STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2012-13

Oxford School District

Timothy F. Connellan, Superintendent

Telephone: (203) 888-7754

Location: 1 Great Hill Road

Oxford, Connecticut

Website: www.oxfordpublicschools.org

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at www.sde.ct.gov.

COMMUNITY DATA

County: New Haven

Town Population in 2000: 9,821

1990-2000 Population Growth: 13.1% Number of Public Schools: 4

Per Capita Income in 2000: \$28,250

Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000*: 9.2% Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000*: 0.3% District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 94.5%

District Reference Group (DRG): C DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Enrollment on October 1, 2012 2.095 5-Year Enrollment Change 13.2% Grade Range

PK - 12

INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in District		Percent	
		District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	153	7.3	8.9	36.7
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	29	1.4	0.8	5.8
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	90	4.3	4.8	3.8
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	151	7.2	11.1	11.9
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	130	96.3	88.5	79.3
Homeless	2	0.1	0.0	0.3
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	13	4.1	12.7	12.7

^{*0.0 %} of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

^{*}To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity					
Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent			
American Indian	10	0.5			
Asian American	42	2.0			
Black	25	1.2			
Hispanic	101	4.8			
Pacific Islander	3	0.1			
White	1,887	90.1			
Two or more races	27	1.3			
Total Minority	208	9.9			

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 2.9%

Non-English Home Language:

4.1% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 20.

EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

The Oxford Public School System celebrates diversity. One of the Board of Education's goals is to create a climate of appreciation, acceptance, respect, care and compassion for all students, parents and staff members. Therefore, we strive to provide an array of opportunities for teachers and students to increase their awareness of and appreciation for diversity. Oxford High School Diversity Club students have discussions and activities to become aware of problems this country has faced with regard to race, ethnicity and poverty. English classes incorporate a humanities unit around genocide, including genocide around the world, as well the Holocaust. Students participate in a workshop on real world economics. The "Perspectives On Race" class visits Bridgeport's Bassick High School and participates in the March Against Violence. Oxford school staff are very active in creating cultural awareness activities for students, both during and outside of school hours. Students from Great Oak Middle School and the Meriden School District have become virtual partners using videoconferencing, face-to-face meetings, and collaborative presentations. An inter-district competitive grant was awarded to Great Oak Middle School in the spring of 2010 by the State Department of Education. Great Oak Middle School received two wireless computer labs, two smart boards, and two LCD projectors through the grant. The school also received Professional Development training from experts in the area of student achievement. Oxford Center School devotes days to learn more about Native Americans and Alaskans. Quaker Farms School incorporates many different religious and cultural backgrounds in their seasonal festivities. The Oxford Public School District continues to promote a respectful environment that not only accepts all human beings, but embraces our differences.

STUDENT PERFORMANCE

Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade an	nd CMT Subject	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal	These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable
Grade 3	Reading	72.6	56.9	71.3	tests who were enrolled in the district at the
	Writing	77.8	60.0	83.9	time of testing,
	Mathematics	74.1	61.4	61.5	regardless of the length
Grade 4	Reading	72.9	62.6	58.2	of time they were enrolled in the district.
	Writing	66.1	63.0	45.6	Results for fewer than
	Mathematics	76.0	65.1	58.9	20 students are not
Grade 5	Reading	78.6	66.9	60.2	presented.
	Writing	85.0	65.6	85.7	
	Mathematics	79.9	69.2	55.3	E 1. I CMT
	Science	75.7	62.3	55.3	For more detailed CMT results, go to
Grade 6	Reading	73.3	73.3	32.9	www.ctreports.
	Writing	71.4	65.1	46.5	
	Mathematics	76.2	67	51.8	
Grade 7	Reading	89.6	78.9	70.9	To see the NCLB
	Writing	86.1	64.9	88.6	Report Card for this school, go to
	Mathematics	77.4	65.4	60.8	www.sde.ct.gov and
Grade 8	Reading	90.6	76.2	76.6	click on "No Child Left
	Writing	85.8	67.2	79.9	Behind."
	Mathematics	74.5	65.0	50.3	
	Science	80.7	60.4	73.6	

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scorable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	70.3	48.5	78.8
Writing Across the Disciplines	83.1	62.1	81.8
Mathematics	74.8	52.4	76.5
Science	72.5	48.8	80.5

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Physical Fitness. The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All Four Tests	District		% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
	47.7	51.1	38.7

SAT® I: Reasoning Test Class of 2012		District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Scores
% of Graduates Te	ested	92.7	78.5	
Average Score	Mathematics	493	503	39.1
	Critical Reading	513	499	55.6
	Writing	517	504	56.4

SAT® I. The lowest possible score on each SAT® I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Adjusted Cohort Rate 2012	91.8	84.8	56.6
2011-12 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	0.7	2.1	43.6

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	91.2	82.6
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	8.0	9.8

RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

DISTRICT STAFF

Full-Time Equivalent Count of School Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	121.90
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	15.12
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	19.70
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	37.01
Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants	5.00
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs District Central Office School Level	3.00 7.60
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	0.00
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	7.80
School Nurses	4.00
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	81.52

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	13.0	14.6	13.9
% with Master's Degree or Above	82.1	82.2	79.8

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	19.3	16.8	18.9
Grade 2	21.5	18.0	19.8
Grade 5	24.1	21.1	21.3
Grade 7	22.8	19.9	20.2
High School	20.2	18.3	18.8

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	979	997	999
Middle School	1,020	1,024	1,029
High School	960	1,024	1,027

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be
offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten, and
450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	4.3	2.6	2.7
Middle School	4.0	1.9	2.1
High School	2.5	2.0	2.1

^{*}Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2011-12

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures All figures are unaudited.	Total (in 1000s)	Expenditures Per Pupil			
		District	PK-12 Districts	DRG	State
Instructional Staff and Services	\$15,585	\$7,290	\$8,570	\$8,110	\$8,570
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$592	\$277	\$252	\$252	\$257
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$84	\$39	\$475	\$412	\$471
Student Support Services	\$1,702	\$796	\$949	\$954	\$950
Administration and Support Services	\$3,493	\$1,634	\$1,526	\$1,521	\$1,547
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$2,681	\$1,254	\$1,466	\$1,417	\$1,459
Transportation	\$1,499	\$647	\$775	\$750	\$765
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	\$1,162	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$344	\$161	\$170	\$184	\$170
Total	\$27,143	\$12,332	\$14,444	\$14,121	\$14,333
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$2,612	\$1,222	\$1,405	\$1,204	\$1,398

Special Education Expenditures	District Total	Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education		
		District DRG		State
	\$5,874,625	21.6	21.3	21.8

Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source. Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	80.8	17.5	1.7	0.0
Excluding School Construction	79.0	19.2	1.8	0.0

EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

All Principals and the Director of Pupil Personnel Services submit a budget to the Superintendent, outlining their anticipated needs and related expenditures for the upcoming year. These requests are based on identified District school goals. The Oxford Public Schools' annual budget is thoughtfully prepared using the budget submissions provided by the school administrators. The superintendent, finance director and school board's Finance, Insurance and Personnel sub-committee work to ensure equity of resources throughout the district. When a final budget is presented to the entire Board of Education it is then adjusted, adopted and forwarded to the Oxford Board of Finance for submission in the Town of Oxford's Annual Budget. Careful consideration is given to the equity of resources between and among schools before the final budget is adopted.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible

154
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities
7.2%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities Disability Count **District Percent DRG** Percent **State Percent** Autism 27 1.3 1.3 1.3 Learning Disability 55 2.6 4.1 4.0 7 Intellectual Disability 0.3 0.4 0.4 **Emotional Disturbance** 6 0.3 0.8 1.0 Speech Impairment 17 0.8 1.7 2.0 31 1.5 2.3 2.4 Other Health Impairment* Other Disabilities** 11 0.5 0.7 1.0 Total 154 7.2 11.1 12.1

^{**}Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2011-12 with a Standard Diploma	77.8	64.4
2011-12 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	0.0	3.2

^{*}Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

STATE ASSESSMENTS

Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students with	Students with Disabilities		udents
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	25.4	34.5	79.6	69.2
	Writing	26.8	19.9	78.7	64.4
	Mathematics	28.6	29.0	76.3	65.5
	Science	29.2	21.3	78.3	61.3
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	70.3	48.5
	Writing Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	83.1	62.1
	Mathematics	N/A	N/A	74.8	52.4
	Science	N/A	N/A	72.5	48.8

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools			
CMT	% Without Accommodations	10.8	
	% With Accommodations	89.2	
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	0.0	
	% With Accommodations	100.0	
% Assessed Using Skills Checklist 10.4			

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with non-disabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District's Schools						
Placement Count Percent						
Public Schools in Other Districts	0	0.0				
Private Schools or Other Settings 14 9.1						

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers

Time Spent with Non-Disabled Peers	Count of Students	Percent of Students		
		District	DRG	State
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	101	65.6	74.0	72.0
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	41	26.6	19.1	16.4
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	12	7.8	6.9	11.6

SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

Each year, the building principals lead their School Improvement Teams to create building based goals relative to the district's goals. The teams produce detailed improvement plans based on needs identified through student data and other assessments. The following areas identified for continuous improvement are; improving teaching and learning for all students, increasing technology integration in core subject areas, maintaining safe and secure school cultures, and improving communication. These goals are followed by detailed action steps. The School Improvement Plans are placed on the schools' websites for easy public access. The principals' budget submissions are tied to their School Improvement Team goals and professional development opportunities are used to promote their advancement. This process assures that all activities and expenditures are directly linked to improvement efforts contained within the School Improvement Plans.