Connecticut State Department of Education

DISTRICT PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2013–14



Hartland School District

Mr. Anthony Distasio, Superintendent • 860-653-7207 • http://hartlandschool.com

District Information

PK-8
1
205
\$15,210
\$3,589,508

¹Expenditure data reflect the 2012-13 year.



Community Information

<u>CERC Town Profiles</u> provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

Related Reports/Publications

CT Reports (CMT/CAPT)

District and School Perform

District and School Performance Reports
Special Education Annual Performance Reports

Contents

Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2013-14 and include all grades offered by the district.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit EdSight.

State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.

Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been suppressed to ensure student confidentiality. N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

Students

October 1, 2013 Enrollment			
		District	State
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
Female	95	46.3	48.3
Male	110	53.7	51.6
American Indian	*	*	0.2
Asian	*	*	4.6
Black or African American	*	*	12.9
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	21.2
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.0
White	192	93.7	58.4
Two or More Races	*	*	2.3
English Language Learners	0	0.0	5.7
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	11	5.4	37.3
Students with Disabilities ¹	27	13.2	12.8

¹Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

	Chronic		Suspe	pension/	
	Absenteeism ²		Expu	lsion ³	
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)	
Female	*	*	0	0.0	
Male	*	*	*	*	
Black or African American	*	*	0	*	
Hispanic or Latino	0	*	0	*	
White	6	3.2	*	*	
English Language Learners	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	*	*	0	*	
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	*	
District	10	5.1	*	*	
State		10.8		7.4	

Number of students in 2012-13 qualified as truant under state statute: 0

²A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

³The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

Educators

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)¹ Staff

	FTE
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	18.4
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	3.4
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	1.0
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	1.0
Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	0.4
School Level	1.0
Library/Media	
Specialists (Certified)	1.0
Support Staff	0.0
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers	0.2
Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists	1.0
School Nurses	1.0
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support	6.3

¹In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

Educators by Race/Ethnicity

	District		State
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
Asian	0	0	1.0
Black or African American	0	0	3.5
Hispanic	0	0	3.6
Native American	0	0	0.1
White	25	100.0	91.7

Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers²

	Percent of Total (%)			
District	100.0			
District Poverty Quartile: Low				
State High Poverty Quartile Schools 97.8				
State Low Poverty Quartile Schools	99.5			

²Core academic classes taught by teachers who are fully certified to teach in that subject area.

Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2012-13

	District	State
Average Number of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time	4.7	9.3

Instruction and Resources

Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers⁴

	Count	Rate (%)
Autism	*	*
Emotional Disturbance	0	0
Intellectual Disability	*	*
Learning Disability	14	*
Other Health Impairment	0	0
Other Disabilities	*	*
Speech/Language Impairment	8	*
District	28	90.3
State		69.2

⁴Ages 6-21

Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability¹

	Di	State	
	Count	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Autism	*	*	1.4
Emotional Disturbance	*	*	1.0
Intellectual Disability	*	*	0.4
Learning Disability	14	5.0	4.2
Other Health Impairment	*	*	2.5
Other Disabilities	*	*	1.0
Speech/Language Impairment	9	3.2	1.9
All Disabilities	32	11.4	12.4

Students with Disabilities Placed Outside of the District²

	Dis	State	
	Count	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Public Schools in Other Districts	*	*	2.8
Private Schools or Other Settings	*	*	8.1

²Grades K-12

Overall Expenditures: 2012-13

		Per Pupil		
	Total (\$)	District (\$)	State (\$)	
Instructional Staff and Services	1,731,118	8,089	8,769	
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	57,570	269	275	
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	176,176	823	487	
Student Support Services	395,632	1,849	965	
Administration and Support Services	435,311	2,034	1,600	
Plant Operation and Maintenance	292,937	1,369	1,472	
Transportation	247,395	830	786	
Costs of Students Tuitioned Out	115,648	N/A	N/A	
Other	137,721	644	178	
Total	3,589,508	15,210	14,642	
Additional Expenditures				
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	0	0	1,434	

³Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

Special Education Expenditures: 2012-13

	Disti	State	
	Total (\$)	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
Certified Personnel	0	0.0	35.6
Noncertified Personnel	0	0.0	14.5
Purchased Services	379,088	67.8	5.0
Tuition to Other Schools	154,921	27.7	21.4
Special Ed. Transportation	22,522	4.0	8.5
Other Expenditures	2,543	0.5	14.9
Total Expenditures	559,074	100.0	100.0
PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Educ	15.6	21.9	

Expenditures by Revenue Source:⁴ 2012-13

	Percent of Total (%)				
	Including	Excluding			
	School	School			
	Construction	Construction			
Local	68.1	68.1			
State	29.9	29.9			
Federal	2.0	2.0			
Tuition & Other	0.0	0.0			

⁴Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction).

