STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2009-10

Easton School District

ALLEN J. FOSSBENDER, Superintendent

Telephone: (203) 261-2513 Easton,
Connecticut

Website: www.er9.org

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at www.sde.ct.gov.

COMMUNITY DATA

County: Fairfield Per Capita Income in 2000: \$53,885

Town Population in 2000: 7,272 Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000*: 6.9% 1990-2000 Population Growth: 15.4% Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000*: 1.1% District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 90.4%

District Reference Group (DRG): A DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Location: 654 Morehouse Road

Enrollment on October 1, 2009 1,114 5-Year Enrollment Change -2.0%

Grade Range

PK - 8

INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

| Need Indicator | Number in District | Percent | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------|------|-------|
| | | District | DRG | State |
| Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals | 17 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 32.6 |
| K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English | 2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 5.4 |
| Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented* | 44 | 3.9 | 6.1 | 4.1 |
| PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District | 99 | 8.9 | 10.3 | 11.4 |
| Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart | 88 | 98.9 | 95.9 | 80.5 |
| Homeless | 0 | 0.0 | N/A | 0.2 |
| Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

^{*0.0 %} of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

^{*}To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

| Student Race/Ethnicity | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------|------|--|--|--|
| Race/Ethnicity Number Percent | | | | | |
| American Indian | 0 | 0.0 | | | |
| Asian American | 52 | 4.7 | | | |
| Black | 10 | 0.9 | | | |
| Hispanic | 38 | 3.4 | | | |
| White | 1,014 | 91.0 | | | |
| Total Minority | 100 | 9.0 | | | |

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 0.9%

Open Choice:

8 student(s) attended this district as part of the Open Choice program. Open Choice brings students from urban areas to attend school in suburban or rural towns, and students from non-urban areas to attend city schools.

Non-English Home Language:

1.9% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 9.

EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

Samuel Staples Elementary School has maintained a steadfast commitment to raise students' awareness and appreciation for differences among people. As a school, several special celebrations held during the year afforded students the opportunity to discuss compelling issues around diversity. The school celebrated International Day for Tolerance by inviting a Peace Corps volunteer to share his experiences living and working in high poverty regions of El Salvador. Grade five students continued their involvement with Alpha Community Services, an agency in Bridgeport that supports homeless families. Linens, toiletries, and household items were collected for distribution. Students also donated money collected through a fundraiser called a Walk to End Homelessness. Students in grade three studied the accomplishments of famous African Americans, including Harriet Tubman and Dr. Martin Luther King. Kindergarten through grade two students learned various African American traditional dances, songs, and games. Students in grade four participated in Diversity Day, which allowed students many hands-on opportunities to explore cultural, physical, learning, and health differences. Three students organized a collection amounting to over \$4,000 to donate to the Red Cross Haiti Relief Effort.

Helen Keller is currently in its sixth year of a sister-school partnership with Bryant Elementary School in Bridgeport, CT. In December, students collected and delivered over two thousand toys to the students at Bryant Elementary School. Over twenty students participated in the Peer Leaders Program, serving meals as part of the Community Supper Program sponsored by the Council of Churches. The school canned food drive was expanded by accepting donations at each of the dances held by the student council. Helen Keller Middle School and Samuel Staples Elementary School continue to participate in Project Choice, an urban/suburban cooperative program, designed to reduce racial, ethnic, and economic isolation. Students from urban environments enroll as full-time students and complete Grades 1-8 in Easton.

STUDENT PERFORMANCE

Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

| Grade a | nd CMT Subject | District | State | % of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal | These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable |
|---------|----------------|----------|-------|--|--|
| Grade 3 | Reading | 74.6 | 57.0 | 77.3 | tests who were enrolled in the district at the |
| | Writing | 83.5 | 58.3 | 95.7 | time of testing, |
| | Mathematics | 84.0 | 62.4 | 88.3 | regardless of the length |
| Grade 4 | Reading | 80.8 | 59.9 | 87.4 | of time they were enrolled in the district. |
| | Writing | 84.6 | 63.6 | 91.9 | Results for fewer than |
| | Mathematics | 87.6 | 67.0 | 86.2 | 20 students are not |
| Grade 5 | Reading | 84.2 | 61.8 | 87.3 | presented. |
| | Writing | 86.2 | 68.2 | 84.3 | |
| | Mathematics | 90.4 | 72.4 | 86.7 | |
| | Science | 81.9 | 59.4 | 81.3 | For more detailed CMT results, go to |
| Grade 6 | Reading | 99.1 | 74.9 | 99.4 | www.ctreports. |
| | Writing | 88.4 | 65.9 | 91.5 | |
| | Mathematics | 93.2 | 70.7 | 92.0 | |
| Grade 7 | Reading | 96.9 | 77.4 | 98.1 | To see the NCLB |
| | Writing | 89.5 | 61.2 | 98.1 | Report Card for this |
| | Mathematics | 96.2 | 68.5 | 99.4 | school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and |
| Grade 8 | Reading | 94.4 | 73.3 | 96.2 | click on "No Child Left |
| | Writing | 89.6 | 62.6 | 95.5 | Behind." |
| | Mathematics | 92.7 | 67.3 | 95.5 | 7 |
| | Science | 87.9 | 62.8 | 91.7 | 7 |

