### Connecticut State Department of Education

### DISTRICT PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2018–19



### Jumoke Academy District

860-527-0575 • http://jumokeacademy.org

#### **District Information**

Grade Range	PK-12
Number of Schools/Programs	1
Enrollment	717
Per Pupil Expenditures <sup>1</sup>	\$12,325
Total Expenditures <sup>1</sup>	\$9,280,661

<sup>1</sup>Expenditure data reflect the 2017-18 school year.



### **Community Information**

AdvanceCT Town Profiles provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

#### **Contents**

1
2
2
4
7

#### **Notes**

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2018-19 and include all grades offered by the district.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit edsight.ct.gov.

State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.

Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

\* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been suppressed to safeguard student confidentiality, or to ensure that statistics based on a very small sample size are not interpreted as equally representative as those based on a sufficiently larger sample size.

N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

### **Students**

October 1, 2018 Enrollment <sup>2</sup>				
		District	State	
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)	
Female	381	53.1	48.4	
Male	336	46.9	51.6	
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0.0	0.3	
Asian	*	*	5.2	
Black or African American	666	92.9	12.8	
Hispanic or Latino of any race	38	5.3	25.8	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.1	
Two or More Races	*	*	3.6	
White	*	*	52.4	
English Learners	0	0.0	7.6	
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	312	43.5	42.1	
Students with Disabilities <sup>3</sup>	42	5.9	15.4	

<sup>2</sup>This table represents students in grades PK-12 reported by the district in the Public School Information System (i.e., PSIS Reporting District).

<sup>3</sup>Students in this category are students with an individualized education program (IEP) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 plans or services plans.

NOTE: To protect student privacy, gender counts are suppressed (\*) when fewer than 6 students enrolled in the district identify as non-binary.

### **Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion**

	Chronic		Suspension/	
	Absenteeism⁴		Ехри	ılsion⁵
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	56	16.0	21	5.3
Male	62	20.1	60	17.2
Black or African American	108	17.6	76	11.1
Hispanic or Latino of any race	*	*	*	*
White	0	0.0	0	*
English Learners	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	48	17.6	43	13.6
Students with Disabilities	17	42.5	8	17.4
District	118	17.9	81	10.9
State		10.4		6.7

Number of students in 2017-18 qualified as truant under state statute: 48 Number of school-based arrests: 0

<sup>4</sup>A student is chronically absent if they miss ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

<sup>5</sup>This column displays the count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

### **Educators**

### Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)1 Staff

	FTE
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	41.0
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	30.3
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	3.0
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	5.3
Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	2.4
School Level	6.0
Library/Media	
Specialists (Certified)	0.0
Support Staff	0.0
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers	0.5
Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists	1.0
School Nurses	2.0
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support	27.5

<sup>1</sup>In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

### **Educators by Race/Ethnicity**

		District	State
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0.0	0.1
Asian	0	0.0	1.1
Black or African American	20	36.4	3.8
Hispanic or Latino of any race	3	5.5	3.8
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	2	3.6	0.0
Two or More Races	0	0.0	0.1
White	28	50.9	90.5

#### Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2017-18

	District	State
Average Number of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time	11.6	10.0

### **Instruction and Resources**

# 11th and 12th Graders Enrolled in College-and-Career-Readiness Courses during High School<sup>2</sup>

	11th		1	2th
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Black or African American	*	*	13	*
Hispanic or Latino of any race	*	*	0	*
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
English Learners	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Students with Disabilities	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
District	*	*	13	*
State		74.5		85.2

<sup>2</sup>College-and-Career-Readiness Courses include Advanced Placement®(AP), International Baccalaureate®(IB), Career and Technical Education(CTE), workplace experience and dual enrollment courses.

# Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers<sup>3</sup>

	Count	Rate (%)
Autism	N/A	N/A
Emotional Disturbance	N/A	N/A
Intellectual Disability	N/A	N/A
Learning Disability	N/A	N/A
Other Health Impairment	N/A	N/A
Other Disabilities	N/A	N/A
Speech/Language Impairment	N/A	N/A
District	N/A	N/A
State		67.6

<sup>3</sup>This table represents students ages 6-21 for whom the district is fiscally responsible (i.e., Nexus District students with an IEP or services plan).

### Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability<sup>1</sup>

	Dis	State	
	Count	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Autism	N/A	N/A	1.9
Emotional Disturbance	N/A	N/A	1.1
Intellectual Disability	N/A	N/A	0.5
Learning Disability	N/A	N/A	5.5
Other Health Impairment	N/A	N/A	3.2
Other Disabilities	N/A	N/A	1.1
Speech/Language Impairment	N/A	N/A	1.8
All Disabilities	N/A	N/A	15.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This table represents students in grades K-12 for whom the district is fiscally responsible (i.e., Nexus District students with an IEP or services plan).

### Students with Disabilities Placed Outside of the District<sup>2</sup>

	Dis	State	
	Count	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Public Schools in Other Districts	N/A	N/A	8.2
Private Schools or Other Settings	N/A	N/A	5.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This table represents students in grades K-12 for whom the district is fiscally responsible (i.e., Nexus District students with an IEP or services plan).

### Overall Expenditures: 2017-18

		Per Pupil	
	Total (\$)	District (\$)	State (\$)
Instruction	\$4,808,159	\$6,385	\$10,545
Support services - students	\$1,027,246	\$1,364	\$1,373
Support services - instruction	\$130,548	\$173	\$644
Support services - general administration	\$10,966	\$15	\$462
Support services - school based administration	\$2,114,069	\$2,808	\$1,007
Central and other support services	\$129,308	\$172	\$671
Operation and maintenance of plant	\$984,687	\$1,308	\$1,629
Student transportation services			\$1,231
Food services			\$13
Enterprise operations			\$157
Minor school construction	\$75,678	\$101	\$65
Total	\$9,280,661	\$12,325	\$17,153

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

### **Special Education Expenditures: 2017-18**

	District		State
	Total (\$)	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
Teacher Salaries	\$183,209	31.3	29.7
Instructional Aide Salaries	\$61,361	10.5	9.6
Other Salaries	\$80,576	13.8	10.4
Employee Benefits	\$68,900	11.8	13.0
Purchased Services Other Than Transportation	\$189,114	32.4	5.5
Special Education Tuition			22.6
Supplies	\$1,277	0.2	0.6
Property Services			0.4
Purchased Services For Transportation			8.0
Equipment	\$76	0.0	0.2
All Other Expenditures			0.1
Total	\$584,513	100.0	100.0
Percent of Total Expenditures Used for Special Educa	ation	6.3	24.4

## Expenditures by Revenue Source:<sup>4</sup> 2017-18

	Percent of Total (%) Excluding School
	Construction
Local	2.3
State	89.3
Federal	3.5
Tuition & Other	4.9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, Connecticut Technical Education and Career System (CTECS) operations, CSDE-budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction).

### Performance and Accountability

### **District Performance Index (DPI)**

A District Performance Index (DPI) is the average performance of students in a subject area (i.e., ELA, Mathematics or Science) on the state summative assessments. The DPI ranges from 0-100. A DPI is reported for all students tested in a district and for students in each individual student group. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 75.

	English Language Arts (ELA)		Mat	h	Scien	ce
	Count	DPI	Count	DPI	Count	DPI
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Asian	*	*	*	*	*	*
Black or African American	373	58.1	371	51.3	127	55.2
Hispanic or Latino of any race	20	61.5	20	56.1	6	*
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	*	*
White	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
English Learners	6	*	6	*	*	*
Non-English Learners	392	58.3	390	51.5	*	*
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	204	54.9	204	49.3	64	53.1
Not Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	194	61.7	192	53.9	71	57.3
Students with Disabilities	24	40.5	24	29.9	8	*
Students without Disabilities	374	59.4	372	52.9	127	56.6
High Needs	217	54.5	217	48.5	69	52.0
Non-High Needs	181	62.8	179	55.2	66	58.8
District	398	58.2	396	51.5	135	55.3

## National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient<sup>1</sup>

	NAEP	NAEP 2013	
READING	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	40	41	50
National Public	34	32	36
MATH	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	45	39	32
National Public	40	33	25

NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across Smarter Balanced and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement other state assessment data. To view performance on NAEP by student group, click here.

### Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard<sup>2</sup>

	Percent of Students by Grade <sup>3</sup> (%)				All Teste	d Grades
	4	6	8	HS	Count	Rate (%)
Sit & Reach	93.2	93.4	89.1	*	199	91.5
Curl Up	93.2	54.1	61.8	*	199	72.9
Push Up	74.0	44.3	50.9	*	199	58.3
Mile Run/PACER	83.6	60.7	58.2	*	199	66.8
All Tests - District	64.4	39.3	43.6	*	199	49.2
All Tests - State	56.1	53.5	50.9	51.4		52.9

<sup>2</sup>The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and High School (HS). The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

#### Cohort Graduation: Four-Year<sup>1</sup>

	2017-18		
	Cohort Count <sup>2</sup>	Rate (%)	
Black or African American	12	*	
Hispanic or Latino of any race	*	*	
English Learners	N/A	N/A	
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	14	*	
Students with Disabilities	N/A	N/A	
District	14	*	
State		88.3	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The four-year cohort graduation rate represents the percentage of first-time 9th graders who earn a standard high school diploma within four years.

# 11th and 12th Graders Meeting Benchmark on at Least One College Readiness Exam<sup>3</sup>

	Participation⁴	Meeting E	Benchmark
	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	*	*	*
Male	*	*	*
Black or African American	*	*	*
Hispanic or Latino of any race	*	*	*
White	N/A	N/A	N/A
English Learners	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	N/A	N/A	N/A
Students with Disabilities	N/A	N/A	N/A
District	*	10	*
State	95.9		42.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>College readiness exams and benchmark scores are as follows:

- SAT® meets benchmark score on SAT, Revised SAT or Connecticut School Day SAT
- ACT® meets benchmark score on 3 of 4 exams (benchmark score varies by subject)
- $\bullet \ \ AP^{\circledast}$  3 or higher on any one  $AP^{\circledast}$  exam
- $\bullet \quad \mathsf{IB}^\circledast$  4 or higher on any one  $\mathsf{IB}^\circledast$  exam

<sup>4</sup>Participation Rate equals the number of test-takers in 11th and 12th grade divided by the number of students enrolled in those grades, as a percentage. Sources:

SAT® and AP® statistics derived from data provided by the College Board.

Copyright © 2019 The College Board. www.collegeboard.org

ACT® statistics derived from data provided by ACT, Inc.

Copyright © 2019 ACT, Inc. www.act.org

IB® statistics derived from data provided by the International Baccalaureate Organization.

Copyright © International Baccalaureate Organization 2019

### **College Entrance and Persistence**

	Class of 2018	Class of 2017
	Entrance <sup>5</sup>	Persistence <sup>6</sup>
	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Female	*	*
Male	*	*
Black or African American	*	*
Hispanic or Latino of any race	N/A	N/A
White	N/A	N/A
English Learners	N/A	N/A
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	*	*
Students with Disabilities	N/A	N/A
District	*	*
State	71.0	87.8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>College entrance refers to the percent of high school graduates from the year who enrolled in college any time during the first year after high school.

Source: National Student Clearinghouse

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Cohort count includes all students in the cohort as of the end of the 2017-18 school year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>College persistence refers to the percent of students who enrolled in college the first year after high school and returned for a second year (Freshman to Sophomore persistence).

#### **Next Generation Accountability Results**

Connecticut's Next Generation Accountability System is a broad set of 12 indicators that help tell the story of how well a district/school is preparing its students for success in college, careers, and life. It moves beyond test scores and graduation rates to provide a more holistic, multifactor perspective of district and school performance.

