Connecticut State Department of Education

DISTRICT PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2017–18



Chester School District

Dr. Ruth Levy, Superintendent • 860-526-2417 • http://www.reg4.k12.ct.us

District Information

| Grade Range | PK-6 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Number of Schools/Programs | 1 |
| Enrollment | 205 |
| Per Pupil Expenditures ¹ | \$20,662 |
| Total Expenditures ¹ | \$4,442,245 |

¹Expenditure data reflect the 2016-17 year.



Community Information

<u>CERC Town Profiles</u> provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

Contents

| Students1 | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Educators2 | |
| Instruction and Resources | |
| Performance and Accountability 4 | |
| Narratives 6 | |

Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2017-18 and include all grades offered by the district.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit edsight.ct.gov.

State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.

Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

suppressed to ensure student confidentiality. N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been

Students

| October 1, 2017 Enrollment | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| | | District | State | | |
| | Count | Percent of Total (%) | Percent of Total (%) | | |
| Female | 97 | 47.3 | 48.4 | | |
| Male | 108 | 52.7 | 51.6 | | |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | | |
| Asian | * | * | 5.1 | | |
| Black or African American | * | * | 12.8 | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 9 | 4.4 | 24.8 | | |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | | |
| Two or More Races | 9 | 4.4 | 3.3 | | |
| White | 183 | 89.3 | 53.6 | | |
| English Learners | * | * | 7.2 | | |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 31 | 15.1 | 36.7 | | |
| Students with Disabilities ¹ | 30 | 14.6 | 14.8 | | |

¹Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

| | Chronic | | Suspe | ension/ |
|--|--------------------------|----------|-------|----------|
| | Absenteeism ² | | Expu | ılsion³ |
| | Count | Rate (%) | Count | Rate (%) |
| Female | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Male | * | * | * | * |
| Black or African American | 0 | * | 0 | * |
| Hispanic or Latino | 0 | * | 0 | * |
| White | * | * | * | * |
| English Learners | 0 | * | 0 | * |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | * | * | * | * |
| Students with Disabilities | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| District | * | * | * | * |
| State | | 10.7 | | 6.8 |

Number of students in 2016-17 qualified as truant under state statute: Fewer than 6 Number of school-based arrests: 0

²A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

³The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

Educators

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)¹ Staff

| | FTE |
|--|------|
| General Education | |
| Teachers and Instructors | 19.5 |
| Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants | 1.9 |
| Special Education | |
| Teachers and Instructors | 4.1 |
| Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants | 6.3 |
| Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs | |
| District Central Office | 1.0 |
| School Level | 1.0 |
| Library/Media | |
| Specialists (Certified) | 0.5 |
| Support Staff | 0.4 |
| Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers | 1.9 |
| Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists | 1.5 |
| School Nurses | 0.9 |
| Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support | 7.5 |

¹In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

Educators by Race/Ethnicity

| | | District | State |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Count | Percent of Total (%) | Percent of Total (%) |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Asian | 0 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| Black or African American | 0 | 0.0 | 3.7 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 1 | 2.6 | 3.7 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Two or More Races | 0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| White | 38 | 97.4 | 91.0 |

Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2016-17

| | District | State |
|---|----------|-------|
| Average Number of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time | 7.1 | 10.5 |

Instruction and Resources

Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers³

| | Count | Rate (%) |
|----------------------------|-------|----------|
| Autism | * | * |
| Emotional Disturbance | N/A | N/A |
| Intellectual Disability | N/A | N/A |
| Learning Disability | 13 | * |
| Other Health Impairment | * | * |
| Other Disabilities | * | * |
| Speech/Language Impairment | * | * |
| District | 28 | 100.0 |
| State | | 68.6 |

³Ages 6-21

Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability¹

| | Dis | State | |
|-------------------------------|-------|----------|----------|
| | Count | Rate (%) | Rate (%) |
| Autism | * | * | 1.8 |
| Emotional Disturbance | 0 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| Intellectual Disability | 0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Learning Disability | 13 | 6.4 | 5.2 |
| Other Health Impairment | * | * | 3.1 |
| Other Disabilities | * | * | 1.1 |
| Speech/Language Impairment | 6 | 2.9 | 1.8 |
| All Disabilities | 29 | 14.2 | 14.5 |

Students with Disabilities Placed Outside of the District²

| | District | | State |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|-------|
| | Count | Rate (%) | |
| Public Schools in Other Districts | 0 | 0.0 | 8.3 |
| Private Schools or Other Settings | 0 | 0.0 | 5.2 |

