

10/28

Modules over a ring § 4.1

We now begin the last chapter of the semester, on modules. When we studied groups, we saw that looking at their actions on sets was very useful. A module is something that a ring acts on; but it is more than just a set: it's an abelian group.

Def'n Let R be a ring (possibly noncommutative, but with 1). A (left) R -module is an abelian group A together with a map $R \times A \rightarrow A$ (we denote $(r, a) \mapsto ra$) such that

- $r(a+b) = ra + rb \quad \forall r \in R, a, b \in A$
- $(r+s)a = ra + sa \quad \forall r, s \in R, a \in A$
- $r(sa) = (rs)a \quad \forall r, s \in R, a \in A$
- $1a = a \quad \forall a \in A$.

Def'n If A and B are R -modules, a homomorphism ^(R -module) is a map $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ such that $\varphi(x+y) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y) \quad \forall x, y \in A$ and $\varphi(rx) = r\varphi(x) \quad \forall x \in A, r \in R$.

E.g. If $R = \mathbb{Z}$, then an R -module is the same thing as an abelian group: indeed \mathbb{Z} acts on any abelian group G by $n \cdot g = \underbrace{g + g + \dots + g}_{n \text{ times}}$ for $g \in G$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (where $(-1) \cdot g = g^{-1}$, etc.). And a \mathbb{Z} -module homo. $A \rightarrow B$ is the same as a group homo.

So modules generalize abelian groups. They also generalize vector spaces:

E.g. If $R = K$ is a field, then an R -module is the same thing as a vector space V over K , and a R -module homo. $V \rightarrow W$ is the same as a linear transformation.

So the study of modules is like a version of linear algebra for rings (but we have to be careful since linear independence does not hold.)

E.g. If $R = M_n(K)$, matrix algebra over a field K , then one R -module is K^n , where Mv for $M \in M_n(K)$ and $v \in K^n$ is given by usual matrix multiplication, viewing v as a column vector.

E.g. Consider $R = K[G]$, the group algebra of a group G over a field K . Then an R -module is the same thing as a vector space V over K together with a homomorphism $\varphi: G \rightarrow GL(V)$, where $GL(V)$ is the general linear group of V , the ~~set~~^{group} of all invertible linear transformations $V \rightarrow V$. This is also called a representation of group G over field K , and the study of group representations is a ~~very~~^{huge} subject!

We see that modules over noncommutative rings are very interesting, but we will mostly consider commutative rings from now on.

E.g. If R is a commutative ring and $I \subseteq R$ is an ideal, then I is an R -module (w/ the natural multiplication by elts of R) but also R/I is an R -module. In commutative algebra, quotients by ideals are a major source of modules.

E.g. Let's do a particular example. Let $R = \mathbb{C}[x]$ be the poly. ring. And let $I = \langle x^2 + 2x - 1 \rangle \subseteq R$ and $M = R/I$, as an R -module. Note that $M = \{a + bx : a, b \in \mathbb{C}\} \cong \mathbb{C}^2$ as an abelian gp., but we have also the action of R on M to understand. Of course $1 \cdot m = m$ for all $m \in M$, but what about $x \in R$? Note that $x \cdot 1 = x$, while

$$x \cdot x = x^2 = -2x + 1 \in M \quad (\text{since } x^2 + 2x - 1 = 0)$$

From this we can deduce the action of any $f \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ on M .

Just like in linear algebra, where even more important than vector spaces are linear transformations (a.k.a. matrices), we care about module homomorphisms.

Def'n Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ be an R -module homomorphism. We define its image $\text{im}(\varphi) = \{\varphi(a) : a \in A\} \subseteq B$ and kernel $\text{ker}(\varphi) = \{a \in A : \varphi(a) = 0\} \subseteq A$ as usual, and we say φ is an epimorphism if it's surjective ($\text{im}(\varphi) = B$) and a monomorphism if it's injective ($\text{ker}(\varphi) = 0$), isomorphism if both.

Def'n Let $A \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} B \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} C$ be a sequence of R -module homomorphisms. We say this sequence is exact if $\text{im}(\varphi_1) = \text{ker}(\varphi_2)$. Similarly if $A_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} A_3 \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} A_4 \dots$ is a sequence of R -mod. hom's we say it is exact if $\text{im}(\varphi_i) = \text{ker}(\varphi_{i+1})$ for all i .

Exact sequences are extremely important in the study of modules, but it can be a bit hard to understand their significance at first.

Def'n A short exact sequence is a sequence $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C \rightarrow 0$ that is exact, where 0 is the trivial R -module (trivial group). What does this mean? Well since $\text{ker}(\alpha) = \text{im}(0 \rightarrow A) = 0$, we must have that α is a monomorphism, and since $\text{im}(\beta) = \text{ker}(C \rightarrow 0) = C$, must have that β is an epimorphism. Together with $\text{im}(\alpha) = \text{ker}(\beta)$, this is all we need.

Def'n Let A and B be two R -modules. The direct sum $A \oplus B$ is the direct sum as an abelian group, with $r \cdot (a, b) = (ra, rb)$ for all $r \in R$, $(a, b) \in A \oplus B$.

Ex. Given two R -modules A and B , there is a SES

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} A \oplus B \xrightarrow{\pi} B \rightarrow 0$$

where $A \xrightarrow{i} A \oplus B$ is the canonical inclusion, and

$A \oplus B \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ is the canonical projection. Are all SES like that?

Def'n We say that two SES; $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$, $0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow B' \rightarrow C' \rightarrow 0$ are isomorphic if there are iso's $f: A \rightarrow A'$, $g: B \rightarrow B'$, $h: C \rightarrow C'$ s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & C \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow h \\ 0 & \rightarrow & A' & \rightarrow & B' & \rightarrow & C' \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

making the diagram commute (going two ways around square gives the same map).

Rmk: "Homological algebra" studies commutative diagrams ("diagram chasing").

Def'n A SES $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is split if it is isomorphic to one of the form $0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{i} X \oplus Y \xrightarrow{\pi} Y \rightarrow 0$

Thm If $R = K$ is a field, then any SES of vector spaces $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is split.

! We will discuss the proof of this thm later, but it amounts to the fact that any set of linearly independent vectors extends to a basis.

So is every SES split? No!

E.g. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$, so that R -modules are just abelian groups.

Let $n \neq 1$. Consider the sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot n} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot 1} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$.

Here $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot n} \mathbb{Z}$ is the "multiplication by n " map $a \mapsto n \cdot a$. This is injective, so $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot n} \mathbb{Z}$ is exact.

And $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot 1} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is the quotient map $a \mapsto a \bmod n$, which is surjective, so $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot 1} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$ is exact.

Finally, notice that $\text{im}(\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot n} \mathbb{Z}) = n\mathbb{Z} = \ker(\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot 1} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, so we indeed have a short exact sequence of abelian groups.

But it is not split! : \mathbb{Z} is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ because it has no torsion elements!