#### STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2010-11

### **West Haven School District**

NEIL C. CAVALLARO, Superintendent

Telephone: (203) 937-4310 West Haven, Connecticut

Website: www.whschools.org

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at <a href="https://www.sde.ct.gov.">www.sde.ct.gov.</a>

### **COMMUNITY DATA**

County: New Haven

Town Population in 2000: 52,360 1990-2000 Population Growth: -3.1%

Number of Public Schools: 9

Per Capita Income in 2000: \$21,121

Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000\*: 18.8% Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000\*: 3.4% District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 90.8%

Location: 25 Ogden Street

District Reference Group (DRG): H DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

### STUDENT ENROLLMENT

#### DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Enrollment on October 1, 2010 6,194 5-Year Enrollment Change -12.0% Grade Range PK - 12

## INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in District	Percent		
		District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	3,566	57.6	53.0	34.1
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	630	10.3	12.7	5.6
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	131	2.1	3.3	4.0
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	691	11.2	11.0	11.4
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	329	59.0	75.1	80.2
Homeless	12	0.2	0.3	0.3
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	83	13.8	15.5	13.2

<sup>\*35.9 %</sup> of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

<sup>\*</sup>To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

### SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity				
Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent		
American Indian	8	0.1		
Asian American	220	3.6		
Black	1,357	21.9		
Hispanic	1,814	29.3		
Pacific Islander	1	0.0		
White	2,561	41.3		
Two or more races	233	3.8		
Total Minority	3,633	58.7		

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 5.7%

#### **Non-English Home Language:**

21.9% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 60.

### EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

The City of West Haven is an urban community, which continues to celebrate the gifts of its diverse population and multiculturalism in a variety of ways throughout the academic year. During the 2010-2011 year, many activities and programs took place at the individual schools that are designed to reduce racial, ethnic and economic isolation. The West Haven School District continues to build on the sense of community, which has been present for decades, and is best typified by the numerous family and community academic, social and recreational activities held at the schools. Events include international nights, school-wide research project celebrating different cultures, family math nights, tours of the Yale campus, and other informational sessions and workshops, which are designed to involve all parents in their community schools in an effort to reduce not only racial and ethnic isolation, but equally as important, economic isolation. As one of its four goals, the District Wide Improvement Plan focuses on community involvement and continues to support parents as they strive to make a difference for their children. This coming school year, we will have elections for School Governance Councils at all schools. The Council is an advisory branch of the school and includes parents, teachers and community members. School Compacts will also be completed by the Council and used to define student goals by grade levels, along with ideas to support youngsters in school and in the home. West Haven's youngsters continue to be represented and involved in the State Interdistrict Cooperative Grant Programs through a variety of activities between urban and suburban school district student and teacher populations. These are year round student and staff activities, which focus on cultural awareness through cross-community involvement activities utilizing specific content areas, such as the science, as a common instructional vehicle. Additionally, West Haven youngsters continue to participate in a variety of state sponsored choice options, such as the interdistrict magnet school efforts, which are designed to reduce racial, ethnic and economic isolation. West Haven is committed to hiring staff in both certified and non-certified positions that reflect best reflect the diversity of our student population. A conscience effort has been made to include bilingual staff at each school, as well as to send out parental notices in a language other than English.

### STUDENT PERFORMANCE

**Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Goal.** The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade a	nd CMT Subject	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal	These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable
Grade 3	Reading	46.8	58.4	15.6	tests who were enrolled in the district at the
	Writing	49.6	61.1	14.3	time of testing,
	Mathematics	47.2	63.0	11.2	regardless of the length
Grade 4	Reading	55.1	62.5	20.9	of time they were enrolled in the district.
	Writing	58.6	65.5	18.9	Results for fewer than
	Mathematics	51.0	67.0	11.6	20 students are not
Grade 5	Reading	41.7	61.4	12.9	presented.
	Writing	54.9	66.8	15.3	
	Mathematics	50.4	72.5	8.6	
	Science	43.0	59.9	13.5	For more detailed CMT results, go to
Grade 6	Reading	64.6	76.0	12.5	www.ctreports.
	Writing	56.4	65.2	23.8	
	Mathematics	50.7	71.3	7.7	
Grade 7	Reading	68.3	77.8	15.3	To see the NCLB
	Writing	43.5	58.9	12.7	Report Card for this
	Mathematics	54.5	68.4	14.7	school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and
Grade 8	Reading	60.4	74.7	12.7	click on "No Child Left
	Writing	47.7	64.8	11.5	Behind."
	Mathematics	46.9	66.6	10.8	7
	Science	48.8	63.1	15.9	7

