Connecticut State Department of Education

DISTRICT PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2014–15



Preston School District

Mr. John Welch, Superintendent • 860-889-6098 • http://www.prestonschools.org

District Information

PK-8
2
426
\$20,535
\$9,138,278

¹Expenditure data reflect the 2013-14 year.



Community Information

<u>CERC Town Profiles</u> provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

Related Reports/Publications

CT Reports (CMT/CAPT)

District and School Performance Reports

Special Education Annual Performance Reports

SAT®, AP®, PSAT® Report by High School (Class of 2015)

(2015® The College Board)

Contents

Students	1
Educators	2
Instruction and Resources	2
Performance and Accountability	4
Narratives	6

Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2014-15 and include all grades offered by the district.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit EdSight.ct.gov).

State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.

Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been

suppressed to ensure student confidentiality. N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

Students

October 1, 2014 Enrollment				
		District	State	
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)	
Female	217	50.9	48.3	
Male	209	49.1	51.6	
American Indian or Alaska Native	13	3.1	0.2	
Asian	*	*	4.7	
Black or African American	11	2.6	12.9	
Hispanic or Latino	18	4.2	22.1	
Pacific Islander	*	*	0.0	
Two or More Races	16	3.8	2.5	
White	358	84.0	57.2	
English Language Learners	9	2.1	6.3	
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	97	22.8	37.6	
Students with Disabilities ¹	79	18.5	13.3	

¹Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

	Chronic		Suspensio	
	Absenteeism ²		Absenteeism ² Expuls	
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	15	7.0	*	*
Male	20	9.8	*	*
Black or African American	*	*	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	*	*
White	23	6.7	7	1.9
English Language Learners	*	*	0	*
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	18	18.4	8	7.5
Students with Disabilities	8	10.0	*	*
District	35	8.4	10	2.2
State		10.6		7.2

Number of students in 2013-14 qualified as truant under state statute: 0

²A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

³The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

Educators

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)1 Staff

	FTE
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	29.0
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	2.0
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	5.0
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	13.0
Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	1.0
School Level	2.0
Library/Media	
Specialists (Certified)	1.0
Support Staff	0.0
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers	2.4
Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists	1.8
School Nurses	2.0
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support	31.8

¹In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

Educators by Race/Ethnicity

		District	State
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0.0	0.1
Asian	0	0.0	1.0
Black or African American	1	2.4	3.5
Hispanic or Latino	0	0.0	3.5
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.0
Two or More Races	0	0.0	0.0
White	41	97.6	91.8

Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers²

	Percent of Total (%)			
District	100.0			
District Poverty Quartile: Middle				
State High Poverty Quartile Schools 97.9				
State Low Poverty Quartile Schools	99.6			

²Core academic classes taught by teachers who are fully certified to teach in that subject area.

Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2013-14

	District	State
Average Number of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time	13.4	9.2

Instruction and Resources

Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers³

	Count	Rate (%)
Autism	*	*
Emotional Disturbance	*	*
Intellectual Disability	0	0
Learning Disability	15	*
Other Health Impairment	17	*
Other Disabilities	*	*
Speech/Language Impairment	23	76.7
District	64	67.4
State		69.7

³Ages 6-21

Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability¹

	Dis	State	
	Count	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Autism	13	2.2	1.5
Emotional Disturbance	*	*	1.0
Intellectual Disability	*	*	0.5
Learning Disability	18	3.0	4.4
Other Health Impairment	19	3.2	2.6
Other Disabilities	10	1.7	1.0
Speech/Language Impairment	35	5.8	1.9
All Disabilities	103	17.1	13.0

Students with Disabilities Placed Outside of the District²

	Dis	State	
	Count	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Public Schools in Other Districts	31	30.1	8.1
Private Schools or Other Settings	6	5.8	5.4

²Grades K-12

Overall Expenditures: 2013-14

		Per Pupil	
	Total (\$)	District (\$)	State (\$)
Instructional Staff and Services	4,608,448	10,895	9,134
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	141,650	335	334
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	109,449	259	498
Student Support Services	392,430	928	1,001
Administration and Support Services	939,348	2,221	1,694
Plant Operation and Maintenance	807,838	1,910	1,572
Transportation	870,608	1,415	813
Costs of Students Tuitioned Out	1,221,706	N/A	N/A
Other	46,801	111	186
Total	9,138,278	20,535	15,289
Additiona	al Expenditures		
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	382,875	905	1,272

³Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

Special Education Expenditures: 2013-14

	Dist	State	
	Total (\$)	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
Certified Personnel	641,256	19.7	35.1
Noncertified Personnel	381,264	11.7	14.2
Purchased Services	215,719	6.6	5.2
Tuition to Other Schools	1,589,314	48.7	22.0
Special Ed. Transportation	73,246	2.2	8.6
Other Expenditures	360,086	11.0	14.9
Total Expenditures	3,260,885	100.0	100.0

Expenditures by Revenue Source:⁴ 2013-14

	Percent of Total (%)				
	Including	Excluding			
	School	School			
	Construction	Construction			
Local	66.9	65.7			
State	30.6	31.6			
Federal	2.2	2.3			
Tuition & Other	0.3	0.3			

⁴Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction).

¹Grades K-12

Performance and Accountability

District Performance Index (DPI)

A District Performance Index (DPI) is the average performance of students in a subject area (i.e., ELA, Mathematics or Science) on the state summative assessments. The DPI ranges from 0-100. A DPI is reported for all students tested in a district and for students in each individual student group. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 75.

	English Language Arts(ELA)		Math		Science	
	Count	DPI	Count	DPI	Count	DPI
American Indian or Alaska Native	11	*	11	*	N/A	N/A
Asian	*	*	*	*	*	*
Black or African American	8	*	8	*	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	7	*	7	*	*	*
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	N/A	N/A
Two or More Races	6	*	6	*	*	*
White	215	74.0	214	69.1	80	62.4
English Language Learners	10	*	10	*	*	*
Non-English Language Learners	243	72.3	242	67.4	*	*
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	61	64.4	61	61.0	25	57.9
Not Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	192	75.2	191	69.8	62	62.2
Students with Disabilities	59	50.9	58	48.3	18	*
Students without Disabilities	194	79.2	194	73.4	69	65.1
High Needs	104	60.6	103	57.3	37	55.7
Non-High Needs	149	81.0	149	74.8	50	64.9
District	253	72.6	252	67.7	87	61.0

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient¹

	NAEP	NAEP 2013	
READING	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	43%	43%	50%
National Public	35%	33%	36%
MATH	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	41%	36%	32%
National Public	39%	32%	25%

¹NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across Smarter Balanced and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement other state assessment data. To view student subgroup performance on NAEP, click here.

Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard²

	Percent	of Stude	All Teste	d Grades		
	4	6	8	10	Count	Rate (%)
Sit & Reach	91.3	95.5	91.7	N/A	103	93.2
Curl Up	87.0	88.6	91.7	N/A	103	89.3
Push Up	43.5	43.2	47.2	N/A	103	44.7
Mile Run/PACER	69.6	95.5	75.0	N/A	103	82.5
All Tests - District	43.5	43.2	47.2	N/A	103	44.7
All Tests - State	50.8	51.0	50.3	51.9		51.0

²The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

³Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

Next Generation Accountability Results

These statistics are the first results from Connecticut's Next Generation Accountability System for districts and schools. This system is a broad set of 12 indicators that help tell the story of how well a school is preparing its students for success in college, careers and life. It moves beyond test scores and graduation rates and instead provides a more holistic, multifactor perspective of district and school performance and incorporates student growth over time.

