### Connecticut State Department of Education

### DISTRICT PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2016–17



### Side By Side Charter School District

203-857-0306

#### **District Information**

PK-8
1
236
\$14,412
\$3,401,257

<sup>1</sup>Expenditure data reflect the 2015-16 year.



#### **Community Information**

<u>CERC Town Profiles</u> provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

### **Related Reports/Publications**

CT Reports (CMT/CAPT)

Special Education Annual Performance Reports
SAT®, AP®, PSAT® Report by High School (Class of 2017)
(2017® The College Board)

#### **Contents**

Students	1
Educators	2
Instruction and Resources	2
Performance and Accountability	4
Narratives	6

#### **Notes**

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2016-17 and include all grades offered by the district.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit <a href="edsight.ct.gov">edsight.ct.gov</a>.

State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.

Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

\* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been suppressed to ensure student confidentiality. N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

#### **Students**

October 1, 2016 Enrollment				
		District	State	
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)	
Female	126	53.4	48.4	
Male	110	46.6	51.6	
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0.0	0.3	
Asian	8	3.4	5.1	
Black or African American	64	27.1	12.9	
Hispanic or Latino	112	47.5	24.0	
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.1	
Two or More Races	17	7.2	2.9	
White	35	14.8	54.8	
English Learners	17	7.2	6.8	
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	113	47.9	35.9	
Students with Disabilities <sup>1</sup>	20	8.5	14.3	

<sup>1</sup>Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

#### Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

	Chronic		Suspension	
	Absenteeism <sup>2</sup>		Absenteeism <sup>2</sup> Expuls	
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	8	8.0	*	*
Male	6	6.3	*	*
Black or African American	*	*	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	*	*
White	*	*	*	*
English Learners	0	*	0	*
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	6	6.2	*	*
Students with Disabilities	*	*	0	0.0
District	14	7.2	6	2.6
State		9.9		6.7

Number of students in 2015-16 qualified as truant under state statute: 0

Number of school-based arrests: 0

<sup>2</sup>A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

<sup>3</sup>The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

### **Educators**

#### Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)1 Staff

	FTE
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	13.5
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	13.0
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	1.0
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	0.5
Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	2.0
School Level	0.0
Library/Media	
Specialists (Certified)	0.0
Support Staff	0.0
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers	0.0
Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists	1.0
School Nurses	1.0
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support	12.5

<sup>1</sup>In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

#### **Educators by Race/Ethnicity**

		District	State
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0.0	0.1
Asian	0	0.0	1.0
Black or African American	0	0.0	3.6
Hispanic or Latino	0	0.0	3.6
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.0
Two or More Races	0	0.0	0.1
White	18	100.0	91.4

#### **Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2015-16**

	District	State
Average Number of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time	7.3	9.6

### **Instruction and Resources**

# Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers<sup>3</sup>

	Count	Rate (%)
Autism	N/A	N/A
Emotional Disturbance	N/A	N/A
Intellectual Disability	N/A	N/A
Learning Disability	N/A	N/A
Other Health Impairment	N/A	N/A
Other Disabilities	N/A	N/A
Speech/Language Impairment	N/A	N/A
District	N/A	N/A
State		68.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Ages 6-21

#### Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability<sup>1</sup>

	Dis	State	
	Count	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Autism	0	0.0	1.7
Emotional Disturbance	0	0.0	1.0
Intellectual Disability	0	0.0	0.5
Learning Disability	0	0.0	4.9
Other Health Impairment	0	0.0	2.9
Other Disabilities	0	0.0	1.1
Speech/Language Impairment	0	0.0	1.8
All Disabilities	0	0.0	13.9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Grades K-12

#### Overall Expenditures: 2015-16

		Per I	Pupil
	Total (\$)	District (\$)	State (\$)
Instructional Staff and Services	1,627,127	6,895	9,663
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	80,697	342	321
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	4,306	18	578
Student Support Services	286,316	1,213	1,103
Administration and Support Services	610,162	2,585	1,861
Plant Operation and Maintenance	792,649	3,359	1,637
Transportation	0		877
Costs of Students Tuitioned Out		N/A	N/A
Other	0	0	201
Total	3,401,257	14,412	16,236
Additiona	l Expenditures		
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	0	0	1,749

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

#### **Special Education Expenditures: 2015-16**

	Dist	State	
	Total (\$)	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
Certified Personnel	0	0.0	34.6
Noncertified Personnel	0	0.0	14.6
Purchased Services	0	0.0	5.8
Tuition to Other Schools	0	0.0	21.8
Special Ed. Transportation	0	0.0	8.5
Other Expenditures	0	0.0	14.7
Total Expenditures	0	0.0	100.0

# Expenditures by Revenue Source:4 2015-16

	Percent of Total (%)		
	Including Excluding		
	School	School	
	Construction	Construction	
Local	0.0	0.0	
State	92.7	85.7	
Federal	1.6	1.6	
Tuition & Other	5.7	12.7	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction).

