STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2012-13

Norwalk School District

Anthony Daddona, Superintendent

Telephone: (203) 854-4001

Location: 125 East Avenue

Norwalk, Connecticut

Website: www.norwalk.k12.ct.us/

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at www.sde.ct.gov.

COMMUNITY DATA

County: Fairfield

Town Population in 2000: 82,951

1990-2000 Population Growth: 5.9% Number of Public Schools: 19 Per Capita Income in 2000: \$31,781

Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000*: 17.9% Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000*: 6.6% District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 88.0%

District Reference Group (DRG): H DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Enrollment on October 1, 2012 11,072 5-Year Enrollment Change 4.5% Grade Range PK - 12

INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in District	Percent		
		District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	5,232	47.3	55.3	36.7
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	1,302	12.0	12.7	5.8
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	1,056	9.5	3.3	3.8
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	1,147	10.4	11.9	11.9
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	790	83.8	74.8	79.3
Homeless	6	0.1	0.3	0.3
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	191	15.0	13.6	12.7

^{*100.0 %} of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

^{*}To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity				
Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent		
American Indian	11	0.1		
Asian American	559	5.0		
Black	2,232	20.2		
Hispanic	4,178	37.7		
Pacific Islander	4	0.0		
White	3,966	35.8		
Two or more races	122	1.1		
Total Minority	7,106	64.2		

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 15.9%

Non-English Home Language:

37.3% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 60.

EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

Though some districts must go outside of their boundaries to expose children to diversity, Norwalk is itself a diverse community, where students of all ethnicities and many backgrounds are represented in every school. In order to ensure that school faculties are fully diverse, hiring practices exist to encourage diversity among its staff and to ensure that all candidates and employees receive fair and equitable treatment. Programs on different levels within the Norwalk Public Schools provide students with opportunities to experience a rich array of experiences that promote the value of diversity. On the elementary level, about 330 students from all geographic areas in Norwalk attend the intra-district magnet at Columbus Elementary School, based on the Bank Street Model. The school has instituted important school reform initiatives that address issues of racial and economic isolation as well as the achievement gap. A second magnet program that draws from the diverse city-wide population is housed at Jefferson Elementary School and connects learning through a focus on science. About 585 students learn about life, physical and earth sciences through hands-on experiences. On the secondary level, students from within Norwalk mix with non-resident students in order to participate in the Center for Global Studies, a magnet program housed at Brien McMahon High School. Students experience intensive study including instruction in Japanese, Chinese and Arab languages, culture and history. They are housed in an expanded and renovated school facility and have opportunities throughout their high school years to be exposed to diversity through international travel. The focus of this program has been to bring together a socio-economically diverse group of parents and to provide them with civic leadership skills. More than 100 parents have graduated from this program. Within the District Improvement Plan is a focus on outreach to parents and community. Three (3) parent outreach workers performed translation services for more than 100 individuals, carried out more than 480 referrals/home visits to parents, made more than 10,000 phone calls and made more than 2,000 community visits. This outreach resulted in more than 2,400 parents attending workshops and meetings district-wide.

STUDENT PERFORMANCE

Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade an	nd CMT Subject	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal	These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable
Grade 3	Reading	51.4	56.9	23.8	tests who were enrolled in the district at the
	Writing	55.4	60.0	28.6	time of testing,
	Mathematics	61.2	61.4	35.4	regardless of the length
Grade 4	Reading	60.9	62.6	29.1	of time they were enrolled in the district.
	Writing	67.9	63.0	50.0	Results for fewer than
	Mathematics	68.9	65.1	39.9	20 students are not
Grade 5	Reading	63.6	66.9	29.2	presented.
	Writing	62.9	65.6	31.7	
	Mathematics	62.4	69.2	25.5	E 1. I CMT
	Science	55.5	62.3	20.5	For more detailed CMT results, go to
Grade 6	Reading	66.0	73.3	16.8	www.ctreports.
	Writing	55.2	65.1	17.1	
	Mathematics	58.0	67	20.2	
Grade 7	Reading	81.5	78.9	36.1	To see the NCLB
	Writing	59.7	64.9	25.3	Report Card for this school, go to
	Mathematics	62.3	65.4	27.8	www.sde.ct.gov and
Grade 8	Reading	73.8	76.2	24.7	click on "No Child Left
	Writing	61.0	67.2	20.1	Behind."
	Mathematics	63.4	65.0	31.4	
	Science	56.7	60.4	25.2	

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scorable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	36.7	48.5	23.5
Writing Across the Disciplines	52.7	62.1	25.0
Mathematics	41.7	52.4	23.5
Science	34.9	48.8	21.8

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Physical Fitness. The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All Four Tests	District		% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
	49.5	51.1	41.4

SAT® I: Reasoning Test Class of 2012		District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Scores
% of Graduates Tes	sted	68.1	78.5	
Average Score	Mathematics	480	503	26.3
	Critical Reading	475	499	24.8
	Writing	482	504	23.3

SAT® I. The lowest possible score on each SAT® I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Adjusted Cohort Rate 2012	84.9	84.8	27.2
2011-12 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	0.9	2.1	35.7

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	83.2	82.6
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	4.6	9.8

RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

DISTRICT STAFF

Full-Time Equivalent Count of School Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	685.07
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	0.00
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	84.10
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	0.00
Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants	2.00
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs District Central Office School Level	13.00 39.60
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	1.00
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	59.80
School Nurses	0.00
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	19.00

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	15.0	13.8	13.9
% with Master's Degree or Above	76.1	80.8	79.8

