Connecticut State Department of Education

DISTRICT PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2014–15



Learn

Dr. Eileen Howley, Superintendent • 860-434-4800

District Information

Grade Range	PK-12
Number of Schools/Programs	18
Enrollment	2,270
Per Pupil Expenditures ¹	N/A
Total Expenditures ¹	N/A

¹Expenditure data reflect the 2013-14 year.



Community Information

<u>CERC Town Profiles</u> provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

Related Reports/Publications

CT Reports (CMT/CAPT)

District and School Performance Reports

Special Education Annual Performance Reports

SAT®, AP®, PSAT® Report by High School (Class of 2015)

(2015® The College Board)

Contents

Students1
Educators2
Instruction and Resources
Performance and Accountability4
Narratives

Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2014-15 and include all grades offered by the district.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit EdSight.ct.gov).

State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.

Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been

suppressed to ensure student confidentiality. N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

Students

October 1, 2014 Enrollment				
		District	State	
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)	
Female	1,153	50.8	48.3	
Male	1,117	49.2	51.6	
American Indian or Alaska Native	10	0.4	0.2	
Asian	68	3.0	4.7	
Black or African American	301	13.3	12.9	
Hispanic or Latino	718	31.6	22.1	
Pacific Islander	8	0.4	0.0	
Two or More Races	187	8.2	2.5	
White	978	43.1	57.2	
English Language Learners	108	4.8	6.3	
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	1,157	51.0	37.6	
Students with Disabilities ¹	313	13.8	13.3	

¹Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

	Chronic		Suspension/	
	Absenteeism ²		Expu	lsion ³
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	156	18.3	36	3.1
Male	106	13.4	62	5.5
Black or African American	53	22.6	23	7.6
Hispanic or Latino	117	21.3	41	5.5
White	67	10.3	24	2.5
English Language Learners	14	12.0	8	6.7
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	191	22.1	66	5.5
Students with Disabilities	47	19.4	34	9.3
District	262	16.0	98	4.3
State		10.6		7.2

Number of students in 2013-14 qualified as truant under state statute: 314

²A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

³The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

Educators

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)1 Staff

	FTE
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	146.2
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	75.1
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	34.7
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	82.3
Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	3.4
School Level	13.4
Library/Media	
Specialists (Certified)	2.8
Support Staff	1.0
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers	23.2
Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists	13.4
School Nurses	9.2
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support	177.4

¹In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

Educators by Race/Ethnicity

	District		State	
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0.8	0.1	
Asian	4	1.6	1.0	
Black or African American	10	4.1	3.5	
Hispanic or Latino	14	5.7	3.5	
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.0	
Two or More Races	0	0.0	0.0	
White	216	87.8	91.8	

Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers²

	Percent of Total (%)		
District	100.0		
District Poverty Quartile: High			
State High Poverty Quartile Schools	97.9		
State Low Poverty Quartile Schools	99.6		

 $^{^2\}mbox{Core}$ academic classes taught by teachers who are fully certified to teach in that subject area.

Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2013-14

	District	State
Average Number of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time	8.8	9.2

Instruction and Resources

11th and 12th Graders Enrolled in College-and-Career-Readiness Courses during High School³

	11th		12th	
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Black or African American	8	32.0	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	24	42.9	*	*
White	50	53.2	30	38.0
English Language Learners	0	0.0	0	0.0
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	42	47.7	16	19.0
Students with Disabilities	8	40.0	*	*
District	96	49.5	48	28.7
State		58.4		73.8

³College-and-Career-Readiness Courses include Advanced Placement®(AP), International Baccalaureate®(IB), Career and Technical Education(CTE), workplace experience and dual enrollment courses.

Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers⁴

	Count	Rate (%)
Autism	N/A	N/A
Emotional Disturbance	N/A	N/A
Intellectual Disability	N/A	N/A
Learning Disability	N/A	N/A
Other Health Impairment	N/A	N/A
Other Disabilities	N/A	N/A
Speech/Language Impairment	N/A	N/A
District	N/A	N/A
State		69.7

⁴Ages 6-21

Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability¹

	District		State
	Count	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Autism	0	0.0	1.5
Emotional Disturbance	0	0.0	1.0
Intellectual Disability	0	0.0	0.5
Learning Disability	0	0.0	4.4
Other Health Impairment	0	0.0	2.6
Other Disabilities	0	0.0	1.0
Speech/Language Impairment	0	0.0	1.9
All Disabilities	0	0.0	13.0

Students with Disabilities Placed Outside of the District²

	Dis	State	
	Count	Rate (%)	
Public Schools in Other Districts	0	0.0	8.1
Private Schools or Other Settings	0	0.0	5.4

