Free abelian groups & finitely generated abelian groups &2:1, A (too) optimistic goal would be to classify all groups up to isomorphism. But for important classes of groups, this is possible. We will do it for a subjass (finitely generated) of a belian groups.

First we need to falk about free abelian groups.

Defin Let G be an abelian group. A subset BEG is called a basis (or base) is every element  $g \in G$  has a unique expression as  $g = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i x_i$  with  $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $x_i \in \mathbb{B}$ .

(Here and throughout we use additive notation for abelian groups)
G is called free if it posseses a basis.

RMV. This is very similar to notion of basis in linear algebra (over a field) except that the coefficient are in Z.

Then the curdinalithes of B, and Bz are the same.

Defin The rank of a free abelian group G is the caudinality of lany one of its | bases.

Then G = Z"

Rockin fact even for G of infinite rank we we have G= Zw ix this is interpreted suitably (have to use direct sum rather than direct product).

Rmk: we have presentation  $Z'' = \langle x_{i,1}x_{2,...,1}x_{n} \mid x_{i}x_{j} = x_{j}x_{i} \rangle$  (makin, the generators commute makes all clements commute).

Just like every group is a quotient of a free group, every abelian group is a quotient of a free abelian group. We will restrict our affention to finitely generated abelian groups because these are more tractable.

That I of C.I. S. I.I. was a last to the second abelian and the course these are more tractable.

سند

سک

**0**--

<u>-</u>-

**9**---

**-**-

**0**---

0-

0-

unique integers r=0, m, m, m, m, mk with m, =2 and m, lm, lm, lmk of Such that G~ Z & Z/m, Z & Z/m, Z & Z/m, Z & Z/m, Z.

Of course, we can have r=0 (if G is finite) or k=0 (if G is free). Defin An element  $x \in G$  of a cost necessarily abelian group G is called torsion if  $x^n = 1$  for some  $n \ge 1$ .

In an abelian group G, the set Tor(G) of torsion elements (which is additive notation have nx=0 firsome n=1) forms a subgroup, called the torsion subgroup (or torsion part) of G.

Gis called torsion-free if Tor (6) = {0} and in general 6/Tor (G) is called the torsion-free part of G.

So the Classification says that for an abelian gr. G.

the torsion part is Z/m, Z O ... O Z/mx Z and She torsion free part is Z?

(or For Gafin.gen. abelian gp., also can write Guntavely as G=ZroZ/6, x & Z/6 Z D ... & Z/6 Z where the P., Pz,..., Pe ove a prime numbers (allowed to repeat). pfot corollary from thm: If nand more coprime then Z/nm Z ~ Z/nZOZ/mZ (exercise for you!) Thus if m= pa. par ... Pear is the prime factor Zenten of m, tren II/m Z ~ Z/Pa, Z O Z/Raz Z & ... O Z/ka Z. Remark The integers m, Imz 1... Imx from then are the invariant factor of G. The prime powers Pi,..., Pe from cor are the elementary divisors of G. E.g. G = Z/6Z/ DZ/12Z is the invariant factor representation,
equiv. to G = Z/2Z/ DZ/4Z/ DZ/3Z/ DZ/3Z, elementary divisor rep. So how to prove classification of fin. gen. abelian groups? We know  $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n/H$  for some subgroup  $H \leq \mathbb{Z}^n$ Normally thankal we've been quotienting by kernels of homomorphisms, but since ve're dealing with abelian gr's, we can quotient by images. The cokernel (coker(4) of a homomorphism 4: Zm > Zn We can represent 4 by a matrix: \$1,..., In are gen's of 2<sup>th</sup>

We can represent 4 by a matrix: \$1,..., In are gen's of 2<sup>th</sup>

4 represent by m with integer coeff;

2.9. [301] [Y2] = [3y, + y3, 2y, + y2 - 4y3] for y, y3 \in 2

3mull exercise, 1018 Small exercise: We can take in finite, i.e., we only read to impose finitely many relations.

