Connecticut State Department of Education

DISTRICT PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2014–15



Hebron School District

Mr. Jeffrey Newton, Superintendent • 860-228-2577 • http://www.hebron.k12.ct.us

District Information

Grade Range	PK-6
Number of Schools/Programs	2
Enrollment	786
Per Pupil Expenditures ¹	\$13,816
Total Expenditures ¹	\$12,503,679

¹Expenditure data reflect the 2013-14 year.



Community Information

<u>CERC Town Profiles</u> provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

Related Reports/Publications

CT Reports (CMT/CAPT)
District and School Performance Reports
Special Education Annual Performance Reports
SAT®, AP®, PSAT® Report by High School (Class of 2015)
(2015® The College Board)

Contents

Students 1
Educators2
Instruction and Resources
Performance and Accountability4
Narratives 6

Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2014-15 and include all grades offered by the district.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit EdSight.ct.gov).

State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.

Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been

suppressed to ensure student confidentiality. N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

Students

October 1, 2014 Enrollment				
		District	State	
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)	
Female	373	47.5	48.3	
Male	413	52.5	51.6	
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	0.2	
Asian	*	*	4.7	
Black or African American	*	*	12.9	
Hispanic or Latino	35	4.5	22.1	
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.0	
Two or More Races	23	2.9	2.5	
White	721	91.7	57.2	
English Language Learners	*	*	6.3	
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	63	8.0	37.6	
Students with Disabilities ¹	123	15.6	13.3	

¹Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

	Chronic		Suspension/	
	Absenteeism ²		Expulsion ³	
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	8	2.2	0	0.0
Male	8	2.1	*	*
Black or African American	0	*	0	*
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	0	0.0
White	12	1.8	*	*
English Language Learners	0	*	0	*
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	*	*	*	*
Students with Disabilities	* *		*	*
District	16	2.2	*	*
State	10.6			7.2

Number of students in 2013-14 qualified as truant under state statute: 25

²A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

³The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

Educators

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)¹ Staff

	FTE
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	55.2
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	9.0
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	10.5
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	25.4
Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	2.5
School Level	2.5
Library/Media	
Specialists (Certified)	1.0
Support Staff	0.0
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers	6.0
Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists	2.0
School Nurses	2.0
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support	42.0

¹In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

Educators by Race/Ethnicity

		District	State
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0.0	0.1
Asian	1	1.2	1.0
Black or African American	0	0.0	3.5
Hispanic or Latino	0	0.0	3.5
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.0
Two or More Races	0	0.0	0.0
White	80	98.8	91.8

Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers²

	Percent of Total (%)		
District 100.0			
District Poverty Quartile: Low			
State High Poverty Quartile Schools 97.9			
State Low Poverty Quartile Schools	99.6		

²Core academic classes taught by teachers who are fully certified to teach in that subject area.

Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2013-14

	District	State
Average Number of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time	9.0	9.2

Instruction and Resources

Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers³

	Count	Rate (%)
Autism	*	*
Emotional Disturbance	*	*
Intellectual Disability	N/A	N/A
Learning Disability	29	85.3
Other Health Impairment	13	*
Other Disabilities	0	0
Speech/Language Impairment	27	100.0
District	82	88.2
State		69.7

³Ages 6-21

Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability¹

	Dis	State	
	Count	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Autism	14	1.8	1.5
Emotional Disturbance	6	0.8	1.0
Intellectual Disability	*	*	0.5
Learning Disability	34	4.4	4.4
Other Health Impairment	14	1.8	2.6
Other Disabilities	*	*	1.0
Speech/Language Impairment	32	4.2	1.9
All Disabilities	105	13.7	13.0

Students with Disabilities Placed Outside of the District²

	Dis	State	
	Count	Rate (%)	
Public Schools in Other Districts	0	0.0	8.1
Private Schools or Other Settings	*	*	5.4

²Grades K-12

Overall Expenditures: 2013-14

		Per Pupil	
	Total (\$)	District (\$)	State (\$)
Instructional Staff and Services	7,710,621	8,893	9,134
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	173,960	201	334
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	523,258	604	498
Student Support Services	864,130	997	1,001
Administration and Support Services	1,539,505	1,776	1,694
Plant Operation and Maintenance	1,029,534	1,187	1,572
Transportation	516,403	269	813
Costs of Students Tuitioned Out	146,268	N/A	N/A
Other	0	0	186
Total	12,503,679	13,816	15,289
Additiona	al Expenditures		
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	390,665	451	1,272

³Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

Special Education Expenditures: 2013-14

	Dist	District		
	Total (\$)	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)	
Certified Personnel	837,195	30.6	35.1	
Noncertified Personnel	640,708	23.4	14.2	
Purchased Services	244,117	8.9	5.2	
Tuition to Other Schools	85,733	3.1	22.0	
Special Ed. Transportation	94,861	3.5	8.6	
Other Expenditures	834,157	30.5	14.9	
Total Expenditures	2,736,771	100.0	100.0	

Expenditures by Revenue Source:⁴ 2013-14

	Percent of Total (%)				
	Including Excludin				
	School	School			
	Construction	Construction			
Local	70.4	69.4			
State	27.9	28.8			
Federal	1.5	1.6			
Tuition & Other	0.2	0.2			

⁴Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction).

