Connecticut State Department of Education

DISTRICT PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2017–18



Side By Side Charter School District

203-857-0306

District Information

Grade Range	PK-8
Number of Schools/Programs	1
Enrollment	235
Per Pupil Expenditures ¹	\$12,265
Total Expenditures ¹	\$2,894,612

¹Expenditure data reflect the 2016-17 year.



Community Information

<u>CERC Town Profiles</u> provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

Contents

Students 1	
Educators2	
Instruction and Resources	
Performance and Accountability4	
Narratives 6	

Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2017-18 and include all grades offered by the district.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit edsight.ct.gov.

State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.

Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been

suppressed to ensure student confidentiality. N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

Students

October 1, 2017 Enrollment					
		District	State		
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)		
Female	122	51.9	48.4		
Male	113	48.1	51.6		
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	0.3		
Asian	*	*	5.1		
Black or African American	66	28.1	12.8		
Hispanic or Latino	114	48.5	24.8		
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.1		
Two or More Races	13	5.5	3.3		
White	36	15.3	53.6		
English Learners	16	6.8	7.2		
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	114	48.5	36.7		
Students with Disabilities ¹	22	9./	1/1 8		

¹Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

	Chronic		Suspension/	
	Absenteeism ²		Expu	lsion ³
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	*	*	0	0.0
Male	*	*	6	5.4
Black or African American	*	*	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	7	7.2	*	*
White	*	*	*	*
English Learners	*	*	0	*
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	9	7.8	*	*
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	*
District	15	7.7	6	2.6
State		10.7		6.8

Number of students in 2016-17 qualified as truant under state statute: 0

Number of school-based arrests: 0

²A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

³The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

Educators

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)1 Staff

	FTE
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	13.5
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	13.0
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	1.0
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	0.5
Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	0.0
School Level	2.0
Library/Media	
Specialists (Certified)	0.0
Support Staff	0.0
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers	0.0
Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists	1.0
School Nurses	1.0
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support	12.5

¹In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

Educators by Race/Ethnicity

		District	State
	Count Percent of Total (%)		Percent of Total (%)
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0.0	0.1
Asian	0	0.0	1.1
Black or African American	0	0.0	3.7
Hispanic or Latino	0	0.0	3.7
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.0
Two or More Races	0	0.0	0.1
White	18	100.0	91.0

Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2016-17

	District	State
Average Number of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time	8.0	10.5

Instruction and Resources

Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers³

Count	Rate (%)
N/A	N/A
	68.6
	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

³Ages 6-21

Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability¹

	Dis	State	
	Count	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Autism	0	0.0	1.8
Emotional Disturbance	0	0.0	1.1
Intellectual Disability	0	0.0	0.5
Learning Disability	0	0.0	5.2
Other Health Impairment	0	0.0	3.1
Other Disabilities	0	0.0	1.1
Speech/Language Impairment	0	0.0	1.8
All Disabilities	0	0.0	14.5

Students with Disabilities Placed Outside of the District²

	Dis	State	
	Count	Rate (%)	
Public Schools in Other Districts	0	0.0	8.3
Private Schools or Other Settings	0	0.0	5.2

²Grades K-12

Overall Expenditures: 2016-17

		Per Pupil	
	Total (\$)	District (\$)	State (\$)
Instructional Staff and Services	1,635,875	6,932	9,847
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	21,055	89	287
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	4,396	19	589
Student Support Services	291,893	1,237	1,120
Administration and Support Services	639,412	2,709	1,905
Plant Operation and Maintenance	301,981	1,280	1,648
Transportation	0		904
Costs of Students Tuitioned Out		N/A	N/A
Other	0	0	208
Total	2,894,612	12,265	16,535
Additiona	al Expenditures		
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	0	0	1,393

³Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

Special Education Expenditures: 2016-17

	Dist	State	
	Total (\$)	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
Certified Personnel	0	0.0	33.8
Noncertified Personnel	0	0.0	14.5
Purchased Services	0	0.0	5.5
Tuition to Other Schools	0	0.0	23.4
Special Ed. Transportation	0	0.0	8.7
Other Expenditures	0	0.0	14.1
Total Expenditures	0	0.0	100.0

Expenditures by Revenue Source:⁴ 2016-17

	Percent of Total (%)			
	Including Excluding			
	School School			
	Construction Construct			
Local	0.0	0.0		
State	91.5	91.5		
Federal	2.2	2.2		
Tuition & Other	6.3	6.3		

⁴Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction).

