

11/22

Möbius functions and Möbius inversion (Stanley §3.6, 3.7)

Let's reinterpret inclusion-exclusion as being about the poset $P = B_n = 2^{[n]}$ and functions $f = f_2: P \rightarrow R$ ^{same as before} where we were given a new function

$$g = f_1: P \rightarrow R \text{ such that } g(S) = \sum_{T \subseteq S} f(T) \quad \text{e.g.,}$$

i.e., $g(y) = \sum_{x \in P} \zeta(x, y) f(x)$, where $\zeta(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \leq y \text{ in } P \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

and we can invert to get

$$f(S) = f_2(S) = \sum_{T \subseteq S} (-1)^{\#S \setminus T} f_1(T),$$

i.e., $f(y) = \sum_{x \in P} \mu(x, y) g(x)$ where $\mu(x, y) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\#S \setminus T} & \text{if } x \leq y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

This same set-up works for all (finite) posets P .
Once we find what the $\zeta(x, y), \mu(x, y)$ are and where they live.

DEFN The incidence algebra $I(P, R)$ of a (finite) poset P (over a comm. ring R) is the ring of all functions

$$f: \text{Int}(P) \longrightarrow R$$

$$\text{Int}(P) = \{ \text{intervals } [x, y] := \{z \in P: x \leq z \leq y\} \text{ in } P \}$$

with pointwise addition $(\alpha + \beta)(x, y) = \alpha(x, y) + \beta(x, y)$

and convolution product $(\alpha * \beta)(x, y) = \sum_{z \in [x, y]} \alpha(x, z) \beta(z, y)$.



and identity element $\delta(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}$

we'll want to know that the zeta function
 $\zeta(x, y) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \leq y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ is invertible in $I(P, R)$.

Prop. $\alpha \in I(P, R)$ has an inverse $\Leftrightarrow \alpha(x, x) \in R^\times \quad \forall x \in P$.

recall: $gp.$ of units, i.e., invertible elts of R

Pf. $\alpha * \beta = \delta \Leftrightarrow (\alpha * \beta)(x, y) = \delta(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases} \quad \forall x, y \in P$

$$\sum_{z \in [x, y]} \alpha(x, z) \beta(z, y)$$

which forces $\alpha(x, x) \beta(x, x) = 1$ so $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha(x, x) \in R^\times \\ \text{and } \beta(x, x) = \alpha(x, x)^{-1} \end{array} \right\} \quad \forall x \in P$,

and then when $\alpha(x, x) \in R^\times$, the values for $\beta(x, y)$ are uniquely determined by induction on $\# [x, y]$ via the formula

$\alpha(x, x) \beta(x, y) + \sum_{z \in (x, y]} \alpha(x, z) \beta(z, y) = 0 \quad (x, y] := \{z : x < z \leq y\}$
 $\Rightarrow \beta(x, y) = -\alpha(x, x)^{-1} \cdot \sum_{z \in (x, y]} \alpha(x, z) \beta(z, y)$
 $\# [z, y] < \# [x, y]$

Note: we can also get a left-inverse $\beta'(\cdot, \cdot)$ for $\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)$

defined recursively by $\beta'(x, y) = -\alpha(y, y)^{-1} \cdot \sum_{z \in [x, y)} \beta'(x, z) \alpha(z, y)$

but then associativity of $*$ forces

$\beta' = \beta' * (\alpha * \beta) = (\beta' * \alpha) * \beta = \beta. \quad \checkmark$



Cor $\zeta(\cdot, \cdot) \in I(P, R)$ has an inverse, called the Möbius function $\mu = \zeta^{-1}$,

defined recursively by $\boxed{\mu(x, x) = 1 \quad \forall x \in P}$

and either $\mu(x, y) = -\sum_{z \in [x, y)} \mu(x, z) \quad \forall x < y$

or $\boxed{\mu(x, y) = -\sum_{z \in [x, y)} \mu(z, y) \quad \forall x < y}$

Examples ① Let's compute $\mu(\hat{0}, p) \forall p$ here (values circled)



11/24

② In a finite chain, $\mu(x, y) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } x = y \\ -1 & \text{if } x < y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$



③ Prop: In a product $P \times Q$, $\mu_{P \times Q}((p_1, q_1), (p_2, q_2)) = \mu_P(p_1, p_2) \mu_Q(q_1, q_2)$

Proof:

