Connecticut State Department of Education

DISTRICT PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2013–14



Monroe School District

Mr. James Agostine, Superintendent • 203-452-2860 • www.monroeps.org

District Information

Grade Range	PK-12
Number of Schools	7
Enrollment	3,379
Per Pupil Expenditures ¹	\$15,255
Total Expenditures ¹	\$54,034,448

¹Expenditure data reflect the 2012-13 year.



Community Information

<u>CERC Town Profiles</u> provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

Related Reports/Publications

CT Reports (CMT/CAPT)

District and School Performance Reports

Special Education Annual Performance Reports

SAT®, AP®, PSAT® Report by High School (Class of 2014)

(2014® The College Board)

Contents

Students 1	
Educators2	
Instruction and Resources	
Performance4	
Narratives6	

Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2013-14 and include all grades offered by the district.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit EdSight.

State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.

Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been

suppressed to ensure student confidentiality. N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

Students

October 1, 2013 Enrollment					
		District	State		
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)		
Female	1,629	48.2	48.3		
Male	1,750	51.8	51.6		
American Indian	13	0.4	0.2		
Asian	125	3.7	4.6		
Black or African American	43	1.3	12.9		
Hispanic or Latino	234	6.9	21.2		
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.0		
White	2,886	85.4	58.4		
Two or More Races	78	2.3	2.3		
English Language Learners	15	0.4	5.7		
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	257	7.6	37.3		
Students with Disabilities ¹	271	11.0	12.0		

¹Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

	Chronic		Suspension/	
	Absenteeism ²		Expulsion ³	
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	72	4.4	7	0.4
Male	60	3.5	41	2.3
Black or African American	0	0.0	0	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	15	6.6	*	*
White	109	3.8	40	1.3
English Language Learners	0	*	*	*
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	28	10.2	10	3.4
Students with Disabilities	28	8.3	15	3.6
District	132	3.9	48	1.4
State		10.8		7.4

Number of students in 2012-13 qualified as truant under state statute: 0

1

0850011 - Monroe School District

²A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

³The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

Educators

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)1 Staff

	FTE
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	205.9
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	27.8
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	32.3
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	65.4
Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	6.0
School Level	17.0
Library/Media	
Specialists (Certified)	3.0
Support Staff	2.0
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers	11.6
Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists	17.2
School Nurses	7.0
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support	161.6

¹In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

Educators by Race/Ethnicity

		District	State
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
Asian	1	0.3	1.0
Black or African American	0	0	3.5
Hispanic	5	1.7	3.6
Native American	0	0	0.1
White	293	98.0	91.7

Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers²

	Percent of Total (%)		
District	100.0		
District Poverty Quartile: Low			
State High Poverty Quartile Schools	97.8		
State Low Poverty Quartile Schools	99.5		

²Core academic classes taught by teachers who are fully certified to teach in that subject area.

Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2012-13

	District	State
Average Number of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time	15.8	9.3

Instruction and Resources

11th and 12th Graders Who Enrolled in at Least Two Advanced Placement® or International Baccalaureate® Courses during High School³

	1	1th	1 1	2th
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Black or African American	*	*	0	0
Hispanic or Latino	6	20.0	*	*
White	56	20.8	69	25.3
English Language Learners	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	*	*	*	*
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0	0
District	70	21.9	80	26.2
State		14.2		26.8

³Effective 2014-15, this calculation will also include students who participate in dual enrollment courses and two or more courses within a career and technical education (CTE) concentration.

Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers⁴

Autism 27 84.4 Emotional Disturbance 19 70.4 Intellectual Disability * *		Count	Rate (%)
	Autism	27	84.4
Intellectual Disability * *	Emotional Disturbance	19	70.4
	Intellectual Disability	*	*
Learning Disability 86 71.7	Learning Disability	86	71.7
Other Health Impairment 64 83.1	Other Health Impairment	64	83.1
Other Disabilities * *	Other Disabilities	*	*
Speech/Language Impairment 55 84.6	Speech/Language Impairment	55	84.6
District 254 73.2	District	254	73.2
State 69.2	State		69.2

⁴Ages 6-21

Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability¹

	Dis	State	
	Count	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Autism	34	1.0	1.4
Emotional Disturbance	27	0.8	1.0
Intellectual Disability	15	0.4	0.4
Learning Disability	120	3.6	4.2
Other Health Impairment	77	2.3	2.5
Other Disabilities	16	0.5	1.0
Speech/Language Impairment	69	2.0	1.9
All Disabilities	358	10.6	12.4

Students with Disabilities Placed Outside of the District²

	District		State
	Count	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Public Schools in Other Districts	*	*	2.8
Private Schools or Other Settings	*	*	8.1

²Grades K-12

Overall Expenditures: 2012-13

		Per Pupil				
	Total (\$)	District (\$)	State (\$)			
Instructional Staff and Services	31,817,235	9,153	8,769			
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	577,168	166	275			
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	2,263,889	651	487			
Student Support Services	4,104,411	1,181	965			
Administration and Support Services	4,493,180	1,293	1,600			
Plant Operation and Maintenance	5,913,308	1,701	1,472			
Transportation	2,551,718	693	786			
Costs of Students Tuitioned Out	1,795,727	N/A	N/A			
Other	517,812	149	178			
Total	54,034,448	15,255	14,642			
Additional Expenditures						
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	3,122,733	898	1,434			

³Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

Special Education Expenditures: 2012-13

	Disti	State					
	Total (\$)	Percent of	Percent of				
		Total (%)	Total (%)				
Certified Personnel	4,551,116	44.7	35.6				
Noncertified Personnel	1,299,035	12.8	14.5				
Purchased Services	677,415	6.6	5.0				
Tuition to Other Schools	1,512,225	14.8	21.4				
Special Ed. Transportation	733,988	7.2	8.5				
Other Expenditures	1,414,466	13.9	14.9				
Total Expenditures	10,188,245	100.0	100.0				
PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Educ	ation	18.9	21.9				

Expenditures by Revenue Source:⁴ 2012-13

	Percent of Total (%)					
	Including	Excluding				
	School	School				
	Construction	Construction				
Local	84.9	84.9				
State	13.6	13.5				
Federal	1.5	1.6				
Tuition & Other	0.1	0.1				

⁴Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction).

¹Grades K-12

Performance

District Performance Index (DPI)

A District Performance Index (DPI) for the Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT) and the Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT) is the test performance of all subjects tested in the respective assessment for all students in the district. The DPI ranges in value from 0 to 100 points. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 88 because in a district with a DPI of 88 or above, students will have performed at or above the 'goal' level on the majority of tests. Detailed reports of CMT and CAPT assessment results are available at www.ctreports.com. School and District Performance Reports produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education (CSDE) are also available online.

CMT	DPI			2013-14				Note: If no	
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	Count	DPI	Target	Achieved	data are - displayed for
Black or African American	78.4	79.4	79.1	81.4					_ 2013-14, the
Hispanic or Latino	90.5	88.1	90.8	87.1					district
English Language Learners									implemented the Smarter
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	83.3	87.2	87.6	85.8					Balanced Fie
Students with Disabilities	65.2	70.2	69.2	66.8					Test.
High Needs	72.4	77.7	77.2	75.0					-
District	91.4	92.9	93.3	91.9					

ta are played for 13-14. the trict plemented Smarter lanced Field

САРТ	DPI				2013-14			
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	Count	DPI	Target	Achieved
Black or African American				•				
Hispanic or Latino				90.5				
English Language Learners								
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals		74.6	77.5	82.1				
Students with Disabilities		68.4	51.0	60.7				
High Needs	67.7	72.5	64.2	73.1				
District	89.8	88.1	85.5	91.6				

Note: If no data are displayed for 2013-14, the district implemented the Smarter **Balanced Field** Test.

