9/16

111111

\_\_\_

としてしている

Free abelian groups & finitely generated abelian groups \$2.1, A (too) optimistic goal would be to classify all groups up to isomorphism. But for important classes of groups, this is possible we will do it for a subdiss (finitely generated) of a belian groups.

First we need to falk about free abelian groups.

Defin Let G be an abelian group. A subset BEG is called a basis (orbase) is every element gEG has a unique expression as  $g = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i x_i$  with  $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $x_i \in \mathbb{B}$ .

(Here and throughout we use additive notation for abelian groups) G is called free if it posseses a basis.

RMV. This is very similar to notion of basis in linear algebra RMV. This is very similar to notion of basis in linear algebra RMV. (over a fixeld) except that the coefficients are in Z.

Then the cardinalithes of B1 and B2 are the same.

Defin The rank of a free abelian group G is the caudinality of lary one of its | bases.

Then G = Z"

Rukin fact even for G of infinite rank we we have  $G^{2}$  Zw if this is interpreted suitably (have to use direct sum rather than direct product).

Rmk: We have presentation Z' = (X, X, ..., X, 1 X; X; X; X; X) (makin, the generators commute makes all elements commute).

Just like every group is a quotient of a free group, every abelian group is a quotient of a free abelian group, will restrict our attention to finitely generated abelian groups because these are more tractable. Thm Let Gbe afinitely governded abelian group, generated by n elements x....xn. Then G=ZMH for some subgroupH SG. All of the previous theorems are relatively straightfurward Now we come to the classification theorem, which is more involved: Thm C Classification of Finitely Generated Abelian Groups, Let G be afinitely generated abelian group, then there are unique integers r=0, m, m2, ..., mk with m, =2 and m, lm21... lmk such that G= Z & Z/m, Z & Z/m, Z & Z/m, Z. Of course, we can have v= 0 (if Gis finite) or k=0 (if Gis free). Def'n An element x & G of a Got necessarily abelian) group G is called torsion if x = 1 for some n=1. In an abelian group 6, the set Tor(G) of tursion elements (which is additive notation have nx=0 for some n=1) forms a subgroup, Called the torsion subgroup Cortorsion parts of G. Gis called torsion-tree if Tor (6) = {0} and in general 6/Tor (6) is called the torsion free part of G.

So the Classification says that for an abelian gr. G.

the torsion part is Z/m, Z O ... O Z/mx Z and the torsion- fre

ا-د-

ţ...

ţ--

ţ-

Ē ~

Ė,

F-

<u>Ļ</u>

<u>.</u>

part is Z

Cor For Gafin.gen. abelian gp., also can wrote Guntavely as G~ Zr @ Z/P, 2 & Z/P, 2 D ... @ Z/P, 2 Z where the P., Pz,..., Pe one or prime numbers (allowed to repeat). Pfot corollary from thm: If nand more coprime then Z/nm Z = Z/nZOZ/mZ (exercise for you!) Thus if m= par par ... Par is the prime factor. Zation of m, tren W/m Z ~ ZI/P,a, Z & Z/Raz Z & . D Z/Raz Z. B Remark The integers milmil... Ime from the are the invariant factor of G. The prime powers Pi,..., Pe from car are the elementary divisors of G. E.g.  $G = \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$  is the invariant factor representation, equivi to G = Z/2Z D Z/4Z DZ/3Z DZ/3Z, elementary division rep. So how to prove classification of fin. gen. abelian groups? We know G = Z "/H for some subgroup H = Z " Normally thatal we've been quotienting by kernels of homomomomomomomoms, but since we're dealing with abelian gris, we can quotient by images. The cokernel ( coker(4) of a homomorphism 4: Zm > Zn is Zm/im(4), the codomain mod the image. We can represent 4 by a matrix: \$1,..., In are gen's of 2m \*11. In one gen's of Zh 4 represent by M with integer coeffs [301] [1/2] = [3y,+y3, 2y, +y2-4y3] fory, y3 \in \tag{2} Small exercise: We can take in finite, i.e., we only need to impose finitely many relations.

~ ~

-

-

-

--

-

هـ هـ

Do any fin, gen, ab. gp. G is of form G= coxerle) for some liZ=Z" So we need to understand structure of covernels of Z-matrices. € €\_ Thm (Smith Normal Form) Let e: Z">Z" be a homo. E. represented by a nxn matrix M with weff's in Z. Ę, E. Then M = SDT where Taxamatrix, Smxm matrix are E invertible over Z and D = (dij) is a matrix whose off-diagonal (1+1) E-entries are zero and whose diagonal entries M; = ai, i Setisfy milmalmal...lmk. E.g. A metrix in SNF looks I've D= [02000], The consume) will be (over(D) = 7/12 @ 7/12 @ 7/62 @ 7/0 7 = 4 & Z/2Z & Z/6Z in the form we went! **6** Since multiplying on left and right by investible over Z Ŀ matrices does not change the Z-mage, this proves the classification! Ł-Ł-To prove the Smith Normal Form the orem, we need an algorithm £-that tells us how to convert M to SNF via a serves of Z-inventile von and column operations: **e**e.g.  $M = \begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 02 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{Sub. 2nd}} \begin{bmatrix} 11 \\ -22 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{Sub. 1st}} \begin{bmatrix} 04 \\ -122 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{Sub. 1st}} \begin{bmatrix} 04 \\ 04 \end{bmatrix} = D$ Think: RREF and faussian elimination. But I skin the full description of the SNF algorithm, Remirk: Infact SNF works for modules over any PID (Principal Ideal Domain), We may retorn to this later ¢-6-In the semester. 11