STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2010-11

New Milford School District

JEANANN PADDYFOTE, Superintendent

Telephone: (860) 355-8406

New Milford,
Connecticut

Website: www.newmilfordps.org

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at www.sde.ct.gov.

COMMUNITY DATA

County: Litchfield Per Capita Income in 2000: \$29,630

Town Population in 2000: 27,121 Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000*: 10.3% Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000*: 1.1% Number of Public Schools: 6 District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 94.1%

District Reference Group (DRG): D DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

PK - 12

Location: 50 East Street

Enrollment on October 1, 2010 4,753 Grade Range 5-Year Enrollment Change -8.7%

INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in District	Percent		
		District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	744	15.7	13.8	34.1
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	128	2.7	2.3	5.6
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	152	3.2	4.8	4.0
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	638	13.4	11.1	11.4
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	265	81.0	85.1	80.2
Homeless	2	0.0	0.1	0.3
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	64	8.7	17.2	13.2

^{*47.4 %} of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

^{*}To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity					
Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent			
American Indian	1	0.0			
Asian American	190	4.0			
Black	96	2.0			
Hispanic	374	7.9			
Pacific Islander	1	0.0			
White	4,032	84.8			
Two or more races	59	1.2			
Total Minority	721	15.2			

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 1.8%

Non-English Home Language:

6.7% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 34.

EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

New Milford's minority population and ELL population continue to grow. In addition, the percentage of students on free and reduced lunch has increased. The New Milford Public Schools are actively involved in charitable commitments to those in our community and around the world. All six of the district's schools participate in a Character Education Program that emphasizes a respect for all and the acceptance of diversity. The high school has focused on reducing student isolation through activities to promote understanding and communication. The athletic conference fosters racial diversity and sportsmanship in athletic competition. Middle school students have learned to appreciate all members of the school through two programs that emphasize the importance of respect. The intermediate school has also been working to appreciate and respect one another. The three elementary schools expose students to the many nationalities in our schools through the discussion of customs, individual's names, and holidays. The developmental guidance program focuses on the similarities and differences of individuals. Our literacy and social studies programs also provide opportunities for lessons about ethnic, racial, and economic diversity.

STUDENT PERFORMANCE

Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade a	nd CMT Subject	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal	These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable
Grade 3	Reading	61.8	58.4	40.6	tests who were enrolled in the district at the
	Writing	57.8	61.1	28.0	time of testing,
	Mathematics	65.7	63.0	42.9	regardless of the length
Grade 4	Reading	67.4	62.5	47.2	of time they were enrolled in the district.
	Writing	65.6	65.5	31.1	Results for fewer than
	Mathematics	63.3	67.0	25.6	20 students are not
Grade 5	Reading	66.8	61.4	44.2	presented.
	Writing	68.5	66.8	38.7	
	Mathematics	72.1	72.5	35.0	
	Science	66.5	59.9	41.1	For more detailed CMT results, go to
Grade 6	Reading	78.7	76.0	38.7	www.ctreports.
	Writing	55.5	65.2	21.4	
	Mathematics	69.9	71.3	33.3	
Grade 7	Reading	87.4	77.8	59.9	To see the NCLB
	Writing	64.7	58.9	49.4	Report Card for this
	Mathematics	73.5	68.4	42.3	school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and
Grade 8	Reading	73.2	74.7	30.6	click on "No Child Left
	Writing	61.6	64.8	29.3	Behind."
	Mathematics	71.6	66.6	40.8	7
	Science	69.9	63.1	41.4	7

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scorable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	53.5	44.7	54.5
Writing Across the Disciplines	73.9	61.2	61.7
Mathematics	59.8	49.5	60.2
Science	64.0	47.0	74.4

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Physical Fitness. The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All Four Tests	District		% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
	46.9	51.0	37.0

SAT® I: Reasoning Test Class of 2010		District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Scores
% of Graduates Tes	sted	77.5	70.6	
Average Score	Mathematics	538	510	72.5
	Critical Reading	517	505	58.8
	Writing	517	510	54.2

SAT® I. The lowest possible score on each SAT® I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Adjusted Cohort Rate 2010	88.1	81.8	48.1
2009-10 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	1.5	2.8	32.4

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	87.0	84.8
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	9.1	9.1

RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

DISTRICT STAFF

Full-Time Equivalent Count of School Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	268.04
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	34.00
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	51.60
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	93.20
Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants	11.00
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	1.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs District Central Office School Level	3.00 16.91
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	6.25
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	22.50
School Nurses	8.00
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	259.50

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	14.0	14.6	13.9
% with Master's Degree or Above	74.5	79.0	79.0

