Connecticut State Department of Education

DISTRICT PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2013–14



East Windsor School District

Theresa Kane, Superintendent • 860-623-3346 • http://www.eastwindsork12.org

District Information

| Grade Range | PK-12 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Number of Schools | 4 |
| Enrollment | 1,216 |
| Per Pupil Expenditures ¹ | \$15,426 |
| Total Expenditures ¹ | \$21,380,248 |

¹Expenditure data reflect the 2012-13 year.



Community Information

<u>CERC Town Profiles</u> provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

Related Reports/Publications

CT Reports (CMT/CAPT)

District and School Performance Reports

Special Education Annual Performance Reports

SAT®, AP®, PSAT® Report by High School (Class of 2014)

(2014® The College Board)

Contents

| Students | 1 |
|---------------------------|---|
| Educators | 2 |
| Instruction and Resources | 2 |
| Performance | 4 |
| Narratives | 6 |

Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2013-14 and include all grades offered by the district.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit EdSight.

State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.

Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been

suppressed to ensure student confidentiality. N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

Students

| October 1, 2013 Enrollment | | | |
|------------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | District | State |
| | Count | Percent of Total (%) | Percent of Total (%) |
| Female | 596 | 49.0 | 48.3 |
| Male | 620 | 51.0 | 51.6 |
| American Indian | * | * | 0.2 |
| Asian | 61 | 5.0 | 4.6 |
| Black or African American | 128 | 10.5 | 12.9 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 159 | 13.1 | 21.2 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| White | 807 | 66.4 | 58.4 |
| Two or More Races | * | * | 2.3 |
| English Language Learners | 33 | 2.7 | 5.7 |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 487 | 40.0 | 37.3 |
| Students with Disabilities ¹ | 199 | 16.4 | 12.8 |

¹Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

| Chronic | | Suspension/ | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Absenteeism ² | | Expulsion ³ | |
| Count | Rate (%) | Count | Rate (%) |
| 67 | 11.8 | 45 | 7.5 |
| 58 | 9.9 | 88 | 14.2 |
| 22 | 16.4 | 30 | 21.7 |
| 24 | 15.9 | 16 | 10.3 |
| 66 | 8.8 | 77 | 9.6 |
| * | * | 0 | 0.0 |
| 82 | 17.7 | 74 | 14.2 |
| 38 | 18.0 | 31 | 13.1 |
| 125 | 10.9 | 133 | 10.9 |
| | 10.8 | | 7.4 |
| | Absen Count 67 58 22 24 66 * 82 38 | Absenteeism ² Count Rate (%) 67 11.8 58 9.9 22 16.4 24 15.9 66 8.8 * * 82 17.7 38 18.0 125 10.9 | Absenteeism ² Expunce Count Rate (%) Count 67 11.8 45 58 9.9 88 22 16.4 30 24 15.9 16 66 8.8 77 * * 0 82 17.7 74 38 18.0 31 125 10.9 133 |

Number of students in 2012-13 qualified as truant under state statute: 4

²A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

³The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

Educators

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)1 Staff

| | FTE |
|----------------------------------------------------------|------|
| General Education | |
| Teachers and Instructors | 87.9 |
| Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants | 21.0 |
| Special Education | |
| Teachers and Instructors | 17.0 |
| Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants | 35.0 |
| Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs | |
| District Central Office | 3.0 |
| School Level | 5.1 |
| Library/Media | |
| Specialists (Certified) | 2.0 |
| Support Staff | 2.0 |
| Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers | 6.0 |
| Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists | 8.0 |
| School Nurses | 6.0 |
| Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support | 34.0 |

¹In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

Educators by Race/Ethnicity

| | District | | State |
|------------------------------|----------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Count | Percent of Total (%) | Percent of Total (%) |
| Asian | 1 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| Black or African American | 0 | 0 | 3.5 |
| Hispanic | 0 | 0 | 3.6 |
| Native American | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |
| White | 129 | 99.2 | 91.7 |

Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers²

| | Percent of Total (%) | |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| District 96.9 | | |
| District Poverty Quartile: Middle | | |
| State High Poverty Quartile Schools 97.8 | | |
| State Low Poverty Quartile Schools 99.5 | | |

²Core academic classes taught by teachers who are fully certified to teach in that subject area.

Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2012-13

| | District | State |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-------|
| Average Number of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time | 11.3 | 9.3 |

Instruction and Resources

11th and 12th Graders Who Enrolled in at Least Two Advanced Placement® or International Baccalaureate® Courses during High School³

| | 11th | | 12th | |
|------------------------------------------|----------------|------|-------|----------|
| | Count Rate (%) | | Count | Rate (%) |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | * |
| Hispanic or Latino | * | * | 0 | 0 |
| White | 21 | 42.9 | 36 | 43.4 |
| English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | * | * | 8 | 27.6 |
| Students with Disabilities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| District | 26 | 31.3 | 39 | 37.5 |
| State | | 14.2 | | 26.8 |

³Effective 2014-15, this calculation will also include students who participate in dual enrollment courses and two or more courses within a career and technical education (CTE) concentration.

Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers⁴

| | Count | Rate (%) |
|----------------------------|-------|----------|
| Autism | 9 | * |
| Emotional Disturbance | * | * |
| Intellectual Disability | * | * |
| Learning Disability | 49 | 69.0 |
| Other Health Impairment | 19 | 57.6 |
| Other Disabilities | 0 | 0 |
| Speech/Language Impairment | 21 | 100.0 |
| District | 110 | 58.2 |
| State | | 69.2 |

⁴Ages 6-21

Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability¹

| | Dis | State | |
|-------------------------------|-------|----------|----------|
| | Count | Rate (%) | Rate (%) |
| Autism | 18 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Emotional Disturbance | 25 | 1.9 | 1.0 |
| Intellectual Disability | 12 | 0.9 | 0.4 |
| Learning Disability | 71 | 5.5 | 4.2 |
| Other Health Impairment | 33 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Other Disabilities | 12 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| Speech/Language Impairment | 27 | 2.1 | 1.9 |
| All Disabilities | 198 | 15.2 | 12.4 |

Students with Disabilities Placed Outside of the District²

| | District | | State |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | Count | Rate (%) | Rate (%) |
| Public Schools in Other Districts | 9 | 4.5 | 2.8 |
| Private Schools or Other Settings | 20 | 10.1 | 8.1 |

²Grades K-12

Overall Expenditures: 2012-13

| | | Per Pupil | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|--|
| | Total (\$) | District (\$) | State (\$) | |
| Instructional Staff and Services | 11,654,152 | 9,286 | 8,769 | |
| Instructional Supplies and Equipment | 310,760 | 248 | 275 | |
| Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services | 430,851 | 343 | 487 | |
| Student Support Services | 1,309,929 | 1,044 | 965 | |
| Administration and Support Services | 3,457,231 | 2,755 | 1,600 | |
| Plant Operation and Maintenance | 1,300,268 | 1,036 | 1,472 | |
| Transportation | 1,553,002 | 1,098 | 786 | |
| Costs of Students Tuitioned Out | 1,271,199 | N/A | N/A | |
| Other | 92,856 | 74 | 178 | |
| Total | 21,380,248 | 15,426 | 14,642 | |
| Additiona | al Expenditures | | | |
| Land, Buildings, and Debt Service | 0 | 0 | 1,434 | |

³Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

Special Education Expenditures: 2012-13

| | Dist | State | |
|------------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Total (\$) | Percent of Total (%) | Percent of Total (%) |
| Certified Personnel | 1,723,117 | 35.5 | 35.6 |
| Noncertified Personnel | 748,889 | 15.4 | 14.5 |
| Purchased Services | 195,493 | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| Tuition to Other Schools | 925,057 | 19.1 | 21.4 |
| Special Ed. Transportation | 661,557 | 13.6 | 8.5 |
| Other Expenditures | 598,928 | 12.3 | 14.9 |
| Total Expenditures | 4,853,041 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | | |
| PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Educ | 22.7 | 21.9 | |

Expenditures by Revenue Source:⁴ 2012-13

| | Percent of Total (%) | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Including | Excluding | | | | |
| | School | School | | | | |
| | Construction | Construction | | | | |
| Local | 64.7 | 65.5 | | | | |
| State | 32.8 | 32.1 | | | | |
| Federal | 2.4 | 2.4 | | | | |
| Tuition & Other | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | |

⁴Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction).

¹Grades K-12

Performance

District Performance Index (DPI)

A District Performance Index (DPI) for the Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT) and the Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT) is the test performance of all subjects tested in the respective assessment for all students in the district. The DPI ranges in value from 0 to 100 points. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 88 because in a district with a DPI of 88 or above, students will have performed at or above the 'goal' level on the majority of tests. Detailed reports of CMT and CAPT assessment results are available at www.ctreports.com. School and District Performance Reports produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education (CSDE) are also available online.

| CMT | DPI | | | 2013-14 | | | Note: If no | | |
|------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|-----|-------------|----------|----------------------------|
| | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | Count | DPI | Target | Achieved | data are displayed for |
| Black or African American | 52.8 | 54.2 | 60.4 | 57.9 | | | | | 2013-14, the |
| Hispanic or Latino | 61.3 | 61.5 | 67.8 | 67.4 | | | | | district |
| English Language Learners | 50.6 | 53.7 | | • | | | | | implemented the Smarter |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 59.7 | 58.9 | 67.1 | 64.4 | | | | | Balanced Field |
| Students with Disabilities | 38.1 | 31.3 | 38.8 | 35.3 | | | | | Test. |
| High Needs | 55.7 | 54.9 | 62.9 | 59.2 | | | | | - |
| District | 73.7 | 72.0 | 77.3 | 74.0 | | | | | |

| САРТ | DPI | | | 2013-14 | | | Note: If no | | |
|------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|-----|-------------|----------|----------------------------|
| | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | Count | DPI | Target | Achieved | data are |
| Black or African American | | | | 41.1 | | | | | displayed for 2013-14, the |
| Hispanic or Latino | | | | | | | | | district |
| English Language Learners | | | | | | | | | implemented the Smarter |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 56.3 | 56.5 | 57.3 | 52.7 | | | | | Balanced Field |
| Students with Disabilities | | | • | 42.3 | | | | | Test. |
| High Needs | 51.0 | 56.1 | 56.3 | 53.3 | | | | | - |
| District | 67.3 | 69.8 | 69.2 | 65.1 | | | | | |

2013 National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient¹

| READING | Grade 4 | Grade 8 | Grade 12 |
|-----------------|---------|---------|----------|
| Connecticut | 43% | 45% | 50% |
| National Public | 34% | 34% | 36% |
| MATH | Grade 4 | Grade 8 | Grade 12 |
| Connecticut | 45% | 37% | 32% |
| National Public | 41% | 34% | 25% |

¹NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across CMT/CAPT and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement CMT/CAPT results.

Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard²

| | Percent | of Studer | All Teste | d Grades | | |
|----------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------|----------|
| | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | Count | Rate (%) |
| Sit & Reach | 75.0 | 79.2 | 86.0 | 82.6 | 334 | 80.8 |
| Curl Up | 85.2 | 77.9 | 73.0 | 85.5 | 334 | 79.9 |
| Push Up | 52.3 | 62.3 | 60.0 | 73.9 | 334 | 61.4 |
| Mile Run/PACER | 76.1 | 81.8 | 80.0 | 73.9 | 334 | 78.1 |
| All Tests - District | 38.6 | 57.1 | 51.0 | 53.6 | 334 | 49.7 |
| All Tests - State | 50.2 | 50.7 | 50.3 | 53.9 | | 51.1 |

²The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

³Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

Cohort Graduation: Four-Year¹

| | | 2013-14 | | | |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|
| | Cohort Count ² | Rate (%) | Target³ (%) | Target Achieved | Target³ (%) |
| Black or African American | * | * | | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | * | * | | | |
| English Language Learners | * | 0 | | | |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 38 | 76.3 | 73.1 | Yes | 75.2 |
| Students with Disabilities | 20 | 60 | | | |
| District | 96 | 82.3 | 83.7 | No | 84.7 |
| State ⁴ | | 85.5 | | | |

¹The four-year cohort graduation rate represents the percentage of first-time 9th graders who earn a standard high school diploma within four years. Statewide, district and school results for cohorts of 2010 through 2013 are <u>available online</u>.

11th and 12th Graders Meeting Benchmark on at Least One College Readiness Exam⁵

| | Participation ⁶ | Meeting E | Benchmark |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | Rate (%) | Count | Rate (%) |
| Female | 67.4 | 24 | 27.9 |
| Male | 54.5 | 25 | 24.8 |
| Black or African American | 46.7 | * | * |
| Hispanic or Latino | * | * | * |
| White | 66.7 | 44 | 33.3 |
| English Language Learners | * | 0 | * |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 51.5 | 11 | 16.7 |
| Students with Disabilities | * | * | * |
| District | 60.4 | 49 | 26.2 |
| State | 72.9 | | 37.6 |

⁵College readiness exams and benchmark scores are as follows:

- SAT® composite score of 1550 or higher
- ACT® meets benchmark score on 3 of 4 exams (benchmark score varies by subject)
- AP® 3 or higher on any one AP® exam
- IB® 4 or higher on any one IB® exam

⁶Participation Rate equals the number of test-takers in 11th and 12th grade divided by the number of students enrolled in those grades, as a percent.

