STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2012-13

Hartford School District

Christina M. Kishimoto, Superintendent

Telephone: (860) 695-8401

Location: 153 Market Street

Hartford, Connecticut

Website: www.hartfordschools.org/

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at www.sde.ct.gov.

COMMUNITY DATA

County: Hartford

Town Population in 2000: 121,578 1990-2000 Population Growth: -13%

Number of Public Schools: 47

Per Capita Income in 2000: \$13,428

Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000*: 38.7% Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000*: 12.9% District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 95.0%

District Reference Group (DRG): I DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Enrollment on October 1, 2012 21,487 5-Year Enrollment Change -3.9% Grade Range PK - 12

INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in District	n Percent		
		District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	18,327	85.3	85.0	36.7
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	3,710	18.2	15.3	5.8
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	202	0.9	1.3	3.8
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	3,060	14.2	13.8	11.9
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	1,091	61.9	67.4	79.3
Homeless	110	0.5	1.0	0.3
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	140	6.5	9.9	12.7

^{*59.9 %} of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

^{*}To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity				
Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent		
American Indian	79	0.4		
Asian American	634	3.0		
Black	6,802	31.7		
Hispanic	10,741	50.0		
Pacific Islander	23	0.1		
White	2,409	11.2		
Two or more races	799	3.7		
Total Minority	19,078	88.8		

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 26.5%

Open Choice:

97 student(s) attended this district as part of the Open Choice program. Open Choice brings students from urban areas to attend school in suburban or rural towns, and students from non-urban areas to attend city schools.

Non-English Home Language:

39.3% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 79.

EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

N/A

STUDENT PERFORMANCE

Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade an	nd CMT Subject	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal	These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable
Grade 3	Reading	33.3	56.9	3.8	tests who were enrolled in the district at the
	Writing	42.0	60.0	6.2	time of testing,
	Mathematics	30.3	61.4	3.1	regardless of the length
Grade 4	Reading	34.6	62.6	3.2	of time they were enrolled in the district.
	Writing	36.5	63.0	3.2	Results for fewer than
	Mathematics	31.6	65.1	1.9	20 students are not
Grade 5	Reading	37.7	66.9	3.7	presented.
	Writing	40.8	65.6	5.0	
	Mathematics	34.5	69.2	1.2	
	Science	26.9	62.3	2.5	For more detailed CMT results, go to
Grade 6	Reading	51.8	73.3	6.0	www.ctreports.
	Writing	43.3	65.1	6.5	
	Mathematics	42.4	67	6.5	
Grade 7	Reading	55.0	78.9	5.7	To see the NCLB
	Writing	36.8	64.9	3.2	Report Card for this
	Mathematics	31.3	65.4	5.7	school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and
Grade 8	Reading	48.3	76.2	3.8	click on "No Child Left
	Writing	36.6	67.2	3.1	Behind."
	Mathematics	32.1	65.0	6.3	
	Science	27.7	60.4	5.7	

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scorable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	19.4	48.5	6.1
Writing Across the Disciplines	31.3	62.1	5.3
Mathematics	19.2	52.4	6.1
Science	18.9	48.8	8.3

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Physical Fitness. The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All Four Tests	District		% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
	39.7	51.1	22.5

SAT® I: Reasoning Test Class of 2012		District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Scores
% of Graduates Te	sted	100.0	78.5	
Average Score	Mathematics	371	503	1.5
	Critical Reading	376	499	2.3
	Writing	377	504	1.5

SAT® I. The lowest possible score on each SAT® I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Adjusted Cohort Rate 2012	64.8	84.8	7.4
2011-12 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	6.0	2.1	2.9

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	81.9	82.6
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	5.6	9.8

RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

DISTRICT STAFF

Full-Time Equivalent Count of School Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	1,350.60
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	74.50
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	201.30
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	303.50
Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants	17.60
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	6.66
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs District Central Office School Level	37.00 107.00
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	76.64
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	104.40
School Nurses	52.51
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	955.49

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	12.0	12.5	13.9
% with Master's Degree or Above	53.0	73.0	79.8

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	19.8	21.9	18.9
Grade 2	18.3	21.7	19.8
Grade 5	20.2	22.7	21.3
Grade 7	20.3	21.5	20.2
High School	19.5	17.6	18.8

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	1,137	1,040	999
Middle School	1,080	1,033	1,029
High School	1,096	1,069	1,027

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be
offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten, and
450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	2.1	2.7	2.7
Middle School	1.8	2.3	2.1
High School	1.6	2.1	2.1

^{*}Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2011-12

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures All figures are unaudited.	Total (in 1000s)	Expenditures Per Pupil			
		District	PK-12 Districts	DRG	State
Instructional Staff and Services	\$192,252	\$9,197	\$8,570	\$8,744	\$8,570
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$6,998	\$335	\$252	\$259	\$257
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$6,717	\$321	\$475	\$558	\$471
Student Support Services	\$27,122	\$1,297	\$949	\$860	\$950
Administration and Support Services	\$48,594	\$2,325	\$1,526	\$1,618	\$1,547
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$40,440	\$1,935	\$1,466	\$1,453	\$1,459
Transportation	\$27,219	\$1,258	\$775	\$955	\$765
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	\$41,749	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$246	\$12	\$170	\$168	\$170
Total	\$391,336	\$16,961	\$14,444	\$14,982	\$14,333
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$37,338	\$1,786	\$1,405	\$2,290	\$1,398

