STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2010-11

East Haven School District

ANTHONY R. SERIO, Superintendent

Telephone: (203) 468-3861

Location: 35 Wheelbarrow Lane

East Haven, Connecticut

Website: www.east-haven.k12.ct.us

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at www.sde.ct.gov.

COMMUNITY DATA

County: New Haven

Town Population in 2000: 28,189 1990-2000 Population Growth: 7.8% Number of Public Schools: 9 Per Capita Income in 2000: \$22,396

Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000*: 18.5% Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000*: 2.1% District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 90.9%

District Reference Group (DRG): G DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Enrollment on October 1, 2010 3,420 5-Year Enrollment Change -11.7% Grade Range

PK - 12

INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

| Need Indicator | Number in District | Percent | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------|------|-------|
| | | District | DRG | State |
| Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals | 1,453 | 42.5 | 40.3 | 34.1 |
| K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English | 207 | 6.3 | 3.8 | 5.6 |
| Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented* | 101 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District | 416 | 12.2 | 12.3 | 11.4 |
| Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart | 150 | 68.2 | 77.1 | 80.2 |
| Homeless | 0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week | 74 | 14.6 | 13.3 | 13.2 |

^{*43.6 %} of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

^{*}To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

| Student Race/Ethnicity | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------|---------|--|--|--|
| Race/Ethnicity | Number | Percent | | | |
| American Indian | 1 | 0.0 | | | |
| Asian American | 128 | 3.7 | | | |
| Black | 91 | 2.7 | | | |
| Hispanic | 534 | 15.6 | | | |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0 | | | |
| White | 2,651 | 77.5 | | | |
| Two or more races | 15 | 0.4 | | | |
| Total Minority | 769 | 22.5 | | | |

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 1.4%

Open Choice:

11 student(s) attended this district as part of the Open Choice program. Open Choice brings students from urban areas to attend school in suburban or rural towns, and students from non-urban areas to attend city schools.

Non-English Home Language:

11.8% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 29.

EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

East Haven continues to make reduction of racial, ethnic and economic isolation a major focus. The school district has provided many opportunities for teachers and students to embrace diversity and recognize its value in educating our students. This is evidenced in several ways. Deer Run School and Ferrara School both participate in the Open Choice Program. Through this program, students enter in kindergarten and are eligible to remain through grade twelve. The program has promoted a more diverse educational environment for all. At the East Haven Academy, students celebrate diversity through rich interdisciplinary content including exploration and sensitivity through simulated learning experiences. More than 40 East Haven students and staff are actively involved in the Southern CT Conference Diversity Dream Team Program and the Cooperative International Studies Program who meet regularly with students in both urban and suburban settings. The district has also formed a partnership with international consultant and researcher Naomi Migliacci. She provided staff with professional development on successful strategies for responsive teaching. These PD sessions provided staff the tools to be proactive and responsive to academic and social implications for our diverse student population and their families. This year a district program entitled East Haven C.A.R.E.S. was implemented to help address the needs of our growing Hispanic population. Evening meetings were planned around topics that included how parents can assist their child with reading comprehension, understanding the skills and concepts their child would be learning at each grade level and understanding the process for assessing various kids of social services and community outreach programs. Participants were able to ask questions pertinent to their families and translators were available to ensure everyone was able to comprehend, all sessions were held in their native language.