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scorable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

| CAPT Subject Area | District | State | % of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal |
|--------------------------------|----------|-------|--|
| Reading Across the Disciplines | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Writing Across the Disciplines | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Mathematics | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Science | N/A | N/A | N/A |

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Physical Fitness. The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

| Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All Four Tests | District | | % of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard |
|---|----------|------|--|
| | 68.4 | 50.7 | 88.0 |

| SAT® I: Reasoning Test Class of 2009 | | District | State | % of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Scores |
|---|------------------|----------|-------|--|
| % of Graduates Te | ested | N/A | N/A | |
| Average Score | Mathematics | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | Critical Reading | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | Writing | N/A | N/A | N/A |

SAT® I. The lowest possible score on each SAT® I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

| Graduation and Dropout Rates | District | State | % of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates |
|--|----------|-------|--|
| Graduation Rate, Class of 2009 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2008-09 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

| Activities of Graduates | District | State |
|--|----------|-------|
| % Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs) | N/A | N/A |
| % Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services) | N/A | N/A |

RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

DISTRICT STAFF

| Full-Time Equivalent Count of School Staff | |
|--|--------------|
| General Education | |
| Teachers and Instructors | 72.50 |
| Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants | 5.20 |
| Special Education | |
| Teachers and Instructors | 11.33 |
| Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants | 25.95 |
| Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants | 4.15 |
| Staff Devoted to Adult Education | 0.00 |
| Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs District Central Office School Level | 1.00 5.00 |
| Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists) | 3.80 |
| Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists | 3.50 |
| School Nurses | 2.00 |
| Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support | 36.40 |

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

| Teachers and Instructors | District | DRG | State |
|---|----------|------|-------|
| Average Years of Experience in Education | 11.0 | 13.1 | 13.8 |
| % with Master's Degree or Above | 84.1 | 88.5 | 77.8 |

| Average Class Size | District | DRG | State |
|--------------------|----------|------|-------|
| Grade K | 17.8 | 18.5 | 18.5 |
| Grade 2 | 19.2 | 20.8 | 19.7 |
| Grade 5 | 23.2 | 21.6 | 21.1 |
| Grade 7 | 18.8 | 20.8 | 20.8 |
| High School | N/A | N/A | N/A |

| Hours of Instruction Per Year* | Dist | DRG | State |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Elementary School | 968 | 995 | 992 |
| Middle School | 1,008 | 1,005 | 1,018 |
| High School | N/A | N/A | N/A |

| *State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be |
|--|
| offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten, and |
| 450 hours to half-day kindergarten students. |

| Students Per Academic Computer | Dist | DRG | State |
|-----------------------------------|------|-----|-------|
| Elementary School* | 2.2 | 2.9 | 3.2 |
| Middle School | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.5 |
| High School | N/A | N/A | N/A |

^{*}Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2008-09

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

| Expenditures All figures are unaudited. | Total (in 1000s) | Expenditures Per Pupil | | | |
|---|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------|----------|----------|
| | | District | PK-12 Districts | DRG | State |
| Instructional Staff and Services | \$10,034 | \$8,680 | \$7,843 | \$9,168 | \$7,829 |
| Instructional Supplies and Equipment | \$188 | \$163 | \$336 | \$270 | \$279 |
| Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services | \$277 | \$239 | \$252 | \$653 | \$459 |
| Student Support Services | \$205 | \$178 | \$830 | \$958 | \$859 |
| Administration and Support Services | \$1,655 | \$1,432 | \$1,627 | \$1,509 | \$1,426 |
| Plant Operation and Maintenance | \$1,501 | \$1,299 | \$1,336 | \$1,920 | \$1,462 |
| Transportation | \$977 | \$618 | \$658 | \$748 | \$694 |
| Costs for Students Tuitioned Out* | \$289 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Other | \$171 | \$148 | \$93 | \$236 | \$162 |
| Total* | \$15,298 | \$13,443 | \$13,721 | \$16,033 | \$13,386 |
| Additional Expenditures | | | | | |
| Land, Buildings, and Debt Service | \$3,131 | \$2,708 | \$1,232 | \$1,997 | \$1,825 |

^{*}Town total expenditures (in 1000s) for PK-12 are: Total, \$23,755 Tuition Costs, \$8,454. Total town expenditures per pupil for PK-12 are \$14,922.

| Special Education Expenditures | District Total | Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education | | • |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|---|--|-------|
| | | District DRG Sta | | State |
| | \$3,155,614 | 20.6 19.9 20.7 | | 20.7 |

Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source. Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

| District Expenditures | Local Revenue | State Revenue | Federal Revenue | Tuition & Other |
|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Including School Construction | 92.9 | 4.9 | 1.6 | 0.6 |
| Excluding School Construction | 92.4 | 5.0 | 1.9 | 0.7 |

EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

The Easton School District includes one K-5 elementary school (Samuel Staples Elementary) and one 6-8 middle school (Helen Keller). Equitable allocation of resources is determined through the annual budget process, which in such a small district is very detailed and school based. The Central Office administrators, Easton K-8 regular and special education administrators and staff work as a team to develop a comprehensive budget. The Easton Board of Education and the community in general, are actively involved in the many budget presentations between October 1 and final town approval in the spring. Special needs are addressed through on-going assessment of student learning using the Connecticut Mastery Tests program plans. All programs, curriculum and budget decisions are guided by the Easton Schools Strategic Plan, the Easton, Redding, and Region 9 Strategic Plan and the K-12 Curriculum Master Plan. The budget process is based on staff and administrative team work and on professional collaboration intended to make improvement of learning the goal and focus of all budget and work efforts in the district. The Easton schools' budget process is equitable and exemplary.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible 90
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities 8.3%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities Disability Count **District Percent DRG** Percent State Percent Autism 10 0.9 0.9 1.0 Learning Disability 32 2.9 3.9 3.9 Intellectual Disability 3 0.3 0.2 0.5 **Emotional Disturbance** 1 0.1 0.4 1.0 Speech Impairment 22 2.0 2.2 2.2 16 1.5 2.1 Other Health Impairment* 2.1 Other Disabilities** 6 0.5 0.6 0.9

8.3

10.2

11.6

Total

90

| Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible | District | State |
|---|----------|-------|
| % Who Graduated in 2008-09 with a Standard Diploma | N/A | N/A |
| 2008-09 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21 | N/A | 4.1 |

^{*}Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

^{**}Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

STATE ASSESSMENTS

Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

| State Assessment | | Students with | Students with Disabilities | | ıdents |
|------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|----------|--------|
| | | District | State | District | State |
| CMT | Reading | 55.3 | 31.6 | 88.3 | 67.5 |
| | Writing | 37.0 | 19.6 | 87.0 | 63.3 |
| | Mathematics | 46.3 | 32.9 | 90.7 | 68.1 |
| | Science | 21.7 | 23.7 | 84.7 | 61.1 |
| CAPT | Reading Across the Disciplines | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | Writing Across the Disciplines | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | Mathematics | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | Science | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

| | Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools | | | |
|-----------------------|--|------|--|--|
| CMT | % Without Accommodations | 37.5 | | |
| | % With Accommodations | | | |
| CAPT | % Without Accommodations | N/A | | |
| % With Accommodations | | N/A | | |
| % Assessed Usi | 15.3 | | | |

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with non-disabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

| K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District's Schools | | | | |
|--|---|-----|--|--|
| Placement Count Percent | | | | |
| Public Schools in Other Districts | 0 | 0.0 | | |
| Private Schools or Other Settings | 3 | 3.3 | | |

| Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by |
|--|
| the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers |

| Time Spent with Non-Disabled Peers | Count of Students | Percent of Students | | dents |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------|-------|
| | | District | DRG | State |
| 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time | 83 | 92.2 | 78.0 | 73.4 |
| 40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time | 4 | 4.4 | 15.7 | 15.3 |
| 0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time | 3 | 3.3 | 6.3 | 11.3 |

SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

As guided by the Easton, Redding and Region 9 (ER9) Strategic Plan, efforts in both the elementary and middle school continue to align curriculum, promote character development, increase home school communication, and analyze student achievement data to inform instructional decisions. The adoption of a K-8 Master Assessment Plan five years ago has supported administrators and staff to identify specific areas of weakness and patterns of student achievement progress in the areas of mathematics, reading, writing, and science. The Northwest Evaluation Association online assessment program in reading, language usage, mathematics, and science has provided a wealth of data information to administrators and teachers. Additionally, Educational Research Bureau online writing assessments also provided an external measure of student performance. The external assessments, including the Connecticut Mastery Test, along with the internal school and district-based assessments, continue to provide indicators of progress for each student. In the area of special education, administrators and teachers collaborated together to improve the implementation of inclusive practices for students with disabilities to have access to the regular education curriculum. Full implementation of the Scientifically Research-Based Intervention (SRBI) was facilitated through the use of the ARRA Grant, including professional development, data collection software, and SRBI intervention strategies. Funds were also used to enhance the availability of assistive technology devices and to provide students with special needs in Pre-K through grade eight access to Smart Board Technology, Plans for Project Lead the Way, a rigorous curriculum integrating science, mathematics, engineering, and technology, became a reality with the generous funding from the Easton Learning Foundation. Students in grades six, seven, and eight will participate in learning modules beginning with the 2010-11 academic year. New teachers participate in a comprehensive teacher induction plan called Teacher Education and Mentoring Program (TEAM), formerly known as Beginning Educator Support and Training Program (BEST). Mentors are matched with beginning teachers in the same content area. Several meetings are scheduled throughout the year with planned focus topics, including instruction, assessment, and classroom management. A district coordinator supports the beginning teachers by organizing observations between mentors and the beginning teachers. In addition to planning focus meetings throughout the year, training is provided prior to the opening of school.