Connecticut State Department of Education

DISTRICT PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2016–17



Chester School District

860-526-2417 • http://www.reg4.k12.ct.us

District Information

Grade Range	PK-6
Number of Schools/Programs	1
Enrollment	206
Per Pupil Expenditures ¹	\$19,352
Total Expenditures ¹	\$4,276,704

¹Expenditure data reflect the 2015-16 year.



Community Information

<u>CERC Town Profiles</u> provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

Related Reports/Publications

CT Reports (CMT/CAPT)

Special Education Annual Performance Reports
SAT®, AP®, PSAT® Report by High School (Class of 2017)
(2017® The College Board)

Contents

Students1	
Educators2	
Instruction and Resources	
Performance and Accountability4	
Narratives6	

Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2016-17 and include all grades offered by the district.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit edsight.ct.gov.

State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.

Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been suppressed to ensure student confidentiality. N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

Students

October 1, 2016 Enrollment				
·		District	State	
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)	
Female	103	50.0	48.4	
Male	103	50.0	51.6	
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0.0	0.3	
Asian	*	*	5.1	
Black or African American	*	*	12.9	
Hispanic or Latino	14	6.8	24.0	
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.1	
Two or More Races	9	4.4	2.9	
White	178	86.4	54.8	
English Learners	*	*	6.8	
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	37	18.0	35.9	
Students with Disabilities ¹	34	16.5	14.3	

¹Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

	Chronic		Suspension/	
	Absenteeism ²		Expu	lsion ³
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	*	*	*	*
Male	*	*	*	*
Black or African American	0	*	0	*
Hispanic or Latino	0	*	0	*
White	*	*	*	*
English Learners	0	*	0	*
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	*	*	*	*
Students with Disabilities	0	0.0	0	0.0
District	*	*	*	*
State		9.9		6.7

Number of students in 2015-16 qualified as truant under state statute: Fewer than 6 Number of school-based arrests: 0

²A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

³The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

Educators

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)¹ Staff

	FTE
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	19.8
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	2.0
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	3.8
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	7.5
Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	1.0
School Level	1.0
Library/Media	
Specialists (Certified)	1.0
Support Staff	0.0
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers	0.9
Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists	1.3
School Nurses	0.9
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support	7.5

¹In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

Educators by Race/Ethnicity

		District	State
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0.0	0.1
Asian	0	0.0	1.0
Black or African American	0	0.0	3.6
Hispanic or Latino	1	2.8	3.6
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.0
Two or More Races	0	0.0	0.1
White	35	97.2	91.4

Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2015-16

	District	State
Average Number of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time	7.1	9.6

Instruction and Resources

Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers³

	Count	Rate (%)
Autism	*	*
Emotional Disturbance	N/A	N/A
Intellectual Disability	N/A	N/A
Learning Disability	14	*
Other Health Impairment	*	*
Other Disabilities	*	*
Speech/Language Impairment	7	*
District	30	96.8
State		68.2

³Ages 6-21

Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability¹

	Dis	State	
	Count	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Autism	*	*	1.7
Emotional Disturbance	0	0.0	1.0
Intellectual Disability	0	0.0	0.5
Learning Disability	14	6.7	4.9
Other Health Impairment	*	*	2.9
Other Disabilities	*	*	1.1
Speech/Language Impairment	7	3.4	1.8
All Disabilities	33	15.9	13.9

¹Grades K-12

Overall Expenditures: 2015-16

		Per Pupil	
	Total (\$)	District (\$)	State (\$)
Instructional Staff and Services	2,240,901	10,620	9,663
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	74,207	352	321
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	38,856	184	578
Student Support Services	614,720	2,913	1,103
Administration and Support Services	608,704	2,885	1,861
Plant Operation and Maintenance	472,437	2,239	1,637
Transportation	136,201	281	877
Costs of Students Tuitioned Out	13,877	N/A	N/A
Other	76,801	364	201
Total	4,276,704	19,352	16,236
Additiona	al Expenditures		
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	0	0	1,749

³Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

Special Education Expenditures: 2015-16

	Dist	State	
	Total (\$)	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
Certified Personnel	465,432	48.0	34.6
Noncertified Personnel	220,751	22.8	14.6
Purchased Services	31,909	3.3	5.8
Tuition to Other Schools	13,877	1.4	21.8
Special Ed. Transportation	37,833	3.9	8.5
Other Expenditures	199,188	20.6	14.7
Total Expenditures	968,990	100.0	100.0

Expenditures by Revenue Source:4 2015-16

	Percent of Total (%)			
	Including Excluding			
	School	School		
	Construction	Construction		
Local	89.5	89.5		
State	8.0	8.0		
Federal	2.5	2.5		
Tuition & Other	0.0	0.0		

⁴Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction).

