STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2012-13

Colchester School District

Jeffry Mathieu, Superintendent Location: 127 Norwich Avenue

> Colchester, Connecticut

Website: www.colchesterct.org

Telephone: (860) 537-7208

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at www.sde.ct.gov.

COMMUNITY DATA

Per Capita Income in 2000: \$27,038 County: New London

Town Population in 2000: 14,551 Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000*: 11.1% 1990-2000 Population Growth: 32.5%

Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000*: 0.6% Number of Public Schools: 4 District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 96.4%

District Reference Group (DRG): D DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Enrollment on October 1, 2012 2,875 5-Year Enrollment Change -11.2%

PK - 12 Grade Range

INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in District	Percent		
		District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	406	14.1	17.8	36.7
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	27	1.0	2.5	5.8
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	134	4.7	4.3	3.8
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	354	12.3	11.7	11.9
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	148	87.1	84.7	79.3
Homeless	1	0.0	0.1	0.3
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	52	9.3	14.8	12.7

^{*60.4 %} of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

^{*}To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity				
Race/Ethnicity	Percent			
American Indian	25	0.9		
Asian American	56	1.9		
Black	77	2.7		
Hispanic	151	5.3		
Pacific Islander	1	0.0		
White	2,523	87.8		
Two or more races	42	1.5		
Total Minority	352	12.2		

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 1.9%

Non-English Home Language:

1.9% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 14.

EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

Colchester School District offers opportunities for teachers and students to gain awareness of diversity, including providing experiences to connect cultures. In a community that has economic and cultural, but little racial diversity, the schools offer students extra-curricular clubs designed to reduce bias, including a Save Darfur Club, Gay Straight Alliance, Unified Sports, and Interact. Bacon Academy students participate in Connecticut Youth Forum, which allows for conversations among diverse high school students at monthly meetings. Approximately 20 students and two teachers spend six days per year working with students from 20 districts around the state. The Community Activism elective at the high school provides students with an opportunity to learn about social justice, human rights, and community building, and involves students in authentic outreach programs in nearby communities. Students had opportunities for service learning through the Afghan Songbook program, and district-wide activities in our model PBS schools help students demonstrate respect for one another's differences. Our professional development offerings include workshops to enhance faculty understanding of addressing diversity and meeting the needs of English Language Learners. Colchester teachers continue to receive recognition for distinguished teaching of topics such as Middle Eastern culture and The Holocaust. Colchester's intergenerational program pairs students with senior citizens to learn about cultural, social, and economic differences. As a HOT school, Jack Jackter Intermediate School students work with resident artists to integrate the arts into a diversity theme and provide opportunities to promote respect for one another through student-run monthly Town Meetings. Although most students attend our local high school, we have seen in increase in magnet school participation over the past years.

STUDENT PERFORMANCE

Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade a	nd CMT Subject	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal	These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable
Grade 3	Reading	62.8	56.9	44.4	tests who were enrolled in the district at the
	Writing	63.6	60.0	42.9	time of testing,
	Mathematics	65.6	61.4	42.9	regardless of the length
Grade 4	Reading	74.6	62.6	61.4	of time they were enrolled in the district.
	Writing	72.0	63.0	58.2	Results for fewer than
	Mathematics	78.3	65.1	66.5	20 students are not
Grade 5	Reading	79.3	66.9	62.1	presented.
	Writing	79.7	65.6	70.8	7
	Mathematics	80.3	69.2	57.8	
	Science	74.4	62.3	54.0	For more detailed CMT results, go to
Grade 6	Reading	86.3	73.3	67.1	www.ctreports.
	Writing	78.4	65.1	64.7	7
	Mathematics	77.4	67	55.4	7
Grade 7	Reading	89.8	78.9	72.2	To see the NCLB
	Writing	74.1	64.9	54.4	Report Card for this
	Mathematics	75.3	65.4	55.1	school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and
Grade 8	Reading	87.1	76.2	63.9	click on "No Child Left
	Writing	85.8	67.2	79.9	Behind."
	Mathematics	71.6	65.0	42.1	7
	Science	72.4	60.4	50.9	
				•	

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scorable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	57.7	48.5	51.5
Writing Across the Disciplines	69.6	62.1	43.2
Mathematics	60.6	52.4	50.0
Science	58.1	48.8	53.4

