Connecticut State Department of Education

DISTRICT PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2014–15



Ansonia School District

Ms. Carol Merlone, Superintendent • 203-736-5095 • www.ansonia.org

District Information

| PK-12 |
|--------------|
| 6 |
| 2,415 |
| \$13,014 |
| \$33,614,307 |
| |

¹Expenditure data reflect the 2013-14 year.



Community Information

<u>CERC Town Profiles</u> provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

Related Reports/Publications

CT Reports (CMT/CAPT)

District and School Performance Reports

Special Education Annual Performance Reports

SAT®, AP®, PSAT® Report by High School (Class of 2015)

(2015® The College Board)

Contents

| Students1 |
|----------------------------------|
| Educators |
| Instruction and Resources |
| Performance and Accountability 4 |
| Narratives |

Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2014-15 and include all grades offered by the district.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit EdSight.ct.gov).

State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.

Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been

suppressed to ensure student confidentiality. N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

Students

| October 1, 2014 Enrollment | | | | |
|--|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | | District | State | |
| | Count | Percent of Total (%) | Percent of Total (%) | |
| Female | 1,139 | 47.2 | 48.3 | |
| Male | 1,276 | 52.8 | 51.6 | |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | * | * | 0.2 | |
| Asian | 51 | 2.1 | 4.7 | |
| Black or African American | 491 | 20.3 | 12.9 | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 734 | 30.4 | 22.1 | |
| Pacific Islander | * | * | 0.0 | |
| Two or More Races | 73 | 3.0 | 2.5 | |
| White | 1,044 | 43.2 | 57.2 | |
| English Language Learners | 72 | 3.0 | 6.3 | |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 1,609 | 66.6 | 37.6 | |
| Students with Disabilities ¹ | 394 | 16.3 | 13.3 | |

¹Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

| | Chronic | | Suspe | ension/ | | |
|--|--------------------------|----------|----------------------------|----------|------|---------|
| | Absenteeism ² | | Absenteeism ² E | | Ехри | ılsion³ |
| | Count | Rate (%) | Count | Rate (%) | | |
| Female | 156 | 14.1 | 55 | 4.7 | | |
| Male | 226 | 18.3 | 121 | 9.2 | | |
| Black or African American | 99 | 20.7 | 53 | 10.4 | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 126 | 17.3 | 65 | 8.4 | | |
| White | 147 | 14.6 | 50 | 4.7 | | |
| English Language Learners | 11 | 18.0 | * | * | | |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 309 | 19.5 | 147 | 8.4 | | |
| Students with Disabilities | 81 | 20.4 | 50 | 10.8 | | |
| District | 382 | 16.3 | 176 | 7.1 | | |
| State | | 10.6 | | 7.2 | | |

Number of students in 2013-14 qualified as truant under state statute: 511

²A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

³The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

Educators

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)¹ Staff

| | FTE |
|--|-------|
| General Education | |
| Teachers and Instructors | 148.2 |
| Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants | 28.5 |
| Special Education | |
| Teachers and Instructors | 21.0 |
| Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants | 17.0 |
| Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs | |
| District Central Office | 6.0 |
| School Level | 8.3 |
| Library/Media | |
| Specialists (Certified) | 1.0 |
| Support Staff | 3.0 |
| Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers | 5.0 |
| Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists | 13.0 |
| School Nurses | 4.5 |
| Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support | 91.7 |

¹In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

Educators by Race/Ethnicity

| | | District | State |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Count | Percent of Total (%) | Percent of Total (%) |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Asian | 2 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Black or African American | 4 | 2.0 | 3.5 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 4 | 2.0 | 3.5 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Two or More Races | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| White | 193 | 95.1 | 91.8 |

Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers²

| | Percent of Total (%) | | |
|--|----------------------|--|--|
| District | 97.8 | | |
| District Poverty Quartile: High | | | |
| State High Poverty Quartile Schools 97.9 | | | |
| State Low Poverty Quartile Schools | 99.6 | | |

²Core academic classes taught by teachers who are fully certified to teach in that subject area.

Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2013-14

| | District | State |
|---|----------|-------|
| Average Number of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time | 10.8 | 9.2 |

Instruction and Resources

11th and 12th Graders Enrolled in College-and-Career-Readiness Courses during High School³

| | 11th | | 1 | 2th |
|--|-------|----------|-------|----------|
| | Count | Rate (%) | Count | Rate (%) |
| Black or African American | 16 | 66.7 | 24 | 64.9 |
| Hispanic or Latino | * | * | 32 | 74.4 |
| White | 53 | 74.6 | 66 | 85.7 |
| English Language Learners | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 45 | 69.2 | 69 | 71.9 |
| Students with Disabilities | * | * | 10 | * |
| District | 85 | 72.6 | 126 | 78.3 |
| State | | 58.4 | | 73.8 |

³College-and-Career-Readiness Courses include Advanced Placement®(AP), International Baccalaureate®(IB), Career and Technical Education(CTE), workplace experience and dual enrollment courses.

Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers⁴

| | Count | Rate (%) |
|----------------------------|-------|----------|
| Autism | 10 | 25.0 |
| Emotional Disturbance | 19 | 52.8 |
| Intellectual Disability | 6 | 30.0 |
| Learning Disability | 88 | 84.6 |
| Other Health Impairment | 76 | 79.2 |
| Other Disabilities | 11 | 29.7 |
| Speech/Language Impairment | 48 | 94.1 |
| District | 258 | 67.2 |
| State | | 69.7 |

⁴Ages 6-21

Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability¹

| | Dis | State | |
|-------------------------------|-------|----------|----------|
| | Count | Rate (%) | Rate (%) |
| Autism | 48 | 1.9 | 1.5 |
| Emotional Disturbance | 36 | 1.4 | 1.0 |
| Intellectual Disability | 20 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| Learning Disability | 104 | 4.1 | 4.4 |
| Other Health Impairment | 96 | 3.8 | 2.6 |
| Other Disabilities | 52 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| Speech/Language Impairment | 59 | 2.3 | 1.9 |
| All Disabilities | 415 | 16.4 | 13.0 |

Students with Disabilities Placed Outside of the District²

| | District | | State |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|-------|
| | Count | Rate (%) | |
| Public Schools in Other Districts | 41 | 9.9 | 8.1 |
| Private Schools or Other Settings | 21 | 5.1 | 5.4 |

²Grades K-12

Overall Expenditures: 2013-14

| | | Per I | Pupil | | |
|---|------------|---------------|------------|--|--|
| | Total (\$) | District (\$) | State (\$) | | |
| Instructional Staff and Services | 17,277,476 | 7,441 | 9,134 | | |
| Instructional Supplies and Equipment | 1,681,633 | 724 | 334 | | |
| Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services | 557,803 | 240 | 498 | | |
| Student Support Services | 1,137,741 | 490 | 1,001 | | |
| Administration and Support Services | 3,921,772 | 1,689 | 1,694 | | |
| Plant Operation and Maintenance | 2,815,904 | 1,213 | 1,572 | | |
| Transportation | 1,877,652 | 712 | 813 | | |
| Costs of Students Tuitioned Out | 3,656,009 | N/A | N/A | | |
| Other | 688,317 | 296 | 186 | | |
| Total | 33,614,307 | 13,014 | 15,289 | | |
| Additional Expenditures | | | | | |
| Land, Buildings, and Debt Service | 3,153,181 | 1,358 | 1,272 | | |

³Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

Special Education Expenditures: 2013-14

| | Dist | rict | State |
|----------------------------|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Total (\$) | Percent of Total (%) | Percent of Total (%) |
| Certified Personnel | 1,895,099 | 22.1 | 35.1 |
| Noncertified Personnel | 1,196,850 | 13.9 | 14.2 |
| Purchased Services | 180,041 | 2.1 | 5.2 |
| Tuition to Other Schools | 3,656,009 | 42.6 | 22.0 |
| Special Ed. Transportation | 1,095,715 | 12.8 | 8.6 |
| Other Expenditures | 557,931 | 6.5 | 14.9 |
| Total Expenditures | 8,581,645 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Expenditures by Revenue Source:⁴ 2013-14

| | Percent of Total (%) | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| | Including | Excluding | | | |
| | School | School | | | |
| | Construction | Construction | | | |
| Local | 38.5 | 38.1 | | | |
| State | 56.3 | 56.3 | | | |
| Federal | 4.5 | 4.9 | | | |
| Tuition & Other | 0.7 | 0.8 | | | |

⁴Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction).