2013 National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient¹

READING	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	43%	45%	50%
National Public	34%	34%	36%
MATH	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	45%	37%	32%
National Public	41%	34%	25%

¹NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across CMT/CAPT and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement CMT/CAPT results.

Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard²

	Percent	of Stude	All Teste	ed Grades		
	4	6	8	10	Count	Rate (%)
Sit & Reach	82.1	93.4	91.9	78.3	1,048	86.5
Curl Up	88.9	90.9	91.6	95.6	1,048	91.7
Push Up	77.5	87.6	84.8	76.3	1,048	81.6
Mile Run/PACER	71.8	86.3	83.4	78.7	1,048	80.1
All Tests - District	51.5	73.9	70.3	57.0	1,048	63.3
All Tests - State	50.2	50.7	50.3	53.9		51.1

²The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

³Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

Cohort Graduation: Four-Year¹

		2013-14			
	Cohort Count ²	Rate (%)	Target³ (%)	Target Achieved	Target³ (%)
Black or African American	*	*			
Hispanic or Latino	*	*			
English Language Learners	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	42	95.2			
Students with Disabilities	33	84.8	73.3	Yes	75.4
District	309	97.1	94.0	Yes	94.0
State ⁴		85.5			

¹The four-year cohort graduation rate represents the percentage of first-time 9th graders who earn a standard high school diploma within four years. Statewide, district and school results for cohorts of 2010 through 2013 are <u>available online</u>.

11th and 12th Graders Meeting Benchmark on at Least One College Readiness Exam⁵

	Participation ⁶	Meeting E	Benchmark
	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	90.9	169	55.0
Male	82.1	164	51.6
Black or African American	*	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	78.7	22	46.8
White	86.5	286	52.8
English Language Learners	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	79.2	22	41.5
Students with Disabilities	29.2	*	*
District	86.4	333	53.3
State	72.9		37.6

⁵College readiness exams and benchmark scores are as follows:

- SAT® composite score of 1550 or higher
- ACT® meets benchmark score on 3 of 4 exams (benchmark score varies by subject)
- AP® 3 or higher on any one AP® exam
- IB® 4 or higher on any one IB® exam

⁶Participation Rate equals the number of test-takers in 11th and 12th grade divided by the number of students enrolled in those grades, as a percent.

Sources:

SAT® and AP® statistics derived from data provided by the College Board.

Copyright © 2014 The College Board. www.collegeboard.org

ACT® statistics derived from data provided by ACT, Inc.

Copyright © 2015 ACT, Inc. www.act.org

IB® statistics derived from data provided by the International Baccalaureate Organization.

Copyright © International Baccalaureate Organization 2014

College Entrance and Persistence

	Class of 2013	Class of 2012
	Entrance ⁷	Persistence ⁸
	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Female	85.9	97.1
Male	79.6	95.4
Black or African American	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	*	*
White	84.7	96.2
English Language Learners	84.7	*
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	75.0	95.8
Students with Disabilities	59.5	*
District	83.0	96.2
State	72.7	88.5

⁷College entrance refers to the percent of high school graduates from the year who enrolled in college any time during the first year after high school.

Source: National Student Clearinghouse

²Cohort count includes all students in the cohort as of the end of the 2012-13 school year.

³Targets are calculated when there are at least 20 students in a cohort in the base year (i.e., 2010-11).

⁴Targets are not displayed at the state level.

⁸College persistence refers to the percent of students who enrolled in college the first year after high school and returned for a second year (Freshman to Sophomore persistence).

