Connecticut State Department of Education

DISTRICT PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2017–18



North Branford School District

Mr. Scott Schoonmaker, Superintendent • 203-484-1440 • http://www.northbranfordschools.org

District Information

Grade Range	PK-12
Number of Schools/Programs	5
Enrollment	1,784
Per Pupil Expenditures ¹	\$16,470
Total Expenditures ¹	\$31,326,185

¹Expenditure data reflect the 2016-17 year.



Community Information

<u>CERC Town Profiles</u> provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

Contents

Students	. 1
Educators	. 2
Instruction and Resources	. 2
Performance and Accountability	. 4
Narratives	. 7

Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2017-18 and include all grades offered by the district.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit edsight.ct.gov.

State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.

Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

suppressed to ensure student confidentiality. N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been

Students

English Learners

Students with Disabilities¹

Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals

October 1, 2017 Enrollment				
		District	State	
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)	
Female	886	49.7	48.4	
Male	898	50.3	51.6	
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	0.3	
Asian	38	2.1	5.1	
Black or African American	42	2.4	12.8	
Hispanic or Latino	104	5.8	24.8	
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.1	
Two or More Races	*	*	3.3	
White	1,587	89.0	53.6	

¹Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

15

295

247

0.8

16.5

13.8

7.2

36.7

14.8

Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

	Chronic		Suspe	ension/
	Absenteeism ²		Ехри	ılsion³
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	49	5.6	32	3.6
Male	44	5.0	67	7.4
Black or African American	*	*	9	20.9
Hispanic or Latino	8	7.8	7	6.7
White	77	5.0	80	5.0
English Learners	*	*	0	*
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	40	10.7	35	8.9
Students with Disabilities	24	9.6	33	11.5
District	93	5.3	99	5.5
State		10.7		6.8

Number of students in 2016-17 qualified as truant under state statute: 39

Number of school-based arrests: Fewer than 6

²A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

³The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

Educators

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)1 Staff

	FTE
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	121.2
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	32.0
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	23.0
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	44.0
Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	5.0
School Level	7.8
Library/Media	
Specialists (Certified)	3.0
Support Staff	4.0
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers	4.6
Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists	12.0
School Nurses	4.0
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support	70.8

¹In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

Educators by Race/Ethnicity

		District	State
	Count Percent of Total (%)		Percent of Total (%)
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0.0	0.1
Asian	1	0.6	1.1
Black or African American	0	0.0	3.7
Hispanic or Latino	1	0.6	3.7
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.0
Two or More Races	0	0.0	0.1
White	175	98.9	91.0

Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2016-17

	District	State
Average Number of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time	8.3	10.5

Instruction and Resources

11th and 12th Graders Enrolled in College-and-Career-Readiness Courses during High School²

	11th		1	2th
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Black or African American	0	0.0	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	6	*
White	72	61.0	108	79.4
English Learners	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	13	44.8	24	82.8
Students with Disabilities	9	34.6	15	75.0
District	78	58.6	118	80.3
State	69.3			80.1

²College-and-Career-Readiness Courses include Advanced Placement®(AP), International Baccalaureate®(IB), Career and Technical Education(CTE), workplace experience and dual enrollment courses.

Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers³

	Count	Rate (%)
Autism	8	*
Emotional Disturbance	11	*
Intellectual Disability	*	*
Learning Disability	104	96.3
Other Health Impairment	34	85.0
Other Disabilities	*	*
Speech/Language Impairment	29	78.4
District	191	79.6
State		68.6

³Ages 6-21

Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability¹

	Dis	State	
	Count	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Autism	18	1.0	1.8
Emotional Disturbance	16	0.9	1.1
Intellectual Disability	13	0.7	0.5
Learning Disability	108	6.0	5.2
Other Health Impairment	42	2.3	3.1
Other Disabilities	13	0.7	1.1
Speech/Language Impairment	42	2.3	1.8
All Disabilities	252	14.0	14.5

