#### STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2010-11

# **Regional School District 10**

ALAN R. BEITMAN, Superintendent

Telephone: (860) 673-2538

Burlington,
Connecticut

Website: www.region10ct.org/

This regional school district serves Burlington, Harwinton

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at <a href="https://www.sde.ct.gov">www.sde.ct.gov</a>.

### **COMMUNITY DATA**

County: Hartford, Litchfield Town Population in 2000: 16,473 1990-2000 Population Growth: 34.4% Number of Public Schools: 4 Per Capita Income in 2000: \$34,144

Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000\*: 7.8% Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000\*: 0.2% District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: N/A

Location: 24 Lyon Road

District Reference Group (DRG): C DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

### STUDENT ENROLLMENT

#### DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Enrollment on October 1, 2010 2,755 5-Year Enrollment Change 1.2%

Grade Range PK - 12

### INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in District	Percent		
		District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	109	4.0	7.6	34.1
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	21	0.8	0.7	5.6
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	43	1.6	4.6	4.0
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	254	9.2	10.7	11.4
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	154	89.5	85.4	80.2
Homeless	0	0.0	0.0	0.3
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	39	11.4	12.6	13.2

<sup>\*0.0 %</sup> of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

<sup>\*</sup>To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

### SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity				
Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent		
American Indian	0	0.0		
Asian American	46	1.7		
Black	15	0.5		
Hispanic	107	3.9		
Pacific Islander	1	0.0		
White	2,573	93.4		
Two or more races	13	0.5		
Total Minority	182	6.6		

### Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 2.8%

### **Open Choice:**

5 student(s) attended this district as part of the Open Choice program. Open Choice brings students from urban areas to attend school in suburban or rural towns, and students from non-urban areas to attend city schools.

#### **Non-English Home Language:**

2.9% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 14.

### EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

Regional School District #10 has encouraged educational opportunities for students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial ethnic and economic backgrounds. These include: Each school schedules assemblies and programs for students that provide diverse and multi-cultural perspectives Revisions to the social studies curriculum that provided multi-cultural and diverse education experiences Some High school students attend the Greater Hartford Academy of Arts as well as other magnet schools. Project Choice students continue to attend our schools Middle School students have pen pals in a bilingual city school and/or foreign schools. Various classes are involved in "sister school" collaboration with city and/or foreign schools. Social studies teachers are involved in an interdistrict grant, Teaching American History Region 10 has established sister school relations with Taishan Middle School in Shandong Province, China Region 10 has developed a multi-year plan to introduce the study of the Chinese language and encourage student exchanges. Cultural visits to France and Spain World languages are offered to all students starting in grade 3. They are required courses in all schools. Our world language program features several native speakers Student service clubs and world language classes have adopted inner-city elementary schools as "sister schools" to foster relations across age and socio-economic boundaries. Native American studies in grade 4 Cultural day program at the elementary level

