

Dynamic Macroeconomics with Numerics: Project I

Hashem Zehi, Samuel (120112285)

Kotiers, Róza (XXXXX)

Polzin, Julian (XXXXX)

May 27, 2021

Part I: Deterministic Version

We have a representative agents with preference given by

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t),$$

with $\beta \in (0, 1)$. We assume $\partial u(c_t)/\partial c_t > 0$, and u being concave and twice differentiable. Output is now a function of the utilized machines κ_t . This gives the aggregate resource constraint

$$c_t + i_t \leq z f(\kappa_t),$$

with all variables having their usual meaning. f is strictly increasing, concave and twice differentiable. Furthermore, the marginal product of capital converges to zero for the stock going to infinity. Unused capital does not depreciate. We have capital accumulation according to

$$k_{t+1} \leq (1 - \delta)\kappa_t + (k_t - \kappa_t) + i_t,$$

where we have $k_t \geq \kappa_t$.

(a)-(b) social planner problem and steady state description

The social planner problem is written as the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}(\dots) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left\{ u(c_t) + \lambda_t \left(z f(\kappa_t) - k_{t+1} + (1 - \delta)\kappa_t + k_t - \kappa_t - c_t \right) + \theta_t (k_t - \kappa_t) \right\}$$

The [...] denote the decision variables and the Lagrangian multipliers, i.e. consumption in the current period c_t , next periods capital stock k_{t+1} , and current period's capital utilization κ_t in addition to the multipliers θ_t , λ_t .

Then we have the FONCs, and first start with the one for consumption:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial c_t} \mathcal{L}(\dots) = \beta^t \left\{ u'(c_t) - \lambda_t \right\} \stackrel{!}{=} 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa_t} \mathcal{L}(\dots) = \beta^t \left\{ \lambda_t (z f'(\kappa_t) + (1 - \delta) - 1) - \theta_t \right\} \stackrel{!}{=} 0, \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial k_{t+1}} \mathcal{L}(\dots) = \beta^t \left\{ \lambda_t (-1) \right\} + \beta^{t+1} \left\{ \lambda_{t+1} + \theta_{t+1} \right\} \stackrel{!}{=} 0. \quad (3)$$

Note that we would also have the derivatives w.r.t. the Lagrangian multipliers. This is considered at a later point.

From the first FONC we have

$$u'(c_t) = \lambda_t.$$

The shadow price on this constraint is equal to the marginal utility of consumption in each period. From the second equation we have

$$\theta_t = \lambda_t (z f'(\kappa_t) - \delta)$$

This is the shadow price on the capacity constraint is equal to the marginal utility from consumption multiplied by the marginal product of utilized capital minus the depreciation rate. Note that this implies that if the marginal product of utilized capital is equal to the depreciation rate, the shadow price on the constraint must be zero, regardless of the consumption level in the respective period.

From the third FONC we have

$$\lambda_t = \beta (\lambda_{t+1} + \theta_{t+1})$$

and using the previous results we have

$$u'(c_t) = \beta u'(c_{t+1}) + \beta u'(c_{t+1})(zf'(\kappa_{t+1}) - \delta)$$

which can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} u'(c_t) &= \beta u'(c_{t+1})[zf'(\kappa_{t+1}) + 1 - \delta], \\ 1 &= \beta \frac{u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)}[zf'(\kappa_{t+1}) + 1 - \delta]. \end{aligned}$$

which is the Euler equation. The current period's marginal utility of consumption must equal the one-period discounted marginal utility of consumption tomorrow, multiplied by the marginal product of utilized capital tomorrow plus one minus the depreciation rate.

For the conditions to be sufficient we additionally impose the transversality condition

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta^{t-1} u'(c_{t-1}) k_t = 0.$$

Assume a binding utilization constraint where we then have $\kappa_t = k_t$ and $\theta_t \neq 0$. The inter-temporal rate of substitution must be smaller 1. Now assume it does not bind, i.e. $\theta_t = 0$, $k_t > \kappa_t$, then we have the inter-temporal rate of substitution being equal one, $zf'(\kappa_t) = \delta$. This implies that we make use of the machines up until the point where this equation holds. Assuming zero investment, then the marginal product of utilization is lower than the depreciation rate, meaning that the capital stock is too high and will reduce.

