Connecticut State Department of Education

DISTRICT PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2016–17



Norfolk School District

Dr. Mary Beth Iacobelli, Superintendent • 860-542-5553 • http://botelleschool.org

District Information

Grade Range	PK-6
Number of Schools/Programs	1
Enrollment	102
Per Pupil Expenditures ¹	\$23,863
Total Expenditures ¹	\$2,815,869

¹Expenditure data reflect the 2015-16 year.



Community Information

CERC Town Profiles provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

Related Reports/Publications

CT Reports (CMT/CAPT)

Special Education Annual Performance Reports
SAT®, AP®, PSAT® Report by High School (Class of 2017)
(2017® The College Board)

Contents

Students	1
Educators	2
Instruction and Resources	2
Performance and Accountability	4
Narratives	

Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2016-17 and include all grades offered by the district.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit edsight.ct.gov.

State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.

Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been suppressed to ensure student confidentiality. N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

Students

October 1, 2016 Enrollment				
		District	State	
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)	
Female	46	45.1	48.4	
Male	56	54.9	51.6	
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0.0	0.3	
Asian	0	0.0	5.1	
Black or African American	0	0.0	12.9	
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	24.0	
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.1	
Two or More Races	*	*	2.9	
White	95	93.1	54.8	
English Learners	0	0.0	6.8	
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	29	28.4	35.9	
Students with Disabilities ¹	18	17.6	14.3	

¹Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

	Chronic		Suspe	ension/
	Absenteeism ²		Expu	llsion³
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	*	*	0	0.0
Male	*	*	*	*
Black or African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	0	*	0	*
White	*	*	*	*
English Learners	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	*	*	*	*
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*
State		9.9		6.7

Number of students in 2015-16 qualified as truant under state statute: Fewer than 6 Number of school-based arrests: 0

²A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

³The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

Educators

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)¹ Staff

	FTE
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	8.7
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	1.9
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	0.0
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	3.4
Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	1.4
School Level	0.0
Library/Media	
Specialists (Certified)	0.5
Support Staff	0.0
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers	1.0
Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists	0.0
School Nurses	1.0
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support	8.0

¹In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

Educators by Race/Ethnicity

		District	State
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0.0	0.1
Asian	0	0.0	1.0
Black or African American	0	0.0	3.6
Hispanic or Latino	0	0.0	3.6
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.0
Two or More Races	0	0.0	0.1
White	15	100.0	91.4

Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2015-16

	District	State
Average Number of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time	7.7	9.6

Instruction and Resources

Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers³

	Count	Rate (%)
Autism	N/A	N/A
Emotional Disturbance	N/A	N/A
Intellectual Disability	N/A	N/A
Learning Disability	*	*
Other Health Impairment	*	*
Other Disabilities	N/A	N/A
Speech/Language Impairment	6	*
District	11	*
State		68.2

³Ages 6-21

Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability¹

	Dis	State	
	Count	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Autism	0	0.0	1.7
Emotional Disturbance	0	0.0	1.0
Intellectual Disability	0	0.0	0.5
Learning Disability	*	*	4.9
Other Health Impairment	*	*	2.9
Other Disabilities	0	0.0	1.1
Speech/Language Impairment	9	9.4	1.8
All Disabilities	17	17.7	13.9

¹Grades K-12

Overall Expenditures: 2015-16

		Per I	Pupil
	Total (\$)	District (\$)	State (\$)
Instructional Staff and Services	1,292,742	11,144	9,663
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	24,349	210	321
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	206,711	1,782	578
Student Support Services	53,681	463	1,103
Administration and Support Services	649,437	5,599	1,861
Plant Operation and Maintenance	448,915	3,870	1,637
Transportation	140,034	657	877
Costs of Students Tuitioned Out	0	N/A	N/A
Other	0	0	201
Total	2,815,869	23,863	16,236
Additiona	al Expenditures		
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	0	0	1,749

³Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

Special Education Expenditures: 2015-16

	Dist	State	
	Total (\$)	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
Certified Personnel	60,674	18.7	34.6
Noncertified Personnel	25,681	7.9	14.6
Purchased Services	213,696	65.7	5.8
Tuition to Other Schools	0	0.0	21.8
Special Ed. Transportation	19,142	5.9	8.5
Other Expenditures	5,830	1.8	14.7
Total Expenditures	325,023	100.0	100.0

Expenditures by Revenue Source:4 2015-16

	Percent of Total (%)			
	Including Excluding			
	School	School		
	Construction	Construction		
Local	85.4	85.4		
State	7.6	7.6		
Federal	2.2	2.2		
Tuition & Other	4.9	4.9		

⁴Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction).

Performance and Accountability

District Performance Index (DPI)

A District Performance Index (DPI) is the average performance of students in a subject area (i.e., ELA, Mathematics or Science) on the state summative assessments. The DPI ranges from 0-100. A DPI is reported for all students tested in a district and for students in each individual student group. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 75.

