

**STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2008-09****Ellington School District**

STEPHEN C. CULLINAN, Superintendent

Telephone: (860) 896-2300

Location: 47 Main Street

Ellington,

Connecticut

Website: [www.ellingtonschools.org](http://www.ellingtonschools.org)


---

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at [www.sde.ct.gov](http://www.sde.ct.gov).

---

**COMMUNITY DATA**

County: Tolland

Per Capita Income in 2000: \$27,766

Town Population in 2000: 12,921

Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000\*: 8.3%

1990-2000 Population Growth: 15.4%

Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000\*: 0.5%

Number of Public Schools: 6

District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 96.1%

---

\*To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to [www.sde.ct.gov](http://www.sde.ct.gov) and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

---



---

District Reference Group (DRG): C DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

---

**STUDENT ENROLLMENT**

Enrollment on October 1, 2008

2,634

5-Year Enrollment Change

10.2%

**DISTRICT GRADE RANGE**

Grade Range

PK-12

**INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED**

Need Indicator	Number in District	Percent		
		District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	157	6.0	5.5	30.3
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	20	0.8	0.6	5.2
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	45	1.7	4.0	4.0
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	274	10.4	11.0	11.4
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	138	73.0	86.0	79.7
Homeless	0	0.0	0.0	0.2
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	59	23.0	16.9	19.0

\*42.2% of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

## SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity		
Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
American Indian	8	0.3
Asian American	95	3.6
Black	87	3.3
Hispanic	57	2.2
White	2,387	90.6
Total Minority	247	9.4

**Percent of Minority Professional Staff:** 2.7%

**Open Choice:** 18 student(s) attended this district as part of the Open Choice program. Open Choice brings students from urban areas to attend school in suburban or rural towns, and students from non-urban areas to attend city schools.

**Non-English Home Language:** 2.4% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 22.

---

### EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

---

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

Reducing racial, ethnic and economic isolation continues to be a challenge for the Ellington Public Schools due to its demographics. Ellington increased its enrollment from ten (10) students to eighteen (18) students enrolled in its schools through the Hartford Open Choice Program. Due to the size and configuration of our schools enrollment opportunities are limited and thus this increase is impressive. The district has committed itself to doubling that number in 2009-2010. In addition, some Ellington students do participate in magnet school programs such as the Connecticut International Baccalaureate Program, the Greater Hartford Academy of the Arts, the Greater Hartford Academy of Math & Science, and the Great Paths Academy. Ellington High School did sponsor a foreign exchange student from France for the entire school year and continued its Sister School Program through the CREC Pupil Partners grant.

Ellington students do participate in a variety of locally funded programs to increase awareness of the diversity of individuals and cultures. Ellington Middle School students participated in an Adopt a Student from Guatemala through Project Common Hope. The high school students participated in the Day of Silence which recognized world injustices. A highlight of the intermediate school curriculum is culminated in Immigration Day. The middle school students continue with a most impressive and important program by visiting nursing homes. Among the other varied activities that Ellington students participated in were: Exploring Diverse Cultures Workshop, African Dance Program, Pequot Museum Field Trip, Kids' Character Education, Use Another Word, Responsive Classroom, Making Diversity Count, Teaching Children to Care, Anti-Bullying Program, Character and Respect Assembly, Camp Jewel, PAWS, Civil war Encampment and grade four Pen Pals. Support for a number of these programs comes from our PTOs.

---

## STUDENT PERFORMANCE

**Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Meeting State Goal.** The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade and CMT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Grade 3 Reading	69.3	54.6	66.0
Writing	72.6	62.5	60.4
Mathematics	73.4	62.8	61.0
Grade 4 Reading	74.1	60.7	65.0
Writing	74.4	64.2	56.4
Mathematics	77.9	63.6	70.1
Grade 5 Reading	86.6	66.0	90.7
Writing	82.5	66.5	82.1
Mathematics	83.7	68.8	77.2
Science	78.4	58.1	75.9
Grade 6 Reading	90.5	68.9	88.3
Writing	82.4	62.2	83.4
Mathematics	88.2	68.8	77.9
Grade 7 Reading	87.4	74.9	71.3
Writing	72.3	62.9	58.6
Mathematics	81.1	66.0	70.1
Grade 8 Reading	75.4	68.4	49.0
Writing	80.5	66.5	68.4
Mathematics	83.8	64.5	76.8
Science	73.2	60.6	53.5

These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the district. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

For more detailed CMT results, go to [www.ctreports.com](http://www.ctreports.com).

To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to [www.sde.ct.gov](http://www.sde.ct.gov) and click on “No Child Left Behind.”

**Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal.** The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	76.4	47.4	91.7
Writing Across the Disciplines	73.4	55.0	71.8
Mathematics	65.6	47.8	71.0
Science	62.3	42.8	75.6

For more detailed CAPT results, go to [www.ctreports.com](http://www.ctreports.com).

To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to [www.sde.ct.gov](http://www.sde.ct.gov) and click on “No Child Left Behind.”

**Physical Fitness.** The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All Four Tests	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
	46.0	36.2	74.7

SAT® I: Reasoning Test Class of 2008		District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Scores
% of Graduates Tested		78.2	74.5	
Average Score	Mathematics	522	507	64.3
	Critical Reading	513	503	56.6
	Writing	519	506	60.5

**SAT® I.** The lowest possible score on each SAT® I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Class of 2008	92.9	92.1	38.9
Cumulative Four-Year Dropout Rate for Class of 2008	6.6	6.6	32.8
2007-08 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	1.2	2.5	47.4

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	91.2	84.1
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	5.9	11.0

## RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

### DISTRICT STAFF

Full-Time Equivalent Count of District Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	153.20
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	7.62
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	22.60
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	45.58
Library/Media Specialists and/or Assistants	7.40
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	4.40
School Level	8.00
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	6.50
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	12.10
School Nurses	5.00
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	113.25

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	15.1	14.4	13.6
% with Master's Degree or Above	74.2	77.3	76.1

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	21.0	17.5	18.3
Grade 2	22.7	19.5	19.3
Grade 5	24.4	20.3	21.0
Grade 7	18.2	19.7	20.5
High School	17.2	18.9	19.3

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	992	993	988
Middle School	1,044	1,025	1,016
High School	1,022	1,011	1,007

\*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten, and 450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	4.0	3.3	3.3
Middle School	1.8	2.4	2.6
High School	2.9	2.2	2.4

\*Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

## DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2007-08

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures All figures are unaudited.	Total (in 1000s)	Expenditures Per Pupil			
		District	PK-12 Districts	DRG	State
Instructional Staff and Services	\$17,302	\$6,660	\$7,521	\$7,069	\$7,522
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$536	\$206	\$267	\$282	\$271
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$773	\$298	\$461	\$415	\$446
Student Support Services	\$1,264	\$487	\$808	\$769	\$806
Administration and Support Services	\$2,208	\$850	\$1,351	\$1,334	\$1,369
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$2,865	\$1,103	\$1,382	\$1,357	\$1,377
Transportation	\$1,584	\$609	\$649	\$638	\$644
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	\$1,193	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$344	\$133	\$152	\$141	\$151
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$28,071</b>	<b>\$10,768</b>	<b>\$12,869</b>	<b>\$12,448</b>	<b>\$12,805</b>
<b>Additional Expenditures</b>					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$1,846	\$711	\$1,791	\$1,180	\$1,759

Special Education Expenditures	District Total	Percent of PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education		
		District	DRG	State
	\$5,880,434	20.9	19.7	20.5

**Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source.** Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	62.7	35.1	2.0	0.2
Excluding School Construction	61.6	36.1	2.1	0.2

---

### EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

---

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

The budget process for the 2008-2009 school year was most successful in making restorations across all schools in textbooks, program improvement, and student activities and athletics. Additional funds were provided for professional development and curriculum writing across grade levels. Significant funds were added to increase classroom libraries across all schools. The district continued to make incremental growth in addressing staffing needs primarily related to increased student enrollments as well as previous reductions. A part-time health educator was added to the middle school to fully implement a high quality health curriculum. Additional math support was added to Crystal Lake School. Additional music staff was restored at the high school and a part-time Lead Teacher position was created as well. A grade four teacher was added to Windermere School. A new administrative position, Assistant Principal/Special Education Supervisor, was created to address needs at Windermere School and across the entire district.

The district closely monitors class size so as to maintain an equitable allocation across the district. In addition, each school develops and maintains a list of students in need of support or intervention and lists the corresponding support provided. This process focuses the administration and staff to appropriately prioritize available resources based upon student need. Prioritization of budget requests and any subsequent reductions are made by building principals for their respective schools.

---

### SPECIAL EDUCATION

---

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible	268
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities	10.2%

---

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities				
Disability	Count	District Percent	DRG Percent	State Percent
Autism	14	0.5	0.9	0.8
Learning Disability	119	4.5	4.1	3.9
Intellectual Disability	7	0.3	0.4	0.5
Emotional Disturbance	24	0.9	0.7	1.0
Speech Impairment	40	1.5	2.2	2.3
Other Health Impairment*	29	1.1	1.9	2.1
Other Disabilities**	35	1.3	0.6	0.9
Total	268	10.2	10.8	11.6

\*Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

\*\*Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2007-08 with a Standard Diploma	93.3	81.4
2007-08 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	N/A	3.5

## STATE ASSESSMENTS

**Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal.** The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- **Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation.** The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- **Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation.** The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students with Disabilities		All Students	
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	46.0	30.2	80.4	65.7
	Writing	31.8	19.5	77.5	64.1
	Mathematics	46.0	30.7	81.4	65.7
	Science	37.5	23.8	75.7	59.4
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	76.4	47.4
	Writing Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	73.4	55.0
	Mathematics	N/A	N/A	65.6	47.8
	Science	N/A	N/A	62.3	42.8

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to [www.ctreports.com](http://www.ctreports.com). To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to [www.sde.ct.gov](http://www.sde.ct.gov) and click on “No Child Left Behind.”

Participation in State Assessments of Students with Disabilities Attending District Schools		
CMT	% Without Accommodations	10.4
	% With Accommodations	89.6
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	28.6
	% With Accommodations	71.4
% Assessed Using Skills Checklist		6.7

Accommodations for a student’s disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with non-disabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

K-12 Students with Disabilities Placed in Educational Settings Other Than This District’s Schools		
Placement	Count	Percent
Public Schools in Other Districts	0	0.0
Private Schools or Other Settings	12	4.5

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers				
Time Spent with Non-Disabled Peers	Count of Students	Percent of Students		
		District	DRG	State
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	169	63.1	74.3	72.7
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	82	30.6	19.1	16.1
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	17	6.3	6.6	11.2

---

**SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES**

---

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

For the third consecutive year, the Ellington Public Schools continued its ambitious and highly focused process to address improved student achievement through the development of both District and School Instructional Plans. In August, the administrative team again analyzed school and district student achievement results to develop district goals in 1) reading 2) mathematics and 3) community involvement. Each district and school goal is supported by multiple actions, strategies, and interventions with corresponding timelines, means of evaluation and person or persons responsible.

School goals are aligned with the District Instructional Plan and at least one Professional Growth Objective for each administrator and teacher is aligned to the school focus goals. Each school produces a monthly instructional report that provides detailed information on the 1) status of initiatives 2) next steps and 3) recognitions. The Superintendent produces a similar report each month for the entire district that is presented to the Board of Education and is published on the district website. The recognitions section contains information of excellent work completed by students, staff and parents.

The plans are grounded in the research of Douglas Reeves and have been supported by significant professional development activities. This year an additional 50 certified staff members were trained in the Center for Learning and Leading module Data Driven Decision Making/Data Teams. By using district staff as trainers nearly all certified staff has now been trained. The district continued to provide common planning time for all staff either by grade level or by department so as to be able to implement the Data Driven Decision Making/Data Team model. The district began an intense collaboration with the Columbia Teachers College Reading & Writing Project. Staff developers spent ten days in district modeling lessons and assisting staff in the implementation of the Readers Workshop. In addition, a number of staff members participate in Saturday Reunions in New York City. A number of staff members attended workshops on Common Formative Assessments and the results of this professional development are starting to be seen in classrooms. The district also continues training to teachers in grades 1-6 in First Steps Mathematics.

Significant focus continues to be given to the achievement of special education students as well as all students performing below expectation in reading and mathematics. Special workshops relating to CMT and CAPT skills were presented to special educators. Study groups of special educators to support our initiatives were formed at each building. The process of reviewing IEPs to ensure that objectives were aligned with CMT/CAPT objectives continued. In addition, district staff has been developing Tier I, II, and III interventions for use with the SRBI model. Much planning, organization and training has been dedicated to the use of co-teaching models in several of our schools which will be instituted in the 2009-2010 school year.

The district as well as each school had one of their three goals focused upon community involvement. The Superintendent continued to meet quarterly with officers of all school PTOs. One major focus was to develop ways in which the central office can support the works of parents as well as PTO members. The district has expanded its effort to use of e-mails to convey important information. The use of the district website to publish pertinent information for parents continued. This included publication of the Superintendent's Monthly Report as well as all documents related to the development of the budget. Parental workshops to assist parents in supporting their student were offered in a variety of venues. Family Reading Nights and Family Book Clubs have been a highlight this year.

---