Students with Disabilities Placed Outside of the District²

	Dis	State	
	Count	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Public Schools in Other Districts	17	6.7	8.3
Private Schools or Other Settings	7	2.8	5.2

²Grades K-12

Overall Expenditures: 2016-17

		Per Pupil	
	Total (\$)	District (\$)	State (\$)
Instructional Staff and Services	15,059,034	8,216	9,847
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	308,856	168	287
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	1,823,103	995	589
Student Support Services	2,510,715	1,370	1,120
Administration and Support Services	3,500,722	1,910	1,905
Plant Operation and Maintenance	3,726,210	2,033	1,648
Transportation	2,283,810	1,174	904
Costs of Students Tuitioned Out	1,315,133	N/A	N/A
Other	798,602	436	208
Total	31,326,185	16,470	16,535
Additiona	l Expenditures		
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	3,346,973	1,826	1,393

³Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

Special Education Expenditures: 2016-17

	Dist	District		
	Total (\$)	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)	
Certified Personnel	2,679,001	42.2	33.8	
Noncertified Personnel	971,704	15.3	14.5	
Purchased Services	366,521	5.8	5.5	
Tuition to Other Schools	1,096,178	17.3	23.4	
Special Ed. Transportation	479,189	7.5	8.7	
Other Expenditures	760,504	12.0	14.1	
Total Expenditures	6,353,097	100.0	100.0	

Expenditures by Revenue Source:⁴ 2016-17

	Percent of Total (%)				
	Including Excluding				
	School	School			
	Construction	Construction			
Local	74.1	71.5			
State	24.4	26.8			
Federal	1.5	1.6			
Tuition & Other	0.0	0.0			

⁴Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction).

¹Grades K-12

Performance and Accountability

District Performance Index (DPI)

A District Performance Index (DPI) is the average performance of students in a subject area (i.e., ELA, Mathematics or Science) on the state summative assessments. The DPI ranges from 0-100. A DPI is reported for all students tested in a district and for students in each individual student group. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 75. The USDOE approved Connecticut's waiver request related to statewide student assessment in science. As a result, in spring 2018, the Connecticut State Department of Education administered a NGSS Assessment Field Test for both science standard and alternate assessments. Therefore, no science data appear in the table below.

	English Langua	ge Arts(ELA)	Math		
	Count	DPI	Count	DPI	
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*	
Asian	22	71.9	22	69.5	
Black or African American	28	60.9	28	54.1	
Hispanic or Latino	58	66.2	58	60.9	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Two or More Races	6	*	6	*	
White	829	67.9	829	64.5	
English Learners	16	*	16	*	
Non-English Learners	929	68.0	929	64.3	
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	205	61.7	205	58.0	
Not Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	740	69.6	740	65.9	
Students with Disabilities	137	48.1	137	41.7	
Students without Disabilities	808	71.2	808	68.0	
High Needs	308	58.3	308	53.8	
Non-High Needs	637	72.4	637	69.1	
District	945	67.9	945	64.2	

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient¹

	NAEP	NAEP 2013	
READING	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	43%	44%	50%
National Public	35%	35%	36%
MATH	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	40%	36%	32%
National Public	40%	33%	25%

¹NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across Smarter Balanced and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement other state assessment data. To view student subgroup performance on NAEP, click here.

Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard²

	Percent of Students by Grade ³ (%)				All Teste	ed Grades
	4	6	8	HS	Count	Rate (%)
Sit & Reach	96.1	87.8	82.9	90.7	476	89.5
Curl Up	99.2	88.6	81.2	95.4	476	91.2
Push Up	84.4	82.9	83.8	80.6	476	83.0
Mile Run/PACER	62.5	67.5	65.0	65.7	476	65.1
All Tests - District	53.9	52.8	59.8	58.3	476	56.1
All Tests - State	53.2	51.4	50.5	45.6		50.1

²The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

³Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

Cohort Graduation: Four-Year¹

	2016-17		
	Cohort Count ²	Rate (%)	
Black or African American	*	*	
Hispanic or Latino	8	*	
English Learners	*	*	
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	35	77.1	
Students with Disabilities	35	80.0	
District	155	92.9	
State		87.9	

¹The four-year cohort graduation rate represents the percentage of first-time 9th graders who earn a standard high school diploma within four years.