¹Grades K-12

Performance and Accountability

District Performance Index (DPI)

A District Performance Index (DPI) is the average performance of students in a subject area (i.e., ELA, Mathematics or Science) on the state summative assessments. The DPI ranges from 0-100. A DPI is reported for all students tested in a district and for students in each individual student group. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 75.

| | English Langua | English Language Arts(ELA) | | h | Scien | ce |
|--|----------------|----------------------------|-------|------|-------|------|
| | Count | DPI | Count | DPI | Count | DPI |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Asian | 19 | * | 18 | * | 8 | * |
| Black or African American | 223 | 52.7 | 223 | 42.1 | 126 | 40.8 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 311 | 58.8 | 308 | 50.2 | 148 | 44.5 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Two or More Races | 29 | 64.0 | 29 | 57.8 | 15 | * |
| White | 555 | 64.1 | 558 | 55.2 | 259 | 50.8 |
| English Language Learners | 35 | 50.5 | 34 | 46.3 | 22 | 35.3 |
| Non-English Language Learners | 1118 | 61.0 | 1118 | 51.9 | 541 | 47.3 |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 796 | 57.9 | 792 | 49.2 | 382 | 44.1 |
| Not Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 357 | 66.8 | 360 | 57.3 | 181 | 52.6 |
| Students with Disabilities | 207 | 40.7 | 207 | 35.8 | 107 | 31.9 |
| Students without Disabilities | 946 | 65.1 | 945 | 55.2 | 456 | 50.3 |
| High Needs | 845 | 57.1 | 841 | 48.5 | 407 | 43.6 |
| Non-High Needs | 308 | 70.5 | 311 | 60.3 | 156 | 55.4 |
| District | 1153 | 60.7 | 1152 | 51.7 | 563 | 46.8 |

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient¹

| | NAEP | NAEP 2013 | |
|-----------------|---------|-----------|----------|
| READING | Grade 4 | Grade 8 | Grade 12 |
| Connecticut | 43% | 43% | 50% |
| National Public | 35% | 33% | 36% |
| MATH | Grade 4 | Grade 8 | Grade 12 |
| Connecticut | 41% | 36% | 32% |
| National Public | 39% | 32% | 25% |

¹NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across Smarter Balanced and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement other state assessment data. To view student subgroup performance on NAEP, click here.

Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard²

| | Percent of Students by Grade ³ (%) | | | | All Teste | d Grades |
|----------------------|---|------|------|------|-----------|----------|
| | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | Count | Rate (%) |
| Sit & Reach | 81.8 | 76.2 | 62.1 | 89.7 | 655 | 77.6 |
| Curl Up | 77.6 | 75.0 | 81.4 | 63.6 | 655 | 74.4 |
| Push Up | 63.0 | 55.5 | 61.5 | 67.3 | 655 | 61.8 |
| Mile Run/PACER | 72.7 | 73.2 | 55.9 | 65.5 | 655 | 66.9 |
| All Tests - District | 41.8 | 40.2 | 26.1 | 43.6 | 655 | 38.0 |
| All Tests - State | 50.8 | 51.0 | 50.3 | 51.9 | | 51.0 |

²The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

³Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

Cohort Graduation: Four-Year¹

| | 2013-14 | | | | 2014-15 |
|--|---------------------------|----------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|
| | Cohort Count ² | Rate (%) | Target³ (%) | Target Achieved | Target³ (%) |
| Black or African American | 38 | 65.8 | 77.9 | No | 79.6 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 44 | 77.3 | 66.6 | Yes | 69.6 |
| English Language Learners | * | * | | | |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 111 | 71.2 | 64.7 | Yes | 68.0 |
| Students with Disabilities | * | * | 54.1 | No | 58.6 |
| District | 157 | 76.4 | 75.3 | Yes | 77.4 |
| State ⁴ | | 87.0 | | | |

¹The four-year cohort graduation rate represents the percentage of first-time 9th graders who earn a standard high school diploma within four years. Statewide, district and school results for cohorts of 2010 through 2013 are <u>available online</u>.

11th and 12th Graders Meeting Benchmark on at Least One College Readiness Exam⁵

| | Participation ⁶ | Meeting E | Benchmark |
|---|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | Rate (%) | Count | Rate (%) |
| Female | 62.8 | 27 | 20.9 |
| Male | 51.7 | 31 | 20.8 |
| Black or African American | 49.2 | 6 | 9.8 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 41.9 | * | * |
| White | 64.2 | 43 | 29.1 |
| English Language Learners | * | 0 | * |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 50.3 | 20 | 12.4 |
| Students with Disabilities | * | 0 | * |
| District | 56.8 | 58 | 20.9 |
| State | 67.2 | | 37.3 |

⁵College readiness exams and benchmark scores are as follows:

- SAT® composite score of 1550 or higher
- ACT® meets benchmark score on 3 of 4 exams (benchmark score varies by subject)
- AP® 3 or higher on any one AP® exam
- IB® 4 or higher on any one IB® exam
- Smarter Balanced Level 3 or higher on both ELA and math

⁶Participation Rate equals the number of test-takers in 11th and 12th grade divided by the number of students enrolled in those grades, as a percent. Sources:

SAT® and AP® statistics derived from data provided by the College Board.

Copyright © 2015 The College Board. www.collegeboard.org

ACT® statistics derived from data provided by ACT, Inc.

Copyright © 2015 ACT, Inc. www.act.org

IB® statistics derived from data provided by the International Baccalaureate Organization.

Copyright © International Baccalaureate Organization 2015

College Entrance and Persistence

| - | | |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| | Class of 2014 | Class of 2013 |
| | Entrance ⁷ | Persistence ⁸ |
| | Rate (%) | Rate (%) |
| Female | 65.5 | 77.9 |
| Male | 54.7 | 78.8 |
| Black or African American | 57.7 | 78.3 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 58.3 | 63.6 |
| White | 61.4 | 82.7 |
| English Language Learners | * | * |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 53.8 | 76.2 |
| Students with Disabilities | 31.8 | * |
| District | 59.4 | 78.2 |
| State | 72.6 | 88.8 |

⁷College entrance refers to the percent of high school graduates from the year who enrolled in college any time during the first year after high school.

Source: National Student Clearinghouse

²Cohort count includes all students in the cohort as of the end of the 2013-14 school year.

³Targets are calculated when there are at least 20 students in a cohort in the base year (i.e., 2010-11).

⁴Targets are not displayed at the state level.

⁸College persistence refers to the percent of students who enrolled in college the first year after high school and returned for a second year (Freshman to Sophomore persistence).

Next Generation Accountability Results

These statistics are the first results from Connecticut's Next Generation Accountability System for districts and schools. This system is a broad set of 12 indicators that help tell the story of how well a school is preparing its students for success in college, careers and life. It moves beyond test scores and graduation rates and instead provides a more holistic, multifactor perspective of district and school performance and incorporates student growth over time.