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	22.0	20.6	18.9
Grade 2	21.3	20.6	19.8
Grade 5	20.6	22.0	21.3
Grade 7	18.3	20.4	20.2
High School	21.6	20.7	18.8

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	945	977	999
Middle School	987	992	1,029
High School	1,077	1,012	1,027

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be
offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten, and
450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	3.4	3.4	2.7
Middle School	2.8	2.9	2.1
High School	2.3	2.4	2.1

^{*}Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2011-12

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures All figures are unaudited.	Total (in 1000s)	Expenditures Per Pupil			
		District	PK-12 Districts	DRG	State
Instructional Staff and Services	\$111,296	\$10,019	\$8,570	\$8,708	\$8,570
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$1,359	\$122	\$252	\$211	\$257
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$1,176	\$106	\$475	\$347	\$471
Student Support Services	\$11,497	\$1,035	\$949	\$888	\$950
Administration and Support Services	\$23,960	\$2,157	\$1,526	\$1,562	\$1,547
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$15,365	\$1,383	\$1,466	\$1,367	\$1,459
Transportation	\$5,849	\$470	\$775	\$678	\$765
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	\$7,297	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$1,362	\$123	\$170	\$89	\$170
Total	\$179,161	\$15,834	\$14,444	\$13,932	\$14,333
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$14,548	\$1,310	\$1,405	\$879	\$1,398

Special Education Expenditures	District Total	Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Specia Education		•
		District DRG State		State
	\$35,115,616	19.6	21.9	21.8

Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source. Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	83.9	12.6	3.0	0.6
Excluding School Construction	82.6	13.6	3.2	0.6

EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

The Norwalk Board of Education's policy regarding distribution of district resources ensures that each school within Norwalk Public Schools receives an equitable level of material and financial resources. This is based on the student population numbers in each school. The Board approves a yearly budget, which sets a base level of support for each school. Staff members are then assigned as determined by the number of students and programs operating in that individual school; this is done in accordance with contractual class size limits. Each school receives a per pupil allocation for non-personnel expenditures including such items as textbooks, supplies and equipment. Additional equipment, textbooks and supplies are purchased centrally on behalf of the schools based on need. Additional funding for improvement to school facilities is determined by a district-wide program. School administration assesses the condition of each school and prioritizes needs. These expenditures are approved by the Board of Education and funded through the City. The majority of this work is completed through a capital budget.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible 1,187
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities 10.5%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities						
Disability Count District Percent DRG Percent Sta						
Autism	122	1.1	1.1	1.3		
Learning Disability	430	3.8	4.1	4.0		
Intellectual Disability	29	0.3	0.4	0.4		
Emotional Disturbance	42	0.4	0.9	1.0		
Speech Impairment	226	2.0	2.1	2.0		
Other Health Impairment*	237	2.1	2.1	2.4		
Other Disabilities**	101	0.9	1.2	1.0		
Total	1,187	10.5	12.0	12.1		

^{*}Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

^{**}Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2011-12 with a Standard Diploma	74.3	64.4
2011-12 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	2.0	3.2

STATE ASSESSMENTS

Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students with	Students with Disabilities		udents
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	26.5	34.5	65.8	69.2
	Writing	19.1	19.9	60.4	64.4
	Mathematics	23.7	29.0	62.8	65.5
	Science	17.1	21.3	56.1	61.3
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	3.2	15.7	36.7	48.5
	Writing Across the Disciplines	6.0	16.7	52.7	62.1
	Mathematics	3.3	16.8	41.7	52.4
	Science	4.5	14.6	34.9	48.8

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools			
CMT	% Without Accommodations	18.8	
	% With Accommodations	81.2	
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	28.1	
	% With Accommodations	71.9	
% Assessed U	sing Skills Checklist	14.5	

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with non-disabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District's Schools				
Placement Count Percent				
Public Schools in Other Districts	14	1.2		
Private Schools or Other Settings	97	8.2		

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers

Time Spent with Non-Disabled Peers	Count of Students	Percent of Students		
		District	DRG	State
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	960	80.9	68.7	72.0
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	100	8.4	16.3	16.4
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	127	10.7	15.1	11.6

SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

Norwalk Public Schools are guided by a strategic multi-year District Improvement Plan (DIP) that establishes goals, objectives and strategies for the district-as-a-whole and for all its schools. The most current plan covers 2011-2014. There are three goals in the plan – numeracy, literacy and community involvement and outreach. Each goal includes specific objectives and strategies that focus on improvement in the areas of instruction and student achievement. Implementation of the plan is monitored by the District Data Team (DDT), including oversight of the alignment between the DIP and individual School Growth Plans (SGPs), which are established by School Data Teams (SDTs). SDTs work in conjunction with Instructional Data Teams (IDTs), organized by grade level and/or discipline. All programs cited in SGPs are research-based and include clear evidence that they meet the District's expectations for raising achievement at the targeted pace and the required levels. All schools are provided with school-wide and subgroup data that they use to establish their targets and these are aligned with the DIP so that when schools meet their targeted goals, the District will also meet its district-as-a-whole and subgroup targeted goals. There is alignment between IDTS, the SDT and the DDT to ensure that implementation of research-based instructional strategies improves learning outcomes and student achievement for all learners. The DDT, led by the Superintendent and Assistant Superintendent is charged with the strategic responsibility for monitoring implementation of the DIP. To this end, the DDT meets monthly to monitor progress; DDT members also conduct on-site scheduled visits with SDTs for progress monitoring and to provide the necessary support to ensure consistent implementation of SGPs.