Connecticut State Department of Education

DISTRICT PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2014–15



Regional School District 19

Mr. Bruce Silva, Superintendent • 860-487-1862 • http://www.eosmith.org/

District Information

Grade Range	9-12
Number of Schools/Programs	4
Enrollment	1,204
Per Pupil Expenditures ¹	\$16,486
Total Expenditures ¹	\$20,195,925

¹Expenditure data reflect the 2013-14 year.



Community Information

<u>CERC Town Profiles</u> provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

Related Reports/Publications

CT Reports (CMT/CAPT)

District and School Performance Reports

Special Education Annual Performance Reports

SAT®, AP®, PSAT® Report by High School (Class of 2015)

(2015® The College Board)

Contents

Students 1	
Educators2	
Instruction and Resources	
Performance and Accountability 4	
Narratives7	

Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2014-15 and include all grades offered by the district.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit EdSight.ct.gov).

State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.

Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been

suppressed to ensure student confidentiality. N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

Students

October 1, 2014 Enrollment				
		District	State	
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)	
Female	624	51.8	48.3	
Male	580	48.2	51.6	
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	0.2	
Asian	55	4.6	4.7	
Black or African American	22	1.8	12.9	
Hispanic or Latino	94	7.8	22.1	
Pacific Islander	*	*	0.0	
Two or More Races	18	1.5	2.5	
White	1,011	84.0	57.2	
English Language Learners	16	1.3	6.3	
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	210	17.4	37.6	
Students with Disabilities ¹	102	16.0	12.2	

¹Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

	Chronic		Suspension/	
	Absenteeism ²		Ехри	ulsion³
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	47	7.6	12	1.9
Male	35	6.2	47	8.2
Black or African American	*	*	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	11	12.1	*	*
White	63	6.4	51	5.1
English Language Learners	*	*	0	*
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	39	18.3	19	8.6
Students with Disabilities	33	18.0	19	10.0
District	82	6.9	59	4.9
State		10.6		7.2

Number of students in 2013-14 qualified as truant under state statute: 2

²A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

³The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

Educators

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)1 Staff

	FTE
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	93.2
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	5.0
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	14.8
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	32.0
Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	1.0
School Level	6.8
Library/Media	
Specialists (Certified)	1.0
Support Staff	2.0
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers	1.0
Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists	9.8
School Nurses	2.0
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support	28.5

¹In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

Educators by Race/Ethnicity

		District	State
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0.0	0.1
Asian	0	0.0	1.0
Black or African American	0	0.0	3.5
Hispanic or Latino	2	1.5	3.5
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.0
Two or More Races	0	0.0	0.0
White	129	98.5	91.8

Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers²

	Percent of Total (%)		
District	100.0		
District Poverty Quartile: Middle			
State High Poverty Quartile Schools	97.9		
State Low Poverty Quartile Schools	99.6		

²Core academic classes taught by teachers who are fully certified to teach in that subject area.

Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2013-14

	District	State
Average Number of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time	5.6	9.2

Instruction and Resources

11th and 12th Graders Enrolled in College-and-Career-Readiness Courses during High School³

	11th		12th	
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Black or African American	*	*	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	6	26.1	*	*
White	74	32.5	183	65.6
English Language Learners	0	0.0	*	*
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	10	18.5	27	56.3
Students with Disabilities	14	29.8	22	42.3
District	93	32.9	204	65.6
State		58.4		73.8

³College-and-Career-Readiness Courses include Advanced Placement®(AP), International Baccalaureate®(IB), Career and Technical Education(CTE), workplace experience and dual enrollment courses.

Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers⁴

Count	Rate (%)
*	*
10	41.7
0	0
38	66.7
33	71.7
*	*
*	*
93	56.4
	69.7
	* 10 0 38 33 *

⁴Ages 6-21

Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability¹

	Dis	State	
	Count	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Autism	16	1.6	1.5
Emotional Disturbance	24	2.4	1.0
Intellectual Disability	6	0.6	0.5
Learning Disability	57	5.7	4.4
Other Health Impairment	46	4.6	2.6
Other Disabilities	8	0.8	1.0
Speech/Language Impairment	8	0.8	1.9
All Disabilities	165	16.6	13.0

Students with Disabilities Placed Outside of the District²

	District		State
	Count	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Public Schools in Other Districts	*	*	8.1
Private Schools or Other Settings	*	*	5.4

²Grades K-12

Overall Expenditures: 2013-14

		Per I	Pupil		
	Total (\$)	District (\$)	State (\$)		
Instructional Staff and Services	10,859,468	9,080	9,134		
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	653,816	547	334		
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	412,255	345	498		
Student Support Services	1,164,489	974	1,001		
Administration and Support Services	2,409,541	2,015	1,694		
Plant Operation and Maintenance	1,552,916	1,298	1,572		
Transportation	1,530,628	1,364	813		
Costs of Students Tuitioned Out	842,536	N/A	N/A		
Other	770,276	644	186		
Total	20,195,925	16,486	15,289		
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	2,557,121	2,138	1,272		

³Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

Special Education Expenditures: 2013-14

	Dist	rict	State
	Total (\$)	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
Certified Personnel	1,482,883	34.0	35.1
Noncertified Personnel	205,826	4.7	14.2
Purchased Services	1,160,224	26.6	5.2
Tuition to Other Schools	758,380	17.4	22.0
Special Ed. Transportation	442,710	10.1	8.6
Other Expenditures	315,507	7.2	14.9
Total Expenditures	4,365,530	100.0	100.0

Expenditures by Revenue Source:⁴ 2013-14

	Percent of Total (%)				
	Including	Excluding			
	School	School			
	Construction	Construction			
Local	49.1	50.5			
State	36.3	33.0			
Federal	1.4	1.5			
Tuition & Other	13.2	14.9			

⁴Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction).

¹Grades K-12

Performance and Accountability

District Performance Index (DPI)

A District Performance Index (DPI) is the average performance of students in a subject area (i.e., ELA, Mathematics or Science) on the state summative assessments. The DPI ranges from 0-100. A DPI is reported for all students tested in a district and for students in each individual student group. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 75.

	English Language Arts(ELA)		Mat	h	Scien	ice
	Count	DPI	Count	DPI	Count	DPI
American Indian or Alaska Native	N/A	N/A	N/A	*	N/A	N/A
Asian	*	*	*	*	11	*
Black or African American	*	*	N/A	*	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	8	*	*	*	22	53.4
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	*	*	*
Two or More Races	N/A	N/A	N/A	*	*	*
White	42	58.6	41	50.2	240	62.5
English Language Learners	*	*	*	*	*	*
Non-English Language Learners	*	*	*	*	*	*
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	12	*	11	*	49	56.2
Not Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	44	60.9	43	56.3	231	63.4
Students with Disabilities	16	*	14	*	42	41.6
Students without Disabilities	40	62.6	40	58.2	238	65.8
High Needs	25	53.9	23	42.7	79	50.8
Non-High Needs	31	63.9	31	59.9	201	66.6
District	56	59.5	54	52.6	280	62.2

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient¹

	NAEP	NAEP 2013	
READING	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	43%	43%	50%
National Public	35%	33%	36%
MATH	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	41%	36%	32%
National Public	39%	32%	25%

¹NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across Smarter Balanced and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement other state assessment data. To view student subgroup performance on NAEP, click here.

Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard²

	Percent of Students by Grade ³ (%)				All Teste	d Grades
	4	6	8	10	Count	Rate (%)
Sit & Reach	N/A	N/A	N/A	87.7	228	87.7
Curl Up	N/A	N/A	N/A	81.1	228	81.1
Push Up	N/A	N/A	N/A	78.9	228	78.9
Mile Run/PACER	N/A	N/A	N/A	63.6	228	63.6
All Tests - District	N/A	N/A	N/A	52.2	228	52.2
All Tests - State	50.8	51.0	50.3	51.9		51.0

²The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

³Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

Cohort Graduation: Four-Year¹

		2013-14			2014-15
	Cohort Count ²	Rate (%)	Target ³ (%)	Target Achieved	Target³ (%)
Black or African American	*	*			
Hispanic or Latino	*	*			
English Language Learners	*	*			
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	50	84.0	61.0	Yes	64.6
Students with Disabilities	45	75.6	66.0	Yes	69.1
District	293	93.2	85.5	Yes	86.4
State ⁴		87.0			

¹The four-year cohort graduation rate represents the percentage of first-time 9th graders who earn a standard high school diploma within four years. Statewide, district and school results for cohorts of 2010 through 2013 are <u>available online</u>.

11th and 12th Graders Meeting Benchmark on at Least One College Readiness Exam⁵

	Participation ⁶	Meeting E	Benchmark
	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	65.9	134	44.4
Male	66.8	138	47.3
Black or African American	*	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	54.3	6	17.1
White	67.1	239	47.1
English Language Learners	*	*	*
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	38.2	16	15.7
Students with Disabilities	22.2	*	*
District	66.3	272	45.8
State	67.2		37.3

⁵College readiness exams and benchmark scores are as follows:

- SAT® composite score of 1550 or higher
- ACT® meets benchmark score on 3 of 4 exams (benchmark score varies by subject)
- AP® 3 or higher on any one AP® exam
- IB® 4 or higher on any one IB® exam
- Smarter Balanced Level 3 or higher on both ELA and math

⁶Participation Rate equals the number of test-takers in 11th and 12th grade divided by the number of students enrolled in those grades, as a percent. Sources:

SAT® and AP® statistics derived from data provided by the College Board.

Copyright © 2015 The College Board. www.collegeboard.org

ACT® statistics derived from data provided by ACT, Inc.

Copyright © 2015 ACT, Inc. www.act.org

IB® statistics derived from data provided by the International Baccalaureate Organization.

Copyright © International Baccalaureate Organization 2015

College Entrance and Persistence

· ·		
	Class of 2014	Class of 2013
	Entrance ⁷	Persistence ⁸
	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Female	76.5	94.7
Male	62.4	90.8
Black or African American	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	*	*
White	69.7	94.0
English Language Learners	*	*
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	57.6	88.7
Students with Disabilities	50.0	*
District	69.7	93.0
State	72.6	88.8

⁷College entrance refers to the percent of high school graduates from the year who enrolled in college any time during the first year after high school.

Source: National Student Clearinghouse

²Cohort count includes all students in the cohort as of the end of the 2013-14 school year.

³Targets are calculated when there are at least 20 students in a cohort in the base year (i.e., 2010-11).

⁴Targets are not displayed at the state level.

⁸College persistence refers to the percent of students who enrolled in college the first year after high school and returned for a second year (Freshman to Sophomore persistence).

Next Generation Accountability Results

These statistics are the first results from Connecticut's Next Generation Accountability System for districts and schools. This system is a broad set of 12 indicators that help tell the story of how well a school is preparing its students for success in college, careers and life. It moves beyond test scores and graduation rates and instead provides a more holistic, multifactor perspective of district and school performance and incorporates student growth over time.

Indi	cator	Index/Rate	Target	Points	Max	% Points	State Average
ELA Dorformanco Indov	All Students	59.5	75	79.3	100	79.3	67.9
ELA Performance Index	High Needs Students	53.9	75	71.9	100	71.9	56.7
Math Performance Index	All Students	52.6	75	70.1	100	70.1	59.3
Math Performance maex	High Needs Students	42.7	75	57.0	100	57.0	47.8
Science Performance Index	All Students	62.2	75	82.9	100	82.9	56.5
Science Performance index	High Needs Students	50.8	75	67.7	100	67.7	45.9
Chanais Absorbesions	All Students	6.9%	<=5%	46.1	50	92.2	10.6%
Chronic Absenteeism	High Needs Students	14.2%	<=5%	31.5	50	63.0	17.3%
Droporation for CCD	% Taking Courses	49.8%	75%	33.2	50	66.4	66.1%
Preparation for CCR	% Passing Exams	45.8%	75%	30.5	50	61.1	37.3%
On-track to High School Grad	duation	90.3%	94%	48.0	50	96.1	85.6%
4-year Graduation All Studer	nts (2014 Cohort)	93.2%	94%	99.1	100	99.1	87.0%
6-year Graduation - High Needs Students (2012 Cohort)		87.4%	94%	92.9	100	92.9	77.6%
Postsecondary Entrance (Class of 2014)		69.7%	75%	93.0	100	93.0	72.8%
Physical Fitness (estimated part rate) and (fitness rate)		78.9% 52.2%	75%	17.4	50	34.8	87.6% 51.0%
Arts Access	Arts Access		60%	41.4	50	82.8	45.7%
Accountability Index				962.1	1250	77.0	

Gap Indicators	Non-High Needs Rate ¹	High Needs Rate	Size of Gap	State Gap Mean + 1 Stdev²	Is Gap an Outlier? ²
Achievement Gap Size Outlier?					N
ELA Performance Index Gap	63.9	53.9	10.0	17.3	
Math Performance Index Gap	59.9	42.7	17.2	19.6	
Science Performance Index Gap	66.6	50.8	15.8	17.2	
Graduation Rate Gap	94.0%	87.4%	6.6%	15.2%	N

¹If the Non-High Needs Rate exceeds the ultimate target (75 for Performance Index and 94% for graduation rate), then the ultimate target is displayed and used for gap calculations.

²If the size of the gap exceeds the state mean gap plus one standard deviation, then the gap is an outlier.

Sul	oject/Subgroup	Participation Rate (%)
FLA	All Students	20.3
ELA	High Needs Students	28.4
Math	All Students	19.9
iviatii	High Needs Students	26.1
All Students		93.7
Science High Needs Students		87.9

Connecticut's State Identified Measurable Goal for Children with Disabilities (SIMR)

Increase the reading performance of all 3rd grade students with disabilities statewide, as measured by Connecticut's English Language Arts (ELA) Performance Index.

Grade 3 ELA Performance Index for Students with Disabilities:

District: N/A State: 50.1

Supporting Resources

Two-page FAQ

Detailed Presentation

Using Accountability Results to Guide Improvement: comprehensive documentation and supports

Narratives

School District Improvement Plans and Parental Outreach Activities

Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation

Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools