Connecticut State Department of Education

DISTRICT PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2013–14



Stonington School District

Mr. Van Riley, Superintendent • 860-572-0506 • www.stoningtonschools.org

District Information

| Grade Range | PK-12 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Number of Schools | 10 |
| Enrollment | 2,320 |
| Per Pupil Expenditures ¹ | \$14,455 |
| Total Expenditures ¹ | \$34,981,020 |

¹Expenditure data reflect the 2012-13 year.



Community Information

<u>CERC Town Profiles</u> provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

Related Reports/Publications

CT Reports (CMT/CAPT)

District and School Performance Reports

Special Education Annual Performance Reports

SAT®, AP®, PSAT® Report by High School (Class of 2014)

(2014® The College Board)

Contents

| Students | 1 |
|---------------------------|---|
| Educators | 2 |
| Instruction and Resources | 2 |
| Performance | 4 |
| Narratives | 6 |

Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2013-14 and include all grades offered by the district.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit EdSight.

State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.

Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been

suppressed to ensure student confidentiality. N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

Students

| October 1, 2013 Enrollment | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| | | District | State | | |
| | Count | Percent of Total (%) | Percent of Total (%) | | |
| Female | 1,124 | 48.4 | 48.3 | | |
| Male | 1,196 | 51.6 | 51.6 | | |
| American Indian | * | * | 0.2 | | |
| Asian | 63 | 2.7 | 4.6 | | |
| Black or African American | 48 | 2.1 | 12.9 | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 72 | 3.1 | 21.2 | | |
| Pacific Islander | * | * | 0.0 | | |
| White | 2,104 | 90.7 | 58.4 | | |
| Two or More Races | 31 | 1.3 | 2.3 | | |
| English Language Learners | 13 | 0.6 | 5.7 | | |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 488 | 21.0 | 37.3 | | |
| Students with Disabilities ¹ | 302 | 13.0 | 12.8 | | |

¹Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

| | Chronic | | Suspension/ | |
|--|--------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|
| | Absenteeism ² | | Expulsion ³ | |
| | Count | Rate (%) | Count | Rate (%) |
| Female | 95 | 8.6 | 43 | 3.7 |
| Male | 105 | 8.9 | 104 | 8.5 |
| Black or African American | 7 | 15.2 | 15 | 31.9 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 19 | 24.1 | 6 | 7.4 |
| White | 160 | 7.8 | 120 | 5.6 |
| English Language Learners | 10 | 50.0 | * | * |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 90 | 19.3 | 62 | 12.0 |
| Students with Disabilities | 50 | 16.5 | 30 | 8.5 |
| District | 200 | 8.8 | 147 | 6.2 |
| State | | 10.8 | | 7.4 |

Number of students in 2012-13 qualified as truant under state statute: 74

²A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

³The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

Educators

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)1 Staff

| | FTE |
|--|-------|
| General Education | |
| Teachers and Instructors | 154.1 |
| Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants | 68.1 |
| Special Education | |
| Teachers and Instructors | 35.4 |
| Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants | 65.5 |
| Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs | |
| District Central Office | 4.2 |
| School Level | 11.1 |
| Library/Media | |
| Specialists (Certified) | 3.4 |
| Support Staff | 10.6 |
| Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers | 4.6 |
| Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists | 14.2 |
| School Nurses | 8.9 |
| Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support | 105.1 |

¹In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

Educators by Race/Ethnicity

| | | District | State |
|------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Count | Percent of Total (%) | Percent of Total (%) |
| Asian | 3 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| Black or African American | 1 | 0.4 | 3.5 |
| Hispanic | 1 | 0.4 | 3.6 |
| Native American | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |
| White | 229 | 97.9 | 91.7 |

Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers²

| | Percent of Total (%) | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| District | 99.1 | |
| District Poverty Quartile: Middle | | |
| State High Poverty Quartile Schools | 97.8 | |
| State Low Poverty Quartile Schools | 99.5 | |

²Core academic classes taught by teachers who are fully certified to teach in that subject area.

Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2012-13

| | District | State |
|---|----------|-------|
| Average Number of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time | 9.9 | 9.3 |

Instruction and Resources

11th and 12th Graders Who Enrolled in at Least Two Advanced Placement® or International Baccalaureate® Courses during High School³

| | 1 | 1th | 1 | 2th |
|--|-------|----------|-------|----------|
| | Count | Rate (%) | Count | Rate (%) |
| Black or African American | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hispanic or Latino | * | * | 0 | 0 |
| White | 24 | 13.9 | * | * |
| English Language Learners | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 0 | 0 | * | * |
| Students with Disabilities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| District | 27 | 13.9 | 43 | 23.8 |
| State | | 14.2 | | 26.8 |

³Effective 2014-15, this calculation will also include students who participate in dual enrollment courses and two or more courses within a career and technical education (CTE) concentration.

Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers⁴

| Autism2967.4Emotional Disturbance1257.1Intellectual Disability**Learning Disability11693.5Other Health Impairment5995.2Other Disabilities** | | Count | Rate (%) |
|---|----------------------------|-------|----------|
| Intellectual Disability * * Learning Disability 116 93.5 Other Health Impairment 59 95.2 | Autism | 29 | 67.4 |
| Learning Disability 116 93.5 Other Health Impairment 59 95.2 | Emotional Disturbance | 12 | 57.1 |
| Other Health Impairment 59 95.2 | Intellectual Disability | * | * |
| · | Learning Disability | 116 | 93.5 |
| Other Disabilities * * | Other Health Impairment | 59 | 95.2 |
| | Other Disabilities | * | * |
| Speech/Language Impairment 28 93.3 | Speech/Language Impairment | 28 | 93.3 |
| District 251 83.9 | District | 251 | 83.9 |
| State 69.2 | State | | 69.2 |

⁴Ages 6-21

Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability¹

| | District | | State |
|-------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | Count | Rate (%) | Rate (%) |
| Autism | 44 | 1.9 | 1.4 |
| Emotional Disturbance | 21 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| Intellectual Disability | 11 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Learning Disability | 124 | 5.3 | 4.2 |
| Other Health Impairment | 63 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| Other Disabilities | 11 | 0.5 | 1.0 |
| Speech/Language Impairment | 33 | 1.4 | 1.9 |
| All Disabilities | 307 | 13.1 | 12.4 |

Students with Disabilities Placed Outside of the District²

| | District | | State |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | Count | Rate (%) | Rate (%) |
| Public Schools in Other Districts | 10 | 3.2 | 2.8 |
| Private Schools or Other Settings | 33 | 10.7 | 8.1 |

²Grades K-12

Overall Expenditures: 2012-13

| | | Per Pupil | | | | |
|---|------------|---------------|------------|--|--|--|
| | Total (\$) | District (\$) | State (\$) | | | |
| Instructional Staff and Services | 19,089,501 | 8,141 | 8,769 | | | |
| Instructional Supplies and Equipment | 255,293 | 109 | 275 | | | |
| Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services | 1,444,924 | 616 | 487 | | | |
| Student Support Services | 2,025,307 | 864 | 965 | | | |
| Administration and Support Services | 3,073,566 | 1,311 | 1,600 | | | |
| Plant Operation and Maintenance | 3,745,467 | 1,597 | 1,472 | | | |
| Transportation | 2,518,067 | 1,009 | 786 | | | |
| Costs of Students Tuitioned Out | 2,384,145 | N/A | N/A | | | |
| Other | 444,750 | 190 | 178 | | | |
| Total | 34,981,020 | 14,455 | 14,642 | | | |
| Additional Expenditures | | | | | | |
| Land, Buildings, and Debt Service | 2,605,191 | 1,111 | 1,434 | | | |

³Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

Special Education Expenditures: 2012-13

| | Dist | State | | | | | |
|--|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Total (\$) | Percent of Total (%) | Percent of Total (%) | | | | |
| Certified Personnel | 3,066,541 | 33.4 | 35.6 | | | | |
| Noncertified Personnel | 1,573,632 | 17.1 | 14.5 | | | | |
| Purchased Services | 216,272 | 2.4 | 5.0 | | | | |
| Tuition to Other Schools | 2,157,279 | 23.5 | 21.4 | | | | |
| Special Ed. Transportation | 1,028,992 | 11.2 | 8.5 | | | | |
| Other Expenditures | 1,142,705 | 12.4 | 14.9 | | | | |
| Total Expenditures | 9,185,421 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Educ | ation | 26.3 | 21.9 | | | | |

Expenditures by Revenue Source:⁴ 2012-13

| | Percent of Total (%) | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Including | Excluding | | | | |
| | School | School | | | | |
| | Construction | Construction | | | | |
| Local | 89.7 | 88.9 | | | | |
| State | 8.4 | 9.0 | | | | |
| Federal | 1.8 | 2.0 | | | | |
| Tuition & Other | 0.1 | 0.1 | | | | |

⁴Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction).

¹Grades K-12

Performance

District Performance Index (DPI)

A District Performance Index (DPI) for the Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT) and the Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT) is the test performance of all subjects tested in the respective assessment for all students in the district. The DPI ranges in value from 0 to 100 points. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 88 because in a district with a DPI of 88 or above, students will have performed at or above the 'goal' level on the majority of tests. Detailed reports of CMT and CAPT assessment results are available at www.ctreports.com. School and District Performance Reports produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education (CSDE) are also available online.

| CMT | | DPI | | | | 2013-14 | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|---------|--------|----------|
| | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | Count | DPI | Target | Achieved |
| Black or African American | 71.8 | 70.2 | 69.6 | | | | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 78.4 | 76.7 | 72.3 | 84.8 | | | | |
| English Language Learners | | | | | | | | |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 73.0 | 69.7 | 72.4 | 72.1 | | | | |
| Students with Disabilities | 51.8 | 45.9 | 47.1 | 49.3 | | | | |
| High Needs | 67.0 | 63.1 | 65.5 | 66.2 | | | | |
| District | 84.7 | 83.2 | 84.2 | 84.8 | | | • | |

Note: If no data are displayed for 2013-14, the district implemented the Smarter Balanced Field Test.

| САРТ | DPI | | | | 2013-14 | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----|--------|----------|
| | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | Count | DPI | Target | Achieved |
| Black or African American | | | | • | | | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | | | | | | | | |
| English Language Learners | | | | | | | | |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 57.7 | | 64.3 | 71.2 | | | | |
| Students with Disabilities | 50.4 | 51.5 | | 43.5 | | | | |
| High Needs | 55.4 | 62.1 | 56.9 | 65.3 | | | | |
| District | 78.6 | 81.5 | 80.5 | 82.3 | | | | |

Note: If no data are displayed for 2013-14, the district implemented the Smarter Balanced Field Test.

2013 National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient¹

| READING | Grade 4 | Grade 8 | Grade 12 |
|-----------------|---------|---------|----------|
| Connecticut | 43% | 45% | 50% |
| National Public | 34% | 34% | 36% |
| MATH | Grade 4 | Grade 8 | Grade 12 |
| Connecticut | 45% | 37% | 32% |
| National Public | 41% | 34% | 25% |

¹NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across CMT/CAPT and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement CMT/CAPT results.

Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard²

| | Percent | of Studer | All Teste | d Grades | | |
|----------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------|----------|
| | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | Count | Rate (%) |
| Sit & Reach | 99.3 | 92.2 | 95.2 | 81.2 | 689 | 91.9 |
| Curl Up | 89.3 | 93.3 | 92.6 | 90.6 | 689 | 91.6 |
| Push Up | 67.3 | 76.1 | 78.8 | 91.2 | 689 | 78.7 |
| Mile Run/PACER | 72.7 | 90.6 | 89.4 | 84.7 | 689 | 84.9 |
| All Tests - District | 48.7 | 68.9 | 68.3 | 74.7 | 689 | 65.7 |
| All Tests - State | 50.2 | 50.7 | 50.3 | 53.9 | | 51.1 |