¹Grades K-12

Performance and Accountability

District Performance Index (DPI)

A District Performance Index (DPI) is the average performance of students in a subject area (i.e., ELA, Mathematics or Science) on the state summative assessments. The DPI ranges from 0-100. A DPI is reported for all students tested in a district and for students in each individual student group. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 75. The USDOE approved Connecticut's waiver request related to statewide student assessment in science. As a result, in spring 2018, the Connecticut State Department of Education administered a NGSS Assessment Field Test for both science standard and alternate assessments. Therefore, no science data appear in the table below.

	English Langua	ge Arts(ELA)	Math	
	Count	DPI	Count	DPI
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*	*
Black or African American	41	61.9	41	60.2
Hispanic or Latino	63	68.7	63	68.9
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A
Two or More Races	6	*	6	*
White	15	*	15	*
English Learners	19	*	19	*
Non-English Learners	109	68.9	109	68.8
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	78	66.1	78	67.0
Not Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	50	70.1	50	67.8
Students with Disabilities	13	*	13	*
Students without Disabilities	115	69.6	115	69.4
High Needs	87	65.4	87	65.5
Non-High Needs	41	72.4	41	71.3
District	128	67.7	128	67.3

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient¹

	NAEP	NAEP 2013	
READING	Grade 4 Grade 8		Grade 12
Connecticut	43%	44%	50%
National Public	35%	35%	36%
MATH	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	40%	36%	32%
National Public	40%	33%	25%

¹NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across Smarter Balanced and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement other state assessment data. To view student subgroup performance on NAEP, click here.

Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard²

	Percent of Students by Grade ³ (%)				All Tested Grades	
	4	6	8	HS	Count	Rate (%)
Sit & Reach	*	*	70.0	N/A	57	68.4
Curl Up	*	*	95.0	N/A	57	78.9
Push Up	*	*	80.0	N/A	57	66.7
Mile Run/PACER	*	*	30.0	N/A	57	45.6
All Tests - District	*	*	30.0	N/A	57	35.1
All Tests - State	53.2	51.4	50.5	45.6		50.1

²The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

³Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

Next Generation Accountability Results

Connecticut's Next Generation Accountability System is a broad set of 12 indicators that help tell the story of how well a district/school is preparing its students for success in college, careers, and life. It moves beyond test scores and graduation rates to provide a more holistic, multifactor perspective of district and school performance.

The USDOE approved Connecticut's waiver request related to statewide student assessment in science. As a result, in spring 2018, the Connecticut State Department of Education administered a NGSS Assessment Field Test for both science standard and alternate assessments. Therefore, no science data appear in the table below.

Indicator		Index/Rate	Target	Points Earned	Max Points	% Points Earned	State Average Index/Rate
ELA Performance Index	All Students	67.7	75	45.1	50	90.2	67.6
ELA Performance index	High Needs Students	65.4	75	43.6	50	87.3	57.5
Math Performance Index	All Students	67.3	75	44.9	50	89.8	62.7
iviatii Periormance index	High Needs Students	65.5	75	43.7	50	87.3	52.0
ELA Academic Growth	All Students	62.7%	100%	62.7	100	62.7	60.7%
ELA ACAGEMIC Growth	High Needs Students	61.1%	100%	61.1	100	61.1	55.6%
Math Academic Growth	All Students	69.0%	100%	69.0	100	69.0	61.9%
Math Academic Growth	High Needs Students	69.3%	100%	69.3	100	69.3	55.4%
Chronic Absenteeism	All Students	7.7%	<=5%	44.5	50	89.1	10.7%
	High Needs Students	7.9%	<=5%	44.1	50	88.3	16.6%
Danage for CCD	% Taking Courses	N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	74.8%
Preparation for CCR	% Passing Exams	N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	44.8%
On-track to High School Gra	aduation	N/A	94%	0.0	0	0.0	87.5%
4-year Graduation All Stude	ents (2017 Cohort)	N/A	94%	0.0	0	0.0	87.9%
6-year Graduation - High Needs Students (2015 Cohort)		N/A	94%	0.0	0	0.0	81.8%
Postsecondary Entrance (Class of 2017)		N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	70.9%
Physical Fitness (estimated part rate) and (fitness rate)		98.3% 35.1%	75%	23.4	50	46.8	96.6% 50.1%
Arts Access	Arts Access		60%	0.0	0	0.0	51.2%
Accountability Index				551.4	750	73.5	_

Gap Indicators	Non-High Needs Rate ¹	High Needs Rate	Size of Gap	State Gap Mean +1 Stdev ²	Is Gap an Outlier? ²
Achievement Gap Size Outlier?					N
ELA Performance Index Gap	72.4	65.4	7.0	15.9	
Math Performance Index Gap	71.3	65.5	5.8	18.2	
Science Performance Index Gap		N/A			
Graduation Rate Gap					

¹ If the Non-High Needs Rate exceeds the ultimate target (75 for Performance Index and 94% for graduation rate), the ultimate target is used for gap calculations.