<del>----}</del>

\_\_\_

\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_

\_\_-4

\_\_\_

----

----

---

---

التسيسة

Do any fin. geniab. gp. G is of form G= coxerte) for some l'Z=Z". So we need to understand structure of contemels of Zi-matrices, 1hm (Smith Normal Form) Let e: Z">Z" be a homo. represented by a nxm matrix M with well's in Z. Then M = SDT where Taxa matrix, Smxm matrix are invertible over Z and D = (dij) is a matrix whose off-diagonal (i+i) entries are zero and whose diagonal entries  $M_i = G_i, i \geq 0$ Satisfy m, 1 m 2 1 m 3 1 ··· 1 m K.

E.g. A matrix in SNF looks like D= [00000]. The concerne) will be coker(b) = Z/12 B Z/2Z B Z/6Z B Z/0.Z = Z @ Z/ZZ @ Z/6Z in the form we want! Since multiplying on left and right by invertible over Z matrices does not change the Z-image, this proves the classification! To prove the Smith Normal Form theorem, we need an algorithm that tells us how to convert M to SNF via a series of Z-invertible vow and column operations: P.g. M= [21] sub. 2nd st [-22] sub. ist [04] = 5

Think: RR EF and Gaussian elimination. But I skip the full description of the SNF algorithm. Remark: Infact SNF works for modules over any PID (Principal Ideal Domain). We may return to this later

0\_

0

**Q**-

**9**-

**9** 

9

0

0

**(** 

<del>8}</del> --F---

11 the semester... //

Action of a group on a set \$2.4

Groups are often collections of symmetries. Let's take this idea fauther.

Des'n Let G be a group and X a set. An action of G

on X is a function G x X -> X, denoted (9, x) +> 9. x,

Such that e·x=x 4x \in X and (gh) x = 9(hx) V g, h \in G, x \in X.

E.g. The Symmetric group Sn acts on X = \(\xi \)[1, \(\in \)], \(\in \)

by \(\tau \cdot i = \tau(i) \) for all \(\tau \in \)Sn, \(\in \in \)

In fact, in general an action of G on X is the same as a homomorphism G >> SX (the symmetric group of bjections X->X) where g G G is sent to the function g. X, for x EX.

We say the action is faithful if this homomorphism is a monomorphism, i.e., if g.x=x fx EX implies g=C.

Prop. Every group G acts faithfully on itself X = G by (left) translation:  $g \cdot h = gh$ .

Proof: Straightforward.

Cor (Cayley) Every finite group G of order n'embeds as a subgroup of the symmetric group Sn.

Any embedding of G as a subgroup  $G \in S_n$  gives an action of G on En7:= E1,2,3,...,n3.

gives Standard action of G on [1,2,3,4)

But from this we can get more actions on other sets...

For example, G also acts on  $X = {2 \choose 2} = {2 - element subsets of [4]}$ in a natoral way: o.s= {o(i): i es} & sex. We can represent this action via this directed graph. [[1,3] € 2 orbits of GOX £2,43 \$1,43 Prop. Let GAX (Gact on X"). Define x~y for x, y ∈ X if I get s.t. g.x = y. Then ~ is an equiv. rel. on X. Defin When GPX the equivalence class X of X EX under this equivalence relation is ralled the orbit of x. Prop. Let GOX and x & X. Then Gx = Eg & G: 9 x = x} is a subgroup of G. Def'n This Gx is called the stabilizer of x EX. Thm (orbit-Stabilizer Theorem) Let GNX. Then for any x (X), the cardinality of the orbit of x is [G'Gx]. In particular if G is finite, size of orbit of x is 161 H. Notice gx=hx for g,h+G = g'hx=x = g'h+Gx E) hGz = 9Gz so dements in x's orbit are in bijection we cosets of stabilizer Gz 12 Fig. In the previous example, taking  $S = \{1,2\}$ ,

the stabilizer is  $G_{\{1,2\}} = \{e\}$ , and orbit has size  $4 = \frac{4}{7}$ . But with 5'= \(\xi\), the Stabilizer is G\(\xi\), 33 = \(\xi\), \(\pi\) and orbot har size  $2 = \frac{4}{z}$ .

