STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2007-08

Danbury School District

SALVATORE PASCARELLA, Superintendent Location: 63 Beaver Brook Road

Telephone: (203) 797-4701 Danbury,
Connecticut

Website: www.danbury.k12.ct.us/

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at www.sde.ct.gov.

COMMUNITY DATA

County: Fairfield Per Capita Income in 2000: \$24,500

Town Population in 2000: 74,848 Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000*: 23.2% 1990-2000 Population Growth: 14.1% Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000*: 11.6% District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 89.2%

District Reference Group (DRG): H DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Enrollment on October 1, 2007 9,874 Grade Range PK-12 5-Year Enrollment Change 3.9%

INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in	Percent		
	District	District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	3,294	33.4	41.8	28.7
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	1,818	18.8	12.0	5.4
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	378	3.8	4.2	4.0
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	1,040	10.5	11.2	11.4
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	538	65.2	76.8	79.2
Homeless	21	0.2	0.2	0.2
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	292	22.9	23.3	20.2

^{*53.2%} of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

^{*}To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity				
Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent		
American Indian	6	0.1		
Asian American	784	7.9		
Black	984	10.0		
Hispanic	2,980	30.2		
White	5,120	51.9		
Total Minority	4,754	48.1		

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 9.2%

Non-English Home Language: 37.5% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 46.

EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

Danbury Public Schools is perhaps one of the best racial, ethnic and economically balanced districts in the state. The community celebrates its diversity and works hard to ensure its schools are meeting the needs of all students. We have two ESL Reception Centers that welcome our non-English speaking students and help their parents adjust to our schools' culture. We also work closely with community groups such as the Hispanic Center, Danbury Children First and other groups to assist parents and students in mastering the transition to Danbury Public Schools. The Academy of International Studies Magnet School opened in 2006. This K-5 school serves students from the Greater Danbury Area. It mirrors the diversity of Danbury Public Schools. The theme of the school is learning the Spanish language and has an international focus. In addition our school works closely with the surrounding communities in a host of student exchange programs.

The District continues to work at improving it percentage of minority employees. Focus has been given to participating in state-based career job fairs as a potential source of minority teaching candidates.

STUDENT PERFORMANCE

Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade and CMT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Grade 3 Reading	38.8	52.0	12.9
Writing	52.9	63.4	12.9
Mathematics	53.8	60.0	19.6
Grade 4 Reading	46.4	55.9	18.4
Writing	53.1	62.9	14.5
Mathematics	60.0	60.3	34.0
Grade 5 Reading	57.9	62.2	23.5
Writing	60.8	64.5	25.3
Mathematics	70.8	65.9	45.7
Science	41.7	54.9	14.2
Grade 6 Reading	54.2	66.3	13.7
Writing	48.9	61.9	17.3
Mathematics	58.0	66.4	17.3
Grade 7 Reading	61.2	71.1	17.4
Writing	48.2	62.0	14.2
Mathematics	57.5	63.0	25.8
Grade 8 Reading	52.9	64.8	15.1
Writing	53.1	63.4	20.8
Mathematics	44.8	60.8	15.1
Science	47.4	58.6	20.8

These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the district. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

For more detailed CMT results, go to www.ctreports.

To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to <u>www.sde.ct.gov</u> and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	36.2	45.5	29.2
Writing Across the Disciplines	46.0	57.9	19.2
Mathematics	32.5	50.1	16.2
Science	31.3	46.3	16.9

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Physical Fitness. The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
Four Tests	19.0	36.1	7.0

SAT [®] I: Reasonin Class of 2007	g Test	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or
% of Graduates Te	sted	73.7	77.6	Lower Scores
Average Score	Mathematics	485	504	29.2
	Critical Reading	483	502	25.4
	Writing	489	503	30.0

SAT® I. The lowest possible score on each SAT® I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Class of 2007	92.6	92.6	26.2
Cumulative Four-Year Dropout Rate for Class of 2007	6.0	6.2	25.6
2006-07 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	2.2	1.7	17.8

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	85.8	83.4
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	12.1	12.3

RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

DISTRICT STAFF

Full-Time Equivalent Count of District Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	595.70
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	80.88
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	88.90
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	164.00
Library/Media Specialists and Assistants	29.80
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	19.00
School Level	29.00
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	28.90
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	49.60
School Nurses	21.50
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	343.90

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	13.9	13.7	13.6
% with Master's Degree or Above	73.7	75.1	75.6

