#### STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2007-08

Single Elementary School District Edition

# North Canaan Elementary School North Canaan School District

PATRICIA CHAMBERLAIN, Superintendent ROSEMARY KEILTY, Principal

Telephone: (860) 824-0855 Telephone: (860) 824-5149 Location: 90 Pease Street

> Canaan, Connecticut

Website: www.northcanaanelementaryschool.org

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220© using data and narratives provided by the school district or testing services. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at <a href="www.sde.ct.gov">www.sde.ct.gov</a>.

#### **COMMUNITY DATA**

County: Litchfield Per Capita Income in 2000: \$18,971

Town Population in 2000: 3,350 Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000\*: 16.8% 1990-2000 Population Growth: 2.0% Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000\*: 1.2% Number of Public Schools: 1 District Enrollment as % of Estimated Student Population: 98.8% \*To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to <a href="https://www.sde.ct.gov">www.sde.ct.gov</a> and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

District Reference Group (DRG): F DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

#### TYPE OF SCHOOL

## STUDENT ENROLLMENT

School Type: Traditional/Regular Enrollment on October 1, 2007: 359 School Grade Range: PK- 8 5-Year Enrollment Change: -6.5%

#### INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in	Percent in	Elementary Schools	
	School	School	% in DRG	% in State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	64	17.8	21.8	33.1
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	8	2.4	2.9	7.3
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented	27	7.5	0.8	2.3
Students with Disabilities	43	12.0	11.5	10.8
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	21	72.4	78.3	79.2
Students in Grades Above School's Entry Grade Who Attended Same School the Previous Year	296	96.1	90.2	86.8
Homeless	0	0.0	0.1	0.2

# PROGRAM AND INSTRUCTION

State law requires that at least 180 days of school be offered to students in kindergarten through Grade 12, 900 hours of instruction to Grades 1-12 and full-day kindergarten, and 450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Instructional Time	School	State Elementary Schools
Total Days per Year	180	181
Total Hours per Year	1,080	987

Estimated Hours of Instruction Per Year in Selected Subject Areas					
Grade 5 School State					
Art	42	31			
Computer Education	24	17			
English Language Arts	393	425			
Family and Consumer Science	0	1			
Health	30	23			
Library Media Skills	24	18			
Mathematics	240	199			
Music	24	33			
Physical Education	48	40			
Science	136	97			
Social Studies	136	92			
Technology Education	0	1			
World Languages	0	10			

World Language

offers full-day kindergarten.

Formal instruction (at least 1 hour per week) in Spanish starts in Grade 6 in this school. Statewide, 31.7% of elementary and middle schools that serve Grade 6 start world language instruction by this grade.

Type of Kindergarten: This school

#### Lunch

An average of 30 minutes is provided for lunch during full school days.

<sup>\*</sup>Interdisciplinary Approach

<b>Enrollment in Selected High School Level Courses</b>				
Percent of Grade 8 Students Taking	State			
Mathematics	27.9	31.2		
World Language	100.0	46.4		

Average Class Size	School	DRG	State
Kindergarten	9.7	16	18.1
Grade 2	10.7	17.8	19.3
Grade 5	12.3	19.7	20.9
Grade 7	14.3	19.7	20.5

Special Programs	School	Elementar	y Schools
		DRG	State
% of K-12 Students in Bilingual Education Program or Receiving English as a Second Language Services	2.4	2.9	7.1
% of Gifted and/or Talented Students Who Received Services	0.0	66.4	78.6
% of Special Education Students Attending This School Who Spent Over 79% of Their Time with Their Non-Disabled Peers	74.4	80.8	79.1

# LIBRARY AND COMPUTERS

Free on-line access to periodicals, newspapers, and other resources is available to all Connecticut schools through the Connecticut Digital Library at www.iconn.org.

Instructional Computers and Library	School	Elementary Schools	
Materials		DRG	State
# of Students Per Computer	2.1	3.8	3.4
% of Computers with Internet Access	98.8	91.8	98.3
% of Computers that are High or Moderate Power	98.8	93.1	91.7
# of Print Volumes Per Student*	46.3	32.7	27.7
# of Print Periodical Subscriptions	35	13	16

<sup>\*</sup>Because a certain number of volumes are needed for a library of adequate breadth and depth, a small school may need a higher number of volumes per student.

# **DISTRICT STAFF**

Full-Time Equivalent Count of District Staff	
General Education: Teachers and Instructors	31.60
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	6.46
Special Education: Teachers and Instructors	4.00
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	3.60
Library/Media Specialists and Assistants	1.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	0.50
School Level	1.00
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	0.00
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	1.00
School Nurses	0.87
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	9.64

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors		Elementary Schools	
		DRG	State
Average Number of Years of Experience in Education	13.7	13.2	13.2
% with Master's Degree or Above	83.3	72.7	77.9
Attendance, 2006-07: Average Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time	8.5	8.2	8.7
% Assigned to Same School the Previous Year		71.1	72.5

## HOME AND SCHOOL COMMUNICATION AND SUPPORT

**Online Homework Information:** A portion of the school's website is devoted to homework pages.

The following narrative about how this school promotes and supports parental involvement was submitted by this school.

The school is also community service minded and has initiated food drives, collected money for charity, and many of the middle school students volunteer at the local nursing home. The PTO is also actively involved in school functions, celebrations, and recognitions. Volunteer support is also high; community members serve as tutors and classroom helpers. A therapy dog also visits and students read to the dog, helping to increase fluency in reading. The staff of North Canaan Elementary School seeks at all levels to help individuals be good citizens and contribute to our world in a positive and enriching manner.

## **SCHOOL DIVERSITY**

Student Race/Ethnicity					
Race/Ethnicity Number Percent					
American Indian	0	0.0			
Asian American	0	0.0			
Black	4	1.1			
Hispanic	16	4.5			
White	339	94.4			
Total Minority	20	5.6			

**Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 0.0%** 

**Non-English Home Language**: 4.5% of this school's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 2.

## EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

Smaller class sizes, an emphasis on differentiating instruction, and improving the curriculum continue to be areas of focus. In addition, we have been dedicated to increasing the inclusion of all students into mainstream classes. Teachers have been trained in differentiating instruction and using this strategy to benefit all students. The school continues to have high levels of participation in the Algebra One and Spanish One courses. More importantly, students completing these courses are able to move on to the next level and meet with continued success in high school.

# STUDENT PERFORMANCE AND BEHAVIOR

Physical Fitness: % Reaching Health Standard on All Four Tests*	School	State	% of Schools in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
Grade 4	17.6	33.8	22.6
Grade 6	29.4	35.4	39.5
Grade 8	14.3	37.0	10.5

<sup>\*</sup>Includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade and CMT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal	These results reflect the
Grade 3 Reading	46.2	52.0	22.7	performance of students
Writing	69.2	63.4	42.9	with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the
Mathematics	66.7	60.0	51.5	district at the time of
Grade 4 Reading	72.2	55.9	75.3	testing, regardless of the
Writing	77.8	62.9	74.8	length of time they were
Mathematics	69.4	60.3	54.7	enrolled in the district.  Results for fewer than 20
Grade 5 Reading	77.1	62.2	71.6	students are not
Writing	77.1	64.5	64.8	presented.
Mathematics	85.7	65.9	85.2	1
Science	74.3	54.9	72.2	For more detailed CMT
Grade 6 Reading	78.4	66.3	63.7	results, go to www.ctreports.
Writing	70.3	61.9	57.1	www.eucports.
Mathematics	70.3	66.4	41.1	To see the NCLB Report
Grade 7 Reading	78.6	71.1	45.2	Card for this school, go
Writing	66.7	62.0	48.4	to <u>www.sde.ct.gov</u> and click on "No Child Left
Mathematics	64.3	63.0	38.1	Behind."
Grade 8 Reading	53.5	64.8	16.4	
Writing	45.2	63.4	13.2	
Mathematics	46.5	60.8	17.0	
Science	58.1	58.6	31.4	

Student Attendance	School	State Elementary Schools
% Present on October 1	96.4	96.4

#### **Disciplinary Offenses**

Disciplinary offenses committed by students include all serious offenses, offenses involving drugs, alcohol, or tobacco, and all incidents resulting in suspension or expulsion. In the 2006-07 school year, 5 students were responsible for these incidents. These students represent 1.3% of the estimated number of students who attended this school at some point during the 2006-07 school year. For more information and data on disciplinary offenses, go to www.sde.ct.gov, click on "CEDaR" and then on "Student Data."

Number of Incidents by Disciplinary Offense Category, 2006-07			
Offense Category	Location of Incident		
	School	Other Location	
Violent Crimes Against Persons	0	0	
Sexually Related Behavior	0	0	
Personally Threatening Behavior	1	0	
Theft	0	0	
Physical/Verbal Confrontation	0	0	
Fighting/Battery	3	0	
Property Damage	0	0	
Weapons	0	0	
Drugs/Alcohol/Tobacco	1	0	
School Policy Violations	5	0	
Total	10	0	