	English Langu	English Language Arts(ELA)		:h	Science	
	Count	DPI	Count	DPI	Count	DPI
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Asian	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Black or African American	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	*	*
White	46	70.0	46	66.2	13	*
English Learners	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Non-English Learners	49	69.7	49	65.2	14	*
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	17	*	17	*	*	*
Not Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	32	72.2	32	68.1	10	*
Students with Disabilities	12	*	12	*	*	*
Students without Disabilities	37	74.2	37	71.2	10	*
High Needs	22	62.2	22	55.4	7	*
Non-High Needs	27	75.8	27	73.2	7	*
District	49	69.7	49	65.2	14	*

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient¹

	NAEP	NAEP 2013		
READING	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12	
Connecticut	43%	43%	50%	
National Public	35%	33%	36%	
MATH	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12	
Connecticut	41%	36%	32%	
National Public	39%	32%	25%	

¹NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across Smarter Balanced and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement other state assessment data. To view student subgroup performance on NAEP, click here.

Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard²

	Percent of Students by Grade ³ (%)				All Tested Grades	
	4	6	8	10	Count	Rate (%)
Sit & Reach	*	*	N/A	N/A	25	88.0
Curl Up	*	*	N/A	N/A	25	96.0
Push Up	*	*	N/A	N/A	25	88.0
Mile Run/PACER	*	*	N/A	N/A	25	84.0
All Tests - District	*	*	N/A	N/A	25	64.0
All Tests - State	52.8	51.4	51.4	50.6		51.6

²The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

³Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

Next Generation Accountability Results

Connecticut's Next Generation Accountability System is a broad set of 12 indicators that help tell the story of how well a district/school is preparing its students for success in college, careers, and life. It moves beyond test scores and graduation rates to provide a more holistic, multifactor perspective of district and school performance.

Indicator		Index/Rate	Target	Points Earned	Max Points	% Points Earned	State Average Index/Rate
514 D. C	All Students	69.7	75	46.5	50	92.9	67.1
ELA Performance Index	High Needs Students	62.2	75	41.5	50	83.0	55.9
Math Dayfayyaana laday	All Students	65.2	75	43.5	50	87.0	62.2
Math Performance Index	High Needs Students	55.4	75	36.9	50	73.9	50.5
Science Performance	All Students	N/A	75	0.0	0	0.0	55.3
Science Performance	High Needs Students	N/A	75	0.0	0	0.0	45.2
FLA Acadomic Crowth	All Students	62.7%	100%	62.7	100	62.7	55.4%
ELA Academic Growth	High Needs Students	N/A	100%	0.0	0	0.0	49.8%
	All Students	59.3%	100%	59.3	100	59.3	61.7%
Math Academic Growth	High Needs Students	N/A	100%	0.0	0	0.0	53.7%
Chronic Absenteeism	All Students	2.1%	<=5%	50.0	50	100.0	9.9%
Chronic Absenteeism	High Needs Students	2.6%	<=5%	50.0	50	100.0	15.8%
Dunnantian for CCD	% Taking Courses	N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	70.7%
Preparation for CCR	% Passing Exams	N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	43.5%
On-track to High School G	raduation	N/A	94%	0.0	0	0.0	87.8%
4-year Graduation All Stud	4-year Graduation All Students (2016 Cohort)		94%	0.0	0	0.0	87.4%
6-year Graduation - High Needs Students (2014		N/A	94%	0.0	0	0.0	82.0%
Postsecondary Entrance (Class of 2016)		N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	72.0%
Physical Fitness (estimated part rate) and (fitness		100.0% 64.0%	75%	42.7	50	85.3	92.0% 51.6%
Arts Access	Arts Access		60%	0.0	0	0.0	50.5%
Accountability Index			433.1	550	78.7		

Gap Indicators	Non-High Needs Rate ¹	High Needs Rate	Size of Gap	State Gap Mean +1 Stdev ²	Is Gap an Outlier? ²
Achievement Gap Size Outlier?					N
ELA Performance Index Gap	75.0	62.2	12.8	16.7	
Math Performance Index Gap	73.2	55.4	17.8	18.7	
Science Performance Index Gap		N/A		16.6	
Graduation Rate Gap					

¹ If the Non-High Needs Rate exceeds the ultimate target (75 for Performance Index and 94% for graduation rate), the ultimate target is used for gap calculations.

 $^{^{2}}$ If the size of the gap exceeds the state mean gap plus one standard deviation, the gap is an outlier.

Sul	oject/Subgroup	Participation Rate (%) ³	
ELA	All Students	100.0	³ Minimum
ELA	High Needs Students	100.0	participation standard is 95%.
Math	All Students	100.0	
Math	High Needs Students	100.0	
Science	All Students		
	High Needs Students		

Connecticut's State Identified Measurable Goal for Children with Disabilities (SIMR)

Increase the reading performance of all 3rd grade students with disabilities statewide, as measured by Connecticut's English Language Arts (ELA) Performance Index.

Grade 3 ELA Performance Index for Students with Disabilities:

District: * State: 50.2

Supporting Resources

Two-page FAQ

Detailed Presentation

Using Accountability Results to Guide Improvement: comprehensive documentation and supports

Narratives

School District Improvement Plans and Parental Outreach Activities

Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation

Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools