STRATEGIC SCHOOL PROFILE 2007-08

Old Saybrook School District

JOSEPH ONOFRIO, Superintendent Location: 50 Sheffield Street Telephone: (860) 395-3157 Old Saybrook,

Connecticut

Website: www.oldsaybrook.k12.ct.us/

This profile was produced by the Connecticut State Department of Education in accordance with CT General Statutes 10-220(c) using data and narratives provided by the school district, testing services, or the US Census. Profiles and additional education data, including longitudinal data, are available on the internet at www.sde.ct.gov.

COMMUNITY DATA

County: Middlesex Per Capita Income in 2000: \$30,720

Town Population in 2000: 10,367 Percent of Adults without a High School Diploma in 2000*: 8.5% 1990-2000 Population Growth: 8.5% Percent of Adults Who Were Not Fluent in English in 2000*: 0.6% Number of Public Schools: 3 District Enrollment as % of Estimated. Student Population: 91.7%

District Reference Group (DRG): D DRG is a classification of districts whose students' families are similar in education, income, occupation, and need, and that have roughly similar enrollment. The Connecticut State Board of Education approved DRG classification for purposes of reporting data other than student performance.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

DISTRICT GRADE RANGE

Enrollment on October 1, 2007 1,610 5-Year Enrollment Change 0.6% Grade Range PK-12

INDICATORS OF EDUCATIONAL NEED

Need Indicator	Number in		Percent	
	District	District	DRG	State
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced-Price Meals	132	8.2	10.5	28.7
K-12 Students Who Are Not Fluent in English	60	3.8	2.4	5.4
Students Identified as Gifted and/or Talented*	120	7.5	4.5	4.0
PK-12 Students Receiving Special Education Services in District	188	11.7	10.9	11.4
Kindergarten Students who Attended Preschool, Nursery School or Headstart	109	89.3	84.1	79.2
Homeless	9	0.6	0.1	0.2
Juniors and Seniors Working 16 or More Hours Per Week	35	14.8	22.2	20.2

^{*0.0%} of the identified gifted and/or talented students received services.

^{*}To view the Adult Education Program Profiles online, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on Adult Education, then Reports.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DIVERSITY

Student Race/Ethnicity				
Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent		
American Indian	3	0.2		
Asian American	93	5.8		
Black	36	2.2		
Hispanic	53	3.3		
White	1,425	88.5		
Total Minority	185	11.5		

Percent of Minority Professional Staff: 1.2%

Non-English Home Language: 5.6% of this district's students (excluding prekindergarten students) come from homes where English is not the primary language. The number of non-English home languages is 22.

EFFORTS TO REDUCE RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND ECONOMIC ISOLATION

Below is the description submitted by this school of how it provides educational opportunities for its students to interact with students and teachers from diverse racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds.

During the 2007 - 2008 school year the Old Saybrook School System was involved in numerous interdistrict collaboratives for the purpose of reducing racial, ethnic, and economic isolation. The Goodwin School continued its partnerships with other districts with eleven classrooms participating in this relationship. These programs are joint initiatives with New London and Groton. The programs included We are the World, Number Kids, Authors and Amigos, and Circle of Friends. One exchange student also attended the high school during the 2007 - 2008 school year.

K-12 World Language instruction is the dominant vehicle across the District that constantly addresses cultural diversity. All students participate in an integrated World Language program in grades K-5. In grades 6-12, approximately 98% of the students continue to study languages.

In district the teachers at the three schools have made a great effort in developing culturally diverse activities for the children. All grade-three students participate in a Roots unit. A Holocaust unit is presented to approximately 146 students in grade eight and 118 in grade eleven. The high school offers a course in American Studies which is a joint social studies/English initiative; part of this curriculum focuses on understanding and experiencing the history and spirit of the Harlem Renaissance. The school libraries celebrate and explore Black History month, Native American history, and women's issues to encourage children to develop awareness of the special achievements of diverse groups in the U.S.

One hundred twenty-seven second grade students experienced an integrated arts performance, which focused on Spanish speaking cultures. High school music students performed French, Italian, Spanish, Russian Hebrew, and Latin works. The high school music history class is a yearlong exploration of European cultural heritage. The efforts of the Old Saybrook School System to increase the students' cultural awareness are on going. This emphasis will continue to grow in the 2008 - 2009 school year.