## Performance and Accountability

#### **District Performance Index (DPI)**

A District Performance Index (DPI) is the average performance of students in a subject area (i.e., ELA, Mathematics or Science) on the state summative assessments. The DPI ranges from 0-100. A DPI is reported for all students tested in a district and for students in each individual student group. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 75.

	English Language Arts(ELA)		Mat	h	Science	
	Count	DPI	Count	DPI	Count	DPI
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Asian	*	*	*	*	*	*
Black or African American	36	63.6	36	63.9	10	*
Hispanic or Latino	63	69.2	63	69.2	21	53.3
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Two or More Races	6	*	6	*	*	*
White	18	*	18	*	*	*
English Learners	18	*	18	*	*	*
Non-English Learners	110	71.2	110	71.3	36	54.2
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	63	65.7	63	67.4	20	49.5
Not Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	65	72.5	65	71.2	20	54.4
Students with Disabilities	13	*	13	*	6	*
Students without Disabilities	115	70.6	115	71.2	34	54.5
High Needs	72	65.1	72	66.5	24	48.2
Non-High Needs	56	74.4	56	73.0	16	*
District	128	69.2	128	69.3	40	51.9

# National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient<sup>1</sup>

	NAEP	NAEP 2013		
READING	Grade 4 Grade 8		Grade 12	
Connecticut	43%	43%	50%	
National Public	35%	33%	36%	
MATH	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12	
Connecticut	41%	36%	32%	
National Public	39%	32%	25%	

<sup>1</sup>NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across Smarter Balanced and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement other state assessment data. To view student subgroup performance on NAEP, click here.

### Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard<sup>2</sup>

	Percent of Students by Grade <sup>3</sup> (%)				All Tested Grades	
	4	6	8	10	Count	Rate (%)
Sit & Reach	91.7	90.9	*	N/A	64	93.8
Curl Up	75.0	100.0	*	N/A	64	90.6
Push Up	75.0	77.3	*	N/A	64	81.3
Mile Run/PACER	83.3	77.3	*	N/A	64	78.1
All Tests - District	58.3	68.2	*	N/A	64	65.6
All Tests - State	52.8	51.4	51.4	50.6		51.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

#### **Next Generation Accountability Results**

Connecticut's Next Generation Accountability System is a broad set of 12 indicators that help tell the story of how well a district/school is preparing its students for success in college, careers, and life. It moves beyond test scores and graduation rates to provide a more holistic, multifactor perspective of district and school performance.

Indicator		Index/Rate	Target	Points Earned	Max Points	% Points Earned	State Average Index/Rate
ELA Performance Index	All Students	69.2	75	46.1	50	92.2	67.1
ELA Performance index	High Needs Students	65.1	75	43.4	50	86.8	55.9
Math Performance Index	All Students	69.3	75	46.2	50	92.5	62.2
Math Performance muex	High Needs Students	66.5	75	44.3	50	88.6	50.5
Science Performance	All Students	51.9	75	34.6	50	69.3	55.3
Science Performance	High Needs Students	48.2	75	32.1	50	64.3	45.2
ELA Academic Growth	All Students	57.9%	100%	57.9	100	57.9	55.4%
ELA ACAGEMIC GIOWIN	High Needs Students	59.2%	100%	59.2	100	59.2	49.8%
	All Students	76.9%	100%	76.9	100	76.9	61.7%
Math Academic Growth	High Needs Students	78.4%	100%	78.4	100	78.4	53.7%
Chronic Absenteeism	All Students	7.2%	<=5%	45.6	50	91.3	9.9%
Chronic Absenteeism	High Needs Students	6.4%	<=5%	47.3	50	94.5	15.8%
Dranavation for CCD	% Taking Courses	N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	70.7%
Preparation for CCR	% Passing Exams	N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	43.5%
On-track to High School G	On-track to High School Graduation		94%	0.0	0	0.0	87.8%
4-year Graduation All Stud	4-year Graduation All Students (2016 Cohort)		94%	0.0	0	0.0	87.4%
6-year Graduation - High Needs Students (2014		N/A	94%	0.0	0	0.0	82.0%
Postsecondary Entrance (Class of 2016)		N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	72.0%
Physical Fitness (estimated part rate) and (fitness		100.0%   65.6%	75%	43.8	50	87.5	92.0%   51.6%
Arts Access		N/A	60%	0.0	0	0.0	50.5%
Accountability Index			655.8	850	77.2		

Gap Indicators	Non-High Needs Rate <sup>1</sup>	High Needs Rate	Size of Gap	State Gap Mean +1 Stdev <sup>2</sup>	Is Gap an Outlier? <sup>2</sup>
Achievement Gap Size Outlier?					N
ELA Performance Index Gap	74.4	65.1	9.3	16.7	
Math Performance Index Gap	73.0	66.5	6.6	18.7	
Science Performance Index Gap		48.2		16.6	
Graduation Rate Gap					

<sup>1</sup> If the Non-High Needs Rate exceeds the ultimate target (75 for Performance Index and 94% for graduation rate), the ultimate target is used for gap calculations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>If the size of the gap exceeds the state mean gap plus one standard deviation, the gap is an outlier.