¹Grades K-12

Performance and Accountability

District Performance Index (DPI)

A District Performance Index (DPI) is the average performance of students in a subject area (i.e., ELA, Mathematics or Science) on the state summative assessments. The DPI ranges from 0-100. A DPI is reported for all students tested in a district and for students in each individual student group. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 75.

	English Language Arts(ELA)		Math		Science	
	Count	DPI	Count	DPI	Count	DPI
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Asian	*	*	*	*	N/A	N/A
Black or African American	*	*	*	*	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	19	*	19	*	*	*
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Two or More Races	15	*	15	*	*	*
White	452	73.0	452	65.7	111	63.4
English Language Learners	*	*	*	*	*	*
Non-English Language Learners	*	*	*	*	*	*
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	37	60.6	37	54.7	7	*
Not Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	451	73.8	451	66.3	113	63.5
Students with Disabilities	72	55.9	73	48.6	12	*
Students without Disabilities	416	75.8	415	68.4	108	65.2
High Needs	97	58.5	98	52.0	17	*
Non-High Needs	391	76.4	390	68.8	103	65.4
District	488	72.8	488	65.4	120	63.2

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient¹

	NAEP	NAEP 2013	
READING	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	43%	43%	50%
National Public	35%	33%	36%
MATH	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	41%	36%	32%
National Public	39%	32%	25%

¹NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across Smarter Balanced and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement other state assessment data. To view student subgroup performance on NAEP, click here.

Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard²

	Percent of Students by Grade ³ (%)				All Teste	d Grades
	4	6	8	10	Count	Rate (%)
Sit & Reach	99.0	94.5	N/A	N/A	250	96.4
Curl Up	79.0	69.7	N/A	N/A	250	73.6
Push Up	89.5	78.6	N/A	N/A	250	83.2
Mile Run/PACER	82.9	77.9	N/A	N/A	250	80.0
All Tests - District	69.5	57.9	N/A	N/A	250	62.8
All Tests - State	50.8	51.0	50.3	51.9		51.0

²The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

³Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

Next Generation Accountability Results

These statistics are the first results from Connecticut's Next Generation Accountability System for districts and schools. This system is a broad set of 12 indicators that help tell the story of how well a school is preparing its students for success in college, careers and life. It moves beyond test scores and graduation rates and instead provides a more holistic, multifactor perspective of district and school performance and incorporates student growth over time.

Indi	cator	Index/Rate	Target	Points	Max	% Points	State Average
ELA Dorformanco Indov	All Students	72.8	75	97.1	100	97.1	67.9
ELA Performance Index	High Needs Students	58.5	75	78.0	100	78.0	56.7
Math Performance Index	All Students	65.4	75	87.2	100	87.2	59.3
Math Performance maex	High Needs Students	52.0	75	69.3	100	69.3	47.8
Science Performance Index	All Students	63.2	75	84.2	100	84.2	56.5
Science Performance index	High Needs Students	N/A	75	0.0	0	0.0	45.9
Chanais Absorbesions	All Students	2.2%	<=5%	50.0	50	100.0	10.6%
Chronic Absenteeism	High Needs Students	2.1%	<=5%	50.0	50	100.0	17.3%
Preparation for CCR	% Taking Courses	N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	66.1%
Preparation for CCK	% Passing Exams	N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	37.3%
On-track to High School Graduation		N/A	94%	0.0	0	0.0	85.6%
4-year Graduation All Studer	4-year Graduation All Students (2014 Cohort)		94%	0.0	0	0.0	87.0%
6-year Graduation - High Needs Students (2012 Cohort)		N/A	94%	0.0	0	0.0	77.6%
Postsecondary Entrance (Class of 2014)		N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	72.8%
Physical Fitness (estimated part rate) and (fitness rate)		99.2% 62.8%	75%	41.9	50	83.7	87.6% 51.0%
Arts Access	Arts Access		60%	0.0	0	0.0	45.7%
Accountability Index				557.7	650	85.8	

Gap Indicators	Non-High Needs Rate ¹	High Needs Rate	Size of Gap	State Gap Mean + 1 Stdev²	Is Gap an Outlier?²
Achievement Gap Size Outlier?					N
ELA Performance Index Gap	75.0	58.5	16.5	17.3	
Math Performance Index Gap	68.8	52.0	16.8	19.6	
Science Performance Index Gap	65.4	N/A		17.2	
Graduation Rate Gap			•		

¹If the Non-High Needs Rate exceeds the ultimate target (75 for Performance Index and 94% for graduation rate), then the ultimate target is displayed and used for gap calculations.

