40-00 Rev. 11-6

#### STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2008-09

# **East Granby School District**

CHRISTINE MAHONEY, Superintendent Location: 33 Turkey Hills Road

Telephone: (860) 653-6486 East Granby,
Connecticut

Website: www.eastgranby.k12.ct.us

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at <a href="www.sde.ct.gov">www.sde.ct.gov</a>.

#### **COMMUNITY DATA**

County: Hartford Per Capita Income in 2000: \$30,805

Town Population in 2000: 4,745 Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000\*: 8.1% 1990-2000 Population Growth: 10.3% Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000\*: 0.4% District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 94.9%

District Reference Group (DRG): D DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

### STUDENT ENROLLMENT

#### DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Enrollment on October 1, 2008 898 Grade Range PK-12

5-Year Enrollment Change 2.2%

# INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in		Percent	
	District	District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	11	1.2	11.7	30.3
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	6	0.7	2.3	5.2
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	21	2.3	4.9	4.0
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	101	11.2	11.2	11.4
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	53	84.1	85.8	79.7
Homeless	0	0.0	0.1	0.2
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	20	17.9	22.8	19.0

<sup>\*0.0%</sup> of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

<sup>\*</sup>To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to <a href="www.sde.ct.gov">www.sde.ct.gov</a> and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

#### SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity				
Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent		
American Indian	6	0.7		
Asian American	33	3.7		
Black	41	4.6		
Hispanic	23	2.6		
White	795	88.5		
Total Minority	103	11.5		

**Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 2.3%** 

**Open Choice:** 23 student(s) attended this district as part of the Open Choice program. Open Choice brings students from urban areas to attend school in suburban or rural towns, and students from non-urban areas to attend city schools.

**Non-English Home Language:** 4.2% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 11.

#### EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

#### Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation

The East Granby Public Schools provided a variety of opportunities and experiences to reduce racial, ethnic, and economic isolation. The opportunities revolved around the participation in inter-district initiatives and programs, implementation of curricular units of study that emphasize history and multi-cultural themes and perspectives, and student participation in co- and extra- curricular activities. All East Granby personnel and students participated in workshops presented by the Anti Defamation League. Schools implemented the Responsive Classroom model, and expanded Differentiated Instruction approaches to better meet the needs of diverse learners. Students participated in the Rivers-to-the Sea inter-district program of scientific study, the inter-district kids-N-Critters program, the ESTEEM program, and the Greater Hartford Academy of Performing Arts. Elementary schools' staff and students continued a collaborative effort with Windsor and Hartford. East Granby students also participated in the Agri-Science program in Bloomfield, and other opportunities such as the Hartford-East Granby Holcomb Farm project supported by an Inter-district grant. High school students annually attend and participate in cultural programs sponsored by the Connecticut Council of Language Teachers, and the North Central Connecticut Conference (NCCC) academic and athletic contests. Several students participated in the "Challenge" and "College Now" program, learning and interacting within the diverse learning environment at the colleges. In 2008-2009, there were 26 OPEN CHOICE students enrolled in the district. East Granby High School is annually involved in programs and student trips abroad. In 2008-2009, high school students visited Quebec, Canada, and elementary students participated in a technology-based pen-pal program with students in Hartford, and Portugal. East Granby students engaged in philanthropic activities to support families in Hartford, and contributed to Shriners Hospital's Tab For Kids. East Granby Creative Arts Council also sponsored assembly programs and activities to enhance the diverse learning experiences of our students.

#### STUDENT PERFORMANCE

Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade and CMT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Grade 3 Reading	73.6	54.6	78.6
Writing	79.2	62.5	78.6
Mathematics	77.8	62.8	69.8
Grade 4 Reading	74.6	60.7	68.7
Writing	81.2	64.2	83.6
Mathematics	73.0	63.6	59.1
Grade 5 Reading	71.6	66.0	45.3
Writing	63.4	66.5	28.4
Mathematics	76.5	68.8	55.6
Science	67.1	58.1	45.1
Grade 6 Reading	82.1	68.9	62.6
Writing	55.9	62.2	25.2
Mathematics	77.3	68.8	51.5
Grade 7 Reading	87.5	74.9	73.2
Writing	68.5	62.9	47.8
Mathematics	84.9	66.0	80.9
Grade 8 Reading	82.2	68.4	66.5
Writing	83.8	66.5	78.7
Mathematics	86.5	64.5	83.9
Science	91.9	60.6	98.7

These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the district. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

For more detailed CMT results, go to www.ctreports.

To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to <u>www.sde.ct.gov</u> and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	57.3	47.4	59.8
Writing Across the Disciplines	76.1	55.0	81.7
Mathematics	64.8	47.8	70.2
Science	51.7	42.8	58.8

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Physical Fitness. The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
Four Tests	30.2	36.2	31.1

SAT® I: Reasonin Class of 2008	g Test	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or	
% of Graduates Te	ested	81.3	74.5	Lower Scores	
Average Score	Mathematics	562	507	89.1	
	Critical Reading	534	503	79.8	
	Writing	523	506	65.9	

**SAT**<sup>®</sup> **I.** The lowest possible score on each SAT<sup>®</sup> I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Class of 2008	97.0	92.1	70.2
Cumulative Four-Year Dropout Rate for Class of 2008	2.7	6.6	65.7
2007-08 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	0.8	2.5	63.5

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	87.5	84.1
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	12.5	11.0

# RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

# DISTRICT STAFF

Full-Time Equivalent Count of District Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	59.40
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	4.40
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	8.50
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	31.30
Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants	3.80
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	2.00
School Level	4.65
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	0.00
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	4.30
School Nurses	3.00
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	28.45

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	15.9	14.1	13.6
% with Master's Degree or Above	77.5	75.1	76.1

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	15.8	17.5	18.3
Grade 2	19.5	19.0	19.3
Grade 5	20.0	20.9	21.0
Grade 7	18.5	20.7	20.5
High School	16.4	20.0	19.3

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	958	986	988
Middle School	1,063	1,026	1,016
High School	1,099	1,008	1,007

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be
offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten,
and 450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	5.8	3.7	3.3
Middle School	1.7	3.0	2.6
High School	2.2	3.0	2.4

<sup>\*</sup>Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

# **DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2007-08**

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures	Total	Expenditures Per Pupil				
All figures are unaudited.	(in 1000s)	District	PK-12 Districts	DRG	State	
Instructional Staff and Services	\$7,219	\$7,924	\$7,521	\$7,079	\$7,522	
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$333	\$365	\$267	\$266	\$271	
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$592	\$649	\$461	\$372	\$446	
Student Support Services	\$607	\$666	\$808	\$754	\$806	
Administration and Support Services	\$1,637	\$1,797	\$1,351	\$1,261	\$1,369	
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$1,176	\$1,291	\$1,382	\$1,261	\$1,377	
Transportation	\$507	\$530	\$649	\$590	\$644	
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	\$426	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Other	\$179	\$196	\$152	\$151	\$151	
Total	\$12,675	\$13,688	\$12,869	\$12,042	\$12,805	
Additional Expenditures						
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$685	\$752	\$1,791	\$1,047	\$1,759	

Special Education	District Total	Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education				
Expenditures		District	DRG	State		
	\$2,277,087	18.0	20.6	20.5		

**Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source.** Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	84.8	13.7	1.3	0.2
Excluding School Construction	84.8	13.6	1.3	0.3

#### EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

Equitable Allocation of Resources Among District Schools

East Granby is a small district of approximately 929 students, K-12, in four schools. Each school is administratively and programmatically distinct. The East Granby Public Schools budget provided resources that met funding requirements at a level to sustain educational programs. Despite the challenges of current economic times, the community supported and approved a \$12,738,000 budget which represented a 6.00% increase for school year, 2008-2009. Non-recurring capital fund appropriations were approved to address school facility infrastructure, technology, and the elementary school construction and renovation project that will improve the elementary school facilities in East Granby.

Decisions regarding allocation of district resources emanate from discussions at the leadership level that identify district initiatives to impact improved student performance. The operating budget allocations are based upon building level needs with input from faculty and administration. The district budget is developed collaboratively by the Superintendent, and approved by the BOE. Decisions are influenced by factors such as projected enrollment, school program, new district and school level initiatives, ongoing need for consumables, school accreditation requirements, assessment results, curriculum revisions, age of materials, and the needs of school facilities

#### SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible	102
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities	11.3%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities					
Disability Count District Percent DRG Percent S					
Autism	7	0.8	1.0	0.8	
Learning Disability	53	5.9	3.3	3.9	
Intellectual Disability	3	0.3	0.4	0.5	
Emotional Disturbance	3	0.3	0.9	1.0	
Speech Impairment	17	1.9	2.5	2.3	
Other Health Impairment*	16	1.8	2.2	2.1	
Other Disabilities**	3	0.3	0.9	0.9	
Total	102	11.3	11.2	11.6	

<sup>\*</sup>Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

<sup>\*\*</sup>Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2007-08 with a Standard Diploma	N/A	81.4
2007-08 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	N/A	3.5

#### STATE ASSESSMENTS

**Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal.** The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students wit	Students with Disabilities		udents
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	28.8	30.2	78.5	65.7
	Writing	15.8	19.5	71.8	64.1
	Mathematics	37.7	30.7	79.5	65.7
	Science	50.0	23.8	78.8	59.4
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	57.3	47.4
	Writing Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	76.1	55.0
	Mathematics	N/A	N/A	64.8	47.8
	Science	N/A	N/A	51.7	42.8

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to <a href="www.ctreports.com">www.ctreports.com</a>. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to <a href="www.sde.ct.gov">www.sde.ct.gov</a> and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools				
CMT	% Without Accommodations	14.3		
% With Accommodations 85.7				
CAPT	CAPT % Without Accommodations			
% With Accommodations 80.0				
% Asse	ssed Using Skills Checklist	5.8		

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with nondisabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District's Schools				
Placement Count Percent				
Public Schools in Other Districts	0	0.0		
Private Schools or Other Settings	3	2.9		

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers					
Time Spent with Non-Disabled Count of Percent of Students					
Peers	Students	District	DRG	State	
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	98	96.1	75.5	72.7	
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	0	0.0	15.2	16.1	
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	4	3.9	9.3	11.2	

#### SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

School District Improvement Plans and Activities

The East Granby Public Schools, in 2008–2009, placed district-wide emphasis on technology integration and application, improvement of instructional pedagogy and student performance, the Response to Intervention (RTI) model, and increased parent involvement. The initiatives and data analysis results informed the improvement process and allowed teachers at each grade level to identify specific objectives and establish performance expectations. Critical support was provided to propel implementation of classroom interventions, elementary teachers participated in advanced training with a literacy consultant, and training continued in the integration of technology.

In the area of Special Education, efforts were focused on moving students with disabilities to higher levels of performance as outlined in the Individual Education Plans. An inclusive professional development program focused discussions to develop strategies and initiatives to successfully implement a collaborative model of service for students with autism and other special needs. Specialized training was provided for paraprofessionals to enhance their ability to support the full implementation of initiatives. The pre-school program continued its efforts to implement the early literacy program involving parents as partners. At the elementary levels, literacy initiatives continued to be developed using data indicating early identification and implementation of specialized reading and math interventions. At the middle and high school levels, the training initiative addressing Differentiated Instruction continued at advanced levels, and more programs were developed to involve at-risk students in a hands-on interactive model integrating the curriculum.

Efforts to achieve the district goal of increased parental involvement were targeted at every level. Throughout the year, parents of pre-school children were involved in workshops about the Connecticut state benchmarks for pre-school. The district sponsored workshops on literacy, autism, behavior management, and transitional planning. There was a critical Parent Advisory group at each school level as well as a Parent Teacher Organizations in the elementary and middle schools. Parents participated in annual open house/academic nights, and collaborative conferences, and gave constructive input in discussions about school goals, and school improvement efforts. Parent Booster organizations supported the academic, co- and extra-curricular programs.

EDLINE was successfully implemented district wide as a tool to further the goal of increased parent participation and communication. In 2008 – 2009, parents gained access to a broader range of information via EDLINE, and were able to monitor their child's academic progress. Additional technology was introduced in each school. Training sessions, supported by local and state grant funding, engaged students, teachers, administrators and parents. Teachers expanded their use of technology utilizing SMART boards, mobile computer labs, SENTEOS, ALPHASMARTS, and a variety of new software. District and school administrators communicate regularly with parents via the website, school newsletters, and other informational mailings. A district brochure describes each school, and the district Ed-lines publication communicates school district news and events to the broader community.

In 2008-2009, the East Granby community pledged continued support and voted to finance a major construction and renovation project at the elementary schools, setting the stage for learning in facilities that support the implementation of a rigorous comprehensive curriculum that will ensure that students have meaningful opportunities to acquire and demonstrate 21st century knowledge and skills.