Sul	oject/Subgroup	Participation Rate (%) <sup>3</sup>	
ГІА	All Students	100.0	<sup>3</sup> Minimum
ELA	High Needs Students	100.0	participation standard is 95%.
Math	All Students	100.0	
Math	High Needs Students	100.0	
Science	All Students	95.2	
	High Needs Students	92.3	

# Connecticut's State Identified Measurable Goal for Children with Disabilities (SIMR)

Increase the reading performance of all 3rd grade students with disabilities statewide, as measured by Connecticut's English Language Arts (ELA) Performance Index.

Grade 3 ELA Performance Index for Students with Disabilities:

District: \* State: 50.2

#### **Supporting Resources**

Two-page FAQ

**Detailed Presentation** 

Using Accountability Results to Guide Improvement: comprehensive documentation and supports

## **Narratives**

#### **School District Improvement Plans and Parental Outreach Activities**

As part of our school improvement plans, school leadership and stakeholders are in the process of implementing a new school planning cycle. The school.planning cycle is designed to address and counteract deficiencies identified in recent data trends, plan for enhanced student outcomes over the next 2-3.years, and provide a supportive environment for teachers that clearly articulates the relationship between the new teacher evaluation system (SEED),.the.implementation of the Common Core State Standards and the administration of the Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium standardized.assessment.

The second element is based on survey data and input from teachers during faculty meetings indicating parents come to school for fun events but are often less comfortable participating in events around student learning. One effort to marry the goals of increasing reading scores is to plan a series of interactive forums for parents and students that engage them in new curriculum practices such as close reading activities, offer opportunities for ongoing discussions about student outcomes, and improve the camaraderie and rapport of school community members and stakeholders.

As part of Side By Side's efforts to communicate and engage in practices that reflect high expectations for all students, Side By Side's Special Education.team is engaged with collaborative practices with general education teachers that work toward creating opportunities for our special needs population to be successful in accessing and achieving the rigors of the CCSS and their accompanying curricula. A major component of these efforts is professional.development for all teachers including our Special Education teacher around the common core instructional shifts, and in particular, ways to build.scaffolds to complex, grade level texts and content standards for struggling students that are reading below grade level.

In addition to a community based Monthly Newsletter that is sent to all families, we are able to provide more frequently updated information via our. Website and through social network devices such as Facebook, Twitter, and our "Director's Blog.". All Side by Side teachers are required to develop and.maintain a class "web-page." This page includes daily classroom news, homework and classwork assignments, curricular updates, as well as resourceful.links for parents to use at home. Recently, Side By Side has engaged in efforts to enhance their engagement with parents around supporting.their.children's learning at home. These new efforts, along with longstanding efforts to help parents participate in their children's learning include, summer reading packages, parent monitored student reading logs, math game kits that are curriculum embedded and common core aligned, ipad and.android app recommendations, and an open door policy during the school day and outside school hours. Additionally, classroom specific parent learning.nights offer opportunities for parents to learn what occurring in the classroom, to see student work, and learn about instructional strategies and learning.activities.they can engage in with their children at home. School wide parent forums on teaching and learning also support this process.

#### Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation

Side by Side Charter School is an urban/suburban, regional, public charter school. As a "school of choice," our students come from many communities, all.very different from each other. The very nature of Side by Side lends itself to a respect for diversity and an understanding of many cultures. At Side by Side, the curriculum is structured to reflect and enhance the diversity of our students and to promote each individual's unique gifts and talents. In accordance with our charter, our student body will consistently represent the ethnic, racial and socioeconomic diversity of the residing towns of our students. Currently, 14% of our students came from towns outside of Norwalk (our host district). Our student population is approximately 73%.minority. In addition to the curricular opportunities that lend themselves to racial and socioeconomic diversity, there are a variety of extra-curricular activities. Programs that the school offers and/or mandates as well. For example, monthly R.I.S.E. —Themed All-School Meetings, addressing Respect, Independence, Social Justice, and Empathy; Scholarship resources for students to attend summer programs such as Horizons, Westport Arts Center, Earthplace, etc., after-school, "Extended Day" program- offerings integrate community building and cultural education. A highlight here is the long trip to.Nature's Classroom, for 6th and 7th graders where they engage in hands- on, experiential community building activities to promote tolerance. We hold ESL classes for adults through our Family Resource Center, which takes place on Saturday mornings, and we provide in-house Spanish-English translation for our Child Study Team meetings and parent workshops. A new highly celebrated event is our International Night, where families come together to share meals, potluck style, from their favorite cultural recipes.

### **Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools**

N.A- Side by Side is a public Charter School LEA; Side by Side Charter School is the only school within the district.