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible	34
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities	10.1%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities					
Disability	Count	<b>District Percent</b>	DRG Percent	State Percent	
Autism	3	0.9	0.6	0.7	
Learning Disability	14	4.2	3.8	4.0	
Intellectual Disability	0	0.0	0.5	0.5	
Emotional Disturbance	0	0.0	1.2	1.0	
Speech Impairment	14	4.2	2.3	2.4	
Other Health Impairment*	3	0.9	2.0	2.1	
Other Disabilities**	0	0.0	0.8	0.9	
Total	34	10.1	11.2	11.5	

<sup>\*</sup>Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with nondisabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District's Schools				
Placement Count Percent				
Public Schools in Other Districts	0.0			
Private Schools or Other Settings	0	0.0		

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers					
Time Spent with Non-Disabled	Time Spent with Non-Disabled Count of Percent of Students				
Peers	Students	District	DRG	State	
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	23	67.6	70.9	71.6	
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	10	29.4	18.2	16.6	
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	1	2.9	10.9	11.8	

Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal. The reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the science test to students in Grades 5 and 8. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The results below are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities.

CMT	Students wit	th Disabilities	All Students		
Subject Area	District	State	District	State	
Reading	N/A	N/A	67.2	62.1	
Writing	N/A	N/A	67.1	63.0	
Mathematics	N/A	N/A	66.4	62.7	
Science	N/A	N/A	65.4	56.8	

Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented. For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to <a href="https://www.ctreports.com">www.ctreports.com</a>. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to <a href="https://www.sde.ct.gov">www.sde.ct.gov</a> and click on "No Child Left Behind."

<sup>\*\*</sup>Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT.

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools				
CMT	CMT % Without Accommodations 5.3			
	94.7			
% Asse	% Assessed Using Skills Checklist 0.0			

# **DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2006-07**

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures	Total		Expenditure	s Per Pupil	
All figures are unaudited.	(in 1000s)	District	Elementary	DRG	State
			Districts		
Instructional Staff and Services	\$3,120	\$8,456	\$7,141	\$6,567	\$7,159
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$192	\$521	\$314	\$282	\$266
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$11	\$30	\$219	\$246	\$429
Student Support Services	\$230	\$624	\$732	\$662	\$761
Administration and Support Services	\$625	\$1,693	\$1,370	\$1,231	\$1,271
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$532	\$1,442	\$1,146	\$1,282	\$1,322
Transportation	\$296	\$607	\$575	\$632	\$601
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out *	\$0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$1	\$4	\$62	\$166	\$145
Total*	\$5,008	\$13,722	\$12,187	\$11,296	\$12,151
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$392	\$1,062	\$1,737	\$2,587	\$1,882

<sup>\*</sup>Town total expenditures (in 1000s) for PK-12 are: Total, \$6,953; Tuition Costs, \$1,781.

Total town expenditures per pupil for PK-12 are \$14,456.

Special Education Expenditures		
Total Expenditures	\$814,342	
Percent of Total PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education	16.3%	

**Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source.** Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	<b>Local Revenue</b>	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	<b>Tuition &amp; Other</b>
Including School Construction	65.6	31.8	2.5	0.1
Excluding School Construction	66.0	31.2	2.7	0.1

## SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this school.

Evidence of Sustained Improvements in Student Accomplishments

Solid growth in reading, mathematics, and writing has been demonstrated by students at North Canaan Elementary School. CMT scores in grades 4, 6, and 8 have shown improvement over the three years of the generation four tests. Beyond the percentages of students reaching goal, we have noted gains in the number of students scoring at higher levels beyond the goal of reading comprehension and writing. Effort has been made to incorporate writing tasks in all content areas of the curriculum. Writing across the curriculum has helped to provide students with a broad base of writing experiences. Editing and revising skills, as well as open-ended writing/responding exercises have been practiced consistently. Assessments, both formative and summative, in reading, math, and writing were implemented, and the fall to spring comparisons have proven very positive at all grade levels. Formative assessments help the faculty to make decisions related to curriculum and skill building throughout the year.

#### SUPPLEMENTAL SCHOOL INFORMATION

The space below was optionally used by this school to describe aspects of the school not presented elsewhere in the profile.

#### Supplemental School Information

The town is very supportive of the school and very generous in allocating funds. Purchase and use of technology continues to grow. Many teachers have been trained to use the SmartBoard<sup>TM</sup> and the faculty has embraced the technology and eagerly uses them to aid in the delivery of instruction. Presently, seven boards are used throughout the building. Mobile laptop carts also assist student learning. Technology is integrated in all student learning experiences and the faculty seeks opportunities for students to learn skills with the assistance of technology. The faculty of North Canaan Elementary School is continuing to collect and analyze student data as part of addressing student achievement within the school improvement plan. Faculty meetings have become professional development opportunities and a time to share important information as well as make decisions.