

1 Let $p_k(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into k parts. Prove bijectively that $p_0(n) + p_1(n) + p_2(n) + \dots + p_k(n) = p_k(n+k)$. Caleb DeRose

Diagrammatic Argument: To form all partitions $p_k(n+k)$, begin with a column of size k . We then have n pieces remaining to form a partition of maximum size k with. We then attach this partition to the column, forming a partition of $n+k$ with k parts. Thus, $p_k(n+k) = p_0(n) + p_1(n) + p_2(n) + \dots + p_k(n)$. Good.

Alternatively, all partitions of n into k parts can be written in the form $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_k)$. To form a partition of $n+k$ into k parts, begin with the partition $p_k(k)$, i.e. $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_k)$ where $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_k = 1$. Then form any partition of the remaining n into up to k parts, i.e. $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \dots, \mu_k)$. Then add them together to form partition $\sigma = (\lambda_1 + \mu_1, \lambda_2 + \mu_2, \dots, \lambda_k + \mu_k)$. Thus σ is a partition of $n+k$ into k parts. The number of partitions of n into at most k parts is given by $p_0(n) + p_1(n) + \dots + p_k(n)$, so $p_k(n+k) = p_0(n) + p_1(n) + \dots + p_k(n)$.

Okay, though same basic argument as previous paragraph. 10/10

n	$p(n)$	$p_0(n)$	$p_1(n)$	$p_2(n)$	$p_3(n)$	$p_4(n)$	$p_5(n)$	$p_6(n)$
1	1	0	1	x	x	x	x	x
2	2	0	1	1	x	x	x	x
3	3	0	1	1	1	x	x	x
4	5	0	1	2	1	1	x	x
5	7	0	1	2	2	1	1	x
6	11	0	1	3	3	2	1	1

2 We can consider this question as counting how many ways we can put n balls into k boxes, with boxes allowed to be empty. For each of the n balls there are k boxes we can put it in, so the number of ways we can organize the balls is k^n . Yes. 10/10

3 Show that $\sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \geq 0} \min(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \dots x_k^{n_k} = \frac{x_1 x_2 \dots x_k}{(1-x_1)(1-x_2) \dots (1-x_k)(1-x_1 x_2 \dots x_k)}$

$$(*) \quad \frac{x_1 x_2 \dots x_k}{(1-x_1)(1-x_2) \dots (1-x_k)(1-x_1 x_2 \dots x_k)} = (x_1 x_2 \dots x_k) \left(\frac{1}{1-x_1} \right) \left(\frac{1}{1-x_2} \right) \dots \left(\frac{1}{1-x_1 x_2 \dots x_k} \right)$$

$$= (x_1 x_2 \dots x_k) (1+x_1+x_1^2+\dots) (1+x_2+x_2^2+\dots) \dots (1+(x_1 x_2 \dots x_k) + (x_1 x_2 \dots x_k)^2 + \dots)$$

This is equivalent to $\sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \geq 0} \alpha(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \dots x_k^{n_k}$, with $\alpha(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ representing the number of ways we can create a particular $x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \dots x_k^{n_k}$.

Note that when constructing $x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \dots x_k^{n_k}$ via $(*)$, selecting a power of $(x_1 x_2 \dots x_k)$ immediately fixes all selections from the other expansions. Also note that the maximum power of $(x_1 x_2 \dots x_k)$ that can be selected is $\min(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) - 1$. Thus the potential powers of $(x_1 x_2 \dots x_k) \in [0, 1, 2, \dots, \min(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) - 1]$, which contains a total of $\min(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ options. Therefore $\alpha(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) = \min(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$.

$$\therefore \frac{x_1 x_2 \dots x_k}{(1-x_1)(1-x_2) \dots (1-x_k)(1-x_1 x_2 \dots x_k)} = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \geq 0} \min(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \dots x_k^{n_k}$$

Good! 10/10

4 Let $\bar{c}(n, m)$ denote the number of compositions of n into parts of size at most m . Show that $\sum_{n \geq 0} \bar{c}(n, m) x^n = \frac{1-x}{1-2x+x^{m+1}}$.

Consider $\bar{c}_k(n, m)$, the number of compositions of n into k parts of size at most m . Given $\sum_{n \geq 0} \bar{c}_k(n) = (x+x^2+x^3+\dots)^k$, then $\sum_{n \geq 0} \bar{c}_k(n, m) = (x+x^2+\dots+x^m)^k$. Since each part has maximum size m , $(x+x^2+\dots+x^m)^k = \left(\frac{1-x^{m+1}}{1-x}\right)^k$. [delete the 1 & every term after x^m]
 $\left(\frac{1-x^{m+1}}{1-x}\right)^k = \left(\frac{1}{1-x} - \frac{x^{m+1}}{1-x}\right)^k = \left(\frac{1-x^{m+1}}{1-x}\right)^k$
 $\sum_{n \geq 0} \bar{c}(n, m) x^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} \bar{c}_k(n, m) x^n \right) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \bar{c}_k(n, m) x^n \right) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \left(\frac{x-x^{m+1}}{1-x} \right)^k$
 $= \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{x-x^{m+1}}{1-x} \right)} = \frac{1-x}{(1-x) - (x-x^{m+1})} = \frac{1-x}{1-2x+x^{m+1}}$. Yep! 10/10

5 Prove that, for any $n \geq 0$, $4^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2(n-k)}{n-k}$.

$$\binom{2n}{n} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{2n}{n} x^n = \frac{1}{(1-4x)^{3/2}}$$

Note that 4^n is generated by $\frac{1}{1-4x}$. Yes.

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4x}} = (1-4x)^{-1/2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-1/2}{k} (-4)^k x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1/2)(-3/2)\dots(-(2k-1)/2)}{k!} \cdot (-4)^k x^k$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^k(1)(3)(5)\dots(2k-1)}{k!} x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2k)(1)(3)(5)\dots(2k-1)}{k!} \cdot \frac{k!}{2^k k!} x^k$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2k)!}{k! k!} x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{2k}{k} x^k$$
 Yes.

Note that $\binom{2(n-k)}{n-k}$ is also generated by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4x}}$. Thus,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2(n-k)}{n-k} x^n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4x}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4x}} = \frac{1}{1-4x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 4^n x^n$$

$$\therefore 4^n = \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2(n-k)}{n-k}$$

No.. this last sentence is not the way to think about it: the point is that multiplication of power series is convolution of the coefficients, and the expression with products of central binomials is exactly a convolution.

$$6 \sum_{\sigma \in \text{ODD}(n)} 2^{\# \text{cycles}(\sigma)} = \text{Touchard's with } t_1 = t_3 = t_5 = \dots = 2 \\ t_2 = t_4 = t_6 = \dots = 0$$

$$= e^{2\left(\frac{x}{1}\right) + 2\left(\frac{x^3}{3}\right) + 2\left(\frac{x^5}{5}\right) + \dots} = \frac{\log(1+x) - \log(1-x)}{\log\left(\frac{1+x}{1-x}\right)} \\ = \left(\frac{1+x}{1-x}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{1-x} + \frac{x}{1-x}\right) = (1+x+x^2+x^3+\dots) + (x+x^2+x^3+\dots) \\ = 1 + 2(x+x^2+x^3+\dots) = 1 + 2\left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right) = 1 + 2(1-x)^{-1} \\ = 1 + 2 \sum_{h \geq 0} \frac{(-1)(-2)(-3)\dots(-n)}{n!} (-x)^n \\ = 1 + 2 \sum_{h \geq 0} n! \left(\frac{x^h}{n!}\right) \\ = 1 + \sum_{h \geq 0} 2 \cdot h! \left(\frac{x^h}{h!}\right)$$

$$\left[\frac{x^h}{h!}\right] = 2 \cdot h! \Rightarrow \sum_{\sigma \in \text{ODD}(n)} 2^{\# \text{cycles}(\sigma)} = 2 \cdot n!$$

Strictly speaking in the first line you should write
 $\sum_{n \geq 0} \{x^n/n!\} * \sum_{\sigma \in \text{ODD}(n)} 2^{\{\# \text{cycles}(\sigma)\}}$
 instead, so that it is a function of x , but right basic idea.

9/10

$$\text{Note: } \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} t^{\# \text{cycles}(\sigma)} = [\text{Touchard's}]_{t_1 = t_2 = \dots = t}$$

Yes. This is what we used to get the generating function of the Stirling numbers of the 1st kind.