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A N/A  Grade 7 Reading N/A N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A  Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A  Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A N/A		Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 6 Reading N/A N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A  Grade 7 Reading N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A  Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A  Mriting N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A		Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics N/A N/A N/A  Grade 7 Reading N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A  Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A  Moreover N	Grade 6	Reading	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 7 Reading  N/A  Writing  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child I Behind."  Mathematics  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/		Writing	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Writing N/A N/A N/A Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child I Behind."  Writing N/A		Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics N/A N/A N/A school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child I Writing N/A	Grade 7	Reading	N/A	N/A	N/A	TO See the Tropp
Mathematics N/A N/A N/A www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child I Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Mathematics N/A		Writing	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A click on "No Child I Writing N/A		Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	www.sde.ct.gov and
Writing N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A	Grade 8	Reading	N/A	N/A	N/A	click on "No Child Left
		Writing	N/A	N/A	N/A	Behind."
Science N/A N/A N/A		Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	7
11/11 11/11		Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	7

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scorable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	63.3	48.5	63.6
Writing Across the Disciplines	72.0	62.1	50.8
Mathematics	68.6	52.4	68.9
Science	67.9	48.8	70.7

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

**Physical Fitness.** The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All Four	District		% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching
Tests			Standard
	55.4	51.1	53.9

SAT® I: Reasoning Test Class of 2012		District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Scores
% of Graduates Te	sted	90.1	78.5	
Average Score	Mathematics	569	503	91.7
	Critical Reading	570	499	94.0
	Writing	560	504	89.5

**SAT® I.** The lowest possible score on each SAT® I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Adjusted Cohort Rate 2012	87.2	84.8	39.0
2011-12 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	0.3	2.1	70.7

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	81.4	82.6
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	8.8	9.8

# RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

# **DISTRICT STAFF**

Full-Time Equivalent Count of School Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	92.60
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	1.00
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	12.90
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	26.00
Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants	2.00
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs District Central Office School Level	1.00 6.40
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	0.00
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	9.80
School Nurses	2.00
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	33.50

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	15.0	14.6	13.9
% with Master's Degree or Above	72.5	82.2	79.8

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 2	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A
High School	16.2	18.3	18.8

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	N/A	N/A	N/A
Middle School	N/A	N/A	N/A
High School	1,050	1,024	1,027

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be
offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten, and
450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Middle School	N/A	N/A	N/A
High School	2.2	2.0	2.1

<sup>\*</sup>Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

# **DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2011-12**

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures All figures are unaudited.	Total (in 1000s)	Expenditures Per Pupil			
		District	PK-12 Districts	DRG	State
Instructional Staff and Services	\$10,789	\$8,808	\$8,821	\$8,110	\$8,570
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$482	\$394	\$279	\$252	\$257
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$322	\$263	\$425	\$412	\$471
Student Support Services	\$1,163	\$949	\$860	\$954	\$950
Administration and Support Services	\$2,205	\$1,800	\$2,002	\$1,521	\$1,547
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$1,542	\$1,259	\$1,635	\$1,417	\$1,459
Transportation	\$1,493	\$1,198	\$861	\$750	\$765
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	\$1,239	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$770	\$629	\$362	\$184	\$170
Total	\$20,006	\$15,840	\$15,744	\$14,121	\$14,333
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$4,217	\$3,443	\$1,882	\$1,204	\$1,398

Special Education Expenditures	District Total	Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education		
		District	DRG	State
	\$4,378,109	21.9	21.3	21.8

**Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source.** Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	<b>Local Revenue</b>	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	62.5	34.7	2.7	0.0
Excluding School Construction	63.7	33.0	3.3	0.0

# EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

Does not pertain to Regional School District #19 as we are a one school district.

# **SPECIAL EDUCATION**

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible 174
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities 15.9%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities							
Disability Count District Percent DRG Percent S							
Autism	14	1.3	1.3	1.3			
Learning Disability	56	5.1	4.1	4.0			
Intellectual Disability	12	1.1	0.4	0.4			
Emotional Disturbance	21	1.9	0.8	1.0			
Speech Impairment	9	0.8	1.7	2.0			
Other Health Impairment*	55	5.0	2.3	2.4			
Other Disabilities**	7	0.6	0.7	1.0			
Total	174	15.9	11.1	12.1			

<sup>\*</sup>Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

<sup>\*\*</sup>Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2011-12 with a Standard Diploma	72.4	64.4
2011-12 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	N/A	3.2

# STATE ASSESSMENTS

**Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal.** The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students with	Students with Disabilities		udents
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Writing	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	16.7	15.7	63.3	48.5
	Writing Across the Disciplines	5.9	16.7	72.0	62.1
	Mathematics	13.6	16.8	68.6	52.4
	Science	21.2	14.6	67.9	48.8

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to <a href="www.ctreports.com">www.ctreports.com</a>. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to <a href="www.sde.ct.gov">www.sde.ct.gov</a> and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools			
CMT	% Without Accommodations	N/A	
	% With Accommodations	N/A	
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	10.5	
	% With Accommodations	89.5	
% Assessed U	sing Skills Checklist	7.9	

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with non-disabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District's Schools				
Placement Count Percent				
Public Schools in Other Districts	2	1.1		
Private Schools or Other Settings	11	6.3		

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers

Time Spent with Non-Disabled Peers	Count of Students	Percent of Students		
		District	DRG	State
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	112	64.4	74.0	72.0
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	47	27.0	19.1	16.4
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	15	8.6	6.9	11.6

### SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

The E.O. Smith High School Foundation continues to host community events and provide scholarships in support of teaching and learning activities and programs. Additional security staff and surveillance equipment have been approved as added safety measures. "Intruder" locks have also been installed in all classrooms to allow door locks to be secured from inside the classroom. The outdoor athletic facilities have been upgraded to include new tennis courts, a multi-purpose synthetic field, and track surface. The renovations are a result of a building referendum supported by the region. Lights for our multi-surface athletic field have been approved and will be installed in the fall of 2014.A committee designed to created school-wide graduation standards was assembled in 2012-13 and continues its work in 2013-14. In addition to the school-wide standards, specific content area standards will be created with corresponding rubrics and performance tasks. These components will link together to create a learning system that will incorporate the traditional NEASC school-wide academic, social and civic expectations. A student intervention system has been implemented to identify students in need of academic support and provide them with targeted skill based assistance. The system operates within the traditional school day and utilizes teaching faculty to provide the assistance.