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	18.8	18.8	18.1
Grade 2	18.6	19.2	19.3
Grade 5	19.4	19.8	20.9
Grade 7	22.6	19.0	20.5
High School	22.6	21.3	18.6

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	966	972	987
Middle School	998	1,003	1,017
High School	965	990	1,006

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be
offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten,
and 450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	2.8	3.1	3.4
Middle School	3.3	2.7	2.7
High School	4.1	3.0	2.7

^{*}Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2006-07

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures	Total		Expenditur	es Per Pupil	
All figures are unaudited.	(in 1000s)	District	PK-12	DRG	State
			Districts		
Instructional Staff and Services	\$71,549	\$7,367	\$7,153	\$7,736	\$7,159
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$2,859	\$294	\$262	\$256	\$266
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$4,909	\$505	\$443	\$355	\$429
Student Support Services	\$6,456	\$665	\$764	\$772	\$761
Administration and Support Services	\$10,100	\$1,040	\$1,256	\$1,325	\$1,271
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$8,527	\$878	\$1,329	\$1,209	\$1,322
Transportation	\$5,748	\$516	\$605	\$542	\$601
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	\$1,696	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$746	\$77	\$147	\$83	\$145
Total	\$112,589	\$11,196	\$12,203	\$12,324	\$12,151
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$12,342	\$1,271	\$1,875	\$1,429	\$1,882

Special Education Expenditures	
Total Expenditures	\$19,823,652
Percent of Total PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education	17.6%

Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source. Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	68.5	26.9	3.9	0.7
Excluding School Construction	72.3	22.7	4.3	0.8

EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

The Board of Education ensures that each school within the school district receives a base level of support for personnel and instructional supplies.

Student enrollment and class size determine the level of funding for personnel. Support levels for instructional supplies are also based on a per pupil allocation. The Central Office then determines what additional personnel and instructional supplies are required for schools with specific programs and needs in addition to the base level funding. Additional allocations are budgeted for these adjustments. The criteria for determining bugets are the student enrollment and program needs. Budgets for the maintenance of our facilities and the purchase of equipment are evaluated at Central Office.

The District, being a Priority School District, also receives a significant amount of funding for a specifically targeted population and program. These funds and other funds are allocated in keeping with the goals and objectives of the grant.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible	1,025
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities	10.7%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities						
Disability	Count	District Percent	DRG Percent	State Percent		
Autism	58	0.6	0.6	0.7		
Learning Disability	459	4.8	4.1	4.0		
Intellectual Disability	38	0.4	0.5	0.5		
Emotional Disturbance	65	0.7	0.9	1.0		
Speech Impairment	158	1.6	2.4	2.4		
Other Health Impairment*	160	1.7	1.8	2.1		
Other Disabilities**	87	0.9	1.2	0.9		
Total	1,025	10.7	11.5	11.5		

^{*}Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

^{**}Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2006-07 with a Standard Diploma	86.8	77.2
2006-07 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	4.1	2.8

STATE ASSESSMENTS

Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students wit	th Disabilities	All Students	
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	12.8	20.4	51.7	62.1
	Writing	10.4	19.3	52.9	63.0
	Mathematics	19.3	22.6	57.4	62.7
	Science	17.6	22.2	44.6	56.8
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	4.2	11.4	36.2	45.5
	Writing Across the Disciplines	8.2	16.3	46.0	57.9
	Mathematics	8.5	14.7	32.5	50.1
	Science	6.1	14.4	31.3	46.3

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools			
CMT	% Without Accommodations	35.5	
	% With Accommodations 64.5		
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	62.9	
	% With Accommodations 37.1		
% Asse	% Assessed Using Skills Checklist 9.4		

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with nondisabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

Settings Other Than This District's Schools				
Placement	Count	Percent		
Public Schools in Other Districts	0	0.0		
Private Schools or Other Settings	79	7.7		

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers					
Time Spent with Non-Disabled Count of Percent of Students					
Peers	Students	District	DRG	State	
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	816	79.6	69.8	71.6	
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	135	13.2	15.8	16.6	
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	74	7.2	14.4	11.8	

SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

CMT

The District continues to implement its Systematic Instructional Plan with good results. Notable gains have been achieved; however, the achievement gap continues remains our major area of focus.

CAPT

the significant effort that has been made to address the issue of student participant rates for the CAPT assessment is achieving its intended purpose. Consequently, all subgroups have increased their participation rates. Additionally, student performance in reading, writing and science continues to improve based in targeted interventions.