

Homework 1: Basic Data Structures and Built-Ins

CS 150 with Dr. Sam Schwartz

Due: Sunday, February 8 at 11:59pm via Canvas Upload

1 Purpose

In this homework assignment you will gain experience with creating some of your own data structures, practicing with Java's built-in collections (including ArrayList, LinkedList, HashSet, HashMap), and applying recursion.

2 Tasks

Part A – Build a Custom Linked Data Structure

Implement a singly-linked list of integers called `IntSinglyLinkedList`. You may not use `ArrayList`, `LinkedList`, or any built-in collection to store the elements internally.

1. Create a class `Node` with fields:

- `int value`
- `Node next`

2. In `IntSinglyLinkedList`, maintain:

- a reference to the head node
- an `int size`

3. Implement the following methods:

- `public void addFirst(int value)`
- `public void addLast(int value)`
- `public int get(int index)` (throw `IndexOutOfBoundsException`)
- `public int removeFirst()` (throw `NoSuchElementException`)
- `public boolean removeValue(int value)` (remove first match; return true if removed)
- `public int size()`
- `public boolean isEmpty()`
- `public String toString()` (format example: `[3, 8, 2]`)

Finally, write a short "demo" snippet in `main(String[] args)` which demonstrates the use of your `IntSinglyLinkedList` and instruments it with `System.out.println()` statements. Take a screenshot of it.

Part B – Create a Small “Library” Using Java Collections

You will build a tiny library system that stores “books” and “borrowers.” You will use multiple built-in collections and practice choosing the right data structure for the job.

1. Create a class `Book` with:

- fields: `String isbn`, `String title`, `String author`
- a constructor that initializes all fields (validate that strings are not null nor blank)
- getters (no public setters)
- `toString`
- `equals` and `hashCode` based on `isbn` only

2. Create a class `Borrower` with:

- fields: `String id`, `String name`
- constructor + appropriate getters and setters
- `equals` and `hashCode` based on `id` only

3. Create a class `Library` that uses all of the following internally:

- `ArrayList<Book>` to store the full catalog in insertion order
- `HashMap<String, Book>` mapping `isbn` -> `Book` for fast lookup
- `HashSet<Book>` to track which books are currently available (in-library)
- `HashMap<Borrower, LinkedList<Book>>` mapping each borrower to a checkout queue/history

4. Implement the following `Library` methods:

- `public void addBook(Book book)` (no duplicates by ISBN)
- `public Book findByIsbn(String isbn)` (return null if not found)
- `public boolean isAvailable(String isbn)`
- `public void registerBorrower(Borrower b)` (id-based uniqueness)
- `public boolean checkout(String borrowerId, String isbn)`
- `public boolean checkin(String isbn)`
- `public LinkedList<Book> getBorrowerBooks(String borrowerId)` (return a copy)

5. Error handling requirements:

- If `checkout` is called with an unknown borrower or unknown ISBN, throw `IllegalArgumentException`.
- If `checkin` is called with an unknown ISBN, throw `IllegalArgumentException`.
- If `checkout` is attempted for a book that is not available, return `false`.

6. Write short comments (2–4 sentences) inside your code explaining why each collection choice makes sense (why `HashMap` here, why `HashSet` here, etc.).

Finally, write a short “demo” snippet in `main(String[] args)` which demonstrates the use of your library and instruments it with `System.out.println()` statements. Take a screenshot of it.

Part C – Recursion: Catalog Analytics

You will implement recursive methods without using loops.

1. Create a utility class `RecursionUtils` with:

- `public static int countTitlesWithPrefix(ArrayList<Book> books, String prefix)`

This method returns the number of books whose title starts with the given prefix (case-insensitive).

2. Add:

- `public static int totalCheckedOutBooks(HashMap<Borrower, LinkedList<Book>> map)`

This returns the total number of books checked out across all borrowers. You may convert the `keySet()` to an `ArrayList` once, but the counting logic must be recursive.

3. Add one more recursive method of your own choosing that is meaningfully different from the above (examples: recursive max title length, recursive search for an author, recursive count of unique authors). Be sure to comment both the base case(s) and recursive case(s).

Finally, write a short “demo” snippet in `main(String[] args)` which demonstrates the use of your recursive methods and instruments them with `System.out.println()` statements. Take a screenshot of it.

Part D – Short Written Reflection

In a `README.md` file, answer in 6–10 sentences total:

1. Which methods/classes were hardest to implement correctly, and why?
2. Where did recursion feel natural, and where did it feel awkward?
3. If performance mattered at scale (millions of books), which data structure choices would you revisit?

Part E – Submit to Canvas

Upload the following five files to Canvas:

- `code.zip` which contains all of your code
- `README.md` which contains the reflection requested in task D.
- `screenshot-a.png`, or a similar filename, that demonstrates that the code you wrote in Task A is working as specified via a screenshot of your IDE/console.
- `screenshot-b.png`, or a similar filename, that demonstrates that the code you wrote in Task B is working as specified via a screenshot of your IDE/console.
- `screenshot-c.png`, or a similar filename, that demonstrates that the code you wrote in Task C is working as specified via a screenshot of your IDE/console.

3 Grading Criteria

In general I am looking for the elements of validity, readability, and fluency in all code-based assignments. (See more below.)

I tend to dock 5ish points off for each error (although smaller or larger quantities like -1pt or -10pts exist based on the magnitude of the error), and will provide free-form feedback detailing why any points were missed in the comments on Canvas.

Please note that I do not get notifications about replies to my comments on Canvas, so if you have any questions please reach out to me directly.

Validity

Student submitted files which implemented the tasks correctly and handled possible errors gracefully.

Moreover, the student submitted screenshot(s) displaying the code's output.

Readability

The deliverable used professional English and typesetting throughout, and had all methods annotated with JavaDoc.

Fluency

The deliverable was executed in such a way that an experienced practitioner would not find the deliverable "weird-in-a-bad-way" or unduly jarring.