#### STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2011-12

## **Regional School District 15**

FRANK H. SIPPY, Superintendent

Location: 286 Whittemore Road

Middlebury, Connecticut

Telephone: (203) 758-8259

Website: www.region15.org

This regional school district serves Middlebury, Southbury

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at <a href="https://www.sde.ct.gov.">www.sde.ct.gov.</a>

### **COMMUNITY DATA**

County: New Haven

Town Population in 2000: 25,018 1990-2000 Population Growth: 13.9%

Number of Public Schools: 7

Per Capita Income in 2000: \$32,677

Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000\*: 10.7% Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000\*: 0.6% District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: N/A

District Reference Group (DRG): B DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

### STUDENT ENROLLMENT

#### DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Enrollment on October 1, 2011 4,218 5-Year Enrollment Change -7.7%

Grade Range

PK - 12

## INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in District	Percent			
		District	DRG	State	
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	153	3.6	9.1	35.2	
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	14	0.3	2.0	5.6	
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	293	6.9	6.8	4.0	
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	520	12.3	10.0	11.5	
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	232	92.1	91.3	79.8	
Homeless	0	0.0	0.1	0.3	
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	71	12.4	11.3	13.0	

<sup>\*97.6 %</sup> of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

<sup>\*</sup>To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

### SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity				
Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent		
American Indian	9	0.2		
Asian American	225	5.3		
Black	56	1.3		
Hispanic	169	4.0		
Pacific Islander	0	0.0		
White	3,744	88.8		
Two or more races	15	0.4		
Total Minority	474	11.2		

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 1.6%

#### Non-English Home Language:

3.7% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 25.

#### EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

Over the past several years, the percent of minority students attending school in Region 15 has been slowly increasing but still remains under 10% district-wide. As a result, the staff puts extra effort into ensuring our students have a variety of meaningful activities that help to reduce racial and ethnic isolation. Highlights of these efforts include active involvement in the following: Region 15 middle and high school students attend the Waterbury Interdistrict Arts Magnet School, Interdistrict grants with urban districts enhance our curriculum programs and bring together children and staff from diverse backgrounds, foreign field trips and teacher exchanges are encouraged. A significant number of school and classroom programs are held between Region 15 students and neighboring urban school districts. Our School-Home-Liaison serves as an ombudsman and helps support English language learners' families in the school community. Our district Strategic Plan advocates a strong multicultural focus in our curriculum across grade levels and all content areas. Our comprehensive Strategic Planning Process involves parents, educators and other community members so that many perspectives are considered. Administrators are working to make sure students have the support they need to be successful and are expanding staff and student awareness of how diversity can enrich our school community. Additionally, the English-Language Arts, Social Studies and Art/Music curricula focus on diverse cultures and expose students to the world of human differences.

### STUDENT PERFORMANCE

**Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Goal.** The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade an Area	nd CMT Subject	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal	These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable
Grade 3	Reading	83.4	59.2	94.4	tests who were enrolled in the district at the
	Writing	79.4	62.7	83.8	time of testing,
	Mathematics	82.8	66.5	75.0	regardless of the length
Grade 4	Reading	79.1	64.1	73.1	of time they were enrolled in the district.
	Writing	79.2	65.3	65.0	Results for fewer than
	Mathematics	82.9	68.0	71.9	20 students are not
Grade 5	Reading	87.8	67.6	86.7	presented.
	Writing	89.8	68.1	95.2	
	Mathematics	87.9	71.6	78.0	
	Science	83.5	63.9	75.6	For more detailed CMT results, go to
Grade 6	Reading	91.9	74.1	88.6	www.ctreports.
	Writing	85.5	67.4	82.5	
	Mathematics	91.1	69.3	88.6	
Grade 7	Reading	91.7	79.8	75.5	To see the NCLB
	Writing	80.6	65.6	70.8	Report Card for this school, go to
	Mathematics	84.6	68.1	72.5	www.sde.ct.gov and
Grade 8	Reading	90.8	76.8	75.5	click on "No Child Left
	Writing	85.9	68.3	80.0	Behind."
	Mathematics	81.9	67.2	62.3	7
	Science	84.7	61.9	85.0	7

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scorable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	61.5	47.5	66.9
Writing Across the Disciplines	81.6	63.0	75.4
Mathematics	68.8	49.2	77.4
Science	73.2	47.1	88.8

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

**Physical Fitness.** The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All Four Tests	District		% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
	57.5	50.6	66.0

SAT® I: Reasoning Test Class of 2011		District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Scores
% of Graduates Te	ested	92.8	77.3	
Average Score	Mathematics	547	505	82.4
	Critical Reading	537	502	77.1
	Writing	547	506	82.4

**SAT® I.** The lowest possible score on each SAT® I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Adjusted Cohort Rate 2011	91.5	82.7	60.2
2010-11 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	1.2	2.6	40.6

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	93.4	84.5
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	5.2	9.7

# RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

## **DISTRICT STAFF**

Full-Time Equivalent Count of School Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	264.70
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	36.74
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	43.80
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	72.02
Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants	11.00
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs District Central Office School Level	5.00 14.40
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	5.00
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	21.20
School Nurses	11.07
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	192.70

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	14.0	14.5	13.9
% with Master's Degree or Above	84.0	86.9	79.6

