Connecticut State Department of Education

DISTRICT PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2017–18



North Stonington School District

Mr. Peter Nero, Superintendent • 860-535-2800 • www.northstonington.k12.ct.us/

District Information

| Grade Range | PK-12 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Number of Schools/Programs | 2 |
| Enrollment | 752 |
| Per Pupil Expenditures ¹ | \$17,161 |
| Total Expenditures ¹ | \$13,025,244 |

¹Expenditure data reflect the 2016-17 year.



Community Information

<u>CERC Town Profiles</u> provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

Contents

| Students 1 | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Educators2 | |
| Instruction and Resources | |
| Performance and Accountability4 | |
| Narratives7 | |

Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2017-18 and include all grades offered by the district.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit edsight.ct.gov.

State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.

Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

suppressed to ensure student confidentiality. N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been

Students

| October 1, 2017 Enrollment | | | |
|--|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | District | State |
| | Count | Percent of Total (%) | Percent of Total (%) |
| Female | 352 | 46.8 | 48.4 |
| Male | 400 | 53.2 | 51.6 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | * | * | 0.3 |
| Asian | 21 | 2.8 | 5.1 |
| Black or African American | 11 | 1.5 | 12.8 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 30 | 4.0 | 24.8 |
| Pacific Islander | * | * | 0.1 |
| Two or More Races | 13 | 1.7 | 3.3 |
| White | 665 | 88.4 | 53.6 |
| English Learners | * | * | 7.2 |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 72 | 9.6 | 36.7 |
| Students with Disabilities ¹ | 70 | 9.3 | 14.8 |

¹Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

| | Chronic | | Suspe | ension/ |
|--|---------|--------------------------|-------|----------|
| | Absen | Absenteeism ² | | ılsion³ |
| | Count | Rate (%) | Count | Rate (%) |
| Female | 15 | 4.6 | 6 | 1.7 |
| Male | 33 | 8.7 | 22 | 5.4 |
| Black or African American | 0 | * | 0 | * |
| Hispanic or Latino | * | * | 0 | 0.0 |
| White | 42 | 6.7 | 26 | 3.9 |
| English Learners | * | * | 0 | * |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 12 | 11.7 | 9 | 8.1 |
| Students with Disabilities | 11 | 16.7 | * | * |
| District | 48 | 6.8 | 28 | 3.7 |
| State | | 10.7 | · | 6.8 |

Number of students in 2016-17 qualified as truant under state statute: Fewer than 6

Number of school-based arrests: Fewer than 6

²A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

³The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

Educators

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)1 Staff

| | FTE |
|--|------|
| General Education | |
| Teachers and Instructors | 64.1 |
| Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants | 5.0 |
| Special Education | |
| Teachers and Instructors | 9.0 |
| Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants | 14.0 |
| Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs | |
| District Central Office | 3.0 |
| School Level | 3.4 |
| Library/Media | |
| Specialists (Certified) | 2.0 |
| Support Staff | 0.0 |
| Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers | 2.4 |
| Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists | 5.0 |
| School Nurses | 2.0 |
| Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support | 42.5 |

¹In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

Educators by Race/Ethnicity

| | | District | State |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|-------------------------|
| | Count Percent of Total (%) | | Percent of Total (%) |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Asian | 0 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| Black or African American | 0 | 0.0 | 3.7 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 1 | 1.1 | 3.7 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Two or More Races | 1 | 1.1 | 0.1 |
| White | 86 | 96.6 | 91.0 |

Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2016-17

| | District | State |
|---|----------|-------|
| Average Number of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time | 9.9 | 10.5 |

Instruction and Resources

11th and 12th Graders Enrolled in College-and-Career-Readiness Courses during High School²

| | 11th | | 12th | |
|--|-------|----------|-------|----------|
| | Count | Rate (%) | Count | Rate (%) |
| Black or African American | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Hispanic or Latino | * | * | * | * |
| White | * | * | 40 | 95.2 |
| English Learners | 0 | 0.0 | * | * |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 6 | * | 9 | * |
| Students with Disabilities | * | * | 7 | * |
| District | 23 | 51.1 | 47 | 95.9 |
| State | | 69.3 | | 80.1 |

²College-and-Career-Readiness Courses include Advanced Placement®(AP), International Baccalaureate®(IB), Career and Technical Education(CTE), workplace experience and dual enrollment courses.

Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers³

| | Count | Rate (%) |
|----------------------------|-------|----------|
| Autism | * | * |
| Emotional Disturbance | * | * |
| Intellectual Disability | * | * |
| Learning Disability | 22 | 100.0 |
| Other Health Impairment | 7 | * |
| Other Disabilities | * | * |
| Speech/Language Impairment | 8 | * |
| District | 48 | 85.7 |
| State | | 68.6 |
| | | |

³Ages 6-21

Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability¹

| | Dis | State | |
|-------------------------------|-------|----------|----------|
| | Count | Rate (%) | Rate (%) |
| Autism | 7 | 1.0 | 1.8 |
| Emotional Disturbance | * | * | 1.1 |
| Intellectual Disability | * | * | 0.5 |
| Learning Disability | 22 | 3.0 | 5.2 |
| Other Health Impairment | 9 | 1.2 | 3.1 |
| Other Disabilities | * | * | 1.1 |
| Speech/Language Impairment | 12 | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| All Disabilities | 60 | 8.2 | 14.5 |

Students with Disabilities Placed Outside of the District²

| | Dis | State | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|----------|----------|
| | Count | Rate (%) | Rate (%) |
| Public Schools in Other Districts | * | * | 8.3 |
| Private Schools or Other Settings | 0 | 0.0 | 5.2 |

²Grades K-12

Overall Expenditures: 2016-17

| | | Per Pupil | |
|---|-----------------|---------------|------------|
| | Total (\$) | District (\$) | State (\$) |
| Instructional Staff and Services | 7,133,732 | 9,772 | 9,847 |
| Instructional Supplies and Equipment | 501,975 | 688 | 287 |
| Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services | 196,696 | 269 | 589 |
| Student Support Services | 852,214 | 1,167 | 1,120 |
| Administration and Support Services | 2,129,950 | 2,918 | 1,905 |
| Plant Operation and Maintenance | 1,110,104 | 1,521 | 1,648 |
| Transportation | 920,781 | 1,161 | 904 |
| Costs of Students Tuitioned Out | 179,792 | N/A | N/A |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 208 |
| Total | 13,025,244 | 17,161 | 16,535 |
| Additiona | al Expenditures | | |
| Land, Buildings, and Debt Service | 0 | 0 | 1,393 |

³Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

Special Education Expenditures: 2016-17

| | Dist | District | | |
|----------------------------|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | Total (\$) | Percent of Total (%) | Percent of Total (%) | |
| Certified Personnel | 1,097,565 | 54.9 | 33.8 | |
| Noncertified Personnel | 356,971 | 17.9 | 14.5 | |
| Purchased Services | 59,589 | 3.0 | 5.5 | |
| Tuition to Other Schools | 0 | 0.0 | 23.4 | |
| Special Ed. Transportation | 19,434 | 1.0 | 8.7 | |
| Other Expenditures | 464,407 | 23.2 | 14.1 | |
| Total Expenditures | 1,997,966 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

Expenditures by Revenue Source:⁴ 2016-17

| | Percent of Total (%) | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| | Including Excluding | | | | |
| | School | School | | | |
| | Construction | Construction | | | |
| Local | 75.6 | 75.6 | | | |
| State | 21.8 | 21.8 | | | |
| Federal | 2.5 | 2.5 | | | |
| Tuition & Other | 0.2 | 0.2 | | | |

⁴Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction).

