

STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2011-12**East Lyme School District**

JAMES D. LOMBARDO, Superintendent

Location: Boston Post Road

Telephone: (860) 739-3966

East Lyme,
ConnecticutWebsite: www.eastlymeschools.org/

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at www.sde.ct.gov.

COMMUNITY DATA

County: New London

Town Population in 2000: 18,118

1990-2000 Population Growth: 18.1%

Number of Public Schools: 5

Per Capita Income in 2000: \$28,765

Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000*: 12.1%

Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000*: 1.1%

District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 98.1%

*To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

District Reference Group (DRG): D DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Enrollment on October 1, 2011 2,978
5-Year Enrollment Change -7.5%

DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Grade Range PK - 12

INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in District	Percent		
		District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	290	9.7	15.7	35.2
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	36	1.2	2.4	5.6
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	22	0.7	4.4	4.0
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	366	12.3	11.3	11.5
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	124	85.5	85.0	79.8
Homeless	8	0.3	0.1	0.3
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	39	7.6	14.5	13.0

*0.0 % of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity		
Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
American Indian	7	0.2
Asian American	287	9.6
Black	64	2.1
Hispanic	117	3.9
Pacific Islander	1	0.0
White	2,478	83.2
Two or more races	24	0.8
Total Minority	500	16.8

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 1.1%

Non-English Home Language:

5.5% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 29.

EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

All five schools are involved in programs that reduce racial, ethnic and economic isolation. Throughout the school year we strive to improve our children's understanding of the larger world. In Kindergarten, students experienced books on the life of Martin Luther King, Jr., Kwanza art activities and texts, traditions and artifacts of India and China. Multicultural curricular materials, celebrations and resources are utilized throughout the grades. Third grade students study different countries, and parents are encouraged to visit classes and share their culture, traditions, and ethnic food. Additionally, third grade students learn about customs, culture, and historical significance of dances and musical instruments from Brazil and Mexico. The fourth grade social studies curriculum focuses on the Native American culture; visits to the Pequot Museum occur and Indian celebrations are experienced. Our grade 6 and 7 social studies and Language Arts curricula focus on cultures around the world and the resolution of conflicts. Guest speakers and assembly programs enhance the curricula and further expand understandings of the global community and its diversity. Local clean up efforts helped our students to connect with others in the neighborhood, our local region, and our world. Students from China and Japan came to East Lyme and East Lyme families served as hosts. The Diversity Club, Amnesty International, Peace Club and the Gay/Straight Alliance continue to be active clubs on campus. Students traveled to Canada, Bermuda, and Spain this year. Freshman Academy and the Mentor/mentee program continue to promote tolerance, understanding, and good citizenship. A Global Education Task Force recommended two academic intersessions for ELHS students, one on the Italian Culture and another on the Chinese language and culture.

STUDENT PERFORMANCE

Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade and CMT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Grade 3 Reading	72.0	59.2	67.5
Writing	78.7	62.7	80.6
Mathematics	78.9	66.5	64.4
Grade 4 Reading	84.1	64.1	88.1
Writing	83.3	65.3	82.5
Mathematics	91.1	68.0	93.1
Grade 5 Reading	78.7	67.6	59.6
Writing	79.9	68.1	64.3
Mathematics	83.5	71.6	62.5
Science	77.5	63.9	56.5
Grade 6 Reading	91.0	74.1	84.9
Writing	86.2	67.4	84.3
Mathematics	92.0	69.3	90.4
Grade 7 Reading	94.2	79.8	87.4
Writing	84.5	65.6	83.2
Mathematics	87.7	68.1	86.3
Grade 8 Reading	93.3	76.8	86.8
Writing	84.0	68.3	75.0
Mathematics	88.6	67.2	84.9
Science	73.2	61.9	52.5

These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the district. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

For more detailed CMT results, go to www.ctreports.com.

To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scorable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	67.2	47.5	78.2
Writing Across the Disciplines	81.8	63.0	77.6
Mathematics	66.3	49.2	75.2
Science	67.3	47.1	77.6

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Physical Fitness. The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All Four Tests	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
	62.2	50.6	81.2

SAT® I: Reasoning Test Class of 2011		District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Scores
% of Graduates Tested		85.2	77.3	
Average Score	Mathematics	558	505	88.5
	Critical Reading	543	502	80.2
	Writing	548	506	84.0

SAT® I. The lowest possible score on each SAT® I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Adjusted Cohort Rate 2011	96.3	82.7	92.5
2010-11 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	0.2	2.6	87.0

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	90.9	84.5
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	6.9	9.7

RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

DISTRICT STAFF

Full-Time Equivalent Count of School Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	193.70
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	12.23
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	34.45
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	102.29
Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants	8.60
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	6.00
School Level	9.70
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	1.00
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	15.50
School Nurses	5.00
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	142.68

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	14.0	14.6	13.9
% with Master's Degree or Above	82.3	80.6	79.6

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	14.6	17.6	18.5
Grade 2	16.1	18.9	19.7
Grade 5	17.4	21.7	21.6
Grade 7	17.3	20.4	20.3
High School	17.6	19.7	19.6

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	968	985	993
Middle School	984	1,030	1,024
High School	1,016	1,008	1,024

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten, and 450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	2.4	2.9	2.8
Middle School	2.2	2.3	2.2
High School	2.4	2.4	2.1

*Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2010-11

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures All figures are unaudited.	Total (in 1000s)	Expenditures Per Pupil			
		District	PK-12 Districts	DRG	State
Instructional Staff and Services	\$24,824	\$8,110	\$8,464	\$8,059	\$8,469
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$389	\$127	\$267	\$278	\$271
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$1,682	\$550	\$487	\$385	\$482
Student Support Services	\$1,768	\$577	\$901	\$873	\$901
Administration and Support Services	\$3,501	\$1,144	\$1,468	\$1,395	\$1,490
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$4,923	\$1,608	\$1,471	\$1,420	\$1,463
Transportation	\$1,867	\$572	\$735	\$689	\$724
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	\$1,816	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$735	\$240	\$165	\$162	\$165
Total	\$41,505	\$13,354	\$14,238	\$13,575	\$14,140
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$3,136	\$1,025	\$1,290	\$1,083	\$1,331

Special Education Expenditures	District Total	Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education		
		District	DRG	State
	\$8,999,713	21.5	22.0	21.7

Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source. Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	73.8	15.9	4.0	6.3
Excluding School Construction	72.6	17.1	3.6	6.7

EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

The Board of Education policy assures that each school in the district receives an equitable level of materials and financial resources. The budget process is partially based on projected enrollments at each school. The budget process assures that each student receives the necessary materials, supplies and texts. Programs serving multiple schools provide services to students equitably.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible	334
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities	12.2%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities				
Disability	Count	District Percent	DRG Percent	State Percent
Autism	47	1.7	1.3	1.2
Learning Disability	125	4.6	3.5	3.9
Intellectual Disability	9	0.3	0.4	0.4
Emotional Disturbance	22	0.8	0.9	1.0
Speech Impairment	30	1.1	2.2	2.1
Other Health Impairment*	82	3.0	2.3	2.2
Other Disabilities**	19	0.7	0.9	1.0
Total	334	12.2	11.4	11.7

*Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

**Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2010-11 with a Standard Diploma	94.4	62.4
2010-11 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	0.0	5.1

STATE ASSESSMENTS

Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- **Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation.** The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- **Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation.** The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students with Disabilities		All Students	
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	54.4	36.0	85.8	70.4
	Writing	37.3	21.5	82.9	66.3
	Mathematics	51.7	31.8	87.1	68.4
	Science	40.0	23.0	75.4	62.9
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	24.1	14.5	67.2	47.5
	Writing Across the Disciplines	28.1	18.2	81.8	63.0
	Mathematics	34.6	15.4	66.3	49.2
	Science	29.0	13.6	67.3	47.1

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on “No Child Left Behind.”

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools

CMT	% Without Accommodations	42.8
	% With Accommodations	57.2
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	100.0
	% With Accommodations	N/A
% Assessed Using Skills Checklist		2.9

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with non-disabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District's Schools

Placement	Count	Percent
Public Schools in Other Districts	3	0.9
Private Schools or Other Settings	9	2.7

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers

Time Spent with Non-Disabled Peers	Count of Students	Percent of Students		
		District	DRG	State
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	248	74.3	74.6	72.1
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	65	19.5	16.2	16.3
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	21	6.3	9.2	11.7

SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

CMT data are reviewed to determine curricular and instructional areas of strength and areas in need of review. East Lyme Middle School has restructured the student services model to incorporate a special education teacher into each academic team in order to promote co-teaching. This program initiative is aimed at providing appropriate services to all students within the general education setting to the greatest extent possible. A number of new initiatives bring greater opportunity to our students:- A collaborative agreement with the Southeast CT Chinese School has brought Mandarin Chinese to East Lyme- A Task Force is studying ways to make an Alternative Education program more relevant and useful for our students- The new special education program now allows more students with special needs to be served in East LymeSchool based teams frequently review attendance information for students. Students and their families are supported by guidance counselors, psychologists, and social workers to improve attendance.