²Grades K-12

Overall Expenditures: 2013-14

		Per P	upil		
	Total (\$)	District (\$)	State (\$)		
Instructional Staff and Services	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Student Support Services	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Administration and Support Services	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Plant Operation and Maintenance	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Transportation	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Costs of Students Tuitioned Out	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Other	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	N/A	N/A	N/A		

³Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

Special Education Expenditures: 2013-14

	Dist	rict	State
	Total (\$)	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
Certified Personnel	N/A	N/A	N/A
Noncertified Personnel	N/A	N/A	N/A
Purchased Services	N/A	N/A	N/A
Tuition to Other Schools	N/A	N/A	N/A
Special Ed. Transportation	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other Expenditures	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Expenditures	N/A	N/A	N/A

Expenditures by Revenue Source:⁴ 2013-14

	Percent o	f Total (%)		
	Including Excluding			
	School	School		
	Construction	Construction		
Local	N/A	N/A		
State	N/A	N/A		
Federal	N/A	N/A		
Tuition & Other	N/A	N/A		
	•	•		

⁴Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction).

¹Grades K-12

Performance and Accountability

District Performance Index (DPI)

A District Performance Index (DPI) is the average performance of students in a subject area (i.e., ELA, Mathematics or Science) on the state summative assessments. The DPI ranges from 0-100. A DPI is reported for all students tested in a district and for students in each individual student group. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 75.

	English Language Arts(ELA)		Mat	h	Scien	ce
	Count	DPI	Count	DPI	Count	DPI
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*	*	*
Asian	20	77.2	20	68.5	11	*
Black or African American	69	56.4	69	43.5	38	42.7
Hispanic or Latino	186	61.5	191	47.6	103	48.7
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	*	*
Two or More Races	57	68.9	57	57.4	21	56.6
White	243	74.0	242	59.0	120	65.4
English Language Learners	69	56.1	70	47.1	31	42.7
Non-English Language Learners	510	68.9	513	54.4	264	57.4
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	309	62.5	312	49.3	156	47.3
Not Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	270	72.9	271	58.3	139	65.4
Students with Disabilities	104	48.1	107	35.8	52	40.3
Students without Disabilities	475	71.6	476	57.5	243	59.1
High Needs	353	61.4	359	48.3	181	47.4
Non-High Needs	226	76.7	224	61.9	114	69.1
District	579	67.4	583	53.5	295	55.8

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient¹

	NAEP	NAEP 2013	
READING	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	43%	43%	50%
National Public	35%	33%	36%
MATH	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	41%	36%	32%
National Public	39%	32%	25%

¹NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across Smarter Balanced and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement other state assessment data. To view student subgroup performance on NAEP, click here.

Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard²

	Percent of Students by Grade ³ (%)				All Teste	ed Grades
	4	6	8	10	Count	Rate (%)
Sit & Reach	74.6	60.8	64.1	90.7	268	76.9
Curl Up	97.2	76.5	76.9	69.2	268	79.1
Push Up	94.4	58.8	53.8	67.3	268	70.9
Mile Run/PACER	71.8	52.9	53.8	86.0	268	71.3
All Tests - District	54.9	27.5	20.5	52.3	268	43.7
All Tests - State	50.8	51.0	50.3	51.9		51.0

²The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

³Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

Cohort Graduation: Four-Year¹

		2013-14			2014-15
	Cohort Count ²	Rate (%)	Target³ (%)	Target Achieved	Target³ (%)
Black or African American	41	92.7	•		
Hispanic or Latino	38	78.9	•		
English Language Learners	*	*	•		
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	84	88.1			
Students with Disabilities	*	*			
District	153	92.2			
State ⁴		87.0			

¹The four-year cohort graduation rate represents the percentage of first-time 9th graders who earn a standard high school diploma within four years. Statewide, district and school results for cohorts of 2010 through 2013 are <u>available online</u>.

11th and 12th Graders Meeting Benchmark on at Least One College Readiness Exam⁵

	Participation ⁶	Meeting B	enchmark
	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	70.0	53	27.9
Male	61.4	51	29.8
Black or African American	52.5	8	13.6
Hispanic or Latino	56.8	11	11.6
White	71.7	68	39.3
English Language Learners	*	0	*
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	60.5	32	18.6
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*
District	65.9	104	28.8
State	67.2		37.3

⁵College readiness exams and benchmark scores are as follows:

- SAT® composite score of 1550 or higher
- ACT® meets benchmark score on 3 of 4 exams (benchmark score varies by subject)
- \bullet AP $^{\! \rm B}$ - 3 or higher on any one AP $^{\! \rm B}$ exam
- IB® 4 or higher on any one IB® exam
- Smarter Balanced Level 3 or higher on both ELA and math

⁶Participation Rate equals the number of test-takers in 11th and 12th grade divided by the number of students enrolled in those grades, as a percent. Sources:

SAT® and AP® statistics derived from data provided by the College Board.

Copyright © 2015 The College Board. www.collegeboard.org

ACT® statistics derived from data provided by ACT, Inc.

Copyright © 2015 ACT, Inc. www.act.org

IB® statistics derived from data provided by the International Baccalaureate Organization.

Copyright © International Baccalaureate Organization 2015

College Entrance and Persistence

	Class of 2014	Class of 2013
	Entrance ⁷	Persistence ⁸
	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Female	70.7	*
Male	71.0	*
Black or African American	65.8	*
Hispanic or Latino	73.3	*
White	74.6	*
English Language Learners	*	*
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	69.3	*
Students with Disabilities	55.0	*
District	70.8	*
State	72.6	88.8

⁷College entrance refers to the percent of high school graduates from the year who enrolled in college any time during the first year after high school.

Source: National Student Clearinghouse

²Cohort count includes all students in the cohort as of the end of the 2013-14 school year.

³Targets are calculated when there are at least 20 students in a cohort in the base year (i.e., 2010-11).

⁴Targets are not displayed at the state level.

⁸College persistence refers to the percent of students who enrolled in college the first year after high school and returned for a second year (Freshman to Sophomore persistence).

Next Generation Accountability Results

These statistics are the first results from Connecticut's Next Generation Accountability System for districts and schools. This system is a broad set of 12 indicators that help tell the story of how well a school is preparing its students for success in college, careers and life. It moves beyond test scores and graduation rates and instead provides a more holistic, multifactor perspective of district and school performance and incorporates student growth over time.

Indicator		Index/Rate	Target	Points	Max	% Points	State Average
ELA Dorformanco Indov	All Students	67.4	75	89.8	100	89.8	67.9
ELA Performance Index	High Needs Students	61.4	75	81.8	100	81.8	56.7
Math Performance Index	All Students	53.5	75	71.4	100	71.4	59.3
Math Performance maex	High Needs Students	48.3	75	64.4	100	64.4	47.8
Science Performance Index	All Students	55.8	75	74.4	100	74.4	56.5
Science Performance index	High Needs Students	47.4	75	63.2	100	63.2	45.9
Chanais Absorbesions	All Students	16.0%	<=5%	28.1	50	56.1	10.6%
Chronic Absenteeism	High Needs Students	21.1%	<=5%	17.9	50	35.7	17.3%
Droporation for CCD	% Taking Courses	39.9%	75%	26.6	50	53.2	66.1%
Preparation for CCR	% Passing Exams	28.8%	75%	19.2	50	38.4	37.3%
On-track to High School Grad	duation	93.0%	94%	49.4	50	98.9	85.6%
4-year Graduation All Studer	nts (2014 Cohort)	92.2%	94%	98.0	100	98.0	87.0%
6-year Graduation - High Needs Students (2012 Cohort)		N/A	94%	0.0	0	0.0	77.6%
Postsecondary Entrance (Class of 2014)		70.8%	75%	94.4	100	94.4	72.8%
Physical Fitness (estimated part rate) and (fitness rate)		73.4% 43.7%	75%	14.6	50	29.1	87.6% 51.0%
Arts Access		34.4%	60%	28.7	50	57.3	45.7%
Accountability Index				821.9	1150	71.5	

Gap Indicators	Non-High Needs Rate ¹	High Needs Rate	Size of Gap	State Gap Mean + 1 Stdev ²	Is Gap an Outlier?²
Achievement Gap Size Outlier?					Υ
ELA Performance Index Gap	75.0	61.4	13.6	17.3	
Math Performance Index Gap	61.9	48.3	13.7	19.6	
Science Performance Index Gap	69.1	47.4	21.7	17.2	
Graduation Rate Gap	•				

¹If the Non-High Needs Rate exceeds the ultimate target (75 for Performance Index and 94% for graduation rate), then the ultimate target is displayed and used for gap calculations.

²If the size of the gap exceeds the state mean gap plus one standard deviation, then the gap is an outlier.

Subject/Subgroup		Participation Rate (%)
FIA	All Students	97.3
ELA	High Needs Students	96.7
Math	All Students	98.0
IVIALII	High Needs Students	98.4
All Students		99.0
Science	High Needs Students	98.4

Connecticut's State Identified Measurable Goal for Children with Disabilities (SIMR)

Increase the reading performance of all 3rd grade students with disabilities statewide, as measured by Connecticut's English Language Arts (ELA) Performance Index.