فتشنهسته

-

-

---

-

**------**

**►**÷

-

---

-

شنه سيا

شهيط

خسرسيل

عشندة سيل

We said before that Gacts on itself Via (left) translation, but there is another action of G on itself that is very important: Defin Gacts on G by conjugation (g, h) +> ghg-1 We always write this as ghgi to avoid confusion with g.h. The orbit of x EG under the conjugation action is called the conjugacy class of x, i.e., {9x9-1:9 = 6} The Stabilizer of XEG under the conjugation action is miled the central zer of x, denoted CG(x)= {geG: 9x =xg} Defin The center of G, denoted Z(G), is the set of elements in G that commute with all elements of G, i.e. Z(G) = {g ∈ G: gh = hg &h ∈ G} Prop. Z(G) is a normal subgroup of G.
pf: Stratget forward. Prop. Z(G) = {g \in G'. CG(x) = G} Pf! Again, immediate from defoution @ Thm (Class Equation) Let G be a group and let x.,..., x, be representatives of the conjugacy classes of G. Then 161 = 2 [6: (6(xi)]. If xi,..., xm are representatives of the conjugacy classes that contain more than one element, then 161 = 1Z(G) | + \(\int\) [G: CG(\(\infty\)]. m Pf: The conjugacy classes partition (, so the first equality is clear from the or bit - stabilizer theorem Then notice  $x \in Z(G) \in D[G:G(X)]=1, so 2nd equality follows.$ 

---

Let's use the class equation to say something about finde pigroups; an important class of finite groups. Defin Gisa finite p-group (for paprime number) if the order of Gisp" for some  $n \ge 0$ . 1hm Let G be a nonabelian finite p-group. Then Z(G) Is a nontrivial normal subgroup (# EE3 or G), so Gis not simple.

Pf: Look of the class equation |G| = |Z(G)| + = [G:(G(Xi)]]

By assumption, p divides [G:(G(Xi)] for all the Xi,

Since [G:(G(Xi)] ≠ 1 for else these Xi would be in Z(G)). Also clearly p divides 161 br assumption. So then p drinks [ZCG1], But [ZCG) [ 70 since et ZCG). So Z(G) must have some ofter element in Abesides e, and So Z(G) is non trivial. Also Z(G) ZG schoe Gi) nonabelian. We also should on the honework that the only groups G that have no nontrivial subgroups are Z/PZ for p prime, hence these are the only abelian simple groups. Cor The only finde simple p-groups are Z/p Z. Note: A more general detention of progroup is a Group G Such that the order of every gfG is power of p. We will see soon lasing Couchy's thin why this matches our definition in the case of finite groups. We will develop non tools to show that finite groups of various orders cannot be simple, in order to

-----

شنجست مسئلست

<u>- - |--</u>

---

سنينت سينت

۹, 🚐

.

ا منتص

**-**

**L** -

-

•

**-**

4-

4

**U**....

¥--

**U**-

4-

<u>r.</u> -

<del>-</del>

<del>77</del> -

#-

#\_\_

possibly unlerstand all finde simple groups (abi) god!

4

**\_** 

\_

4

**-4** 

**~** 

**—** 

4

**—** -

-

-

--

-

-

-

-

\_4 \_

المر

الأر

٧

نز نز

L

شبر

The Sylow Theorems €2,5 We have seen how the arithmetic properties of n have a strong influence on the structure of a finite group G of order n, e.g., Lagrange's Theorem says the order of every subgroup H of G divides n. But not every divisor appears as the order of a subgroup. E.g. The alternating group As of order 60 is simple, so it cannot have a subgroup of order 30 (index 2 = normal). Similarly, order of any element g & G must divide n, but not every divisor of nappears as an order. However, every prime divisor of n does appear as an order as ne now show. Theorem (Cauchy) Let G be a finite group of order n and let p be a prime number dividing n. Them there is gEG of order p.

( To prove this we reed a lemma about Z/pZ actions: Lemma Let Gloe a group of order p for paperine acting on a finite set S. Let So = ExES: gx=x YgEG3 be the set of single ton or bits under G. Then 15/= 1501 mod p.

Pf: 1G1 = 1So1 + \(\Sigma\) where the sum is over all non-singleton onto O.