²Grades K-12

Overall Expenditures: 2016-17

| | | Per Pupil | |
|---|----------------|---------------|------------|
| | Total (\$) | District (\$) | State (\$) |
| Instructional Staff and Services | 2,265,053 | 10,995 | 9,847 |
| Instructional Supplies and Equipment | 86,717 | 421 | 287 |
| Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services | 45,562 | 221 | 589 |
| Student Support Services | 691,236 | 3,356 | 1,120 |
| Administration and Support Services | 635,498 | 3,085 | 1,905 |
| Plant Operation and Maintenance | 496,392 | 2,410 | 1,648 |
| Transportation | 146,527 | 315 | 904 |
| Costs of Students Tuitioned Out | 11,574 | N/A | N/A |
| Other | 63,686 | 309 | 208 |
| Total | 4,442,245 | 20,662 | 16,535 |
| Additiona | l Expenditures | | |
| Land, Buildings, and Debt Service | 0 | 0 | 1,393 |

³Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

Special Education Expenditures: 2016-17

| | Dist | State | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| | Total (\$) Percent of Total (%) | | Percent of Total (%) |
| Certified Personnel | 468,616 | 45.1 | 33.8 |
| Noncertified Personnel | 265,589 | 25.6 | 14.5 |
| Purchased Services | 44,369 | 4.3 | 5.5 |
| Tuition to Other Schools | 11,574 | 1.1 | 23.4 |
| Special Ed. Transportation | 40,586 | 3.9 | 8.7 |
| Other Expenditures | 208,533 | 20.1 | 14.1 |
| Total Expenditures | 1,039,267 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Expenditures by Revenue Source:⁴ 2016-17

| | Percent of Total (%) | | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|--------------|--|--|
| | Including Excluding | | | |
| | School | School | | |
| | Construction | Construction | | |
| Local | 90.2 | 90.2 | | |
| State | 7.4 | 7.4 | | |
| Federal | 2.4 | 2.4 | | |
| Tuition & Other | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |

⁴Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction).

¹Grades K-12

Performance and Accountability

District Performance Index (DPI)

A District Performance Index (DPI) is the average performance of students in a subject area (i.e., ELA, Mathematics or Science) on the state summative assessments. The DPI ranges from 0-100. A DPI is reported for all students tested in a district and for students in each individual student group. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 75. The USDOE approved Connecticut's waiver request related to statewide student assessment in science. As a result, in spring 2018, the Connecticut State Department of Education administered a NGSS Assessment Field Test for both science standard and alternate assessments. Therefore, no science data appear in the table below.

| | English Langua | ge Arts(ELA) | Math | |
|--|----------------|--------------|-------|------|
| | Count | DPI | Count | DPI |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A |
| Asian | * | * | * | * |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | * |
| Hispanic or Latino | * | * | * | * |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A |
| Two or More Races | 6 | * | 6 | * |
| White | 97 | 83.6 | 97 | 78.6 |
| English Learners | * | * | * | * |
| Non-English Learners | 108 | 83.4 | 108 | 78.4 |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 13 | * | 13 | * |
| Not Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 97 | 84.0 | 97 | 79.1 |
| Students with Disabilities | 22 | 67.2 | 22 | 60.8 |
| Students without Disabilities | 88 | 87.0 | 88 | 82.3 |
| High Needs | 35 | 70.4 | 35 | 64.1 |
| Non-High Needs | 75 | 88.9 | 75 | 84.5 |
| District | 110 | 83.0 | 110 | 78.0 |

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient¹

| | NAEP | NAEP 2013 | |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|----------|
| READING | Grade 4 Grade 8 | | Grade 12 |
| Connecticut | 43% | 44% | 50% |
| National Public | 35% | 35% | 36% |
| MATH | Grade 4 | Grade 8 | Grade 12 |
| Connecticut | 40% | 36% | 32% |
| National Public | 40% | 33% | 25% |

¹NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across Smarter Balanced and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement other state assessment data. To view student subgroup performance on NAEP, click here.

Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard²

| | Percent of Students by Grade ³ (%) | | | | All Tested Grades | |
|----------------------|---|------|------|------|-------------------|----------|
| | 4 | 6 | 8 | HS | Count | Rate (%) |
| Sit & Reach | 57.1 | 69.0 | N/A | N/A | 57 | 63.2 |
| Curl Up | 71.4 | 93.1 | N/A | N/A | 57 | 82.5 |
| Push Up | 42.9 | 55.2 | N/A | N/A | 57 | 49.1 |
| Mile Run/PACER | 71.4 | 75.9 | N/A | N/A | 57 | 73.7 |
| All Tests - District | 28.6 | 44.8 | N/A | N/A | 57 | 36.8 |
| All Tests - State | 53.2 | 51.4 | 50.5 | 45.6 | | 50.1 |

²The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

³Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

Next Generation Accountability Results

Connecticut's Next Generation Accountability System is a broad set of 12 indicators that help tell the story of how well a district/school is preparing its students for success in college, careers, and life. It moves beyond test scores and graduation rates to provide a more holistic, multifactor perspective of district and school performance.

The USDOE approved Connecticut's waiver request related to statewide student assessment in science. As a result, in spring 2018, the Connecticut State Department of Education administered a NGSS Assessment Field Test for both science standard and alternate assessments. Therefore, no science data appear in the table below.

| Indicator | | Index/Rate | Target | Points Earned | Max Points | % Points Earned | State Average Index/Rate |
|---|---------------------|----------------|--------|------------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| ELA Performance Index | All Students | 83.0 | 75 | 50.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 67.6 |
| ELA Performance muex | High Needs Students | 70.4 | 75 | 46.9 | 50 | 93.8 | 57.5 |
| Math Performance Index | All Students | 78.0 | 75 | 50.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 62.7 |
| Math Performance index | High Needs Students | 64.1 | 75 | 42.7 | 50 | 85.5 | 52.0 |
| ELA Academic Growth | All Students | 77.8% | 100% | 77.8 | 100 | 77.8 | 60.7% |
| ELA ACAGEIIIC GIOWIII | High Needs Students | 70.9% | 100% | 70.9 | 100 | 70.9 | 55.6% |
| Math Academic Growth | All Students | 69.7% | 100% | 69.7 | 100 | 69.7 | 61.9% |
| Math Academic Growth | High Needs Students | 64.0% | 100% | 64.0 | 100 | 64.0 | 55.4% |
| Chronic Absenteeism | All Students | 1.5% | <=5% | 50.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 10.7% |
| Chilothic Absenteeisin | High Needs Students | 2.7% | <=5% | 50.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 16.6% |
| Dranaration for CCD | % Taking Courses | N/A | 75% | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 74.8% |
| Preparation for CCR | % Passing Exams | N/A | 75% | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 44.8% |
| On-track to High School Gra | aduation | N/A | 94% | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 87.5% |
| 4-year Graduation All Stude | ents (2017 Cohort) | N/A | 94% | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 87.9% |
| 6-year Graduation - High Needs Students (2015 Cohort) | | N/A | 94% | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 81.8% |
| Postsecondary Entrance (Class of 2017) | | N/A | 75% | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 70.9% |
| Physical Fitness (estimated part rate) and (fitness rate) | | 101.8% 36.8% | 75% | 24.6 | 50 | 49.1 | 96.6% 50.1% |
| Arts Access | | N/A | 60% | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 51.2% |
| Accountability Index | | | | 596.7 | 750 | 79.6 | |

| Gap Indicators | Non-High Needs Rate ¹ | High Needs Rate | Size of Gap | State Gap Mean +1 Stdev ² | Is Gap an Outlier? ² |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Achievement Gap Size Outlier? | | | | | N |
| ELA Performance Index Gap | 75.0 | 70.4 | 4.6 | 15.9 | |
| Math Performance Index Gap | 75.0 | 64.1 | 10.9 | 18.2 | |
| Science Performance Index Gap | | N/A | | | |
| Graduation Rate Gap | | | | | |

¹ If the Non-High Needs Rate exceeds the ultimate target (75 for Performance Index and 94% for graduation rate), the ultimate target is used for gap calculations.

²If the size of the gap exceeds the state mean gap plus one standard deviation, the gap is an outlier.

| Sul | oject/Subgroup | Participation Rate (%) ³ | |
|---------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| ΕLΛ | All Students | 100.0 | ³ Minimum |
| ELA | High Needs Students | 100.0 | participation standard is 95%. |
| Math | All Students | 100.0 | |
| Math | High Needs Students | 100.0 | |
| Science | All Students | 100.0 | |
| Science | High Needs Students | | |

Connecticut's State Identified Measurable Goal for Children with Disabilities (SIMR)

Increase the reading performance of all 3rd grade students with disabilities statewide, as measured by Connecticut's English Language Arts (ELA) Performance Index.