STUDENT PERFORMANCE

Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

| Grade 3 Reading 35.4 58.4 6.3 tests who were in the district at time of testing regardless of to time of testing regardless of to of time they we enrolled in the Writing 40.7 63.0 3.7 regardless of to of time they we enrolled in the Results for feve and the presented. Grade 4 Reading 40.7 62.5 7.9 Results for feve and the presented. Mathematics 44.3 67.0 7.9 20 students are presented. Grade 5 Reading 47.9 61.4 16.6 19.0 Mathematics 58.0 72.5 13.5 For more deta results, go to www.ctreports Grade 6 Reading 74.7 76.0 27.4 www.ctreports Writing 57.4 65.2 26.2 26.2 Mathematics 58.4 71.3 12.5 Grade 7 Reading 70.0 77.8 17.8 To see the NC Report Card for school, go to | reflect the f scoreable |
|---|-------------------------|
| Writing 33.5 61.1 2.5 time of testing regardless of tof time they we enrolled in the Results for fever and the presented. Grade 4 Reading 40.7 62.5 6.1 of time they we enrolled in the Results for fever and the Res | |
| Mathematics 34.7 63.0 3.7 regardless of to fitme they we enrolled in the Results for few enrolled in the Results for few enrolled in the Results for few 20 students are presented. Grade 5 Reading 47.9 61.4 16.6 Writing 59.1 66.8 19.0 Mathematics 58.0 72.5 13.5 Science 46.3 59.9 15.3 Grade 6 Reading 74.7 76.0 27.4 Writing 57.4 65.2 26.2 Mathematics 58.4 71.3 12.5 Grade 7 Reading 70.0 77.8 17.8 To see the NC Report Card for school, go to Writing 47.1 58.9 16.5 Report Card for school, go to | |
| Grade 4 Reading 40.7 62.5 6.1 enrolled in the Results for fev 20 students are presented. Mathematics 44.3 67.0 7.9 20 students are presented. Grade 5 Reading 47.9 61.4 16.6 19.0 Mathematics 58.0 72.5 13.5 For more deta results, go to www.ctreports Grade 6 Reading 74.7 76.0 27.4 www.ctreports Writing 57.4 65.2 26.2 www.ctreports Grade 7 Reading 70.0 77.8 17.8 To see the NC Report Card for school, go to Writing 47.1 58.9 16.5 school, go to | he length |
| Writing 46.4 65.5 7.9 Results for feve 20 students are presented. Grade 5 Reading 47.9 61.4 16.6 presented. Writing 59.1 66.8 19.0 19.0 Mathematics 58.0 72.5 13.5 For more deta results, go to www.ctreports Grade 6 Reading 74.7 76.0 27.4 www.ctreports Writing 57.4 65.2 26.2 Mathematics 58.4 71.3 12.5 Grade 7 Reading 70.0 77.8 17.8 To see the NC Report Card for school, go to Writing 47.1 58.9 16.5 school, go to | |
| Grade 5 Reading 47.9 61.4 16.6 Writing 59.1 66.8 19.0 Mathematics 58.0 72.5 13.5 Science 46.3 59.9 15.3 For more deta results, go to www.ctreports Grade 6 Reading 74.7 76.0 27.4 www.ctreports Writing 57.4 65.2 26.2 Mathematics 58.4 71.3 12.5 Grade 7 Reading 70.0 77.8 17.8 To see the NC Report Card for school, go to Writing 47.1 58.9 16.5 school, go to | |
| Grade 5 Reading 47.9 61.4 16.6 Writing 59.1 66.8 19.0 Mathematics 58.0 72.5 13.5 Science 46.3 59.9 15.3 For more deta results, go to www.ctreports Writing 57.4 65.2 26.2 www.ctreports Mathematics 58.4 71.3 12.5 To see the NC Report Card for school, go to Writing 47.1 58.9 16.5 school, go to | not |
| Mathematics 58.0 72.5 13.5 For more deta results, go to www.ctreports Grade 6 Reading 74.7 76.0 27.4 www.ctreports Writing 57.4 65.2 26.2 Mathematics 58.4 71.3 12.5 Grade 7 Reading 70.0 77.8 17.8 To see the NC Report Card for school, go to Writing 47.1 58.9 16.5 school, go to | |
| Science 46.3 59.9 15.3 For more deta results, go to www.ctreports Grade 6 Reading 74.7 76.0 27.4 www.ctreports Writing 57.4 65.2 26.2 Mathematics 58.4 71.3 12.5 Grade 7 Reading 70.0 77.8 17.8 To see the NC Report Card for school, go to Writing 47.1 58.9 16.5 school, go to | |
| Science 46.3 59.9 15.3 results, go to www.ctreports Grade 6 Reading 74.7 76.0 27.4 www.ctreports Writing 57.4 65.2 26.2 Mathematics 58.4 71.3 12.5 Grade 7 Reading 70.0 77.8 17.8 To see the NC Report Card for school, go to Writing 47.1 58.9 16.5 school, go to | 1 1 0 1 |
| Grade 6 Reading 74.7 76.0 27.4 www.ctreports Writing 57.4 65.2 26.2 Mathematics 58.4 71.3 12.5 Grade 7 Reading 70.0 77.8 17.8 To see the NC Report Card for school, go to Writing 47.1 58.9 16.5 school, go to | led CMT |
| Mathematics 58.4 71.3 12.5 Grade 7 Reading 70.0 77.8 17.8 To see the NC Report Card for school, go to Writing 47.1 58.9 16.5 school, go to | <u>l</u> . |
| Grade 7 Reading 70.0 77.8 17.8 To see the NC Report Card for school, go to Writing 47.1 58.9 16.5 school, go to | |
| Writing 47.1 58.9 16.5 Report Card for school, go to | |
| writing 47.1 38.5 10.5 school, go to | |
| | or this |
| Mathematics 57.1 68.4 18.6 www.sde.ct.go | v and |
| Grade 8 Reading 67.9 74.7 19.7 click on "No C | |
| Writing 41.9 64.8 8.9 Behind." | |
| Mathematics 50.2 66.6 14.0 | |
| Science 42.1 63.1 11.5 | |