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	18.1	17.5	18.4
Grade 2	20.5	19.2	19.9
Grade 5	23.0	21.7	21.2
Grade 7	20.0	20.7	20.6
High School	22.3	19.8	19.3

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	925	984	992
Middle School	1,009	1,025	1,017
High School	996	1,004	1,010

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be
offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten, and
450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	5.8	3.2	3.1
Middle School	3.2	2.5	2.4
High School	3.3	2.6	2.2

^{*}Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2009-10

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures All figures are unaudited.	Total (in 1000s)	Expenditures Per Pupil			
		District	PK-12 Districts	DRG	State
Instructional Staff and Services	\$34,551	\$7,105	\$8,232	\$7,875	\$8,237
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$1,224	\$252	\$299	\$267	\$300
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$1,122	\$231	\$477	\$387	\$463
Student Support Services	\$3,690	\$759	\$875	\$828	\$872
Administration and Support Services	\$5,567	\$1,145	\$1,433	\$1,339	\$1,459
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$5,643	\$1,160	\$1,421	\$1,322	\$1,410
Transportation	\$4,033	\$844	\$701	\$641	\$692
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	\$2,225	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$1,138	\$234	\$161	\$159	\$159
Total	\$59,192	\$12,125	\$13,878	\$13,136	\$13,780
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$4,988	\$1,026	\$1,622	\$1,432	\$1,616

Special Education Expenditures	District Total	Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education		
		District	DRG	State
	\$13,531,764	22.9	21.7	21.5

Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source. Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	72.1	21.0	4.8	2.1
Excluding School Construction	72.5	20.1	5.2	2.3

EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

The New Milford Public Schools have made an effort to align all we do along an equitable, PK-12 continuum. The budget is allotted on a per pupil expenditure. The three elementary schools worked together again this year to be sure that all services offered to the students in New Milford are the same in all three schools. District professional development, curriculum writing, tutors, and instructional supplies are just a few examples of what is equitably allocated among the schools.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible 643
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities 13.9%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities						
Disability	Count	District Percent	DRG Percent	State Percent		
Autism	74	1.6	1.2	1.1		
Learning Disability	191	4.1	3.4	3.9		
Intellectual Disability	14	0.3	0.4	0.4		
Emotional Disturbance	54	1.2	0.9	1.0		
Speech Impairment	116	2.5	2.3	2.2		
Other Health Impairment*	139	3.0	2.2	2.1		
Other Disabilities**	55	1.2	0.9	0.9		
Total	643	13.9	11.3	11.6		

^{*}Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

^{**}Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2009-10 with a Standard Diploma	79.1	62.5
2009-10 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	3.2	3.9

STATE ASSESSMENTS

Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students with	Students with Disabilities		udents
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	33.5	33.0	72.7	68.6
	Writing	19.0	19.3	62.2	63.7
	Mathematics	28.9	33.4	69.5	68.2
	Science	29.2	21.2	68.2	61.5
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	26.1	14.1	53.5	44.7
	Writing Across the Disciplines	23.3	17.3	73.9	61.2
	Mathematics	19.2	15.8	59.8	49.5
	Science	23.3	13.1	64.0	47.0

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools					
CMT % Without Accommodations 12.8					
	% With Accommodations	87.2			
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	33.3			
	% With Accommodations	66.7			
% Assessed U	Ising Skills Checklist	7.9			

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with non-disabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District's Schools				
Placement	Count	Percent		
Public Schools in Other Districts	0	0.0		
Private Schools or Other Settings	35	5.4		

Numbe	and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by	,
the Per	entage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers	

Time Spent with Non-Disabled Peers	Count of Students	Percent of Students		
		District	DRG	State
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	412	64.1	77.0	74.1
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	180	28.0	13.9	14.9
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	51	7.9	9.1	11.0

SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

The school district made AYP this year through safe harbor. The high school made AYP for the second year in a row, so it is no longer in need of improvement. John Pettibone also made AYP. The middle school missed AYP because one subgroup did not make the benchmark; however, the whole school did make AYP. The intermediate school made growth in the number of students proficient, but just missed the cut-off for AYP because the bar was raised. Two of the elementary schools did not make AYP. The weakest area on the CMT across the district is writing. We now have two literacy coaches (K-6, 7-12) and a math coach (K-6). They were recommended as part of our strategy five on on five-year strategic plan and have already had a positive impact on teacher support. A new literacy program, Superkids, was introduced in kindergarten, and the results were impressive. Students finished kindergarten performing higher than ever before based on district assessments. Curriculum continues to be written K-12 following the new curriculum format, which addresses the standards, essential questions, enduring understanding, and assessments. What students will know and be able to do is clearly delineated.