Rings &3. 12 Ever to Edgmany prom ave won sw

The number systems we are used to (like Z, Q, IR, C, ...) have two fundamental operations: addition to and multiplication. A ring is an abstract algebraic System that captures the way t and interact in number systems. The definition of ring builds on that of abelian group, and much of what we have learned about groups will continue to apply to rings. which are our focus of study for the 2nd half of the semester.

Def'n A ring is a set R with two binary operations +: RxR > R

and .: Rx R-> R satisfying the following axioms:

- addition is associative: (a+b)+c= (a+(b+c) So (R,+)

- there are additive inverses: a+(-a)=(-a)+a=0 is a y abelian group - addition is commutative: a+b=b+a

- multiplication is associative (a.b). c = a. (b.c) 7 so (R).)

those is a multiplicative identity! a.l=1.a=a is a mo

- there is a multiplicative identity! a. 1 = 1. a = a

- multiplication distributes over addition:

a. (b+c) = a.b + a.c. and (b+c). a = b.a + 6.9

WARNING: In the textbook, they do not assume that rings have a 1 (multiplicative identity), and call a ring unital or "with unity" it it does.

we will always assume vings have a 1. Interesting examples do.

There is a nested sequence of classes of rings

rings 2 commutative rings 2 domains 2 fields

that behave more and more like the number systems we know.

Defin Aring Ris called commutative if the multiplication is commutative: a.b = b.a.

WARNING Addition in a ring (even a noncommutative ring) is always commutative! But multiplication might not be.

We now give many examples of rings. Eig: The first example of a ring to have in mind is K = Z, the integers with their usual addition & multiplication. This is a commutative ring.

E.g. For any integer n=1, we can take R= Z/nZ= {0,1,..., n-1} with addition and multiplication modulo n. This is a finite commutative ving: E.g. Let R be any commutative ring, e.g. R = Z! les Fornel, We use Mn (R) to denote the ving of nxn matrices with entries in R, with addition componentwise, and with multiplication the multiplication of matricel you know from linear algebra. This is a noncommutative ring: [00] [00] = [00] but [00] = [00]. E.g. Let R be any commutative ring, e.g. R=Z and let Gbe a group. The group ring lor group algebral R[G] has as its elements formal finite R-linear combinations of elts. i.e., expressions of the form 2 rg g where rg = 0 Fm all but finitely many of the g (G). Addition is coordinatewise: $\sum_{g \in G} g + \sum_{g \in G} g = \sum_{g \in G} (r_g + r_g) g$.

For multiplication: $(\sum_{g \in G} r_g g) \cdot (\sum_{g \in G} r_g' g) = \sum_{g \in G} (r_g \cdot r_g') (g \cdot g')$ Where $(g \cdot g') \in G$ is a sing the group multiplication.

This group algebra is commutative iff

the group 6 is commutative. Let's see a

-

-

9

9

7

9

0

-

concrete example: consider [[S3], group algebra of symmetric Then (e+2. (1,2)). (-3e+(1,3))=17 JUNG plant sold +) and is -3 e.e + e.(1,3) -6(1,2) .e + 2,(1,2).(1,3) = -3e+(1,3)-6(1,2) Can multiplication give a group smuchine on a ring 12? No inverse of zero never exists because of following. Prop: In any ring R, a. 0 = 0. a = 0 for all at (= 0. 1) subfract a. 0 from both sides Pf: a. 0 = a. (0+0) = a. 0 + a. 0 RMR: * technically in the trivial ring Rwith one element 0=1 we have that 0 is multiplicatively invertible. But in any nontrivial ring R, O + 1, so 0 is not multiplicatively invertible. Defin Let R be a ring. An at R is called a left (resp. right) zero divisor if IxER such that ax=0 (resp. xa=0) E.g. O is always a zero divisor in every ring E.g. 2 is a zero divisor in 2/6% since 2.3=6= Eigh=[01] ∈ M2(Z) is a left and right Zero divisor, since A2 = 0. Defin A commutative my R is called an integral domain, or just domain, if it has no nonzero zero clivisors, E.g. We saw that 2/62 is not a domain Eig. Vis a dominin. It is the prototypical example of one. Exercise: Show that W/PV for Paprine is a domain in fact, it is a finite field, which we now explain.

