## Total score = 38/50 + 5 bonus points from presentation = 43/50

## Honework 2 - Combinatorics 1

1. Fix a positive integer k. We showed the ordinary generating function  $F_k(x) := \sum_{n \geq 0} S(n,k) x^n$  of the Stirling numbers of the 2nd kind satisfies  $F_k(x) = \frac{x^k}{(1-x)(1-2x)\cdots(1-kx)}$ . Find the partial fraction decomposition of  $F_k(x)$ , i.e., find the coefficients  $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ , for which  $F_k(x) = \frac{a_1}{(1-x)} + \frac{a_2}{(1-2x)} + \cdots + \frac{a_k}{(1-kx)}$ . Conclude  $S(n,k) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \cdot j^n$ .

**Hint**: clear denominators, and then plug in  $x = \frac{1}{1}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots, \frac{1}{k}$ .

Bonus just to think about, not do: prove  $S(n,k) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \cdot j^n$  using (i) the exponential g.f.  $\sum_{n\geq 0} S(n,k) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{k!} (e^x - 1)^k$ ; or (ii) the Principle of Inclusion-Exclusion (P.I.E.).

$$F_{E}(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} S(n, k) x^{n} = \frac{x^{k}}{(1-x)(1-2x)...(1-kx)} = \frac{x^{k}}{1+x} + \frac{x^{k}}{1-2x} + ... + \frac{x^{k}}{1-kx}$$

$$\Rightarrow x^{k} = a_{1}(1-2x)(1-3x)...(1-kx) + a_{2}(1-x)(1-3x)...(1-kx) + a_{3}(1-x)(1-2x)...(1-kx) + ... + a_{k}(1-x)(1-2x)...(1-(k-1)x).$$

$$When x = 1, \quad I^{E} = a_{1}(1-2)(1-3)...(1-k) \Rightarrow x^{E} = a_{1}(-1)^{E}(1-x)(1-x)(1-x) + ... + a_{k}(1-x)(1-x)(1-x) + ... + a_{k}(1-x)(1-x) + ... + a_{k}(1-x)(1-x$$

Very good! 10/10

2. (Stanley, EC1, #2.2) Let A be some finite set of objects, and suppose these objects potentially posses n different properties  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n$ : e.g.,  $p_1$  = "is green";  $p_2$  = "is solid"; et cetera. For  $X \subseteq [n]$ , let  $f_{=}(X)$  denote the number of elements in A possessing exactly the properties  $p_i$  for  $i \in X$  (and not possessing any of the properties  $p_j$  for  $j \notin X$ ); and let  $f_{\geq}(X)$  denote the number of elements in A possessing at least the properties  $p_i$  for  $i \in X$  (but potentially also some properties  $p_j$  for  $j \notin X$ ). Give a bijective proof of the P.I.E. identity

$$\sum_{X \subseteq [n]} f_{=}(X)(1+y)^{\#X} = \sum_{Y \subseteq [n]} f_{\geq}(Y)y^{\#Y},$$

i.e., give a bijective proof, for each k, that the coefficients of  $y^k$  on the L- and RHS are equal.

0/10

Here's the basic idea for this problem. The coefficient of y'k on the RHS counts the number of pairs (a, Y), where a in A is any element and Y is any size k subset of properties it satisfies. Meanwhile, what is the coefficient of y'k on the LHS? By the binomial theorem applied to  $(1+y)^{4}X$ , we see that it is the sum over all a in A of (m choose k), where m is the exact number of properties that a satisfies. But this is the same as our interpretation of the RHS, since for any a there are (m choose k) Y's we can pair it with.

