STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2010-11

Danbury School District

SALVATORE PASCARELLA, Superintendent

Telephone: (203) 797-4701

Location: 63 Beaver Brook Road Danbury, Connecticut

Website: www.danbury.k12.ct.us/

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at www.sde.ct.gov.

COMMUNITY DATA

County: Fairfield

Town Population in 2000: 74,848 1990-2000 Population Growth: 14.1% Number of Public Schools: 17 Per Capita Income in 2000: \$24,500

Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000*: 23.2% Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000*: 11.6% District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 91.0%

District Reference Group (DRG): H DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Enrollment on October 1, 2010 10,343 5-Year Enrollment Change 8.2% Grade Range PK - 12

INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in District	Percent		
		District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	4,657	45.0	53.0	34.1
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	1,939	19.0	12.7	5.6
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	379	3.7	3.3	4.0
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	1,125	10.9	11.0	11.4
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	656	77.9	75.1	80.2
Homeless	31	0.3	0.3	0.3
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	158	17.0	15.5	13.2

^{*53.6 %} of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

^{*}To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity				
Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent		
American Indian	10	0.1		
Asian American	813	7.9		
Black	933	9.0		
Hispanic	3,746	36.2		
Pacific Islander	1	0.0		
White	4,722	45.7		
Two or more races	118	1.1		
Total Minority	5,621	54.3		

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 10.9%

Non-English Home Language:

38.9% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 53.

EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

Danbury Public Schools is one of the most racial, ethnic and economically balanced districts in the state. The community celebrates its diversity and works hard to ensure its schools are meeting the needs of all students. We have an ESL Reception Center that welcomes our non-English speaking students and helps their parents adjust toour schools' culture. We also work closely with community groups such as the Hispanic Center, the HordFoundation, the Latino Scholarship Fund, the Danbury School and Business Collaborative, Danbury Children First and other groups to assist parents and students in mastering the transition to Danbury Public Schools. The Academy of International Studies Magnet School opened in 2006. This K-5 school serves students from the Greater Danbury Area. It mirrors the diversity of Danbury Public Schools. The theme of the school incorporateslearning the Spanish language and while also maintaining an international focus. In addition, our school worksclosely with the surrounding communities on a host of student exchange programs. The District continues to work at improving it percentage of minority employees. Focus has been given to participating in state-based career job fairs as a potential source of minority teaching candidates. We have had significant success in our efforts to diversify our workforce.

STUDENT PERFORMANCE

Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

W M Grade 4 R	Reading Writing Mathematics	52.6 56.6	58.4		
M Grade 4 R		56.6		20.6	tests who were enrolled in the district at the
Grade 4 R	Mathematics	50.0	61.1	24.8	time of testing,
	viationatics	64.0	63.0	39.8	regardless of the length
V	Reading	52.2	62.5	17.2	of time they were enrolled in the district.
	Writing	58.9	65.5	19.5	Results for fewer than
N	Mathematics	67.0	67.0	35.4	20 students are not
Grade 5 R	Reading	54.2	61.4	21.5	presented.
V	Writing	58.9	66.8	18.4	7
N	Mathematics	77.6	72.5	44.8	
S	Science	45.3	59.9	14.7	For more detailed CMT results, go to
Grade 6 R	Reading	64.9	76.0	13.7	www.ctreports.
V	Writing	47.9	65.2	10.7	7
N	Mathematics	64.6	71.3	20.8	7
Grade 7 R	Reading	72.2	77.8	21.0	To see the NCLB
V	Writing	49.0	58.9	19.0	Report Card for this
N	Mathematics	58.7	68.4	21.8	school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and
Grade 8 R	Reading	71.9	74.7	28.7	click on "No Child Left
V	Writing	60.9	64.8	27.4	Behind."
N	Mathematics	61.1	66.6	25.5	7
S	Science	57.9	63.1	24.8	

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scorable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	23.3	44.7	13.6
Writing Across the Disciplines	36.7	61.2	10.5
Mathematics	26.0	49.5	15.0
Science	27.5	47.0	15.8

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Physical Fitness. The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All Four Tests	District		% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
Tests			Standard
	31.9	51.0	11.6

SAT® I: Reasoning Test Class of 2010		District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Scores
% of Graduates Tes	ted	66.1	70.6	
Average Score	Mathematics	489	510	29.8
	Critical Reading	494	505	34.4
	Writing	501	510	39.7

SAT® I. The lowest possible score on each SAT® I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Adjusted Cohort Rate 2010	74.7	81.8	15.3
2009-10 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	4.1	2.8	10.8

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	78.2	84.8
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	3.9	9.1

RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

DISTRICT STAFF

Full-Time Equivalent Count of School Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	580.30
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	61.53
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	91.08
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	196.20
Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants	29.60
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs District Central Office School Level	6.00 39.20
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	23.80
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	49.00
School Nurses	20.60
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	358.80

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	12.0	13.6	13.9
% with Master's Degree or Above	78.1	79.8	79.0

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	19.5	19.4	18.4
Grade 2	21.8	20.6	19.9
Grade 5	22.4	22.0	21.2
Grade 7	21.4	20.9	20.6
High School	14.0	18.7	19.3

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	966	968	992
Middle School	982	992	1,017
High School	998	1,009	1,010

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be
offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten, and
450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	3.6	3.5	3.1
Middle School	3.0	3.1	2.4
High School	6.0	2.8	2.2

^{*}Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2009-10

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures All figures are unaudited.	Total (in 1000s)	F	Per Pupil		
		District	PK-12 Districts	DRG	State
Instructional Staff and Services	\$81,265	\$7,988	\$8,232	\$8,676	\$8,237
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$3,919	\$385	\$299	\$252	\$300
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$3,995	\$393	\$477	\$418	\$463
Student Support Services	\$6,704	\$659	\$875	\$839	\$872
Administration and Support Services	\$10,664	\$1,048	\$1,433	\$1,488	\$1,459
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$9,038	\$888	\$1,421	\$1,355	\$1,410
Transportation	\$6,651	\$597	\$701	\$619	\$692
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	\$2,262	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$886	\$87	\$161	\$105	\$159
Total	\$125,382	\$11,943	\$13,878	\$13,795	\$13,780
Additional Expenditures					_
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$4,493	\$442	\$1,622	\$1,182	\$1,616

Special Education Expenditures	District Total	Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education		• 1
		District	DRG	State
	\$22,783,908	18.2	21.1	21.5

Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source. Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	66.1	25.5	7.8	0.6
Excluding School Construction	67.8	23.5	8.1	0.6

EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

The Board of Education ensures that each school within the school district receives a base level of support forpersonnel and instructional supplies. Student enrollment and class size determine the level of funding for personnel. Support levels for instructional supplies are also based on a per pupil allocation. The Central Office then determines what additional personnel and instructional supplies are required for schools with specific programs and needs in addition to the base level funding. Additional allocations are budgeted for these adjustments. The criteria for determining budgets are the student enrollment and program needs. Budgets for the maintenance of our facilities and the purchase of equipment are evaluated at Central Office. The District, being a Priority School District, also receives a significant amount of funding for a specifically targeted population and program. These funds and other funds are allocated in keeping with the goals and objectives of the grant.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible 1,116
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities 11.1%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities						
Disability	isability Count District Percent DRG Percent					
Autism	96	1.0	0.9	1.1		
Learning Disability	470	4.7	3.9	3.9		
Intellectual Disability	44	0.4	0.5	0.4		
Emotional Disturbance	59	0.6	0.9	1.0		
Speech Impairment	156	1.5	2.2	2.2		
Other Health Impairment*	169	1.7	1.9	2.1		
Other Disabilities**	122	1.2	1.3	0.9		
Total	1,116	11.1	11.4	11.6		

^{*}Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

^{**}Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2009-10 with a Standard Diploma	59.7	62.5
2009-10 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	N/A	3.9

STATE ASSESSMENTS

Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students with	Students with Disabilities		udents
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	30.4	33.0	60.9	68.6
	Writing	12.9	19.3	55.5	63.7
	Mathematics	34.5	33.4	65.7	68.2
	Science	17.9	21.2	51.4	61.5
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	23.3	44.7
	Writing Across the Disciplines	3.2	17.3	36.7	61.2
	Mathematics	N/A	N/A	26.0	49.5
	Science	2.8	13.1	27.5	47.0

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools			
CMT	% Without Accommodations	20.9	
	% With Accommodations	79.1	
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	44.6	
	% With Accommodations	55.4	
% Assessed U	% Assessed Using Skills Checklist 12.0		

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with non-disabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District's Schools					
Placement Count Percent					
Public Schools in Other Districts	0	0.0			
Private Schools or Other Settings	65	5.8			

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers

Time Spent with Non-Disabled Peers	Count of Students	Percent of Students		
		District	DRG	State
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	858	76.9	71.2	74.1
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	184	16.5	13.9	14.9
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	74	6.6	14.9	11.0

SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

CMTThe District continues to implement its District Enhancement Plan with very good results. Notable gains have been achieved; however, the achievement gap continues remains our major area of focus. This past year, our district demonstrated exceptional growth in all grades tested and in all areas tested. We believe that this growth is directly attributable to our efforts to unify all instructional leadership efforts within the district.CAPTThe significant effort that has been made to address the issue of student participation rates for the CAPT assessment is achieving its intended purpose. We are now consistently meeting the participation rate. While student performance in reading, writing, mathematics and science reflects mixed results, we believe that the recent addition of a new principal, the implementation of the position of Associate Principal for Instruction and the introduction of Department Heads will lead to greater academic gains and more continuity of effort.