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scorable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

| CAPT Subject Area | District | State | % of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal |
|--------------------------------|----------|-------|--|
| Reading Across the Disciplines | 26.0 | 44.7 | 15.2 |
| Writing Across the Disciplines | 55.6 | 61.2 | 30.1 |
| Mathematics | 30.7 | 49.5 | 18.8 |
| Science | 27.4 | 47.0 | 15.0 |

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Physical Fitness. The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

| Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All Four | District | | % of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching |
|--|----------|------|--|
| Tests | | | Standard |
| | 38.2 | 51.0 | 20.6 |

| SAT® I: Reasonin Class of 2010 | g Test | District | State | % of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Scores |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|----------|-------|--|
| % of Graduates Tes | sted | 68.9 | 70.6 | |
| Average Score | Mathematics | 453 | 510 | 14.5 |
| | Critical Reading | 460 | 505 | 15.3 |
| | Writing | 475 | 510 | 19.1 |

SAT® I. The lowest possible score on each SAT® I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

| Graduation and Dropout Rates | District | State | % of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates |
|--|----------|-------|--|
| Graduation Rate, Adjusted Cohort Rate 2010 | 87.3 | 81.8 | 43.5 |
| 2009-10 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12 | 0.9 | 2.8 | 51.1 |

| Activities of Graduates | District | State |
|--|----------|-------|
| % Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs) | 83.4 | 84.8 |
| % Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services) | 14.1 | 9.1 |

RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

DISTRICT STAFF

| Full-Time Equivalent Count of School Staff | |
|--|---------------|
| General Education | |
| Teachers and Instructors | 206.40 |
| Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants | 25.40 |
| Special Education | |
| Teachers and Instructors | 29.00 |
| Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants | 58.80 |
| Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants | 7.00 |
| Staff Devoted to Adult Education | 6.30 |
| Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs District Central Office School Level | 4.00 15.40 |
| Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists) | 5.00 |
| Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists | 18.40 |
| School Nurses | 9.60 |
| Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support | 135.00 |

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

| Teachers and Instructors | District | DRG | State |
|---|----------|------|-------|
| Average Years of Experience in Education | 14.0 | 14.8 | 13.9 |
| % with Master's Degree or Above | 81.2 | 79.6 | 79.0 |

| Average Class Size | District | DRG | State |
|--------------------|----------|------|-------|
| Grade K | 18.2 | 18.3 | 18.4 |
| Grade 2 | 20.9 | 19.5 | 19.9 |
| Grade 5 | 21.5 | 21.7 | 21.2 |
| Grade 7 | 19.1 | 19.3 | 20.6 |
| High School | 19.2 | 19.7 | 19.3 |

| Hours of Instruction Per Year* | Dist | DRG | State |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Elementary School | 951 | 985 | 992 |
| Middle School | 1,063 | 1,007 | 1,017 |
| High School | 945 | 1,011 | 1,010 |

| *State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be |
|--|
| offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten, and |
| 450 hours to half-day kindergarten students. |

| Students Per Academic Computer | Dist | DRG | State |
|-----------------------------------|------|-----|-------|
| Elementary School* | 5.3 | 3.5 | 3.1 |
| Middle School | 4.7 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| High School | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.2 |

^{*}Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2009-10

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

| Expenditures All figures are unaudited. | Total (in 1000s) | Expenditures Per Pupil | | | |
|---|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------|----------|----------|
| | | District | PK-12 Districts | DRG | State |
| Instructional Staff and Services | \$25,979 | \$7,380 | \$8,232 | \$8,256 | \$8,237 |
| Instructional Supplies and Equipment | \$546 | \$155 | \$299 | \$252 | \$300 |
| Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services | \$206 | \$59 | \$477 | \$379 | \$463 |
| Student Support Services | \$4,310 | \$1,224 | \$875 | \$945 | \$872 |
| Administration and Support Services | \$6,452 | \$1,833 | \$1,433 | \$1,360 | \$1,459 |
| Plant Operation and Maintenance | \$5,154 | \$1,464 | \$1,421 | \$1,418 | \$1,410 |
| Transportation | \$2,490 | \$622 | \$701 | \$705 | \$692 |
| Costs for Students Tuitioned Out | \$5,215 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Other | \$938 | \$266 | \$161 | \$154 | \$159 |
| Total | \$51,290 | \$13,378 | \$13,878 | \$13,783 | \$13,780 |
| Additional Expenditures | | | | | |
| Land, Buildings, and Debt Service | \$6,093 | \$1,731 | \$1,622 | \$1,523 | \$1,616 |

| Special Education Expenditures | District Total | Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|---|--|-------|
| | | District DRG State | | State |
| | \$11,562,427 | 22.2 23.2 21.5 | | 21.5 |

Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source. Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

| District Expenditures | Local Revenue | State Revenue | Federal Revenue | Tuition & Other |
|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Including School Construction | 49.3 | 36.2 | 8.6 | 5.9 |
| Excluding School Construction | 51.2 | 34.2 | 8.0 | 6.6 |

EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

The East Haven Board of Education allocates resources in a manner that ensures equity for all students while still maintaining a system that is responsive to the unique need of each school and each student. The budget development process is an inclusive one that allows all member of East Haven's educational community to have a voice. District wide issues such as staffing and curriculum revision initiatives are budgeted to ensure that every student in a particular grade level will be offered a comparable educational experience. For Example, staffing decisions are driven by the goal of equalizing class size at all grade levels across the district. Major investments continue to be made in district wide curriculum initiatives that benefit all students in every one of the district's schools. In addition to district wide funded activities, dollars are allocated on a per capital basis to principals to be used to address the individualized educational needs of their students.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible 435
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities 12.0%

| Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities | | | | | | |
|--|--|------|------|------|--|--|
| Disability | isability Count District Percent DRG Percent | | | | | |
| Autism | 39 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | | |
| Learning Disability | 110 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 3.9 | | |
| Intellectual Disability | 15 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | | |
| Emotional Disturbance | 23 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.0 | | |
| Speech Impairment | 118 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 2.2 | | |
| Other Health Impairment* | 95 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.1 | | |
| Other Disabilities** | 35 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.9 | | |
| Total | 435 | 12.0 | 12.5 | 11.6 | | |

^{*}Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

^{**}Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

| Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible | District | State |
|---|----------|-------|
| % Who Graduated in 2009-10 with a Standard Diploma | 63.3 | 62.5 |
| 2009-10 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21 | N/A | 3.9 |

STATE ASSESSMENTS

Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

| State Assessment | | Students with | Students with Disabilities | | udents |
|------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|----------|--------|
| | | District | State | District | State |
| CMT | Reading | 16.7 | 33.0 | 56.4 | 68.6 |
| | Writing | 6.7 | 19.3 | 47.5 | 63.7 |
| | Mathematics | 15.4 | 33.4 | 50.5 | 68.2 |
| | Science | 8.9 | 21.2 | 44.2 | 61.5 |
| CAPT | Reading Across the Disciplines | 5.6 | 14.1 | 26.0 | 44.7 |
| | Writing Across the Disciplines | 8.7 | 17.3 | 55.6 | 61.2 |
| | Mathematics | 6.7 | 15.8 | 30.7 | 49.5 |
| | Science | 4.0 | 13.1 | 27.4 | 47.0 |

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

| Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|------|--|
| CMT | % Without Accommodations | 17.5 | |
| | % With Accommodations | 82.5 | |
| CAPT | CAPT % Without Accommodations | | |
| % With Accommodations 63.3 | | | |
| % Assessed Using Skills Checklist 10.3 | | | |

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with non-disabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

| K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District's Schools | | | | |
|--|-------|---------|--|--|
| Placement | Count | Percent | | |
| Public Schools in Other Districts | 2 | 0.5 | | |
| Private Schools or Other Settings | 49 | 11.3 | | |

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers

| Time Spent with Non-Disabled Peers | Count of Students | Percent of Students | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------|-------|
| | | District | DRG | State |
| 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time | 264 | 60.7 | 69.3 | 74.1 |
| 40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time | 98 | 22.5 | 15.9 | 14.9 |
| 0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time | 73 | 16.8 | 14.8 | 11.0 |

SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

East Haven has concluded a three year collaborative inquiry Professional development series inclusive of Data Driven Decision Making and Data Teams, Marzano's Effective Teaching Strategies, Common Formative Assessments, and Professional Learning Communities. This professional development initiative has laid the groundwork for our district focus on the implementation of our District's SRBI Strategic Plan. Our November 2nd professional development day was dedicated to professional development activities to support the implementation of the SRBI plan. Breakout sessions were all focused on key elements of the plan and provided staff with valuable learning experiences, information and tools for success. East Haven has partnered with SERC to begin District-wide implementation of Positive Behavior Supports in all our schools. We are committed to improving student academic and behavior outcomes through ensuring all students have access to the most effective and accurately implemented instructional and behavioral practices and interventions possible. Positive Behavior Supports has provided us with an operational framework for achieving these outcomes. Over the next several years, we will develop the framework at all our schools in order to guide the selection, integration, and implementation of the best evidence-based academic and behavioral practices for improving important academic and behavior outcomes for all students. The District-wide Pupil Services Department continues to look for ways to provide special education services for students in the least restrictive environment. An example is the expansion of the programs within the public school setting for students on the autism spectrum. There are now four classrooms serving students from ages 3 through 8 with intensive services and therapies through discrete trial and applied behavioral analysis. It is our intent to utilize the expertise of our special education teachers as a resource for general education. Our district SRBI plan calls for special education and regular education teachers to work in concert to ensure all students are successful.