Connecticut State Department of Education

DISTRICT PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2015–16



Learn

Dr. Eileen Howley, Superintendent • 860-434-4800

District Information

Grade Range	PK-12
Number of Schools/Programs	17
Enrollment	2,373
Per Pupil Expenditures ¹	N/A
Total Expenditures ¹	N/A

¹Expenditure data reflect the 2014-15 year.



Community Information

<u>CERC Town Profiles</u> provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

Related Reports/Publications

CT Reports (CMT/CAPT)

District and School Performance Reports

Special Education Annual Performance Reports

SAT®, AP®, PSAT® Report by High School (Class of 2016)

(2016® The College Board)

Contents

Studen	ts	1
Educat	ors2	2
Instruc	tion and Resources2	2
Perform	mance and Accountability	1
Narrati	ves 7	7

Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2015-16 and include all grades offered by the district.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit edsight.ct.gov.

State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.

Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been

suppressed to ensure student confidentiality. N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

Students

October 1, 2015 Enrollment				
		District	State	
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)	
Female	1,233	52.0	48.3	
Male	1,140	48.0	51.6	
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	0.3	0.2	
Asian	60	2.5	4.9	
Black or African American	335	14.1	12.8	
Hispanic or Latino	837	35.3	23.0	
Pacific Islander	7	0.3	0.0	
Two or More Races	195	8.2	2.7	
White	931	39.2	55.9	
English Learners	173	7.3	6.4	
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	1,155	48.7	38.0	
Students with Disabilities ¹	351	14.8	13.7	

¹Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

	Chronic		Suspension/	
	Absenteeism ²		Expu	lsion ³
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	106	11.5	58	4.8
Male	73	8.7	84	7.4
Black or African American	26	10.2	32	9.7
Hispanic or Latino	83	12.8	63	7.6
White	51	7.8	37	4.0
English Learners	18	10.5	10	4.8
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	126	13.6	106	8.0
Students with Disabilities	42	15.8	33	8.5
District	179	10.2	142	6.0
State		9.6		7.0

Number of students in 2014-15 qualified as truant under state statute: 339 Number of school-based arrests: 7

²A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

³The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

Educators

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)1 Staff

	FTE
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	153.8
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	68.2
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	39.8
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	75.6
Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	6.0
School Level	12.2
Library/Media	
Specialists (Certified)	1.8
Support Staff	1.0
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers	25.3
Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists	13.1
School Nurses	11.7
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support	173.8

¹In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

Educators by Race/Ethnicity

		District	State
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0.8	0.1
Asian	4	1.5	1.0
Black or African American	11	4.2	3.5
Hispanic or Latino	14	5.4	3.5
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.0
Two or More Races	0	0.0	0.1
White	229	88.1	91.7

Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers²

	Percent of Total (%)	
District	99.8	
District Poverty Quartile: High		
State High Poverty Quartile Schools	97.6	
State Low Poverty Quartile Schools	99.6	

 $^{^{2}\}mbox{Core}$ academic classes taught by teachers who are fully certified to teach in that subject area.

Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2014-15

	District	State
Average Number of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time	8.3	9.4

Instruction and Resources

11th and 12th Graders Enrolled in College-and-Career-Readiness Courses during High School³

	11th		12th	
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Black or African American	6	23.1	9	36.0
Hispanic or Latino	13	19.7	24	45.3
White	44	50.0	75	75.8
English Learners	0	0.0	0	0.0
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	16	17.8	43	55.1
Students with Disabilities	7	26.9	8	36.4
District	71	36.0	124	63.6
State		61.2		73.9

³College-and-Career-Readiness Courses include Advanced Placement®(AP), International Baccalaureate®(IB), Career and Technical Education(CTE), workplace experience and dual enrollment courses.

Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers⁴

	Count	Rate (%)
Autism	N/A	N/A
Emotional Disturbance	N/A	N/A
Intellectual Disability	N/A	N/A
Learning Disability	N/A	N/A
Other Health Impairment	N/A	N/A
Other Disabilities	N/A	N/A
Speech/Language Impairment	N/A	N/A
District	N/A	N/A
State		68.8

⁴Ages 6-21

Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability¹

	Dis	State		
	Count	Rate (%)	Rate (%)	
Autism	0	0.0	1.6	
Emotional Disturbance	0	0.0	1.0	
Intellectual Disability	0	0.0	0.5	
Learning Disability	0	0.0	4.6	
Other Health Impairment	0	0.0	2.8	
Other Disabilities	0	0.0	1.0	
Speech/Language Impairment	0	0.0	1.9	
All Disabilities	0	0.0	13.4	

¹Grades K-12

Overall Expenditures: 2014-15

		Per Pupil	
	Total (\$)	District (\$)	State (\$)
Instructional Staff and Services	N/A	N/A	N/A
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	N/A	N/A	N/A
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	N/A	N/A	N/A
Student Support Services	N/A	N/A	N/A
Administration and Support Services	N/A	N/A	N/A
Plant Operation and Maintenance	N/A	N/A	N/A
Transportation	N/A	N/A	N/A
Costs of Students Tuitioned Out	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A
Additiona	al Expenditures		
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	N/A	N/A	N/A

³Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

Special Education Expenditures: 2014-15

	Dist	District		
	Total (\$)	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)	
Certified Personnel	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Noncertified Personnel	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Purchased Services	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Tuition to Other Schools	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Special Ed. Transportation	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Other Expenditures	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Expenditures	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Expenditures by Revenue Source:4 2014-15

	Percent of Total (%)				
	Including Excluding				
	School	School			
	Construction	Construction			
Local	N/A	N/A			
State	N/A	N/A			
Federal	N/A	N/A			
Tuition & Other	N/A	N/A			

⁴Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction).

Performance and Accountability

District Performance Index (DPI)

A District Performance Index (DPI) is the average performance of students in a subject area (i.e., ELA, Mathematics or Science) on the state summative assessments. The DPI ranges from 0-100. A DPI is reported for all students tested in a district and for students in each individual student group. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 75.

	English Langua	ge Arts(ELA)	Mat	h	Scien	ce
	Count	DPI	Count	DPI	Count	DPI
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*	*	*
Asian	19	*	19	*	9	*
Black or African American	76	52.4	76	43.2	46	40.7
Hispanic or Latino	225	57.0	225	48.1	111	44.1
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	*	*
Two or More Races	56	68.7	56	59.9	23	49.2
White	231	72.3	231	60.6	113	65.8
English Learners	75	53.8	75	46.6	31	40.9
Non-English Learners	536	65.2	536	55.1	276	54.4
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	315	57.3	315	48.6	168	46.7
Not Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	296	70.7	296	59.8	139	60.7
Students with Disabilities	113	46.3	113	37.7	63	40.6
Students without Disabilities	498	67.8	498	57.8	244	56.2
High Needs	359	56.3	359	47.7	193	46.7
Non-High Needs	252	74.6	252	63.1	114	63.7
District	611	63.8	611	54.0	307	53.0

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient¹

	NAEP	NAEP 2013	
READING	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	43%	43%	50%
National Public	35%	33%	36%
MATH	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	41%	36%	32%
National Public	39%	32%	25%

¹NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across Smarter Balanced and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement other state assessment data. To view student subgroup performance on NAEP, click here.

Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard²

	Percent of Students by Grade ³ (%)				All Teste	ed Grades
	4	6	8	10	Count	Rate (%)
Sit & Reach	76.1	88.6	82.9	91.1	281	85.1
Curl Up	71.6	82.9	94.3	76.4	281	77.9
Push Up	77.3	82.9	88.6	69.1	281	75.8
Mile Run/PACER	50.0	88.6	88.6	74.8	281	70.5
All Tests - District	33.0	42.9	57.1	56.1	281	47.3
All Tests - State	50.6	49.8	50.6	51.1		50.5

²The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

³Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

Cohort Graduation: Four-Year¹

		2014-15			2015-16
	Cohort Count ²	Rate (%)	Target ³ (%)	Target Achieved	Target³ (%)
Black or African American	37	86.5			
Hispanic or Latino	42	88.1			
English Learners	*	0			
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	97	90.7			
Students with Disabilities	26	80.8			
District	176	89.2			
State ⁴		87.2			

¹The four-year cohort graduation rate represents the percentage of first-time 9th graders who earn a standard high school diploma within four years. Statewide, district and school results for cohorts of 2010 through 2014 are <u>available online</u>.

11th and 12th Graders Meeting Benchmark on at Least One College Readiness Exam⁵

	Participation ⁶	Meeting B	enchmark
	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	98.6	75	34.6
Male	97.1	75	42.9
Black or African American	98.0	8	15.7
Hispanic or Latino	99.2	23	19.3
White	97.3	97	51.9
English Learners	*	0	*
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	98.8	34	20.2
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*
District	98.0	150	38.3
State	95.6		40.7

⁵College readiness exams and benchmark scores are as follows:

- SAT® meets benchmark score on SAT, Revised SAT or Connecticut School Day SAT
- ACT® meets benchmark score on 3 of 4 exams (benchmark score varies by subject)
- \bullet AP $^{\! @}$ - 3 or higher on any one AP $^{\! @}$ exam
- IB® 4 or higher on any one IB® exam
- Smarter Balanced Level 3 or higher on both ELA and math

⁶Participation Rate equals the number of test-takers in 11th and 12th grade divided by the number of students enrolled in those grades, as a percent. Sources:

SAT® and AP® statistics derived from data provided by the College Board.

Copyright © 2016 The College Board. www.collegeboard.org

ACT® statistics derived from data provided by ACT, Inc.

Copyright © 2016 ACT, Inc. www.act.org

IB® statistics derived from data provided by the International Baccalaureate Organization.

Copyright © International Baccalaureate Organization 2016

College Entrance and Persistence

	Class of 2015	Class of 2014
	Entrance ⁷	Persistence ⁸
	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Female	69.3	78.6
Male	59.5	81.0
Black or African American	47.1	79.2
Hispanic or Latino	57.6	70.0
White	73.8	81.6
English Learners	*	*
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	56.0	69.6
Students with Disabilities	52.2	*
District	64.8	79.6
State	71.9	88.3

⁷College entrance refers to the percent of high school graduates from the year who enrolled in college any time during the first year after high school.

Source: National Student Clearinghouse

²Cohort count includes all students in the cohort as of the end of the 2014-15 school year.

³Targets are calculated when there are at least 20 students in a cohort in the base year (i.e., 2010-11).

⁴Targets are not displayed at the state level.

⁸College persistence refers to the percent of students who enrolled in college the first year after high school and returned for a second year (Freshman to Sophomore persistence).

Next Generation Accountability Results

These statistics are the results from Connecticut's Next Generation Accountability System for districts and schools. This system is a broad set of 12 indicators that help tell the story of how well a school is preparing its students for success in college, careers and life. It moves beyond test scores and graduation rates and instead provides a more holistic, multifactor perspective of district and school performance and incorporates student growth over time.

Indi	cator	Index/Rate	Target	Points	Max	% Points	State Average
ELA Performance Index	All Students	63.8	75	42.5	50	85.1	67.7
ELA Performance muex	High Needs Students	56.3	75	37.5	50	75.0	56.7
Math Performance Index	All Students	54.0	75	36.0	50	72.1	61.4
iviatii Perioriiiance inuex	High Needs Students	47.7	75	31.8	50	63.6	49.9
Science Performance Index	All Students	53.0	75	35.3	50	70.7	57.5
Science Performance index	High Needs Students	46.7	75	31.1	50	62.3	47.0
ELA Academic Growth	All Students	70.9%	100%	70.9	100	70.9	63.8%
ELA ACAGEMIC GIOWIN	High Needs Students	65.6%	100%	65.6	100	65.6	58.3%
Math Academic Growth	All Students	65.4%	100%	65.4	100	65.4	65.0%
Math Academic Growth	High Needs Students	62.4%	100%	62.4	100	62.4	57.4%
Chronic Absenteeism	All Students	10.2%	<=5%	39.7	50	79.4	9.6%
Chronic Absenteeism	High Needs Students	13.6%	<=5%	32.8	50	65.7	15.6%
Duamanation for CCD	% Taking Courses	49.7%	75%	33.2	50	66.3	67.6%
Preparation for CCR	% Passing Exams	38.3%	75%	25.5	50	51.0	40.7%
On-track to High School Grad	duation	92.3%	94%	49.1	50	98.2	85.1%
4-year Graduation All Studer	nts (2015 Cohort)	89.2%	94%	94.9	100	94.9	87.2%
6-year Graduation - High Needs Students (2013 Cohort)		100.0%	94%	100.0	100	100.0	78.6%
Postsecondary Entrance (Class of 2015)		64.8%	75%	86.4	100	86.4	71.9%
Physical Fitness (estimated part rate) and (fitness rate)		76.6% 47.3%	75%	15.8	50	31.6	89.2% 50.5%
Arts Access		55.1%	60%	45.9	50	91.8	47.5%
Accountability Index				1002.0	1350	74.2	

Gap Indicators	Non-High Needs Rate ¹	High Needs Rate	Size of Gap	State Gap Mean + 1 Stdev²	Is Gap an Outlier? ²
Achievement Gap Size Outlier?					Υ
ELA Performance Index Gap	74.6	56.3	18.3	16.5	
Math Performance Index Gap	63.1	47.7	15.4	18.9	
Science Performance Index Gap	63.7	46.7	17.0	17.2	
Graduation Rate Gap		100.0%		15.3%	N

¹ If the Non-High Needs Rate exceeds the ultimate target (75 for Performance Index and 94% for graduation rate), the ultimate target is used for gap calculations.

 $^{^{2}}$ lf the size of the gap exceeds the state mean gap plus one standard deviation, the gap is an outlier.

Sul	oject/Subgroup	Participation Rate (%) ³	
ELA	All Students	97.6	³ Minimum
ELA	High Needs Students	96.1	participation standard is 95%.
Math	All Students	97.6	
IVIALII	High Needs Students	96.1	
Science	All Students	97.2	
Science	High Needs Students	96.1	

Connecticut's State Identified Measurable Goal for Children with Disabilities (SIMR)

Increase the reading performance of all 3rd grade students with disabilities statewide, as measured by Connecticut's English Language Arts (ELA) Performance Index.

Grade 3 ELA Performance Index for Students with Disabilities:

District: 52.3 State: 51.4

Supporting Resources

Two-page FAQ

Detailed Presentation

 $\label{thm:controlled} \textbf{Using Accountability Results to Guide Improvement: comprehensive documentation and supports} \\$

Narratives

School District Improvement Plans and Parental Outreach Activities

The LEARN magnet schools design and implement annual School Development Plans which are aligned with the District Development Plan. In each of our 7 magnet schools, the SDP is designed through a collaborative process which includes administration, faculty, school governance councils, and parents. Each development plan aligns to the key goals of the LEARN Magnet schools, which are: 1. To advance academic achievement for all students in LEARN schools and programs and ensure students' continuous educational growth; 2. To nurture the intellectual, physical and emotional well-being of students and create a safe and respectful learning community where all students are held to high expectations; 3. To attract, retain and develop high quality staff by providing professional development, resources and appropriate learning environments; and 4. To develop, implement and maintain systems that contribute to an environment conducive to student and adult learning and to develop structures that support the school and district mission.

