

**STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2010-11****Windsor School District**

ELIZABETH E. FESER, Superintendent

Location: 601 Matianuck Avenue

Telephone: (860) 687-2000

Windsor,  
ConnecticutWebsite: [www.windsorct.org/boe/](http://www.windsorct.org/boe/)

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at [www.sde.ct.gov](http://www.sde.ct.gov).

**COMMUNITY DATA**

County: Hartford

Town Population in 2000: 28,237

1990-2000 Population Growth: 1.5%

Number of Public Schools: 7

Per Capita Income in 2000: \$27,633

Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000\*: 12.7%

Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000\*: 1.3%

District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 85.7%

\*To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to [www.sde.ct.gov](http://www.sde.ct.gov) and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

District Reference Group (DRG): D DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

**STUDENT ENROLLMENT**

Enrollment on October 1, 2010      3,613  
5-Year Enrollment Change      -16.4%

**DISTRICT GRADE RANGE**

Grade Range      PK - 12

**INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED**

Need Indicator	Number in District	Percent		
		District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	1,015	28.1	13.8	34.1
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	97	2.7	2.3	5.6
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	325	9.0	4.8	4.0
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	482	13.3	11.1	11.4
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	171	87.2	85.1	80.2
Homeless	1	0.0	0.1	0.3
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	68	11.3	17.2	13.2

\*98.8 % of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

## SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity		
Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
American Indian	3	0.1
Asian American	170	4.7
Black	1,723	47.7
Hispanic	507	14.0
Pacific Islander	2	0.1
White	1,096	30.3
Two or more races	112	3.1
Total Minority	2,517	69.7

**Percent of Minority Professional Staff:** 10.8%

**Open Choice:**

6 student(s) attended this district as part of the Open Choice program. Open Choice brings students from urban areas to attend school in suburban or rural towns, and students from non-urban areas to attend city schools.

**Non-English Home Language:**

7.8% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 37.

---

### EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

---

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

Windsor is fortunate to have a very diverse student population, and the schools take great pride in this diversity. Within the school community, deliberate efforts are made to insure all racial and ethnic groups are reflected in academic programs, extra-curriculars, leadership positions, etc. Windsor students have participated in magnet schools for over a decade. Students participate in programs that involve students from other districts, such as, the Center for Creative Youth and Camp Anytown. Our students also participate in regional and state competitions, e.g., Robotics and Math Team, which provide opportunities for them to work and learn with students from diverse backgrounds. Several Windsor High School students attended the Minority Student Achievement Network student conference, Un Sueno Hecho Realidad: A Dream Come True. A concerted effort has been made at the high school to increase the number of students of color in Advanced Placement courses while increasing performance. The numbers have increased as well as the percent of students achieving a 3 or better on the AP exams. Windsor has as one of its primary goals the reduction and ultimate elimination of the achievement gap. Specific professional development aims to increase cultural competency and teaching quality. Training for cohorts of teachers continued in Effective Teaching Strategies and teaching a rigorous curriculum. Several teachers attended conferences/programs related to diversity, race and cultural competency, including the New England Conference on Multicultural Education and Culturally Responsive Education. Windsor is a member of the Minority Student Achievement Network (MSAN), a coalition of 25 suburban-urban districts from across the country working to eliminate the achievement gap in their districts. MSAN focuses on four areas: math, literacy, conversations on race and student/teacher relationships. Several staff members attended mini conferences/institutes on Promising Practices to Support English Language Learners, Creating Culturally Competent Classrooms and Teachers, and Making a Difference: Promising Practices in Parent Involvement and Community Engagement. Finally, as part of its commitment to employ the most qualified staff and to provide quality educational experiences and learning opportunities for all students, the district actively works to recruit, employ and retain staff of color.

---

## STUDENT PERFORMANCE

**Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Goal.** The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade and CMT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Grade 3 Reading	46.8	58.4	15.6
Writing	43.7	61.1	9.9
Mathematics	52.6	63.0	17.4
Grade 4 Reading	60.9	62.5	30.7
Writing	61.1	65.5	22.6
Mathematics	60.8	67.0	21.3
Grade 5 Reading	61.0	61.4	30.7
Writing	53.0	66.8	12.3
Mathematics	67.0	72.5	27.6
Science	54.3	59.9	25.2
Grade 6 Reading	72.8	76.0	24.4
Writing	66.3	65.2	40.5
Mathematics	66.7	71.3	26.2
Grade 7 Reading	71.1	77.8	19.7
Writing	51.7	58.9	23.4
Mathematics	63.2	68.4	27.6
Grade 8 Reading	70.0	74.7	22.3
Writing	59.6	64.8	25.5
Mathematics	57.7	66.6	21.7
Science	57.6	63.1	24.2

These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the district. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

For more detailed CMT results, go to [www.ctreports.com](http://www.ctreports.com).

