STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2007-08

Willington School District

DAVID C. HARDING, Superintendent Location: 40 Old Farms Road

Telephone: (860) 487-3130 Willington,
Connecticut

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at www.sde.ct.gov.

COMMUNITY DATA

County: Tolland Per Capita Income in 2000: \$27,062

Town Population in 2000: 5,959 Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000*: 10.5% 1990-2000 Population Growth: -0.3% Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000*: 1% District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 96.7%

District Reference Group (DRG): E DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Enrollment on October 1, 2007 599 Grade Range PK- 8 5-Year Enrollment Change -1.0%

INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in	Percent		
	District	District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	65	10.9	9.7	28.7
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	1	0.2	0.5	5.4
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	32	5.3	4.3	4.0
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	87	14.5	11.3	11.4
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	49	86.0	82.5	79.2
Homeless	0	0.0	0.0	0.2
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

^{*84.4%} of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

^{*}To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity				
Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent		
American Indian	0	0.0		
Asian American	19	3.2		
Black	7	1.2		
Hispanic	26	4.3		
White	547	91.3		
Total Minority	52	8.7		

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 3.1%

Non-English Home Language: 2.4% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 7.

EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

Though the school district population contains some degree of racial, ethnic and economic diversity, we are a geographical distance from districts which might offer magnet or interdisciplinary programs for our students. However, we are fortunate to be near the University of Connecticut and profit from its broad range of programs, staff and students.

A Wide Area Network (WAN) and classroom accessibility to the Internet has expanded our students' contact with other schools and a more diverse population of students. Continuing a character education program which emphasizes accepting differences and treating all people with respect and kindness has broadened students' sensitivities to diversity. Teachers in grades four and five have implemented the "Responsive Classroom." Our teachers strive to include a variety of multicultural lessons and materials within every curriculum area. In grades kindergarten through eight, Willington students study Spanish with an emphasis on cultural and language experience.

STUDENT PERFORMANCE

Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade and CMT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Grade 3 Reading	47.3	52.0	24.5
Writing	66.7	63.4	38.7
Mathematics	47.3	60.0	13.5
Grade 4 Reading	45.3	55.9	17.1
Writing	52.8	62.9	13.2
Mathematics	60.4	60.3	36.5
Grade 5 Reading	75.8	62.2	67.9
Writing	56.1	64.5	21.0
Mathematics	84.8	65.9	80.9
Science	69.7	54.9	59.3
Grade 6 Reading	78.9	66.3	67.3
Writing	67.5	61.9	51.8
Mathematics	79.2	66.4	66.1
Grade 7 Reading	78.3	71.1	43.9
Writing	72.5	62.0	58.7
Mathematics	82.6	63.0	78.1
Grade 8 Reading	68.7	64.8	40.9
Writing	73.8	63.4	53.5
Mathematics	72.6	60.8	52.2
Science	60.7	58.6	34.6

These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the district. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

For more detailed CMT results, go to www.ctreports.

To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to <u>www.sde.ct.gov</u> and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	N/A
Writing Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Physical Fitness. The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
Four Tests	53.3	36.1	90.9

SAT® I: Reasonin Class of 2007	g Test	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or	
% of Graduates Te	sted	N/A	N/A	Lower Scores	
Average Score	Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Critical Reading	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Writing	N/A	N/A	N/A	

SAT[®] **I.** The lowest possible score on each SAT[®] I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Class of 2007	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative Four-Year Dropout Rate for Class of 2007	N/A	N/A	N/A
2006-07 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	N/A	N/A	N/A

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	N/A	N/A
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	N/A	N/A

RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

DISTRICT STAFF

Full-Time Equivalent Count of District Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	47.00
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	5.00
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	6.00
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	10.00
Library/Media Specialists and Assistants	2.00
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	2.00
School Level	2.00
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	1.80
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	2.00
School Nurses	2.00
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	40.80

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	14.3	14.5	13.6
% with Master's Degree or Above	87.3	78.1	75.6

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	19.0	15.7	18.1
Grade 2	16.3	16.8	19.3
Grade 5	17.3	19.9	20.9
Grade 7	17.5	19.6	20.5
High School	N/A	N/A	N/A

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	1,020	984	987
Middle School	1,040	1,012	1,017
High School	N/A	N/A	N/A

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be
offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten,
and 450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	2.5	3.0	3.4
Middle School	2.2	2.5	2.7
High School	N/A	N/A	N/A

