auto = read.csv('Auto.csv', na.strings = 'NA') fit\_1 = lm(mpg ~ cylinders + displacement + weight + origin + year + I(year^2), data=auto) summary(fit\_1) ## Call: ## lm(formula = mpg ~ cylinders + displacement + weight + origin + year +  $I(year^2)$ , data = auto) ## ## Residuals: Min 1Q Median 3Q ## -9.6728 -1.9705 -0.0843 1.7412 13.0999 ## Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) ## ## (Intercept) 3.683e+02 7.919e+01 4.651 4.54e-06 \*\*\* ## cylinders -1.822e-01 3.153e-01 -0.578 0.564 ## displacement 4.110e-03 6.689e-03 0.615 0.539 ## weight -6.018e-03 5.546e-04 -10.849 < 2e-16 \*\*\* ## origin 1.219e+00 2.585e-01 4.717 3.35e-06 \*\*\*
## year -9.441e+00 2.092e+00 -4.512 8.50e-06 \*\*\* ## I(year^2) 6.718e-02 1.375e-02 4.885 1.51e-06 \*\*\* ## Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 ## Residual standard error: 3.253 on 390 degrees of freedom ## Multiple R-squared: 0.8299, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8273 ## F-statistic: 317.1 on 6 and 390 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16 Positive relationship: displacement, origin, year^2 Negative relationship: cylinders, weight, year Weight, origin, year, and year squared are significantly different from 0  $R^2=0.8273\,$ 1(b) library(car) ## Loading required package: carData vif(fit\_1) cylinders displacement weight origin year I(year^2) 8.278553 10.777079 18.244389 1.610621 2231.356088 2229.212604 Except origin, other variables indicates substantial and even severe multidisciplinary. 1(c) fit\_2 = lm(mpg ~ cylinders + displacement + origin + year +  $I(year^2)$ , data=auto) summary(fit\_2) ## ## Call: ## lm(formula = mpg ~ cylinders + displacement + origin + year +  $I(year^2)$ , data = auto) ## ## Residuals: Min 1Q Median 3Q Max ## -10.508 -2.073 -0.077 1.999 13.990 ## Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) ## (Intercept) 547.713145 88.244451 6.207 1.38e-09 \*\*\* ## cylinders -0.374380 0.358769 -1.044 0.297 ## displacement -0.038759 0.006149 -6.303 7.89e-10 \*\*\* ## origin 1.310805 0.294371 4.453 1.11e-05 \*\*\* ## year -14.344667 2.328014 -6.162 1.79e-09 \*\*\* ## I(year^2) 0.099054 0.015309 6.470 2.93e-10 \*\*\* ## Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 ## Residual standard error: 3.706 on 391 degrees of freedom ## Multiple R-squared: 0.7785, Adjusted R-squared: 0.7757 ## F-statistic: 274.9 on 5 and 391 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16 The parameter estimates' absolute value increase, which indicates the variables exert more fundamental influence on mpg.  $R^2 = 0.7757$ : decreases 1(d)  $fit_3 = lm(mpg \sim cylinders + origin + year +$ I(year^2), data=auto) summary(fit\_3) ## ## Call: ## lm(formula = mpg ~ cylinders + origin + year + I(year^2), data = auto) ## Residuals: Min 1Q Median 3Q ## -11.7680 -2.2858 -0.2858 2.0398 14.4988 ## ## Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) ## (Intercept) 468.81177 91.56522 5.120 4.80e-07 \*\*\* ## cylinders -2.45827 0.14601 -16.837 < 2e-16 \*\*\* ## origin 1.85753 0.29487 6.300 8.03e-10 \*\*\*
## year -12.23505 2.41495 -5.066 6.26e-07 \*\*\* 1.85753 0.29487 6.300 8.03e-10 \*\*\* ## I(year^2) 0.08551 0.01589 5.382 1.27e-07 \*\*\* ## Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 ## Residual standard error: 3.885 on 392 degrees of freedom ## Multiple R-squared: 0.756, Adjusted R-squared: 0.7535 ## F-statistic: 303.7 on 4 and 392 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16 Compared with model fit\_1, the parameter estimates' absolute value increase substantially and R^2 decreases, compared with model fit\_2, cylinders and origin increase their estimate parameters' absolute value, whereas estimate parameters of year and year^2 decrease. And R^2 decreases. 