Connecticut State Department of Education

DISTRICT PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2014–15



Eastford School District

Ms. Linda Loretz, Superintendent • 860-974-1130 • www.eastfordct.org

District Information

PK-8
1
139
\$21,839
\$3,101,161

¹Expenditure data reflect the 2013-14 year.



Community Information

<u>CERC Town Profiles</u> provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

Related Reports/Publications

CT Reports (CMT/CAPT)

District and School Performance Reports

Special Education Annual Performance Reports

SAT®, AP®, PSAT® Report by High School (Class of 2015)

(2015® The College Board)

Contents

Students 1	
Educators2	
Instruction and Resources	
Performance and Accountability4	
Narratives6	

Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2014-15 and include all grades offered by the district.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit EdSight.ct.gov).

State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.

Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been

suppressed to ensure student confidentiality. N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

Students

October 1, 2014 Enrollment				
		District	State	
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)	
Female	66	47.5	48.3	
Male	73	52.5	51.6	
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	0.2	
Asian	*	*	4.7	
Black or African American	0	0.0	12.9	
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	22.1	
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.0	
Two or More Races	*	*	2.5	
White	129	92.8	57.2	
English Language Learners	0	0.0	6.3	
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	19	13.7	37.6	
Students with Disabilities ¹	18	12.9	13.3	

¹Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

	Chronic		Suspe	ension/
	Absenteeism ²		Ехри	ılsion³
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	*	*	0	0.0
Male	*	*	*	*
Black or African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	0	*	0	*
White	*	*	*	*
English Language Learners	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	*	*	*	*
Students with Disabilities	0	0.0	*	*
District	7	5.8	*	*
State		10.6		7.2

Number of students in 2013-14 qualified as truant under state statute: 1

²A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

³The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

Educators

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)1 Staff

	FTE
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	13.3
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	2.9
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	2.0
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	5.1
Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	0.5
School Level	1.0
Library/Media	
Specialists (Certified)	0.2
Support Staff	0.5
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers	0.0
Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists	0.0
School Nurses	1.0
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support	9.7

¹In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

Educators by Race/Ethnicity

		District	State
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0.0	0.1
Asian	0	0.0	1.0
Black or African American	0	0.0	3.5
Hispanic or Latino	0	0.0	3.5
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.0
Two or More Races	0	0.0	0.0
White	21	100.0	91.8

Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers²

	Percent of Total (%)			
District	100.0			
District Poverty Quartile: Middle				
State High Poverty Quartile Schools 97.9				
State Low Poverty Quartile Schools	99.6			

²Core academic classes taught by teachers who are fully certified to teach in that subject area.

Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2013-14

	District	State
Average Number of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time	8.6	9.2

Instruction and Resources

Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers³

Count	Rate (%)
*	*
N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A
*	*
0	0
*	*
7	*
12	60.0
	69.7
	* N/A N/A * 0 * 7

³Ages 6-21

Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability¹

	Dis	State	
	Count	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Autism	*	*	1.5
Emotional Disturbance	0	0.0	1.0
Intellectual Disability	0	0.0	0.5
Learning Disability	*	*	4.4
Other Health Impairment	*	*	2.6
Other Disabilities	*	*	1.0
Speech/Language Impairment	9	4.8	1.9
All Disabilities	22	11.8	13.0

Students with Disabilities Placed Outside of the District²

	Dis	State	
	Count	Rate (%)	
Public Schools in Other Districts	*	*	8.1
Private Schools or Other Settings	0	0.0	5.4

²Grades K-12

Overall Expenditures: 2013-14

		Per Pupil		
	Total (\$)	District (\$)	State (\$)	
Instructional Staff and Services	1,663,594	10,945	9,134	
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	67,692	445	334	
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	138,030	908	498	
Student Support Services	286,889	1,887	1,001	
Administration and Support Services	371,202	2,442	1,694	
Plant Operation and Maintenance	271,519	1,786	1,572	
Transportation	247,541	1,147	813	
Costs of Students Tuitioned Out	54,694	N/A	N/A	
Other	0	0	186	
Total	3,101,161	21,839	15,289	
Additional Expenditures				
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	0	0	1,272	

³Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

Special Education Expenditures: 2013-14

	Dist	State	
	Total (\$)	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
Certified Personnel	171,833	28.3	35.1
Noncertified Personnel	144,229	23.7	14.2
Purchased Services	138,300	22.8	5.2
Tuition to Other Schools	67,051	11.0	22.0
Special Ed. Transportation	8,125	1.3	8.6
Other Expenditures	78,120	12.9	14.9
Total Expenditures	607,658	100.0	100.0

Expenditures by Revenue Source:⁴ 2013-14

	Percent of Total (%)			
	Including Excluding			
	School	School		
	Construction	Construction		
Local	60.2	64.6		
State	37.0	32.6		
Federal	2.1	2.1		
Tuition & Other	0.7	0.7		

⁴Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction).

