

# CMPS 2200 Recitation 01

Name (Team Member 1): \_\_\_\_\_

Name (Team Member 2): \_\_\_\_\_

In this recitation, we will investigate asymptotic complexity. Additionally, we will get familiar with the various technologies we'll use for collaborative coding.

To complete this recitation, follow the instructions in this document. Some of your answers will go in this file, and others will require you to edit `main.py`.

## Setup

- Login to Github.
- Click on the assignment link sent through canvas and accept the assignment.
- Click on your personal github repository for the assignment (e.g., [https://github.com/tulane-cmps2200/recitation-01-your\\_username](https://github.com/tulane-cmps2200/recitation-01-your_username)).
- Click on the “Work in Repl.it” button. This will launch an instance of `repl.it` initialized with the code from your repository.
- You'll work with a partner to complete this recitation. To do so, we'll break you into Zoom rooms. You will be able to code together in the same `repl.it` instance. You can choose whose `repl.it` instance you will share. This person will click the “Share” button in their `repl.it` instance and email the lab partner.

## Running and testing your code

- Clicking the “play” button will run all tests in your code.
- It's usually best to run only one test at a time. To run tests, from the command-line shell, you can run
  - `pytest -s main.py` will run all tests
  - `pytest -s main.py::test_one` will just run `test_one`

## Turning in your work

- Once complete, click on the “Version Control” icon in the left pane on `repl.it`.
- Enter a commit message in the “what did you change?” text box
- Click “commit and push.” This will push your code to your github repository.
- Although you are working as a team, please have each team member submit the same code to their repository. One person can copy the code to their `repl.it` and submit it from there.

## Comparing search algorithms

We'll compare the running times of `linear_search` and `binary_search` empirically.

- ☐ 1. In `main.py`, the implementation of `linear_search` is already complete. Your task is to implement `binary_search`. Implement a recursive solution using the helper function `_binary_search`.
- ☐ 2. Test that your function is correct by calling from the command-line `pytest main.py::test_binary_search`
- ☐ 3. Write at least two additional test cases in `test_binary_search` and confirm they pass.
- ☐ 4. Describe the worst case input value of `key` for `linear_search`? for `binary_search`?

**TODO: your answer goes here**

- ☐ 5. Describe the best case input value of `key` for `linear_search`? for `binary_search`?

**TODO: your answer goes here**

- ☐ 6. Complete the `time_search` function to compute the running time of a search function. Note that this is an example of a “higher order” function, since one of its parameters is another function.

- ☐ 7. Complete the `compare_search` function to compare the running times of linear search and binary search. Confirm the implementation by running `pytest main.py::test_compare_search`, which contains some simple checks.

- ☐ 8. Call `print_results(compare_search())` and paste the results here:

**TODO: add your timing results here**

- ☐ 9. The theoretical worst-case running time of linear search is  $O(n)$  and binary search is  $O(\log_2(n))$ . Do these theoretical running times match your empirical results? Why or why not?

**TODO: your answer goes here**

- ☐ 10. Binary search assumes the input list is already sorted. Assume it takes  $\Theta(n^2)$  time to sort a list of length  $n$ . Suppose you know ahead of time that you will search the same list  $k$  times.

- What is worst-case complexity of searching a list of  $n$  elements  $k$  times using linear search?

**TODO: your answer goes here**

- For binary search? **TODO: your answer goes here**

- For what values of  $k$  is it more efficient to first sort and then use binary search versus just using linear search without sorting? **TODO: your answer goes here**