3(a) library(MASS) # dim(Boston) Boston\$logcrim = log(Boston\$crim) # create log transform of crim # summary(Boston) set.seed(12345) train = runif(nrow(Boston))<.5 # pick train/test split</pre> print("Frequency Distribution") ## [1] "Frequency Distribution" table(train) # frequency distribution ## train ## FALSE TRUE 282 224 3(b) fit1 = lm(logcrim ~ . - crim, data = Boston, subset=train) # summary(fit1) p1 = predict(fit1, Boston[!train,]) mse_test = mean((Boston\$logcrim[!train] - p1)^2) mse_test ## [1] 0.7083435 # residual plot plot(fit1, which = 1, pch = 16, cex = .5)Residuals vs Fitted • 311 7 • 254 Residuals The test set MSE is 0.7083435. From 0 -2 -2 2 0 Fitted values $lm(logcrim \sim . - crim)$ the residual plot, we learn that the variance are not very constant. 3(c)fit2 = step(fit1, trace = F)p2 = predict(fit2, Boston[!train,]) mean((Boston\$logcrim[!train] - p2)^2) ## [1] 0.7033381 The test set MSE is 0.7033381. 3(d)library('glmnet') ## Warning: package 'glmnet' was built under R version 4.2.2 ## Loading required package: Matrix ## Loaded glmnet 4.1-4 # fit ridge $x = model.matrix(logcrim \sim . - crim -1, Boston)$ $fit_ridge = glmnet(x[train,], Boston$logcrim[train], alpha = 0)$ # CV set.seed(1234) $ridge_cv = cv.glmnet(x[train,], Boston$logcrim[train], alpha = 0) # find optimal lambda$ 11 = ridge_cv\$lambda.min 11 ## [1] 0.1866301 # test set mse p3 = predict(fit_ridge, s=11, newx=x[!train,]) mean((Boston\$logcrim[!train] - p3)^2) ## [1] 0.7767352 The test set MSE is 0.7767352. 3(e) # fit lasso $x = model.matrix(logcrim \sim . - crim -1, Boston)$ fit_lasso = glmnet(x[train,], Boston\$logcrim[train], alpha = 1) # CV set.seed(1234) lasso_cv = cv.glmnet(x[train,], Boston\$logcrim[train], alpha = 1) # find optimal lambda 12 = lasso_cv\$lambda.min 12 ## [1] 0.009288624 # test set mse p4 = predict(fit_lasso, s=12, newx=x[!train,]) mean((Boston\$logcrim[!train] - p4)^2) ## [1] 0.7023993 The test set MSE is 0.7023993. 3(f) # ridge model x = model.matrix(logcrim ~zn+indus+I(indus^2) +chas +nox+I(nox^2) +rm+log(rm) +age+log(age) +dis+log(dis) +rad+log(rad) +tax+log(tax) +ptratio+log(ptratio) +black+log(black) +lstat+log(lstat) +medv+log(medv), Boston) fit_r = glmnet(x[train,], Boston\$logcrim[train], alpha=0) fit_cv = cv.glmnet(x[train,], Boston\$logcrim[train], alpha=0) l_r = fit_cv\$lambda.min yhat = predict(fit_r, s=fit_cv\$lambda.min, newx=x[!train,]) mean((Boston\$logcrim[!train] - yhat)^2) ## [1] 0.6510401 # lasso model fit_l = glmnet(x[train,], Boston\$logcrim[train], alpha=1) fit_cv2 = cv.glmnet(x[train,], Boston\$logcrim[train], alpha=1) 1_1 = fit_cv2\$lambda.min yhat2 = predict(fit_1, s=fit_cv2\$lambda.min, newx=x[!train,]) mean((Boston\$logcrim[!train] - yhat2)^2) ## [1] 0.5612213 # step-wise fit4= lm(logcrim ~ 1, Boston, subset=train) fit_s = step(fit4, scope=~zn +indus+I(indus^2) +chas +nox+I(nox $^2)$ +rm+log(rm) +age+log(age) +dis+log(dis) +rad+log(rad) +tax+log(tax) +ptratio+log(ptratio) +black+log(black) +lstat+log(lstat) +medv+log(medv), trace = FALSE) yhat3 = predict(fit_s, Boston[!train,]) mean((Boston\$logcrim[!train] - yhat3)^2) ## [1] 0.5694736 Log transformations and square transformations of predictors are important. Because these transformations help reduce MSE in all three models. Problem 4 4(a) sigma = matrix(0.9, nrow=4, ncol=4) + .1*diag(4)A = chol(sigma)t(A) %*% A [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] ## [1,] 1.0 0.9 0.9 0.9 ## [2,] 0.9 1.0 0.9 0.9 ## [3,] 0.9 0.9 1.0 0.9 ## [4,] 0.9 0.9 0.9 1.0 4(b) Z = matrix(rnorm(4000), nrow=1000)X = Z %*% Avar(X) [,2] [,1] [,3] ## [1,] 0.9319924 0.8492134 0.8528907 0.8503184 ## [2,] 0.8492134 0.9769819 0.8667180 0.8691371 ## [3,] 0.8528907 0.8667180 0.9625422 0.8652925 ## [4,] 0.8503184 0.8691371 0.8652925 0.9634800 It approximately equal \$ \$. 