#### STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2011-12

# **Common Ground High School District**

LIZANNE M. COX, Director

Location: 358 Springside Avenue

New Haven, Connecticut

Telephone: (203) 389-4333

Website: www.commongroundct.org

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at <a href="https://www.sde.ct.gov">www.sde.ct.gov</a>.

#### **COMMUNITY DATA**

County: New Haven

Town Population in 2000: N/A 1990-2000 Population Growth: N/A Number of Public Schools: 1 Per Capita Income in 2000: N/A

Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000\*: N/A Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000\*: N/A District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: N/A

District Reference Group (DRG): N/A

#### STUDENT ENROLLMENT

#### DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Enrollment on October 1, 2011 165 5-Year Enrollment Change 13.8% Grade Range 9 - 12

#### INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in District	Percent		
		District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	82	49.7	N/A	35.2
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	3	1.8	N/A	5.6
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	4	2.4	N/A	4.0
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	15	9.1	N/A	11.5
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Homeless	0	0.0	N/A	0.3
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	4	6.9	N/A	13.0

<sup>\*100.0 %</sup> of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

<sup>\*</sup>To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

#### SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity					
Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent			
American Indian	0	0.0			
Asian American	1	0.6			
Black	47	28.5			
Hispanic	72	43.6			
Pacific Islander	0	0.0			
White	36	21.8			
Two or more races	9	5.5			
Total Minority	129	78.2			

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 5.0%

#### Non-English Home Language:

6.7% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 3.

#### EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

As a charter school, COMMON GROUND works to be part of the solution to racial, ethnic, and economic isolation in Connecticut. Given the inter-district nature of our program, students interact with peers coming from a variety of circumstances (approximately 30% of our students live out-of-district). Our students are provided with meaningful experiences with diverse populations through venues such as Green Jobs Corps, our youth employment program, environmental community programs sponsored by our parent organization, The New Haven Ecology Project, Inc., and a multitude of community-based youth programs, such as Evolutions at the Peabody Museum, the CT Envirothon, and Elm Shakespeare. Our students also encounter diversity through university and college-based partnerships, junior job shadows, and senior projects, which require off-site interviews and service. Internally, the school program encourages these connections and fosters close and positive interaction among students of all backgrounds through school programs such as Mix It Up, Peer Mentoring, Adventure Club, and electronic academic exchanges with students from other countries. The lack of state funds to provide transportation to students outside of the city remains a significant obstacle in our quest for a more diverse student body. We are hopeful, however, that an \$8,000,000 campaign to construct a new building with state-of-the-art science and art classrooms and a multi-purpose space, and a planned renovation of the existing plant will enhance our diversification efforts.

### STUDENT PERFORMANCE

**Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Goal.** The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Strade 5   Reading   N/A   N/A   N/A   N/A   In the district at the time of testing, regardless of the len of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the len of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the len of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the len of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the len of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the len of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the len of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the len of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the len of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the len of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the len of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the len of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the len of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the len of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the len of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the len of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of time they were enrolled in the district at the time of time they were enrolled in the district at the ti	Grade ar Area	nd CMT Subject	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal	These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable
Writing N/A N/A N/A time of testing, regardless of the len of time they were enrolled in the distri Results for fewer the 20 students are not presented.  Writing N/A	Grade 3	Reading	N/A	N/A	N/A	tests who were enrolled
Mathematics N/A N/A N/A N/A of time they were enrolled in the district writing N/A		Writing	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A Results for fewer that 20 students are not presented.  Writing N/A		Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	regardless of the length
Writing N/A	Grade 4	Reading	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 5 Reading N/A N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A  Science N/A N/A N/A N/A  Grade 6 Reading N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Grade 7 Reading N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A  Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A N/A		Writing	N/A	N/A	N/A	Results for fewer than
Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A  Science N/A N/A N/A N/A  Grade 6 Reading N/A N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A  Grade 7 Reading N/A N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A  Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A N/A  Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A  Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A N/A		Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics N/A N/A N/A N/A  Science N/A N/A N/A N/A  Grade 6 Reading N/A N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A  Grade 7 Reading N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A N/A	Grade 5	Reading	N/A	N/A	N/A	presented.
Science N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Science N/A		Writing	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Science N/A N/A N/A results, go to www.ctreports.  Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A N/A  Grade 7 Reading N/A N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A  Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A  Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A N/A		Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 6 Reading N/A N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A  Grade 7 Reading N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A  Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A  Mriting N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A		Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics N/A N/A N/A  Grade 7 Reading N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A  Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A  Writing N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A  Moreover N/A N/A N/A N/A  Moreover N/A N/A N/A N/A  Moreover N/A	Grade 6	Reading	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 7 Reading  N/A  Writing  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child I Behind."  Mathematics  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/		Writing	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Writing N/A N/A N/A Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child I Behind."  Writing N/A		Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics N/A N/A N/A school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child I Writing N/A	Grade 7	Reading	N/A	N/A	N/A	TO See the Tropp
Mathematics N/A N/A N/A www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child I Writing N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Mathematics N/A		Writing	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 8 Reading N/A N/A N/A click on "No Child I Writing N/A		Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	www.sde.ct.gov and
Writing N/A N/A N/A  Mathematics N/A N/A N/A	Grade 8	Reading	N/A	N/A	N/A	click on "No Child Left
		Writing	N/A	N/A	N/A	Behind."
Science N/A N/A N/A		Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	7
11/11 11/11		Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	7

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scorable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	25.6	47.5	12.0
Writing Across the Disciplines	36.6	63.0	10.4
Mathematics	10.0	49.2	2.3
Science	24.4	47.1	13.4