To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to [www.sde.ct.gov](http://www.sde.ct.gov) and click on "No Child Left Behind."

**Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal.** The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scorable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	26.5	44.7	15.9
Writing Across the Disciplines	43.6	61.2	17.3
Mathematics	34.2	49.5	22.6
Science	33.9	47.0	23.3

For more detailed CAPT results, go to [www.ctreports.com](http://www.ctreports.com). To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to [www.sde.ct.gov](http://www.sde.ct.gov) and click on "No Child Left Behind."

**Physical Fitness.** The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All Four Tests	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
	36.8	51.0	19.6

SAT® I: Reasoning Test Class of 2010		District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Scores
% of Graduates Tested		72.9	70.6	
Average Score	Mathematics	471	510	20.6
	Critical Reading	466	505	16.0
	Writing	463	510	16.0

**SAT® I.** The lowest possible score on each SAT® I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Adjusted Cohort Rate 2010	78.7	81.8	21.4
2009-10 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	1.7	2.8	29.5

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	83.9	84.8
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	5.7	9.1

## RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

### DISTRICT STAFF

Full-Time Equivalent Count of School Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	274.50
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	8.00
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	46.37
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	58.00
Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants	12.00
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	12.90
School Level	17.00
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	20.10
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	29.30
School Nurses	9.40
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	192.74

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	13.0	14.6	13.9
% with Master's Degree or Above	74.2	79.0	79.0

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	16.4	17.5	18.4
Grade 2	19.2	19.2	19.9
Grade 5	20.4	21.7	21.2
Grade 7	16.9	20.7	20.6
High School	18.3	19.8	19.3

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	1,016	984	992
Middle School	997	1,025	1,017
High School	1,010	1,004	1,010

\*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten, and 450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	2.4	3.2	3.1
Middle School	1.8	2.5	2.4
High School	2.2	2.6	2.2

\*Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

### DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2009-10

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures All figures are unaudited.	Total (in 1000s)	Expenditures Per Pupil			
		District	PK-12 Districts	DRG	State
Instructional Staff and Services	\$33,501	\$8,915	\$8,232	\$7,875	\$8,237
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$1,020	\$271	\$299	\$267	\$300
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$2,430	\$647	\$477	\$387	\$463
Student Support Services	\$5,106	\$1,359	\$875	\$828	\$872
Administration and Support Services	\$7,170	\$1,908	\$1,433	\$1,339	\$1,459
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$5,793	\$1,541	\$1,421	\$1,322	\$1,410
Transportation	\$3,280	\$764	\$701	\$641	\$692
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	\$4,801	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$575	\$153	\$161	\$159	\$159
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$63,676</b>	<b>\$15,186</b>	<b>\$13,878</b>	<b>\$13,136</b>	<b>\$13,780</b>
<b>Additional Expenditures</b>					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$2,683	\$714	\$1,622	\$1,432	\$1,616

Special Education Expenditures	District Total	Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education		
		District	DRG	State
	\$14,819,596	23.3	21.7	21.5

**Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source.** Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	74.8	18.9	6.0	0.3
Excluding School Construction	74.3	19.0	6.3	0.3

## EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

The allocation of resources for the Windsor Public Schools is divided into two major functional areas: personnel and non-personnel. With respect to personnel, the district insures equity by allocating teacher positions based on Board of Education guidelines for teacher-student ratios and based on student need. Class-size guidelines and support staff ratios vary by educational level – elementary, middle and high school. Staff allocations are adjusted within the budgetary constraints when these guidelines are exceeded. The district allocates non-personnel resources – supplies, materials, instructional equipment, and replacement textbooks – on a per pupil basis differentiated between elementary and secondary levels. Resources are also allocated based on greatest need, e.g., the demand for intervention programs may be greater in one school versus another because of the needs of students. Maintenance and capital programs are developed and allocated across the district on a needs basis, insuring that major maintenance and capital needs of each facility are appropriately met.

## SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible	508
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities	12.5%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities				
Disability	Count	District Percent	DRG Percent	State Percent
Autism	52	1.3	1.2	1.1
Learning Disability	179	4.4	3.4	3.9
Intellectual Disability	16	0.4	0.4	0.4
Emotional Disturbance	45	1.1	0.9	1.0
Speech Impairment	64	1.6	2.3	2.2
Other Health Impairment*	56	1.4	2.2	2.1
Other Disabilities**	96	2.4	0.9	0.9
<b>Total</b>	<b>508</b>	<b>12.5</b>	<b>11.3</b>	<b>11.6</b>

\*Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

\*\*Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2009-10 with a Standard Diploma	53.1	62.5
2009-10 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	N/A	3.9

## STATE ASSESSMENTS

**Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal.** The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- **Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation.** The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- **Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation.** The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students with Disabilities		All Students	
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	27.4	33.0	63.6	68.6
	Writing	15.1	19.3	55.8	63.7
	Mathematics	30.8	33.4	61.3	68.2
	Science	13.3	21.2	55.9	61.5
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	3.7	14.1	26.5	44.7
	Writing Across the Disciplines	3.0	17.3	43.6	61.2
	Mathematics	8.3	15.8	34.2	49.5
	Science	8.8	13.1	33.9	47.0

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to [www.ctreports.com](http://www.ctreports.com). To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to [www.sde.ct.gov](http://www.sde.ct.gov) and click on “No Child Left Behind.”

### Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools

CMT	% Without Accommodations	18.5
	% With Accommodations	81.5
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	9.1
	% With Accommodations	90.9
% Assessed Using Skills Checklist		11.0

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with non-disabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

### K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District's Schools

Placement	Count	Percent
Public Schools in Other Districts	16	3.1
Private Schools or Other Settings	43	8.5

### Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers

Time Spent with Non-Disabled Peers	Count of Students	Percent of Students		
		District	DRG	State
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	402	79.1	77.0	74.1
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	38	7.5	13.9	14.9
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	68	13.4	9.1	11.0

---

**SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES**

---

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

The primary goal of the Windsor Public Schools continues to be: Increase achievement for every student in the academics giving particular attention to literacy, and reduce and ultimately eliminate the disparities in performance within all groups of students. Work toward this goal is ongoing in the system. Windsor's focus on rigorous, aligned curriculum, quality teaching, and analysis of data from the school to the classroom level is helping to address student needs and increase achievement. The district has implemented an instructional, building and a district level Data Team structure. The District Data Team is charged with the development of the District Improvement Plan based on BOE goals and objectives. Each school also develops a School Improvement Plan aligned with the District plan. Use of common formative assessments are increasing at every level and teachers are using data more frequently resulting in instruction being modified based on student need. In literacy at the elementary level, a 30 minute interactive vocabulary instruction block has been implemented. In mathematics, the Connected Mathematics program has been fully implemented in the middle school, and an Algebra I lab at the high school is available for students in need of additional support. A major professional development initiative was expanded providing training for teachers in Effective Teaching Strategies. Scientifically Research Based Instruction/Interventions were implemented and monitored for effectiveness. The Pupil and Special Education Services (PSES) department has focused on priority areas related to improving student achievement. We have been working with special education teachers to improve their skill in providing targeted reading interventions to students with disabilities. Training in multi-sensory approaches to reading has been provided and instruction implemented to identified students. Assistive technology training and technical assistance has been provided to staff to further support their skill and application of assistive technology to help students to access the curriculum. Specific software programs have been added for individual students, to help with written expression as well as to support students who can understand content area information but are not able to read on grade level. The department has worked with all schools to revise the Student Assistance Team (SAT) process to align with SRBI. Special education staff has been trained in writing Individual Education Plan goals and objectives to assure instructional alignment with the required curriculum framework for each grade and subject area. In an effort to increase the number of students graduating in four years, an on-line recovery program is available at Windsor High School. Students work to regain credit in courses in English, math, science, social studies and a few electives. The program is also being used by special education high school students enrolled in an alternative program. Windsor is the recipient of a five-year, \$600,000 grant – Project Opening Doors – whose goal is to strengthen the AP program, and increase AP enrollment and performance on AP exams. The district has worked to increase the number of students taking Advanced Placement courses and the number achieving a score of 3 or better. The results have shown a yearly increase in the number of students taking AP, including students of color, and an increase from 42% to 72.3% of students achieving a score of 3 or better. Windsor was the recipient of a \$140,000 competitive 21st Century Skills Grant that provided for SmartBoards, student response systems, GPS units, and professional development for the integration of these and other technologies, such as Moodle, into the grade 6-12 Social Studies curriculum. The PowerSchool parent portal in the middle and high schools enabled parents to monitor student progress by accessing information on attendance, grades and assignments. The Superintendent met monthly with PTO Presidents, and parents are invited to serve on school and district-based committees. The Board of Education held public forums on the proposed consolidation and reorganization of the elementary system that called for closing the Early Childhood Center, establishing two primary and two upper elementary schools, and the implementation of full-day kindergarten. The Board of Education voted in March 2011 to approve the reorganization plan to go into effect for the 2012-2013 school year.

---