2(a) set.seed(1) x1 = runif(100)x2 = .5\*x1 + rnorm(100)/10y = 2 + 2\*x1 + .3\*x2 + rnorm(100) $y = 2 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \epsilon$ Where: $\beta_1 = 2, \ \beta_2 = 0.3, \ \epsilon \sim N(0, 1)$ 2(b) The correlation between x1 and x2 is 0.8351212. 2(c)  $fit_4 = lm(y \sim x1 + x2)$ summary(fit\_4) ## Call: ##  $lm(formula = y \sim x1 + x2)$ ## Residuals: ## Min 1Q Median 3Q Max ## -2.8311 -0.7273 -0.0537 0.6338 2.3359 ## Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) ## (Intercept) 2.1305 0.2319 9.188 7.61e-15 \*\*\* 1.4396 0.7212 1.996 0.0487 \* ## x1 1.0097 1.1337 0.891 0.3754 ## x2 ## ---## Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 ## Residual standard error: 1.056 on 97 degrees of freedom ## Multiple R-squared: 0.2088, Adjusted R-squared: 0.1925 ## F-statistic: 12.8 on 2 and 97 DF, p-value: 1.164e-05 confint(fit\_4) 2.5 % 97.5 % ## (Intercept) 1.670278673 2.590721 ## x1 0.008213776 2.870897 ## x2 -1.240451256 3.259800 The parameter estimates for x1 and x2 are 1.4396 and 1.0097. Only x1 is significant at an lpha=0.05Both true parameters are covered by the confidence intervals of the slope estimates. 2(d)  $fit_5 = lm(y \sim x1)$ summary(fit\_5) ## Call: ##  $lm(formula = y \sim x1)$ ## Residuals: ## Min 1Q Median 3Q ## -2.89495 -0.66874 -0.07785 0.59221 2.45560 ## ## Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) ## (Intercept) 2.1124 0.2307 9.155 8.27e-15 \*\*\* 1.9759 0.3963 4.986 2.66e-06 \*\*\* ## ---## Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 ## Residual standard error: 1.055 on 98 degrees of freedom ## Multiple R-squared: 0.2024, Adjusted R-squared: 0.1942 ## F-statistic: 24.86 on 1 and 98 DF, p-value: 2.661e-06 confint(fit\_5) 2.5 % 97.5 % ## (Intercept) 1.654488 2.570299 1.189529 2.762329  $\beta_1$  significantly different from 0. The true  $\beta_1$  is still covered by the confidence interval. 2(e)  $fit_6 = lm(y \sim x2)$ summary(fit\_6) ## ## Call: ##  $lm(formula = y \sim x2)$ ## Residuals: ## Min 1Q Median 3Q ## -2.62687 -0.75156 -0.03598 0.72383 2.44890 ## ## Coefficients: ## Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) ## (Intercept) 2.3899 0.1949 12.26 < 2e-16 \*\*\* 2.8996 0.6330 4.58 1.37e-05 \*\*\* ## x2 ## Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 ## Residual standard error: 1.072 on 98 degrees of freedom ## Multiple R-squared: 0.1763, Adjusted R-squared: 0.1679 ## F-statistic: 20.98 on 1 and 98 DF, p-value: 1.366e-05 confint(fit\_6) 2.5 % 97.5 % ## (Intercept) 2.003116 2.776783 1.643324 4.155846 ## x2  $eta_2$  is significantly different than 0. The true  $eta_2$  is not covered by the confidence interval however. 2(f) Yes, in 2c both confidence intervals are covering the true values. The betas are also both significant. However, in 2e we found that  $\beta_2$  alone is not significant. This is weird because in one model it is and one model it isn't. Normally, one would expect a significant independent variable to stay significant no matter what other variables are present in the model or not. This is unless there is multicollinearity. 