¹Grades K-12

Performance

District Performance Index (DPI)

A District Performance Index (DPI) for the Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT) is the test performance of all subjects tested for all students in the district. The DPI ranges in value from 0 to 100 points. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 88 because in a district with a DPI of 88 or above, students will have performed at or above the "goal" level on the majority of tests. Detailed reports of CMT assessment results are available at www.ctreports.com. School and District Performance Reports produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education (CSDE) are also available online.

CMT	DPI			2013-14			Note: If no		
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	Count	DPI	Target	Achieved	data are
Black or African American									displayed for 2013-14, the
Hispanic or Latino									district
English Language Learners									implemented the Smarter
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals									Balanced Field
Students with Disabilities									Test.
High Needs		76.8	65.1	52.4					_
District	93.7	90.7	86.7	84.3					

2013 National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient¹

READING	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	43%	45%	50%
National Public	34%	34%	36%
MATH	Cuada 1	Cuada 0	Cunda 13
IVIAIT	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	45%	37%	32%

¹NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across CMT/CAPT and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement CMT/CAPT results.

Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard²

	Percent of Students by			All Tested Grades	
	4	6	8	Count	Rate (%)
Sit & Reach	96.8	*	95.0	68	95.6
Curl Up	100.0	*	100.0	68	100.0
Push Up	77.4	*	85.0	68	83.8
Mile Run/PACER	93.5	*	75.0	68	88.2
All Tests - District	74.2	*	70.0	68	76.5
All Tests - State	50.2	50.7	50.3		51.1

²The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

³Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

Narratives

School District Improvement Plans and Parental Outreach Activities

Hartland School enjoys a strong home-school connection, one that we work to monitor and enhance. We conduct an annual community survey to ask how we could improve communication and to monitor our Safe School Climate. The feedback we receive each year is the catalyst for the development of our Parent Feedback goal. Our website allows us to showcase school initiatives and student work. It serves as a learning resource by connecting students and families to the work of the classroom beyond the school day. Further, we regularly post information regarding school events, parenting resources, and even forms, so that they are easily available.

We believe that personal contact is vital, and begin this with our "Books for Babies" Program through which every Hartland child receives a set of books to welcome them home from the hospital with additional sets presented to children each year thereafter until they start kindergarten. Each school year is started off with a joint PTO school Open House.

We also made efforts to improve support systems that are available to students and families either through our SRBI model or through special services. Further, we have restructured the ways we document and communicate each student's response to intervention. We improved the coordination of support services such as the speech clinician, occupational therapist, school social worker and school psychologist to coordinate with classroom work and to inform parents of progress. Families of middle school students access current grading information to set goals and increase learning. Regular and timely feedback to students and families is critical to a strong home-school partnership.

Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation

Hartland School is a small preK-8 school, and is geographically isolated. The close knit community is conservative, but values efforts to support 21st century skills and dispositions in our learners. Our PTO is very supportive of field trips. We have been able to maintain our well supported Cultural Arts programs to bring in or fund visits to artists, museums, and talks that broaden children's perspectives, develop appreciation for the richness that diversity offers to life. We are embedding 21st Century literacies and habits of mind into our curriculum and the culture of the school, using digital resources to bring the world to our doorstep.

Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools

Hartland School District is a single preK-8 school, but our high school students get a voucher to the public school of their choice. Our annual budgeting process includes families identifying the chosen school, and a review of any extra services the students might need. Transportation costs are similarly identified. The budget for Hartland School is developed in a data driven process. We identify needed Special Education costs and SRBI intervention costs. Teachers and curriculum teams plan the resources to insure academic success on our benchmark measures, and ultimately, the SBA. The administration reviews enrollment and student needs in making staffing decisions.