3. (Stanley, EC1, #2.25(a)) Let  $f_i(m,n)$  be the number of  $m \times n$  matrices of 0's and 1's, with a total of i 1's, and with at least one 1 in each row and column. Use the P.I.E. to show  $\sum_{i>0} f_i(m,n)t^i = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} ((1+t)^{n-k} - 1)^m.$ the number of mxn matrices with a total of i 1's, and with at least one 1 in each and column. Let t count the number of 1's, then ((1+t)")" is the number of matrices where every row has at least one I in it. We only want to count the number of matrices with at least one I in each row and column. To count the number of natrices with at least one I in each row and column, we have to modify the formula to be ((1+t) -1). Notice that this goes through each row and checks how many combinations basically right but instead of there are with at least one 1. Now consider what happens if a matrix has a column containing all containing only I's. In this case each row already contains at properly apply least one 1, so we can proceed to "ignore" these columns as we the P.I.E. you want to think check if the other columns in the matrix contain I's. There are about matrices (2) ways to pick those columns, and once those columns with columns picked, there are n-k spaces to consider in each row so we have to adjust the formula above to only count the "relevant" columns. Replacing n with n-k, we get ((1+t) Now we have (k)((1+t)-k-1) which courts all of the matrices sertisfying the criterion. Notice that a column that is not assumed

to have all 1's beforehand can still contain all 1's, so some double

As discussed

during the presentation,

this idea is

focusing on matrices

1's, to

of all 0's.

8/10

counting will occur, we will adjust for this by using an alternating sum, so by P.I.E., we're done.  $\therefore \sum_{i \geq 0} f_i(m, n) t^i = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} (\binom{n}{k} + 1)^{n-k} - 1)^m$ 

4. (Stanley, EC1, #2.25(b)) With  $f_i(m,n)$  as in the previous problem, show that

$$\sum_{m,n\geq 0} \left( \sum_{i\geq 0} f_i(m,n) t^i \right) \frac{x^m y^n}{m! \, n!} = e^{-x-y} \cdot \sum_{m,n\geq 0} (1+t)^{mn} \frac{x^m y^n}{m! \, n!}.$$

Hint: use the formula from the previous problem, and do some algebraic manipulations.

 $\sum_{k,n\geq 0} \left(\sum_{i\geq 0} f_i(m,n) t^i\right) \frac{x^m y^n}{m!n!} = \sum_{m,n\geq 0} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} ((1+t)^{n-k} - 1)^m\right) \frac{x^m y^n}{m!n!} = \sum_{n\geq 0} \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \binom{n}{k} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \binom{$ 

- 5. The q-binomial coefficient satisfies  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{W}_{n,k}} q^{\mathrm{inv}(w)}$ , where  $\mathcal{W}_{n,k}$  is the set of words that are rearrangements of (n-k) 0's, and k 1's, and  $\mathrm{inv}(w)$  is the number of inversions of w.

  Suppose n=2m is even. Prove that  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q:=-1}$  (the evaluation of the q-binomial at q=-1) is equal to  $\#\mathcal{P}_{n,k}$ , where  $\mathcal{P}_{n,k}$  is the subset of words  $w=w_1w_2\dots w_n\in\mathcal{W}_{n,k}$  that are palindromes (i.e., which satisfy  $w_i=w_{n+1-i}$  for all i). Do this by defining a sign-reversing involution. That is, define an involution  $\tau\colon\mathcal{W}_{n,k}\to\mathcal{W}_{n,k}$  satisfying:
  - inv(w) and inv( $\tau(w)$ ) have opposite parity for all  $w \in \mathcal{W}_{n,k}$  with  $\tau(w) \neq w$ ;
  - inv(w) is even for all  $w \in \mathcal{W}_{n,k}$  with  $\tau(w) = w$ ;
  - $\#\{w \in \mathcal{W}_{n,k} : \tau(w) = w\} = \#\mathcal{P}_{n,k}.$

Define  $\tau: \mathcal{W}_{n,k} \to \mathcal{W}_{n,k}$  by  $\tau(\omega) = \sum_{w' \text{ if }} \forall i, w_i = w_{n+1-i}$  is swapped. Let  $sgn(\mathcal{W}_{n,k}) = (-1)^{inv(w)}$  and  $wgt(\mathcal{W}_{n,k}) = 1$ , so that when  $\mathcal{W} \neq \tau(\mathcal{W})$ ,  $sgn(\mathcal{W}) = -sgn(\tau(\mathcal{W}))$ , i.e.,  $inv(\mathcal{W})$  and  $inv(\tau(\mathcal{W}))$  have opposite parity. This means that  $inv(\mathcal{W})$  is even when  $\tau(\mathcal{W}) = \mathcal{W}$ , accounting for the