By the orbit-stabilizer thousand Lagrange, p divides each 101, which means 151 = 150/mod p. B

If of (auchy's thm: Let S= {(g, 192, ..., gp): g; EG, g, g2... g = e} Notice that 91,..., 9p-1 can be arbitrary if we set 9p= (9, ... 9p-1)

which means that ISI = np-1. Next notice that Z/pZ = <o> dcts on S by setting  $T(g_1,...,g_r) = (g_p, g_1,...,g_{p-1})$ 

(since if g,...gp=e then gpg, .gp., = gpg, ...gp = gpeg, = e).

1. Sobothe lemma, ISOI = ISI = 0 modp since p divides n. But notice so = E(q,q,..,q): gp=e3, and it contains at least (e,e,..,e) but since p11501 it means there is a nonidentity get which has gp = e i.e. an element of order p. D

The Sylan theorems are a strong generalization of Cauchy's thm. Which say that not only does a finite group to of order n have an element of order p is pln, it has a subgroup of order p m where pm; s the biggest power of p dividing n.

The for A arms of it a proposed the parable of even affecting the same of p.

Defin A group Gisa p-group (for paprime) if every gEG has order a power of p. For Gfinite, by cauchy's thin this is equivalent to Ghaving order profer some n = 0.

A subgroup HEG of a group G is called a Sylow p-subgroup if H is a proper proup and it is maximal among p-groups that are subgroups of G (i.e. not a proper subgroup of any p-subgroup of G).

F

**는** 

**+**=

┡

Ļ

**f**=

Ļ

**(**\_\_

€\_

Ļ

Ҿ

**(**=

**(**-

E

**(**-

⊱

Thm (The Sylow Theorems), Let G be a finite group of order p'm where p is a prime and pt m and not then:

1) (1st Sylow Than) All Sylow p-Subgroups of G have order pr

2) (2<sup>nd</sup> Sylow Thm) All Sylow p-subgroups of G are conjugate, i.e., if  $P \subseteq G$  is a fixed Sylowp-subgroup, then all Sylow p-subgroups are  $g P_g f_{g} g G_{g}$ .

3) (3<sup>rd</sup> Sylow Thm) Let np be the number of Sylow p-subgroups of G. Then  $np \equiv 1 \mod p$  and also np divides m.

Remark: It can be shown that a finite p-group G ox order p n hus subgroups of order pk for all 0 \( \)

Remark: If you can snow that  $n_p=1$ , where  $n_p=H$  Sylow p-subgroups of G, then from the 2<sup>hd</sup> Sylow Thun it sollows that the unique Sylow p-subgroup of G is normal, In this way one can use Sylow thuns to prove various groups G have northwall normal subgroups, i.e., are not simple.

To prove the Sylow theorems, we need a few more defonctions:

Defin Let HEG be a subgroup of a group G. The normalizer of Hin G is NG(H) = Eg EG: g+1g' = H3. It is the largest subgroup of G in which H is normal.

-9

-9

**-9** 

-3

-9

-3

-9

**~3** 

-3

-0

-3

-

--

-

-

-

مرا

Prop. NG(H) is a subgroup of G, with H&NG(H). Pf: straightformed

Now let's think about normalizers of p-subgroups of a finite gp. G:

Lemma If H is a p-subgroup of a finite group & then [NG(H): H] = [G'. H] med p.

Pf: Let I be the left cosets of Hin G and let Hact on S
by translation (i.e. h(xH) = hx H). Then ISI=[G:H]
and xHESO \(\infty\) hxH=xH for all h\(\infty\) \(\infty\) \(\infty\) \(\infty\) \(\infty\) H=XH for all h\(\infty\) \(\infty\) \(\inft

Cor If His a p-subgroup of & such that p divides [6:4] then NG(H) + H.

The ident to prove 1st Sylow theorem is to use (auchyrs thm and the above corollary to repeatedly enlarge a p-subgroup of G until it has the maximum possible order ph. But we need one more result to do this.

