The special number e There is one special base that is "the best": the number ex 2.71.... How to define e precisely? Can use a limit; Can explain this formula using compound indust. Suppose you have an investment that returns 100% per year (that's an incredible investment!). If you invest \$100, how much will you have after I year? If the indevest is only calculated at the end of the year You get \$100 · (1+1) = But imagine instead the interest is given every 6 months. Then after 6 months you get \$100(1+0.5) = \$150 \$100%:50% return in 1/2 year, and after the next 6 months you get \$150 (1+0.5) = \$225. We see that compounding more often gives If we composed in times in the year, we get \$ 100 · (1+ 1) (1+1) ··· (1+1) ~ n Himes = \$100. (1+1)" in the end, and if we "continuously compound the interest" we and with \$100. lim (1+1) = \$100.e 2 \$271

This explains the "Pe" formula for compound 1 interest you may have seen before. There is another geometric way to think about the significance of base e: of all the ax, slope of the one that has a tangent at is 2 x=0 tangent line of dope 1 at x=0 is a=e. When we start to talk about derivatives and tengents, we will see why this is such a desimble property We mentioned that we define the logarithm as the inverse of the exponential function Defin A function g(x) has an inverse function f=g-1

if and only if it is one-to-one. In

this case, the inverse function f=g-1 is defied by eeeeeeeeeee f(y) = x if x is the unique element in the domain of g such that g(x) = y. (f "undoes" g so that (fog I (x) = x). Lig. Since g(x) = x3 is one-to-one, it admits an inverse f= g-1 which is f= 3/x. Eg. Recall g(x)=x2 is not one-to-one! if fails the nor. Zantal line test! So it does not have an inverse on all of 1K. But if we restrict the domain to [0,00), then f(x) = Jx is its inverse, like we'd expect.

There is a geometric way to think about inverses: graph of f=g" is reflection of graph of g over line y=x. [0,∞) This geometric interpretation also makes clear that domain of f = range of g and range of f = domain of g for inverse functions f = 9 Looking at the graph of bx for any 6>0, b \$1, We see it passes the nortzental line test, it has an inverse: the base b logarithm Defin log b, the base b logar ithm, is the inverse of bx meaning / logb (4) = x if and only if bx = 4 Eig log, (100) = 2 since 102 = 100. Graphically, we have: 06641 109 (x), Note that since range (bx) is (0,00) (positive numbers) domain (logo (10)) 75 (0,00): We can only take Logar. This of poside numbers!

1 agranged

Aside: to find inverse of gox), write y=gox) and "solve for y" e.g.  $g(x) = x^3 - 1 \sim y = x^3 - 1$  so inverse  $f = g^{-1}$  is  $\frac{y+1}{2} = x^3$   $f(y) = \sqrt[3]{y+1}$ . The natural logarithm and properties of logarithms We mentioned that of all exponential functions, the one ex for special number ex 2.71... is most preterned. Consequently, we define the natural logarthm In (x) ! = loge (x) as the "best logarithm" If might seem that ex and ln(x) are not enough to recover all the exponential and logar. Huns, but actually they are because of basic properties of exponentials and lagrithms Recall from high school algebra these facts about exponentials: 0 x + y = 6 by 2. 6 x - 4 = 6 3. (bx) y = bxy 4. (ab)x = ax bx These let us prove that for logar. Hims. Prop- (. log b(xy) = log b x + log by 2. log 6( =)= log (x) - log 6(4) 3. log 6 (x) = r log 6 x Why we these useful? They reduce everythy to ex and In (x): **トトトトト** 1., use exin(6)

Lusty to the mucho of dot write it = does and went for A ... For 2, let y = log , xt, , , 50 69 = 2. Take In of both sides In (67) = In (20) €) y. In (b) = In (x) So from now on we will usually struck to exact (na) It is thus or the remembers prop. . e = 1 . In (1) = 0 of ex in (x) - e'=e . In (e) = 1 Inverse trig functions Sina we discussed inverse of ex, you might wonder about inverses of trigonemetric fais like Sin and cos. But sin and cos are not one-to-one so to take inverses, we need to restrict their domains Defin To define sin'(x) (or arcsin(x)) we restrict the domain of sin to [T/2, T/2]: / sin-1(x) For cosi, restrict domain of cos to [0, TT]: 172 COS-1(X) 11/2 TT D: E-1, 11700 R! [O, IT] ) In verse triz functions are pretty complicated and we will not work with them in this class 1 (But it's good to know they exist ...

