STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2007-08

Litchfield School District

DOMINICK C. VITA, Superintendent Location: 35 Plumb Hill Road

Telephone: (860) 567-7500 Litchfield,
Connecticut

Website: www.litchfieldschools.org

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at www.sde.ct.gov.

COMMUNITY DATA

County: Litchfield Per Capita Income in 2000: \$30,096

Town Population in 2000: 8,316 Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000*: 10.1% 1990-2000 Population Growth: -0.6% Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000*: 0.7% District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 87.5%

District Reference Group (DRG): E DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

PK-12

Enrollment on October 1, 2007 1,211 Grade Range 5-Year Enrollment Change -14.2%

INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in		Percent	
	District	District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	75	6.2	9.7	28.7
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	0	0.0	0.5	5.4
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	92	7.6	4.3	4.0
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	132	10.9	11.3	11.4
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	66	90.4	82.5	79.2
Homeless	0	0.0	0.0	0.2
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	40	19.1	20.0	20.2

^{*0.0%} of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

^{*}To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity				
Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent		
American Indian	8	0.7		
Asian American	27	2.2		
Black	8	0.7		
Hispanic	32	2.6		
White	1,136	93.8		
Total Minority	75	6.2		

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 0.8%

Non-English Home Language: All of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is the primary language.

EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

The Litchfield Public School District strives to provide opportunities for students to experience the benefits of interactions with students from other districts as part of a comprehensive effort to reduce racial, ethnic, and economic isolation. This initiative is intended to promote improved understanding and sensitivity to individuals from different backgrounds. Opportunities have included distance learning, international student and teacher exchanges, as well as other programs such as "Project Poetry Live", now in its 17th year, which brings 7th grade students from numerous area districts together to celebrate diversity. Students work in groups to produce works of art, a dance program, a set design panel, and an anthology of poems. Litchfield High School's "Peer Educators" contribute to understanding teenage issues. Prominent among those issues is diversity. Programs provide opportunities for LHS students to interact with students of diverse cultural, racial and economic backgrounds. The American Field Service chapter and the Rotary Exchange program at LHS are active. During the past several years, there have been numerous interactions and visits between LHS students and students from other countries. Litchfield High School participated in an exchange program with Spain, Germany, Bolivia, and Belgium to promote a better understanding and awareness of international diversity. Exchanges have involved students from South Korea, Spain and Brazil.

The Interact service club at LHS is sponsored by the Litchfield/Morris Rotary club with the goal to develop service projects that benefit people in our town and in our world. The club has made donations over the last few years to the Fistula Foundation in Ethiopia, the Save Darfur Coalition, UNICEF and the Northwest CT AIDS Project. Students from Litchfield High School enroll in the Greater Hartford Academy of the Arts along with students from other districts. Litchfield students at all grade levels have participated in activities including cultural enrichment programs, studying Native American culture, reading about diverse cultures, participating in special programs such as "Partners in Science" and the UCONN "Mini Med School", music competitions throughout New England, regional "Robotics" competitions, and the "Dixie Classic Music Festival in Richmond, Virginia. As a relatively small school district in Northwestern Connecticut, the Litchfield Public Schools continue to make a conscientious effort to enhance student awareness and understanding of all types of diversity to assist students to be better prepared to be a part of the state, national, and global community.

STUDENT PERFORMANCE

Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade and CMT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Grade 3 Reading	68.8	52.0	73.6
Writing	72.0	63.4	55.8
Mathematics	74.2	60.0	69.3
Grade 4 Reading	69.6	55.9	67.1
Writing	72.8	62.9	59.1
Mathematics	73.9	60.3	64.8
Grade 5 Reading	80.2	62.2	79.0
Writing	74.4	64.5	58.0
Mathematics	79.1	65.9	66.7
Science	68.6	54.9	56.2
Grade 6 Reading	86.1	66.3	85.7
Writing	67.1	61.9	50.6
Mathematics	87.3	66.4	86.3
Grade 7 Reading	84.9	71.1	69.0
Writing	72.0	62.0	56.1
Mathematics	72.0	63.0	52.3
Grade 8 Reading	83.6	64.8	78.6
Writing	76.7	63.4	61.6
Mathematics	74.1	60.8	56.0
Science	78.4	58.6	76.1

These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the district. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

For more detailed CMT results, go to www.ctreports.

To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to <u>www.sde.ct.gov</u> and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	62.5	45.5	71.5
Writing Across the Disciplines	79.3	57.9	82.3
Mathematics	73.6	50.1	80.0
Science	71.8	46.3	83.1

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Physical Fitness. The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
Four Tests	47.9	36.1	84.0

SAT [®] I: Reasonin Class of 2007	g Test	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Scores	
% of Graduates Te	sted	84.6	77.6		
Average Score	Mathematics	559	504	89.2	
	Critical Reading	556	502	92.3	
	Writing	567	503	95.4	

SAT[®] **I.** The lowest possible score on each SAT[®] I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Class of 2007	98.1	92.6	73.1
Cumulative Four-Year Dropout Rate for Class of 2007	1.9	6.2	69.9
2006-07 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	0.9	1.7	62.2

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	88.5	83.4
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	7.7	12.3

RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

DISTRICT STAFF

Full-Time Equivalent Count of District Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	86.10
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	3.00
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	9.00
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	20.60
Library/Media Specialists and Assistants	4.00
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	4.00
School Level	4.00
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	5.00
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	7.40
School Nurses	2.01
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	59.10

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	13.8	14.5	13.6
% with Master's Degree or Above	80.2	78.1	75.6

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	14.8	15.7	18.1
Grade 2	20.3	16.8	19.3
Grade 5	21.8	19.9	20.9
Grade 7	18.0	19.6	20.5
High School	18.0	18.7	18.6

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	1,011	984	987
Middle School	N/A	N/A	N/A
High School	1,004	1,005	1,006

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be
offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten,
and 450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	3.6	3.0	3.4
Middle School	N/A	N/A	N/A
High School	2.8	2.3	2.7

^{*}Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2006-07

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures	Total	Expenditures Per Pupil			
All figures are unaudited.	(in 1000s)	District	PK-12	DRG	State
			Districts		
Instructional Staff and Services	\$8,438	\$6,692	\$7,153	\$6,656	\$7,159
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$370	\$294	\$262	\$302	\$266
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$782	\$620	\$443	\$364	\$429
Student Support Services	\$1,308	\$1,037	\$764	\$810	\$761
Administration and Support Services	\$1,527	\$1,211	\$1,256	\$1,264	\$1,271
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$1,673	\$1,327	\$1,329	\$1,301	\$1,322
Transportation	\$923	\$701	\$605	\$622	\$601
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	\$466	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$350	\$277	\$147	\$154	\$145
Total	\$15,838	\$12,335	\$12,203	\$11,756	\$12,151
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$19,391	\$15,378	\$1,875	\$1,899	\$1,882

Special Education Expenditures	
Total Expenditures	\$2,822,009
Percent of Total PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education	17.8%

Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source. Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	84.0	15.0	1.0	0.1
Excluding School Construction	88.7	9.1	2.1	0.1

EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

The Litchfield Public School District equitably allocates resources among all the schools in the system. Programs, supplies, and services are provided to students in each school in a fair and uniform manner appropriate to the learning and developmental needs of students at the elementary, intermediate, middle, and high school levels. In addition to instructional and other resources, the town has nearly completed the extensive renovation and expansion of the intermediate and middle/high schools to ensure that all students in the district are educated in school facilities that are appropriate to the educational programs offered and that are safe, healthy, and pleasant. The allocation of resources within the district is reviewed annually during the budget development process. The school administration and the Board of Education evaluate the needs of the district and each school to address the educational needs of each school. Finally, the voters in the town approve the annual budget.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible	142
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities	11.5%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities							
Disability	Count District Percent DRG Percent State Percent						
Autism	5	0.4	0.7	0.7			
Learning Disability	55	4.5	3.9	4.0			
Intellectual Disability	3	0.2	0.4	0.5			
Emotional Disturbance	1	0.1	0.7	1.0			
Speech Impairment	48	3.9	3.0	2.4			
Other Health Impairment*	24	1.9	1.9	2.1			
Other Disabilities**	6	0.5	0.7	0.9			
Total	142	11.5	11.2	11.5			

^{*}Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

^{**}Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2006-07 with a Standard Diploma	90.0	77.2
2006-07 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	2.1	2.8

STATE ASSESSMENTS

Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students wi	th Disabilities	All Students	
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	30.0	20.4	78.9	62.1
	Writing	17.1	19.3	72.8	63.0
	Mathematics	22.9	22.6	76.4	62.7
	Science	32.0	22.2	74.3	56.8
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	62.5	45.5
	Writing Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	79.3	57.9
	Mathematics	N/A	N/A	73.6	50.1
	Science	N/A	N/A	71.8	46.3

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools					
CMT	% Without Accommodations	32.9			
	% With Accommodations	67.1			
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	46.7			
	% With Accommodations	53.3			
% Asse	% Assessed Using Skills Checklist 0.0				

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with nondisabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

Settings Other Than This District's Schools				
Placement	Count	Percent		
Public Schools in Other Districts	1	0.7		
Private Schools or Other Settings	10	7.0		

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers					
Time Spent with Non-Disabled Count of Percent of Students					
Peers	Students	District	DRG	State	
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	115	81.0	74.4	71.6	
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	22	15.5	18.8	16.6	
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	5	3.5	6.8	11.8	

SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

The Litchfield Public School District continues to show solid student performance in the Connecticut Mastery tests and Connecticut Academic Performance Test. The district regularly exceeds NCLB requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress. This level of performance is evidence of sustained improvements by students as they move through the system. While this level of achievement may be very good, continuing improvement is necessary to reach the statewide goals.

A Director of Curriculum and Instruction has been hired for the 2007-08 school year. This is a new position designed to enhance curriculum and instruction in the district as well as to improve professional development opportunities.

While student performance in Litchfield is commendable in many ways, improving student performance continues to be one of the district's priorities. The district is in the process of reviewing the program of studies, instructional methodologies, and alignment to the Connecticut Curriculum Frameworks to promote better student learning. An extensive Supplemental Skills program has been instituted at the elementary level along with a Basic Skills program at the middle school and targeted support at the high school to ensure that all students attain expected levels of performance.

In the area of special education, Litchfield continues to educate all students in the least restrictive environment. It is assumed that student with disabilities will continue to spend the vast majority of their school day with typical peers in regular classes and activities. An added focus will be on the encouragement of our students with disabilities to participate not only in regular classes but to participate in appropriate school sponsored extracurricular activities with typical students. The education and support of parents and school staff to increase this participation will be needed. The involvement of families and adult students in the development of meaningful IEP objectives and transition activities will continue to be an important focus area. Emphasis will be placed on the formulation of program modifications, adaptations, and instructional strategies so the completed IEPs will be meaningful to the parents and regular education teachers, including the teachers of art, music, and physical education. Professional development activities for the entire school staff have concentrated on differentiated instruction with the goal of improving student learning for all students.