Sources:

SAT® and AP® statistics derived from data provided by the College Board.

Copyright © 2014 The College Board. www.collegeboard.org

ACT® statistics derived from data provided by ACT, Inc.

Copyright © 2015 ACT, Inc. www.act.org

IB® statistics derived from data provided by the International Baccalaureate Organization.

Copyright © International Baccalaureate Organization 2014

College Entrance and Persistence

| | Class of 2013 | Class of 2012 |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| | Entrance ⁷ | Persistence ⁸ |
| | Rate (%) | Rate (%) |
| Female | 65.9 | 86.2 |
| Male | 52.6 | 86.4 |
| Black or African American | * | * |
| Hispanic or Latino | * | * |
| White | 56.1 | 81.1 |
| English Language Learners | * | * |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 43.8 | * |
| Students with Disabilities | * | * |
| District | 59.8 | 86.3 |
| State | 72.7 | 88.5 |

⁷College entrance refers to the percent of high school graduates from the year who enrolled in college any time during the first year after high school.

Source: National Student Clearinghouse

²Cohort count includes all students in the cohort as of the end of the 2012-13 school year.

³Targets are calculated when there are at least 20 students in a cohort in the base year (i.e., 2010-11).

⁴Targets are not displayed at the state level.

⁸College persistence refers to the percent of students who enrolled in college the first year after high school and returned for a second year (Freshman to Sophomore persistence).

Narratives

School District Improvement Plans and Parental Outreach Activities

The East Windsor Public School District is comprised of three schools each of whom has an active School Governance Council. The Councils are comprised of parents, staff, and administration that collaborate to shape the school improvement plan. Each plan aligns with the goals of the District Strategic Plan established by the Board of Education. These goals focus on improvement of academic achievement, provision of supports for the social and emotional well-being of our students, provision of interventions, family engagement, use of data, and the establishment of the CCSS curriculum.

A significant effort has been made to establish a full continuum of services for students that range from general education tiered interventions to special education services. A Service Team process is utilized to discuss student needs and appropriate tiered interventions. The Service Team model allows teachers to work in collaboration to solve students' challenges, impacting academic performance. In addition, special education teachers work with their general education colleagues in the Professional Learning Community (PLC) process. They engage in professional development centered on effective reading strategies and strategies for working with diverse learners in a general education setting.

Review of data is ongoing. A structured, formal SRBI format includes biweekly progress monitoring for all students not at grade level. The academic and behavioral data sets for special education students are analyzed and used to drive instructional decision-making and to inform present levels of performance.

Safe School Climate committees are in place at all three schools, working to develop consistent behavioral expectations within and among the buildings. They provide Safe School Climate units to teach students with and without disabilities about social emotional competencies such as self-awareness, self-advocacy, self-management and pro-social skills.

District Social Workers have been instrumental in working with families and increasing communication between the school and families. They provide embedded professional development to staff and administration regarding this relationship. A survey is sent out to parents at the start and end of the academic year to gauge the parents' perception of the culture within the district and the services rendered. The findings are shared with each School Governance Council and used to shape the school improvement plan.

Pro-active truancy prevention measures have been established. Administration working with the Nurse Leader and Social Worker track student absences and offer support to students and their families who demonstrate chronic absenteeism. Home visits are conducted to assist families, to problem solve barriers to attendance and high school completion, and to help families access a variety of services.

Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation

In 2015, 46 students attended this district as part of the Open Choice program. Over the past three years, 4% of the district student population was comprised of Open Choice students. During that same time frame, the number of students attending magnet schools has increased from 109 to 149 to a current number of 164 students.

In order to support the attendance of Open Choice students and their families at evening events, additional transportation is made available for Open House, parent-teacher conferences and school performances.

Safe School Climate committees are active in each of the schools. They focus on anti-bullying measures and proactive activities to promote a positive school climate.

East Windsor High School has established a Unity Team in conjunction with CREC. Students meet to create activities to promote awareness of diversity of individuals and cultures.

In addition, the staff engaged in professional development activities regarding dignity and respect for all.

Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools

East Windsor Public School District utilizes a site based management approach. Each principal has the authority to spend the school allocation. The principal works with the school's Instructional Leadership Team comprised of school administration and teachers and the School Governance Council to decide the budget priorities. The budget priorities are brought forth to the Administrative Team comprised of all administrators in the district. Collectively, decisions are made regarding the priority of the needs within the district and the allocation of resources based on the availability of local, state and federal funds.