STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2010-11

Park City Prep Charter School

BRUCE S. RAVAGE, Director

Telephone:

Location: 510 Barnum Ave.
Bridgeport,
Connecticut

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at www.sde.ct.gov.

COMMUNITY DATA

County: N/A Per Capita Income in 2000: N/A

Town Population in 2000: N/A
1990-2000 Population Growth: N/A
Number of Public Schools: 1

Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000*: N/A
Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000*: N/A
District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: N/A

District Reference Group (DRG): N/A

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Enrollment on October 1, 2010 250 5-Year Enrollment Change N/A Grade Range 6 - 8

INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in District	Percent		
		District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	158	63.2	N/A	34.1
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	1	0.4	N/A	5.6
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented	0	0.0	N/A	4.0
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	21	8.4	N/A	11.4
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Homeless	0	0.0	N/A	0.3
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

^{*}To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity				
Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent		
American Indian	0	0.0		
Asian American	2	0.8		
Black	148	59.2		
Hispanic	90	36.0		
Pacific Islander	1	0.4		
White	7	2.8		
Two or more races	2	0.8		
Total Minority	243	97.2		

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 5.3%

Non-English Home Language:

0.4% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 1.

EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

Park City Prep places a high priority on preparing its students for admission to competitive public choice and private high schools, most of which have student bodies far more diverse than those of the local public high schools. Last year, more than 70% of Park City Prep's graduates were accepted to one or more of fifteen selective private or public choice high schools. Recent graduates are currently attending Phillips Exeter Academy, Rye Country Day School, Green's Farms Academy, Hopkin's School, School of the Holy Child (Rye, NY), Fairfield Prep and Notre Dame of Fairfield, Laurelton Hall in Milford and St. Joseph's and Christian Heritage School, both in Trumbull. In addition, five of our graduates were selected as A Better Chance (ABC) scholars, and currently attend Andover (MA) High School, Simsbury, Ridgefield and Guilford High Schools. Among the public schools, our students attend the highly selective Center for Global Studies, as well as Bridgeport Regional Aquaculture School, the Academy of Information Technology (Stamford) and the Regional Center for the Arts, where they have the opportunity to attend classes with students from a diversity of suburban communities, as well. We plan to expand the number of such high school opportunities for the graduates of this year's class by assisting our students in gaining admission to a number of other prestigious boarding schools, such as Choate-Rosemary Hall in Wallingford, The Taft School in Watertown and Miss Hall's School in Pittsfield, Massachusetts, as well as increasing the number of independent day school choices. We aim to place our graduates in high school environments that will enable them to capitalize on the foundation established here at Park City Prep. Attending high schools with more diverse student populations is an integral part of achieving that objective.

STUDENT PERFORMANCE

Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade a	nd CMT Subject	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal	These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable
Grade 3	Reading	N/A	N/A	N/A	tests who were enrolled in the district at the
	Writing	N/A	N/A	N/A	time of testing,
	Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	regardless of the length
Grade 4	Reading	N/A	N/A	N/A	of time they were enrolled in the district.
	Writing	N/A	N/A	N/A	Results for fewer than
	Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	20 students are not
Grade 5	Reading	N/A	N/A	N/A	presented.
	Writing	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	For more detailed CMT results, go to
Grade 6	Reading	57.8	76.0	7.7	www.ctreports.
	Writing	55.1	65.2	19.6	7
	Mathematics	67.8	71.3	29.2	7
Grade 7	Reading	58.0	77.8	8.3	To see the NCLB
	Writing	43.2	58.9	12.0	Report Card for this
	Mathematics	55.7	68.4	16.0	school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and
Grade 8	Reading	54.4	74.7	9.6	click on "No Child Left
	Writing	35.3	64.8	5.7	Behind."
	Mathematics	61.8	66.6	26.1	7
	Science	43.3	63.1	12.7	
				•	

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scorable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	N/A
Writing Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Physical Fitness. The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of	District	State	% of Districts in State
Students Reaching Health			with Equal or Lower
Standard on All Four			Percent Reaching
Tests			Standard
	2.9	51.0	1.1

SAT® I: Reasoning Test Class of 2010		District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Scores
% of Graduates T	ested	N/A	N/A	
Average Score	Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Critical Reading	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Writing	N/A	N/A	N/A

