STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2010-11

Regional School District 06

CRAIG D. DREZEK, Superintendent

Telephone: (860) 567-7400

Location: 98 Wamogo Road Litchfield, Connecticut

Website: www.rsd6.org

This regional school district serves Goshen, Morris, Warren

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at www.sde.ct.gov.

COMMUNITY DATA

County: Litchfield

Town Population in 2000: 6,252

1990-2000 Population Growth: 11.8% Number of Public Schools: 4

Per Capita Income in 2000: \$32,775

Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000*: 12.4% Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000*: 0.0% District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: N/A

District Reference Group (DRG): E DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Enrollment on October 1, 2010 1.042 5-Year Enrollment Change -2.4%

K - 12Grade Range

INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in District	Percent		
		District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	126	12.1	13.7	34.1
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	13	1.3	0.7	5.6
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	1	0.1	3.5	4.0
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	119	11.4	10.9	11.4
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	59	95.2	86.3	80.2
Homeless	0	0.0	0.1	0.3
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	10	15.2	13.9	13.2

^{*100.0 %} of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

^{*}To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity					
Race/Ethnicity	Percent				
American Indian	3	0.3			
Asian American	11	1.1			
Black	11	1.1			
Hispanic	29	2.8			
Pacific Islander	3	0.3			
White	975	93.6			
Two or more races	10	1.0			
Total Minority	67	6.4			

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 1.8%

Non-English Home Language:

2.6% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 7.

EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

Region 6 continues to offer a variety of programs designed to reduce and increase awareness of racial, ethnic, and economic isolation. Wamogo Regional High School purposefully offers experiences for all students to increase awareness of diversity. Sample activities include: Interact Club which sponsors opportunities for students to gain appreciation for individual differences; and efforts to integrate content with the curriculum that exposes students to diverse populations and perspectives. At the elementary level, curriculum integration includes programs which address student differences, and provide training in strategies to prevent bullying. In addition, our entire student body participates in fund raising activities designed to support local, national and international efforts to support people who have experienced catastrophic events. Finally, each of our schools sponsors events designed to recognize diversity: an annual Heritage Luncheon, an annual Veteran's Day Breakfast, and an Arts Festival and Creation Celebration that focus on individual achievements.

STUDENT PERFORMANCE

Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade a	nd CMT Subject	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal	These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable
Grade 3	Reading	65.4	58.4	48.8	tests who were enrolled in the district at the
	Writing	50.0	61.1	15.5	time of testing,
	Mathematics	61.7	63.0	32.3	regardless of the length
Grade 4	Reading	71.8	62.5	54.6	of time they were enrolled in the district.
	Writing	71.6	65.5	47.0	Results for fewer than
	Mathematics	67.6	67.0	36.0	20 students are not
Grade 5	Reading	70.0	61.4	52.1	presented.
	Writing	61.4	66.8	23.9	
	Mathematics	61.3	72.5	18.4	
	Science	72.7	59.9	57.1	For more detailed CMT results, go to
Grade 6	Reading	91.0	76.0	78.0	www.ctreports.
	Writing	85.7	65.2	88.7	
	Mathematics	91.0	71.3	83.3	
Grade 7	Reading	96.3	77.8	96.2	To see the NCLB
	Writing	81.9	58.9	88.0	Report Card for this
	Mathematics	87.8	68.4	84.6	school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and
Grade 8	Reading	87.8	74.7	70.7	click on "No Child Left
	Writing	72.4	64.8	43.9	Behind."
	Mathematics	86.7	66.6	79.6	7
	Science	84.2	63.1	76.4	7

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scorable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	52.0	44.7	50.8
Writing Across the Disciplines	72.4	61.2	57.1
Mathematics	58.2	49.5	54.9
Science	46.4	47.0	38.3

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Physical Fitness. The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All Four Tests	District		% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
	68.8	51.0	87.8

SAT® I: Reasoning Test Class of 2010		District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Scores
% of Graduates To	ested	68.8	70.6	
Average Score	Mathematics	509	510	48.1
	Critical Reading	505	505	47.3
	Writing	518	510	55.7

SAT® I. The lowest possible score on each SAT® I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Adjusted Cohort Rate 2010	88.2	81.8	49.6
2009-10 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	0.3	2.8	78.4

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	79.2	84.8
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	6.5	9.1

RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

DISTRICT STAFF

Full-Time Equivalent Count of School Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	78.80
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	8.84
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	9.60
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	16.40
Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants	4.90
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs District Central Office School Level	2.00 5.40
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	5.00
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	6.50
School Nurses	4.00
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	54.25

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	13.0	15.2	13.9
% with Master's Degree or Above	67.0	81.0	79.0