¹Grades K-12

Performance and Accountability

District Performance Index (DPI)

A District Performance Index (DPI) is the average performance of students in a subject area (i.e., ELA, Mathematics or Science) on the state summative assessments. The DPI ranges from 0-100. A DPI is reported for all students tested in a district and for students in each individual student group. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 75. The USDOE approved Connecticut's waiver request related to statewide student assessment in science. As a result, in spring 2018, the Connecticut State Department of Education administered a NGSS Assessment Field Test for both science standard and alternate assessments. Therefore, no science data appear in the table below.

| | English Langua | ge Arts(ELA) | Math | |
|--|----------------|--------------|-------|------|
| | Count | DPI | Count | DPI |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | * | * | * | * |
| Asian | 10 | * | 10 | * |
| Black or African American | 7 | * | 7 | * |
| Hispanic or Latino | 14 | * | 14 | * |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A |
| Two or More Races | 9 | * | 9 | * |
| White | 346 | 78.2 | 346 | 74.9 |
| English Learners | * | * | * | * |
| Non-English Learners | 389 | 77.9 | 389 | 74.7 |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 48 | 69.7 | 48 | 66.2 |
| Not Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 342 | 78.9 | 342 | 75.8 |
| Students with Disabilities | 27 | 53.3 | 27 | 52.2 |
| Students without Disabilities | 363 | 79.6 | 363 | 76.3 |
| High Needs | 66 | 64.9 | 66 | 62.4 |
| Non-High Needs | 324 | 80.4 | 324 | 77.1 |
| District | 390 | 77.8 | 390 | 74.6 |

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient¹

| | NAEP | NAEP 2013 | |
|-----------------|---------|-----------|----------|
| READING | Grade 4 | Grade 8 | Grade 12 |
| Connecticut | 43% | 44% | 50% |
| National Public | 35% | 35% | 36% |
| MATH | Grade 4 | Grade 8 | Grade 12 |
| Connecticut | 40% | 36% | 32% |
| National Public | 40% | 33% | 25% |

¹NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across Smarter Balanced and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement other state assessment data. To view student subgroup performance on NAEP, click here.

Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard²

| | Percent of Students by Grade ³ (%) | | | | All Teste | d Grades |
|----------------------|---|------|------|------|-----------|----------|
| | 4 | 6 | 8 | HS | Count | Rate (%) |
| Sit & Reach | 92.0 | 88.0 | 84.4 | 87.0 | 210 | 87.6 |
| Curl Up | 84.0 | 88.0 | 84.4 | 93.5 | 210 | 87.1 |
| Push Up | 72.0 | 90.0 | 78.1 | 89.1 | 210 | 81.9 |
| Mile Run/PACER | 58.0 | 80.0 | 62.5 | 58.7 | 210 | 64.8 |
| All Tests - District | 36.0 | 70.0 | 51.6 | 52.2 | 210 | 52.4 |
| All Tests - State | 53.2 | 51.4 | 50.5 | 45.6 | | 50.1 |

²The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

³Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

Cohort Graduation: Four-Year¹

| | 2016-17 | | |
|--|---------------------------|----------|--|
| | Cohort Count ² | Rate (%) | |
| Black or African American | * | * | |
| Hispanic or Latino | * | * | |
| English Learners | * | * | |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 10 | * | |
| Students with Disabilities | * | * | |
| District | 55 | 92.7 | |
| State | | 87.9 | |

¹The four-year cohort graduation rate represents the percentage of first-time 9th graders who earn a standard high school diploma within four years.

11th and 12th Graders Meeting Benchmark on at Least One College Readiness Exam³

| | Participation ⁴ | Meeting Benchmark | |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------|----------|
| | Rate (%) | Count | Rate (%) |
| Female | 97.4 | 19 | 50.0 |
| Male | 92.9 | 22 | 39.3 |
| Black or African American | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Hispanic or Latino | * | * | * |
| White | 94.0 | 37 | 44.6 |
| English Learners | * | 0 | * |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 95.0 | * | * |
| Students with Disabilities | * | 0 | * |
| District | 94.7 | 41 | 43.6 |
| State | 96.3 | | 44.8 |

³College readiness exams and benchmark scores are as follows:

- SAT® meets benchmark score on SAT, Revised SAT or Connecticut School Day SAT
- ACT® meets benchmark score on 3 of 4 exams (benchmark score varies by subject)
- \bullet AP $^{\! @}$ - 3 or higher on any one AP $^{\! @}$ exam
- IB® 4 or higher on any one IB® exam
- Smarter Balanced Level 3 or higher on both ELA and math

⁴Participation Rate equals the number of test-takers in 11th and 12th grade divided by the number of students enrolled in those grades, as a percent. Sources:

SAT® and AP® statistics derived from data provided by the College Board.