²The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

³Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

Cohort Graduation: Four-Year¹

| | | 2013-14 | | | |
|--|---------------------------|----------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| | Cohort Count ² | Rate (%) | Target ³ (%) | Target Achieved | Target³ (%) |
| Black or African American | * | * | | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | * | * | | | |
| English Language Learners | * | * | | | |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 26 | 92.3 | 80.0 | Yes | 81.4 |
| Students with Disabilities | 27 | 74.1 | 75.1 | No | 77.0 |
| District | 202 | 95 | 93.4 | Yes | 93.5 |
| State ⁴ | | 85.5 | | | |

¹The four-year cohort graduation rate represents the percentage of first-time 9th graders who earn a standard high school diploma within four years. Statewide, district and school results for cohorts of 2010 through 2013 are <u>available online</u>.

11th and 12th Graders Meeting Benchmark on at Least One College Readiness Exam⁵

| | Participation ⁶ | Meeting Benchmark | | |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------|----------|--|
| | Rate (%) | Count | Rate (%) | |
| Female | 83.2 | 101 | 50.0 | |
| Male | 70.5 | 80 | 46.2 | |
| Black or African American | * | 0 | * | |
| Hispanic or Latino | * | * | * | |
| White | 77.4 | 167 | 49.7 | |
| English Language Learners | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 47.8 | 11 | 16.4 | |
| Students with Disabilities | * | * | * | |
| District | 77.3 | 181 | 48.3 | |
| State | 72.9 | | 37.6 | |

⁵College readiness exams and benchmark scores are as follows:

- SAT® composite score of 1550 or higher
- ACT® meets benchmark score on 3 of 4 exams (benchmark score varies by subject)
- AP® 3 or higher on any one AP® exam
- IB® 4 or higher on any one IB® exam

⁶Participation Rate equals the number of test-takers in 11th and 12th grade divided by the number of students enrolled in those grades, as a percent.

Sources:

SAT® and AP® statistics derived from data provided by the College Board.

Copyright © 2014 The College Board. www.collegeboard.org

ACT® statistics derived from data provided by ACT, Inc.

Copyright © 2015 ACT, Inc. www.act.org

IB® statistics derived from data provided by the International Baccalaureate Organization.

Copyright © International Baccalaureate Organization 2014

College Entrance and Persistence

| | Class of 2013 | Class of 2012 |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| | Entrance ⁷ | Persistence ⁸ |
| | Rate (%) | Rate (%) |
| Female | 83.1 | 89.4 |
| Male | 72.0 | 87.7 |
| Black or African American | N/A | N/A |
| Hispanic or Latino | N/A | N/A |
| White | 75.6 | 88.6 |
| English Language Learners | N/A | N/A |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 74.1 | * |
| Students with Disabilities | * | * |
| District | 76.8 | 88.6 |
| State | 72.7 | 88.5 |

⁷College entrance refers to the percent of high school graduates from the year who enrolled in college any time during the first year after high school.

Source: National Student Clearinghouse

²Cohort count includes all students in the cohort as of the end of the 2012-13 school year.

³Targets are calculated when there are at least 20 students in a cohort in the base year (i.e., 2010-11).

⁴Targets are not displayed at the state level.

⁸College persistence refers to the percent of students who enrolled in college the first year after high school and returned for a second year (Freshman to Sophomore persistence).