²If the size of the gap exceeds the state mean gap plus one standard deviation, then the gap is an outlier.

Sul	oject/Subgroup	Participation Rate (%)
All Students		99.8
ELA	High Needs Students	99.0
All Students		99.8
IVIALII	High Needs Students	100.0
All Students		99.2
High Needs Student		

Connecticut's State Identified Measurable Goal for Children with Disabilities (SIMR)

Increase the reading performance of all 3rd grade students with disabilities statewide, as measured by Connecticut's English Language Arts (ELA) Performance Index.

Grade 3 ELA Performance Index for Students with Disabilities:

District: 57.4 State: 50.1

Supporting Resources

Two-page FAQ

Detailed Presentation

Using Accountability Results to Guide Improvement: comprehensive documentation and supports

Narratives

School District Improvement Plans and Parental Outreach Activities

The District Improvement Plan focuses on the school goals, Theory of Action, Strategic Operating Plan and Board of Education goals. The Board of Education uses its Strategic Plan to define its primary focus for the district as a whole. The Strategic Plan makes the important connections to the building School Improvement Plans, which ensures improvement in instructional practice, and results in improved student achievement. The district-wide Hebron Educator Growth and Evaluation Committee (HEGE) is leading the charge in supporting the implementation of teacher evaluation plans for teachers, and also designing and developing professional development that is aligned to committee goals. The Special Education department has conducted professional development activities designated to improve special education programming and outcomes for students. Teachers collaborate with their general education colleagues to develop and refine their skills regarding the instruction of reading and math for the most challenging students. Additionally, we have provided our paraprofessionals on-going training to support their work with children.

The district is continuing its focus on Early Literacy and Numeracy and is implementing units of study through the Common Core State Standards. The district focuses on Tier 1 interventions, particularly in reading, developed universal screens, has progress monitoring in place, and identified grade level expectations. The district has more closely aligned the SRBI process to meeting the needs of students through intervention work. The district is implementing All Day Kindergarten for all students and a School Readiness Preschool Program. The NAEYC Accreditation process was in its final review phase during the 2014-2015 school year. Parent-school community relationships continue to be an area of focus. The engagement of the community has proven to be highly successful in deepening understanding about our purpose. The support from the town governance, community, and parents has resulted in significant changes and increased support for education and the schools. This will continue to be a priority and a necessity for us to accomplish what we have set out to achieve. Additionally, we have developed a new website and continue to explore additional methods of communication with parents and the community.

Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation

The Hebron Public School system remains committed to reduce racial, ethnic, and economic isolation through local regional school and district programs. School partnerships with urban districts are encouraged and continue to be highly successful in bringing children from different backgrounds together. These connections foster a sense of compassion and understanding for how children from other parts of the state and world learn and grow. The district completed year seven (8) of a Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports program in both elementary schools. Hebron has been named a "model" school for PBIS and has hosted other districts interested in promoting this program. The PBIS program has been rolled out in different phases. Phase One required each building to form a team, undergo training and professional development and have key members trained as coaches. Phase Two entailed a school-wide adoption of a framework of standards which guide student interactions, foster a climate of acceptance and will serve to reduce prejudice. The primary goal of this program has been to develop greater tolerance for differences. Feedback indicates continued reduced behavior referrals on school buses, and fewer in-school suspensions. The district is once again implementing Second Step this year, a program designed to help students strengthen their ability to learn, manage emotions, have empathy, and solve problems. Additionally, Character Education Programs and Town Meetings continue to be organized and run by students. Character Assemblies are created by children and parents and staff are invited to attend the wonderful events that are put on. We continue to work on improving intervention planning for bullying prevention that has become part of Board Policy Regulations. Hebron's commitment to improving student achievement while simultaneously addressing educational quality, school climate, and diversity is well established and will continue to remain a priority and focus for the future.

Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools

Gilead Hill School and Hebron Elementary School are the two elementary schools in Hebron, CT, a rural community with a population of 9,686. Gilead Hill School houses grades Pre-K – 2 and Hebron Elementary School encompasses grades 3 – 6. Since the Hebron School District is a single elementary district, with no overlap of grades in the two schools, resources are allocated equally for all students. To that end, there remains one PTA organization in the district, rather than a PTA at both schools. District committees are constructed with equitable representation from both schools for the purpose of maintaining an even proportion of resources and input required to make thoughtful decisions. The budget is prepared to support the district mission, vision, and district Theory of Action. This process ensures that the budget is allocated appropriately by school and by program. The resource allocation continually reflects the priorities and goals of the Board of Education, as well as our focus on the District's declining enrollment and fiscally responsible priorities.