Special Education Expenditures	District Total	Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Specia Education		
		District	DRG	State
	\$91,892,495	23.5	23.0	21.8

Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source. Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	22.1	67.6	9.6	0.7
Excluding School Construction	21.5	67.2	10.6	0.7

EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

Central to our reform initiative is the guiding principle that autonomy should be given to schools in deciding how to educate their perfectly unique student populations. Thus, our system of schools required a radically different approach to funding our students' education. Beginning in the 2007-2008 school year, HPS became the only district in the state of Connecticut to use Student-Based Budgeting (SBB). For any given grade, each student at HPS receives the same level of funding as another student in a different school. In addition to this base level of funding, we provide funding for each student's identified need such as special education services or English Language Learner needs. SBB allows us to provide a level of equity, transparency, and simplicity to our school budgets that is difficult to find in most school districts. We can say with certainty that our students are equitably funded at their individual level of need. SBB also allows our budgets to be highly transparent (available at www.hartfordschools.org) and inherently accountable. The simplicity to this funding system allows us to set targets on what level of funding we want to provide to our schools by setting aside a percentage of our general budget that funds SBB. We found that in 2007, only 52% of our general budget funds were being directed to schools. By school year 13-14, however, we were able to increase that funding level to 75% of our budget. We know that our funds are increasingly going where they should be; to the classrooms in a clear and equitable manner.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible 3,389
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities 16.6%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities					
Disability Count District Percent DRG Percent					
Autism	276	1.4	1.2	1.3	
Learning Disability	1,369	6.7	5.3	4.0	
Intellectual Disability	161	0.8	0.7	0.4	
Emotional Disturbance	340	1.7	1.4	1.0	
Speech Impairment	505	2.5	2.2	2.0	
Other Health Impairment*	486	2.4	2.7	2.4	
Other Disabilities**	252	1.2	1.3	1.0	
Total	3,389	16.6	14.9	12.1	

^{*}Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

^{**}Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2011-12 with a Standard Diploma	42.7	64.4
2011-12 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	9.6	3.2

STATE ASSESSMENTS

Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students with	Students with Disabilities		udents
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	20.4	34.5	43.6	69.2
	Writing	7.1	19.9	39.3	64.4
	Mathematics	15.6	29.0	33.6	65.5
	Science	5.3	21.3	27.3	61.3
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	8.9	15.7	19.4	48.5
	Writing Across the Disciplines	4.5	16.7	31.3	62.1
	Mathematics	11.3	16.8	19.2	52.4
	Science	3.7	14.6	18.9	48.8

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools			
CMT	% Without Accommodations	18.8	
	% With Accommodations	81.2	
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	25.7	
	% With Accommodations	74.3	
% Assessed Using Skills Checklist 13.7			

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with non-disabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District's Schools				
Placement	Count	Percent		
Public Schools in Other Districts	354	10.4		
Private Schools or Other Settings	432	12.7		

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers

Time Spent with Non-Disabled Peers	Count of Students	Percent of Students		
		District	DRG	State
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	2676	79.0	68.2	72.0
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	165	4.9	16.7	16.4
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	548	16.2	15.1	11.6

SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

Hartford Public Schools is a unique Connecticut School district that provides a portfolio approach to Pre-K through Grade 12 education. Under the "Portfolio District" model, the District's theory of action calls for the creation of a diverse portfolio of schools for students and families to choose from. In addition, it calls for the constant monitoring of individual school performance and - over time - the replication of what works and the discontinuing of what does not. Since 2007, the District has reconstituted all of its chronically low-performing schools and created new theme-based academies, utilizing nationally proven models. In addition, many schools in Hartford have been magnetized, and still many more have performed well enough not to warrant any redesign at all. After five consecutive years of performance gains, which includes a 22.1% increase in third grade reading and a 33.4% increase in the high school graduation rate, the district is poised to accelerate and sustain reform work. The district Strategic Operating Plan 2011-2016 with a Phase II Action Plan provides a "Road Map" for the work of the district and sets priorities for the next five years of the reform. The plan includes specific strategies designed to meet three goals: The focus of Goal 1Third Grade Promise is to have every child reading at or above grade level by the time he or she reaches the end of third grade. The focus of Goal 2 Middle Years Redesign is to restructure grades 6-8 to include developmentally appropriate themes, group learning processes, current technologies, leadership development, opportunities and practices that excite and engage every learner as he or she transitions from childhood to the teenage years of high school. The expectation is for all middle grades students to demonstrate sustained performance gains that will prepare them for the rigor of a college-ready high school curriculum. The focus of Goal 3 College Readiness is to ensure that every HPS graduate is fully prepared to excel at college level work without the need for remedial courses. The key strategic operating plan strategies necessary to attain these goals include a focus on: relevant curriculum, school design fidelity, family and community engagement, innovative leaders, quality instruction, and capacity building. A School Quality process and structure has been put in place to monitor and support the progress of all the district's schools. The Hartford Public Schools make substantial efforts to inform parents and keep them involved in their children's education. A new department has been formed for the purpose of advancing early literacy and parent engagement. Hartford Public Schools has a Welcome Center that works to keep families informed and responds to questions or concerns. Parents serve on School Governance Councils, act as Title I representatives, and volunteer for countless committees, activities, and projects.