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

**Physical Fitness.** The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All Four Tests	District		% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
	3.3	50.6	1.0

SAT® I: Reasoning Test Class of 2011		District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Scores
% of Graduates Tes	ted	62.9	77.3	
Average Score	Mathematics	400	505	4.6
	Critical Reading	410	502	4.6
	Writing	415	506	6.1

**SAT® I.** The lowest possible score on each SAT® I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Adjusted Cohort Rate 2011	86.8	82.7	40.6
2010-11 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	0.0	2.6	100.0

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	82.9	84.5
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	11.4	9.7

# RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

## **DISTRICT STAFF**

Full-Time Equivalent Count of School Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	14.15
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	1.00
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	1.45
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	0.00
Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants	0.00
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs District Central Office School Level	0.00 1.00
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	0.00
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	0.50
School Nurses	0.20
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	9.10

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	6.0	N/A	13.9
% with Master's Degree or Above	55.6	N/A	79.6

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 2	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A
High School	21.2	N/A	19.6

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	N/A	N/A	N/A
Middle School	N/A	N/A	N/A
High School	1,051	N/A	1,024

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be
offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten, and
450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Middle School	N/A	N/A	N/A
High School	1.0	N/A	2.1

<sup>\*</sup>Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

# **DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2010-11**

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures All figures are unaudited.	Total (in 1000s)	Expenditures Per Pupil			
		District	PK-12 Districts	DRG	State
Instructional Staff and Services	\$1,018	\$6,245	\$8,576	N/A	\$8,469
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$152	\$933	\$272	N/A	\$271
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$196	\$1,204	\$416	N/A	\$482
Student Support Services	\$86	\$528	\$835	N/A	\$901
Administration and Support Services	\$490	\$3,008	\$1,911	N/A	\$1,490
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$164	\$1,005	\$1,623	N/A	\$1,463
Transportation	\$9	N/A	\$803	N/A	\$724
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$187	\$1,150	\$358	N/A	\$165
Total	\$2,303	\$14,129	\$15,444	N/A	\$14,140
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$77	\$471	\$1,717	N/A	\$1,331

Special Education Expenditures	District Total	Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education		
		District	DRG	State
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source.** Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	2.3	64.7	15.9	17.1
Excluding School Construction	2.3	63.6	16.4	17.7

## EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

Common Ground is the only school in the district.

## **SPECIAL EDUCATION**

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible N/A
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities N/A

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities					
Disability	Count	District Percent	DRG Percent	State Percent	
Autism	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Learning Disability	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Intellectual Disability	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Emotional Disturbance	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Speech Impairment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Other Health Impairment*	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Other Disabilities**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>\*</sup>Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

<sup>\*\*</sup>Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2010-11 with a Standard Diploma	75.0	62.4
2010-11 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	0.0	5.1

#### STATE ASSESSMENTS

**Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal.** The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students with	Students with Disabilities		udents
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Writing	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	25.6	47.5
	Writing Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	36.6	63.0
	Mathematics	N/A	N/A	10.0	49.2
	Science	N/A	N/A	24.4	47.1

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to <a href="www.ctreports.com">www.ctreports.com</a>. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to <a href="www.sde.ct.gov">www.sde.ct.gov</a> and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools				
CMT	% Without Accommodations	N/A		
	% With Accommodations	N/A		
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	N/A		
	% With Accommodations	N/A		
% Assessed U	0.0			

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with non-disabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District's Schools				
Placement	Count	Percent		
Public Schools in Other Districts	N/A	N/A		
Private Schools or Other Settings	N/A	N/A		

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by
the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers

Time Spent with Non-Disabled Peers	Count of Students	Percent of Students		dents
		District	DRG	State
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

#### SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

During its fourteenth year, Common Ground continued to revise and enhance its program. School improvement goals concentrated on increasing rigor across the curriculum, providing targeted support to students not mastering learning objectives, and expanding our Environmental Leadership Initiative. Three more clearly articulated levels of courses: Earth, Air, and Water were developed to promote rigor. More like college prerequisites than traditional tracking, these levels of courses offer a clearer progression for students taking on more and more demanding course loads. Advanced Placement offerings were expanded to include AP Calculus and AP Language & Composition, and overall enrollment in AP/honors level classes increased. Finally, Common Ground sustained and grew its commitment to college success. More than 30 students took on classes for credit at Gateway Community College, Yale University, and Southern Connecticut State University. Common Ground also redoubled its efforts to support all students in achieving success in increasingly rigorous classes, initiating a commitment to match every student with individualized academic support during the school day. EASE time - Extended Academic Support and Enrichment – engaged every student in small support groups, meeting twice per week in 7-8 week sessions focused on specific concepts and skills for targeted support. Common Ground continued to utilize the Reader/Writer workshop model developed at Columbia University, including a second-year course in advanced reading strategies to support reading comprehension. Core literacy and numeracy skills programs for students with special needs or skill deficiencies were provided through classes EASE sessions and/or after school academic enrichment. The mathematics curriculum emphasized deeper conceptual focus to build understanding through applying skills to solving challenging real-world problems. And finally weekly writing assignments, assessed with a school-wide writing rubric, were required in all classes, including math classes. As part of its 3-year Environmental Leadership strategic plan, Common Ground defined 21st Century Learning Expectations and new, more demanding graduation requirements. To graduate, students must now accumulate a portfolio of work from both in and outside of the classroom and defend the portfolio before a panel their senior year. Parents were involved in school improvement planning in two formats: a parent team met twice per trimester and informed a parent representative to the board of directors, and parent workshops yielded parent input on school improvement, parent needs, and communication.