### STUDENT PERFORMANCE

**Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Goal.** The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Writing         82.0         61.1         87.6         in the district at time of testing, regardless of the of time they we enrolled in the district at time of testing, regardless of the of time they we enrolled in the district at time of testing, regardless of the of time they we enrolled in the district at time of testing, regardless of the of time they we enrolled in the district at time of testing, regardless of the of time they we enrolled in the district at time of testing, regardless of the of time they we enrolled in the district at time of testing, regardless of the of time they we enrolled in the district at time of testing, regardless of the of time they we enrolled in the district at time of testing, regardless of the of time they we enrolled in the district at time of testing, regardless of the of time they we enrolled in the district at time of testing, regardless of the of time they we enrolled in the district at time of testing, regardless of the of time they we enrolled in the district at time of testing, regardless of the of time they we enrolled in the district at time of testing, regardless of the of time they we enrolled in the district at time of testing, regardless of the of time they we enrolled in the district at time of testing, regardless of the of time they we enrolled in the district at time of testing, regardless of the of time they we enrolled in the district at time of testing, regardless of the of time they we enrolled in the district at time of testing, regardless of the of time they we enrolled in the district at time of testing, regardless of the of time they we enrolled in the district at time of testing, regardless of the of time they we enrolled in the district at time of testing, regardless of the of time they we enrolled in the district at time of testing, regardless of the of time they we enrolled in the district at time they we enrolled in the district at time they we enrolled in the district at time they we e	Grade and Area	d CMT Subject	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal	These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable
Writing         82.0         61.1         87.6         time of testing, regardless of the of time they we enrolled in the of time the problem the of time they we enrolled in the of time they we e	Grade 3 I	Reading	76.5	58.4	81.9	tests who were enrolled
Mathematics         74.0         63.0         65.2         regardless of the of time they we enrolled in the denrolled in the denrolle	•	Writing	82.0	61.1	87.6	
Grade 4         Reading         79.5         62.5         74.8         enrolled in the of Results for fewer 20 students are presented.           Mathematics         79.5         67.0         65.9         20 students are presented.           Grade 5         Reading         73.3         61.4         60.1         60.1           Writing         83.8         66.8         78.5         78.5         78.5           Mathematics         81.9         72.5         60.1         60.1         For more detailed results, go to www.ctreports.           Grade 6         Reading         88.5         76.0         68.5         76.0         77.1         77.1         77.1         77.2	1	Mathematics	74.0	63.0	65.2	regardless of the length
Writing         83.0         65.5         81.1         Results for fewer 20 students are presented.           Grade 5 Reading         73.3         61.4         60.1           Writing         83.8         66.8         78.5           Mathematics         81.9         72.5         60.1           Science         71.2         59.9         53.4         For more details results, go to www.ctreports.           Writing         72.1         65.2         54.2         www.ctreports.           Mathematics         82.7         71.3         61.9         To see the NCL Report Card for school, go to www.sde.ct.gov           Grade 7 Reading         88.5         77.8         63.7         To see the NCL Report Card for school, go to www.sde.ct.gov           Mathematics         77.1         68.4         53.8         www.sde.ct.gov           Grade 8 Reading         85.4         74.7         58.6         Rebind "	Grade 4 I	Reading	79.5	62.5	74.8	of time they were enrolled in the district.
Grade 5         Reading         73.3         61.4         60.1           Writing         83.8         66.8         78.5           Mathematics         81.9         72.5         60.1           Science         71.2         59.9         53.4           Grade 6         Reading         88.5         76.0         68.5           Writing         72.1         65.2         54.2           Mathematics         82.7         71.3         61.9           Grade 7         Reading         88.5         77.8         63.7         To see the NCL Report Card for school, go to www.sde.ct.gov           Mathematics         77.1         68.4         53.8         www.sde.ct.gov           Grade 8         Reading         85.4         74.7         58.6         click on "No Chearting to the control of the c	1	Writing	83.0	65.5	81.1	Results for fewer than
Grade 5         Reading         73.5         61.4         60.1           Writing         83.8         66.8         78.5           Mathematics         81.9         72.5         60.1           Science         71.2         59.9         53.4         results, go to www.ctreports.           Grade 6         Reading         88.5         76.0         68.5         www.ctreports.           Writing         72.1         65.2         54.2         54.2         54.2         54.2         54.2         54.2         54.2         54.2         54.2         55.2	I	Mathematics	79.5	67.0	65.9	20 students are not
Mathematics         81.9         72.5         60.1           Science         71.2         59.9         53.4         For more details results, go to www.ctreports.           Grade 6 Reading         88.5         76.0         68.5         www.ctreports.           Writing         72.1         65.2         54.2           Mathematics         82.7         71.3         61.9           Grade 7 Reading         88.5         77.8         63.7         To see the NCL Report Card for school, go to school, go to www.sde.ct.gov click on "No Chemothematics"           Mathematics         77.1         68.4         53.8         www.sde.ct.gov click on "No Chemothematics"           Grade 8 Reading         85.4         74.7         58.6         click on "No Chemothematics"	Grade 5 I	Reading	73.3	61.4	60.1	presented.
Science         71.2         59.9         53.4         For more details results, go to www.ctreports.           Grade 6 Reading         88.5         76.0         68.5         www.ctreports.           Writing         72.1         65.2         54.2           Mathematics         82.7         71.3         61.9           Grade 7 Reading         88.5         77.8         63.7         To see the NCL Report Card for school, go to www.sde.ct.gov school, go to www.sde.ct.gov click on "No Chemothematics"           Mathematics         77.1         68.4         53.8         www.sde.ct.gov click on "No Chemothematics"           Grade 8 Reading         85.4         74.7         58.6         Replied"	1	Writing	83.8	66.8	78.5	
Science         71.2         59.9         53.4         results, go to www.ctreports.           Grade 6 Reading         88.5         76.0         68.5         www.ctreports.           Writing         72.1         65.2         54.2           Mathematics         82.7         71.3         61.9           Grade 7 Reading         88.5         77.8         63.7         To see the NCL Report Card for school, go to school, go to www.sde.ct.gov click on "No Chemothematics"           Mathematics         77.1         68.4         53.8         www.sde.ct.gov click on "No Chemothematics"           Grade 8 Reading         85.4         74.7         58.6         Click on "No Chemothematics"	1	Mathematics	81.9	72.5	60.1	
Grade 6         Reading         88.5         76.0         68.5         www.ctreports.           Writing         72.1         65.2         54.2           Mathematics         82.7         71.3         61.9           Grade 7         Reading         88.5         77.8         63.7         To see the NCL Report Card for school, go to school, go to www.sde.ct.gov click on "No Chemothematics"           Mathematics         77.1         68.4         53.8         www.sde.ct.gov click on "No Chemothematics"           Grade 8         Reading         85.4         74.7         58.6         Replied"	(	Science	71.2	59.9	53.4	
Mathematics         82.7         71.3         61.9           Grade 7 Reading         88.5         77.8         63.7         To see the NCL Report Card for school, go to school, go to www.sde.ct.gov           Mathematics         77.1         68.4         53.8         www.sde.ct.gov click on "No Chemothematics"           Grade 8 Reading         85.4         74.7         58.6         Report Card for school, go to www.sde.ct.gov click on "No Chemothematics"	Grade 6 I	Reading	88.5	76.0	68.5	
Grade 7         Reading         88.5         77.8         63.7         To see the NCL Report Card for school, go to www.sde.ct.gov click on "No Chemother Card for school, go to www.sde.ct.gov click on "No Chem	1	Writing	72.1	65.2	54.2	
Writing         65.9         58.9         52.5         Report Card for school, go to school, go to www.sde.ct.gov           Mathematics         77.1         68.4         53.8         www.sde.ct.gov           Grade 8 Reading         85.4         74.7         58.6         click on "No Chearting Report Card for school, go to www.sde.ct.gov           Behind "         Behind "         Report Card for school, go to www.sde.ct.gov	1	Mathematics	82.7	71.3	61.9	
Witting         05.9         36.9         32.5         school, go to www.sde.ct.gov           Mathematics         77.1         68.4         53.8         www.sde.ct.gov click on "No Chemothematics"           Grade 8 Reading         85.4         74.7         58.6         click on "No Chemothematics"           Behind "         Replied "         Replied "         Replied "	Grade 7 I	Reading	88.5	77.8	63.7	To see the NCLB
Mathematics         77.1         68.4         53.8         www.sde.ct.gov click on "No Chemothematics"           Grade 8 Reading         85.4         74.7         58.6         Click on "No Chemothematics"	1	Writing	65.9	58.9	52.5	Report Card for this
Grade 8 Reading 85.4 74.7 58.6 click on "No Ch	I	Mathematics	77.1	68.4	53.8	www.sde.ct.gov and
Writing 77.0 64.8 55.4 Behind."	Grade 8 I	Reading	85.4	74.7	58.6	click on "No Child Left
	1	Writing	77.0	64.8	55.4	Behind."
Mathematics 81.5 66.6 63.7	I	Mathematics	81.5	66.6	63.7	7
Science 80.3 63.1 65.0		Science	80.3	63.1	65.0	7