²If the size of the gap exceeds the state mean gap plus one standard deviation, the gap is an outlier.

Sul	oject/Subgroup	Participation Rate (%) ³	
ELA	All Students	100.0	³ Minimum
ELA	High Needs Students	100.0	participation standard is 95%.
Math	All Students	100.0	
IVIALII	High Needs Students	100.0	
Science	All Students	100.0	
Science	High Needs Students	100.0	

Connecticut's State Identified Measurable Goal for Children with Disabilities (SIMR)

Increase the reading performance of all 3rd grade students with disabilities statewide, as measured by Connecticut's English Language Arts (ELA) Performance Index.

Grade 3 ELA Performance Index for Students with Disabilities:

District: * State: 51.5

Supporting Resources

Two-page FAQ

Detailed Presentation

Using Accountability Results to Guide Improvement: comprehensive documentation and supports

Narratives

School District Improvement Plans and Parental Outreach Activities

As part of our school improvement plans, school leadership and stakeholders are in the process of implementing a new school planning cycle. The school planning cycle is designed to address and counteract deficiencies identified in recent data trends, plan for enhanced student outcomes over the next several years, and provide a supportive environment for teachers that clearly articulates the relationship between the teacher evaluation system. (SEED), the implementation of the Common Core State Standards and the administration of the Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium standardized assessment. The second element is based on survey data and input from teachers during faculty meetings indicating parents come to school for fun events but are often less comfortable participating in events around student learning. One effort to marry the goals of increasing reading scores is to plan a series of interactive forums for parents and students that engage them in new curriculum practices such as close reading activities, offer opportunities for ongoing discussions about student outcomes, and improve the camaraderie and rapport of school community members and stakeholders. As part of Side By Side's efforts to communicate and engage in practices that reflect high expectations for all students, Side By Side's Special Education team is engaged with collaborative practices with general education teachers that work toward creating opportunities for our special needs population to be successful in accessing and achieving the rigors of the CCSS and their accompanying curricula. A major component of these efforts is professional development for all teachers including our Special Education teacher around the common core instructional shifts, and in particular, ways to build scaffolds to complex, grade level texts and content standards for struggling students that are reading below grade level. In addition to a community based Monthly Newsletter that is sent to all families, we are able to provide more frequently updated information via.our Website and through social network devices such as Facebook, Twitter, and our "Director's Blog." All Side by Side teachers are required to develop and maintain a class "web-page." This page includes daily classroom news, homework and classwork assignments, curricular updates, as well as resourceful links for parents to use at home. Recently, Side By Side has engaged in efforts to enhance their engagement with parents around supporting their children's learning at home. These new efforts, along with longstanding efforts to help parents participate in their children's learning include, summer reading packages, parent monitored student reading logs, math game kits that are curriculum embedded and common core aligned, ipad and android app recommendations, and an open door policy during the school day and outside school hours. Additionally, classroom specific parent learning nights offer opportunities for parents to learn what occurring in the classroom, to see student work, and learn about instructional strategies and learning activities they can engage in with their children at home. School wide parent forums on teaching and learning also support this process

Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation

Side by Side Charter School is an urban/suburban, regional, public charter school. As a "school of choice," our students come from many communities, all very different from each other. The very nature of Side by Side lends itself to a respect for diversity and an understanding of many cultures. At Side by Side, the curriculum is structured to reflect and enhance the diversity of our students and to promote each individual's unique gifts and talents. In accordance with our charter, our student body will consistently represent the ethnic, racial and socioeconomic diversity of the residing towns of our students. Currently, 14% of our students came from towns outside of Norwalk (our host district). Our student population is approximately 73% minority. In addition to the curricular opportunities that lend themselves to racial and socioeconomic diversity, there are a variety of extra-curricular activities. Programs that the school offers and/or mandates as well. For example, monthly R.I.S.E. a€"Themed All-School Meetings, addressing Respect Independence, Social Justice, and Empathy; Scholarship resources for students to attend summer programs such as Horizons, Westport Arts Center, Earthplace, etc., after-school, "Extended Day" program- offerings integrate community building and cultural education. A highlight here is the long trip to Nature's Classroom, for 6th and 7th graders where they engage in hands- on, experiential community building activities to promote tolerance. We hold ESL classes for adults through our Family Resource Center, which takes place on Saturday mornings, and we provide in-house Spanish-English translation for our Child Study Team meetings and parent workshops. A new highly celebrated event is our International Night, where families come together to share meals, potluck style, from their favorite cultural recipes

Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools

N.A- Side by Side is a public Charter School LEA; Side by Side Charter School is the only school within the district