STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2009-10

Brooklyn School District

LOUISE S. BERRY, Superintendent

Telephone: (860) 774-9153

Location: 119 Gorman Road

Brooklyn, Connecticut

Website: www.brooklynschools.org

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at www.sde.ct.gov.

COMMUNITY DATA

County: Windham

Town Population in 2000: 7,173 1990-2000 Population Growth: 7.4%

Number of Public Schools: 2

Per Capita Income in 2000: \$20,359

Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000*: 21.0% Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000*: 0.7% District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 94.5%

District Reference Group (DRG): E DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Enrollment on October 1, 2009 962 5-Year Enrollment Change -3.7%

Grade Range

PK - 8

INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in District		Percent	
		District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	204	21.2	12.9	32.6
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	0	0.0	0.7	5.4
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	76	8.0	3.7	4.1
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	115	12.0	11.1	11.4
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	68	100.0	87.2	80.5
Homeless	0	0.0	0.1	0.2
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

^{*84.4 %} of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

^{*}To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity				
Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent		
American Indian	3	0.3		
Asian American	22	2.3		
Black	20	2.1		
Hispanic	29	3.0		
White	888	92.3		
Total Minority	74	7.7		

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 1.3%

Non-English Home Language:

1.8% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 8.

EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

Brooklyn is a small town with very little racial diversity. However, its economic diversity is evidenced by the increasing percentage of students eligible for free/reduced price meals. Hence, the issues of economic isolation and fragmented families are of ongoing concern to members of our staff. At the elementary level, we work to address the limitations in the lives of many of our students through a variety of curricular projects to provide opportunities for students to experience other cultures. In addition, students have a number of field trips annually to enrich and broaden their perspective. At the middle school level, the many cultural enrichment activities throughout the year in language arts, social studies and science help to broaden students' understanding of the differences, as well as the similarities of different ethnic groups, to relate to a "larger world", and to expand their interest to issues and activities well beyond Connecticut. The faculty has incorporated diversity into their instructional activities and our field trip programs provide opportunities for students to interact with students from other schools. Our technological resources and extensive use of the Internet have helped our students. These activities also help students develop an appreciation of the traditions of other cultures. Our secondary students are tuitioned to a number of high schools in eastern Connecticut under the Board's Freedom of Choice policy and thus have access to a broad range of programs/ activities. Brooklyn's high school students also become members of student bodies with much more diversity than is possible in Brooklyn. Within the scope of their experience in our designated high schools, our secondary students have the opportunity learn about and interact with members of different ethnic, racial and economic backgrounds and cultures.

STUDENT PERFORMANCE

Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade at Area	nd CMT Subject	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal	These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable
Grade 3	Reading	54.1	57.0	29.4	tests who were enrolled in the district at the
	Writing	51.4	58.3	18.4	time of testing,
	Mathematics	47.7	62.4	11.0	regardless of the length
Grade 4	Reading	59.5	59.9	33.3	of time they were enrolled in the district.
	Writing	61.8	63.6	30.6	Results for fewer than
	Mathematics	72.6	67.0	45.9	20 students are not
Grade 5	Reading	52.5	61.8	16.4	presented.
	Writing	49.5	68.2	9.0	
	Mathematics	56.6	72.4	10.2	E
	Science	59.4	59.4	29.5	For more detailed CMT results, go to
Grade 6	Reading	76.2	74.9	35.6	www.ctreports.
	Writing	64.1	65.9	32.3	
	Mathematics	61.4	70.7	17.8	
Grade 7	Reading	90.8	77.4	76.0	To see the NCLB
	Writing	70.3	61.2	52.6	Report Card for this school, go to
	Mathematics	75.9	68.5	49.4	www.sde.ct.gov and
Grade 8	Reading	75.6	73.3	37.6	click on "No Child Left
	Writing	59.8	62.6	29.3	Behind."
	Mathematics	68.9	67.3	36.9	
	Science	71.7	62.8	45.9	

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scorable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	N/A
Writing Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Physical Fitness. The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All Four Tests	District		% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
	47.6	50.7	38.7

SAT® I: Reasoning Test Class of 2009		District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Scores
% of Graduates Te	ested	N/A	N/A	
Average Score	Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Critical Reading	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Writing	N/A	N/A	N/A

SAT® I. The lowest possible score on each SAT® I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Class of 2009	N/A	N/A	N/A
2008-09 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	N/A	N/A	N/A

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	N/A	N/A
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	N/A	N/A

RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

DISTRICT STAFF

Full-Time Equivalent Count of School Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	59.41
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	14.68
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	6.00
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	17.00
Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants	1.72
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs District Central Office School Level	2.00 3.02
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	1.00
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	3.00
School Nurses	2.00
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	42.70

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	19.0	15.1	13.8
% with Master's Degree or Above	80.6	79.5	77.8

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	17.0	16.3	18.5
Grade 2	19.8	18.3	19.7
Grade 5	20.2	19.4	21.1
Grade 7	18.4	19.3	20.8
High School	N/A	N/A	N/A

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	978	997	992
Middle School	991	1,023	1,018
High School	N/A	N/A	N/A

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be
offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten, and
450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	8.2	2.6	3.2
Middle School	2.6	2.2	2.5
High School	N/A	N/A	N/A