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scorable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	60.4	44.7	72.7
Writing Across the Disciplines	72.5	61.2	57.9
Mathematics	75.0	49.5	88.0
Science	70.6	47.0	84.2

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

**Physical Fitness.** The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All Four Tests	District		% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
	66.7	51.0	84.1

SAT® I: Reasoning Test Class of 2010		District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Scores
% of Graduates Tested		80.8	70.6	
Average Score	Mathematics	527	510	64.9
	Critical Reading	518	505	61.1
	Writing	524	510	61.8

**SAT® I.** The lowest possible score on each SAT® I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Adjusted Cohort Rate 2010	91.5	81.8	66.4
2009-10 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	0.4	2.8	71.2

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	87.4	84.8
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	10.6	9.1

# RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

# **DISTRICT STAFF**

Full-Time Equivalent Count of School Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	170.66
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	14.00
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	27.90
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	48.00
Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants	8.00
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs District Central Office School Level	4.40 13.80
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	6.00
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	15.00
School Nurses	6.00
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	114.88

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	13.0	14.7	13.9
% with Master's Degree or Above	87.1	81.3	79.0

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	17.2	17.6	18.4
Grade 2	19.4	19.1	19.9
Grade 5	22.5	20.7	21.2
Grade 7	21.5	19.6	20.6
High School	22.8	19.2	19.3

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	1,002	988	992
Middle School	1,029	1,026	1,017
High School	1,036	1,012	1,010

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be
offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten, and
450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	2.8	3.0	3.1
Middle School	3.8	2.3	2.4
High School	3.6	2.4	2.2

<sup>\*</sup>Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

# **DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2009-10**

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures All figures are unaudited.	Total (in 1000s)	Expenditures Per Pupil			
		District	PK-12 Districts	DRG	State
Instructional Staff and Services	\$18,903	\$6,732	\$8,232	\$7,683	\$8,237
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$670	\$239	\$299	\$267	\$300
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$1,897	\$675	\$477	\$388	\$463
Student Support Services	\$2,220	\$791	\$875	\$893	\$872
Administration and Support Services	\$2,899	\$1,032	\$1,433	\$1,410	\$1,459
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$3,152	\$1,122	\$1,421	\$1,346	\$1,410
Transportation	\$2,506	\$857	\$701	\$664	\$692
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	\$1,530	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$0	\$0	\$161	\$171	\$159
Total	\$33,776	\$11,990	\$13,878	\$13,335	\$13,780
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$2,024	\$721	\$1,622	\$1,101	\$1,616

Special Education Expenditures	District Total	Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education		
		District	DRG	State
	\$7,460,116	22.1	21.2	21.5

**Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source.** Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	72.4	21.9	5.4	0.2
Excluding School Construction	71.7	22.3	5.7	0.2

### EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

Region 10 works to ensure an equitable distribution of resources among its schools through a budget process that involves the Board of Education, administration, staff, parents of school children, and our two communities as a whole. The budget process begins in the fall when the schools are provided with budget development packages that they utilize to prepare their budget requests. A new detailed budget document has been developed to provide staff and tax payers with a clear and transparent view of the budget. Schools receive guidance on overall prospective spending levels and district goals, but are generally allowed to allocate resources as they see best at their individual sites. Financial resources are allocated based on enrollments and program requirements at each site. Budget submissions from the schools reflect both contractual and legal requirements, as well as program needs and goals. The initial requests are prepared by the principals and teachers at each school and are submitted to the Superintendent for review and revision as needed. Following such revisions, the Superintendent presents the proposed budget to the Board of Education. After his presentation, the Board schedules a series of public meetings to encourage public comment and participation in the budget process. The district utilizes these meetings to make sure that the budget accommodates all programs fairly and that it meets the needs of each school.

#### SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible 245
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities 8.9%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities						
Disability	ty Count District Percent DRG Percent S					
Autism	32	1.2	1.1	1.1		
Learning Disability	86	3.1	3.8	3.9		
Intellectual Disability	8	0.3	0.4	0.4		
Emotional Disturbance	27	1.0	0.7	1.0		
Speech Impairment	33	1.2	1.9	2.2		
Other Health Impairment*	41	1.5	1.9	2.1		
Other Disabilities**	18	0.7	0.7	0.9		
Total	245	8.9	10.5	11.6		

<sup>\*</sup>Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

<sup>\*\*</sup>Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2009-10 with a Standard Diploma	81.0	62.5
2009-10 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	0.0	3.9

# STATE ASSESSMENTS

**Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal.** The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students with	Students with Disabilities		udents
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	33.0	33.0	82.1	68.6
	Writing	28.9	19.3	77.2	63.7
	Mathematics	35.4	33.4	79.7	68.2
	Science	22.2	21.2	75.6	61.5
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	7.1	14.1	60.4	44.7
	Writing Across the Disciplines	6.2	17.3	72.5	61.2
	Mathematics	21.4	15.8	75.0	49.5
	Science	25.0	13.1	70.6	47.0

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to <a href="www.ctreports.com">www.ctreports.com</a>. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to <a href="www.sde.ct.gov">www.sde.ct.gov</a> and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools			
CMT	% Without Accommodations	25.8	
	% With Accommodations	74.2	
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	11.1	
	% With Accommodations	88.9	
% Assessed U	sing Skills Checklist	10.3	

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with non-disabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District's Schools				
Placement	Count	Percent		
Public Schools in Other Districts	1	0.4		
Private Schools or Other Settings	18	7.3		

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers

Time Spent with Non-Disabled Peers	Count of Students	Percent of Students		
		District	DRG	State
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	209	85.3	77.4	74.1
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	15	6.1	15.5	14.9
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	21	8.6	7.1	11.0

#### SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

No Child Left Behind legislation requires students to be tested on CMT in grades 3-8 where formerly the State only tested grades 4,6 and 8. In 2005-06 the State administered a new generation of CMTs and set new higher proficiency levels based on NCLB. One subgroup of students, Har-Bur special education students, did not achieve Adequate Yearly Progress in the Spring 2011 CMT testing. Administrators and coordinators have met to develop an AYP action plan to address this issue. It is being implemented during the 2011/2012 school year with frequent check points along the way. Students in Region 10 over the past ten years continue to show significant improvement in their performance at goal and at proficiency on Connecticut Mastery Tests. Our scores are above average among the schools in towns similar to us (District Reference Groups /DRG) as well as above the averages for the State as a whole. Students in 10th grade taking the CAPT have over the past ten years shown improvement meeting and surpassing goal and meeting the NCLB proficiency level. The 2010/2011 results show an increase in those at goal or above goal and show an increase in those students meeting proficiency. Proficiency levels ranged from 93.9% to 90.5% of all students tested on the mathematics and reading portions of the test. During 2006/07, the district developed its new research project requirement for students in grades 3 through 11. These interdisciplinary projects combined research strategies, technology skills and content material with the goal of establishing consistent, systemic growth in these skills for all students. This project undergoes refinement each year.Region 10 students continue to excel on the athletic fields, in their artistic endeavors, and musical performances as well as in the academic arena. Each year our students qualify to attend the National Conference of the Future Business Leaders of America, two students attended the National Leadership Conference and multiple students received art awards at the state and national levels for their portfolio work. Many of our students also participated successfully in the Odyssey of the Mind Program. The district has recognized specific areas for improvement each teacher has goals to support. An additional focus is on wellness, including revising our nutrition policy, increasing physical fitness time and responding to the needs of at-risk youngsters. The district has recently revised its technology plan to align with district goals and expand opportunities for student use of technology.