The function $\alpha(\cdot, \cdot) \in I(P \times Q, R)$ defined by the RHS satisfies the correct initial condition


and recurrence: $\alpha((p, q), (p, q)) = \mu_P(p, p) \mu_Q(q, q) = +1 \checkmark$

$$\sum_{(p, q) \in [(p_1, q_1), (p_2, q_2)]} \mu_P(p_1, p) \mu_Q(q_1, q) = \left(\sum_{p \in [p_1, p_2]} \mu_P(p_1, p) \right) \left(\sum_{q \in [q_1, q_2]} \mu_Q(q_1, q) \right)$$

$$= 0 \text{ if } p_1 < p_2 = 0 \text{ if } q_1 < q_2 = 0 \checkmark \text{ if } (p_1, p_2) < (q_1, q_2).$$

④ Cor In $B_n = 2^{[n]} \cong [2]^n = [2] \times [2] \times \dots \times [2]$,

$$\mu(T, S) = (-1)^{\# S \setminus T} \text{ for } T \leq S$$



Thm (Möbius inversion formula) ^{R a comm. rhy, e.g. \mathbb{C}}
 Let P be a poset and $f, g: P \rightarrow R$ related by

$$g(y) = \sum_{x \in P: x \leq y} f(x) \quad \forall y \in P, \text{ then}$$

$$f(y) = \sum_{x \in P: x \leq y} \mu(x, y) g(x) \quad \forall y \in P.$$

(And dually, if we have $g(y) = \sum_{x: x \geq y} f(x)$, then
 $f(y) = \sum_{x: x \geq y} \mu(y, x) g(x)$.)

Proof: Let $R^P := \{ \text{all functions } f: P \rightarrow R \}$.

Then $\alpha \in I(P, R)$ acts on such an $f \in R^P$ by

$$(f \cdot \alpha)(y) = \sum_{x \in P} f(x) \alpha(x, y).$$

Check that $(f \cdot \alpha) \cdot \beta = f \cdot (\alpha * \beta)$ since

$$\begin{aligned} ((f \cdot \alpha) \cdot \beta)(y) &= \sum_{x \in P} (f \cdot \alpha)(x) \beta(x, y) \\ &= \sum_{x \in P} \sum_{x' \in P} f(x') \alpha(x', x) \beta(x, y) \\ &= \sum_{x' \in P} f(x') \left(\sum_{x \in P} \underbrace{\alpha(x', x) \beta(x, y)}_{(\alpha * \beta)(x, y)} \right) \\ &= (f \cdot (\alpha * \beta))(y) \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Then } g(y) = \sum_{x \leq y} f(x) = \sum_{x \in P} f(x) \zeta(x, y),$$

$$\text{i.e., } g = f \cdot \zeta$$

ζ acts on right by $\mu = \zeta^{-1}$

$$g \cdot \mu = f, \text{ i.e., } \sum_{x \in P} g(x) \mu(x, y) = f(y)$$

$$\sum_{x \leq y} \mu(x, y) g(x). \quad \checkmark \quad \square$$





Cor with $P = B_n$, get Principle of Inclusion-Exclusion.

11/29


Application of Möbius inversion: Chromatic Polynomials

Defn Let $G = (V, E)$ be a ^{connected} graph. Say that a partition of $[n]$ is G-connected if the restriction of G to each block is connected. Bond lattice Π_G is the sub-poset of the partition lattice Π_n consisting of G-connected partitions, (so ordered by refinement).

Example



Let $c: V \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ be any coloring of the vertices of G . Associated to c is a G-connected partition $\Pi_c = \max$. element of Π_G s.t. all vertices in a block get same color.



Choose $t \in \mathbb{N}$, the max. # of colors, and let $f, g: \Pi_G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

be $f(\pi) := \# \{ \text{colorings } c: V \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, t\} \text{ s.t. } \Pi_c = \pi \}$,

$g(\pi) := \# \{ \text{colorings } c: V \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, t\} \text{ s.t. } \Pi_c \geq \pi \}$.

Observe $g(\pi) = \sum_{\pi' \geq \pi \in \Pi_G} f(\pi')$, but also


$g(\pi) = t^{\# \text{blocks}(\pi)}$, since we can get a coloring c w/ $\pi_c \geq \pi$ by coloring each block independently.

Cor For any $\pi \in \Pi_G$, $f(\pi) = \sum_{\pi' \geq \pi \in \Pi_G} \mu(\pi, \pi') t^{\# \text{blocks}(\pi')}$

and in particular, w/ $\pi = \hat{0} = \{ \{1\}, \{2\}, \dots, \{n\} \}$,
 $\# \text{ of proper colorings}$
 $\text{no two adjacent vertices get same color}$
 $c: V \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, t\} = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_G} \mu(\hat{0}, \pi) t^{\# \text{blocks}(\pi)}$

DEFN This is the chromatic polynomial of G , denoted $\chi_G(t)$.