Ind	icator	Index/Rate	Target	Points Earned	Max Points	% Points Earned	State Average Index/Rate
ELA Performance Index	All Students	58.2	75	38.8	50	77.7	67.7
ELA Performance index	High Needs Students	54.5	75	36.3	50	72.6	58.1
Math Danfarmanna Inda.	All Students	51.5	75	34.4	50	68.7	63.1
Math Performance Index	High Needs Students	48.5	75	32.3	50	64.7	52.7
Science Performance Index	All Students	55.3	75	36.9	50	73.7	63.8
Science Performance index	High Needs Students	52.0	75	34.7	50	69.3	54.2
FIA Acadamia Counth	All Students	48.3%	100%	48.3	100	48.3	59.9%
ELA Academic Growth	High Needs Students	44.3%	100%	44.3	100	44.3	55.1%
Math Academic Growth	All Students	44.3%	100%	44.3	100	44.3	62.5%
Math Academic Growth	High Needs Students	43.6%	100%	43.6	100	43.6	55.2%
Progress Toward English	Literacy		100%				60.0%
Proficiency	Oral	•	100%				52.1%
Chronic Absenteeism	All Students	18.0%	<=5%	24.0	50	48.1	10.4%
Chronic Absenteeism	High Needs Students	19.5%	<=5%	20.9	50	41.9	16.1%
Duamanation for CCD	% Taking Courses		75%				80.0%
Preparation for CCR	% Passing Exams	•	75%				42.6%
On-track to High School Gra	duation	90.4%	94%	48.1	50	96.2	88.0%
4-year Graduation All Stude	nts (2018 Cohort)		94%				88.3%
6-year Graduation - High Ne	eds Students (2016 Cohort)		94%				83.3%
Postsecondary Entrance (Cla	ass of 2018)		75%				70.9%
Physical Fitness (estimated	part rate) and (fitness rate)	99.5%   49.2%	75%	32.8	50	65.7	96.4%   52.9%
Arts Access		20.6%	60%	17.2	50	34.3	51.9%
Accountability Index				536.9	950	56.5	

NOTE: A dot (.) appears in the table above when there are fewer than 20 students in the student group or the indicator is not applicable based on grades served.

Gap Indicators	Non-High Needs Rate <sup>1</sup>	High Needs Rate	Size of Gap	State Gap Mean +1 Stdev <sup>2</sup>	Is Gap an Outlier?2
Achievement Gap Size Outlier?					N
ELA Performance Index Gap	62.8	54.5	8.3	15.4	
Math Performance Index Gap	55.2	48.5	6.7	17.6	
Science Performance Index Gap	58.8	52.0	6.8	16.1	
Graduation Rate Gap					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>If the Non-High Needs Rate exceeds the ultimate target (75 for Performance Index and 94% for graduation rate), the ultimate target is used for gap calculations. <sup>2</sup>If the size of the gap exceeds the state mean gap plus one standard deviation, the gap is an outlier.

NOTE: A dot (.) appears in the table above when there are fewer than 20 students in at least one of the student groups used to calculate the gap measure or the indicator is not applicable based on grades served.

Subject/Student Group		Participation Rate (%) <sup>3</sup>
ELA All Students High Needs Students		99.8
		99.6
All Students		99.3
IVIdIII	High Needs Students	99.6
Science	All Students	97.9
Science	High Needs Students	97.3

Two-page FAQ

**Supporting Resources:** 

Detailed Presentation

# Connecticut's State Identified Measurable Result (SIMR) for Children with Disabilities

Increase the reading performance of all 3rd grade students with disabilities statewide, as measured by Connecticut's English Language Arts (ELA)

Performance Index

Grade 3 ELA Performance Index for Students with Disabilities:

District: \* State: 51.5

Using Accountability Results to Guide Improvement

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Minimum participation standard is 95%.

### **Narratives**

### **School District Improvement Plans and Parental Outreach Activities**

The District Improvement Plan is based on the following two goals: 1. Demonstrate mastery of grade level standards in literacy, writing, numeracy, and technology as articulated by the common core standards.

2. Demonstrate and cultivate the characteristics of a well-rounded, productive member of an ever-changing world. .The second goal aims to address 21st century skills scholars need to be successful and focuses on problem solving, critical thinking, communicating and collaborating, empathy and caring, and social responsibility.