Grade 3 ELA Performance Index for Students with Disabilities:

District: 47.3 State: 50.1

Supporting Resources

Two-page FAQ

Detailed Presentation

Using Accountability Results to Guide Improvement: comprehensive documentation and supports

Narratives

School District Improvement Plans and Parental Outreach Activities

The LEARN magnet schools design and implement annual School Development Plans which are aligned with the District Development Plan. In each of our 7 magnet schools, the SDP is designed through a collaborative process which includes administration, faculty, school governance councils, and parents. Each development plan aligns to the key goals of the LEARN Magnet schools, which are: 1. To advance academic achievement for all students in LEARN schools and programs and ensure students' continuous educational growth; 2. To nurture the intellectual, physical and emotional well-being of students and create a safe and respectful learning community where all students are held to high expectations; 3. To attract, retain and develop high quality staff by providing professional development, resources and appropriate learning environments; and 4. To develop, implement and maintain systems that contribute to an environment conducive to student and adult learning and to develop structures that support the school and district mission.

Each magnet school has both a governance council and a parent organization which work with the administration and faculty to ensure high quality learning experiences for all students. Schools partner with both sending districts and the LEARN Special Services department to ensure high quality differentiated instructional practices, and members of the Special Education staff at each magnet school attend weekly case management and professional development sessions facilitated by LEARN's Special Services leadership team. Additionally, students with profound disabilities are included in the regular educational program through integrated settings such as the ASD program at Marine Science Magnet High School.

School based data teams regularly review student attendance and engagement in school programs. Additionally, a district level data team also regularly reviews attendance data and supports schools in truancy preventions programs. Both the magnet schools and the district utilize Attendance Works res

LEARN has an ongoing commitment to enhance and strengthen home-school communication and to provide avenues for parental involvement. Each LEARN school provides different avenues of communication for families, including family/student handbooks, newsletters, goal setting conferences prior to the start of the school year, visitation days, back to school nights, parent/teacher conferences, message posts on blackboard (a technology education software), PowerSchool Parent Portal, and School Messenger for phone/text/email communications. In order to meet the needs of our diverse schools population all communications are sent home both in English and Spanish. All parents are encouraged to participate in a school level advisory committee or a governing board to support planning and improvement of school based programs, including instruction, culture and climate, and budget development. Additionally, parent programs which enhance the abilities of parents to support the learning of their children are regularly held at each school, including parenting workshops, college planning sessions, and other related community support activities.

Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation

LEARN, on behalf of districts across the region, has successfully served students from preschool through 12th grade from 73 districts through interdistrict magnet school program. LEARN magnet schools include: the Regional Multicultural Magnet School, a K-5 program serving 540 students; The Friendship School an early childhood program for PK & K serving 520 students; Dual Language & Arts Magnet Middle School, grades 6-8 serving 150 students; Connecticut River Academy, a high school program with 407 students; Marine Science Magnet High School of Southeastern Connecticut, a high school program with 275 students; Three Rivers Middle College, serving 60 11th and 12th grade students; and Goodwin College Early Childhood Magnet School, serving 300 PK through 1st grade students. These magnet schools enroll approximately 2,500 students and fulfill their mission of increasing student achievement while promoting diversity.

Each magnet school is charged with developing school goals that promote equity. For example, a key school goal at RMMS is that "All students will respect cultural diversity, become empowered as learners and develop as compassionate individuals who take responsibility for making positive changes in society." School programs, professional learning, and data sets are gathered to support this goal, and the school administration, faculty, and staff is accountable for engaging in this key development initiative. Similar goals and systems of improvement are in place at each magnet school. The Department of Educator Development also contributes to the work of the Minority Teacher Recruiting Alliance. Through the recruitment of a diverse teaching staff, schools benefit from a richer and more culturally aware team of adult leaders. This has a direct impact on LEARN's goals associated with culturally relevant practices and pedagogy. Additionally, LEARN schools have invested deeply in developing faculty skills in culturally relevant pedagogical practices to support the learning of our students, particularly in our Dual Language and Arts Academy and our Regional Multicultural Magnet School.

Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools

LEARN's seven magnet schools receive funding from the SDE on a per pupil basis. Each participating district contributes tuition fees. The schools also solicit local and national private foundations for program support and grant dollars to supplement additional educational components. Each school develops a budget to meet the needs of all learners. Each budget is developed by the school administration and must be reviewed by each school's governing board. Each school budget is also reviewed by LEARN's Board of Directors, comprised of representative members of the Boards of Education of LEARN's member districts.

Each interdistrict magnet school is funded on a per pupil basis and supplemented with grants such as Title I, the schools have the autonomy and authority to allocate resources where they are most needed. Each school is required to consider student learning needs in mathematics and English/Language arts as key funding priorities, and to develop support and intervention systems to ensure all students learn at high levels. Additionally, students with special needs are supported through resources that are developed and allocated by LEARN and the home district of the student.