^{*}Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2006-07

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures	Total	Expenditures Per Pupil			
All figures are unaudited.	(in 1000s)	District	Elementary Districts	DRG	State
Instructional Staff and Services	\$4,653	\$7,834	\$7,141	\$6,656	\$7,159
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$149	\$252	\$314	\$302	\$266
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$194	\$326	\$219	\$364	\$429
Student Support Services	\$368	\$620	\$732	\$810	\$761
Administration and Support Services	\$957	\$1,611	\$1,370	\$1,264	\$1,271
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$632	\$1,063	\$1,146	\$1,301	\$1,322
Transportation	\$395	\$447	\$575	\$622	\$601
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out*	\$0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$1	\$2	\$62	\$154	\$145
Total*	\$7,349	\$12,352	\$12,187	\$11,756	\$12,151
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$204	\$344	\$1,737	\$1,899	\$1,882

^{*}Town total expenditures (in 1000s) for PK-12 are: Total, \$11,070; Tuition Costs, \$3,356. Total town expenditures per pupil for PK-12 are \$12,978.

Special Education Expenditures	
Total Expenditures	\$1,338,843
Percent of Total PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education	18.2%

Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source. Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	64.4	32.5	3.0	0.1
Excluding School Construction	65.0	32.1	2.8	0.1

EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

The Willington Board of Education procedures regarding distribution of district resources ensure that each school within the district receives a base level of material and financial resources. The base level of support that each school receives is determined, in part, by the approved school board budget for the year. An assessment of needs is completed by the superintendent and administrators to determine specific program needs. This assessment takes into account such factors as the student population served, the age of school facilities and student performance compared to local and state programs in order to ensure equity and address needs.

The school principals and the superintendent hold monthly meetings that include monitoring of the allocation of resources. The board of education conducts several public hearings and workshops during the budget season, allowing input from the community and parents. This facilitates an understanding of the needs of the district and ensures an equitable distribution of resources among the schools.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible	81
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities	13.9%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities						
Disability Count District Percent DRG Percent State Percent						
Autism	0	0.0	0.7	0.7		
Learning Disability	16	2.7	3.9	4.0		
Intellectual Disability	0	0.0	0.4	0.5		
Emotional Disturbance	0	0.0	0.7	1.0		
Speech Impairment	44	7.6	3.0	2.4		
Other Health Impairment*	19	3.3	1.9	2.1		
Other Disabilities**	2	0.3	0.7	0.9		
Total	81	13.9	11.2	11.5		

^{*}Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

^{**}Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2006-07 with a Standard Diploma	N/A	77.2
2006-07 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	N/A	2.8

STATE ASSESSMENTS

Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students wit	th Disabilities	All Students	
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	13.3	20.4	67.4	62.1
	Writing	14.8	19.3	65.8	63.0
	Mathematics	26.2	22.6	72.5	62.7
	Science	19.0	22.2	64.7	56.8
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Writing Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools			
CMT	% Without Accommodations	34.4	
	% With Accommodations	65.6	
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	N/A	
	% With Accommodations	N/A	
% Asse	ssed Using Skills Checklist	0.0	

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with nondisabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

Settings Other Than This District's Schools				
Placement	Count	Percent		
Public Schools in Other Districts	0	0.0		
Private Schools or Other Settings	2	2.5		

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers					
Time Spent with Non-Disabled Count of Percent of Students					
Peers	Students	District	DRG	State	
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	71	87.7	74.4	71.6	
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	8	9.9	18.8	16.6	
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	2	2.5	6.8	11.8	

SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

Willington Public School's District Improvement Plan outlines the goals and objectives of the Board of Education with regards to failure to make adequate yearly progress per NCLB. The goals and objectives of this plan were developed to address the identified needs of all students with an emphasis on the subgroup of Special Education. We are fortunate to have the commitment of the University of Connecticut and Eastern Connecticut State University to assist us in developing a comprehensive approach to literacy. We are also in the process of beginning community conversations that include engaging the community in strategies to improve literacy.

The scientifically-based research strategies outlined in the District Improvement Plan were carefully selected. They are categorized into two groups: 1) a set of new strategies that the district will be implementing for the first time in the 2008-2009 school year, 2) a set of reinforced continuation strategies that have already been used with some degree of success over the last few years, but will be reinforced or redesigned to impact the achievement of students in the identified sub-group.

The Willington Public School System will monitor the progress of this plan through the collection of data and anecdotal evidence at the district level, through analysis of progress presented by data teams, with support from the Willington Public Schools Board of Education, and with feedback from our University partners