2,1+ Intro to limits and derivatives So four we have reviewed functions you hopefully saw before in algebra/pre-calculus. Starting today, we will introduce calculus in earnest The first important notion in calculus is that of a limit. Consider the function  $f(x) = \frac{x - x^2}{x^2 - x^2}$ If we graph it near X=1, it looks something like Note the "O" at 7 = 1 this shows x=1 is not in the domain of f, (because we would divide by zero at x = 1). However, it looks like there is a value fix should" take at x=1: the value 1/2 A+ x values near 1, f(x) gets close to 1/2, and gets closer to '2 the neaver to X=1 we get. We express this by  $\lim_{x\to 1} \frac{x-1}{x^2-1} = \frac{1}{2}$ or in words "the limit of f(x) as x 9 ocs to 1 is 1/2" Des'n (Intuite definition of a limit) The limit of f(x) at xo is  $\lim_{x\to x_0} f(x) = 4$ it we can force f(x) to be as close to be as we want by requiring the input to be suffriently close (but not equal!) to to Stoplatly less than a land of a) =1. Does not set close

Notice how the definition of the implaces not regume f(x) to be defined at 20, or for f(x) to equal lim f(x) if it is defined. But... if this is the case we say f(x) is continuous at x Defin f(x) is continuous at a point to in its domain If  $f(x_0) = \lim_{x \to k_0} f(x)$ . Most of the functions we've boked at so far x", vx, sin(x), cos(x), e, h(x), etc. are continuous at all points in their domain. Very roughly, this means we can I'draw the graph without lifting our pencil. For an example of a function that is not continuous (i.e., discontinuous) at a point in it's domain: if ix=12-9x3 M The graph of fix) is near x=1 Since lim f(x) = 1 Then (m) f(x) does not exist, Because for values, of a stightly more than d, howefox = 1, while for values of x Stortity Cass than o muc fox) =- (. Does not get close to are myles

etttttttttt 1 This last example relates to the notion of one-sided limits. Defin we write lim f(x) = + and say the left-hand 1 [mit of f(x) a + xo is + (or "limit as x approaches xo from the if we can make f(x) as close to be as we want by restricting x to be sufficiently close to and less than Xo We write i'm f(x) = & and say the right-hand limit for analogous thing but with values greater than Xo E.g. With flx) as in last example, we have (im f(x) = -1 and lim f(x) = +1. Note 1 im f(x) exists iff 1 im f(x) and 1 in f(x) exist and both equal Related to one-sold limits one limits at intinity We write lim fox) = 1 if we can make f(x) arbitrarily close to & by verviring x to be big enough. rewrite lim so f(x) = 1 it same but with small enough. 1im f(x) =0=1im f(x). for  $f(x) = e^x$  have  $\lim_{x \to -\infty} f(x) = 0$ (but notx > 00) were using an timet at in fracts.

We saw f(n) = (1+1/n) has f(1) = 2 f(2) = 2.25f (1000) = 2.70 48. getting closer and closer to e as we made in bryger and byge 821,27 15 most functions we work with one continuous at all points in their demain, might wonder why we define 15 mits at all, especially for points not in domain. Reason is we want to define the devivative as almost, and this naturally invalues a limit that is "%" (so not defined just by "plugging in values"). Recall our discussions from 1st day of class: SOX1 0 We have a point Pon Q=(x,f(x)) a carre, i.e. graph of function sec) Assume p = (xo, f(xo)) is fixed. P=(xo, scxol) For another point Q on the curve, w/ Q=(x,f(x)); what is the slope of the secant line from P to Q? Slope = rise = f(x)-f(x0) Recall that the tangent line of the curve at Pir the limit of the secont line as we send a to P. 1 So what is the Stope of the tangent line at P? slope of = lim f(x)-f(xo) tangent = x > x > x - x This is the derivative of fox) at