¹Grades K-12

Performance and Accountability

District Performance Index (DPI)

A District Performance Index (DPI) is the average performance of students in a subject area (i.e., ELA, Mathematics or Science) on the state summative assessments. The DPI ranges from 0-100. A DPI is reported for all students tested in a district and for students in each individual student group. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 75.

	English Language Arts(ELA)		Math		Science	
	Count	DPI	Count	DPI	Count	DPI
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Asian	*	*	*	*	N/A	N/A
Black or African American	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	*	*	*	*
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	N/A	N/A
White	78	76.4	78	69.0	27	62.2
English Language Learners	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Non-English Language Learners	83	75.8	83	67.9	28	62.9
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	8	*	8	*	N/A	N/A
Not Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	75	75.2	75	67.5	28	62.9
Students with Disabilities	17	*	17	*	*	*
Students without Disabilities	66	78.5	66	72.6	*	*
High Needs	24	70.6	24	56.6	*	*
Non-High Needs	59	77.9	59	72.4	*	*
District	83	75.8	83	67.9	28	62.9

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient¹

	NAEP	NAEP 2013	
READING	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	43%	43%	50%
National Public	35%	33%	36%
MATH	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	41%	36%	32%
National Public	39%	32%	25%

¹NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across Smarter Balanced and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement other state assessment data. To view student subgroup performance on NAEP, click here.

Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard²

	Percent of Students by Grade ³ (%)				All Tested Grades	
	4	6	8	10	Count	Rate (%)
Sit & Reach	*	*	*	N/A	45	95.6
Curl Up	*	*	*	N/A	45	95.6
Push Up	*	*	*	N/A	45	75.6
Mile Run/PACER	*	*	*	N/A	45	91.1
All Tests - District	*	*	*	N/A	45	75.6
All Tests - State	50.8	51.0	50.3	51.9		51.0

²The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

³Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

Next Generation Accountability Results

These statistics are the first results from Connecticut's Next Generation Accountability System for districts and schools. This system is a broad set of 12 indicators that help tell the story of how well a school is preparing its students for success in college, careers and life. It moves beyond test scores and graduation rates and instead provides a more holistic, multifactor perspective of district and school performance and incorporates student growth over time.

Indi	cator	Index/Rate	Target	Points	Max	% Points	State Average
ELA Performance Index	All Students	75.8	75	100.0	100	100.0	67.9
ELA Performance index	High Needs Students	70.6	75	94.1	100	94.1	56.7
Math Performance Index	All Students	67.9	75	90.5	100	90.5	59.3
iviatii Perioriiiante intex	High Needs Students	56.6	75	75.5	100	75.5	47.8
Science Performance Index	All Students	62.9	75	83.8	100	83.8	56.5
Science Performance index	High Needs Students	N/A	75	0.0	0	0.0	45.9
Chronic Abcontociem	All Students	5.8%	<=5%	48.3	50	96.7	10.6%
Chronic Absenteeism	High Needs Students	2.9%	<=5%	50.0	50	100.0	17.3%
Down and the few CCD	% Taking Courses	N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	66.1%
Preparation for CCR	% Passing Exams	N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	37.3%
On-track to High School Graduation		N/A	94%	0.0	0	0.0	85.6%
4-year Graduation All Studer	nts (2014 Cohort)	N/A	94%	0.0	0	0.0	87.0%
6-year Graduation - High Needs Students (2012 Cohort)		N/A	94%	0.0	0	0.0	77.6%
Postsecondary Entrance (Class of 2014)		N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	72.8%
Physical Fitness (estimated part rate) and (fitness rate)		97.8% 75.6%	75%	50.0	50	100.0	87.6% 51.0%
Arts Access		N/A	60%	0.0	0	0.0	45.7%
Accountability Index				592.3	650	91.1	

Gap Indicators	Non-High Needs Rate ¹	High Needs Rate	Size of Gap	State Gap Mean + 1 Stdev ²	Is Gap an Outlier? ²
Achievement Gap Size Outlier?					N
ELA Performance Index Gap	75.0	70.6	4.4	17.3	
Math Performance Index Gap	72.4	56.6	15.8	19.6	
Science Performance Index Gap	65.1	N/A		17.2	
Graduation Rate Gap					

¹If the Non-High Needs Rate exceeds the ultimate target (75 for Performance Index and 94% for graduation rate), then the ultimate target is displayed and used for gap calculations.

²If the size of the gap exceeds the state mean gap plus one standard deviation, then the gap is an outlier.

