Connecticut State Department of Education

DISTRICT PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2017–18



Berlin School District

Mr. David Erwin, Superintendent • 860-828-6581 • http://www.berlinschools.org

District Information

| Grade Range | PK-12 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Number of Schools/Programs | 6 |
| Enrollment | 2,795 |
| Per Pupil Expenditures ¹ | \$16,702 |
| Total Expenditures ¹ | \$48,887,145 |

¹Expenditure data reflect the 2016-17 year.



Community Information

<u>CERC Town Profiles</u> provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

Contents

| Students1 | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Educators2 | |
| Instruction and Resources2 | |
| Performance and Accountability 4 | |
| Narratives7 | |

Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2017-18 and include all grades offered by the district.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit edsight.ct.gov.

State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.

Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been

suppressed to ensure student confidentiality. N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

Students

| October 1, 2 | 201/ | Enrollment |
|--------------|------|------------|
| | | District |

| | District | State |
|-------|---|-----------------------------------|
| Count | Percent of Total | Percent of Total |
| | (%) | (%) |
| 1,335 | 47.8 | 48.4 |
| 1,460 | 52.2 | 51.6 |
| * | * | 0.3 |
| 87 | 3.1 | 5.1 |
| 54 | 1.9 | 12.8 |
| 298 | 10.7 | 24.8 |
| * | * | 0.1 |
| 78 | 2.8 | 3.3 |
| 2,274 | 81.4 | 53.6 |
| 77 | 2.8 | 7.2 |
| 420 | 15.0 | 36.7 |
| 378 | 13.5 | 14.8 |
| | 1,335 1,460 * 87 54 298 * 78 2,274 77 420 | Count Percent of Total (%) 1,335 |

¹Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

| | Chronic | | Suspension/ | |
|--|---------|--------------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| | Absen | Absenteeism ² | | lsion ³ |
| | Count | Rate (%) | Count | Rate (%) |
| Female | 47 | 3.6 | 7 | 0.5 |
| Male | 52 | 3.7 | 91 | 6.3 |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | * |
| Hispanic or Latino | 21 | 7.4 | 24 | 8.3 |
| White | 61 | 2.8 | 61 | 2.7 |
| English Learners | 10 | 12.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 52 | 10.0 | 43 | 7.7 |
| Students with Disabilities | 23 | 6.6 | 30 | 7.2 |
| District | 99 | 3.7 | 98 | 3.5 |
| State | | 10.7 | | 6.8 |
| | | | | |

Number of students in 2016-17 qualified as truant under state statute: 72

Number of school-based arrests: 7

²A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

³The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

Educators

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)1 Staff

| | FTE |
|--|-------|
| General Education | |
| Teachers and Instructors | 191.9 |
| Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants | 24.6 |
| Special Education | |
| Teachers and Instructors | 35.7 |
| Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants | 62.3 |
| Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs | |
| District Central Office | 9.0 |
| School Level | 12.8 |
| Library/Media | |
| Specialists (Certified) | 4.6 |
| Support Staff | 5.0 |
| Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers | 11.2 |
| Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists | 17.0 |
| School Nurses | 7.0 |
| Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support | 103.7 |

¹In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

Educators by Race/Ethnicity

| | | District | State |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Count | Percent of Total (%) | Percent of Total (%) |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Asian | 1 | 0.4 | 1.1 |
| Black or African American | 0 | 0.0 | 3.7 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 2 | 0.7 | 3.7 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Two or More Races | 0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| White | 281 | 98.9 | 91.0 |

Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2016-17

| | District | State |
|---|----------|-------|
| Average Number of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time | 10.6 | 10.5 |

Instruction and Resources

11th and 12th Graders Enrolled in College-and-Career-Readiness Courses during High School²

| | 11th | | 12th | |
|--|-------|----------|-------|----------|
| | Count | Rate (%) | Count | Rate (%) |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | * |
| Hispanic or Latino | * | * | 11 | 55.0 |
| White | 130 | 67.4 | 146 | 81.1 |
| English Learners | 0 | 0.0 | * | * |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 14 | 42.4 | 29 | 60.4 |
| Students with Disabilities | * | * | 14 | 46.7 |
| District | 147 | 63.4 | 170 | 78.3 |
| State | | 69.3 | | 80.1 |

²College-and-Career-Readiness Courses include Advanced Placement®(AP), International Baccalaureate®(IB), Career and Technical Education(CTE), workplace experience and dual enrollment courses.

Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers³

| | Count | Rate (%) |
|----------------------------|-------|----------|
| Autism | 15 | 42.9 |
| Emotional Disturbance | 7 | * |
| Intellectual Disability | 0 | 0 |
| Learning Disability | 102 | 71.3 |
| Other Health Impairment | 37 | 71.2 |
| Other Disabilities | 7 | 25.9 |
| Speech/Language Impairment | 40 | 62.5 |
| District | 208 | 59.8 |
| State | | 68.6 |

³Ages 6-21

Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability¹

| | Dis | State | |
|-------------------------------|-------|----------|----------|
| | Count | Rate (%) | Rate (%) |
| Autism | 39 | 1.4 | 1.8 |
| Emotional Disturbance | 19 | 0.7 | 1.1 |
| Intellectual Disability | 9 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Learning Disability | 143 | 5.0 | 5.2 |
| Other Health Impairment | 52 | 1.8 | 3.1 |
| Other Disabilities | 31 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Speech/Language Impairment | 75 | 2.6 | 1.8 |
| All Disabilities | 368 | 12.9 | 14.5 |

Students with Disabilities Placed Outside of the District²

| | District | | State |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | Count | Rate (%) | Rate (%) |
| Public Schools in Other Districts | 22 | 6.0 | 8.3 |
| Private Schools or Other Settings | 18 | 4.9 | 5.2 |

²Grades K-12

Overall Expenditures: 2016-17

| | | Per Pupil | |
|---|-----------------|---------------|------------|
| | Total (\$) | District (\$) | State (\$) |
| Instructional Staff and Services | 25,180,942 | 9,022 | 9,847 |
| Instructional Supplies and Equipment | 745,193 | 267 | 287 |
| Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services | 1,228,946 | 440 | 589 |
| Student Support Services | 4,363,119 | 1,563 | 1,120 |
| Administration and Support Services | 5,973,744 | 2,140 | 1,905 |
| Plant Operation and Maintenance | 5,197,182 | 1,862 | 1,648 |
| Transportation | 2,783,676 | 950 | 904 |
| Costs of Students Tuitioned Out | 2,209,168 | N/A | N/A |
| Other | 1,205,175 | 432 | 208 |
| Total | 48,887,145 | 16,702 | 16,535 |
| Additiona | al Expenditures | | |
| Land, Buildings, and Debt Service | 5,306,848 | 1,901 | 1,393 |

³Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

Special Education Expenditures: 2016-17

| | Dist | District | | |
|----------------------------|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | Total (\$) | Percent of Total (%) | Percent of Total (%) | |
| Certified Personnel | 4,342,110 | 40.7 | 33.8 | |
| Noncertified Personnel | 1,715,012 | 16.1 | 14.5 | |
| Purchased Services | 591,572 | 5.5 | 5.5 | |
| Tuition to Other Schools | 1,911,775 | 17.9 | 23.4 | |
| Special Ed. Transportation | 1,043,592 | 9.8 | 8.7 | |
| Other Expenditures | 1,073,928 | 10.1 | 14.1 | |
| Total Expenditures | 10,677,989 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

Expenditures by Revenue Source:⁴ 2016-17

| | Percent of Total (%) | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| | Including Excluding | | | | |
| | School | School | | | |
| | Construction | Construction | | | |
| Local | 83.6 | 82.5 | | | |
| State | 14.5 | 15.4 | | | |
| Federal | 1.8 | 2.0 | | | |
| Tuition & Other | 0.1 | 0.1 | | | |

⁴Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction).

¹Grades K-12

Performance and Accountability

District Performance Index (DPI)

A District Performance Index (DPI) is the average performance of students in a subject area (i.e., ELA, Mathematics or Science) on the state summative assessments. The DPI ranges from 0-100. A DPI is reported for all students tested in a district and for students in each individual student group. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 75. The USDOE approved Connecticut's waiver request related to statewide student assessment in science. As a result, in spring 2018, the Connecticut State Department of Education administered a NGSS Assessment Field Test for both science standard and alternate assessments. Therefore, no science data appear in the table below.

| | English Langua | ge Arts(ELA) | Math | |
|--|----------------|--------------|-------|------|
| | Count | DPI | Count | DPI |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | * | * | * | * |
| Asian | 45 | 80.0 | 45 | 81.5 |
| Black or African American | 23 | 58.8 | 23 | 56.2 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 154 | 61.8 | 154 | 55.5 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A |
| Two or More Races | 41 | 79.1 | 41 | 76.6 |
| White | 1201 | 73.8 | 1200 | 70.6 |
| English Learners | 76 | 63.9 | 75 | 62.5 |
| Non-English Learners | 1389 | 73.2 | 1389 | 69.6 |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 247 | 63.2 | 246 | 56.8 |
| Not Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 1218 | 74.6 | 1218 | 71.8 |
| Students with Disabilities | 196 | 50.2 | 196 | 43.3 |
| Students without Disabilities | 1269 | 76.2 | 1268 | 73.3 |
| High Needs | 410 | 60.6 | 409 | 54.9 |
| Non-High Needs | 1055 | 77.4 | 1055 | 74.8 |
| District | 1465 | 72.7 | 1464 | 69.3 |

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient¹

| | NAEP | NAEP 2013 | |
|-----------------|---------|-----------|----------|
| READING | Grade 4 | Grade 8 | Grade 12 |
| Connecticut | 43% | 44% | 50% |
| National Public | 35% | 35% | 36% |
| MATH | Grade 4 | Grade 8 | Grade 12 |
| Connecticut | 40% | 36% | 32% |
| National Public | 40% | 33% | 25% |

¹NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across Smarter Balanced and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement other state assessment data. To view student subgroup performance on NAEP, click here.

Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard²

| | Percent of Students by Grade ³ (%) | | | | All Teste | ed Grades |
|----------------------|---|------|------|------|-----------|-----------|
| | 4 | 6 | 8 | HS | Count | Rate (%) |
| Sit & Reach | 96.8 | 78.2 | 76.3 | 93.3 | 751 | 86.0 |
| Curl Up | 95.8 | 83.5 | 87.1 | 92.8 | 751 | 89.7 |
| Push Up | 86.8 | 75.5 | 78.4 | 88.3 | 751 | 82.2 |
| Mile Run/PACER | 89.9 | 80.3 | 83.0 | 65.6 | 751 | 79.9 |
| All Tests - District | 79.9 | 53.2 | 52.6 | 55.6 | 751 | 60.3 |
| All Tests - State | 53.2 | 51.4 | 50.5 | 45.6 | | 50.1 |

²The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

³Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

Cohort Graduation: Four-Year¹

| | 2016-17 | | |
|--|---------------------------|----------|--|
| | Cohort Count ² | Rate (%) | |
| Black or African American | 11 | * | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 13 | * | |
| English Learners | * | 0 | |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 54 | 79.6 | |
| Students with Disabilities | 30 | 70.0 | |
| District | 235 | 94.5 | |
| State | | 87.9 | |

¹The four-year cohort graduation rate represents the percentage of first-time 9th graders who earn a standard high school diploma within four years.

11th and 12th Graders Meeting Benchmark on at Least One College Readiness Exam³

| | Participation⁴ | Meeting I | Benchmark |
|---|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| | Rate (%) | Count | Rate (%) |
| Female | 98.6 | 125 | 59.8 |
| Male | 98.3 | 134 | 55.8 |
| Black or African American | * | * | * |
| Hispanic or Latino | 90.7 | 8 | 18.6 |
| White | 99.2 | 233 | 62.5 |
| English Learners | * | 0 | * |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 97.5 | 22 | 27.2 |
| Students with Disabilities | 89.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| District | 98.4 | 259 | 57.7 |
| State | 96.3 | | 44.8 |

³College readiness exams and benchmark scores are as follows:

- SAT® meets benchmark score on SAT, Revised SAT or Connecticut School Day SAT
- ACT® meets benchmark score on 3 of 4 exams (benchmark score varies by subject)
- $\bullet~\mbox{AP}^{\circledast}$ 3 or higher on any one \mbox{AP}^{\circledast} exam
- IB® 4 or higher on any one IB® exam
- Smarter Balanced Level 3 or higher on both ELA and math

⁴Participation Rate equals the number of test-takers in 11th and 12th grade divided by the number of students enrolled in those grades, as a percent. Sources:

 $\mathsf{SAT}^{\circledast}$ and $\mathsf{AP}^{\circledast}$ statistics derived from data provided by the College Board.

Copyright © 2018 The College Board. www.collegeboard.org

ACT® statistics derived from data provided by ACT, Inc.

Copyright © 2018 ACT, Inc. www.act.org

IB® statistics derived from data provided by the International Baccalaureate Organization.

Copyright © International Baccalaureate Organization 2018

College Entrance and Persistence

| | Class of 2017 | Class of 2016 |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| | Entrance ⁵ | Persistence ⁶ |
| | Rate (%) | Rate (%) |
| Female | 91.5 | 96.8 |
| Male | 83.5 | 93.6 |
| Black or African American | * | * |
| Hispanic or Latino | * | * |
| White | 89.0 | 96.6 |
| English Learners | * | * |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 71.1 | 88.0 |
| Students with Disabilities | 45.8 | * |
| District | 87.7 | 95.1 |
| State | 70.9 | 88.3 |

⁵College entrance refers to the percent of high school graduates from the year who enrolled in college any time during the first year after high school.