11th and 12th Graders Meeting Benchmark on at Least One College Readiness Exam³

	Participation ⁴	Meeting Benchmark	
	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	98.7	68	43.0
Male	98.4	56	45.9
Black or African American	*	0	*
Hispanic or Latino	*	6	*
White	98.4	113	44.5
English Learners	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	100.0	17	29.3
Students with Disabilities	91.3	0	0.0
District	98.6	124	44.3
State	96.3		44.8

³College readiness exams and benchmark scores are as follows:

- SAT® meets benchmark score on SAT, Revised SAT or Connecticut School Day SAT
- ACT® meets benchmark score on 3 of 4 exams (benchmark score varies by subject)
- $\bullet~\mbox{AP}^{\circledast}$ 3 or higher on any one \mbox{AP}^{\circledast} exam
- IB® 4 or higher on any one IB® exam
- Smarter Balanced Level 3 or higher on both ELA and math

⁴Participation Rate equals the number of test-takers in 11th and 12th grade divided by the number of students enrolled in those grades, as a percent. Sources:

SAT® and AP® statistics derived from data provided by the College Board.

Copyright © 2018 The College Board. www.collegeboard.org

ACT® statistics derived from data provided by ACT, Inc.

Copyright © 2018 ACT, Inc. www.act.org

IB® statistics derived from data provided by the International Baccalaureate Organization.

Copyright © International Baccalaureate Organization 2018

College Entrance and Persistence

	Class of 2017	Class of 2016
	Entrance⁵	Persistence ⁶
	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Female	92.5	98.5
Male	69.3	88.9
Black or African American	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	*	*
White	81.3	94.1
English Learners	N/A	N/A
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	57.6	*
Students with Disabilities	69.0	*
District	81.3	94.6
State	70.9	88.3

⁵College entrance refers to the percent of high school graduates from the year who enrolled in college any time during the first year after high school.

Source: National Student Clearinghouse

²Cohort count includes all students in the cohort as of the end of the 2016-17 school year.

⁶College persistence refers to the percent of students who enrolled in college the first year after high school and returned for a second year (Freshman to Sophomore persistence).

Next Generation Accountability Results

Connecticut's Next Generation Accountability System is a broad set of 12 indicators that help tell the story of how well a district/school is preparing its students for success in college, careers, and life. It moves beyond test scores and graduation rates to provide a more holistic, multifactor perspective of district and school performance.

The USDOE approved Connecticut's waiver request related to statewide student assessment in science. As a result, in spring 2018, the Connecticut State Department of Education administered a NGSS Assessment Field Test for both science standard and alternate assessments. Therefore, no science data appear in the table below.