To help achieve the aforementioned goals, the Academy has identified specific theories of action with parent and community input that focus on fostering school, parent and community partnerships. The input provided by parents and community members is collected through regular Town Hall Meetings and parent association leadership meetings. Examples of these outreach opportunities that result in meaningful relationships include parent, community, and scholar participation in service learning experiences such as the Annual Pretty in Pink Ball for Kids, a partnership with the Maggie Gardener House designed to help cancer survivors and their families, monthly school activities such as the Back to School BBQ for parents, community, and returning and new scholars, High School Transition Activities for scholars and their parents, academic achievement recognition ceremonies, Parent Education Series focusing on Curriculum, Common Core standards, Smarter Balance and Benchmark Assessment overviews, technology integration, parent conferences, and the use of PowerSchool information systems to communicate between home and school about student progress and achievement.

In addition to the previously mentioned examples of school, parent, and community partnership initiatives, parents are actively involved in student assistance team meetings and special education planning and placement team meetings to support the educational success of their scholar. The Academy works toward minimizing scholar truancy by regularly communicating with parents and following policy. As a learning community, we are committed to ensuring that we understand the unique needs of our scholars and continue to engage our adult service providers in professional development designed to support effective communication, interaction, and lesson design for our scholars. Additional professional development has included the practice of Instructional Rounds, ongoing focus on promoting differentiated instruction and the development and implementation of targeted intervention supports as needed. Further emphasis continues to be placed on increasing inclusion practices and strategic co-planning between special and regular education teachers so that all of our scholars are achieving.

To further support community partnerships and scholar achievement, the Academy continues to support summer programming in partnership with The Friendship Kidscamp where the focus is on preventing the "summer slide." Students from the Greater Hartford community and Jumoke Academy Scholars are involved in summer learning experiences to include math and reading instruction, character education, arts, and field trip experiences throughout Connecticut.

### Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation

Our efforts to reduce racial, ethnic and economic isolation during the last school year began with ensuring that the Academy recruited and attracted an applicant pool of diverse faculty and staff. The academy's faculty diversity is approximately 55% African American, 33% Caucasian, 10% Hispanic, 2% Asian, and 1% Middle Eastern. The focus on having a diverse staff representation has also resulted in our ability to also attract a diverse student population. The scholar population at the Academy is approximately .2% Asian, 95% African American, .1% Caucasian, 3.5% Hispanic, and .1% unclassified. We continue to maintain strong relationships with local community organizations and promote the Academy regularly in area publications including Identidad Latina, The Northend Agent and in social media through Facebook, Twitter, and on Instagram. Additionally, the Academy takes a grass roots approach to promoting its campuses and programs through its annual Heritage Gala. This event gives the Academy the opportunity to directly communicate with all stakeholders the opportunities for a quality education for all constituents and families who might consider enrolling their children for the upcoming school year. The Academy continues to see increases in its Spanish speaking population of scholars compared to previous years while also maintaining its numbers of other minority groups and scholars from low-income households.

It should be noted that the Academy is purposeful in its attempts to provide diverse experiences for scholars as evidenced by involving them in literacy partnerships with the local library in support of elementary school reading initiatives, and collaborative programs inclusive of Horizons at The Ethel Walker School in Simsbury, CT, the Cardboard Challenge problem solving initiative with Renbrook School in West Hartford, CT, and a Saint Joseph's STEM experience for first grade scholars. Jumoke scholars were also involved in community-based musical performances and theatrical presentations supported by the Bushnell theater in Hartford, CT. In addition, the Academy continues to host the All City Oratorical Contest that involves charter, independent, magnet, and Hartford public school students. The Academy has scholars from grades 4-8 participati

### **Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools**

Allocation for instructional needs are based on student enrollment and staffing at each of our campuses. Building and district leadership meet to identify areas of need based on the district and building improvement plans. This process results in the preparation of school and department budget requests that are subject to review by district leadership. In addition to the approved allocated funds, discretionary funds are made available to building leadership to meet teacher and scholar educational and enrichment programming needs.

It should be noted that the Board of Directors reviews and approves a detailed budget for each fiscal school year.