35-00 Rev. 11-6

STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2008-09

Darien School District

DONALD P. FIFTAL, Superintendent Location: 2 Renshaw Road

Telephone: (203) 656-7412 Darien,
Connecticut

Website: www.darienps.org

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at www.sde.ct.gov.

COMMUNITY DATA

County: Fairfield Per Capita Income in 2000: \$77,519

Town Population in 2000: 19,607 Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000*: 5.1% 1990-2000 Population Growth: 7.8% Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000*: 0.9% Number of Public Schools: 7 District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 84.3%

District Reference Group (DRG): A DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Enrollment on October 1, 2008 4,721 Grade Range PK-12 5-Year Enrollment Change 10.4%

INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in		Percent	
	District	District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	79	1.7	1.1	30.3
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	15	0.3	0.6	5.2
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	334	7.1	5.8	4.0
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	524	11.1	10.2	11.4
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	342	94.2	95.8	79.7
Homeless	0	0.0	0.0	0.2
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	63	10.8	9.2	19.0

^{*100.0%} of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

^{*}To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity				
Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent		
American Indian	13	0.3		
Asian American	122	2.6		
Black	41	0.9		
Hispanic	94	2.0		
White	4,451	94.3		
Total Minority	270	5.7		

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 2.0%

Non-English Home Language: 3.2% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 21.

EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

In an effort to increase interactions among students with varying backgrounds, our district took part in these interdistrict programs: The Center for Global Study Inter-district Magnet Program in Norwalk; the Regional Center for the Arts Magnet hosted at CES in Trumbull; The Westhill Vocational Agriculture Program in Stamford; the Stamford Academy of Inf Tech & Eng; the Western Region CMEA HS Music Festival; FCIAC HS competitions; CSDE Interdistrict Music Composition Program. We also participated in the A Better Chance Program; Respect for Differences, Kids Giving Back: Building with Books; UNICEF; Save the Children; Person-to-Person; Diversity Day (DIVE Day), Anti-Defamation League: NAMES Program, & the China Youth Exchange Project. Darien attracts many international families. Students with dominant languages other than American English include Danish, Japanese, Spanish, Chinese, Korean, Sudanese, Norwegian, British, Urdu, Farsi, French, German, Italian, Burmese, Greek, Latvian, Portuguese, Albanian, Dutch, Persian & Russian. Students from NYC were in residence in Darien through the ABC (A Better Chance Program). Students & a Darien teacher volunteered as tutors in a program operated in the Stamford schools. We were involved in the Fresh Air program. A formal intercultural exchange has continued with a high school in Shanghai, China & in Qingdao, China. At the middle school, there has been collaboration on a project to link students in Darien, CT with students in Darien, Panama. At the elementary level, we have participated in Person-to-Person, & students sustain an internet project with students from a New Mexico Indian Reservation. Student & teacher participation in interscholastic athletics, music & theater activities remain high, so through these we maintain student-to-student interaction with urban & suburban schools in the region. The district is encouraged with the results of these programs over the 1998-2009 time spans. It is continuing to develop each of these activities both in scope & participation. We are looking for other opportunities to collaborate with our urban and suburban neighbors, & anticipate additional program participation next school year.

STUDENT PERFORMANCE

Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade and CMT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Grade 3 Reading	78.7	54.6	90.6
Writing	85.3	62.5	92.5
Mathematics	80.7	62.8	79.9
Grade 4 Reading	88.3	60.7	96.9
Writing	87.6	64.2	97.6
Mathematics	84.7	63.6	86.0
Grade 5 Reading	89.6	66.0	95.7
Writing	91.0	66.5	97.5
Mathematics	88.4	68.8	90.7
Science	88.7	58.1	98.8
Grade 6 Reading	93.5	68.9	96.9
Writing	90.6	62.2	100.0
Mathematics	93.8	68.8	94.5
Grade 7 Reading	94.0	74.9	91.7
Writing	90.9	62.9	98.7
Mathematics	89.6	66.0	92.4
Grade 8 Reading	92.1	68.4	95.5
Writing	95.2	66.5	99.4
Mathematics	93.8	64.5	98.1
Science	87	60.6	89.7

These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the district. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

For more detailed CMT results, go to www.ctreports.

To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to <u>www.sde.ct.gov</u> and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	84.4	47.4	98.5
Writing Across the Disciplines	90.3	55.0	99.2
Mathematics	85.4	47.8	97.7
Science	73.6	42.8	95.4

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Physical Fitness. The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
Four Tests	52.3	36.2	88.9

SAT® I: Reasonin Class of 2008	ng Test	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or	
% of Graduates Te	ested	100.0	74.5	Lower Scores	
Average Score	Mathematics	588	507	96.9	
	Critical Reading	573	503	96.1	
	Writing	582	506	96.9	

SAT[®] **I.** The lowest possible score on each SAT[®] I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Class of 2008	98.8	92.1	84.7
Cumulative Four-Year Dropout Rate for Class of 2008	1.1	6.6	82.5
2007-08 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	0.3	2.5	87.6

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	97.1	84.1
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	0.4	11.0

RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

DISTRICT STAFF

Full-Time Equivalent Count of District Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	329.90
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	33.90
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	56.60
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	58.25
Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants	18.86
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.50
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	7.20
School Level	21.29
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	12.38
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	24.00
School Nurses	11.00
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	170.67