Source: National Student Clearinghouse

²Cohort count includes all students in the cohort as of the end of the 2016-17 school year.

⁶College persistence refers to the percent of students who enrolled in college the first year after high school and returned for a second year (Freshman to Sophomore persistence).

Next Generation Accountability Results

Connecticut's Next Generation Accountability System is a broad set of 12 indicators that help tell the story of how well a district/school is preparing its students for success in college, careers, and life. It moves beyond test scores and graduation rates to provide a more holistic, multifactor perspective of district and school performance.

The USDOE approved Connecticut's waiver request related to statewide student assessment in science. As a result, in spring 2018, the Connecticut State Department of Education administered a NGSS Assessment Field Test for both science standard and alternate assessments. Therefore, no science data appear in the table below.

| Inc | licator | Index/Rate | Target | Points Earned | Max Points | % Points Earned | State Average Index/Rate |
|---|---------------------|---------------|--------|------------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| ELA Performance Index | All Students | 72.7 | 75 | 48.5 | 50 | 96.9 | 67.6 |
| ELA Performance index | High Needs Students | 60.6 | 75 | 40.4 | 50 | 80.8 | 57.5 |
| Math Performance Index | All Students | 69.3 | 75 | 46.2 | 50 | 92.4 | 62.7 |
| Math Performance index | High Needs Students | 54.9 | 75 | 36.6 | 50 | 73.3 | 52.0 |
| ELA Academic Growth | All Students | 62.4% | 100% | 62.4 | 100 | 62.4 | 60.7% |
| ELA ACAGEMIC Growth | High Needs Students | 58.8% | 100% | 58.8 | 100 | 58.8 | 55.6% |
| Nath Assassis Cusuals | All Students | 63.4% | 100% | 63.4 | 100 | 63.4 | 61.9% |
| Math Academic Growth | High Needs Students | 52.4% | 100% | 52.4 | 100 | 52.4 | 55.4% |
| Chronic Absenteeism | All Students | 3.7% | <=5% | 50.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 10.7% |
| Chronic Absenteeism | High Needs Students | 8.3% | <=5% | 43.4 | 50 | 86.7 | 16.6% |
| Dranaration for CCD | % Taking Courses | 70.6% | 75% | 47.1 | 50 | 94.1 | 74.8% |
| Preparation for CCR | % Passing Exams | 57.7% | 75% | 38.5 | 50 | 76.9 | 44.8% |
| On-track to High School Gra | aduation | 98.6% | 94% | 50.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 87.5% |
| 4-year Graduation All Stude | ents (2017 Cohort) | 94.5% | 94% | 100.0 | 100 | 100.0 | 87.9% |
| 6-year Graduation - High Needs Students (2015 Cohort) | | 85.7% | 94% | 91.2 | 100 | 91.2 | 81.8% |
| Postsecondary Entrance (Class of 2017) | | 87.7% | 75% | 100.0 | 100 | 100.0 | 70.9% |
| Physical Fitness (estimated part rate) and (fitness rate) | | 91.7% 60.3% | 75% | 40.2 | 50 | 80.4 | 96.6% 50.1% |
| Arts Access | | 52.1% | 60% | 43.4 | 50 | 86.8 | 51.2% |
| Accountability Index | | | | 1012.3 | 1250 | 81.0 | |

| Gap Indicators | Non-High Needs Rate ¹ | High Needs Rate | Size of Gap | State Gap Mean +1 Stdev ² | Is Gap an Outlier?2 |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Achievement Gap Size Outlier? | | | | | Υ |
| ELA Performance Index Gap | 75.0 | 60.6 | 14.4 | 15.9 | |
| Math Performance Index Gap | 74.8 | 54.9 | 19.9 | 18.2 | |
| Science Performance Index Gap | | N/A | | | |
| Graduation Rate Gap | 94.0% | 85.7% | 8.3% | 12.7% | N |

¹ If the Non-High Needs Rate exceeds the ultimate target (75 for Performance Index and 94% for graduation rate), the ultimate target is used for gap calculations.

