Connecticut State Department of Education

DISTRICT PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2017–18



Clinton School District

Mrs. Maryann O'donnell, Superintendent • 860-664-6500 • http://www.clintonpublic.net

District Information

Grade Range	PK-12
Number of Schools/Programs	4
Enrollment	1,767
Per Pupil Expenditures ¹	\$18,392
Total Expenditures ¹	\$32,755,946

¹Expenditure data reflect the 2016-17 year.



Community Information

<u>CERC Town Profiles</u> provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

Contents

Students 1	
Educators2	
Instruction and Resources	
Performance and Accountability 4	
Narratives7	

Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2017-18 and include all grades offered by the district.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit edsight.ct.gov.

State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.

Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

suppressed to ensure student confidentiality. N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been

Students

October 1, 2017 Enrollment				
		District	State	
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)	
Female	848	48.0	48.4	
Male	919	52.0	51.6	
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	0.3	
Asian	53	3.0	5.1	
Black or African American	9	0.5	12.8	
Hispanic or Latino	264	14.9	24.8	
Pacific Islander	*	*	0.1	
Two or More Races	30	1.7	3.3	
White	1,406	79.6	53.6	
English Learners	84	4.8	7.2	
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	429	24.3	36.7	
Students with Disabilities ¹	225	12.7	14.8	

¹Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

	Chronic		Suspe	ension/
	Absenteeism ²		Expu	ılsion³
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	57	7.0	15	1.8
Male	64	7.1	87	9.3
Black or African American	*	*	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	20	8.0	13	4.9
White	94	6.9	83	5.9
English Learners	7	7.4	*	*
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	68	12.7	49	8.5
Students with Disabilities	26	11.3	36	13.7
District	121	7.1	102	5.7
State		10.7		6.8

Number of students in 2016-17 qualified as truant under state statute: 26

Number of school-based arrests: Fewer than 6

²A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

³The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

Educators

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)1 Staff

	FTE
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	135.4
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	14.9
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	26.2
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	44.0
Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	6.0
School Level	7.7
Library/Media	
Specialists (Certified)	4.0
Support Staff	1.6
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers	5.6
Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists	13.0
School Nurses	4.0
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support	87.4

¹In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

Educators by Race/Ethnicity

		District	State	
	Count Percent of Total (%)		Percent of Total (%)	
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0.0	0.1	
Asian	0	0.0	1.1	
Black or African American	0	0.0	3.7	
Hispanic or Latino	2	1.0	3.7	
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.0	
Two or More Races	0	0.0	0.1	
White	199	99.0	91.0	

Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2016-17

	District	State
Average Number of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time	8.0	10.5

Instruction and Resources

11th and 12th Graders Enrolled in College-and-Career-Readiness Courses during High School²

	11th		1	2th
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Black or African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	12	*	13	*
White	112	94.1	101	98.1
English Learners	*	*	*	*
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	30	90.9	24	92.3
Students with Disabilities	9	*	8	*
District	131	92.9	123	96.9
State		69.3		80.1

²College-and-Career-Readiness Courses include Advanced Placement®(AP), International Baccalaureate®(IB), Career and Technical Education(CTE), workplace experience and dual enrollment courses.

Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers³

	Count	Rate (%)
Autism	14	63.6
Emotional Disturbance	10	*
Intellectual Disability	*	*
Learning Disability	67	93.1
Other Health Impairment	53	94.6
Other Disabilities	*	*
Speech/Language Impairment	22	95.7
District	171	82.6
State		68.6

³Ages 6-21

Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability¹

	Dis	State	
	Count	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Autism	24	1.4	1.8
Emotional Disturbance	15	0.9	1.1
Intellectual Disability	8	0.5	0.5
Learning Disability	72	4.1	5.2
Other Health Impairment	56	3.2	3.1
Other Disabilities	14	0.8	1.1
Speech/Language Impairment	30	1.7	1.8
All Disabilities	219	12.6	14.5

Students with Disabilities Placed Outside of the District²

	Dis	State	
	Count	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Public Schools in Other Districts	*	*	8.3
Private Schools or Other Settings	*	*	5.2

²Grades K-12

Overall Expenditures: 2016-17

		Per Pupil	
	Total (\$)	District (\$)	State (\$)
Instructional Staff and Services	21,261,002	11,898	9,847
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	487,562	273	287
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	693,959	388	589
Student Support Services	2,223,173	1,244	1,120
Administration and Support Services	3,155,273	1,766	1,905
Plant Operation and Maintenance	2,375,502	1,329	1,648
Transportation	1,488,792	776	904
Costs of Students Tuitioned Out	945,581	N/A	N/A
Other	125,102	70	208
Total	32,755,946	18,392	16,535
Additiona	al Expenditures		
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	6,170,618	3,453	1,393

³Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

Special Education Expenditures: 2016-17

	Dist	District		
	Total (\$)	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)	
Certified Personnel	3,238,484	41.9	33.8	
Noncertified Personnel	1,228,295	15.9	14.5	
Purchased Services	358,207	4.6	5.5	
Tuition to Other Schools	801,583	10.4	23.4	
Special Ed. Transportation	604,696	7.8	8.7	
Other Expenditures	1,489,993	19.3	14.1	
Total Expenditures	7,721,258	100.0	100.0	

Expenditures by Revenue Source:⁴ 2016-17

	Percent of Total (%)				
	Including Excluding				
	School School				
	Construction	Construction			
Local	67.2	76.3			
State	30.1	20.5			
Federal	2.5	3.0			
Tuition & Other	0.2	0.2			

⁴Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction).

¹Grades K-12

Performance and Accountability

District Performance Index (DPI)

A District Performance Index (DPI) is the average performance of students in a subject area (i.e., ELA, Mathematics or Science) on the state summative assessments. The DPI ranges from 0-100. A DPI is reported for all students tested in a district and for students in each individual student group. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 75. The USDOE approved Connecticut's waiver request related to statewide student assessment in science. As a result, in spring 2018, the Connecticut State Department of Education administered a NGSS Assessment Field Test for both science standard and alternate assessments. Therefore, no science data appear in the table below.

	English Langua	ge Arts(ELA)	Math	
	Count	DPI	Count	DPI
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*
Asian	31	67.6	31	59.9
Black or African American	*	*	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	125	60.4	126	55.5
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A
Two or More Races	17	*	17	*
White	758	70.3	758	65.7
English Learners	64	53.6	65	50.4
Non-English Learners	874	70.1	874	65.2
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	281	61.9	281	56.6
Not Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	657	72.0	658	67.4
Students with Disabilities	137	51.1	138	44.5
Students without Disabilities	801	72.0	801	67.6
High Needs	368	59.9	368	54.6
Non-High Needs	570	74.8	571	70.3
District	938	69.0	939	64.2

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient¹

	NAEP	NAEP 2013	
READING	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	43%	44%	50%
National Public	35%	35%	36%
MATH	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	40%	36%	32%
National Public	40%	33%	25%

¹NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across Smarter Balanced and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement other state assessment data. To view student subgroup performance on NAEP, click here.

Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard²

	Percent of Students by Grade ³ (%)				All Teste	ed Grades
	4	6	8	HS	Count	Rate (%)
Sit & Reach	87.7	82.5	71.5	88.2	557	82.8
Curl Up	90.6	96.4	94.6	77.0	557	89.2
Push Up	86.2	53.3	59.2	74.3	557	68.6
Mile Run/PACER	75.4	90.5	78.5	54.6	557	74.1
All Tests - District	57.2	46.7	41.5	50.7	557	49.2
All Tests - State	53.2	51.4	50.5	45.6		50.1

²The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

³Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

Cohort Graduation: Four-Year¹

	2016-17		
	Cohort Count ²	Rate (%)	
Black or African American	*	*	
Hispanic or Latino	20	85.0	
English Learners	8	*	
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	36	80.6	
Students with Disabilities	17	*	
District	139	89.9	
State		87.9	

¹The four-year cohort graduation rate represents the percentage of first-time 9th graders who earn a standard high school diploma within four years.

11th and 12th Graders Meeting Benchmark on at Least One College Readiness Exam³

	Participation ⁴	Meeting B	senchmark
	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	100.0	81	61.4
Male	97.8	76	55.9
Black or African American	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	100.0	9	31.0
White	98.6	137	61.7
English Learners	*	0	*
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	98.3	22	37.3
Students with Disabilities	85.7	0	0.0
District	98.9	157	58.6
State	96.3		44.8

³College readiness exams and benchmark scores are as follows:

- SAT® meets benchmark score on SAT, Revised SAT or Connecticut School Day SAT
- ACT® meets benchmark score on 3 of 4 exams (benchmark score varies by subject)
- $\bullet~\mbox{AP}^{\circledast}$ 3 or higher on any one \mbox{AP}^{\circledast} exam
- IB® 4 or higher on any one IB® exam
- Smarter Balanced Level 3 or higher on both ELA and math

⁴Participation Rate equals the number of test-takers in 11th and 12th grade divided by the number of students enrolled in those grades, as a percent. Sources:

SAT® and AP® statistics derived from data provided by the College Board.

Copyright © 2018 The College Board. www.collegeboard.org

ACT® statistics derived from data provided by ACT, Inc.

Copyright © 2018 ACT, Inc. www.act.org

IB® statistics derived from data provided by the International Baccalaureate Organization.

Copyright © International Baccalaureate Organization 2018

College Entrance and Persistence

	Class of 2017	Class of 2016
	Entrance⁵	Persistence ⁶
	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Female	77.0	98.0
Male	63.5	86.7
Black or African American	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	*	*
White	73.0	93.1
English Learners	*	*
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	60.0	*
Students with Disabilities	*	*
District	71.4	92.7
State	70.9	88.3

⁵College entrance refers to the percent of high school graduates from the year who enrolled in college any time during the first year after high school.

Source: National Student Clearinghouse

²Cohort count includes all students in the cohort as of the end of the 2016-17 school year.

⁶College persistence refers to the percent of students who enrolled in college the first year after high school and returned for a second year (Freshman to Sophomore persistence).

Next Generation Accountability Results

Connecticut's Next Generation Accountability System is a broad set of 12 indicators that help tell the story of how well a district/school is preparing its students for success in college, careers, and life. It moves beyond test scores and graduation rates to provide a more holistic, multifactor perspective of district and school performance.

The USDOE approved Connecticut's waiver request related to statewide student assessment in science. As a result, in spring 2018, the Connecticut State Department of Education administered a NGSS Assessment Field Test for both science standard and alternate assessments. Therefore, no science data appear in the table below.

Inc	licator	Index/Rate	Target	Points Earned	Max Points	% Points Earned	State Average Index/Rate
ELA Performance Index	All Students	69.0	75	46.0	50	91.9	67.6
ELA Periorinance index	High Needs Students	59.9	75	39.9	50	79.8	57.5
Math Performance Index	All Students	64.2	75	42.8	50	85.6	62.7
iviatii Periormance muex	High Needs Students	54.6	75	36.4	50	72.9	52.0
ELA Academic Growth	All Students	47.6%	100%	47.6	100	47.6	60.7%
ELA ACAGEMIC Growth	High Needs Students	44.7%	100%	44.7	100	44.7	55.6%
Math Academic Growth	All Students	52.4%	100%	52.4	100	52.4	61.9%
Math Academic Growth	High Needs Students	47.3%	100%	47.3	100	47.3	55.4%
Charata Abaantaataa	All Students	7.1%	<=5%	45.9	50	91.8	10.7%
Chronic Absenteeism	High Needs Students	11.3%	<=5%	37.5	50	75.0	16.6%
Droporation for CCD	% Taking Courses	94.8%	75%	50.0	50	100.0	74.8%
Preparation for CCR	% Passing Exams	58.6%	75%	39.1	50	78.1	44.8%
On-track to High School Gra	aduation	94.7%	94%	50.0	50	100.0	87.5%
4-year Graduation All Stude	ents (2017 Cohort)	89.9%	94%	95.7	100	95.7	87.9%
6-year Graduation - High Needs Students (2015 Cohort)		86.0%	94%	91.5	100	91.5	81.8%
Postsecondary Entrance (Class of 2017)		71.4%	75%	95.2	100	95.2	70.9%
Physical Fitness (estimated part rate) and (fitness rate)		96.5% 49.2%	75%	32.8	50	65.6	96.6% 50.1%
Arts Access		37.1%	60%	31.0	50	61.9	51.2%
Accountability Index				925.7	1250	74.1	

Gap Indicators	Non-High Needs Rate ¹	High Needs Rate	Size of Gap	State Gap Mean +1 Stdev ²	Is Gap an Outlier? ²
Achievement Gap Size Outlier?					N
ELA Performance Index Gap	74.8	59.9	14.9	15.9	
Math Performance Index Gap	70.3	54.6	15.7	18.2	
Science Performance Index Gap		N/A			
Graduation Rate Gap	94.0%	86.0%	8.0%	12.7%	N

¹ If the Non-High Needs Rate exceeds the ultimate target (75 for Performance Index and 94% for graduation rate), the ultimate target is used for gap calculations.

²If the size of the gap exceeds the state mean gap plus one standard deviation, the gap is an outlier.

Sul	oject/Subgroup	Participation Rate (%) ³	
ΕLΛ	All Students	98.2	³ Minimum
ELA	High Needs Students	97.5	participation standard is 95%.
Math	All Students	98.3	
IVIALII	High Needs Students	97.5	
Science	All Students	98.3	
Science	High Needs Students	98.1	

Connecticut's State Identified Measurable Goal for Children with Disabilities (SIMR)

Increase the reading performance of all 3rd grade students with disabilities statewide, as measured by Connecticut's English Language Arts (ELA) Performance Index.