Narratives

School District Improvement Plans and Parental Outreach Activities

Stonington Public Schools has focused its improvement efforts on aligning curriculum and instructional practices and resources to support student achievement of high standards. Revised ELA and Math curriculums have been implemented with revisions to Social Studies and Science planned. Professional development for regular and special ed teachers is an integral part of that implementation. Improving special education programs for the district have centered on management of student behaviors as well as new initiatives in the area of individualized reading instruction. The district has expanded and added specialized programs, throughout the elementary schools and middle schools to meet the needs of students with Autism as well as students who need specialized behavioral support classrooms. Classroom programs include individualized curriculum, BCBA support services, access to assistive technology, and mental health support services. Special education teachers receive training in the formulation of FBAs to analyze student behavior. The district provided regular consultation and working partnerships with multiple BCBA agencies to assist staff in the drafting and management of behavior plans. Communication with parents and the community is ongoing. Our goal is to provide parents opportunities to learn about our curriculum, to teach strategies they can use to support their child's learning and to explore topics identified as areas of interest or concern. Workshops and meetings are held to inform parents on school-wide initiatives and programs as well as provide parents opportunities to celebrate student work, learn about curricular standards and expectations as well as strategies to use to gain better insight on how to support their child's' academic, social and emotional needs. Grade-level teams use a variety of social media platforms, and provide websites and other electronic communication for parents with resources for helping students with assignments. Staff uses Power School, Edmodo and Class Dojo to communicate classroom activities with families. Schools utilize parents and community volunteers to support school programs. PTOs have been integral in helping schools provide enriching experiences for our students, scheduling evening and daytime enrichment activities for students and their siblings so parents may attend our curriculum-based programs. The district works closely with parents to ensure that students are present in school. At the elementary level social workers and administration meet with parents to discuss attendance concerns. Middle school teams (typically administration, mental health, guidance, the school nurse, the town's youth officer) meet with families and students on a regular basis to improve attendance. Stonington High School has developed alternative education programs designed to help students with school avoidance issues. The district works closely with parents to ensure that students are present in school. At the elementary level social workers and administration meet with parents to discuss attendance concerns. Middle level teams (administration, mental health, guidance, the school nurse, the town's youth officer) meet with families and students on regular basis to improve attendance. Stonington High School has developed alternative education programs designed to help students with school avoidance issues

Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation

Stonington Public Schools continues to foster student understanding and respect for the diverse populations who attend our schools and live in our community. School climate data and teacher and parent conversations inform our efforts. In the elementary positive behavioral support program, "It's Up to Me," students learn collaboration and communication skills. Lessons focus on developing compassion and empathy for others. Mental health staff works with students to instill common language and positive behavioral expectations. Elementary students run and participate in Community Gatherings to create a sense of community and share learning. Through classroom studies, students develop an appreciation for cultural perspective, learning about family customs and traditions. The use of technology expands access to local and global information, while music and art instruction support cultural studies. Each year, students participate in a variety of service projects to support needs found within our community. PTOs provided cultural arts programs for students. Pawcatuck Middle School participates in the Amistad Friendship Society, an interdisciplinary program involving students, parents, teachers, and community members in the exploration of individual attitudes about basic civil rights and strategies to reduce prejudice and increase cooperation skills. The School-Based Health Center works with local agencies to provide programs for students and families on health and nutrition, included are mental and physical well-being activities along with healthy food and meal preparation based on simple, low cost ingredients. Middle school provides presentations and assemblies on diversity and anti-bullying. Stonington High School Friends of Rachel Club provides opportunities to become aware of the importance of relationships in the school and community. They partnered with the Stonington Community Center to sponsor a screening of the feature film Bully. Stonington High School organized the Gay Straight Alliance Club to heighten awareness of student differences. The district has a robust Unified Sports program supported at all building levels, with elementary teams added in 2014. Students participate in a variety of Unified Sports as well as Unified Arts and Talents events.

Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools

Stonington's Board of Education dictates that comparable resources are distributed to each school in district. Students in each grade, regardless of school assignment, receive equal access to these resources. Budgets are developed annually to address school and district needs. Consideration is given to enrollment figures, class-size, programs, student achievement data, curriculum and district initiatives. The process of budget development at the district level is informed by building level contributions to budget discussions. Equitable requests are made to the Board of Education. Monies for supplies, texts and other academic materials are budgeted on per-pupil basis. In January, the Superintendent presents a proposed budget to the Board of Education. This is formulated based on input from building level and district administrators, as well as on the input of school based teams. Public meetings are conducted in January and February as the Board of Education studies financial figures and builds its budget for presentation to the Board of Finance. Input from the community is welcomed. May brings a town-wide referendum.