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	12.1	12.9	13.6
% with Master's Degree or Above	87.7	86.3	76.1

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	19.2	18.6	18.3
Grade 2	21.6	20.0	19.3
Grade 5	22.9	21.4	21.0
Grade 7	21.3	21.1	20.5
High School	18.1	19.7	19.3

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	999	996	988
Middle School	982	1,006	1,016
High School	1,008	988	1,007

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be
offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten,
and 450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	3.8	2.9	3.3
Middle School	3.8	2.6	2.6
High School	1.9	2.2	2.4

^{*}Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2007-08

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures	Total	Expenditures Per Pupil			
All figures are unaudited.	(in 1000s)	District	PK-12	DRG	State
			Districts		
Instructional Staff and Services	\$41,419	\$8,902	\$7,521	\$8,787	\$7,522
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$1,298	\$279	\$267	\$237	\$271
Improvement of Instruction and	\$2,333	\$501	\$461	\$660	\$446
Educational Media Services					
Student Support Services	\$2,170	\$466	\$808	\$881	\$806
Administration and Support Services	\$6,311	\$1,356	\$1,351	\$1,503	\$1,369
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$6,938	\$1,491	\$1,382	\$1,796	\$1,377
Transportation	\$2,878	\$559	\$649	\$714	\$644
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	\$1,274	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$1,530	\$329	\$152	\$168	\$151
Total	\$66,152	\$14,147	\$12,869	\$15,251	\$12,805
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$9,999	\$2,149	\$1,791	\$1,884	\$1,759

Special Education	District Total	Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education				
Expenditures		District	DRG	State		
	\$14472379	21.9	19.1	20.5		

Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source. Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	91.9	7.0	0.9	0.2
Excluding School Construction	92.4	6.3	1.1	0.2

EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

By virtue of its policies and practices, The Darien Board of Education recognizes the need to provide equal education opportunity and access to resources at all of its schools, but especially among its five elementary schools. The annual budget, developed in part on the basis of per capita formulas, ensures that adequate resources are available to all programs and students K-12. Even in the area of PTO contributions, guidelines have been established to ensure that all schools have substantially similar access to enrichment funds. The school district adheres to a general class size policy and identifies purchasing priorities each year to be certain that resources are allocated to specific programs, schools, projects and human resources. District initiatives are identified through system-wide planning and curriculum evaluation processes. These initiatives are discussed publicly throughout each year's budget process. In addition, assessments are conducted each year to determine the needs of individual school buildings.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible	517
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities	11.0%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities						
Disability	Count	District Percent	DRG Percent	State Percent		
Autism	40	0.9	0.9	0.8		
Learning Disability	173	3.7	3.9	3.9		
Intellectual Disability	6	0.1	0.2	0.5		
Emotional Disturbance	10	0.2	0.4	1.0		
Speech Impairment	147	3.1	2.3	2.3		
Other Health Impairment*	108	2.3	1.9	2.1		
Other Disabilities**	33	0.7	0.6	0.9		
Total	517	11.0	10.1	11.6		

^{*}Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

^{**}Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2007-08 with a Standard Diploma	97.0	81.4
2007-08 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	N/A	3.5

STATE ASSESSMENTS

Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students wit	Students with Disabilities		udents
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	56.8	30.2	89.3	65.7
	Writing	60.3	19.5	90.0	64.1
	Mathematics	57.6	30.7	88.4	65.7
	Science	60.4	23.8	87.9	59.4
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	40.0	14.1	84.4	47.4
	Writing Across the Disciplines	40.7	13.6	90.3	55.0
	Mathematics	34.6	15.4	85.4	47.8
	Science	14.8	10.6	73.6	42.8

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools					
CMT	% Without Accommodations	18.3			
	% With Accommodations	81.7			
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	0.0			
	% With Accommodations 100.0				
% Asse	ssed Using Skills Checklist	4.7			

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with nondisabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

Settings Other Than This District's Schools				
Placement	Count	Percent		
Public Schools in Other Districts	0	0.0		
Private Schools or Other Settings	17	3.3		

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers					
Time Spent with Non-Disabled Count of Percent of Students					
Peers	Students	District	DRG	State	
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	404	78.1	77.9	72.7	
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	82	15.9	15.4	16.1	
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	31	6.0	6.6	11.2	

SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

Among our examples of student academic progress is performance on the CMT's. By grade 8, the percent of students scoring at or above the State goal in math has grown from 84% in 1998 to 94% in 2009. The percent of 8th graders scoring at or above the State goal in reading has grown from 79% in 1998 to 92% in 2009. In writing the percent of 8th graders scoring at or above the State goal has grown from 80% in 1998 to 95% in 2009. Similarly on the 10th grade CAPT test, the percentage of students scoring at or above goal between 1999 and 2009 has increased as follows: in math from 72% to 85%; in science from 67% to 74%; in reading from 53% to 84%; and in writing from 73% to 90%.

Another such measure of sustained academic growth in the school district has been student performance on the SAT's. In mathematics, Darien's average SAT math score was 559 in 1998. It was 618 in 2009. On the verbal SAT the average went from 555 in 1998 to 588 in 2009. Finally, in the area of Advanced Placement Testing, 93% of our students who took AP tests earned a score of 3 or higher. Also, during the past 5 years, students scoring 5 on the AP exams have increased from 37% to 43%. Participation rates have grown by 65% in the past five years.