Sul	bject/Subgroup	Participation Rate (%)
ELA All Students High Needs Students		100.0
		100.0
All Students		100.0
Math High Needs Students		100.0
All Students		96.6
High Needs Students		

Connecticut's State Identified Measurable Goal for Children with Disabilities (SIMR)

Increase the reading performance of all 3rd grade students with disabilities statewide, as measured by Connecticut's English Language Arts (ELA) Performance Index.

Grade 3 ELA Performance Index for Students with Disabilities:

District: * State: 50.1

Supporting Resources

Two-page FAQ

Detailed Presentation

Using Accountability Results to Guide Improvement: comprehensive documentation and supports

Narratives

School District Improvement Plans and Parental Outreach Activities

Teachers and administrators use data to inform instruction and implement personalized plans to improve student achievement. All students participate in assessments that are analyzed for both group and individual performance on specific skills. The data collected are used to provide appropriate interventions for students requiring enrichment or reinforcement. The Common Core Standards-Based Report Cards and data are maintained electronically and follow students from grade to grade to facilitate curricular articulation. The Eastford Elementary School has established a Student Assistance Team (SAT) to facilitate the Response to Intervention (RTI) program. The team analyzes data and assists teachers in developing action plans, monitoring progress of students and communicating performance with parents. Parents are invited to examine student data at parent conference times or upon request. Special education teachers and general education teachers collaborate at SAT meetings to ensure that action plans for regular and special education students are skill-specific and carried out with optimal fidelity and efficiency.

In the area of special education, Eastford has focused on increasing the inclusion of all students with disabilities in the regular classroom. The special education teachers are experts at inclusion and they co-teach at many grade levels.

Eastford Elementary has few attendance and truancy issues. The District has joined an EASTCONN collaborative to ensure proper supports when there are truancy concerns.

The Eastford District is fortunate to have five active parent groups. All stakeholders regularly help the school function as a collaborative, inclusive and resourceful educational community. 1. Among other projects, The Eastford PTO sponsors cultural programs and supports academic projects. 2. The Middle School Fundraising Group sponsors an Annual Goods and Services Auction and raises 100% of the money needed to support the eighth-grade? trip to Washington, D.C. 3. The Sports Boosters support the soccer, basketball and track teams with uniforms, equipment and awards. 4. The Parent Advisory Committee meets monthly with the principal and teachers. The group discusses current school issues, policies, curriculum, technology and programs. In addition, parents are invited to participate on committees charged with school improvement efforts—wellness, technology, awards, bullying and others. 5. The Readiness Council governs the preschool program and efforts to include all parents who have pre-kindergarten children.

The PTO and Title I personnel coordinate family involvement activities each year. At least two family programs per year are coordinated with school book fairs that are planned to coincide with nighttime parent conferences in November and April. Teachers coordinate activities such as Family Math Night where they provide opportunities for parents and students to interact with staff and share academic experiences that can be brought home. The school's website is being used as a primary home-to-school communication tool. Teachers in grades 2-8 post homework assignments online; all teachers post instructional information on the website. The parent groups also sponsor pages on the school website. The calendar, academic information and details about school events are kept up-to-date for the benefit of all parents.

Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation

The Eastford School District is a one school District; the Eastford Elementary School houses grades PK-8 and high school students attend Woodstock Academy. Eastford has little diversity in population, yet the schools support efforts to reduce racial, ethnic and economic isolation. It has become apparent that up-to-date curricular goals provide a powerful vehicle for multicultural education. The curriculum is aligned with discipline-based standards as well as Connecticut's Common Core of Learning. Curriculum development has been enhanced with the purchase of teaching materials that reflect the diversity of the greater community.

Classroom experiences are supplemented with field trips and guest speakers designed to emphasize the importance of becoming active, constructive members of a larger community. Students at every grade level attend theater performances on a yearly basis and cultural programs are brought into the school throughout the year.

There has been a concerted effort to increase the resources of the Media Center. Book collections and multimedia programs are growing in volume and in diversity. Four mobile computer labs have become extensions of the Media Center. Distance Learning equipment has been obtained so that students can take virtual field trips and interact with academic experts located throughout the world. Students have been able to collaborate with students throughout the United States and even overseas.

The regional service center, EASTCONN, offers regional programs for staff and students. These foster communication and relationships between Eastford and other communities. Teachers pursue interdistrict grant programs that offer opportunities for students to communicate and collaborate with students from other community settings.

A professional development collaborative has been formed among staff in neighboring communities as well as Woodstock Academy. Administrators and teachers meet regularly to plan joint projects and cosponsor professional development programs designed to promote success for all students. Eastford students benefit because collaborating with neighboring districts increases students' exposure to a variety of viewpoints and perspectives.

Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools

Since Eastford is a one school District, resources remain at the Eastford Elementary School and tuition is paid to the Woodstock Academy for high school students.