STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2007-08

Plainfield School District

MARY P. CONWAY, Superintendent Location: 651 Norwich Road

Telephone: (860) 564-6403 Plainfield,
Connecticut

Website: www.plainfieldschools.org

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at www.sde.ct.gov.

COMMUNITY DATA

County: Windham Per Capita Income in 2000: \$18,706

Town Population in 2000: 14,619 Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000*: 24.4% 1990-2000 Population Growth: 1.8% Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000*: 0.9% District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 93.8%

District Reference Group (DRG): G DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Enrollment on October 1, 2007 2,761 Grade Range PK-12 5-Year Enrollment Change 3.6%

INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in		Percent	
	District	District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	788	28.5	31.0	28.7
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	21	0.8	3.1	5.4
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	69	2.5	3.3	4.0
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	309	11.2	11.8	11.4
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	132	78.1	74.1	79.2
Homeless	0	0.0	0.2	0.2
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	80	27.1	24.8	20.2

^{*0.0%} of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

^{*}To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity				
Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent		
American Indian	42	1.5		
Asian American	52	1.9		
Black	83	3.0		
Hispanic	126	4.6		
White	2,458	89.0		
Total Minority	303	11.0		

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 0.0%

Non-English Home Language: 2.0% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 12.

EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

The total minority population in the Plainfield Public Schools has nearly doubled in the last six years. However, nearly 90% of our student population is Caucasian. At the same time, only 2% of our students speak a language other than English at home. Therefore, in order to ensure a well-rounded education for all our students that exposes our students to different cultures and ethnicities, the district must provide opportunities to experience a rich diverse world. Each school provides school-wide, grade-wide or classroom programs to all students in the school. The programs range from African drumming to Appreciation of Diversity, a day where disabilities are explored and celebrated as what makes each of us different.

Plainfield sends students to three area fulltime magnet schools, ACT – Arts at the Capitol Theater, the Science and Technology Magnet School, and the Quinnebaug Valley Middle College High School (QVMCHS). QVMCHS, which is housed on the campus of Quinnebaug Valley Community College, partners with the towns of Windham, Killingly, Thompson, Putnam, and Plainfield. This magnet school addresses the needs of our bright but disaffected and non-achieving high school students and will provide students with opportunity to work and learn with student with other ethnic backgrounds.

In addition, Plainfield held a courageous community conversation about race in March 2008. The conversation explored the answers to the question, "What should our approach be to promoting tolerance?" from three perspectives that of the community, the schools, and the individual. Two follow-up meetings have been held and plans have been made that will be implemented in the schools system and the community.

STUDENT PERFORMANCE

Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade and CMT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Grade 3 Reading	49.5	52.0	31.3
Writing	65.7	63.4	36.2
Mathematics	54.2	60.0	22.1
Grade 4 Reading	47.4	55.9	19.6
Writing	59.8	62.9	27.0
Mathematics	66.3	60.3	50.3
Grade 5 Reading	65.4	62.2	38.9
Writing	59.6	64.5	24.1
Mathematics	75.8	65.9	56.2
Science	44.5	54.9	16.7
Grade 6 Reading	70.7	66.3	39.9
Writing	67.0	61.9	50.0
Mathematics	63.8	66.4	27.4
Grade 7 Reading	73.7	71.1	34.8
Writing	54.5	62.0	23.2
Mathematics	63.2	63.0	34.8
Grade 8 Reading	68.2	64.8	40.3
Writing	66.0	63.4	42.1
Mathematics	59.1	60.8	28.9
Science	61.1	58.6	35.2

These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the district. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

For more detailed CMT results, go to www.ctreports.

To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to <u>www.sde.ct.gov</u> and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	26.7	45.5	14.6
Writing Across the Disciplines	47.5	57.9	21.5
Mathematics	29.7	50.1	13.8
Science	27.4	46.3	13.8

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Physical Fitness. The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
Four Tests	35.1	36.1	41.2

SAT [®] I: Reasonir Class of 2007	ng Test	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or
% of Graduates Te	ested	48.2	77.6	Lower Scores
Average Score	Mathematics	475	504	23.8
	Critical Reading	473	502	20.0
	Writing	468	503	15.4

SAT[®] **I.** The lowest possible score on each SAT[®] I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Class of 2007	81.6	92.6	6.9
Cumulative Four-Year Dropout Rate for Class of 2007	17.1	6.2	3.0
2006-07 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	3.8	1.7	8.1

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	58.5	83.4
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	18.3	12.3

RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

DISTRICT STAFF

Full-Time Equivalent Count of District Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	156.20
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	40.00
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	37.00
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	62.50
Library/Media Specialists and Assistants	5.20
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	4.00
School Level	9.00
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	0.00
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	21.00
School Nurses	7.00
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	142.85

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	16.0	14.6	13.6
% with Master's Degree or Above	77.6	76.5	75.6

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	14.1	17.1	18.1
Grade 2	19.8	18.2	19.3
Grade 5	21.0	19.9	20.9
Grade 7	24.2	19.7	20.5
High School	19.7	20.4	18.6

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	946	983	987
Middle School	987	1,006	1,017
High School	1,030	997	1,006

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be
offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten,
and 450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	4.8	4.3	3.4
Middle School	2.7	3.0	2.7
High School	2.3	3.0	2.7

^{*}Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2006-07

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures	Total	Expenditures Per Pupil			
All figures are unaudited.	(in 1000s)	District	PK-12	DRG	State
			Districts		
Instructional Staff and Services	\$17,334	\$6,186	\$7,153	\$7,108	\$7,159
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$561	\$200	\$262	\$235	\$266
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$1,024	\$365	\$443	\$365	\$429
Student Support Services	\$2,223	\$793	\$764	\$785	\$761
Administration and Support Services	\$3,095	\$1,105	\$1,256	\$1,216	\$1,271
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$3,334	\$1,190	\$1,329	\$1,287	\$1,322
Transportation	\$2,307	\$752	\$605	\$613	\$601
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	\$1,758	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$280	\$100	\$147	\$147	\$145
Total	\$31,917	\$11,485	\$12,203	\$12,064	\$12,151
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$3,209	\$1,145	\$1,875	\$2,074	\$1,882

Special Education Expenditures	
Total Expenditures	\$7,909,453
Percent of Total PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education	24.8%

Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source. Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	37.9	53.1	4.2	4.7
Excluding School Construction	43.0	47.1	4.6	5.2

EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

The Plainfield Public Schools has configured the schools as follows: Early Childhood Center PK-K, Moosup Elementary School 1-3, Shepard Hill Elementary School 1-3, Plainfield Memorial School 4-5, Plainfield Central School 6-8, and Plainfield High School 9-12. This configuration aids in the equitable allocation of resources. In the budgeting process, all resources are displayed as per pupil resources (e.g. supplies, textbooks) by school. Therefore it is evident to the public and the Board of Education where the resources are being spent and why. The budget is built systemically with the needs of all schools taken into consideration and resources allocated not equally but equitably.

The district operates systemically in terms of academic programs. Recently, the district was awarded a federal Reading First grant. The district accepted that grant only after ensuring that the resources could be used equitably by the three schools that make up K-3. As a result, Plainfield is the only district in the state receiving this grant that has more than one school involved in the grant.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible	286
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities	11.3%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities						
Disability	Count	District Percent	DRG Percent	State Percent		
Autism	28	1.1	0.7	0.7		
Learning Disability	73	2.9	3.7	4.0		
Intellectual Disability	28	1.1	0.6	0.5		
Emotional Disturbance	42	1.7	1.2	1.0		
Speech Impairment	28	1.1	2.6	2.4		
Other Health Impairment*	64	2.5	2.2	2.1		
Other Disabilities**	23	0.9	1.0	0.9		
Total	286	11.3	12.1	11.5		

^{*}Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

^{**}Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2006-07 with a Standard Diploma	88.2	77.2
2006-07 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	5.4	2.8

STATE ASSESSMENTS

Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students wit	th Disabilities	All Students	
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	16.0	20.4	62.3	62.1
	Writing	9.6	19.3	62.1	63.0
	Mathematics	17.3	22.6	63.5	62.7
	Science	14.3	22.2	53.2	56.8
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	5.4	11.4	26.7	45.5
	Writing Across the Disciplines	8.1	16.3	47.5	57.9
	Mathematics	8.1	14.7	29.7	50.1
	Science	8.1	14.4	27.4	46.3

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools				
CMT	% Without Accommodations	24.2		
	% With Accommodations 75.8			
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	8.3		
	% With Accommodations 91.7			
% Asse	% Assessed Using Skills Checklist 10.8			

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with nondisabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

Settings Other Than This District's Schools			
Placement	Count	Percent	
Public Schools in Other Districts	0	0.0	
Private Schools or Other Settings	27	9.4	

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers					
Time Spent with Non-Disabled	Time Spent with Non-Disabled Count of Percent of Students				
Peers	Students	District	DRG	State	
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	210	73.4	66.9	71.6	
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	28	9.8	15.0	16.6	
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	48	16.8	18.1	11.8	

SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

The Plainfield Board of Education set four goals for the 07/08 school year: all students will attain full literacy/numeracy as measured by local, state, and national standards; all students will use technology as a learning tool; Plainfield students will respect themselves and others; and, all students will graduate from high school. In order to reach those goals, a district steering committee, the Plainfield Instructional Improvement Program Committee, oversees the work of each School Leadership Team. Each School Leadership Team and grade level team determines what areas of focused improvement are needed at the school and classroom levels.

Keeping students in school was one focused strategy employed by the school district in the 2007/2008. Daily student attendance increased at all levels as a result of the efforts of the school administration and the school social workers and the percent of students attending less than 90% of the year decreased significantly at both the Early Childhood Center and the high school. The number of discipline incidents was reduced by 74% at the middle school and 15% at the high school. In addition, the number of out-of-school suspensions was reduced by 90% at the middle school and 21% at the high school.

Using a process whereby teams of teachers choose a common "slice of learning" upon which to focus increased the students achieving goal on those chosen indicators in mathematics by 15% in grade 6, 22% in grade 7, and 28% in grade 8. Grade 4 students at goal overall increased by 11% in mathematics and the students below proficient decreased by 10%. Grade 5 increased the percent of student performing at the advanced level to 42% while reducing the basic and below group to 8.8%. A K–12 focus on Strand C, Reader/Text Connections increased success at all grade levels by an average of 29% with some grade levels increasing by two and four times the percent of students previously scoring at goal. A daily writing initiative at the high school increased the percent of student performing at goal by 15%.