COS 485 — Homework 6

Samuel Barton Benjamin Montgomery

April 18th, 2017

Problem 1

In this problem we are asked to make a decision tree argument about the lower limit on the worst case number of comparisons needed to find a key in a sorted list.

Let us assume our list has N items. We assume that these items are in sorted order. There are N possible solutions, namely keys, from which we must choose one. Thus the height of the decision tree must be large enough to cover the search space of N items. Since we have sorted the items we have a binary decision, either an item is greater than its predecessor or it is less than its predecessor. Thus we have a binary tree where each node can have at most two children, the height of the tree can be calculated by solving the following equation.

$$N \le 2^h \implies \lg(N) \le h \implies h \ge \lg(N)$$

Since the height of the decision tree is equal to the number of needed comparisions, we have that there can be a minimum of $\lg N$ comparisions in the worst case to find a particular key in a sorted list of N elements.

Problem 2

In this problem we consider the case of one miserly king who has demanded a weighing of N coins with the understanding that one coin is counterfeit and lighter than the others.

The algorithm to efficiently solve this is as follows:

- 1. Divide coins into three parts A, B, and C where the size each is $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$. If N is not divisible by 3, then we will put the 1 or two additional coins in D and set them aside.
- 2. Weigh two of A, B, or C.

If A = B, then throw out A and B and keep C

If A < B, then throw out B and C and keep A

If B < A, then throw out A and C and keep B

- 3. Repeat until only one of A, B, and C remain
- 4. If N is divisible by 3, then we are done. Otherwise, we have either 1 or 2 elements in D to consider. Compare whichever of A, B, or C remains with 1 of the elements in D using the above logic.

In the worst case, N is not divisible by 3 and has a remainder of 2. Thus there are 2 elements in D and we do $\lceil \log_3(n) \rceil$ comparisons to end up with 1 element from A, B, or C and two elements from D to consider. We make one additional comparison with 1 element from D and the remaining element from the prior comparisons, and can then determine which coin is the counterfeit. Thus in the worst case our algorithm will have a time complexity equal to the number of comparisons which is

$$T_n = \lfloor \log_3(n) \rfloor + 1$$