Thru (4th 150morphism Theorem) Let NAG be anormal subgroup of a group G. Then there is a bijective correspondence between the subgroups of & containing + N and all the subgroups of G/N that sends K=G to K/N. Furthermore, K/N is normalin G/N ( Kis normal in G. Pf contains a get of order p. Assume by induction that G Has a subgroup H of order p'for 1 = 1 < 1, we will show it has one of order p't! By previous corollary 1 < ING(H)/HI= [NG(H): H]= [G:H]= O mod P, so p I ING(H)/HI. Thus again by (archy, No(H)/H contains a Subgroup of order P, which by 4th isomorphism Headen is of form HI/H where Hi is a subgroup of No(H) contaming H. His normal in H, since it's normal in Notu. So | Hil= | H| | H| / H| = p' p = p'+1 and we are done. Es ᅱ ᅱ Pf of 2nd Sylow thm: Let P be a fixed Sylow p subgrof Gare Hany p-subgroup 쉬 We will snow 3g & G such that g Hg ' & P. Let S be the left corets of Pin Gand let Hacton S by translation, as before. Then Isol= ISI=[G:P] mod p by the lemm, and px [G:P] So ISol to, i.e., 7gPESo. Then gPESo ( ) hgP=gP KHEH €g-1hgP=PVhCH €g-1Hg<P€)gPg-1 contains H. Pfof 3rd Sylow thmio By 2nd sylow theorem, no is the # of Conjugates of a fixed Salow P-Substrup P. But this is [G:No (A)] a divisor of [GI, and P/ [GiNG(P)] so indeed np 1 m. Now let S be all Sylow P-subgroups of G and let Pact on S by conjugation. Note QESO ( XQx = Q VX EP &) PENG(Q), but Pand Q are Sylowp-subgroups of NGLQ) and so one conjugate by 2 nd Sylow than and Q is normal in NGLQ1, so this is only possible in Q=p! Thus by our lemma, ISI= 1 Sol = 1 mod p, hence indeed np = 1 mod p

<u>\_</u>

~

1

. 3

9/25 Solvable and nilpotent groups, and subnormal serves 2.8 We now study certain classes of groups that are "close" to abelian. We also use composition series to explain why simple groups are important. Defin Let G be a group and a, b EG. The commutator of a and b is [a,b] = aba-1b-1 Notice that if a and b commute then [a,6] = e. For two subsets S,T = G we define [S,T] = E [S, €]: S ∈ S, E ∈ T }. Defin The commutator subgroup, or denived subgroup, G'of G is G'=[G,G]. Notice that [G,G]= {e} & G
is a belian, and so G' measures now "non-abelian" G is Prop. GAG is a normal subgroup of G. Pf: Straightforward.

Konk: In fact, G' is the "smallest" normal subgroup of G such that 6/6' is abelian, Defin The derived series of G is the sequence of groups

A Gar A Gar A Gar A Gar A Gar A Garage

where Good and Good = [Gi), Gii] (= Gi) ) for i = 0. We say G is solvable if its derived serves terminates at the frital group after a funte number of steps, i.e. there is n such that  $\{e_i^2 = G^{(n)} \mid 0 \mid \dots \mid Q^{(n)} \mid 0 \mid G^{(n)} \mid 0 \mid \dots \mid Q^{(n)} \mid 0 \mid 0 \mid \dots \mid Q^{(n)} \mid Q^{(n)} \mid 0 \mid \dots \mid Q^{(n)} \mid Q$ 

Desin The lower central series of G is the sequence of groups ... & C° & C' & C° = C where Gin= [Gi, G] for \$120 and Go = G. The upper central serves of G is the sequence of groups le?=Z. & Z, & Z2 & ...

where Zizi is the subgroup of & with Zizi Zi = Z(G/Zi) for i > and Z= se? (So note Z1 = Z(G) is the center of G). RMK! Again it is easy to show the normality of these subgroups.

Prop. If the lower central serves of G terminates at the trivial group in n steps, i.e., {e}=Gn & ... &G, &Go=G, then the upper central Senter terminates at Gin n steps; i.e. se3=ZodZ, a., dZn=G and vice-versa. Pf: skipped, see text book. A Defin Gis called nilpotent (of nilpotency class n) if its lower/upper central series terminates (in n steps). Prop. If G is nilpotent then it is solvable.

