Connecticut State Department of Education

DISTRICT PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2014–15



Elm City College Preparatory School District

203-498-0702

District Information

Grade Range	K-12
Number of Schools/Programs	1
Enrollment	635
Per Pupil Expenditures ¹	\$13,954
Total Expenditures ¹	\$8,707,382

¹Expenditure data reflect the 2013-14 year.



Community Information

<u>CERC Town Profiles</u> provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

Related Reports/Publications

CT Reports (CMT/CAPT)

District and School Performance Reports

Special Education Annual Performance Reports

SAT®, AP®, PSAT® Report by High School (Class of 2015)

(2015® The College Board)

Contents

Students 1	
Educators2	
Instruction and Resources	
Performance and Accountability 4	
Narratives7	

Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2014-15 and include all grades offered by the district.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit EdSight.ct.gov).

State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.

Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been

suppressed to ensure student confidentiality. N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

Students

October 1, 2014 Enrollment				
		District	State	
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)	
Female	346	54.5	48.3	
Male	289	45.5	51.6	
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	0.2	
Asian	*	*	4.7	
Black or African American	473	74.5	12.9	
Hispanic or Latino	146	23.0	22.1	
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.0	
Two or More Races	*	*	2.5	
White	8	1.3	57.2	
English Language Learners	33	5.2	6.3	
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	479	75.4	37.6	
Students with Disabilities ¹	35	5.5	13.3	

¹Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

	Chronic		Suspension/	
	Absenteeism ²		Ехри	ılsion³
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	16	4.8	34	10.1
Male	12	4.2	58	20.2
Black or African American	22	4.8	79	17.0
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	10	7.0
White	0	*	0	*
English Language Learners	*	*	*	*
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	25	4.9	74	14.2
Students with Disabilities	*	*	8	22.2
District	28	4.5	92	14.7
State		10.6		7.2

Number of students in 2013-14 qualified as truant under state statute: 79

²A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

³The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

Educators

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)1 Staff

	FTE
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	38.0
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	7.0
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	1.0
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	0.0
Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	1.0
School Level	9.0
Library/Media	
Specialists (Certified)	0.0
Support Staff	0.0
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers	0.0
Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists	2.0
School Nurses	1.0
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support	24.0

¹In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

Educators by Race/Ethnicity

		District	State
	Count Percent of Total (%)		Percent of Total (%)
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0.0	0.1
Asian	0	0.0	1.0
Black or African American	11	21.6	3.5
Hispanic or Latino	1	2.0	3.5
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.0
Two or More Races	0	0.0	0.0
White	38	74.5	91.8

Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers²

	Percent of Total (%)		
District	55.3		
District Poverty Quartile: High			
State High Poverty Quartile Schools 97.9			
State Low Poverty Quartile Schools 99.6			

 $^{^2\}mbox{Core}$ academic classes taught by teachers who are fully certified to teach in that subject area.

Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2013-14

	District	State
Average Number of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time	2.7	9.2

Instruction and Resources

11th and 12th Graders Enrolled in College-and-Career-Readiness Courses during High School³

11th		12th	
Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
10	*	*	*
0	0.0	0	0.0
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
0	0.0	*	*
7	*	9	*
0	0.0	*	*
10	*	17	*
	58.4		73.8
	Count 10 0 N/A 0 7 0	Count Rate (%) 10 * 0 0.0 N/A N/A 0 0.0 7 * 0 0.0 10 *	Count Rate (%) Count 10 * * 0 0.0 0 N/A N/A N/A 0 0.0 * 7 * 9 0 0.0 * 10 * 17

³College-and-Career-Readiness Courses include Advanced Placement®(AP), International Baccalaureate®(IB), Career and Technical Education(CTE), workplace experience and dual enrollment courses.

Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers⁴

	Count	Rate (%)
Autism	N/A	N/A
Emotional Disturbance	N/A	N/A
Intellectual Disability	N/A	N/A
Learning Disability	N/A	N/A
Other Health Impairment	N/A	N/A
Other Disabilities	N/A	N/A
Speech/Language Impairment	N/A	N/A
District	N/A	N/A
State		69.7

⁴Ages 6-21

Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability¹

	Dis	State	
	Count	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Autism	0	0.0	1.5
Emotional Disturbance	0	0.0	1.0
Intellectual Disability	0	0.0	0.5
Learning Disability	0	0.0	4.4
Other Health Impairment	0	0.0	2.6
Other Disabilities	0	0.0	1.0
Speech/Language Impairment	0	0.0	1.9
All Disabilities	0	0.0	13.0

Students with Disabilities Placed Outside of the District²

	District		State
	Count Rate (%)		Rate (%)
Public Schools in Other Districts	0	0.0	8.1
Private Schools or Other Settings	0	0.0	5.4

