

Combinatorics.

prof. Sam

HW #1.

Awn Alqantani

---

Total score: 51/60

Q11 let  $p_k(n)$  denote the number of partitions of  $n$  into  $k$  parts.  
 prove bijection that:

$$p_0(n) + p_1(n) + p_2(n) + \dots + p_k(n) = p_k(n+k)$$

You are right that  $p_k(n)$  can be viewed as the number of ways to place  $n$  (indistinguishable) balls into  $k$  (indistinguishable) boxes, but importantly subject to the condition that each box gets one ball. Meanwhile  $p_1(n) + \dots + p_k(n)$  is therefore the number of ways to place these  $n$  balls into  $k$  boxes without the requirement that each box get one ball. So by your observation that we can first put one ball in each box, your argument that  $p_k(n) = p_1(n-k) + \dots + p_k(n-k)$  is correct. At that point, you don't need to do more: just replace  $n$  by  $n+k$  to finish!

proof:

First, <sup>9/10</sup> the identity  $p_k(n) = p_k(n-k) + p_{k-1}(n-1)$ .

Indeed we can observe  $p_k(n)$  alternatively as the number of different ways to place  $n$  objects into  $k$  boxes. If we place one object in each of the  $k$  boxes, we are left with  $n-k$  objects for the  $k$  boxes. In fact, we can place this  $n-k$  objects in 1, 2, 3, ... or in all  $k$  boxes. Thus,  $p_k(n) = p_1(n-k) + p_2(n-k) + \dots + p_k(n-k)$ .

Now, ~~if~~ by repeating the same argument for  $n-1$  objects and  $k-1$  boxes:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{k-1}(n-1) &= p_1((n-1)-(k-1)) + p_2((n-1)-(k-1)) + \dots + p_{k-1}((n-1)-(k-1)) \\ &= p_1(n-k) + p_2(n-k) + \dots + p_{k-1}(n-k). \end{aligned}$$

By combining the last two equalities, we can have that

$$p_k(n) = p_{k-1}(n-1) + p_k(n-k)$$

So, Applying this identity for  $n+k$  objects and  $k$  boxes, we get:

$p_k(n+k) = p_{k-1}(n+k-1) + p_k(n)$ . we continue with the identity on the first summand, given  $n$  objects and  $k-1$  boxes, namely  $p_{k-1}(n+k-1) = p_{k-2}(n+k-2) + p_{k-1}(n)$ , which substituted above yields

yields  $P_k(n+k) = P_k(n) + P_{k-1}(n) + P_{k-2}(n+k-2)$ .

Now, it is easy to observe that if we continue to apply the identity recurrently (next on  $n$  objects and  $k-2$  boxes), we obtain:

$$P_k(n+k) = P_k(n) + P_{k-1}(n) + P_{k-2}(n) + P_{k-3}(n+k-3) = P_k(n) + P_{k-2}(n) + \dots +$$

$$P_0(n) = \text{approach. } \square$$

Q2) Fix natural numbers  $k, n$ . Let  $[n]$  denote the set  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Give a simple formula for the number of ordered  $k$ -tuples  $(T_1, \dots, T_k)$  of subsets of  $[n]$  satisfying:

- $T_i \cap T_j = \emptyset$  for all  $i \neq j$
- $\bigcup_{i=1}^k T_i = [n]$ .

Sol

Let  $p(n)$  be the numbers of all possible partitions of natural numbers.

Then  $p(n) = n(n-1)(n-2) \dots 2 \cdot 1 \quad \square$

You are thinking of \*permutations\*, not partitions. But this problem is not asking for permutations, it's asking for the number of ways to place  $n$  \*distinguishable\* balls into  $k$  \*distinguishable\* boxes (where boxes may get 0 balls). E.g. the sequence  $(\{1,3\}, \{\}, \{4\}, \{\}, \{2\})$  means balls 1 and 3 go into the 1st box, ball 2 goes into the 5th box, and ball 4 goes into the 3rd box. Using this way of thinking about it, it's easy to see the number is  $k^n$ : for each of the  $n$  balls we can choose one of  $k$  boxes, and the choices are independent.

Q31 Show that:

$$\sum_{n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0} \min(n_1, \dots, n_k) x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \dots x_k^{n_k} = \frac{x_1 x_2 \dots x_k}{(1-x_1)(1-x_2) \dots (1-x_k)(1-x_1 x_2 \dots x_k)}.$$

Proof:

First, we note that:

$$\frac{1}{(1-x_1) \dots (1-x_k)} = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_k \geq 0} x_1^{m_1} \dots x_k^{m_k} \rightarrow (1)$$

Good!