let's The devivative of f(x) at a point a in its domain lim f(x)-f(a) Fig. Let's compute the devolutive of f(x)=x2 at x=1. We need to compute  $\lim_{x \to 1} \frac{f(x) - f(1)}{x - 1} = \lim_{x \to 1} \frac{x^2 - 1}{x - 1}$ To do this, we use the algebra + rick:  $(x^2-1) = (x+1)(x-1)$ So  $\lim_{x \to 1} \frac{x^2 - 1}{x - 1} = \lim_{x \to 1} \frac{(x + 1)(x - 1)}{(x - 1)} = \lim_{x \to 1} (x + 1) = 2.$ We will justify all these steps later when we talk about rules for computing limits (but if should match lim x-1 = 1/2 from before.) 1-500)=X2 And it looks reasonable that the stope of the tangent at x=1 is E.g. (f instead we compute the derivative of fox)=x2 at point x=0 we get  $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{f(x)-f(0)}{x-0} = \lim_{x\to 0} \frac{x^2-0}{x-0} = \lim_{x\to 0} \frac{x^2}{x} = \lim_{x\to 0} X = 0$ and again it looks of \$500=x2 like the slope of tan gert at x =0 is zero (horzaki). interprets 4 by City parmont

Why do we care about derivatues! They tell us "instantaneous rate of change" £.9. Suppose a car's position in meders laway from after X seconds is given by f(x). How can we find the speed of the car at time x=a? If f(x)=x, so that the car were moving at a constant rate of I mis then clearly at any time its speed is this I mis. But what if f(x)=x2 (which is new onable for an accelerating can)? furgent To find the speed at time X=1 We could measure its position at time x = I and x = b for b a little but after I, and compute f(b) - f(1) & rate of rise To be super accurate we want to to be very close to ?: so the best definition of speed at time I is 1 in f(10) -1, i.e., the deriventine of f(x) at We saw boutere that for x2 this is 2, so the accelerating car is going faster at the x=! But at the x=0, its speed is lim x2 = 0 meaning it is just storting to accelerate from speed zero

rale

MO

Old well the Rules for im its:

The following rules of limits allow us to compute many limits in practice:

Mm (Limit Laws) Suppose that

lim f(x) and lim g(x) both exist. Then

1. lim [f(x) + g(x)] = lim f(x) + lim g(x)
x→a [f(x) + g(x)] = lim f(x) + lim g(x)

2. lim [f(x) - g(x)] = (m f(x) - (im g(x))

3. King [c.f(x)] = c. (I'm f(x) for any constant cER

4.1im [f(x) g(x)] = lim f(x). lim g(x)

5.  $\lim_{x\to a} \left[ \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \right] = \lim_{x\to a} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$  as long as  $\lim_{x\to a} g(x) \neq 0$ .

"Limit of sum is sum of limits, etc."

Together with:

Thm (Base case imits)

lim c = c for any constant c∈ R

and X = a.

these tell us that

Thm. If P(x) is a polynomial then  $\lim_{x \to a} P(x) = P(a)$ If  $\frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}$  is a rational function and a is in its domain, then  $\lim_{x \to a} \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} = \frac{P(a)}{Q(a)}$ 

"Can evaluate limits of pilynomials (vitronal functions

9/16 E - 7 SER 3 Let's See how we can use these laws to show Ry. lim JUX-) IN "difference 11m x-1 of squares 5 X>1 of limits X->1 X+1 B for any x x 1, We need one more rule. Imm If f(x) = g(x) for all x xa, then lim f(x) = lim g(x). This makes sense because remember that "the limit at x= a only cares about fox hear x=a, not what happens alkactly at x=q " This rule lets us "cancel factors" in a limit! Also have Then (Limits of powers / noots) for any integer = (lim f(x)) and lim Nf(x) = Nim f(x) (whenever the right-hand side is defined.) These tell us: If f(x) is any "algebraic function" (built out of power and roots, together with addition/subtraction/multiplecturen/downsion) and a is in the domain of fox, then lim f(x) = f(a)