Grade 3 ELA Performance Index for Students with Disabilities:

District: * State: 51.5

Supporting Resources

Two-page FAQ

Detailed Presentation

Using Accountability Results to Guide Improvement: comprehensive documentation and supports

Narratives

School District Improvement Plans and Parental Outreach Activities

Chester Elementary School continues to focus to ensure that all of our students are continuing to make progress and achieving at high levels. Our School Improvement Planning team consists of classroom teachers, a special education teacher, a specialist, and the principal. The team meets on a monthly basis to review and analyze data to ensure we are meeting the needs of our faculty and students. The School Improvement Plan provides the focus for our staff in developing SMART goals in the areas of reading, writing, critical and creative problem solving, and math. All certified staff develop SMART goals that are aligned to the school and district goals. The SMART goals include instructional strategies, action steps to achieve the goals, and progress monitoring strategies to measure student growth and progress throughout the school year. The principal presents and updates the public on progress being made at Board of Education and PTO meetings.

Scientific Research-Based Intervention meetings are held on a weekly basis to analyze student data and monitor student progress. Decisions are made in a timely manner to review referrals for students making limited instructional progress or exhibiting behavioral concerns. Students in Tier II and Tier III are discussed on an ongoing basis in order to make educationally appropriate decisions as their progress is continually being reviewed. Students with attendance issues are monitored through the SRBI process. The team consists of the school psychologist, counselor, SLP, ELA consultant, math coach, and principal who meet with teachers to brainstorm interventions for students. Baseline data is collected, and a review date is established to revisit the case and analyze new data. Teachers have been trained to identify needed areas of concern and to develop instructional strategies to improve students' performance. Students that receive special education services are supported through inclusion and co-teaching in order to meet individual student needs.

At Chester Elementary School, parent communication is extremely important and occurs at the district level, school level, and individual classroom level. Communication efforts take on many forms, which include, but are not limited to, informal conferences held during the year or through our planned parent/teacher conferences in October and March. Our September Curriculum Night is designed for parents to meet their child's teacher and receive information about the curriculum. All incoming kindergarten parents participate in an informational evening orientation and visitation program. Each year, our parents complete many hours of service through chaperoning field trips and volunteering in the classroom. Parents and community members are important partners in our school. Parents are part of the Social Development Team and the PTO. Additionally, our website is updated on an on-going basis, a weekly school newsletter is emailed to each family, and classroom teachers provide weekly or monthly newsletters. The website provides parents with information on District Goals, Board of Education minutes, mission statement, Board Policies, and a calendar of events. Our School Calendar and Parent Handbook is another vehicle to increase parent/school contact. It is distributed to all families on the first day of school and identifies policies, procedures, and school programs

Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation

Chester Elementary School's Social Development program is in its twentieth year. Its goal states that Chester Elementary School is a learning and caring community. Our Social Emotional Learning Focus (SELF) curriculum teaches awareness and expression of feelings, emotional control, understanding interpersonal relationships, empathy, caring, and social problem-solving skills. Our service learning projects provide the opportunity for our students to experience the value of helping others who are less fortunate than they are.

.We provide cultural arts and other enrichment programs for all students in grades K-6. Students participate in assemblies or work with artists that provide programs featuring the music of the world and stories of other cultures. Students in grades 3-6 receive Spanish instruction as part of our Foreign Language in the Elementary Schools (FLES) program, which includes the study of Hispanic culture around the world.

.Second grade students studied the peoples of the world through social studies. Third graders studied the cultural diversity of the regions of the United States. Our fourth graders participated in a Diversity Unit that focused on African Americans' contributions to our society. Grade five class meetings are a forum for discussing tolerance and appreciation of the differences between people. Our literature-based reading program (K-6) presents stories of people from different ethnic backgrounds.

Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools

The Chester School District consists only of the Chester Elementary School. Teachers and staff within the building work with building administration and district administration on an annual basis to determine the academic and physical plan needs within the school building. Administration then meets with the Chester Board of Education to develop our annual budget to provide for the resources and academic programming provided at the school. The school's budget is then presented to the town of Chester and voted on through a referendum by the local community. The approved budget is then utilized accordingly within Chester Elementary School to ensure that student needs are addressed.