Example



$$\text{So } \chi_G(t) = +1 \cdot t^3 + (-1 - 1) \cdot t^2 + 1 \cdot t = t^3 - 2t^2 + t = t(t-1)^2$$

Cor In the full partition lattice Π_n we have

$$\mu_{\Pi_n}(\hat{0}, \hat{1}) = (-1)^{n-1} (n-1)!$$

Pf. $\Pi_n = \Pi_{K_n}$ for the complete graph K_n .

But choosing colors 1 at a time, we see that

$$\chi_{K_n}(t) = t(t-1)(t-2) \cdots (t-(n-1)).$$

" So $\sum_{\pi \in \Pi_n} \mu(\hat{0}, \pi) t^{\# \text{blocks}(\pi)} = t(t-1) \cdots (t-(n-1))$

Extract coeff. of t $\Rightarrow \mu(\hat{0}, \hat{1}) = (-1) \cdot (-2) \cdots (-(n-1)) = (-1)^{n-1} (n-1)!$

Rmk: This determines $\mu(\pi, \pi')$ for all $\pi, \pi' \in \Pi_n$ as follows:

15 $\pi' = \{s_1, \dots, s_\ell\}'$ and

Π refines block S_i into n_i blocks

then $[\pi, \pi'] \cong \pi_{n_1} \times \pi_{n_2} \times \dots \times \pi_{n_\ell}$

So $\mu(\pi, \pi') = (-1)^{n_1} (n_1-1)! \cdots (-1)^{n_\ell} (n_\ell-1)!$

12/1 Computing Möbius functions of lattices (§ 3.8, 3.9 Stanley)

Defn For a lattice L , its Möbius algebra $A(L, \mathbb{C})$, over complex numbers \mathbb{C} , is \mathbb{C}^L with \mathbb{C} -basis $\{f_x\}_{x \in L}$ that multiplies by the rule $f_x \cdot f_y = f_{x \wedge y}$.

Prop. for a finite lattice L , there is a (ring) isomorphism

$$A(L, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{C}^{141} = \underbrace{\{\underbrace{c_1 c_2 \dots c_{141}}_{141 \text{ times}}\}}_{\text{w/ } \mathbb{C}\text{-basis } \{e_2\}_{e \in L}}$$

$$f_y \mapsto \sum_{x \leq y} e_x$$

that multiply as

orthogonal idempotents

$$\{e_x^2 = e_x, e_x e_y = 0 \text{ if } x \neq y\}$$

We have $\delta_y = \ell^{-1}(e_y) = \sum_{x \in Y} \mu(x, y) f_x$, so $f_y = \sum_{x \in Y} \delta_x$.

Hence $\{e_y\}_{y \in L}$ are a \mathbb{C} -basis of orthogonal idempotents in $A(L, \mathbb{C})$.

Proof: \mathcal{L} is a \mathbb{C} -vector space iso. since its matrix is unipotent

$\phi = f_4 \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\begin{array}{cc} 1 & * \\ & t \end{array}} \end{array} \right.$ for any linear ordering of L that extends \leq .

Also can check $\psi(f_y f_z) = \psi(f_y n_z) = \sum_{x \in y n_z} \psi(x)$

and $\varphi(f_1)\varphi(f_2) = \left(\sum_{x \in Y} e_x\right)\left(\sum_{w \in Z} e_w\right) = \sum_{\substack{x \in Y, \\ w \in Z}} e_x e_w = \sum_{\substack{x \in Y, \\ z \in Z}} e_x = \sum_{x \in Y \cap Z} e_x \checkmark$

The fact that $\mathcal{C}^{-1}(e_y) = \sum_{x \in y} \mu(x, y) f_x$ follows from

$$f_y = \sum_{x \geq y} e^{-1}(e_x) \text{ via } \underline{\text{Möbius inversion.}}$$

Cor (Weisner's Thm)

If $a \leq \hat{1}$ in finite lattice L , then $\sum_{x: a \wedge x = \hat{0}} \mu(x, \hat{1}) = 0$.

(Dually, if $a \geq \hat{0}$, then $\sum_{x: a \vee x = \hat{1}} \mu(\hat{0}, x) = 0$.)


Proof: Compute in 2 ways

$$\begin{aligned} (\sum_{b \leq a} \delta_b) \delta_{\hat{1}} &= \sum_{b \leq a} \delta_{\hat{1}} \quad \text{since } b \leq a \rightarrow b \neq \hat{1} \\ &= \sum_{b \leq a} \delta_{\hat{1}} \quad \text{extract coeff of } \delta_{\hat{1}} \\ &= \sum_{x \in L} \mu(x, \hat{1}) \cdot f_x \\ &= \sum_{x: a \wedge x = \hat{0}} \mu(x, \hat{1}) \cdot f_x \end{aligned}$$

Example of use of Weisner's Thm:

Prop: In $L_n(q)$, $\mu(\hat{0}, \hat{1}) = (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}}$, and hence $\mu(V, W) = (-1)^r q^{\binom{r}{2}}$ if $\dim(W/V) = r$.

Picture



Proof:

Pick a line a , and then

$$0 = \sum_{x: a \vee x = \hat{1}} \mu(\hat{0}, x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\hat{0}, \hat{1}) &= - \sum_{x \geq \hat{1}, a \vee x = \hat{1}} \mu(\hat{0}, x) \\ &= - \left(\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q - \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \right) \mu_{L_{n-1}(q)}(\hat{0}, \hat{1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$= - (1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1}) - (1 + q + \dots + q^{n-2}) \cdot \mu_{L_{n-1}(q)}(\hat{0}, \hat{1})$$

$$= -q^{n-1} \mu_{L_{n-1}(q)}(\hat{0}, \hat{1}) = (-1)^n q^{(n-1) + (n-2) + \dots + 2 + 1 + 0} = (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}}$$

induction



forces x to have $\dim = n-1$
since $\dim(x \vee a) = \dim(x) + \dim(a) - \dim(x \wedge a) \leq \dim(x) + 1$

12/3

To compute μ for distr. lattice $J(P)$, let's use another thm:


Thm (Rota's Crosscut Thm) \rightarrow = elts. $x \leq \hat{1}$

In a finite lattice L , w/ coatoms $\{x_1, \dots, x_\ell\}$, we have

$$\mu(\hat{0}, \hat{1}) = \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq \{x_1, \dots, x_\ell\} \\ \wedge S = \hat{0}}} (-1)^{\#S}$$

In particular, $\mu(\hat{0}, \hat{1}) = 0$ if $\hat{0}$ is not a meet of coatoms.

e.g.



Pf: In the Möbius algebra $A(L, \mathbb{C})$, compute in 2 ways:

$$\sum_{S \subseteq \{x_1, \dots, x_\ell\}} (-1)^{\#S} \prod_{i \in S} f_{x_i} \quad \parallel \quad \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (f_{\hat{1}} - f_{x_i}) \Rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \left(\sum_{y \neq x_i} \delta_y \right)$$

extract coeff. of $f_{\hat{0}}$ Theorem \checkmark

Since only $y \neq \hat{1}$ below some x_i

$$\sum_{S \subseteq \{x_1, \dots, x_\ell\}} (-1)^{\#S} f_{\wedge S} \quad \parallel \quad \sum_{(y_1, \dots, y_\ell): y_i \neq x_i} \delta_{y_1} \dots \delta_{y_\ell} \Rightarrow \sum_{y \neq x_i \forall i} \delta_y = \delta_{\hat{1}}$$


Def'n An antichain $A \subseteq P$ is a subset of pairwise incomparable elts.

Cor In finite distr. lattice $L = J(P)$,

$$\mu(I, I') = \sum (-1)^{\#I' \setminus I} \text{ if } I' \setminus I \text{ is antichain in } P$$

0 otherwise.

Pf:



Check that coatoms of $[I, I']$ are $x_i = I \vee p_i$ for maximal $p_i \in I' \setminus I$.

So their meet $x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_\ell = I' \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_\ell\} = I$

\Leftrightarrow every elt. of $I' \setminus I$ is max w.r.t. $I \setminus I'$ is an antichain. \square

And... that's the end of the material for the course!
Congratulations! and... let me advertise

Math 274 - Combinatorics II - Spring 2022

We will continue the study/enumeration of discrete structures, with a new focus on symmetries!
(a.k.a. algebra!)

Two main topics:

① Enumeration under group action:



How many ways are there to color the faces of a cube w/ 3 colors if we consider colorings the same if we can rotate the cube to get from one coloring to the other?

② Symmetric functions.

Consider polynomial: $P(x) = (x-a)(x-b)(x-c)$
w/ roots a, b, c

Expanding... $P(x) = x^3 - (a+b+c)x^2 + (ab+bc+ac)x + abc$

the coefficients of $P(x)$ are themselves poly.'s in a, b, c , and invariant under permuting a, b, c : called symmetric polynomials

Symmetric polynomials have rich combinatorial structure!

See samuelhopkins.com/classes/274.html
for more info...