²Grades K-12

Overall Expenditures: 2013-14

		Per F	Pupil		
	Total (\$)	District (\$)	State (\$)		
Instructional Staff and Services	4,100,035	6,571	9,134		
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	305,199	489	334		
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	257,841	413	498		
Student Support Services	946,719	1,517	1,001		
Administration and Support Services	2,247,901	3,602	1,694		
Plant Operation and Maintenance	362,384	581	1,572		
Transportation	73,809		813		
Costs of Students Tuitioned Out		N/A	N/A		
Other	413,494	663	186		
Total	8,707,382	13,954	15,289		
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	15,252,733	24,443	1,272		

³Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

Special Education Expenditures: 2013-14

	Dist	rict	State
	Total (\$)	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
Certified Personnel	0	0.0	35.1
Noncertified Personnel	0	0.0	14.2
Purchased Services	0	0.0	5.2
Tuition to Other Schools	0	0.0	22.0
Special Ed. Transportation	0	0.0	8.6
Other Expenditures	0	0.0	14.9
Total Expenditures	0	0.0	100.0

Expenditures by Revenue Source:⁴ 2013-14

	Percent of Total (%)					
	Including	Excluding				
	School	School				
	Construction	Construction				
Local	0.0	0.0				
State	64.8	77.3				
Federal	3.1	8.4				
Tuition & Other	32.1	14.3				

⁴Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction).

¹Grades K-12

Performance and Accountability

District Performance Index (DPI)

A District Performance Index (DPI) is the average performance of students in a subject area (i.e., ELA, Mathematics or Science) on the state summative assessments. The DPI ranges from 0-100. A DPI is reported for all students tested in a district and for students in each individual student group. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 75.

	English Language Arts(ELA)		Mat	h	Scien	ce
	Count	DPI	Count	DPI	Count	DPI
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*	*	N/A	N/A
Black or African American	267	67.2	267	61.0	106	44.6
Hispanic or Latino	79	69.5	79	66.6	31	44.5
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	*	*
White	*	*	*	*	*	*
English Language Learners	33	69.0	33	65.3	14	*
Non-English Language Learners	323	67.8	323	62.1	127	45.3
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	291	66.1	291	60.9	115	44.5
Not Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	65	75.9	65	69.0	26	45.5
Students with Disabilities	22	44.3	22	42.4	10	*
Students without Disabilities	334	69.5	334	63.7	131	46.0
High Needs	296	66.3	296	61.1	116	44.3
Non-High Needs	60	75.8	60	68.5	25	46.3
District	356	67.9	356	62.4	141	44.7

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient¹

	NAEP	NAEP 2013	
READING	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	43%	43%	50%
National Public	35%	33%	36%
MATH	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	41%	36%	32%
National Public	39%	32%	25%

¹NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across Smarter Balanced and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement other state assessment data. To view student subgroup performance on NAEP, <u>click here.</u>

Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard²

	Percent of Students by Grade ³ (%)				All Teste	d Grades
	4	6	8	10	Count	Rate (%)
Sit & Reach	72.9	52.5	55.1	94.1	201	66.2
Curl Up	94.9	64.4	63.3	82.4	201	76.1
Push Up	83.1	55.9	44.9	79.4	201	65.2
Mile Run/PACER	79.7	62.7	57.1	47.1	201	63.7
All Tests - District	57.6	37.3	30.6	35.3	201	41.3
All Tests - State	50.8	51.0	50.3	51.9		51.0

²The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

³Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

Cohort Graduation: Four-Year¹

		2013-14			2014-15
	Cohort Count ²	Rate (%)	Target ³ (%)	Target Achieved	Target³ (%)
Black or African American	*	*			
Hispanic or Latino	*	*			
English Language Learners	*	*			
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	*	*			
Students with Disabilities	*	*			
District	24	62.5			
State ⁴		87.0			

¹The four-year cohort graduation rate represents the percentage of first-time 9th graders who earn a standard high school diploma within four years. Statewide, district and school results for cohorts of 2010 through 2013 are <u>available online</u>.

11th and 12th Graders Meeting Benchmark on at Least One College Readiness Exam⁵

	Participation ⁶	Meeting B	enchmark
	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	*	*	*
Male	95.0	*	*
Black or African American	94.1	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	*	0	*
White	N/A	N/A	N/A
English Language Learners	*	0	*
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	95.7	*	*
Students with Disabilities	*	0	*
District	94.6	10	27.0
State	67.2		37.3

⁵College readiness exams and benchmark scores are as follows:

- SAT® composite score of 1550 or higher
- ACT® meets benchmark score on 3 of 4 exams (benchmark score varies by subject)
- AP® 3 or higher on any one AP® exam
- IB® 4 or higher on any one IB® exam
- Smarter Balanced Level 3 or higher on both ELA and math

⁶Participation Rate equals the number of test-takers in 11th and 12th grade divided by the number of students enrolled in those grades, as a percent. Sources:

SAT® and AP® statistics derived from data provided by the College Board.