We need to argue why we have full capacity usage in the steady state. We had from the third FONC that in the steady state we must have $\lambda = \beta(\lambda + \theta)$. The capacity constraint being non-binding implies $\theta = 0$, which in turn yields

$$\lambda = \beta\lambda \Leftrightarrow \beta = 1,$$

which is a contradiction, thus the constraint must be binding and $\kappa_t = k_t$.

Now, in order to ensure a unique steady state, we have the following. First consider the FOCs where have in the steady state that

$$1 = \beta(zf'(k^*) + 1 - \delta),$$

and also the consumption level being given by the output minus the investment, which equals the depreciated capital:

$$c^* = zf(k^*) - \delta k^*.$$

As we have f satisfying the Inada conditions and being monotone. The Inada conditions are given by

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f'(k) = 0, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} f'(k) = \infty.$$

(c) steady state capital utilization

Assuming the steady state with full capital utilization, then we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_t &\rightarrow \kappa^* \\ k_t, k_{t+1} &\rightarrow k^* \\ c_t &\rightarrow c^* \\ i_t &\rightarrow i^* \end{aligned}$$

and of course $\kappa^* = k^*$. We look at the Euler equation, and then we have

$$1 = \beta[zf'(\kappa^*) + 1 - \delta]$$

$$\begin{aligned}\beta^{-1} + \delta - 1 &= z f'(\kappa^*) \\ \kappa^* &= f'^{-1}\left(\frac{\beta^{-1} + \delta - 1}{z}\right).\end{aligned}$$

This is the steady state level of capital utilization, a function only of the parameters of the model and the functional form of the derivative of the production function w.r.t. the capital utilization.

Very informal, but this result is not particularly surprising. We can view this non-utilization as some sort of saving mechanism that has a marginal product of zero. But if we do utilize this capital then we have a strictly positive marginal product, so there is no incentive to not fully utilize this capital.

Part II: Stochastic One-Sector Growth Model with Variable Capital Utilization

The preferences of the **representative agent** are given by

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \log(c_t),$$

with the production function given by

$$y_t = z_t^{1-\alpha} (k_t U_t)^\alpha,$$

with $\alpha, \beta, U_t \in (0, 1)$. Using capital more intensely increases the capital depreciation rate

$$\delta_t = \delta U_t^\phi,$$

given $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $\phi > 1$. The law of motion for physical capital is given by

$$k_{t+1} = (1 - \delta_t)k_t + i_t.$$

Technological progress is captured by

$$\begin{aligned} z_t &= \exp(x_t) \\ x_t &= \rho x_{t-1} + \epsilon_t, \end{aligned}$$

with $\rho \in (0, 1)$, such that we have non-explosive behavior to shocks on the error term $\epsilon_t \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_\epsilon)$. In the stationary steady-state we have $\sigma_\epsilon = 0$. The aggregate resource constraint is given by

$$c_t + i_t \leq y_t.$$

(a): *Social planner problem*

Since we have a stochastic term need to formulate the maximization problem in terms of expectation formed in period zero:

$$\max_{c_t} \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \log(c_t),$$

subject to the conditions laid out above. We can consolidate these conditions as follows; first use the production function in the aggregate resource constraint such that we have

$$c_t \leq z_t^{1-\alpha} (k_t U_t)^\alpha - i_t.$$

We then rewrite the law of motion for physical capital keeping the gross investment on one side such that

$$i_t = k_{t+1} - (1 - \delta_t)k_t = k_{t+1} - k_t + \delta_t k_t.$$

Now we use the capital depreciation rate and have

$$i_t = k_{t+1} - k_t + \delta U_t^\phi k_t.$$

We can now use this in the reformulation of the aggregate resource constraint:

$$c_t \leq z_t^{1-\alpha} (k_t U_t)^\alpha - k_{t+1} + k_t - \delta U_t^\phi k_t.$$

This is then plugged into the original maximization.

Proposition 2.1 (Social Planner Problem). The social planner maximizes the expected discounted lifetime utility with respect to current period capital utilization and next period's capital stock:

$$\max_{U_t, k_{t+1}} \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \log \left(z_t^{1-\alpha} (k_t U_t)^\alpha - k_{t+1} + k_t - \delta U_t^\phi k_t \right) \quad (4)$$

subject to the stochastic technology level which follows

$$z_t = \exp(x_t), \quad x_t = \rho x_{t-1} + \epsilon_t, \quad (5)$$

given some initial capital stock $k_0 \geq 0$.