Copyright © 2018 The College Board. www.collegeboard.org

ACT® statistics derived from data provided by ACT, Inc.

Copyright © 2018 ACT, Inc. www.act.org

IB® statistics derived from data provided by the International Baccalaureate Organization.

Copyright © International Baccalaureate Organization 2018

College Entrance and Persistence

| | Class of 2017 | Class of 2016 |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| | Entrance ⁵ | Persistence ⁶ |
| | Rate (%) | Rate (%) |
| Female | * | * |
| Male | 72.7 | * |
| Black or African American | * | * |
| Hispanic or Latino | * | * |
| White | 87.0 | 91.3 |
| English Learners | N/A | N/A |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | * | * |
| Students with Disabilities | * | * |
| District | 80.8 | 88.5 |
| State | 70.9 | 88.3 |

⁵College entrance refers to the percent of high school graduates from the year who enrolled in college any time during the first year after high school.

Source: National Student Clearinghouse

²Cohort count includes all students in the cohort as of the end of the 2016-17 school year.

⁶College persistence refers to the percent of students who enrolled in college the first year after high school and returned for a second year (Freshman to Sophomore persistence).

Next Generation Accountability Results

Connecticut's Next Generation Accountability System is a broad set of 12 indicators that help tell the story of how well a district/school is preparing its students for success in college, careers, and life. It moves beyond test scores and graduation rates to provide a more holistic, multifactor perspective of district and school performance.

The USDOE approved Connecticut's waiver request related to statewide student assessment in science. As a result, in spring 2018, the Connecticut State Department of Education administered a NGSS Assessment Field Test for both science standard and alternate assessments. Therefore, no science data appear in the table below.

| Inc | licator | Index/Rate | Target | Points Earned | Max Points | % Points Earned | State Average Index/Rate |
|---|---------------------|---------------|--------|------------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| ELA Performance Index | All Students | 77.8 | 75 | 50.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 67.6 |
| ELA Performance muex | High Needs Students | 64.9 | 75 | 43.3 | 50 | 86.6 | 57.5 |
| Math Performance Index | All Students | 74.6 | 75 | 49.8 | 50 | 99.5 | 62.7 |
| iviatii Periormance muex | High Needs Students | 62.4 | 75 | 41.6 | 50 | 83.3 | 52.0 |
| ELA Academic Growth | All Students | 67.4% | 100% | 67.4 | 100 | 67.4 | 60.7% |
| ELA ACAGEMIC Growth | High Needs Students | 62.9% | 100% | 62.9 | 100 | 62.9 | 55.6% |
| Math Academic Growth | All Students | 58.1% | 100% | 58.1 | 100 | 58.1 | 61.9% |
| Math Academic Growth | High Needs Students | 49.4% | 100% | 49.4 | 100 | 49.4 | 55.4% |
| Chronic Absenteeism | All Students | 6.8% | <=5% | 46.4 | 50 | 92.8 | 10.7% |
| | High Needs Students | 12.7% | <=5% | 34.7 | 50 | 69.3 | 16.6% |
| Dranaration for CCD | % Taking Courses | 74.5% | 75% | 49.6 | 50 | 99.3 | 74.8% |
| Preparation for CCR | % Passing Exams | 43.6% | 75% | 29.1 | 50 | 58.2 | 44.8% |
| On-track to High School Gra | aduation | 98.0% | 94% | 50.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 87.5% |
| 4-year Graduation All Stude | ents (2017 Cohort) | 92.7% | 94% | 98.6 | 100 | 98.6 | 87.9% |
| 6-year Graduation - High Needs Students (2015 Cohort) | | N/A | 94% | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 81.8% |
| Postsecondary Entrance (Class of 2017) | | 80.8% | 75% | 100.0 | 100 | 100.0 | 70.9% |
| Physical Fitness (estimated part rate) and (fitness rate) | | 94.6% 52.4% | 75% | 34.9 | 50 | 69.8 | 96.6% 50.1% |
| Arts Access | | 51.6% | 60% | 43.0 | 50 | 86.1 | 51.2% |
| Accountability Index | | | | 908.8 | 1150 | 79.0 | |

| Gap Indicators | Non-High Needs Rate ¹ | High Needs Rate | Size of Gap | State Gap Mean +1 Stdev ² | Is Gap an Outlier? ² |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Achievement Gap Size Outlier? | | | | | N |
| ELA Performance Index Gap | 75.0 | 64.9 | 10.1 | 15.9 | |
| Math Performance Index Gap | 75.0 | 62.4 | 12.6 | 18.2 | |
| Science Performance Index Gap | | N/A | | | |
| Graduation Rate Gap | | | | 12.7% | N |

¹ If the Non-High Needs Rate exceeds the ultimate target (75 for Performance Index and 94% for graduation rate), the ultimate target is used for gap calculations.

²If the size of the gap exceeds the state mean gap plus one standard deviation, the gap is an outlier.

| Sul | oject/Subgroup | Participation Rate (%) ³ | |
|---------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| ELA | All Students | 99.0 | ³ Minimum |
| ELA | High Needs Students | 98.6 | participation standard is 95%. |
| Math | All Students | 99.0 | |
| IVIALII | High Needs Students | 98.6 | |
| Science | All Students | 97.1 | |
| Science | High Needs Students | 94.4 | |

Connecticut's State Identified Measurable Goal for Children with Disabilities (SIMR)

Increase the reading performance of all 3rd grade students with disabilities statewide, as measured by Connecticut's English Language Arts (ELA) Performance Index.

Grade 3 ELA Performance Index for Students with Disabilities:

District: * State: 51.5

Supporting Resources

Two-page FAQ

Detailed Presentation

Using Accountability Results to Guide Improvement: comprehensive documentation and supports

Narratives

.Due to the size of North Stonington Public Schools, the district has a very minimal minority population. In terms of poverty, the district has a free and reduce lunch rate of 20%. The district's social worker works closely with those who are in need and the student body and staff are very altruistic to those who are in need.

The district is always looking for ways for its students to develop a more inclusive environment. Due to the low percentage of students reflective of minority demographics, the town and district has reached outside the community to bring in students of color and-or with cultural differences.

For the last decade, the town and district adopted a community from Zambia, Africa. The community is called Chikumbuso. It is a poverty community that has been ravaged by the HIV virus and AIDS. Over the course of time, residents and the school community have raised fund to support the "Widows" of Chikumbuso and the students who attend school at Chikumbuso.

Beginning about four years ago, North Stonington Public School students began to Skype with students from Chikumbuso. This was done in order for North Stonington Public Schools students to get a basic understanding of the lifestyle of students their own age living in poverty where food and water are extremely limited.

About two years ago, discussion began regarding sponsoring two of the students to come to America and to North Stonington to attend Wheeler High School. After a long application process with immigration and approval of visas, the BOE approved two Chikumbuso students attending Wheeler High School. The North Stonington PTO and NSEF provided grant funds to pay for the application process. As a result, two young men who walked two-hours each way to attend school at Chikumbuso, arrived in North Stonington.

In an educational program that shared educational services between Wheeler High School and Saint Bernard School, the two young men graduated with a diploma in June, 2018. The two students will be attending Three Rivers Community College in September,. Needless to say, that the young men received a wonderful education and a student body, faculty and town that embraced them, also received an education of its own of the Zambian culture and way of life.

Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools

There are only two schools in North Stonington; the North Stonington Elementary School and the Wheeler High & Middle School. The town is very supportive of its schools despite some difficult economic conditions that existed in prior years. General funds allocated to the schools are distributed fairly. The budget process begins in January with the Superintendent's budget presented to BOE. The BOE scrutinizes the budget for fiscal equity and efficiencies. The BOE will adopt a final budget in March which will then proceed to the Board of Finance. A town referendum on the budget is held in late May or June. The 2018-2019 BOE budget was overwhelming approved for a 4.97% increase by the taxpayers of North Stonington. Considering that the town overwhelmingly approved a building construction modernization project in January of approximately \$39 million, the town has invested well in its children's education.

The fiscal resources provided by the town have benefited the district and used well. In 2017 the Governor recognized North Stonington Elementary school and Wheeler High School as "Schools of Distinction" and rank in the top 10% of schools in Connecticut.