| Indi | cator | Index/Rate | Target | Points | Max | % Points | State Average |
|---|---------------------|---------------|--------|--------|------|----------|---------------|
| ELA Performance Index | All Students | 60.7 | 75 | 80.9 | 100 | 80.9 | 67.9 |
| ELA Performance muex | High Needs Students | 57.1 | 75 | 76.2 | 100 | 76.2 | 56.7 |
| Math Performance Index | All Students | 51.7 | 75 | 69.0 | 100 | 69.0 | 59.3 |
| width Performance muex | High Needs Students | 48.5 | 75 | 64.7 | 100 | 64.7 | 47.8 |
| Science Performance Index | All Students | 46.8 | 75 | 62.4 | 100 | 62.4 | 56.5 |
| Science Performance muex | High Needs Students | 43.6 | 75 | 58.1 | 100 | 58.1 | 45.9 |
| Chronic Absenteeism | All Students | 16.3% | <=5% | 27.4 | 50 | 54.8 | 10.6% |
| Chronic Absenteeism | High Needs Students | 19.3% | <=5% | 21.3 | 50 | 42.7 | 17.3% |
| Droparation for CCD | % Taking Courses | 75.9% | 75% | 50.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 66.1% |
| Preparation for CCR | % Passing Exams | 20.9% | 75% | 13.9 | 50 | 27.8 | 37.3% |
| On-track to High School Grad | duation | 88.8% | 94% | 47.2 | 50 | 94.5 | 85.6% |
| 4-year Graduation All Studer | nts (2014 Cohort) | 76.4% | 94% | 81.3 | 100 | 81.3 | 87.0% |
| 6-year Graduation - High Needs Students (2012 Cohort) | | 79.7% | 94% | 84.8 | 100 | 84.8 | 77.6% |
| Postsecondary Entrance (Class of 2014) | | 59.4% | 75% | 79.2 | 100 | 79.2 | 72.8% |
| Physical Fitness (estimated part rate) and (fitness rate) | | 85.5% 38.0% | 75% | 12.7 | 50 | 25.3 | 87.6% 51.0% |
| Arts Access | | 39.8% | 60% | 33.1 | 50 | 66.3 | 45.7% |
| Accountability Index | | | | 862.4 | 1250 | 69.0 | |

| Gap Indicators | Non-High Needs Rate ¹ | High Needs Rate | Size of Gap | State Gap Mean + 1 Stdev² | Is Gap an Outlier? ² |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Achievement Gap Size Outlier? | | | | | N |
| ELA Performance Index Gap | 70.5 | 57.1 | 13.3 | 17.3 | |
| Math Performance Index Gap | 60.3 | 48.5 | 11.8 | 19.6 | |
| Science Performance Index Gap | 55.4 | 43.6 | 11.8 | 17.2 | |
| Graduation Rate Gap | 91.9% | 79.7% | 12.2% | 15.2% | N |

¹If the Non-High Needs Rate exceeds the ultimate target (75 for Performance Index and 94% for graduation rate), then the ultimate target is displayed and used for gap calculations.

²If the size of the gap exceeds the state mean gap plus one standard deviation, then the gap is an outlier.

| Subject/Subgroup | | Participation Rate (%) |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| All Students | | 98.6 |
| ELA | High Needs Students | 98.8 |
| All Students | | 98.4 |
| IVIALII | High Needs Students | 98.2 |
| All Students | | 97.1 |
| Science High Needs Students | | 96.7 |

Connecticut's State Identified Measurable Goal for Children with Disabilities (SIMR)

Increase the reading performance of all 3rd grade students with disabilities statewide, as measured by Connecticut's English Language Arts (ELA) Performance Index.

Grade 3 ELA Performance Index for Students with Disabilities:

District: 48.5 State: 50.1

Supporting Resources

Two-page FAQ

Detailed Presentation

Using Accountability Results to Guide Improvement: comprehensive documentation and supports

Narratives

School District Improvement Plans and Parental Outreach Activities

During the 2015 - 2016 academic year, the district began to implement and monitor its 3-year District Improvement Plan (2015-2018) to increase achievement and close achievement gaps and to embed digital and web-based resources and practices into curriculum and instruction. This new plan was written during the District Data Team in order to obtain input from a variety of stakeholders (parents, community business leaders, teachers, and administrators). School and District Data Teams became fully operational. The 3-Year Curriculum Development Plan roll-out for pre-K through Grade 12 Language Arts and Math curriculum aligned to the Common Core State Standards has progressed as scheduled. The staff was trained in research-based Effective Teaching Strategies, Differentiated Instruction, Common Core State Standards and Kim Marshall's rubrics for teacher observation. Workshops were offered to all staff to promote the use of digital technology to enhance teaching and learning. All K-6 classroom teachers and reading intervention teachers were trained/retrained and received in-class support in using running records to plan and assess student progress in guided reading groups using the Readers Workshop model. Classroom walkthroughs are conducted daily to monitor the effective implementation of these strategies and principals provide constructive feedback to teachers. Instructional Rounds have been instituted and they are being rolled out at the building level with teachers being brought into the process. Structures and processes for Scientific Research-based Intervention (SRBI) were planned and implemented including: hiring and training intervention teachers, training collaborative intervention teams in each building, scheduling tier 2 and 3 intervention blocks and the implementation of electronic tools such as Easy CBM, Burst, and RAPS 360. Additional AP courses have been introduced at the high school. Enrichment and Foreign Language classes are in place at Ansonia Middle School. The district seeks to involve parents and community members in educational initiatives through: school governance councils, participation in the district and school data teams, committees and a variety of activities. Community forums are hosted at each building to elicit support for school district initiatives and to address questions regarding student progress, district improvement plans and budgetary needs.

The District Data Team has worked in collaboration with our school Administrators in order to highlight three overarching district goals for our District Improvement Plan. These goals were created through the Changing the Odds Study and streamlined through the Touchstones outline within this study. Here are these Goals defined:

Numeracy

By 2018, the average RIT score of Grade 3 students will meet or exceed the national average as measured by the NWEA Math Assessment.

Literacy

By 2018 EOY, 90% of all 3rd grade students assessed by TRC/MCLASS will be proficient or higher.

College Readiness

By 2018, 80% of graduating seniors will attend a post-secondary institution or the Armed Services.

Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation

The Ansonia Public School District educates a student population that is increasingly diverse. Approximately 76% of students qualify for free and reduced-priced meals. The district has taken significant steps to reduce ethnic, economic and racial isolation. The grade-level structure of our elementary schools is specifically designed to reduce such isolation. Our two K-6 elementary schools both serve a representative population of students from the entire city – rather than being neighborhood-based. Each classroom within these schools receives an enrollment that is reflective of the community's diversity. This is dutifully maintained by our Central Office Registrar. Eight years ago, the creation of a Human Relations Club at our High School and Middle School has been a significant contribution toward these efforts. This student-led organization has grown to nearly 125 members between the two buildings. They lead and sponsor diversity programming for students in our schools and for community members, specifically targeting the elderly population through an intergenerational project. Ansonia has been collaborating with the Boys & Girls Club of the Lower Naugatuck Valley since 2002 to offer after school programming geared to low-income students in grades 6-8 who require additional support in academics, recreational and enrichment activities. Our two Elementary Schools now offer an after school Science and Social Studies club for students in Grades 4-6, providing STEM and enrichment activities led by our certified teaching staff. Many of our K-12 teachers lead programs that bring Ansonia students into other communities and learning experiences, including State Department of Education Inter-district Cooperative Grant Programs. Ansonia participates in the New Haven Magnet School Program, and 284 of our students attended one of the magnet schools last year.

Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools

It is the policy of the Ansonia Board of Education that each of our schools within the district receives comparable resources, taking into account a variety of data related to District and School Improvement Plans, financial limitations, student achievement, and differing needs among schools and grade levels. In order to ensure equity, a four step process is followed: First, each building administrator works with her/his staff to assess grade-level curricular needs and develop a proposed annual budget aligned to their School Improvement Plan. Then, each administrator meets with the Superintendent to explain and justify this proposed building budget. Once the Superintendent has all submissions compiled, she applies her own review and adjustment process to balance the distribution of funding among buildings and initiatives checking that all budget requests are aligned to the goals of School and District Improvement Plans. Competing requests are evaluated and prioritized on the basis of which will add the highest value to help the district achieve its student achievement goals. Once finished, the Superintendent's budget is presented to the Board of Education for final approval.