#### STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2010-11

## **Seymour School District**

MARYANNE C. MASCOLO, Superintendent

Telephone: (203) 888-4565

Location: 98 Bank Street Seymour, Connecticut

Website: www.seymourschools.org/

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at <a href="https://www.sde.ct.gov.">www.sde.ct.gov.</a>

### **COMMUNITY DATA**

County: New Haven

Town Population in 2000: 15,454 1990-2000 Population Growth: 8.2% Number of Public Schools: 5 Per Capita Income in 2000: \$24,056

Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000\*: 15.3% Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000\*: 1.5% District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 93.8%

District Reference Group (DRG): F DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

### STUDENT ENROLLMENT

#### DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Enrollment on October 1, 2010 2,410 5-Year Enrollment Change -9.5% Grade Range PK - 12

## INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in District	Percent		
		District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	560	23.2	27.3	34.1
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	77	3.2	1.9	5.6
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	62	2.6	2.9	4.0
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	226	9.4	11.3	11.4
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	118	67.4	73.3	80.2
Homeless	2	0.1	0.2	0.3
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	27	11.2	13.4	13.2

<sup>\*9.7 %</sup> of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

<sup>\*</sup>To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

### SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity				
Race/Ethnicity	Percent			
American Indian	6	0.2		
Asian American	87	3.6		
Black	111	4.6		
Hispanic	202	8.4		
Pacific Islander	0	0.0		
White	2,004	83.2		
Two or more races	0	0.0		
Total Minority	406	16.8		

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 1.5%

#### Non-English Home Language:

9.0% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 32.

#### EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

While Seymour Public Schools is not as diverse as some neighboring districts, this learning community works diligently in their efforts to reduce racial, ethnic and economic isolation. Our mission is to educate and inspire all students, to enrich their experiences, and to prepare them to meet the challenges of an ever changing world. In pursuit of our mission, we believe that all students can learn and that they have individual interests and talents that need to be nurtured in a physically and emotionally safe environment. We also value creativity, inquiry and self-direction in the learning process. Through curriculum, enrichment activities, school-based clubs and inter-district sister school grants, the Seymour Public Schools continue to educate our students in cultural, ethnic, and religious diversity. Each school in the district has school based clubs and activities that benefit the less fortunate throughout the year. School organizations range from the well organized high school HOPE (Helping Other People and the Environment) Club to individual class projects that benefit the less fortunate. These clubs and individuals contribute food, clothing, holiday presents and financial assistance for various individuals and causes throughout the school year.

### STUDENT PERFORMANCE

**Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Goal.** The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade ai Area	nd CMT Subject	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal	These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable
Grade 3	Reading	60.1	58.4	36.9	tests who were enrolled in the district at the
	Writing	59.9	61.1	34.2	time of testing,
	Mathematics	67.6	63.0	47.8	regardless of the length
Grade 4	Reading	55.0	62.5	20.2	of time they were enrolled in the district.
	Writing	60.2	65.5	22.0	Results for fewer than
	Mathematics	70.1	67.0	38.4	20 students are not
Grade 5	Reading	69.4	61.4	50.9	presented.
	Writing	75.5	66.8	50.3	
	Mathematics	79.5	72.5	52.1	E 1. I CMT
	Science	68.1	59.9	46.0	For more detailed CMT results, go to
Grade 6	Reading	71.7	76.0	22.6	www.ctreports.
	Writing	71.3	65.2	53.6	
	Mathematics	82.3	71.3	60.7	
Grade 7	Reading	73.5	77.8	22.9	To see the NCLB
	Writing	54.6	58.9	29.1	Report Card for this school, go to
	Mathematics	71.1	68.4	38.5	www.sde.ct.gov and
Grade 8	Reading	75.4	74.7	33.1	click on "No Child Left
	Writing	58.1	64.8	24.2	Behind."
	Mathematics	65.6	66.6	34.4	
	Science	56.2	63.1	21.7	

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scorable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	38.3	44.7	34.1
Writing Across the Disciplines	54.0	61.2	27.1
Mathematics	38.8	49.5	30.1
Science	37.7	47.0	28.6

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

**Physical Fitness.** The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All Four Tests	District		% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
	41.7	51.0	28.6

SAT® I: Reasonin Class of 2010	g Test	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Scores
% of Graduates Tes	sted	75.0	70.6	
Average Score	Mathematics	489	510	29.8
	Critical Reading	492	505	32.1
	Writing	493	510	29.8

**SAT® I.** The lowest possible score on each SAT® I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Adjusted Cohort Rate 2010	87.1	81.8	42.0
2009-10 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	1.4	2.8	33.8

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	88.0	84.8
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	9.2	9.1

# RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

## **DISTRICT STAFF**

Full-Time Equivalent Count of School Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	135.80
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	16.70
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	21.00
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	30.00
Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants	5.43
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs District Central Office School Level	4.00 11.00
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	4.00
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	14.00
School Nurses	5.00
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	110.78

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	12.0	14.3	13.9
% with Master's Degree or Above	70.9	76.3	79.0

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	16.1	16.3	18.4
Grade 2	21.7	18.8	19.9
Grade 5	21.0	19.5	21.2
Grade 7	24.7	19.8	20.6
High School	17.8	17.8	19.3

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	1,007	1,002	992
Middle School	1,034	1,019	1,017
High School	972	1,010	1,010

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be
offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten, and
450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	2.9	3.0	3.1
Middle School	1.5	2.4	2.4
High School	2.1	2.1	2.2

<sup>\*</sup>Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

## **DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2009-10**

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures All figures are unaudited.	Total (in 1000s)	Expenditures Per Pupi			
		District	PK-12 Districts	DRG	State
Instructional Staff and Services	\$16,505	\$6,803	\$8,232	\$7,870	\$8,237
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$791	\$326	\$299	\$275	\$300
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$767	\$316	\$477	\$265	\$463
Student Support Services	\$1,967	\$811	\$875	\$744	\$872
Administration and Support Services	\$4,096	\$1,688	\$1,433	\$1,396	\$1,459
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$3,679	\$1,516	\$1,421	\$1,434	\$1,410
Transportation	\$1,411	\$542	\$701	\$710	\$692
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	\$817	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$395	\$163	\$161	\$168	\$159
Total	\$30,428	\$12,176	\$13,878	\$13,047	\$13,780
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$2,754	\$1,135	\$1,622	\$1,470	\$1,616

Special Education Expenditures	District Total	Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education		
		District	DRG	State
	\$5,261,515	17.3	20.0	21.5

**Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source.** Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	64.1	27.9	7.9	0.1
Excluding School Construction	60.9	30.4	8.6	0.1

### EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

The Seymour Public School budgeting process has become completely transparent to all community stakeholders. The annual budgeting process begins in November of each school year. The Central Office staff begins by working with each individual building principal to assess their needs as related to their school improvement plans as well as the District Strategic Plan. Throughout December and January, Central Office develops a Superintendent's recommended budget that is then brought to the Finance sub-committee of the Board of Education. In addition, solicitation of input from the parent community is obtained through a series of scheduled "community conversations", whereby budget direction and various initiatives are discussed prior to formal budget preparation. The conversations take place in the context of long term goals and initiatives, with an emphasis on the linkage to the district strategic goals and the impact on student learning. Upon completion of the needs identified, information is presented to various stakeholders at formal meetings during the annual budget process. Once the formal budget is completed and filed at the town hall; the entire budget is posted on the district website for the public to view and provide feedback. The budget process concludes with the passage of the education budget referendum.

#### SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible 227
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities 9.2%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities						
Disability Count District Percent DRG Percent St						
Autism	30	1.2	1.1	1.1		
Learning Disability	78	3.1	3.6	3.9		
Intellectual Disability	9	0.4	0.5	0.4		
Emotional Disturbance	13	0.5	1.1	1.0		
Speech Impairment	51	2.1	2.2	2.2		
Other Health Impairment*	27	1.1	2.0	2.1		
Other Disabilities**	19	0.8	0.9	0.9		
Total	227	9.2	11.4	11.6		

<sup>\*</sup>Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

<sup>\*\*</sup>Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2009-10 with a Standard Diploma	66.7	62.5
2009-10 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	0.0	3.9

## STATE ASSESSMENTS

**Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal.** The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students with	Students with Disabilities		udents
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	21.4	33.0	67.4	68.6
	Writing	18.9	19.3	63.1	63.7
	Mathematics	37.4	33.4	72.6	68.2
	Science	12.9	21.2	62.2	61.5
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	38.3	44.7
	Writing Across the Disciplines	7.7	17.3	54.0	61.2
	Mathematics	N/A	N/A	38.8	49.5
	Science	7.7	13.1	37.7	47.0

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to <a href="www.ctreports.com">www.ctreports.com</a>. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to <a href="www.sde.ct.gov">www.sde.ct.gov</a> and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools				
CMT	CMT % Without Accommodations			
	% With Accommodations	89.9		
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	30.0		
	% With Accommodations	70.0		
% Assessed U	7.9			

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with non-disabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District's Schools				
Placement	Count	Percent		
Public Schools in Other Districts	0	0.0		
Private Schools or Other Settings	12	5.3		

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by
the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers

Time Spent with Non-Disabled Peers	Count of Students	Percent of Students		dents
		District	DRG	State
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	202	89.0	76.5	74.1
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	7	3.1	13.9	14.9
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	18	7.9	9.6	11.0

#### SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

The Seymour School District continues to operate under the guidance of its Strategic Plan which consists of three goals. Goal One is the development and implementation of a well articulated Pre-K through grade twelve curriculum that identifies knowledge and skills along with appropriate instruction and assessment strategies. To this end the District has created and continues to fund the position of Director of Instruction K-8 as well as the addition of content area department chairs/coordinators at the secondary level. Presently, curriculum is accessible to district staff through an electronic format. Reader's Workshop is the model of instructional delivery for Language Arts in grades K-5. Our teachers are in the process of being trained by experts in the field of reading instruction through an embedded professional learning process. As a district we are working to align our existing curriculum with the Common core State Standards. Voyages, a math program, which is based on the State of Connecticut Mathematics Frameworks continues to be used in the three elementary schools in grades K-5. Foss Science kits are used in grades K through 8. Seymour Middle School's schedule is designed to include a Language Arts block as well as the opportunity to take Spanish during 7th and 8th grade. Seymour High School continues to add to their list of AP courses with the approval of AP Environmental Science, AP Comparative Government and AP Chemistry. Seymour continues to focus on the instructional core; teachers utilize Common Formative Assessments to inform instruction. A District Data Team was created and trained to address our goal of continuous improvement and student achievement. Building Data Teams and grade level data teams function in each school building. This model will provide the district with a laser like focus on teaching and learning for school improvement district wide. Goal Two of the Strategic Plan is the redefining and recreating of the learning environment (instructional approaches, technologies, and resources) in ways that incorporate emerging understanding of how students learn and how technology is changing the way our students engage with the world. The district has in place a fiber network and a replacement plan for hardware and software. The professional development needed to fully make this shift is on going. Goal Three of the Strategic Plan is to improve internal and external communication in order to unite parents, educators, students and the community in working collaboratively to achieve our mission and goals for learning. In order to facilitate communication with the parent population as well as the community, Seymour has a District webpage and additionally each teacher is required to have their own webpage. School employees are also able to communicate with parents through the email system. Each teacher has access to a phone in the classroom to communicate more readily with parents. Parents are able to access information on their child's academic progress in grades 6-12 via Edline. The Superintendent holds regular community conversations for parents and the community.