modules over a ving \$4.1

We now begin the last chapter of the semester, on modules. When we studied groups, we saw that looking at their actions on sets was very useful. A module is something that a ring acts on; but it is more thanjust a set: it's an abelian group.

Det'n Let R be a ring (possibly noncommutative, but with 1). A (185+) R-module is an abelian group A together with a map RXA -> A (we denote (r,a) +> ra) such that

- r(a+b) = ra+rb Yrek, a, beA
- (r+s) a = ratsa triser, an ach
 - Yr, SER, aEA = (rs) q

Desin If A and B are R-modules, a homomorphism is a map (:A-) B such that ((x+y)= (x)+ (y) \ X,y & A and e(rx) = record xEA, reR.

-

-

--

-

6

6

6

E.g. If R=Z, then an R-module 15the same thing as an abelian group: indeed Zacts on any abelian group to by

1.9 = 9+9+...+9 for 9 & G and n & Z (when (-1) = 9-, etc.). And a 2-module homo. A > B is the same as a grup homo.

So modules generalize abelian groups. They also generalize vactor spras:

Eig. If R=K is a field, then an R-module is the same thong as a vector space V over K, and a R-module homo. V-) wir the same as a linear transformation.

So the study of modules is like a version of likear algebra for rings (but we have to be careful since linear independence does not

E.g. If R=Mn (K), matrix algebra over a field K, then one R-module is K", where MV for MEMn(K) and VEK" is given by usual matrix multiplication, viewing vas a column vector, E.g. Consider R= K[G] the group alyelor of a group Gover a field K. Then an R-module is the same thing as a vector space V over K together with a nomomorphism 4: G > GL(V), where GL(V) 15 the general livear group of V, the set of all invertible linear transformations V-> V. This is also called a representation of group Gover field K, and the Study of group representations is all luge subject! we see that modules over noncommutative vings are very interesting, but we will mostly consider commutative rings from now on. E.g. If Risa commutative ring and IER is in ideal, then I is an R-module (we the natural multiplication by eltr of K) but also RII is an R-module. In commutative algebora, quotients by ideals are a major source of modules. E.g. Let's do a perticular example. Let R=C [x] be the poly. nhy. And let I = (x2+2x-1) = R and M=R/I as an R-module. Note that M= {ait bx: a, b EC} = C2 as an abeliangp. but we have also the action of Ron M to understand. Of course I.m = m for all mEM, but what about XER? Note that x: 1 = x, white X · X = X2 = -2x+1 EM (sme x2+2x-(= 6) From this we can deave the action of any fe Cas on

0

きき

t

4

ALLERALER

Just live in linear algebra, where even more important than vector spaces are linear transformations (a.k.a. notrices), we care about module nomonorphisms. Defin Let e: A > B be on R-module homomorphism. We define its image im(u) = {4(a): afA} = B and kernel ker(u) = {afA: 4(a) = 0} = A as usual, and we say u is an epimorphism if it's surjective (im(u) = B) and a monomorphism if it's injective (ker(u) = 0), isomorphism if both.

Defin Let $A \xrightarrow{k} B \xrightarrow{k} C$ be a sequence of R-module homomorphisms. We say this sequence is exact if $Im(Q_1) = ker(Q_2)$. Similarly if $A_1 \xrightarrow{k} A_2 \xrightarrow{k} A_3 \xrightarrow{k} A_4 \cdots$ is a sequence of R-mod. hom's we say it is exact if $Im(Q_1) = ker(Q_{1+1})$ for all i. *****

-

(

Exact sequences are extremely important in the study of modules, but it can be a bit hand to understand their significance at first.

Des'n A short exact sequence is a sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ that is exact, where 0 is the trivial k-module (trivial group). What does this mean? Well since $\ker(\mathbf{ex}) = \ker(\mathbf{o} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}) = 0$, we must have that \mathbf{x} is a monomorphism, and since $\operatorname{im}(\mathbf{p}) = \ker(\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{C}$, must have that \mathbf{p} is an epimorphism. Together with $\operatorname{im}(\mathbf{x}) = \ker(\mathbf{p})$, this is all we need.

Def'n Let A and B be two R-modules. The direct Sum

A OB is the direct sum as an abelian group, with

r.(a,b) = (ra,rb) tran rER, (a,b) E A OB.

Eig. Given two Romodules A and B, there is a SES

O > A -> A B B > B > 0

Where A -> ABBithe Canonical inclusion, and

ABB B is the Canonical projection. Are all SES like this?

Defin We say that two SES; OAA>B>C>O, OAA'>B>C'>O are isomorphic if there are iso's f: A -> A', g: B-> B', h: C-> C' s.t. $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ $0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow B' \rightarrow C' \rightarrow 0$ making the diagram commute (going two ways around square gives the same map). RMC: "Homological algebra" studies commutative diagrams ("diagram chasing"). Defin A SES O-A-B-C-O is split if it is rsomorphic to one of the form O→X=X+Y=Y+O 111111111 Thm If R = K is a field, then any SES or vector spaces 0->A->B->C->O IT SPICT. We will discuss the proof of this thru later, but it amounts to the fact that any set of linearly independent vectors extends trasic. So is every SES split? No! B.g. Let R= Z, so that R-modules are just abelian groups. Let not. Consider the sequence 0 -> Z in Z -> Z/n Z/> 0. -Here Zin Z is the "multiplication by a" map 4 atona. This is injective, so o > 2 -> 2 is exact. 0 And Z -> Z/nZ is the quotient map q +> a mod n 0 which is surjective, so Z' > Z/nZ -> 0 is exact. 0 0 Finally, notice that im (Z=Z)= nZ= ker (Z->Z/nZ), 1 so we indeed have a short exact sequence of obelian groups. But it is not split! : Z is not rsomorphic to ZOZINZ because it has no torsion elements.