Each magnet school has both a governance council and a parent organization which work with the administration and faculty to ensure high quality learning experiences for all students. Schools partner with both sending districts and the LEARN Special Services department to ensure high quality differentiated instructional practices, and members of the Special Education staff at each magnet school attend weekly case management and professional development sessions facilitated by LEARN's Special Services leadership team. Additionally, students with profound disabilities are included in the regular educational program through integrated settings such as the ASD program at Marine Science Magnet High School.

School based data teams regularly review student attendance and engagement in school programs. Additionally, a district level data team also regularly reviews attendance data and supports schools in truancy preventions programs. Both the magnet schools and the district utilize Attendance Works resources to support a systemic intervention plan for chronically absent students.

LEARN has an ongoing commitment to enhance and strengthen home-school communication and to provide avenues for parental involvement. Each LEARN school provides different avenues of communication for families, including family/student handbooks, newsletters, goal setting conferences prior to the start of the school year, visitation days, back to school nights, parent/teacher conferences, message posts on blackboard (a technology education software), PowerSchool Parent Portal, and School Messenger for phone/text/email communications. In order to meet the needs of our diverse schools population all communications are sent home both in English and Spanish. All parents are encouraged to participate in a school level advisory committee or a governing board to support planning and improvement of school based programs, including instruction, culture and climate, and budget development. Additionally, parent programs which enhance the abilities of parents to support the learning of their children are regularly held at each school, including parenting workshops, college planning sessions, and other related community support activities.

Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation

LEARN, on behalf of districts across the region, has successfully served students from preschool through 12th grade from 73 districts through interdistrict magnet school program. LEARN magnet schools include: the Regional Multicultural Magnet School, a K-5 program serving 540 students; The Friendship School an early childhood program for PK & K serving 520 students; Dual Language & Arts Magnet Middle School, grades 6-8 serving 140 students; Connecticut River Academy, a high school program with 407 students; Marine Science Magnet High School of Southeastern Connecticut, a high school program with 275 students; Three Rivers Middle College, serving 60 11th and 12th grade students; and Goodwin College Early Childhood Magnet School, serving 300 PK through 2nd grade students. These magnet schools enroll approximately 2,500 students and fulfill their mission of increasing student achievement while promoting diversity.

Each magnet school is charged with developing school goals that promote equity. For example, a key school goal at RMMS is that "All students will respect cultural diversity, become empowered as learners and develop as compassionate individuals who take responsibility for making positive changes in society." School programs, professional learning, and data sets are gathered to support this goal, and the school administration, faculty, and staff is accountable for engaging in this key development initiative. Similar goals and systems of improvement are in place at each magnet school.

The Department of Educator Development also contributes to the work of the Minority Teacher Recruiting Alliance. Through the recruitment of a diverse teaching staff, schools benefit from a richer and more culturally aware team of adult leaders. This has a direct impact on LEARN's goals associated with culturally relevant practices and pedagogy. Additionally, LEARN schools have invested deeply in developing faculty skills in culturally relevant pedagogical practices to support the learning of our students, particularly in our Dual Language and Arts Academy and our Regional Multicultural Magnet School.

Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools

LEARN's seven magnet schools receive funding from the SDE on a per pupil basis. Each participating district contributes tuition fees. The schools also solicit local and national private foundations for program support and grant dollars to supplement additional educational components. Each school develops a budget to meet the needs of all learners. Each budget is developed by the school administration and must be reviewed by each school's governing board. Each school budget is also reviewed by LEARN's Board of Directors, comprised of representative members of the Boards of Education of LEARN's member districts.

Each interdistrict magnet school is funded on a per pupil basis and supplemented with grants such as Title I, the schools have the autonomy and authority to allocate resources where they are most needed. Each school is required to consider student learning needs in mathematics and English/Language arts as key funding priorities, and to develop support and intervention systems to ensure all students learn at high levels. Additionally, students with special needs are supported through resources that are developed and allocated by LEARN and the home district of the student.