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Physical Fitness. The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All Four Tests	District		% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
	55.8	51.1	54.5

SAT® I: Reasoning Test Class of 2012		District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Scores
% of Graduates Te	sted	80.8	78.5	
Average Score	Mathematics	495	503	39.8
	Critical Reading	502	499	45.1
	Writing	506	504	43.6

SAT® I. The lowest possible score on each SAT® I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Adjusted Cohort Rate 2012	94.7	84.8	75.0
2011-12 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	0.6	2.1	50.0

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	86.3	82.6
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	8.8	9.8

RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

DISTRICT STAFF

Full-Time Equivalent Count of School Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	181.36
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	25.10
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	25.20
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	51.00
Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants	8.50
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs District Central Office School Level	3.00 9.00
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	5.60
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	16.80
School Nurses	5.50
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	126.09

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	16.0	14.6	13.9
% with Master's Degree or Above	89.1	81.6	79.8

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	21.1	17.5	18.9
Grade 2	19.4	19.4	19.8
Grade 5	23.7	21.2	21.3
Grade 7	23.0	20.1	20.2
High School	18.6	19.2	18.8

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	1,023	995	999
Middle School	1,020	1,028	1,029
High School	1,033	1,000	1,027

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be
offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten, and
450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	2.4	2.7	2.7
Middle School	2.2	2.2	2.1
High School	2.3	2.4	2.1

^{*}Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2011-12

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures All figures are unaudited.	Total (in 1000s)	Expenditures Per Puj			il
		District	PK-12 Districts	DRG	State
Instructional Staff and Services	\$22,111	\$7,329	\$8,570	\$8,294	\$8,570
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$511	\$169	\$252	\$284	\$257
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$753	\$250	\$475	\$397	\$471
Student Support Services	\$2,945	\$976	\$949	\$919	\$950
Administration and Support Services	\$3,670	\$1,217	\$1,526	\$1,450	\$1,547
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$3,697	\$1,225	\$1,466	\$1,499	\$1,459
Transportation	\$2,692	\$840	\$775	\$737	\$765
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	\$1,904	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$453	\$150	\$170	\$176	\$170
Total	\$38,737	\$12,589	\$14,444	\$14,027	\$14,333
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$2,497	\$828	\$1,405	\$1,161	\$1,398

Special Education Expenditures	District Total	Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education		•
		District	DRG	State
	\$8,703,034	22.5	22.0	21.8

Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source. Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	59.4	36.9	3.2	0.5
Excluding School Construction	57.5	38.5	3.4	0.6

EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

Colchester faces fiscal challenges resulting in an allocation of resources significantly below state and DRG averages; nonetheless, with one school for grades K-2, 3-5, 6-8 and 9-12, every student in a given grade level has comparable resources. The Board of Education strives to maximize its dollars, although Colchester ranks 144 of 166 in the state in per pupil expenditure. Our budget proposal is developed in late fall using a systematic, multilevel process involving teachers, administrators and central office. Enrollment data is closely monitored from multiple sources and a per pupil allocation for supplies and library books is set. The administrative team then determines additional resources needed at each school, such as curriculum enhancements, professional development, technology or facilities improvements. Resources needed are based on a seven-year curriculum revision cycle, and funds are allocated for textbooks and materials to implement new programs. Once the budget is developed, appropriate reductions are made "across the board," based on collaborative decision-making among all school administrators. The administrators' proposed budget is presented to the Board of Education in January for review, discussion, revision, and adoption. Town and education budgets are voted on by the community at a May referendum.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible 345
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities 11.9%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities				
Disability	Count	District Percent	DRG Percent	State Percent
Autism	35	1.2	1.4	1.3
Learning Disability	105	3.6	3.6	4.0
Intellectual Disability	16	0.6	0.3	0.4
Emotional Disturbance	41	1.4	0.9	1.0
Speech Impairment	86	3.0	2.1	2.0
Other Health Impairment*	51	1.8	2.4	2.4
Other Disabilities**	11	0.4	1.0	1.0
Total	345	11.9	11.8	12.1

^{*}Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

^{**}Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2011-12 with a Standard Diploma	75.0	64.4
2011-12 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	0.0	3.2