*→ →* 

7

-

<del>-</del>}

---

Pf: Just notice that G(i) < G; for all izo.

E.g. Consider G= Dy, the dinedral group of symmetries of a Square Recall G=<r, 5: r"=52=(sr)2=1>= {e, r, r2, r3, s, sr, sr2, sr33, We can compute [sri, ri] = sririsriri=r-i-iri-i=r and [sri, sri] = sri sri sri sri = riri riri = r 2 (1-1) and all other commutators are trivial. Hence it follows that Go = G, G, = [Go, Go] = {e, r2}, Gz = [G, G, ] = {e} So that f is nilpotent of nilpotency class 2.

RMK: Of course the groups of nilpotency class / are the abelian groups. Eig: Consider G=S3 = {e, (12), (13), (23), (123), (132)}, Symmetric group on 3 letters We can compute [(12),(13)]=(12)(13)(12)(13) = (123) and similarly for other 2-cycle pairs and [1123]; (12)] = (123)(12) (132)(12) = (132) and similarly for other 3-12- cycle pairs, and other communitariantimal. Thus, Go=G, G, = [Go, Go]= {e, (123), (132)}, Gz=[G,G]=G, ... and 50 G is not nil potent, But G(0) = G, G(1) = [G(0)] = {e,(123),(132)}, Gal= [G1], G11] = {e}, 50 Gis Solvable

Notice Dy has order 23 while S3 has order 2.3. In fact...

Thm A finite p-group G is always not potent.

Pf: Recall that we used the class formula to snow that a finite p-group G always has 2 (G) \$\frac{2}{5}e^3\$.

Thus in the upper central series of a finite p-group, the subgraps always get strictly larger until they reach all of G. \$\frac{7}{2}\$

Actually a finite nilpotent group is just a direct product of p-groups.

The A finite nilpotent group G is the direct product of its Sylow subgraps.

Pf: Skipped, see text book.

-3

-3

-9

-9 -9

**-9** 

-9

-9

-9

-4

-2

-1

-1

-3

-

-

-

-6

----

---

-

\_4

-

\_

....

\_\_

€ر\_

\_3

~~

Á

1

/

(

Rmk: The name "hilpotent" come i from the operator [9, .]

being nilpotent (high enough power is trivial) for each gEG.

Rmk: The name "Solvable" comes from Galoir theory and
the solvability of polynomials by radicals. Next semester.

In a sense, all solvable groups are built out of cyclic groups.
To see how, let's introduce notion of comportion serves:

Defin Let G be a group. A subnormal series is a sequence of subgroups of G: {e}=Ao &A, &... &An=G where each A; is a proper normal Subgroup inside of Air. (but not rec. inside G).

E.g. When they ferminate infinitely many steps, the derived series, lower central series, and upper central series are subnormal series. Defin A composition series of G is a subnormal series.

Defin A composition series of G is a subnormal series.

Le?=Ao \alpha A, \alpha \cdot \alpha = G for which each Ain/A;

quotient group is simple. Equivalently, Ai is a maximal proper normal subgroup of Ain for all i.

The reason composition series are Sishift cant is:

Thin (Jordan-Hölder) In any two composition series of a group G,

the (multi)set of quotient groups Air. (A: are the Same.

Pf: Again skipped, see text book.

So any (finite) group & has associated to it a canonical (multi) collection of (finite) simple groups that it is "made out of." And...

Thm A (finite) group G is solvable if and only it all quotient groups Air/A; in its composition series are abelian (hence of form Z/pZ for p prime).

The idea behind the proof of this theorem are two femores;

Lemma If NAG is a normal subgroup of G and N and GIN are solvable, then so is G.

Lemma If all the quotient groups in a subnormal series are abelian, then we can extend this to a Composition series whose quotient groups are all abelian.

See the book for detailed proofs.

As a corollary, we see that all finite groups of order less than 60 are solvable, since 60 is the order of As, the smallest monabelien simple tinite group. The Jordan-Hölder theorem explains why simple thintel graps are sign if i cant, and next time we will discuss the Classification of finite shaple groups, which was a major achievement in groups, which was a

6

**(**