^{*}Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2008-09

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures All figures are unaudited.	Total (in 1000s)	Expenditures Per Pupil			
		District	PK-12 Districts	DRG	State
Instructional Staff and Services	\$6,746	\$6,877	\$7,843	\$7,407	\$7,829
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$219	\$223	\$336	\$320	\$279
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$182	\$185	\$252	\$410	\$459
Student Support Services	\$816	\$832	\$830	\$912	\$859
Administration and Support Services	\$891	\$908	\$1,627	\$1,419	\$1,426
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$1,129	\$1,151	\$1,336	\$1,482	\$1,462
Transportation	\$1,136	\$805	\$658	\$715	\$694
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out*	\$604	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$14	\$14	\$93	\$189	\$162
Total*	\$11,737	\$11,772	\$13,721	\$13,230	\$13,386
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$1,588	\$1,618	\$1,232	\$2,416	\$1,825

^{*}Town total expenditures (in 1000s) for PK-12 are: Total, \$15,889 Tuition Costs, \$4,755. Total town expenditures per pupil for PK-12 are \$11,743.

Special Education Expenditures	District Total	Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education		•
		District	DRG	State
	\$2,547,534	16.0	19.3	20.7

Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source. Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	50.7	46.6	2.7	0.0
Excluding School Construction	49.7	47.3	3.0	0.0

EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

The Board of Education's policy on the allocation of district resources provides the framework for both expenditures and revenues and translates into financial terms the priorities and educational needs of the district. The Board expects the superintendent to work closely with building level administrators in studying the needs of the school and recommendations are consistent with those needs. Building administrators are expected to confer with their grade level teams to obtain information on needs and priorities. The Board formulates the annual budget through a committee structure and solicits comments and recommendations from parents and community members. Resources are allocated in an equitable manner in an attempt to meet the needs of all students. Limited budget dollars are targeted toward priorities which are established by the Board of Education and the administration. Programmatic issues such as staff/student ratios and class size are reviewed annually or more frequently, if necessary. With the economic pressure on our budget process this year, our goal for the development of the 2010-2011 budget was to maintain current programs and services. However, as a result of the economy, we had to cut staff, both certified and non-certified, as well as supplies and equipment.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible 142
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities 11.6%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities					
Disability	DRG Percent	State Percent			
Autism	4	0.3	0.9	1.0	
Learning Disability	64	5.2	3.8	3.9	
Intellectual Disability	4	0.3	0.4	0.5	
Emotional Disturbance	13	1.1	0.7	1.0	
Speech Impairment	30	2.4	2.7	2.2	
Other Health Impairment*	18	1.5	1.9	2.1	
Other Disabilities**	9	0.7	0.7	0.9	
Total	142	11.6	11.2	11.6	

^{*}Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

^{**}Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2008-09 with a Standard Diploma	25.0	81.0
2008-09 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	0.0	4.1

STATE ASSESSMENTS

Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students with	Students with Disabilities		udents
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	20.6	31.6	67.5	67.5
	Writing	10.8	19.6	59.1	63.3
	Mathematics	23.5	32.9	62.9	68.1
	Science	14.7	23.7	65.3	61.1
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Writing Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools				
CMT % Without Accommodations 2.5				
	% With Accommodations	97.5		
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	N/A		
	% With Accommodations	N/A		
% Assessed Using Skills Checklist		2.5		

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with non-disabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District's Schools			
Placement	Count	Percent	
Public Schools in Other Districts	0	0.0	
Private Schools or Other Settings	14	9.9	

١	Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by
١	the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers

Time Spent with Non-Disabled Peers	Count of Students	Percent of Students		dents
		District	DRG	State
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	108	76.1	74.9	73.4
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	20	14.1	18.0	15.3
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	14	9.9	7.0	11.3

SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

As a result of our scores on the 2009 CMTs, we began the 2009-2010 school year with a school-wide goal of increasing the academic achievement of all students, specifically in reading. We trained staff members in the use of data to analyze student progress, we asked team/grade level staff members to use their planning meetings to review student performance and plan appropriate instructional activities and we asked our remedial reading teachers to spend time in the regular classrooms modeling instructional practices. Finally, we increased the time for collaboration between our remedial/developmental reading teacher at the elementary level and our classroom teachers, and we re-hired a developmental reading teacher with federal stimulus funds to address this issue.Our reading coordinator worked with all classroom teachers in grades K through four to introduce both a new reading series and the use of the DIBELS Program to collect data on reading performance which will allow us to both assess progress more frequently and to modify instructional practices as needed. The monitoring of student progress with particular attention to phonological awareness and alphabetic principles in the early grades will help teachers provide appropriate targeted instruction. In the area of special education, our focus has been on inclusion of all special needs students in the regular classroom program thus increasing the time with non-disabled peers. This has been accomplished by an increase in the use of trained paraprofessionals working with individuals or small groups of students in the regular classroom under the supervision of the classroom teacher and the special education instructor. With new facilities for our Early Childhood Center, we are providing opportunities for 200 Pre -K and Kindergarten students to participate in a comprehensive early learning experience. This program, which includes a developmentally based curriculum, is available without cost to all age appropriate children in Brooklyn and parents are eager to enroll their children.