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	15.8	17.9	18.5
Grade 2	20.3	19.8	19.7
Grade 5	21.9	21.9	21.6
Grade 7	20.2	20.9	20.3
High School	18.5	20.1	19.6

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	1,006	973	993
Middle School	986	1,019	1,024
High School	1,013	999	1,024

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be
offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten, and
450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	2.4	2.8	2.8
Middle School	1.6	2.1	2.2
High School	2.1	2.3	2.1

<sup>\*</sup>Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

## **DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2010-11**

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures All figures are unaudited.	Total (in 1000s)	F			
		District	PK-12 Districts	DRG	State
Instructional Staff and Services	\$34,124	\$7,715	\$8,464	\$8,216	\$8,469
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$652	\$147	\$267	\$249	\$271
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$1,808	\$409	\$487	\$541	\$482
Student Support Services	\$5,441	\$1,230	\$901	\$970	\$901
Administration and Support Services	\$5,718	\$1,293	\$1,468	\$1,434	\$1,490
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$6,618	\$1,496	\$1,471	\$1,420	\$1,463
Transportation	\$3,580	\$791	\$735	\$649	\$724
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	\$1,568	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$1,319	\$298	\$165	\$166	\$165
Total	\$60,828	\$13,587	\$14,238	\$13,971	\$14,140
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$3,022	\$683	\$1,290	\$1,120	\$1,331

Special Education Expenditures	District Total	Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education		
		District	DRG	State
	\$13,360,574	22.0	20.9	21.7

**Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source.** Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	89.3	7.7	2.5	0.5
Excluding School Construction	89.6	7.3	2.7	0.5

## EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

The Region 15 Board of Education has a strong commitment to providing comparable district resources. Building level principals and central office administrators work together to development a budget that addresses each school's needs and also supports the goals of our district strategic plan. The Board of Education allocates financial resources based upon needs identified on an annual basis using a per pupil expenditure rate as a baseline. During the budget process, administrators, Superintendent and the Board of Education members hold community meetings to discuss funding needs and listen to the public's feedback. The principals jointly approve and recommend to the Superintendent any budget allocations or reductions that need to be made.

#### SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible 506
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities 11.9%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities						
Disability Count District Percent DRG Percent S						
Autism	56	1.3	1.3	1.2		
Learning Disability	208	4.9	3.2	3.9		
Intellectual Disability	6	0.1	0.3	0.4		
Emotional Disturbance	13	0.3	0.6	1.0		
Speech Impairment	90	2.1	1.7	2.1		
Other Health Impairment*	89	2.1	2.2	2.2		
Other Disabilities**	44	1.0	0.7	1.0		
Total	506	11.9	10.0	11.7		

<sup>\*</sup>Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

<sup>\*\*</sup>Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2010-11 with a Standard Diploma	73.2	62.4
2010-11 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	3.9	5.1

## STATE ASSESSMENTS

**Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal.** The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students with	Students with Disabilities		udents
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	54.1	36.0	87.5	70.4
	Writing	41.6	21.5	83.4	66.3
	Mathematics	40.1	31.8	85.1	68.4
	Science	46.9	23.0	84.1	62.9
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	25.9	14.5	61.5	47.5
	Writing Across the Disciplines	42.9	18.2	81.6	63.0
	Mathematics	20.8	15.4	68.8	49.2
	Science	21.4	13.6	73.2	47.1

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to <a href="www.ctreports.com">www.ctreports.com</a>. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to <a href="www.sde.ct.gov">www.sde.ct.gov</a> and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools			
CMT	% Without Accommodations	32.8	
	% With Accommodations	67.2	
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	48.9	
	% With Accommodations	51.1	
% Assessed Using Skills Checklist 4.8			

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with non-disabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District's Schools					
Placement Count Percent					
Public Schools in Other Districts	0	0.0			
Private Schools or Other Settings 19 3.8					

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers

Time Spent with Non-Disabled Peers	Count of Students	Percent of Students		
		District	DRG	State
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	371	73.3	75.7	72.1
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	102	20.2	16.5	16.3
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	33	6.5	7.8	11.7

#### SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

Region 15's Strategic Planning Process frames improvement efforts for the next several years. A central part of this process is a Strategic Planning Team made up of teachers, parents, staff members, community members, administrators and various municipal officials. A School-Home-Community Liaison position was established in an effort to help provide a bridge between parents and the schools. Additionally, a Community Connections electronic newsletter is published and shared throughout the year, three times a year a Board of Education community newsletter is received at home, and continuous communication posted on the district's website and Facebook page. These efforts increase communication and engage parents in the planning and improvement of our programs. We have also continued to provide a continuum of services for students with disabilities, while focusing on ensuring their access to the general curriculum. Schools incorporate goals regarding LRE into their site based plan and a district wide SRBI/Data team has developed a process for identifying and supporting struggling learners. Region 15 is committed to providing a high quality, concept-based curriculum to all teachers. The curriculum development and revision process ensures curriculum is current and effective. Teachers receive strong support from the Board of Education to participate in curriculum development. Students continue to perform well above state average on the CAPT. An average of 90% of high school students take the SAT's. SAT scores continue to be above state and national averages. More than 350 students participated in the Advanced Placement courses at Pomperaug High School. Of those students, 217 students took advanced placement exams and 90% of scores were 3 or above. Student results from classroom performance-based assessments at all grade levels continue to be carefully examined.