Performance and Accountability

District Performance Index (DPI)

A District Performance Index (DPI) is the average performance of students in a subject area (i.e., ELA, Mathematics or Science) on the state summative assessments. The DPI ranges from 0-100. A DPI is reported for all students tested in a district and for students in each individual student group. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 75.

	English Language Arts(ELA)		Mat	Math		Science	
	Count	DPI	Count	DPI	Count	DPI	
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Asian	*	*	*	*	0	N/A	
Black or African American	*	*	*	*	0	N/A	
Hispanic or Latino	6	*	6	*	0	N/A	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Two or More Races	6	*	6	*	*	*	
White	105	82.2	105	77.2	26	65.3	
English Learners	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Non-English Learners	121	81.5	121	76.3	29	65.0	
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	13	*	13	*	*	*	
Not Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	108	83.1	108	77.8	25	65.9	
Students with Disabilities	25	70.9	25	66.1	9	*	
Students without Disabilities	96	84.2	96	79.0	20	67.0	
High Needs	35	70.5	35	66.2	13	*	
Non-High Needs	86	85.9	86	80.4	16	*	
District	121	81.5	121	76.3	29	65.0	

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient¹

	NAEP	NAEP 2013		
READING	Grade 4 Grade 8		Grade 12	
Connecticut	43%	43%	50%	
National Public	35%	33%	36%	
MATH	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12	
Connecticut	41%	36%	32%	
National Public	39%	32%	25%	

¹NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across Smarter Balanced and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement other state assessment data. To view student subgroup performance on NAEP, click here.

Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard²

	Percent of Students by Grade ³ (%)				All Tested Grades	
	4	6	8	10	Count	Rate (%)
Sit & Reach	79.3	58.3	N/A	N/A	65	67.7
Curl Up	79.3	86.1	N/A	N/A	65	83.1
Push Up	69.0	75.0	N/A	N/A	65	72.3
Mile Run/PACER	72.4	77.8	N/A	N/A	65	75.4
All Tests - District	51.7	47.2	N/A	N/A	65	49.2
All Tests - State	52.8	51.4	51.4	50.6		51.6

²The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

³Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

Next Generation Accountability Results

Connecticut's Next Generation Accountability System is a broad set of 12 indicators that help tell the story of how well a district/school is preparing its students for success in college, careers, and life. It moves beyond test scores and graduation rates to provide a more holistic, multifactor perspective of district and school performance.

Indicator		Index/Rate	Target	Points Earned	Max Points	% Points Earned	State Average Index/Rate
ELA Performance Index	All Students	81.5	75	50.0	50	100.0	67.1
ELA Performance muex	High Needs Students	70.5	75	47.0	50	94.1	55.9
Math Performance Index	All Students	76.3	75	50.0	50	100.0	62.2
Math Performance muex	High Needs Students	66.2	75	44.1	50	88.3	50.5
Science Performance	All Students	65.0	75	43.3	50	86.6	55.3
Science Performance	High Needs Students	N/A	75	0.0	0	0.0	45.2
FLA Acadomic Crowth	All Students	80.0%	100%	80.0	100	80.0	55.4%
ELA Academic Growth	High Needs Students	73.8%	100%	73.8	100	73.8	49.8%
NAsth Assalamia Cusuth	All Students	80.7%	100%	80.7	100	80.7	61.7%
Math Academic Growth	High Needs Students	71.3%	100%	71.3	100	71.3	53.7%
Chronic Absenteeism	All Students	1.9%	<=5%	50.0	50	100.0	9.9%
Chronic Absenteeism	High Needs Students	4.8%	<=5%	50.0	50	100.0	15.8%
Duamanation for CCD	% Taking Courses	N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	70.7%
Preparation for CCR	% Passing Exams	N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	43.5%
On-track to High School G	raduation	N/A	94%	0.0	0	0.0	87.8%
4-year Graduation All Stud	dents (2016 Cohort)	N/A	94%	0.0	0	0.0	87.4%
6-year Graduation - High Needs Students (2014		N/A	94%	0.0	0	0.0	82.0%
Postsecondary Entrance (Class of 2016)		N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	72.0%
Physical Fitness (estimated part rate) and (fitness		98.5% 49.2%	75%	32.8	50	65.6	92.0% 51.6%
Arts Access	Arts Access		60%	0.0	0	0.0	50.5%
Accountability Index			673.1	800	84.1		

Gap Indicators	Non-High Needs Rate ¹	High Needs Rate	Size of Gap	State Gap Mean +1 Stdev ²	Is Gap an Outlier? ²
Achievement Gap Size Outlier?					N
ELA Performance Index Gap	75.0	70.5	4.5	16.7	
Math Performance Index Gap	75.0	66.2	8.8	18.7	
Science Performance Index Gap		N/A		16.6	
Graduation Rate Gap					

¹ If the Non-High Needs Rate exceeds the ultimate target (75 for Performance Index and 94% for graduation rate), the ultimate target is used for gap calculations.