SAT® I. The lowest possible score on each SAT® I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Adjusted Cohort Rate 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A
2009-10 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	N/A	N/A	N/A

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	N/A	N/A
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	N/A	N/A

RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

DISTRICT STAFF

Full-Time Equivalent Count of School Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	16.00
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	0.00
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	0.00
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	0.00
Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants	0.00
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs District Central Office School Level	0.00 1.00
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	0.00
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	0.85
School Nurses	1.00
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	4.00

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	3.0	N/A	13.9
% with Master's Degree or Above	43.8	N/A	79.0

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 2	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7	21.3	N/A	20.6
High School	N/A	N/A	N/A

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	N/A	N/A	N/A
Middle School	956	N/A	1,017
High School	N/A	N/A	N/A

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be
offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten, and
450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Middle School	3.1	N/A	2.4
High School	N/A	N/A	N/A

^{*}Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2009-10

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures All figures are unaudited.	Total (in 1000s)	Expenditures Per Pupil			
		District	PK-12 Districts	DRG	State
Instructional Staff and Services	\$986	\$4,074	\$8,245	N/A	\$8,237
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$284	\$1,174	\$312	N/A	\$300
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$48	\$200	\$273	N/A	\$463
Student Support Services	\$199	\$822	\$852	N/A	\$872
Administration and Support Services	\$621	\$2,565	\$1,718	N/A	\$1,459
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$457	\$1,890	\$1,231	N/A	\$1,410
Transportation	\$0	N/A	\$644	N/A	\$692
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$0	\$0	\$86	N/A	\$159
Total	\$2,595	\$10,725	\$14,049	N/A	\$13,780
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$0	\$0	\$1,449	N/A	\$1,616

Special Education Expenditures	District Total	Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education		
		District	DRG	State
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source. Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	5.9	81.0	11.7	1.4
Excluding School Construction	5.9	81.0	11.7	1.4

EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

This question does not apply. We are a one district school.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible N/A
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities N/A

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities					
Disability	Count	District Percent	DRG Percent	State Percent	
Autism	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Learning Disability	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Intellectual Disability	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Emotional Disturbance	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Speech Impairment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Other Health Impairment*	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Other Disabilities**	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

^{*}Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

^{**}Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2009-10 with a Standard Diploma	N/A	N/A
2009-10 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	N/A	3.9

STATE ASSESSMENTS

Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students with	Students with Disabilities		udents
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	N/A	N/A	56.9	68.6
	Writing	5.6	N/A	45.4	63.7
	Mathematics	5.9	N/A	62.0	68.2
	Science	N/A	N/A	43.3	61.5
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Writing Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

	Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools				
CMT	% Without Accommodations	13.6			
	% With Accommodations	86.4			
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	N/A			
% With Accommodations		N/A			
% Assessed Usin	0.0				

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with non-disabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District's Schools				
Placement Count Percen				
Public Schools in Other Districts	N/A	N/A		
Private Schools or Other Settings	N/A	N/A		

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by
the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers

Time Spent with Non-Disabled Peers	Count of Students	Percent of Students		dents
		District	DRG	State
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

Parents are kept fully informed and encouraged to play an active role at Park City Prep. Parents receive formal mid-term and end-of term, written reports from teachers, as well as written academic alerts at various other intervals in each term. In addition to written reports, teachers are expected to maintain on-going phone or e-mail communication with parents. 85% of our parents regularly attend Parent-teacher conferences, which are scheduled at the mid-term, rather than the end of the terms, in order to provide parents with information early enough to take whatever measures may be needed before the final grades are issued later in the term. As the high school process is a high priority at Park City Prep, there are numerous meetings for parents of seventh graders, as well as throughout the 8th grade year. Parents have the opportunity to learn about high school choices of which most are unaware prior to these sessions. They are invited to attend presentations by admissions directors as well informational meetings by the Park City Prep director and guidance counselor. Parents are also coached and assisted in the application process for both admission and, in the case of tuition-charging schools, for financial aid. Park City also arranges visits to a variety of the high schools of interest to the families. Our school counselor is also a ready resource to our parents in regard to any concerns about their children or family issues that may affect their children's success in school. The counselor hosts various informational meetings and parenting workshops, as well. Parents also serve on our Board of Directors and our parent association gives parents another opportunity to contribute to our school in a variety of ways on a regular basis.