STATE ASSESSMENTS

Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students with	Students with Disabilities		udents
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	35.0	34.5	80.4	69.2
	Writing	28.2	19.9	75.7	64.4
	Mathematics	25.7	29.0	74.6	65.5
	Science	24.4	21.3	73.4	61.3
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	14.3	15.7	57.7	48.5
	Writing Across the Disciplines	12.0	16.7	69.6	62.1
	Mathematics	9.5	16.8	60.6	52.4
	Science	12.0	14.6	58.1	48.8

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools				
CMT	11.9			
	% With Accommodations	88.1		
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	3.7		
	% With Accommodations	96.3		
% Assessed U	sing Skills Checklist	5.6		

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with non-disabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District's Schools				
Placement	Count	Percent		
Public Schools in Other Districts	4	1.2		
Private Schools or Other Settings	21	6.1		

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by
the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers

Time Spent with Non-Disabled Peers	Count of Students	Percent of Students		dents
		District	DRG	State
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	304	88.1	74.7	72.0
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	23	6.7	16.4	16.4
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	18	5.2	8.9	11.6

SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

Cohorts of Colchester students have made significant gains in achievement on CMT since 2006, and Colchester growth exceeds the state growth in reading and math. On CMT testing Colchester students in grades 3-5 out-performed the DRG-D goal average on 7 out of 10 categories and out-performed the state average on all 10 categories of students at goal. On CMT testing Colchester students in grades 6-8 out-performed the DRG-D goal average on 7 out of 10 categories and out-performed the state average on all 10 categories at goal. On the CAPT test, students at the high school out-performed the state average on all categories. 287 Advance Placement tests were taken with 81% receiving a score of 3, 4, or 5. Bacon Academy was also recognized by the CollegeBoard, named to the CollegeBoard Honor Roll for their AP success. Colchester has been identified as a model for the state in its Positive Behavior Support initiative, and has seen a decrease in suspensions, expulsions, and behavior referrals as a result of this approach. Our highly successful C3 (Collaborative for Colchester's Children) has been commended nationally for addressing the needs of children in the community, including an innovative approach to working with community services; this year Colchester Elementary School hosts a Head Start Programs part of this partnership. The percent of student attending preschool has resent from 55.5% in 2005 to over 90% as a result of C3 initiatives. The district collaborates with UCONN's CBER research in planning instructional improvements. Reading and math leadership teams examine data, diagnose need interventions and plan strategies to improve the skills of all students. The development and refinement of common assessments to inform and guide instruction is ongoing. A district level Instructional Council meets regularly to examine student learning PK-12 and recommend instructional improvement to enhance all subject areas. Both elementary schools use a standards-based report card which gives parents clear information about their students' achievement levels in all subject areas. Teams of teachers in every school use collaborative time to examine data and student work. They then develop proposals for providing extra time and support to students in need, using the SRBI model and research based programs, assessments, and materials. The Colchester K-8 Summer School provided an opportunity for 39 regular and special education students to improve math and reading skills and reduce summer learning loss. This integrated setting for summer school also met the needs of our ESY students. Colchester's full inclusion policy effectively integrates a co-teaching model and meets the state target for student time with non-disabled peers. Co-teaching teams collaborate to plan for their student's unique learning needs, and professional development activities include strategies for meeting the needs of all students in the mainstream classroom. Colchester's Parent Collaborative works with administrators to guide the school district and increase parental involvement in a student's academic success. Parents are welcomed in all initiatives, and participate on the district Wellness Committee, principal's councils at each school, hiring committees and in transportation decisions. Parents have input into the school calendar and other topics through surveys, and data is used as part of the decision-making process. They receive up-to-date information on their child's education through use of the parent portal on Power School. Truancy Prevention: Although the high school dropout rate is low, 0.6 vs. state average of 2.6 and graduation rate is 92.8 vs. the state of 82.7 considerable efforts are made to ensure that all students graduate. In 2005 we instituted mentor homerooms consisting of 10-12 students in each homeroom to make certain that all children have an adult connection in the school. These adult connections which have been formed help us educate the students on the importance of attendance and education. The high school also has an off-site Alternative Education program for students who need an atypical learning environment. Colchester also has a School Resource Officer who works with families that exceed the attendance policy.