 $^{^{2}}$ If the size of the gap exceeds the state mean gap plus one standard deviation, the gap is an outlier.

Sul	oject/Subgroup	Participation Rate (%) ³	
ΕLΛ	All Students	100.0	³ Minimum
ELA	High Needs Students	100.0	participation standard is 95%.
Math	All Students	100.0	
IVIALII	High Needs Students	100.0	
Science	All Students	100.0	
Science	High Needs Students		

Connecticut's State Identified Measurable Goal for Children with Disabilities (SIMR)

Increase the reading performance of all 3rd grade students with disabilities statewide, as measured by Connecticut's English Language Arts (ELA) Performance Index.

Grade 3 ELA Performance Index for Students with Disabilities:

District: * State: 50.2

Supporting Resources

Two-page FAQ

Detailed Presentation

Using Accountability Results to Guide Improvement: comprehensive documentation and supports

Narratives

School District Improvement Plans and Parental Outreach Activities

Chester Elementary School continues to focus to ensure that all of our students are continuing to make progress and achieving at high levels. Our School Improvement Planning team consists of classroom teachers, a special education teacher, a specialist, and the principal. The team meets on a monthly basis to review and analyze data to ensure we are meeting the needs of our faculty and students. The School Improvement Plan provides the focus for our staff in developing SMART goals in the areas of reading, writing, and math. All certified staff develop SMART goals that are aligned to the school and district goals. The SMART goals include instructional strategies, action steps to achieve the goals, and progress monitoring strategies to measure student growth and progress throughout the school year. The principal presents and updates the public on progress being made at Board of Education and PTO meetings.

Scientific Research Based Intervention meetings are held on a weekly basis to analyze student data and monitor student progress. Decisions are made in a timely manner to review referrals for students making limited instructional progress or exhibiting behavioral concerns. Students in Tier II and Tier III are discussed on an ongoing basis in order to make educationally appropriate decisions as their progress is continually being reviewed. Students with attendance issues are monitored through the SRBI process. The team consists of the school psychologist, counselor, SLP, ELA consultant, reading teacher, and principal who meet with teachers to brainstorm interventions for students. Baseline data is collected, and a review date is established to revisit the case and analyze new data. Teachers have been trained to identify needed areas of concern and to develop instructional strategies to improve students' performance. Students that receive special education services are supported through inclusion and co-teaching in order to meet individual student needs.

At Chester Elementary School, parent communication is extremely important and occurs at the district level, school level, and individual classroom level. Communication efforts take on many forms, which include but are not limited to informal conferences held during the year or through our planned parent/teacher conferences in October and March. Our September Curriculum Night is designed for parents to meet their child's teacher and receive information about the curriculum. All incoming kindergarten parents participate in an informational evening orientation and visitation program. Each year, our parents complete many hours of service through chaperoning field trips and volunteering in the classroom. Parents and community members are important partners in our school Parents are part of the Social Development Team and the PTO. Additionally, our website is updated on an on-going basis, a weekly school newsletter is sent emailed to each family, and classroom teachers provide weekly or monthly newsletters. The website provides parents with information on District Goals, Board of Education minutes, mission statement, Board Policies, and a calendar of events. Our School Calendar and Parent Handbook is another vehicle to increase parent/school contact. It is distributed to all families on the first day of school and identifies policies, procedures, and school programs.

Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation

Chester Elementary School's Social Development program is in its nineteenth year. Its goal states that Chester Elementary School is a learning and caring community. Our Social Emotional Learning Focus (SELF) curriculum teaches awareness and expression of feelings, emotional control, understanding interpersonal relationships, empathy, caring, and social problem-solving skills. Our service learning projects provide the opportunity for our students to experience the value of helping others who are less fortunate than they are.

We provide cultural arts and other enrichment programs for all students in grades K-6. Students participate in assemblies or work with artists that provide programs featuring music of the world and stories of other cultures. Students in grades 3-6 receive Spanish instruction as part of our Foreign Language in the Elementary Schools (FLES) program, which includes the student of Hispanic culture around the world.

Second grade students studied the peoples of the world through social studies. Third graders studied the cultural diversity of the regions of the United States. Our fourth graders participated in a Diversity Unit that focused on African Americans' contributions to our society. Grade five class meetings are a forum for discussing tolerance and appreciation of the differences of people. Our literature based reading program (K-6) presents stories of people from different ethnic backgrounds.

Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools

The Chester School District consists only of the Chester Elementary School. Teachers and staff within the building work with building administration and district administration on an annual basis to determine the academic and physical plan needs within the school building. Administration then meets with the Chester Board of Education to develop our annual budget to provide for the resources and academic programming provided at the school. The school's budget is then presented to the town of Chester and voted on through a referendum by the local community. The approved budget is then utilized accordingly within Chester Elementary School to ensure that student needs are addressed.