²If the size of the gap exceeds the state mean gap plus one standard deviation, the gap is an outlier.

| Sul | oject/Subgroup | Participation Rate (%) ³ | |
|---------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| ELA | All Students | 98.9 | ³ Minimum |
| ELA | High Needs Students | 99.1 | participation standard is 95%. |
| Math | All Students | 98.9 | |
| IVIALII | High Needs Students | 98.8 | |
| Science | All Students | 99.7 | |
| Science | High Needs Students | 100.0 | |

Connecticut's State Identified Measurable Goal for Children with Disabilities (SIMR)

Increase the reading performance of all 3rd grade students with disabilities statewide, as measured by Connecticut's English Language Arts (ELA) Performance Index.

Grade 3 ELA Performance Index for Students with Disabilities:

District: 61 State: 51.5

Supporting Resources

Two-page FAQ

Detailed Presentation

Using Accountability Results to Guide Improvement: comprehensive documentation and supports

Narratives

School District Improvement Plans and Parental Outreach Activities

The Berlin Public School District is committed to a continuous improvement process. The Berlin Board of Education adopts district goals annually. Annual district goals are aligned to support the BOE goals, which are supported by measurable building goals, which are further supported by team professional growth goals and student learning outcomes. This cycle forms the framework for continuous student achievement through focused instructional improvement. The capacity of teachers and administrators is developed through ongoing, individualized, job-embedded professional development as related to team, school and district goals. .Student truancy has not been a major area of concern. We have a policy that requires parents to contact the school when their child is absent. If a parent neglects to contact the school, school personnel make every effort to contact the parent on the day of the absence. Student attendance is closely monitored, tracked and recorded. The school district is currently working collaboratively with the town's social services department to assist in addressing severe attendance issues.

Data is regularly reviewed at both the administrative and teacher level to inform our decisions regarding allocation of resources, instructional decisions and implementation of interventions. These efforts lead to informed decision making through data-driven action plans, performance indicators, and the continued implementation of a scientific research-based intervention (SRBI) model across the school district. We are committed to supporting students in their least restrictive environment and our special education services have been developed to support this concept. The district has expanded programming for students with significant needs by developing a comprehensive team approach to address their unique learning styles. We continue to employ a full-time board certified behavioral analyst and an assistive technology specialist and recently added a comprehensive counseling model to support our most emotionally fragile students. We also work closely with a psychiatrist to support the social and emotional needs of students. We recognize that parent partnerships are a vital piece of the school district's success and, to support this belief, system-wide efforts continue to pursue at all levels. Parent volunteers are welcome at all schools and are considered a valuable resource. Reading volunteers through RSVP, a group of area senior citizens, work with elementary students in a one-to-one learning environment. Numerous school-sponsored activities occur throughout the year with high parent participation. Annual surveys are used to better understand parent perceptions regarding the school district and areas requiring improvement. We utilize a number of communication tools including the website, SchoolMessenger, monthly videos and monthly newsletters from the superintendent to ensure that parents have access to events and happenings occurring throughout the district. Information regarding workshops, events, and seminars for families is posted on the district website. Information regarding special education programs an

Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation

The Berlin Public Schools provide a variety of programs and experiences that are designed to reduce racial, ethnic, and economic isolation. We are pleased with the response to these efforts from students, staff, and members of the community. Our participation in the Open CHOICE Program is districtwide and during this year we had 92 CHOICE students in our five schools. There is a commitment to reduce racial, ethnic and economic isolation. The Board of Education recognizes that Berlin is not a highly-diverse community and that the greater Berlin community also benefits from participation in CHOICE. We continue to offer CHOICE seats in an attempt to bring additional students into our district. Many of our CHOICE students spent time at the Berlin Fair and enjoyed the experience. .Each of our schools continues to participate in and provide a number of learning activities designed to promote diversity. When curriculum is revised, diligent attention is given to be certain it is reflective of diversity. Administration continues to offer updates to the Board of Education and community outlining the activities that are conducted as part of the district's efforts to educate students about understanding differences among people. .

Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools

It is the practice of the Berlin Board of Education and its central office administration that each school in the district will have comparable resources while recognizing factors such as equipment and specialized supply needs at the secondary schools are inherently more costly in nature. The proposed budget for the district is created by administrators in the late fall. It is built on the assumption that the three elementary schools will receive a fixed amount for each student enrolled in the school. This will "guarantee" an equitable allocation of resources among the schools. Once that assumption was met, adjustments were then made to determine what additional resources would be allocated to particular schools to adequately address needs particular to a certain school. The same process was then used at the two secondary schools. However, a different per student fixed amount was used because of the significantly higher costs as mentioned above.

Once the proposed budget was adopted, appropriate reductions, if necessary, were made "across the board," and the same per student expenditure ratio described above was maintained as closely as possible.