Narratives

School District Improvement Plans and Parental Outreach Activities

The district continues to build the capacity of all school and district data teams to use multiple sources of data to change and modify curriculum and instruction so as to support all learners. Using the district analytical software (INFORM) and the state reporting website (ctreports.com), professional development activities are linked to the improvement goals for each school and the district. Monroe educators receive training in research-based strategies, including the effective use of student performance data, teaching strategies that yield desired results, and developing every student's background knowledge to help them learn new skills and concepts. Each school has developed intervention strategies and staff members have been trained in the use of scientifically research-based interventions to support individual students. Continuous monitoring is provided through the district's use of benchmarking assessments and work continues to validate those assessments as reliable predictors of future success. Intervention has been extended to include enrichment activities that support a flexible grouping model to assist those students that are more independent learners as well as those that require more direction to achieve at a higher level. The district increased reading support staff at the elementary and middle schools. The high school added support for students needing reading support to meet the demands of comprehensive high school curricula.

Our district has psychologists and guidance counselors at all levels. These individuals collaborate with building administrators to work with families who find school attendance an issue. Each school also has a School Resource Officer who will talk with students and families about the importance of school. Building relationships between schools and families is the priority. Each school has a School Climate Committee which examines school attendance and looks for ways to make school inviting to all students.

Much effort is placed on building unity within the schools. School fairs, family fun nights, pizza bingo, ice hockey and baseball outings are planned with the ultimate goal of building a sense of community. We have a district-wide Parents' Council with representatives from each of our school's PTOs. From this group, we get parent volunteers for various school and district committees. Two such examples include our District Curriculum Council which has parent representatives giving input into curriculum materials and courses as well as an Elementary Parent Progress Reports Committee examining our revised standards based reporting system; both reply heavily on parent input. Engaging families in the learning process at our schools starts early and continues at all levels. Parent nights for math and reading as well as curriculum overview nights are offered at the various levels. Other items addressed include strategies available for parents to help to monitor and support their child's progress in school (i.e. how to help with homework and when to ask for additional help). Our website offers parent supports for home in a monthly newsletter under our Title 1 resources providing parents with tips for working with their elementary students at home. Newsletters and PTO/parent meetings highlight specific curriculum and content areas being implemented at school.

Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation

The district supports school-based efforts that include differentiated curricula to present diverse cultural perspectives involving a variety of topics and concepts. In the development of curriculum units of study and lesson plans, teachers are challenged to consider multi-cultural perspectives that go beyond the demographics of the community. The multi-cultural perspective in its broader sense includes race, ethnicity, religious perspective, economics, and gender. Schools provide opportunities for students to engage in community service projects and special programs, including exchanges with students from other districts within the region. The district supports participation in the Bridgeport Six-to-Six Magnet School and nine Monroe students participate in that program. Eight Masuk High School students have chosen to participate in the Regional Center for the Arts (RCA) and nineteen students participate in the Aquaculture School program in Bridgeport. Inter-district grants coordinated by Cooperative Educational Services (CES), the district's local Regional Education Service Center (RESC), provide opportunities for students to work with students from other districts in various disciplines. Additionally, grants have supported exchanges involving theatre, music and the visual arts. The schools also engage in programs designed to build further understandings of differences while emphasizing those human qualities that unite us in the common understanding of what it means to live in peace and cooperation. The school's Positive Climate Committees also focus on creating a climate where all students are valued. Ours schools have extensive, grade level appropriate community service programs. School-wide activities at the elementary level transition to middle school's community service club referred to as the Student Activists. The high school has a very active Interact Club that follows the local Rotary Club in its design and commitment to service.

Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools

It is the practice of the district to provide its schools with equitable resources, both in staffing levels and in instructional materials. The district recognizes that comprehensive middle and high school programs are inherently more costly than elementary school programs. The proposed budget is developed with input from the school administrators. In meetings with the superintendent, assistant superintendent and finance director, each principal reviews his/her staffing needs to meet class size guidelines. Principals also present requests to fund needs that are unique to their schools. The district has three elementary schools and the vetting process is designed to insure comparable class size, special program offerings, intervention, enrichment and special education services, and equity in the distribution of funds for technology and instructional supplies. Similarly, the middle school and high school principals meet to review their budgets and present requests specific to the changing needs in their buildings.