Then from (1), we obtain:

$$\frac{x_1 \dots x_k}{(1-x_1) \dots (1-x_k)(1-x_1 \dots x_k)} = \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_k \geq 0} x_1^{m_1} \dots x_k^{m_k} (x_1 \dots x_k)^a \rightarrow (2)$$

In (2), we have terms  $x_1^{n_1} \dots x_k^{n_k}$  with every combination of  $\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$ . Given some  $\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$  such terms come from

$$a=1 \quad m_1 = n_1 - 1, \dots, m_k = n_k - 1$$

$$a=2 \quad m_1 = n_1 - 2, \dots, m_k = n_k - 2$$

$$\text{So, } a = \min(n_1, \dots, n_k) \quad m_1 = n_1 - a, \dots, m_k = n_k - a$$

Then, all of these terms come with coefficient 1, so when we bunch them together we obtain:

$$\sum_{n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0} \min(n_1, \dots, n_k) x_1^{n_1} \dots x_k^{n_k}.$$

Nice! 10/10

(3)

Thus  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_1 \cdots x_k}{(1-x_1) \cdots (1-x_k)(1-x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k)} = \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0} \min(n_1, \dots, n_k) x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_k^{n_k}$

Q4) Let  $\bar{c}(n, m)$  denote the number of composition of  $n$  into parts of size at most  $m$ . Show that:

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \bar{c}(n, m) x^n = \frac{1-x}{1-2x+x^{m+1}}$$

proof:

First, note that:

$$1-2x+x^{m+1} = (1-x)[1-(x+x^2+\dots+x^m)]$$

Then  $\frac{1-x}{1-2x+x^{m+1}} = \frac{1}{1-(x+x^2+\dots+x^m)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (x+x^2+\dots+x^m)^k$  Yes.

which is showing that the coefficient of  $x^n$  is the number of all possible ordered compositions of  $n$  with largest part at most  $m$ .

You could say maybe one more word about why this is counting compositions, but okay, correct. 10/10

So  $\frac{1-x}{1-2x+x^{m+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (x+x^2+\dots+x^m)^k = \sum_{n \geq 0} \bar{c}(n, m) x^n$

Q51 prove that. for any  $n \geq 0$

$$4^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2(n-k)}{n-k} \rightarrow (1)$$

proof:

First let  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{2n}{n} x^n$ .

As the right hand side is the generating function for the binomial coefficients, which is  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{4-x}}$ , we have  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4-x}}$ .

Consider now  $f^2(x) = \frac{1}{4-x}$ . The coefficient of  $x^n$  both in the left and right hand side of the expansions of the two generating functions should be equal.

We know that the coefficient of  $x^n$  in  $\frac{1}{4-x}$  is  $4^n$ .  
On the other hand, the coefficient of  $x^n$  in  $f^2(x)$  is obtained by the convolution of  $f(x)$  with itself, and by definition, that is exactly the left hand side of (1);

where  $a_k = \binom{2k}{k}$  and  $a_{n-k} = \binom{2(n-k)}{n-k}$

Q6  $4^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2(n-k)}{n-k} \quad \square$

Yes, exactly! 10/10



Q61 let  $n \geq 1$ , and let  $ODD(n)$  denote the subset of permutations in the symmetric group  $S_n$  with no cycles of even size. prove that:

$$\sum_{\sigma \in ODD(n)} 2^{\# \text{cycles}(\sigma)} = 2 \cdot n!$$

proof:

Recall that Touchard Theorem and set  $t_i = 2$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( \frac{x^n}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in ODD(n)} 2^{\# \text{cycles}(\sigma)} \right) &= e^{2(x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \dots)} \\ &= e^{2(-\log(1-x))} \\ &= e^{\log(1-x)^{-2}} \\ &= (1-x)^{-2} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{-2}{n} (-x)^n \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{-2+n-1}{n} x^n \\ &= 2n! \end{aligned}$$

No... you don't want to set all  $t_i = 2$  in Touchard's theorem, because we are only summing over the permutations in  $ODD(n)$ , the subset of permutations with no even cycles. What you want to do is set  $t_1 = t_3 = t_5 = \dots = 2$  (i.e., all  $t_i$  with  $i$  odd), while setting  $t_2 = t_4 = t_6 = \dots = 0$  (i.e., all  $t_i$  with  $i$  even). This will give a different formal power series than what you have written. [Also, the last part of what you wrote makes no sense because you went from a formal power series in  $x$  to just a number.]