Indicator		Index/Rate	Target	Points	Max	% Points	State Average
CLA Dorformance Index	All Students	72.6	75	96.8	100	96.8	67.9
ELA Performance Index	High Needs Students	60.6	75	80.8	100	80.8	56.7
Math Performance Index	All Students	67.7	75	90.2	100	90.2	59.3
Math Performance maex	High Needs Students	57.3	75	76.4	100	76.4	47.8
Science Performance Index	All Students	61.0	75	81.3	100	81.3	56.5
Science Performance index	High Needs Students	55.7	75	74.2	100	74.2	45.9
Chronic Absenteeism	All Students	8.4%	<=5%	43.2	50	86.4	10.6%
Chronic Absenteeism	High Needs Students	12.8%	<=5%	34.4	50	68.7	17.3%
Dranaration for CCD	% Taking Courses	N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	66.1%
Preparation for CCR	% Passing Exams	N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	37.3%
On-track to High School Graduation		98.2%	94%	50.0	50	100.0	85.6%
4-year Graduation All Studer	nts (2014 Cohort)	N/A	94%	0.0	0	0.0	87.0%
6-year Graduation - High Needs Students (2012 Cohort)		N/A	94%	0.0	0	0.0	77.6%
Postsecondary Entrance (Class of 2014)		N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	72.8%
Physical Fitness (estimated part rate) and (fitness rate)		72.0% 44.7%	75%	14.9	50	29.8	87.6% 51.0%
Arts Access		N/A	60%	0.0	0	0.0	45.7%
Accountability Index	Accountability Index			642.2	800	80.3	

Gap Indicators	Non-High Needs Rate ¹	High Needs Rate	Size of Gap	State Gap Mean + 1 Stdev ²	Is Gap an Outlier? ²
Achievement Gap Size Outlier?					N
ELA Performance Index Gap	75.0	60.6	14.4	17.3	
Math Performance Index Gap	74.8	57.3	17.5	19.6	
Science Performance Index Gap	64.9	55.7	9.3	17.2	
Graduation Rate Gap					

¹If the Non-High Needs Rate exceeds the ultimate target (75 for Performance Index and 94% for graduation rate), then the ultimate target is displayed and used for gap calculations.

²If the size of the gap exceeds the state mean gap plus one standard deviation, then the gap is an outlier.

Sul	oject/Subgroup	Participation Rate (%)
All Students		93.3
ELA	High Needs Students	94.9
All Students		93.0
iviatii	High Needs Students	94.0
All Students		100.0
High Needs Students		100.0

Connecticut's State Identified Measurable Goal for Children with Disabilities (SIMR)

Increase the reading performance of all 3rd grade students with disabilities statewide, as measured by Connecticut's English Language Arts (ELA) Performance Index.

Grade 3 ELA Performance Index for Students with Disabilities:

District: 51.5 State: 50.1

Supporting Resources

Two-page FAQ

Detailed Presentation

Using Accountability Results to Guide Improvement: comprehensive documentation and supports

Narratives

School District Improvement Plans and Parental Outreach Activities

Preston Public Schools is dedicated to promoting the growth and development of all of its students. In recent years, the district has made significant progress, especially at the Middle School level with respect to its performance on state testing. Apart from encouraging exemplary performances, among students and staff, the district offers an after-school reading and mathematics program for struggling learners who have been purposefully identified for that intervention. In addition, Preston Public Schools has actively embraced Scientifically Based Research Intervention and its behavioral counterpart, Positive Behavioral Support Intervention in order to drive academic success. Lastly, the adoption of formative assessment programs such as Direct Reading Assessments, STAR Assessments and AIMS Web have all proven to be effective instruments for assessing student competency and developing appropriate instructional approaches in response to the results obtained from those assessments.

Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation

Both schools comprising Preston Public Schools, a small and relatively homogeneous district enrolling 430 PK-8 students, employ a variety of strategies that are designed to reduce racial, ethnic and economic isolation. We are especially proud of our immersion-oriented K-8 Spanish Program which affords all students the opportunity to learn Spanish as well as exposure to other countries and cultures. All students at the middle school level participate in an Advisor-Advisee program, a program that provides a supervised venue for discussing a variety of topics, including racial, ethnic, religious and economic differences. At the PK-5 level, the school's annual Thanksgiving Food Drive is an event that engages virtually every student in appreciating the needs of those who are economically challenged and less fortunate. Lastly, both schools offer one or more assemblies throughout the year that are designed to enlighten students about the wide array of differences - racial, ethnic and otherwise - that exist among people.

Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools

Every effort is made to equalize the distribution of resources through the budgetary process. This requires a collaborative approach to budget planning that includes the building level administrators and central office. Budgetary decisions are based upon curriculum initiatives and needs.