3(a) This equation represents a fork 3(b) set.seed(1) w = runif(500, min = 0, max = 5)d = rnorm(500)e = rnorm(500)x = w + dy = 4 + 2\*x - 3\*w + edf <- data.frame(y, x, w)</pre> cor(df) ## y 1.00000000 0.02953706 -0.5359720 ## x 0.02953706 1.00000000 0.7968971 ## w -0.53597195 0.79689706 1.0000000 summary(df) ## Min. :-6.7202 Min. :-2.222 Min. :0.009184 ## 1st Qu.:-0.4741 1st Qu.: 1.208 1st Qu.:1.290643 ## Median : 1.4850 Median : 2.447 Median :2.381348 ## Mean : 1.4297 Mean : 2.444 Mean :2.478275 ## 3rd Qu.: 3.3197 3rd Qu.: 3.683 3rd Qu.:3.670729 ## Max. :10.0874 Max. : 6.602 Max. :4.980387 print("Standard Deviation Below (y, x, w)") ## [1] "Standard Deviation Below (y, x, w)" print(c(sd(y), sd(x), sd(w)))## [1] 2.775645 1.748330 1.416604 3(c) $fit_3c = lm(y \sim x)$ summary(fit\_3c) ## Call: ##  $lm(formula = y \sim x)$ ## Residuals: ## Min 1Q Median 3Q Max ## -8.0671 -1.9470 0.0493 1.9231 8.6186 ## Coefficients: ## Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)## (Intercept) 1.31511 0.21364 6.156 1.54e-09 \*\*\* ## X 0.04689 0.07111 0.659 0.51 ## Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 ## Residual standard error: 2.777 on 498 degrees of freedom ## Multiple R-squared: 0.0008724, Adjusted R-squared: -0.001134 ## F-statistic: 0.4349 on 1 and 498 DF, p-value: 0.5099 confint(fit\_3c) 2.5 % 97.5 % ## (Intercept) 0.89536188 1.7348570 -0.09282142 0.1866073 The 95% CI does not cover the true slope for x and the slope for x is not significant. 3(d)  $fit_3d = lm(y\sim x+w)$ summary(fit\_3d) ## Call: ##  $lm(formula = y \sim x + w)$ ## Residuals: ## Min 1Q Median 3Q Max ## -3.1940 -0.7304 -0.0063 0.7367 3.0967 ## Coefficients: ## Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) ## (Intercept) 4.01829 0.09430 42.61 <2e-16 \*\*\* ## x 1.98649 0.04432 44.82 <2e-16 \*\*\* -3.00389 0.05470 -54.92 <2e-16 \*\*\* ## W ## ---## Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 ## Residual standard error: 1.046 on 497 degrees of freedom ## Multiple R-squared: 0.8587, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8581 ## F-statistic: 1510 on 2 and 497 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16 confint(fit\_3d) 2.5 % 97.5 % ## (Intercept) 3.833009 4.203566 ## x 1.899413 2.073563 -3.111353 -2.896423 ## W The coefficient of x significant at 0.05 level. the 95% CI covers the true slope for x. 3(e) library(car) vif(fit\_3d) Χ ## 2.740063 2.740063 The vif for x and w is 2.740063. 4(a) This equation represents a collider. 4(b) set.seed(1) x = runif(500, min=0, max=5)delta = rnorm(500)e = rnorm(500)y = x + deltaW = 4 + 2\*x + 3\*y + edf = data.frame(y, x, w)cor(df) X У ## y 1.0000000 0.7968971 0.9659606 ## x 0.7968971 1.0000000 0.9031342 ## w 0.9659606 0.9031342 1.0000000 summary(df) У ## Min. :-2.222 Min. :0.009184 Min. :-2.098 ## 1st Qu.: 1.208 1st Qu.:1.290643 1st Qu.:10.283 ## Median : 2.447 Median :2.381348 Median :15.953 ## Mean : 2.444 Mean :2.478275 Mean :16.266 ## 3rd Qu.: 3.683 3rd Qu.:3.670729 3rd Qu.:22.377 ## Max. : 6.602 Max. :4.980387 Max. :34.121 print("Standard Deviation Below (y, x, w)") ## [1] "Standard Deviation Below (y, x, w)" print(c(sd(y), sd(x), sd(w)))## [1] 1.748330 1.416604 7.738156 4(c)  $fit_4c = lm(y \sim x)$ summary(fit\_4c) ## Call: ##  $lm(formula = y \sim x)$ ## Residuals: Min 1Q Median 3Q Max ## -2.9664 -0.6945 -0.0304 0.7220 3.8374 ## Coefficients: ## Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) ## (Intercept) 0.007071 0.095349 0.074 0.941 ## X ## ---## Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 ## Residual standard error: 1.057 on 498 degrees of freedom ## Multiple R-squared: 0.635, Adjusted R-squared: 0.6343 ## F-statistic: 866.6 on 1 and 498 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16 confint(fit\_4c) 2.5 % 97.5 % ## (Intercept) -0.1802644 0.1944062 0.9178637 1.0491486 ## X The coefficient of x is significant at the 0.05 level. The 95% CI covers the true slope for x. 4(d)  $fit_4d = lm(y \sim x + w)$ summary(fit\_4d) ## ## Call: ##  $lm(formula = y \sim x + w)$ ## Residuals: Min 1Q Median 3Q ## -0.90578 -0.22784 -0.01861 0.22985 1.02035

HW 03

Group 10

1(a)

2022-10-13

## ## Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)## (Intercept) -1.212058 0.035014 -34.62 <2e-16 \*\*\* ## x -0.505421 0.024465 -20.66 <2e-16 \*\*\* ## W ## Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 ## Residual standard error: 0.3324 on 497 degrees of freedom ## Multiple R-squared: 0.964, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9639 ## F-statistic: 6654 on 2 and 497 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16 confint(fit\_4d) 2.5 % 97.5 % ## ## (Intercept) -1.2808520 -1.1432648 -0.5534892 -0.4573538 ## X 0.2930095 0.3106088 ## W The coefficient of x is significant at the 0.05 level. The 95% CI does not cover the true slope for x. 4(e) vif(fit\_4d) Χ ## 5.424507 5.424507

The value of R^2 in the first model is 0.635, the value of R^2 in the second model is 0.964. According to R^2, the second model is better. But this

may not be the right model because the vif suggests there are high multicollinearity.

4(f)

5(a)

5(b)

This is a pipe.

set.seed(1)

cor(df)

summary(df)

d = rnorm(500)e = rnorm(500)w = x + dy = 2\*w + e

df <- data.frame(y, w)</pre>

## y 1.0000000 0.9576021 ## w 0.9576021 1.0000000

w = runif(500, min = 0, max = 5)

У ## Min. :-4.194 Min. :-2.222 ## 1st Qu.: 2.277 1st Qu.: 1.208 ## Median : 4.964 Median : 2.447 ## Mean : 4.865 Mean : 2.444 ## 3rd Qu.: 7.613 3rd Qu.: 3.683 ## Max. :13.975 Max. : 6.602 print("Standard Deviation Below (y, w)") ## [1] "Standard Deviation Below (y, w)"

print(c(sd(y), sd(w)))## [1] 3.622223 1.748330 5(c) 1Q Median 3Q Max Min

 $fit_5c = lm(y \sim x)$ summary(fit\_5c) ## Call: ##  $lm(formula = y \sim x)$ ## Residuals: ## -6.9526 -1.5554 -0.0339 1.5004 7.8134 ## Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) ## (Intercept) 0.03233 0.21154 0.153 0.879 1.94984 0.07412 26.305 <2e-16 \*\*\* ## X ## ---## Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

## Residual standard error: 2.346 on 498 degrees of freedom ## Multiple R-squared: 0.5815, Adjusted R-squared: 0.5807 ## F-statistic: 691.9 on 1 and 498 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

confint(fit\_5c) 2.5 % 97.5 % ## (Intercept) -0.3832934 0.4479613 1.8041993 2.0954716 ## X

The coefficient of x is significant at  $\alpha=0.05$ 5(d)  $fit_5d = lm(y \sim x + w)$ 

summary(fit\_5d) ## Call:

##  $lm(formula = y \sim x + w)$ ## Residuals: ## Min 1Q Median 3Q Max ## -3.1940 -0.7304 -0.0063 0.7367 3.0967 ## Coefficients:

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)## (Intercept) 0.018288 0.094301 0.194 0.846 -0.003888 0.054697 -0.071 0.943 ## X 1.986488 0.044319 44.823 <2e-16 \*\*\* ## W ## ---

## Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 ## Residual standard error: 1.046 on 497 degrees of freedom ## Multiple R-squared: 0.917, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9167

## F-statistic: 2746 on 2 and 497 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16 confint(fit\_5d)

2.5 % 97.5 %

## (Intercept) -0.1669907 0.2035660

-0.1113529 0.1035774

We can see that x is not significant and w is significant.

1.8994132 2.0735629

## X

## W

Qb true: 
$$y: \beta_{0} + \beta_{1} \times 1 + (2 \times 1 + 6 \times$$

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{i} \chi_{i2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\chi_{i1} - \overline{\chi}_{1}) \chi_{i2}} = \frac{1}{S_{11}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\chi_{i1} - \overline{\chi}_{1}) (\chi_{i2} - \overline{\chi}_{2})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\chi_{i1} - \overline{\chi}_{1}) (\chi_{i2} - \overline{\chi}_{2})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\chi_{i1} - \overline{\chi}_{1}) (\chi_{i2} - \overline{\chi}_{2})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\chi_{i1} - \overline{\chi}_{1}) (\chi_{i2} - \overline{\chi}_{2})$$

$$\Gamma = \frac{\text{COV}(X_{11}, X_{21})}{\text{S}_{1} \text{S}_{2}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (X_{i1} - \overline{X}_{1}) (X_{i2} - \overline{X}_{2})}{\text{S}_{1} \text{S}_{2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{N} (X_{i1} - \overline{X}_{1}) (X_{i2} - \overline{X}_{2}) = \Gamma \cdot \text{S}_{1} \cdot \text{S}_{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow E(\hat{\beta}_{i}) = \beta_{1} + \beta_{2} \cdot \frac{\Gamma \cdot \text{S}_{1} \cdot \text{S}_{2}}{\text{S}_{1} \times \text{S}_{2}} = \beta_{1} + \beta_{2} \cdot \frac{S_{1}}{S_{1}}$$

$$\beta_1$$
 is unbiased when  $\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_1}$  Cov (X11, X11) = 0. Meaning when X1 and X2 are not correlated.  $\beta_1$  is subjassed

Problem 7.

Griven 
$$y = \beta_1 z_1 + \beta_2 z_2 + \ell$$
,  $z = \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} \\ z_{21} & z_{22} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ z_{n_1} & z_{n_2} \end{pmatrix}$ 

$$z^T = \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & z_{21} & \cdots & z_{n_1} \\ z_{12} & z_{22} & \cdots & z_{n_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Var(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma^{2}(Z^{T}Z)^{-1}$$

$$= \sigma^{2}(Z^$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}^{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}^{2} z_{i}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}^{2} z_{i}^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}^{2} & -\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}^{2} z_{i}^{2} \\ -\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}^{2} z_{i}^{2} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}^{2} z_{i}^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{ij} = 0 \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{ij}^{2} = n-1 \qquad r = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{ii} z_{i2} \implies \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i1} z_{i2} = r(n-1)$$

Assume Zij and Ziz are not correlated, then r=0 > ZiziZi, Ziz=0.

$$Var(\hat{\beta}) = \frac{\theta^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i1}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i2}^2} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i2}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i1}^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{(h-1)^2} \begin{pmatrix} h-1 & 0 \\ 0 & h-1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\pi^2}{h-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sigma^2}{h-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

If Zir and Ziz are correlated, then r = 0

$$Var(\hat{\beta}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{(n-1)^2 - [(n-1)^2]^2} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 & -(n-1)^2 \\ -(n-1)^2 & n-1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sigma^2}{(n-1)^2 (1-\gamma^2)} & \frac{-\sigma^2}{(n-1)^2 (1-\gamma^2)} \\ \frac{-\sigma^2}{(n-1)^2 (1-\gamma^2)} & \frac{\sigma^2}{(n-1)^2 (1-\gamma^2)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{1}{n-1}\frac{D^2}{(n-1)^{n-1}} > \frac{D^2}{n-1}$$

So. variance of & mill be inflated when there's muticollinearity.