4(c) set.seed(12345) # generate a new Z, A and X n = 10100ntrain=100 ntest=n-ntrain Z <- matrix(rnorm(n*15), nrow=n)</pre> sigma < -matrix(0.9, nrow=15, ncol=15) + diag(rep(1-0.9, 15))A <- chol(sigma) X <- Z %*% A beta = c(1, -1, 1.5, 0.5, -0.5, rep(0, 10))e = rnorm(10100)*3y = 3 + X %*% beta + etrain <- data.frame(X[1:ntrain,], y=y[1:ntrain])</pre> test <- data.frame(X[(ntrain+1):n,], y=y[(ntrain+1):n])</pre> 4(d) dat = data.frame(X)dat\$y <- y fit = $lm(y\sim X1+X2+X3+X4+X5, data=train)$ summary(fit) ## ## Call: ## $lm(formula = y \sim X1 + X2 + X3 + X4 + X5, data = train)$ ## Residuals: ## Min 1Q Median 3Q -7.8436 -2.0442 0.2997 1.8333 6.9526 ## ## Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) ## (Intercept) 3.0256 0.3295 9.183 1e-14 *** 0.9439 ## X1 0.8875 1.064 0.29026 ## X2 -1.6256 1.0049 -1.618 0.10906 2.7879 0.8924 3.124 0.00237 ## X3 -0.3034 1.0439 -0.291 0.77200 ## X4 -0.3711 0.8164 -0.455 0.65048 ## X5 ## ---## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 ## Residual standard error: 3.2 on 94 degrees of freedom ## Multiple R-squared: 0.2407, Adjusted R-squared: 0.2003 ## F-statistic: 5.961 on 5 and 94 DF, p-value: 7.843e-05 mean((test\$y-predict(fit, test))^2) ## [1] 9.449501 confint(fit) 2.5 % ## 97.5 % ## (Intercept) 2.3714294 3.6797538 -0.8182307 2.7059553 ## X1 ## X2 -3.6208313 0.3695436 1.0160970 4.5597365 ## X3 ## X4 -2.3759925 1.7692921 ## X5 -1.9919717 1.2498126 The residual standard error is 3.2. Slopes for xi(i=1,2,3,4,5) are 0.9439, -1.6256, 2.7879, -0.3034, -0.3711. R-squared is 0.2407. Slope for x1 roughly equals the true parameter and the other slops aren't. Slope for x4 has the wrong sign, other slopes have the right signs. In terms of statistical significance, only slope for x3 is significant at 0.01 level. All the 95% confidence interval covers the true value. 4(e) mean((test\$y-predict(fit, test))^2) ## [1] 9.449501 The value of MSE is 9.449501. 4(f) $fit_4f = lm(y \sim ., data = train)$ summary_4f = summary(fit_4f) coefs = summary_4f\$coefficients $betas_4f = coefs[2:16]$ se = coefs[18:32] $ci_l = c()$ $ci_u = c()$ for (idx in 1:length(betas_4f)) { $ci_l = append(ci_l, (betas_4f[idx] - 2 * se[idx]))$ $ci_u = append(ci_u, (betas_4f[idx] + 2 * se[idx]))$ } $is_in = c()$ for (idx in 1:length(betas_4f)) { if ((beta[idx] > ci_l[idx]) & (beta[idx] < ci_u[idx])) {</pre> is_in = append(is_in, 1) } else { $is_in = append(is_in, 0)$ } vars_in = sum(is_in) print(summary(fit_4f)) ## ## Call: ## $lm(formula = y \sim ., data = train)$ ## Residuals: 1Q Median 3Q ## -7.7768 -1.8727 0.0985 1.8531 6.4236 ## ## Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)## (Intercept) 3.09711 0.34378 9.009 5.69e-14 *** ## X1 1.64535 1.01410 1.622 0.10845 -1.27455 1.12632 -1.132 0.26102 ## X2 3.04446 0.99629 3.056 0.00301 ** ## X3 0.17894 1.16865 0.153 0.87867 ## X4 0.12057 0.95410 0.126 0.89974 ## X5 0.42167 1.04928 0.402 0.68880 ## X6 -0.05058 1.16496 -0.043 0.96547 ## X7 -1.48874 1.18517 -1.256 0.21255 ## X8 1.02701 1.03928 0.988 0.32589 ## X9 ## X10 -0.83981 1.13596 -0.739 0.46179 0.68516 1.02798 0.667 0.50691 ## X11 -0.55163 1.07908 -0.511 0.61055 ## X12 ## X13 -1.25600 1.22391 -1.026 0.30773 0.52319 1.01348 0.516 0.60705 ## X14 -0.73817 1.23259 -0.599 0.55086 ## X15 ## ---## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 ## Residual standard error: 3.258 on 84 degrees of freedom ## Multiple R-squared: 0.2964, Adjusted R-squared: 0.1708 ## F-statistic: 2.359 on 15 and 84 DF, p-value: 0.007036 • 15 of the 15 are in the confidence intervals • The only feature that's significant is x_3 or β_3 . None of the other xs (1 through 5) are significant. • All of the signs are not correct for beta 1 through 5, β_5 should be positive. 4(g) $mse_4g = mean((test\$y-predict(fit_4f, test))^2)$ The MSE is 10.2347653 for the full model. 4(h) $step_4h = stepAIC(lm(y \sim ..., data = train),$ direction = "both", trace = F)summary(step_4h) ## ## Call: ## $lm(formula = y \sim X1 + X3 + X8 + X13, data = train)$ ## Residuals: 1Q Median 3Q Min ## -7.2310 -1.8975 0.2254 1.6861 7.4489 ## ## Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) ## (Intercept) 3.0673 0.3217 9.533 1.64e-15 *** 0.8835 1.582 0.116921 1.3978 ## X1 3.0285 0.8466 3.577 0.000548 *** ## X3 3.0285 0.8466 3.577 0.000548 -1.5716 0.9797 -1.604 0.112011 -1.4510 1.0226 -1.419 0.159185 ## X8 ## X13 ## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 ## Residual standard error: 3.14 on 95 degrees of freedom ## Multiple R-squared: 0.2609, Adjusted R-squared: 0.2297 ## F-statistic: 8.382 on 4 and 95 DF, p-value: 7.787e-06 The "right" variables did not come into the model. 4(i) mse_4i = mean((test\$y-predict(step_4h, test))^2) The MSE is 10.0442626 for the model found using stepAIC. 4(j) $x_4j = model.matrix(y \sim . -1, data = train)$ set.seed(1234) $ridge_cv_4j = cv.glmnet(x_4j, train$y, alpha = 0, nfolds = 5)$ plot(ridge_cv_4j, xvar = 'lambda', label = T) ## Warning in plot.window(...): "xvar" is not a graphical parameter ## Warning in plot.window(...): "label" is not a graphical parameter ## Warning in plot.xy(xy, type, ...): "xvar" is not a graphical parameter ## Warning in plot.xy(xy, type, ...): "label" is not a graphical parameter ## Warning in axis(side = side, at = at, labels = labels, ...): "xvar" is not a ## graphical parameter ## Warning in axis(side = side, at = at, labels = labels, ...): "label" is not a ## graphical parameter ## Warning in axis(side = side, at = at, labels = labels, ...): "xvar" is not a ## graphical parameter ## Warning in axis(side = side, at = at, labels = labels, ...): "label" is not a ## graphical parameter ## Warning in box(...): "xvar" is not a graphical parameter ## Warning in box(...): "label" is not a graphical parameter ## Warning in title(...): "xvar" is not a graphical parameter ## Warning in title(...): "label" is not a graphical parameter title("Ridge Trace") 15 14 Mean-Squared Error 13 12 7 10 -2 0 2 4 6 $Log(\lambda)$ 4(k) $x_4k = model.matrix(y \sim . - 1, data = test)$ $mse_4k = mean((test\$y - predict(ridge_cv_4j, s = ridge_cv_4j\$lambda.min, x_4k))^2)$ The MSE is 9.3658854 for the Ridge model. 4(I) $x_4l = model.matrix(y \sim . -1, data = train)$ set.seed(1234) $lasso_cv_4l = cv.glmnet(x_4l, train$y, alpha = 1, nfolds = 5)$ plot(lasso_cv_41, xvar = 'lambda', label = T) ## Warning in plot.window(...): "xvar" is not a graphical parameter ## Warning in plot.window(...): "label" is not a graphical parameter ## Warning in plot.xy(xy, type, ...): "xvar" is not a graphical parameter ## Warning in plot.xy(xy, type, ...): "label" is not a graphical parameter ## Warning in axis(side = side, at = at, labels = labels, ...): "xvar" is not a ## graphical parameter ## Warning in axis(side = side, at = at, labels = labels, ...): "label" is not a ## graphical parameter ## Warning in axis(side = side, at = at, labels = labels, ...): "xvar" is not a ## graphical parameter ## Warning in axis(side = side, at = at, labels = labels, ...): "label" is not a ## graphical parameter ## Warning in box(...): "xvar" is not a graphical parameter ## Warning in box(...): "label" is not a graphical parameter ## Warning in title(...): "xvar" is not a graphical parameter ## Warning in title(...): "label" is not a graphical parameter title("Lasso Trace") 15 15 15 14 14 13 12 Lasso Trace, 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 15 14 Mean-Squared Error 13 12 -2 -6 0 $Log(\lambda)$ 4(m) $x_4m = model.matrix(y \sim . - 1, data = test)$ $mse_4m = mean((test\$y - predict(lasso_cv_4l, s = lasso_cv_4l\$lambda.min, x_4m))^2)$ The MSE is 9.4173197 for the Ridge model. 4(n) source("hw5.R") rho = c(0.9, 0.5, 0.1)sigma = c(5,3,1)for (r in rho) { for (s in sigma) { hw5(rho = r, sigmae = s)cat("Rho value: ", r, " ", "Sigma value: ", s, "\n") } ## -----## correlation between x: 0.9 ## Error variance: 25 ## OLS x1-x5: 26.24862 ## OLS x1-x15: 28.4299 ## backward (2): 26.96192 ## forward (2): 26.96192 ## ridge: 25.89624 ## lasso: 25.72874 ## Rho value: 0.9 Sigma value: 5 ## correlation between x: 0.9 ## Error variance: 9 ## OLS x1-x5: 9.449501 ## OLS x1-x15: 10.23477 ## backward (4): 10.04426 ## forward (2): 9.879715 ## ridge: 9.365885 ## lasso: 9.41732 ## Rho value: 0.9 Sigma value: 3 ## correlation between x: 0.9 ## Error variance: 1 ## OLS x1-x5: 1.049945 ## OLS x1-x15: 1.137196 ## backward (4): 1.14975 ## forward (4): 1.14975 ## ridge: 1.282693 ## lasso: 1.269259 ## Rho value: 0.9 Sigma value: 1 ## correlation between x: 0.5 ## Error variance: 25 ## OLS x1-x5: 26.24862 ## OLS x1-x15: 28.4299 ## backward (4): 27.28365 ## forward (2): 26.96062 ## ridge: 27.19028 ## lasso: 26.70358 ## Rho value: 0.5 Sigma value: 5 ## -----## correlation between x: 0.5 ## Error variance: 9 ## OLS x1-x5: 9.449501 ## OLS x1-x15: 10.23477 ## backward (4): 10.06145 ## forward (4): 10.06145 ## ridge: 9.699253 ## lasso: 9.695756 ## Rho value: 0.5 Sigma value: 3 ## -----## correlation between x: 0.5 ## Error variance: 1 ## OLS x1-x5: 1.049945 ## OLS x1-x15: 1.137196 ## backward (6): 1.093831 ## forward (6): 1.093831 ## ridge: 1.324893 ## lasso: 1.382698 ## Rho value: 0.5 Sigma value: 1 -----## correlation between x: 0.1 ## Error variance: 25 ## 0LS x1-x5: 26.24862 ## OLS x1-x15: 28.4299 ## backward (4): 27.49461 ## forward (4): 27.49461 ## ridge: 27.69429 ## lasso: 27.17986 ## Rho value: 0.1 Sigma value: 5 ## -----## correlation between x: 0.1 ## Error variance: 9 ## OLS x1-x5: 9.449501 ## OLS x1-x15: 10.23477 ## backward (4): 10.27753 ## forward (4): 10.27753 ## ridge: 9.917381 ## lasso: 9.858322 ## Rho value: 0.1 Sigma value: 3 ## -----## correlation between x: 0.1 ## Error variance: 1 ## OLS x1-x5: 1.049945 ## OLS x1-x15: 1.137196 ## backward (6): 1.095551 ## forward (6): 1.095551 ## ridge: 1.31475 ## lasso: 1.264195 ## Rho value: 0.1 Sigma value: 1 When there is moderate to low rho/multicollinearity, OLS generally preforms better than ridge or lasso regression. Ridge or lasso regression work better when there is high multicollinearity and moderate to high noise. When using lasso or ridge we will use lasso when noise is higher. In this test they preform best when rho is 0.9 and sigma is greater than or equal to 5.

PA HW5

Problem 3

Group10

2022-11-08