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	15.5	16.7	18.4
Grade 2	10.8	18.1	19.9
Grade 5	17.2	19.7	21.2
Grade 7	21.0	19.6	20.6
High School	20.3	17.1	19.3

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	1,011	993	992
Middle School	N/A	N/A	N/A
High School	1,063	1,027	1,010

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be
offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten, and
450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	2.5	2.6	3.1
Middle School	N/A	N/A	N/A
High School	1.3	1.8	2.2

^{*}Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2009-10

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures All figures are unaudited.	Total (in 1000s)	Expenditures Per Pupil			
		District	PK-12 Districts	DRG	State
Instructional Staff and Services	\$8,882	\$8,301	\$8,232	\$7,996	\$8,237
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$580	\$542	\$299	\$280	\$300
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$621	\$581	\$477	\$396	\$463
Student Support Services	\$1,017	\$951	\$875	\$924	\$872
Administration and Support Services	\$1,843	\$1,723	\$1,433	\$1,460	\$1,459
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$1,839	\$1,719	\$1,421	\$1,405	\$1,410
Transportation	\$867	\$832	\$701	\$727	\$692
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	\$411	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$277	\$258	\$161	\$179	\$159
Total	\$16,339	\$15,228	\$13,878	\$13,766	\$13,780
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$927	\$866	\$1,622	\$1,611	\$1,616

Special Education Expenditures	District Total	Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education		•
		District	DRG	State
	\$3,195,374	19.5	20.1	21.5

Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source. Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	82.0	8.9	3.5	5.6
Excluding School Construction	82.6	8.1	3.3	6.0

EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

It is the Board of Education's policy and practice to ensure that all resources are distributed equitably among all district schools. Elementary school staffs, both certified and classified, are assigned based on student population and program needs. Certified and classified staff assignments at Wamogo Regional High School are based on the course selection index and the master schedule. Special education and pupil services personnel are assigned to each school based on individual student needs. The district Technology Plan governs the distribution of computers and SMARTBoards among our schools. In addition, funds for curriculum and professional development, technology, educational supplies, textbooks, and library materials are distributed equitably based on enrollment. To this end, district budget proposals are developed collaboratively by the administrative team. In addition, the elementary principals collaboratively plan for use of itinerant (Fine Arts, Physical Education) staff to ensure equability in this area. Finally, community and parental input is actively solicited during district budget proposal development.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible 104
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities 11.0%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities				
Disability	Count	District Percent	DRG Percent	State Percent
Autism	6	0.6	N/A	1.1
Learning Disability	44	4.7	N/A	3.9
Intellectual Disability	7	0.7	N/A	0.4
Emotional Disturbance	9	1.0	N/A	1.0
Speech Impairment	16	1.7	N/A	2.2
Other Health Impairment*	19	2.0	N/A	2.1
Other Disabilities**	3	0.3	N/A	0.9
Total	104	11.0	N/A	11.6

^{*}Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

^{**}Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2009-10 with a Standard Diploma	75.0	62.5
2009-10 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	0.0	3.9

STATE ASSESSMENTS

Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students with	Students with Disabilities		udents
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	69.0	33.0	80.2	68.6
	Writing	17.6	19.3	69.8	63.7
	Mathematics	46.7	33.4	75.7	68.2
	Science	40.0	21.2	78.0	61.5
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	9.1	14.1	52.0	44.7
	Writing Across the Disciplines	18.2	17.3	72.4	61.2
	Mathematics	N/A	N/A	58.2	49.5
	Science	N/A	N/A	46.4	47.0

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools				
CMT	% Without Accommodations	14.8		
	% With Accommodations	85.2		
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	25.0		
	% With Accommodations	75.0		
% Assessed Using Skills Checklist		4.5		

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with non-disabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District's Schools				
Placement	Count	Percent		
Public Schools in Other Districts	0	0.0		
Private Schools or Other Settings	8	7.7		

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by
the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers

Time Spent with Non-Disabled Peers	Count of Students	Percent of Students		dents
		District	DRG	State
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	85	81.7	N/A	74.1
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	12	11.5	N/A	14.9
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	7	6.7	N/A	11.0

SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

Region 6 continues to devote marked amounts of funding to the areas of curriculum development and instructional practice improvement. All Region 6 schools have finished curriculum mapping and have developed grade level expectations aligned to state frameworks. The district is currently writing curriculum in Language Arts and Mathematics aligned with Common Core State Standards. Improvement of provision of support services to all students (typical and those with disabilities) continues to be a priority for Region 6. Data team and SIT meetings monitor student achievement and track/modify interventions based on the SRBI model.