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scorable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	20.7	44.7	9.8
Writing Across the Disciplines	51.4	61.2	23.3
Mathematics	20.5	49.5	12.8
Science	29.3	47.0	18.0

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

**Physical Fitness.** The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All Four Tests	District		% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
	30.0	51.0	9.0

SAT® I: Reasoning Test Class of 2010		District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Scores
% of Graduates Te	ested	62.6	70.6	
Average Score	Mathematics	444	510	11.5
	Critical Reading	444	505	11.5
	Writing	448	510	9.9

**SAT® I.** The lowest possible score on each SAT® I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Adjusted Cohort Rate 2010	77.2	81.8	18.3
2009-10 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	2.0	2.8	23.0

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	91.7	84.8
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	3.7	9.1

# RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

# **DISTRICT STAFF**

Full-Time Equivalent Count of School Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	374.55
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	43.55
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	77.10
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	102.00
Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants	7.00
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.50
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs District Central Office School Level	10.50 19.80
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	17.90
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	36.30
School Nurses	16.00
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	266.14

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	16.0	13.6	13.9
% with Master's Degree or Above	76.6	79.8	79.0

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	19.5	19.4	18.4
Grade 2	20.7	20.6	19.9
Grade 5	25.1	22.0	21.2
Grade 7	25.1	20.9	20.6
High School	20.5	18.7	19.3

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	953	968	992
Middle School	961	992	1,017
High School	963	1,009	1,010

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be
offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten, and
450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	5.0	3.5	3.1
Middle School	5.3	3.1	2.4
High School	4.6	2.8	2.2

<sup>\*</sup>Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

# **DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2009-10**

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures All figures are unaudited.	Total (in 1000s)	Expenditures Per Pupil			
		District	PK-12 Districts	DRG	State
Instructional Staff and Services	\$50,290	\$8,067	\$8,232	\$8,676	\$8,237
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$1,375	\$221	\$299	\$252	\$300
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$2,690	\$431	\$477	\$418	\$463
Student Support Services	\$4,779	\$767	\$875	\$839	\$872
Administration and Support Services	\$6,753	\$1,083	\$1,433	\$1,488	\$1,459
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$15,544	\$2,493	\$1,421	\$1,355	\$1,410
Transportation	\$4,622	\$616	\$701	\$619	\$692
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	\$6,985	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$1,626	\$261	\$161	\$105	\$159
Total	\$94,664	\$12,904	\$13,878	\$13,795	\$13,780
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$3,706	\$594	\$1,622	\$1,182	\$1,616

Special Education Expenditures	District Total	Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Specia Education		
		District	DRG	State
	\$21,919,580	23.2	21.1	21.5

**Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source.** Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	43.8	43.1	12.8	0.3
Excluding School Construction	42.9	43.5	13.3	0.3

## EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

Equitable Allocation of Resources among Schools The West Haven Board of Education continues to allocate funds to its schools on a per pupil basis. School administrators and central office staff work together to develop a budget that is designed to meet the needs of a particular school community and its student population. The budget process takes into account the needs of the buildings as they relate to programs and initiatives offered throughout the district. Each school budget is designed to ensure comparable resources are distributed across the buildings. It is the responsibility of the district-wide subject supervisors to implement major curriculum changes and related professional development in such a manner to ensure equal access of content for all youngsters in the District. The West Haven School System is focused on appreciating the uniqueness in all of our schools; however, the allocation of resources is standardized. The West Haven Board of Education is committed to ensuring equal access to not only the curriculum, but also to the equitable allocation of all resources, such as technology. The District is committed to following all state and federal guidelines when allocating grant funds, thus ensuring that students most in need will benefit.

#### SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible 811
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities 11.3%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities					
Disability	State Percent				
Autism	69	1.0	0.9	1.1	
Learning Disability	222	3.1	3.9	3.9	
Intellectual Disability	24	0.3	0.5	0.4	
Emotional Disturbance	65	0.9	0.9	1.0	
Speech Impairment	204	2.8	2.2	2.2	
Other Health Impairment*	107	1.5	1.9	2.1	
Other Disabilities**	120	1.7	1.3	0.9	
Total	811	11.3	11.4	11.6	

<sup>\*</sup>Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

<sup>\*\*</sup>Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2009-10 with a Standard Diploma	42.9	62.5
2009-10 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	N/A	3.9

# STATE ASSESSMENTS

**Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal.** The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students with	Disabilities	All Students	
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	21.9	33.0	56.0	68.6
	Writing	9.6	19.3	51.7	63.7
	Mathematics	21.3	33.4	50.1	68.2
	Science	8.1	21.2	45.9	61.5
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	20.7	44.7
	Writing Across the Disciplines	6.9	17.3	51.4	61.2
	Mathematics	5.6	15.8	20.5	49.5
	Science	3.1	13.1	29.3	47.0

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to <a href="www.ctreports.com">www.ctreports.com</a>. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to <a href="www.sde.ct.gov">www.sde.ct.gov</a> and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools					
CMT % Without Accommodations 21.4					
	% With Accommodations	78.6			
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	19.5			
	% With Accommodations 80.5				
% Assessed Usin	16.2				

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with non-disabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District's Schools					
Placement Count Percent					
Public Schools in Other Districts	3	0.4			
Private Schools or Other Settings 82 10.1					

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers

Time Spent with Non-Disabled Peers	Count of Students	Percent of Students		
		District	DRG	State
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	588	72.5	71.2	74.1
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	89	11.0	13.9	14.9
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	134	16.5	14.9	11.0

#### SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

The West Haven board of Education has embraced the State Department of Education's school improvement initiatives commonly known as the Connecticut Accountability for Learning Initiative (CALI). The research-based process to improve student achievement for all youngsters is being implemented in all schools, grades PK-12. Professional development is grounded in this research base and provides teachers with skills of teaching strategies, data driven decision making, curriculum design and student assessments. West Haven has made a commitment to a five year plan which focuses on the CALI research-based plan. The West Haven Board of Education continues to concentrate its efforts on reviewing our PK-12 curriculum in the areas of language arts, science, mathematics, and social studies with the goal being to increase equitable access to the content for all youngsters. The efforts to improve student learning for all youngsters, regardless of their educational need or handicapping condition, is reflected in our newly revised curriculum which is grounded in educational and scientific research; reflects state and national Common Core Standards; differentiates instruction utilizing a variety of research based strategies; and, is consistently followed across all schools and across all grade levels. Particular emphasis is on early literacy programming and support specifically to our K-2 teachers. The curriculum review process assisted the District to refine and implement the District Improvement Plan utilizing new resources. Some of these major curriculum advancements are in mathematics and reading, and include: a new mathematics curriculum; selection of a mathematics textbook/resource series; creation of elementary math facilitator position to support teachers in the delivery of content; provision of supplemental non-fiction reading materials; and, purchase of science and social studies supplemental resources to support the new curriculum. We are reviewing new resources to support our language arts curriculum and further, we anticipate adopting a new textbook series or language arts resources for next year, K - 4. West Haven continues to utilize common formative assessments to monitor student progress. These district-wide assessments are used across all classrooms to determine the degree of student learning. Common formative assessments better inform instruction and support all students as they learn, regardless of educational need. The comprehensive review of curriculum and assessment of student learning is coupled with teacher training in the art of utilizing data to inform instruction, or commonly known as data driven In the area of special education, we strive to keep our youngsters within our district and deliver the most appropriate instructional resources within the least restrictive environment. Our curriculum is designed to address the differing needs of all youngsters and in most cases, is appropriate for our special education population. Toward this end, we began the process of providing all classroom teachers with the training necessary to instruct our youngsters utilizing a variety of research-based strategies, as well as to best prepare our youngsters to access the curricula content. Professional development activities continue to focus on the development of teacher expertise to address all student needs, regardless of handicapping conditions and is inclusive of the general education staff. We continue to be engaged in a five year strategic planning process that refines our plans to improve instruction. This plan is intended to incorporate our five-year plan for Professional Development utilizing the Connecticut Accountability and Learning Initiative (CALI) that is research based. Our web site, as well as communications with parents through district wide parent meetings and Board meetings, continues to be our primary venue to involve parents in our efforts to improve student learning. We will continue our efforts to inform our parents relative to educational practices and initiatives with an emphasis on using the School Governance Council as the communication vehicle. Finally, we believe that all youngsters can achieve and be successful in school and within their community. We will continue to promote these high expectations for all youngsters across the district.