Grade 3 ELA Performance Index for Students with Disabilities:

District: * State: 51.5

Supporting Resources

Two-page FAQ

Detailed Presentation

Using Accountability Results to Guide Improvement: comprehensive documentation and supports

Narratives

School District Improvement Plans and Parental Outreach Activities

Clinton Public Schools continues to focus on unifying efforts to ensure continuity of learning experiences and expectations for students and to engage the school community in that work. The framework for this effort is rooted in the district mission and strategic priorities, coupled with the alignment of school and department goals. The curriculum renewal process engages teachers and administrators from every grade level in the research, development and implementation of the student learning expectations in all subjects and is targeted in specific areas in need of development.

Key to expanding and improving special education support services has been the development of core on-site programs in three areas: intensive learning programs, student support centers, and learning strategies support. Secondary transition programming has been enhanced with professional development for all teachers and specific focus on programming for students and families to support this area. There is a continued focus on training in co-teaching and in specialized instructional strategies provided to students within the general education environment and with nondisabled peers. Finally, quality in-district programming and the implementation of effective progress monitoring assessment tools has been an area of focus in order to ensure the academic achievement of all special education students.

The use of technology as a teaching and learning tool has become critical to the educational environment. The district has utilized State Technology Grant funding and local Capital Expenditure funding to implement a 1-to-1 Chromebook initiative at the high school, a 3-to-1 ratio of Chromebooks at the middle school, and mobile Chromebook carts at the elementary level. These enhancements support integration of technology and advanced use of the Google platform and tools to engage and communicate with students to improve learning.

Various initiatives to improve learning through more effective communication and collaboration with families have been implemented. Each school establishes goals specific to increasing online and in-person communications with parents. The district has implemented new policies related to attendance and homework which outline the importance of parent communication and outreach on the part of teachers and administrators. Implementation of the policies included outlining the specific supports in place at the schools and in the community to assist when there are concerns or issues. Efforts in district to reduce chronic absenteeism were implemented and included a coordination of school and community-based support services in working with families and students in need of this support. The district also conducted strategic planning and elicited parent feedback related to programming and improvement initiatives for the schools. Clinton is fortunate to have a strong parent connection through both PTO and PTA groups who work closely with the school administration to discuss learning, student experiences, challenges, new research, and current programming, policies, and procedures. These partnerships have enhanced communication and understanding, and allowed the district to be more effective in recognizing and responding to parent needs and concerns as it relates to the student experience.

Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation

Clinton Public Schools benefits from a community that is growing in its racial, ethnic, and economic diversity. The district is fortunate to have students from several South American countries, as well as Canada, China, India, Iran, South Korea, The Russian Federation, Switzerland, Syria, and Vietnam. The growing number of English Learners in the district has prompted a shift in programming to meet this need. Our schools provide wonderful opportunities for students and the community-at-large to learn in an environment that is both racially and economically diverse. Clinton continues to advance and support second language acquisition, offering courses in French and Spanish at the high school level and is proud of advances in the K-12 Spanish program. The program is designed to provide all students, kindergarten through grade 8, with the opportunity to enter high school prepared to take Spanish level 3. Students who successfully complete Spanish level 2 in the middle school earn high school credit. In addition to Spanish, the district continues to offer French as a language option in middle school and high school. Clinton students continue to have an opportunity to participate in the inter-district magnet schools in New Haven, the Sound School in New Haven, and the Marine Science Magnet School in Groton. Once again, nearly every student in the district was involved in at least one locally funded intra-district program designed to reduce isolation, to increase awareness of diversity of individuals and cultures, to reduce/eliminate harassment, and/or to respect others. These programs are part of the school enrichment experiences through assemblies, leadership conferences, grade-level town hall meetings, community fundraising and outreach, advisory programs, peer mentoring and tutoring, transition programming, field trips, international travel, clubs and activities, and school-wide positive climate and culture events.

Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools

The Board of Education is committed to ensuring that district schools receive the resources necessary to effectively implement educational programming and support district and building goals. Enhancing instructional practice, providing high quality instructional materials and technology tools, supporting professional learning for staff, and maintaining core programs like all-day kindergarten and K-12 World Language are the priorities in making decisions about resource allocation. The district leadership has an established track record for working collaboratively with town leadership to build understanding and support for district budget requests. The Board and administration work diligently to contain costs and reduce expenses in major budget areas such as transportation, utilities, health insurance, and special education. The budget development process is open and transparent, and allows for public participation and input. In addition to the operational budget, the district annually prepares and updates a plan for capital improvements and has embarked on a facilities and enrollment evaluation in order to ensure budgets can continue to support student instructional needs.