let'n An element aER, for Raring, is called a unit it it ir multiplicatively invertible, i.e. 76ER s.t. ab = ba = 1. We use Rx to denote the units of R, which forms a group under . E.g. ZX = == 1, 13, while (Z/pZ/) = 21,2,..., P-13 for prime. Prop. If ack is a unit, then it is not a zero disor. P5. a.x = 0 => a-1.a.x = a-1.0 => x=0 Defin A commutative ring R is called a field if every Notice that a field is a domain, thanks to the last proposion Eg. Zis not a field. But the rational numbers Q = { = : a, b \ 21, b \ to} are a fireld Similarly the real numbers R and complex numbers C are fields. Defin A (noncommutative) ring Ris called a division ring of a skew field if every non zero element is a unit. Skew fields are weinder than fields, but here is an important example: Eig. The skew field HI of quaternions (when H=WR. Hamilton,) has elements of the firm p= a+bi+cj+dk where a, b, c, d ER are real numbers, and i,i, k are symbols Satisfying the identities T2 = J2 = T2 = Tijk = -1 (compare to the complex numbers = a + bi). For My Hade, (1+1)(1+1)=1+1+1+1= = 1+1+1+1+1 where $i\bar{j} = \bar{k}$ because $i\bar{j}\bar{k} = -1 = i\bar{j}\bar{k}^2 = -\bar{k} = -i\bar{j} = -\bar{k}$.

0

0

8

8

1

0

•

-

-

0

-

0

0 A

D

2

1

1

0

0

Ideal theory is best behaved for commutative rings R, but good a (so to have in mind some noncommisting examples E.g. For any Kin, MK(R) is a sulming of Mn(R) For any ideal ISR, Ma (I) is an ideal of Ma LR). E.g. For a subgroup HEG, R[H] is a subring of R[G]. For any ideal ISR, IIGT is an ideal of REGJ. Given an ideal IER, we can consider the cosets at I = {a+x: x E I } for a ER, which we denote R/I. Because I is a subgroup of the abelian group (R, +), R/I is an abelian group under the usual addition. (a+I) + (b+I) = (a+b) +I. Prop. The quotient R/I for I = Ran ideal har
the structure of a mine, with multiplication given by (a+I). (b+I) = ab+I Pf. See book. For noncommuntative R it is important that I be a (two-sided) ideal here. E.g. For each n21, Z/nZ the quotient ring is exactly 80,1, ..., n-13 with multiplication and addition modulo n, as we have seen. Fig. Dis an ideal of ans R, and RO = R. there are vergions of all the isomorphism theorems we saw for quotient groups that hold for quotient rings too. see the book of doing

-

-

1

_

Certain families of ideals are especially important Defin An ideal ICR of a (not recessarily commutative) ring R is called prime if ABCIT => A CI or B CI for all ideals A, B, where AB= {a,b,+a2b2+++anbn: A; EA, b; EBS, The definition of prime ideal is easier if Kis commutative; Prop. An ideal IER of a commutative ring Ris prime if ta, ber, abe I =) at I or be I. Pf. See box g E.g. pZ for paprime is a prime ideal of Z, and and OZ is also a prime ideal (Hese are) Defin Anideal I SR of a ring R is called maximal if it is not contained in any proper (+R) idea 1. Prop. In a commutative ving R, every maxil ideal is prime. Eg. p & for p prime are the meximal ideals of Z but note 02=0 is prime although it is not maximal. The conditions of prime and maximal imply important properties of the corresponding quotient rings. Prop. Let R be a commutationering and IER an ideal. Then i) I is prime (R/I is a domain 1i) I is maximal () R/I is a field. E.g. Up I for p a prime is a finite field, as we have seen, while Z/0Z=Z is a domain, which we have also seen. Exercise: prove the above proposorous! (or see book ...)

0

e

8

8

8

8

9

8

0

0

0

0

-

1

10/16 Factorization in Commutative Rings \$ 3.3 The fundamental theorem of arithmetic says that every positive integer in can be written uniquely as n=pk.p.ke. p.ke a product of prime numbers. We will explore extensions of this property to other commutative rings beyond U. Note: Today all rings R considered will be commutative! Defin Let R be a commutative ring, and a, b FR elements. We say that a divides b, written alb, if IceR Such that ac=b. We say that a and b are associates if all and branch with the Prop. 17 1f a = ub where ufR is a unit, then af b are associates 2) If R is an integral domain, then conversely for any two associates a, b & R we have a = ub with va unit of R. Pf: 1) obvious. 2) suppose b \$0 by symmetry. Then a = cb and da = b means dcb = b => (dc = 1) b = 0 and since Risa domain and 6 to =) dc-1=0 i.e. d=c'! & RAK. We need notion of associates to make sense of the "uniquenoss" in the Statement of fund. thm. of ar. Hmetic. Think: multiplying by -1. Def'n An element CER is called irreducible if c is a nonzero non unit and c=ab =) a or bis a unit. pER is called prime if pis a non zero nonunit and plab => pla or plb. Rmix: Compare to the defunction of prime ideal between these notrons. From now on let's assume 12 is an integral domain.

neth Given a., ..., an FR, we use (a, ..., an) or (a, ..., an) to denote the ideal generated by a.,..., an, the smakest ideal I SR containing an ai. We say an ideal I SR is principal if I=(a) = {xa:xeR} for a single element afR. MOD. PER is prime (=> CP) is a prime ideal of R, what about the relationship between prime & irreducible? Prop. Every prime element of R is irreducible. RME. Converse is not true in general for integral domains! 9 On your rext HW you will show an example, But converse is true in many nice clomeins. 9 Defin An integral domain Ris called a unique factorization 9 domant if every nonzero nonuhit QER can
be written as a = c.cz... cn with c. ER irreducible,
and if we have two such expressions a=c,... cn and a=d....dm then n=m and there is a permutation of E1,2,-,n3 such that Ci and doci) are associates for all i. -F A UFD is a domain where the analog of the fundamental = theorem of arithmetic holds, like 2. The uniqueness is up to as societes because we can always multiply by units. = Rmk: Notice that fields are torially UFD's: factoring is not interesting for units, so we ignore them. To Study UFP's, we will consider other related classes of commutative rings, giving us in dustans; integral domain 2 UFD 2 principal ideal Lorain 2 Exclideun Again, everything here is friend for fields, so think of R= Zinstend.

F4

Desin An integral domain R is called a principal ideal domain (PID) if every proper (FR) ideal is principal. E.g. Zisa PID since all ideals are nZ=(n) for n=2. Thm If Ris a PID then it is a UF Dalon . (a) = I Pfiden: The proof it slightly technical and you can see the book for complete details, but the basic idea is this. We start with some a ER that we want to factor into irreducibles. We can assume a itself is not yet irreducible. Then (a) below is properly contained in some maximal (proper) ideal, which because R is a PID must be of the form (c) for some CER that is irreducible (by maximality). So then cla, and we can repeat the argument on b= a to build up a factorization of a into irreducibles, unique upto associates. That the process terminates in a finite number of steps retres on an "ascending chair condition," which it one subtlety. [] Okay, but how to show a commutative ring is a PID? Defin An integral domain Ris called a Euclidean domain if there is some function 4: R. 803 > 80, 1,2, ... 3 s.6. i) for all a, b & R \ 803, (P(a) = P(ab) - (m) ii) for all a, bER with b #0, there exist q, rER such that a=96+r with r=0 or 4(r) < 4(6). A Euclidean domain is a ring which has something like the Euclidean algorathm for division. In the defunction above, think q = a is "quotient" and r= "remainde" kig. R= Z is a Euclidean domain with & being P(x)=/x/ (absolute value).

KERTI ineducible (2) x is prime).

7hm If Ris a Euclidean domain then it is a PID (& hence a UFD). 2 M: Let I be a non-zero ideal in R, and pick a EI solh 2 that if multimizes & (x) for all x EI (803. Then we chain 9 I=(a). Indeed, let bEI. Then b=99+r for r=0 or PCr)<86) But since a EI, 9a EI, hence r EI, and if e(r) < e(a) that would contradoct our assumption on a. So v=0 and indeed levery be I is a multiple of a SI I = (a) of Euclidean domains. 12.9: If R= K [x] is the polynomial ring over a field K, then Risa Euclidean domain thanks to the polynomial long darition algor than We'll discuss this rest class. E7. Let R= Z [i]= {a+bi: a,b {Z} = 1 where define $\ell(a+bi) = a^2 + b^2$ and then for x, y ℓR we can check that x = yqtr works if we pick a to be the "closest" Gaugsian integer to & EC. => 9 = m + ni when & (ies in this square. 9 Eg. For a counter-example, let R=Z[-5]= {a+b5s:a,be} On the homework you will show that this is not a UFD, vence not a PID nor a Exclideren domain. The idea is that 2.3-6= (1+V-5)(1-V-5) shows that these none are not prime, although they are; rreducible (and in a UFD, x ER is irreducible (>) x is prime). 11

-

-

-

-

-0

-0

-

-

-

-

-

1

1

1

1

-

1

4

4

4

0

0

0

9

B

2

2

1

10/21 Polynomial rings and formal power serves rings \$3.5 A very important family of commutative rings are the polynomial rings (in fact, commutative algebrai'l "algebraic geometry" study those!). Defin Let R be a commutative ring. The polynomial ring R[x] has elements formal expressions of the form $f(x) = \sum a_i x^i$ for $a \in R$, $n \ge 0$ with coefficientwise addition:

Marinimi

Taixi + \(\subsete \big \times \) = \(\subsete (a; +b;) \times \) (with \(a : = 0 = b \).

| = 0 | \(\times \) | = \(\times (a; +b;) \times \) | (with \(a : = 0 = b \). and multiplication by convolution: (= a, xi). (= b, x) = = (= a; b,) x k This is just the usual multiplication of polynomials we know: e.g. (3x2-4x+1).(-2x2+x+5)=-6x411x3+9x2-19x+5. Technically we can identify the polynomial f(x) = \(\sigma a; \x " with the infinite sequence (ao, 9, , 92, ...) of coefficients a; ER, where a: = 0 for all but finitely many i. Recall that the biggest i such that a i to is called the degree of fix) (and we either let deg (o) = - or or leave it undefined). Prop. For any commutative ring R, REXI is a commutative ring, with a canonical Exclusion (: R -> R[x]. If Kisan integral domain, then so is R[x], inparticular ne have dey (f.g) = deg (f) dey (g) in this case. Pf: Straightforward exercise, see box.