Copyright © 2015 The College Board. www.collegeboard.org

ACT® statistics derived from data provided by ACT, Inc.

Copyright © 2015 ACT, Inc. www.act.org

IB® statistics derived from data provided by the International Baccalaureate Organization.

Copyright © International Baccalaureate Organization 2015

College Entrance and Persistence

	Class of 2014	Class of 2013
	Entrance ⁷	Persistence ⁸
	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Female	*	*
Male	*	*
Black or African American	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	N/A	N/A
White	N/A	N/A
English Language Learners	N/A	N/A
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	*	*
Students with Disabilities	N/A	N/A
District	*	*
State	72.6	88.8

⁷College entrance refers to the percent of high school graduates from the year who enrolled in college any time during the first year after high school.

Source: National Student Clearinghouse

²Cohort count includes all students in the cohort as of the end of the 2013-14 school year.

³Targets are calculated when there are at least 20 students in a cohort in the base year (i.e., 2010-11).

⁴Targets are not displayed at the state level.

⁸College persistence refers to the percent of students who enrolled in college the first year after high school and returned for a second year (Freshman to Sophomore persistence).

Next Generation Accountability Results

These statistics are the first results from Connecticut's Next Generation Accountability System for districts and schools. This system is a broad set of 12 indicators that help tell the story of how well a school is preparing its students for success in college, careers and life. It moves beyond test scores and graduation rates and instead provides a more holistic, multifactor perspective of district and school performance and incorporates student growth over time.

Indi	cator	Index/Rate	Target	Points	Max	% Points	State Average
ELA Performance Index	All Students	67.9	75	90.5	100	90.5	67.9
ELA Performance index	High Needs Students	66.3	75	88.4	100	88.4	56.7
Math Performance Index	All Students	62.4	75	83.2	100	83.2	59.3
width Performance muex	High Needs Students	61.1	75	81.5	100	81.5	47.8
Science Performance Index	All Students	44.7	75	59.6	100	59.6	56.5
Science Performance muex	High Needs Students	44.3	75	59.1	100	59.1	45.9
Chronic Absenteeism	All Students	4.5%	<=5%	50.0	50	100.0	10.6%
Chronic Absenteeism	High Needs Students	4.8%	<=5%	50.0	50	100.0	17.3%
Propagation for CCP	% Taking Courses	73.0%	75%	48.6	50	97.3	66.1%
Preparation for CCR	% Passing Exams	27.0%	75%	18.0	50	36.0	37.3%
On-track to High School Grad	duation	89.9%	94%	47.8	50	95.6	85.6%
4-year Graduation All Studer	nts (2014 Cohort)	62.5%	94%	66.5	100	66.5	87.0%
6-year Graduation - High Ne	eds Students (2012 Cohort)	N/A	94%	0.0	0	0.0	77.6%
Postsecondary Entrance (Class of 2014)		N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	72.8%
Physical Fitness (estimated part rate) and (fitness rate)		100.0% 41.3%	75%	27.5	50	55.1	87.6% 51.0%
Arts Access		46.3%	60%	38.6	50	77.2	45.7%
Accountability Index				809.3	1050	77.1	

Gap Indicators	Non-High Needs Rate ¹	High Needs Rate	Size of Gap	State Gap Mean + 1 Stdev ²	Is Gap an Outlier? ²
Achievement Gap Size Outlier?					N
ELA Performance Index Gap	75.0	66.3	8.7	17.3	
Math Performance Index Gap	68.5	61.1	7.4	19.6	
Science Performance Index Gap	46.3	44.3	2.0	17.2	
Graduation Rate Gap					

¹If the Non-High Needs Rate exceeds the ultimate target (75 for Performance Index and 94% for graduation rate), then the ultimate target is displayed and used for gap calculations.

 $^{^2}$ If the size of the gap exceeds the state mean gap plus one standard deviation, then the gap is an outlier.

Subject/Subgroup		Participation Rate (%)
ELA	All Students	100.0
ELA	High Needs Students	100.0
Math	All Students	100.0
IVIALII	High Needs Students	100.0
Science	All Students	98.6
Science	High Needs Students	99.1

Connecticut's State Identified Measurable Goal for Children with Disabilities (SIMR)

Increase the reading performance of all 3rd grade students with disabilities statewide, as measured by Connecticut's English Language Arts (ELA)

Performance Index.

Grade 3 ELA Performance Index for Students with Disabilities:

District: * State: 50.1

Supporting Resources

Two-page FAQ

Detailed Presentation

Using Accountability Results to Guide Improvement: comprehensive documentation and supports

Narratives

School District Improvement Plans and Parental Outreach Activities

Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation

Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools