## MSiA 401 Homework 3 Due: Tuesday, October 18, 3:00 Professor Malthouse

Work in your assigned teams. Submit a single assignment with all names.

- 1. Use the auto data set from JWHT problem 3.9 on page 122.
  - (a) Now regress mpg on cylinders, displacement, weight, origin, year and year squared. Comment on the signs of the estimated coefficients and note which are significantly different from 0. What is value of  $R^2$ ?
  - (b) Compute the variance inflation factors. What do they tell you?
  - (c) Drop weight from the model. What happens to the parameter estimates and  $R^2$ ?
  - (d) Drop weight and displacement from the model. What happens to the parameter estimates and  $R^2$ ?
- 2. (12 points) JWHT problem 3.14a-f on page 125.
  - (a) Generate the data as shown below, but for those working in Python, I will translate the data generation process. Generate n=100 points where  $x_1 \sim \mathcal{U}[0,1]$  (runif(100)) and rnorm(100) produces  $100 \mathcal{N}(0,1)$  variables.

```
> set.seed(1)
> x1 = runif(100) # part a
> x2 = .5*x1+rnorm(100)/10
> y = 2 + 2*x1 + .3*x2 + rnorm(100)
```

Write out the form of the linear model. What are the true regression coefficients and standard deviation of errors.

- (b) What is the correlation between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ ?
- (c) Using this data, regress y on  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Describe the results. What are the parameter estimates and how do they relate to the true parameters? Which coefficients are significantly different from 0? Are the true parameters "covered" by 95% CIs?
- (d) Regress y on  $x_1$  alone. Is  $\beta_1$  significantly different from 0? Is the true  $\beta_1$  covered by by a 95% CI?
- (e) Regress y on  $x_2$  alone. Is  $\beta_2$  significantly different from 0? Is the true  $\beta_2$  covered by by a 95% CI? Are the true parameters "covered" by the 95% confidence intervals?

- (f) Do the results in (c)–(e) contradict each other? Explain.
- 3. In class I mentioned that you should control for a variable if it is a fork, but not if it is a collider. The next three problems will ask you to generate some data to see why. Let n = 500 be the number of observations. Generate  $w \sim \mathcal{U}[0, 5]$ . Then let  $x = w + \delta$  where  $\delta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ . Then let  $y = 4 + 2x 3w + \epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .
  - (a) Is this a pipe, fork or collider?
  - (b) Generate a correlation matrix and basic descriptive statistics (min, max, mean, sd).
  - (c) Regress y on x. Is the coefficient of x significant at the .05 level? Does a 95% CI cover the true slope for x, namely 2?
  - (d) Now regress y on both x and w. Is the coefficient of x significant at the .05 level? Does a 95% CI cover the true slope for x, namely 2?
  - (e) What are the values of VIF from this second regression?
- 4. Let n = 500 be the number of observations. Generate  $x \sim \mathcal{U}[0, 5]$ . Then let  $y = x + \delta$  where  $\delta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ . Then let  $w = 4 + 2x + 3y + \epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .
  - (a) Is this a pipe, fork or collider?
  - (b) Generate a correlation matrix and basic descriptive statistics (min, max, mean, sd).
  - (c) Regress y on x. Is the coefficient of x significant at the .05 level? Does a 95% CI cover the true slope for x, namely 1?
  - (d) Now regress y on both x and w. Is the coefficient of x significant at the .05 level? Does a 95% CI cover the true slope for x, namely 1?
  - (e) What are the values of VIF from this second regression?
  - (f) Compare the values of  $R^2$  (or  $S_e$ ) between the two models. Which model is better according or  $R^2$  (or  $S_e$ ). Is this the right model?
- 5. Let n = 500 be the number of observations. Generate  $x \sim \mathcal{U}[0, 5]$ . Then let  $w = x + \delta$  where  $\delta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ . Then let  $y = 2w + \epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .
  - (a) Is this a pipe, fork or collider?

- (b) Generate a correlation matrix and basic descriptive statistics (min, max, mean, sd).
- (c) Regress y on x. Is the coefficient of x significant at the .05 level?
- (d) Now regress y on both x and w. Is the coefficient of x significant at the .05 level?
- 6. (10 points) ACT 2.4: Omitted variables. Suppose that there are two predictor variables,  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , but we fit the straight line model  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \epsilon$  omitting  $x_2$ . If, in fact, the true model is  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \epsilon$ , show that

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_{i2} = \beta_1 + \frac{\beta_2}{S_{11}} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_{i1} - \bar{x}_1)(x_{i2} - \bar{x}_2) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 r \frac{s_2}{s_1},$$

where  $c_i = (x_{i1} - \bar{x}_1)/S_{11}$ ,  $S_{11} = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_{i1} - \bar{x}_1)^2$ , r is the sample correlation coefficient between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , and  $s_1$ ,  $s_2$  are the sample SD's of  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ , respectively. Thus  $\hat{\beta}_1$  is biased with the bias given by the second term in the above expression. Under what condition is this bias zero? Discuss how this result applies to JWHT problem 3.14.

7. In class I mentioned that one of the effects of multicollinearity is to increase the variance of the slope estimates. This problem will show you why this is the case. Suppose that  $y = \beta_1 z_1 + \beta_2 z_2 + e$ , where all variables have been standardized prior to estimation making the intercept unnecessary. You have observed  $(z_{i1}, z_{i2}, y_i)$ , for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , where the sample means are 0 and the sample variances are 1, i.e.,

$$\bar{z}_j = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_{ij} = 0$$
,  $S_j^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (z_{ij} - 0)^2 = 1$ , and  $r = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n z_{i1} z_{i2}$ ,

where r is sample correlation between  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ . How does correlation r affect the variance of the slope estimates? Hint: recall that  $V(\mathbf{b}) = \sigma^2(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}$  and

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}$$

8. Start analyzing the bike data for the mini project. There is nothing to turn in.