244-00 Rev. 11-6

STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2008-09

Area Cooperative Educational Services

CRAIG W. EDMONDSON, Superintendent Location: 350 State Street Telephone: (203) 498-6800 North Haven,

North Haven, Connecticut

Website: www.aces.k12.ct.us

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at www.sde.ct.gov.

COMMUNITY DATA

County: New Haven Per Capita Income in 2000: N/A

Town Population in 2000: N/A
1990-2000 Population Growth: N/A
Number of Public Schools: 3

Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000*: N/A
Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000*: N/A
District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: N/A

District Reference Group (DRG): N/A

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

K-12

Enrollment on October 1, 2008 2,098 Grade Range

5-Year Enrollment Change 3.1%

INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in	Percent		
	District	District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	1,069	51.0	N/A	30.3
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	46	2.2	N/A	5.2
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented	0	0.0	N/A	4.0
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	741	35.3	N/A	11.4
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	53	88.3	N/A	79.7
Homeless	0	0.0	N/A	0.2
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	3	11.5	N/A	19.0

^{*}To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity				
Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent		
American Indian	7	0.3		
Asian American	78	3.7		
Black	613	29.2		
Hispanic	523	24.9		
White	877	41.8		
Total Minority	1,221	58.2		

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 8.1%

Non-English Home Language: 3.5% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 12.

EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

As a Regional Educational Service Center, Area Cooperative Education Services (ACES) works together with our twenty-five member school districts to reduce academic and social issues stemming from racial, ethnic, and economic isolation. To successfully achieve our mission, ACES operates four interdistrict magnet schools enrolling over two thousand students, coordinates several interdistrict collaborative programs, and facilitates the Open Choice program for our member districts.

ACES interdistrict magnet schools are designed to attract students across many school districts increasing educational opportunities for students of diverse backgrounds to learn along side one another. Each school reinforces its mission of diversity while delivering a high quality curriculum with unique academic focus. Our magnet schools offer the very latest in educational technology with two of our schools offering their students a one2one laptop experience. We have also served as laboratory schools for our state universities. Our magnet schools have also had the opportunity to participate along with other school districts in the interdistrict grant partnerships. Here, our schools work along with fellow schools from other districts. We have co-partnered for technology, math, and language arts enrichment.

ACES has been instrumental in Minority Teacher Recruitment (MTR) efforts in Connecticut. The MTR Advisory Council has developed and implemented strategies to increase the number of minority educators, including our highly successful annual MTR Job Fair. As a member of the RESC MTR Alliance, ACES played a key role in securing funding from the State Legislature to enhance MTR efforts statewide through a marketing campaign that focuses on the Future Teacher's Pipeline, The Alternate Route to Certification and Teacher Preparation Opportunities. We also partnered with organizations, colleges & universities to promote teaching as a profession to middle, high school and college students.

We also have a strong Diversity Committee comprised of all employed stakeholders from our agency which meets on a regular basis. This committee has helped to enhance the collaboration of our magnet schools' participation in all district diversity activities and district-wide professional development opportunities which focused on celebrating diversity.

STUDENT PERFORMANCE

Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade and CMT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Grade 3 Reading	48.4	54.6	25.2
Writing	60.0	62.5	32.1
Mathematics	56.2	62.8	23.9
Grade 4 Reading	60.6	60.7	33.1
Writing	78.1	64.2	72.1
Mathematics	66.2	63.6	39.6
Grade 5 Reading	55.2	66.0	17.4
Writing	63.4	66.5	28.4
Mathematics	45.6	68.8	8.0
Science	42.3	58.1	12.3
Grade 6 Reading	56.8	68.9	14.1
Writing	50.3	62.2	19.0
Mathematics	49.7	68.8	11.7
Grade 7 Reading	68.0	74.9	21.7
Writing	52.1	62.9	17.2
Mathematics	53.4	66.0	15.9
Grade 8 Reading	57.0	68.4	19.4
Writing	48.6	66.5	12.3
Mathematics	45.7	64.5	12.9
Science	40.1	60.6	12.3

These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the district. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

For more detailed CMT results, go to www.ctreports.

To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to <u>www.sde.ct.gov</u> and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	N/A
Writing Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Physical Fitness. The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
Four Tests	22.6	36.2	12.6

SAT® I: Reasonir Class of 2008	ng Test	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or
% of Graduates Te	ested	20.0	74.5	Lower Scores
Average Score	Mathematics	405	507	7.0
	Critical Reading	505	503	47.3
	Writing	420	506	7.8

SAT[®] **I.** The lowest possible score on each SAT[®] I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Class of 2008	83.3	92.1	9.9
Cumulative Four-Year Dropout Rate for Class of 2008	7.7	6.6	22.6
2007-08 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	2.3	2.5	22.6

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	90.0	84.1
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	10.0	11.0

RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

DISTRICT STAFF

Full-Time Equivalent Count of District Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	136.00
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	30.05
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	88.30
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	196.90
Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants	3.00
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	9.00
School Level	14.00
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	2.25
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	26.00
School Nurses	10.00
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	140.75

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	12.2	N/A	13.6
% with Master's Degree or Above	80.8	N/A	76.1

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	19.7	N/A	18.3
Grade 2	22.3	N/A	19.3
Grade 5	24.0	N/A	21.0
Grade 7	19.9	N/A	20.5
High School	12.5	N/A	19.3

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	1,140	N/A	988
Middle School	1,019	N/A	1,016
High School	990	N/A	1,007

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be
offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten,
and 450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	2.9	N/A	3.3
Middle School	0.9	N/A	2.6
High School	3.1	N/A	2.4

^{*}Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2007-08

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures	Total	Expenditures Per Pupil			
All figures are unaudited.	(in 1000s)	District	Districts	DRG	State
Instructional Staff and Services	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Student Support Services	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Administration and Support Services	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Plant Operation and Maintenance	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Transportation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Special Education	District Total	Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education				
Expenditures		District	DRG	State		
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source. Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Excluding School Construction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

Area Cooperative Educational Services is the Regional Educational Service center for twenty-five school districts in south central Connecticut. Each local school district appoints a representative to the ACES Governing Board of Education. Our Board works collectively to set a strategic direction for our organization based on the educational needs of the region and our own school system. ACES operates schools and programs based on these needs and the Governing Board ensures the equitable allocation of resources among the programs. Each of our Interdistrict magnet schools has a steering committee which monitors and approves resource allocations in their respective program.

Local funding, State of Connecticut magnet school support, and grant resources are utilized to achieve equity among our programs. Building level principals and directors meet monthly with ACES Central Administration to discuss issues relating to available resources in meeting their academic goals. This process also assists as the district and agency plan for budget development. The budget process includes each school's steering committee, the building administrator(s), and ACES Central Administration.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible	N/A
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities	N/A

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities					
Disability Count District Percent DRG Percent State P					
Autism	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Learning Disability	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Intellectual Disability	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Emotional Disturbance	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Speech Impairment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Other Health Impairment*	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Other Disabilities**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

^{*}Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

^{**}Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2007-08 with a Standard Diploma	N/A	N/A
2007-08 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	N/A	N/A

STATE ASSESSMENTS

Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students with Disabilities		All Students	
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	25.4	30.2	59.7	65.7
	Writing	10.3	19.5	53.4	64.1
	Mathematics	23.8	30.7	50.8	65.7
	Science	7.9	23.8	40.4	59.4
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Writing Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools					
CMT % Without Accommodations 42.6					
	% With Accommodations	57.4			
CAPT	CAPT % Without Accommodations				
	% With Accommodations 60.3				
% Asse	ssed Using Skills Checklist	0.2			

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with nondisabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District's Schools				
Placement	Count	Percent		
Public Schools in Other Districts	N/A	N/A		
Private Schools or Other Settings	N/A	N/A		

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers					
Time Spent with Non-Disabled Count of Percent of Students					
Peers	Students	District	DRG	State	
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

The Area Cooperative Educational Services (ACES) mission is to empower our students to meet educational and life challenges in the changing global environment. Programs and schools are focused on improving services for students with disabilities. Students practice independence and self advocacy in an environment that is familiar and predictable. Committees from across the district worked to aligned the health, mathematics and technology curriculum to state standards. Whitney High School North continued with the Literature to Life experiences. Culminating activities afforded students the opportunity to see a book or script, such as The Old Man in the Sea, brought to life on the theater stage. By creating collaborative opportunities with the local farmers market, Whitney High School North also expanded their vocational program. Grants continued to support the development of social skills at ACES by building upon an afterschool program called the Connecticut Tigers. The Mill Road School received a \$70,000 grant to support Early Literacy for K-3 students. This grant provided professional development and curriculum purchases. Mill Road students also traveled over nine thousand (9,000) miles in a literacy journey called Read Across America. To further develop the coping and relaxation skills of students presenting with emotional challenges, Yoga was also introduced at Mill Road School. The Whitney High School East/West grant funded Gardening for Life program continues along with the partnership with Lyman Hall students. Produce grown by the students on a local community plot was sold at a farmers market and portions were donated to a local soup kitchen. Whitney High School East/West remains very active with the local 4H Club and Boy Scouts and is engaged with various community activities through these groups. Grants from the Workforce Alliance supported increased vocational experiences. A national presenter for Sibshops provided training to the Village School families and families within the ACES region. Sibshops, which reflect a belief that brothers and sisters have much to offer one another and provides training to families and schools, were held at Village School. Parent training around literacy and Family Fun Nights were held at several school locations. The Village School hosted a Meet the Author Night. At this event, authors Gina Gallagher and Patricia Kojoian shared their experiences with raising children special needs. Village was able to implement assisted pet therapy activities that built upon literacy and social skills development. These events promote the well-being of students and family members and support student achievement.

Collaborative programs support the integration of special needs students with typical peers in their home school. The high school programs in East Haven and West Haven focus on students with Intellectual Disabilities. Expanded opportunities for integration with typical peers continue to take place at ACES Wintergreen Interdistrict Magnet School, Whitney High East and West and Village School.

ACES Strategic Plan process focused on the development and implementation of plans to effectively use assessment data to improve instruction and identify appropriate interventions to improve student achievement. The Guiding Coalition supported agency professional development on common formative assessments and data driven decision making. The SRBI Committee was active in the development of procedures for identification of students in need of intervention as it relates to the tier process recommended by the Connecticut SDE.