Inc	dicator	Index/Rate	Target	Points Earned	Max Points	% Points Earned	State Average Index/Rate
ELA Performance Index	All Students	67.9	75	45.2	50	90.5	67.6
ELA Performance muex	High Needs Students	58.3	75	38.9	50	77.8	57.5
Math Performance Index	All Students	64.2	75	42.8	50	85.5	62.7
iviatii Periormance muex	High Needs Students	53.8	75	35.9	50	71.8	52.0
ELA Academic Growth	All Students	55.4%	100%	55.4	100	55.4	60.7%
ELA ACAGEMIC Growth	High Needs Students	49.2%	100%	49.2	100	49.2	55.6%
Math Assalansia Cusuath	All Students	58.1%	100%	58.1	100	58.1	61.9%
Math Academic Growth	High Needs Students	53.1%	100%	53.1	100	53.1	55.4%
Chronic Absortacism	All Students	5.3%	<=5%	49.4	50	98.8	10.7%
Chronic Absenteeism	High Needs Students	10.3%	<=5%	39.3	50	78.6	16.6%
Dranaration for CCD	% Taking Courses	70.0%	75%	46.7	50	93.3	74.8%
Preparation for CCR	% Passing Exams	44.3%	75%	29.5	50	59.0	44.8%
On-track to High School Gra	aduation	84.7%	94%	45.0	50	90.1	87.5%
4-year Graduation All Stude	ents (2017 Cohort)	92.9%	94%	98.8	100	98.8	87.9%
6-year Graduation - High Needs Students (2015 Cohort)		84.2%	94%	89.6	100	89.6	81.8%
Postsecondary Entrance (Class of 2017)		81.3%	75%	100.0	100	100.0	70.9%
Physical Fitness (estimated part rate) and (fitness rate)		86.2% 56.1%	75%	18.7	50	37.4	96.6% 50.1%
Arts Access		57.1%	60%	47.6	50	95.2	51.2%
Accountability Index				943.2	1250	75.5	_

Gap Indicators	Non-High Needs Rate ¹	High Needs Rate	Size of Gap	State Gap Mean +1 Stdev ²	Is Gap an Outlier?2
Achievement Gap Size Outlier?					N
ELA Performance Index Gap	72.4	58.3	14.1	15.9	
Math Performance Index Gap	69.1	53.8	15.3	18.2	
Science Performance Index Gap		N/A			
Graduation Rate Gap	94.0%	84.2%	9.8%	12.7%	N

¹ If the Non-High Needs Rate exceeds the ultimate target (75 for Performance Index and 94% for graduation rate), the ultimate target is used for gap calculations.

²If the size of the gap exceeds the state mean gap plus one standard deviation, the gap is an outlier.

Sul	oject/Subgroup	Participation Rate (%) ³	
ELA	All Students	98.8	³ Minimum
ELA	High Needs Students	99.1	participation standard is 95%.
Math	All Students	98.8	
IVIALII	High Needs Students	99.1	
Science	All Students	99.0	
Science	High Needs Students	99.3	

Connecticut's State Identified Measurable Goal for Children with Disabilities (SIMR)

Increase the reading performance of all 3rd grade students with disabilities statewide, as measured by Connecticut's English Language Arts (ELA) Performance Index.

Grade 3 ELA Performance Index for Students with Disabilities:

District: * State: 51.5

Supporting Resources

Two-page FAQ

Detailed Presentation

Using Accountability Results to Guide Improvement: comprehensive documentation and supports

Narratives

School District Improvement Plans and Parental Outreach Activities

NB Strategic Plan, School Improvement Plans, and Curriculum Management Cycle drive high quality programming. The strategic plan was developed in consultation with NESDC, with input from the staff, families, students and community stakeholders. The dynamic plan incorporates the CCSS, CT Secondary Ed Reform, the NEASC 2010 Evaluation Recommendations and other relevant data. The plan's three goals focus on student achievement, school climate, and school facilities. Yearly, leadership analyzes performance data and interprets the results to prioritize and adjust improvement plans for each school and program for the upcoming year. This planning promotes organizational purpose, collaboration and shared commitment to the district goals. NBPS recognize that parents play a vital role in educating their child. Parent representation is solicited for school committees (Strategic Planning, School Safety and Security, PBIS,a€|) that contribute during the planning process and throughout the year. Parent input is solicited through Parent Forums, PTOs, Booster Clubs, School Climate surveys and related instruments. Parents attend Open House, conferences, workshops, and at-risk and special meetings to help plan their child's program. To encourage family engagement, NBPS continues to keep families informed of school events, classroom news, and available services via multiple modes (PowerSchool, Google Classroom, Schoology, webpages, Edmodo). Feedback is provided (progress reports, report cards, at-risk meetings, i-Ready reports) to ensure reported student data is individualized, specific and accurately describes each child's academic and social progress. Additionally, newsletters, support materials and lists of effective resources are provided at all levels. To provide additional support for all students as well as identified students. NBPS has enriched/enhanced Special Ed and Regular Ed programs and services by implementing a Smart Start Preschool program, K-2 Bridges program, and expanding Rtl services to offer academic and behavioral support. To further improve support services, NBPS increased para support staffing at all levels. Bridges, Life Skills and School to Work programs were enhanced through community partnerships, advances in transition services and personalized learning. NBPS recognizes that children must attend school to benefit from our programs. Students and parents are provided access to PowerSchool online data including attendance for each class and their school attendance. The NBBOE's Attendance Policy requires monitoring of attendance and collaboration with families to ensure that students arrive to school on time on a daily basis. The policy was disseminated to all families through Student Handbooks. Student attendance is monitored and addressed by school personnel. If a child demonstrates a pattern of absences, parents are contacted and families and staff brainstorm ways to improve the child's attendance including counseling, behavior plans, PPT referrals, and or referrals to outside agencies. At the elementary level, PBIS is used to encourage attendance. When deemed necessary, home visits, attendance hearings, referrals to DCF and petitions to juvenile court have been completed.

Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation

NBPS are committed to reducing racial, ethnic and economic isolation. Students are offered numerous opportunities to interact with students from a variety of ethnic and socioeconomic backgrounds. Curricula, interdistrict programs (ACES), multicultural events, and extracurricular activities and clubs are offered to enable students to appreciate diversity in their lives. Staff incorporate activities that celebrate diversity in curricula and instruction and at school-sponsored events. NBPS support character development and citizenship through Positive Behavior Intervention Supports (PBIS), Second Step, and other Social-Emotional Learning opportunities. PBIS is firmly in place at each of our schools, ensuring students and staff are working together to build a respectful and positive school climate and foster improved student achievement. Teachers also have experience with the Responsive Classroom model. Components of the NBPS Character Ed program are Respect, Responsibility, and Caring. Subcategories of honesty, tolerance, and perseverance are integrated throughout the school cultures. Our district has monthly recognition assemblies and activities that promote multicultural awareness and build a sense of community- art exhibits depicting different cultures and celebrations of Black History, Native Americans, and Hispanic Heritage. Students participate in programs (Rachel's Challenge, ADL's Names Can Really Hurt Us) and advisory meetings designed to reduce bias. Students have opportunities to participate in clubs (Diversity Club, Gay-Straight Alliance) celebrating similarities and difference among students. Students are strongly encouraged to participate in community service from Grades K-12. Staff and students work together yearly to fundraise and collect food, clothing and necessities to assist economically disadvantaged individuals and families locally and around the world. The Community Roundup, an annual event stocking the local food bank, involves the entire school community. The district embraces the Open Choice program as a means to provide some welcomed diversity and reduce racial, ethnic and economic isolation. Several students participate in choice programs including the Education Center for the Arts, Sound School, and several New Haven Magnet Schools

Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools

The NB Board of Education strives to allocate resources equitably throughout its schools. Budget development begins at the building and program levels using guidelines established by the BOE. An allocation formula based on per pupil need and projected enrollment at each school level provides for a fair and equitable distribution of resources. The Administrative Council meets to discuss budget priorities. The proposed budget is developed by administrators with input from teachers, department leaders and directors. This collaborative process promotes effective use of funds, ensuring expenditure choices meet the needs of ALL NB students. School-based budgets are reviewed to ensure alignment to the district's strategic plan, requirements of statutes, BOE policies, and collective bargaining agreements. Yearly, administrators conduct audits of the previous year's expenditures, complete comparative analyses, and perform impact studies to determine effectiveness of funds. Expenditures supporting curricular improvements are approved and reviewed by the Director of Curriculum and Instruction in collaboration with building administration and curriculum teams.