STUDENT PERFORMANCE

Connecticut Mastery Test, Fourth Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards.

Grade and CMT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Grade 3 Reading	65.3	52.0	62.6
Writing	80.6	63.4	81.0
Mathematics	55.2	60.0	23.9
Grade 4 Reading	68.2	55.9	63.9
Writing	76.9	62.9	71.1
Mathematics	62.6	60.3	41.5
Grade 5 Reading	74.8	62.2	62.3
Writing	87.4	64.5	92.6
Mathematics	70.6	65.9	45.1
Science	70.6	54.9	61.7
Grade 6 Reading	71.4	66.3	41.1
Writing	75.4	61.9	70.2
Mathematics	70.9	66.4	42.3
Grade 7 Reading	84.4	71.1	66.5
Writing	83.6	62.0	88.4
Mathematics	73.6	63.0	54.8
Grade 8 Reading	83.9	64.8	80.5
Writing	90.1	63.4	93.7
Mathematics	81.1	60.8	81.1
Science	81.1	58.6	80.5

These results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the district at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the district. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

For more detailed CMT results, go to www.ctreports.

To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to <u>www.sde.ct.gov</u> and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Connecticut Academic Performance Test, Third Generation, % Meeting State Goal. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students. The Goal level is more demanding than the state Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. The following results reflect the performance of students with scoreable tests who were enrolled in the school at the time of testing, regardless of the length of time they were enrolled in the school. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

CAPT Subject Area	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Meeting Goal
Reading Across the Disciplines	76.9	45.5	91.5
Writing Across the Disciplines	81.0	57.9	86.2
Mathematics	71.3	50.1	71.5
Science	74.4	46.3	89.2

For more detailed CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com.
To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Physical Fitness. The assessment includes tests for flexibility, abdominal strength and endurance, upper-body strength and aerobic endurance.

Physical Fitness: % of Students Reaching Health Standard on All	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Lower Percent Reaching Standard
Four Tests	47.2	36.1	80.2

SAT [®] I: Reasonin Class of 2007	g Test	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or
% of Graduates Te	sted	92.5	77.6	Lower Scores
Average Score	Mathematics	516	504	59.2
	Critical Reading	510	502	56.9
	Writing	517	503	63.8

SAT[®] **I.** The lowest possible score on each SAT[®] I subtest is 200; the highest possible score is 800.

Graduation and Dropout Rates	District	State	% of Districts in State with Equal or Less Desirable Rates
Graduation Rate, Class of 2007	100.0	92.6	100.0
Cumulative Four-Year Dropout Rate for Class of 2007	0.0	6.2	100.0
2006-07 Annual Dropout Rate for Grade 9 through 12	0.2	1.7	87.4

Activities of Graduates	District	State
% Pursuing Higher Education (Degree and Non-Degree Programs)	89.2	83.4
% Employed (Civilian Employment and in Armed Services)	10.8	12.3

RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES

DISTRICT STAFF

Full-Time Equivalent Count of District Staff	
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	113.40
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	12.00
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	20.60
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	45.00
Library/Media Specialists and Assistants	6.00
Staff Devoted to Adult Education	0.00
Administrators, Coordinators, and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	2.00
School Level	8.20
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers (e.g., subject area specialists)	0.00
Counselors, Social Workers, and School Psychologists	8.00
School Nurses	5.00
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services and Support	70.10

In the full-time equivalent (FTE) count, staff members working part-time in the school district are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in the district contributes 0.50 to the district's staff count.

Teachers and Instructors	District	DRG	State
Average Years of Experience in Education	15.0	14.1	13.6
% with Master's Degree or Above	70.6	74.9	75.6

Average Class Size	District	DRG	State
Grade K	17.4	17.4	18.1
Grade 2	18.1	19.1	19.3
Grade 5	19.7	20.6	20.9
Grade 7	20.8	21.0	20.5
High School	23.0	20.2	18.6

Hours of Instruction Per Year*	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School	990	987	987
Middle School	1,056	1,023	1,017
High School	1,034	1,001	1,006

*State law requires that at least 900 hours of instruction be
offered to students in grade 1-12 and full-day kindergarten,
and 450 hours to half-day kindergarten students.

Students Per Academic Computer	Dist	DRG	State
Elementary School*	5.7	3.7	3.4
Middle School	4.5	3.0	2.7
High School	2.4	3.1	2.7

^{*}Excludes schools with no grades above kindergarten.

DISTRICT EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES, 2006-07

Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources. DRG and state figures will not be comparable to the district if the school district does not teach both elementary and secondary students.

Expenditures	Total	Expenditures Per Pupil			
All figures are unaudited.	(in 1000s)	District	PK-12	DRG	State
			Districts		
Instructional Staff and Services	\$10,166	\$6,426	\$7,153	\$6,689	\$7,159
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	\$266	\$168	\$262	\$257	\$266
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	\$1,252	\$792	\$443	\$364	\$429
Student Support Services	\$1,167	\$738	\$764	\$705	\$761
Administration and Support Services	\$1,954	\$1,235	\$1,256	\$1,201	\$1,271
Plant Operation and Maintenance	\$2,200	\$1,391	\$1,329	\$1,202	\$1,322
Transportation	\$847	\$485	\$605	\$552	\$601
Costs for Students Tuitioned Out	\$646	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	\$375	\$237	\$147	\$139	\$145
Total	\$18,874	\$11,855	\$12,203	\$11,370	\$12,151
Additional Expenditures					
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	\$4,486	\$2,835	\$1,875	\$1,149	\$1,882

Special Education Expenditures	
Total Expenditures	\$3,681,726
Percent of Total PK-12 Expenditures Used for Special Education	19.5%

Revenue Sources, % of Expenditures from Source. Revenue sources do not include state funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Corrections).

District Expenditures	Local Revenue	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	Tuition & Other
Including School Construction	80.0	17.4	1.9	0.7
Excluding School Construction	92.1	4.6	2.4	0.9

EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AMONG DISTRICT SCHOOLS

Below is the description submitted by this district of how it allocates resources to insure equity and address needs.

The Old Saybrook Board of Education policy regarding distribution of district resources ensures that each of the three district schools receives a base level of material and financial resources. The Old Saybrook School System consists of only three schools: an elementary (pre k-3), a middle school (4 – 8), and one high school (9 –12). Decisions made annually regarding staffing, instructional supplies and materials, and capital improvements are decided upon collaboratively through the administrative and curriculum councils. Class enrollment, test scores, and the conditions of the facilities are considered in allocating funds. Following a thorough investigation, the superintendent makes specific recommendations to the Board of Education; for instance, it is sometimes determined that an additional section of a course is necessary. Due to increasing enrollment a facilities committee recognized the need for renovations and additions at the high school and middle school. The town approved these renovations/additions in the spring of 2004. Major renovations to the high school began in the summer 2005. The middle school construction began in the spring of 2006. In July 2008 the renovations/additions at the high school and middle school were successfully completed and the use of this new space is being utilized to its potential. The number of new teachers and special education and Title I instructional assistants employed depends on the needs and numbers of children requiring these services. The district-wide technology plan is in its final year of publication and will be revised/updated in 2008-2009.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

Number of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom the District is Financially Responsible	181
Of All K-12 Students for Whom the District is Financially Responsible, the Percent with Disabilities	11.4%

Of All K-12 Students for Whom District is Financially Responsible, Number and Percentage with Disabilities						
Disability	Count	District Percent	DRG Percent	State Percent		
Autism	17	1.1	0.8	0.7		
Learning Disability	71	4.5	3.3	4.0		
Intellectual Disability	6	0.4	0.4	0.5		
Emotional Disturbance	7	0.4	0.9	1.0		
Speech Impairment	25	1.6	2.5	2.4		
Other Health Impairment*	42	2.6	2.2	2.1		
Other Disabilities**	13	0.8	0.8	0.9		
Total	181	11.4	10.9	11.5		

^{*}Includes chronic health problems such as attention deficit disorders and epilepsy

^{**}Includes hearing, visual, and orthopedic impairments, deaf-blindness, multiple disabilities, traumatic brain injury, and developmental delay

Graduation and Dropout Rates of Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible	District	State
% Who Graduated in 2006-07 with a Standard Diploma	75.0	77.2
2006-07 Annual Dropout Rate for Students Aged 14 to 21	0.0	2.8

STATE ASSESSMENTS

Percent of Students with Disabilities Meeting State Goal. The Goal level is more demanding than the Proficient level, but not as high as the Advanced level, reported in the No Child Left Behind Report Cards. These results are for students attending district schools who participated in the standard assessment with or without accommodations for their disabilities. Results for fewer than 20 students are not presented.

- Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT), Fourth Generation. The CMT reading, writing and mathematics tests are administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, and the CMT science test to students in Grades 5 and 8.
- Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), Third Generation. The CAPT is administered to Grade 10 students.

State Assessment		Students wit	th Disabilities	All Students	
		District	State	District	State
CMT	Reading	32.6	20.4	75.3	62.1
	Writing	42.4	19.3	82.5	63.0
	Mathematics	21.7	22.6	69.8	62.7
	Science	23.3	22.2	76.3	56.8
CAPT	Reading Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	76.9	45.5
	Writing Across the Disciplines	N/A	N/A	81.0	57.9
	Mathematics	N/A	N/A	71.3	50.1
	Science	N/A	N/A	74.4	46.3

For more detailed CMT or CAPT results, go to www.ctreports.com. To see the NCLB Report Card for this school, go to www.sde.ct.gov and click on "No Child Left Behind."

Participation in State Assessments of Students with					
Disabil	Disabilities Attending District Schools				
CMT	% Without Accommodations	14.0			
	% With Accommodations	86.0			
CAPT	% Without Accommodations	18.7			
	% With Accommodations 81.3				
% Asse	ssed Using Skills Checklist	9.2			

Accommodations for a student's disability may be made to allow him or her to participate in testing. Students whose disabilities prevent them from taking the test even with accommodations are assessed by means of a list of skills aligned to the same content and grade level standards as the CMT and CAPT.

Federal law requires that students with disabilities be educated with their non-disabled peers as much as is appropriate. Placement in separate educational facilities tends to reduce the chances of students with disabilities interacting with nondisabled peers, and of receiving the same education.

Settings Other Than This District's Schools			
Placement	Count	Percent	
Public Schools in Other Districts	0	0.0	
Private Schools or Other Settings	8	4.4	

Number and Percentage of K-12 Students with Disabilities for Whom District is Financially Responsible by the Percentage of Time They Spent with Their Non-Disabled Peers					
Time Spent with Non-Disabled Count of Percent of Students					
Peers	Students	District	DRG	State	
79.1 to 100 Percent of Time	130	71.8	73.4	71.6	
40.1 to 79.0 Percent of Time	38	21.0	16.6	16.6	
0.0 to 40.0 Percent of Time	13	7.2	10.0	11.8	

SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

The following narrative was submitted by this district.

The Old Saybrook School System continues to be guided by a comprehensive Long-Range Plan to ensure consistent curriculum reform, high expectations for all students, equal opportunities to learn for all, and appropriate district and building goals and initiatives.

The District administered the fourth generation of the Connecticut Mastery test in grades 3-8 in March 2008. Both the Goodwin School and the Old Saybrook Middle School exceeded the required 95% participation rate. Both schools also met adequate yearly progress in math and reading. Mastery test results placed Old Saybrook students above state goal and DRG results: math grade 3-54.7% achieved goal, grade 4-62.6%, grade 5-70.6%, grade 6-70.9%, grade 7-73.6% and grade 8-81.8%. In reading 65.3% of the third grade, 68.2% of grade four, 74.8% of grade 5,71.4% of grade six, 84.4% of grade seven and 83.9% of grade 8 achieved goal. Writing results were equally as high with 80.6% of grade three, 76.9% of grade four, 87.4% of grade five, 87.4% of grade 87.4% of grade

Needs and Plans:

Professional development will continue to focus on differentiated instruction, meeting the needs of special needs students, assessment strategies and the implementation of SRBI.

Parents are included on district curriculum committees and continue to be active participants in the educational process.