Note: Although we often think of polynomials as functions, the elements of R [x] are just formal expressions, not functions. Fig., with R = Fz = Z/2Z (field with two elements) notice that f(x) = x and $g(x) = x^2$ define the same function $F_2 \rightarrow F_2$ (since f(0) = g(0) = 0 and f(1) = g(1) = 1) but they are not considered the same polynomials.
All polynomial rings are infinite (even over timbe rings); Neverthe tess, the idea of viewing a polynomial as a fa, is useful. Prop: Given any seR, there is an evaluation homomorphism es: R[x] -> R given by es(f(x))=f(s) = Ea; (s)" Pf: Straight forward, but note pregumes R to be communicative & Note: Given a polynomial f(x), it's important to Know what coefficient ring RIT where f(x) ER[x] lives, in order to understand its algebraic properties.

E.g. f(x): x²-2 is irreducible when viewed as an elf of Q [x], but $f(x) = (x^2-2) = (x+\sqrt{2})(x-\sqrt{2}) \in \mathbb{R}[X]$. Similarly, x^2+1 is irreducible in $\mathbb{R}[x]$, but in $\mathbb{C}[x]$ have $(x^2) = (x+i)(x-i)$. Can also define multivariate polynomial ring R[x, ... , x, 7 any polynomial ring linduding R= a polynomial ring)
it's also easy to just define this iteratively. vetin R[x,y] = (R[x])[y] where x and y are both independentes, Elts of RIxiy are things like f(x,y) = x2-xy+y3-4. Similarly for RLx,..., xn], polynomial ring with a indetermented.

§3.6 tactorization in polynomial rings is an inputent topic. Theorem Let k be a field. Then K[x], the pois nominal ring, is a Evolidean domain, hence a PID, hence a UFD. Pf. We define the Excliden norm function to be ((f) = deg(f) for all f & K [x] \ 803. Then the polynomial long division algorithm that you learned in grade school certifier that we can always wrote f(x) = g(x).g(x) + v (x) where deg (r(x)) < deg (g(x)), so indeed we have a Eucliden domain. @ Rock: Recall that polynomial division 2x+1 2x+1.25

requires dividing coefficient x+1/2 = (\frac{1}{2}x+1.25) (2x+1)

explaining why we need a field k here. 2.5x+1.25/-8.25 Note: If Ris Act a field, then RIX] will not be a PID F.s. on your next HW you will show I = <2, x> = [x] is not a principal ideal in Z[x7,00 00) Neverthe test, we do have the following ! Thm If R is a UFD, then R[x] is also a UFD. The proof is beyond what ne'll be able to cover today, see the book. But the key Lemma is this: Lemma (Gavis's Lemma) Let R be a UFD and Kits freld of fractions, tran fix) GREXJ is irreducible it and only it f(x) E KEX) is irreducible, and f(x) ER [x] is primetive. Here f(x) = Ea: x' is printine if gcd (a, , an)=1. to mile buctous construction we will learn next class, but e.g. field of fractions of Z is Q.

7

7

7

1

-

-

4

1

0

0

1

1

The formal power series ring R[[x]] extends poly ring R[x]. Def'n Let Rbe a commutative ring. The ring of formal power server RCCX77 has elaments formal expressions (3) est (2) of fext = Ea: x' angra: ER3 ut what su ?? with the same wefsikient wise addition and nun(tiplicates by convolution as in the poly namial ring. Prop. There is a natural inclusion ERGT > R[[X]]. But again, note that properties of f(x) depend on whether we view it as in REXT or in RECXJT. Es: (1-x) EZ[x] is not a unit, but in Z[[x]] We have 1-x = 1+x+x2+x3+ ... = \(\frac{5}{1-x} \) In P[[x]] we can make sense of taylor serves 1. Ve ex = 1+ K + = 1 x 2 + 1 x 3 + ... = K= 0 K! x K But again, we don't view elements of C[[x]] as functions, in particular, they don't need to converge anywhore. RMK: Can define a metric on R[x] by logger defining the distance between fig ER[x] to be 2 - deg (f-9) They R[(x)] is the completion of R[x] with respect to this metre, and enjoys some universal/kategorical properties, Rut. The formal power server rang [[[x]] in ensuremental as a place where generating functions of country segrences can the!

6

6

6