Furthermore, we can take the partial derivative of the argument which is supposed to be maximized w.r.t. k_{t+1} to obtain the first FONC

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \beta^t \left\{ \frac{-1}{z_t^{1-\alpha} (k_t U_t)^\alpha - k_{t+1} + k_t - \delta U_t^\phi k_t} \right\} + \mathbb{E}_0 \beta^{t+1} \left\{ \frac{\alpha U_{t+1} z_{t+1}^{1-\alpha} (k_{t+1} U_{t+1})^{\alpha-1} + 1 - \delta U_{t+1}^\phi}{z_{t+1}^{1-\alpha} (k_{t+1} U_{t+1})^\alpha - k_{t+2} + k_{t+1} - \delta U_{t+1}^\phi k_{t+1}} \right\} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

We can write this as the Euler equation for this maximization problem

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \frac{1}{c_t} = \mathbb{E}_0 \beta \frac{1}{c_{t+1}} \frac{\partial c_{t+1}}{\partial k_{t+1}} \Leftrightarrow 1 = \mathbb{E}_0 \beta \frac{c_t}{c_{t+1}} \frac{\partial c_{t+1}}{\partial k_{t+1}}$$

Taking the partial derivative of the to be maximized argument in (7) w.r.t. U_t yields the second FONC:

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \beta^t \frac{\alpha z_t^{1-\alpha} (k_t U_t)^{\alpha-1} k_t - \phi \delta U_t^{\phi-1} k_t}{c_t} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

As this is a static equation we can omit the expectation operator here. This FONC is equivalent to the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_0 \phi \delta U_t^{\phi-1} k_t &= \alpha z_t^{1-\alpha} (k_t U_t)^{\alpha-1} k_t \\ \phi \delta U_t^{\phi-1} k_t &= \mathbb{E}_0 \alpha z_t^{1-\alpha} k_t^\alpha U_t^{\alpha-1} \\ \mathbb{E}_0 \phi \delta U_t^{\phi-1} &= \mathbb{E}_0 \alpha z_t^{1-\alpha} k_t^{\alpha-1} U_t^{\alpha-1} \\ \mathbb{E}_0 U_t^{\phi-\alpha} &= \mathbb{E}_0 (\phi \delta)^{-1} \alpha z_t^{1-\alpha} k_t^{\alpha-1} \\ \mathbb{E}_0 U_t &= \mathbb{E}_0 \left\{ (\phi \delta)^{-1} \alpha z_t^{1-\alpha} k_t^{\alpha-1} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\phi-\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

In words, the capital utilization only depends on the current level of physical capital, the current level of technology and the parameters of the model. We can

Using the Euler equation, we can write the time-path of consumption as

$$\mathbb{E}_0 c_{t+1}^* = \beta \mathbb{E}_0 c_t^* \frac{\partial c_{t+1}}{\partial k_{t+1}} = \mathbb{E}_0 \beta c_t^* \left[\alpha U_{t+1} z_{t+1}^{1-\alpha} (k_{t+1} U_{t+1})^{\alpha-1} + 1 - \delta U_{t+1}^\phi \right]$$

We have the law of motion for capital given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_0 k_{t+1} &= \mathbb{E}_0 (1 - \delta U_t^\phi) k_t - i_t, \\ &= \mathbb{E}_0 \left(1 - \delta \left\{ (\phi \delta)^{-1} \alpha z_t^{1-\alpha} k_t^{\alpha-1} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\phi-\alpha}} \right) k_t - z_t^{1-\alpha} \left(k_t \left\{ (\phi \delta)^{-1} \alpha z_t^{1-\alpha} k_t^{\alpha-1} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\phi-\alpha}} \right)^\alpha \\ &\quad + \beta c_{t-1} \left[\alpha \left\{ (\phi \delta)^{-1} \alpha z_t^{1-\alpha} k_t^{\alpha-1} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\phi-\alpha}} z_t^{1-\alpha} \left(k_t \left\{ (\phi \delta)^{-1} \alpha z_t^{1-\alpha} k_t^{\alpha-1} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\phi-\alpha}} \right)^\alpha + 1 - \delta U_t^\phi \right] \end{aligned}$$

The time path for gross investment is given by

$$\mathbb{E}_0 i_{t+1} = \mathbb{E}_0 z_{t+1}^{1-\alpha} (k_{t+1} U_{t+1})^\alpha - \beta \mathbb{E}_0 c_t^* \frac{\partial c_{t+1}}{\partial k_{t+1}} = \mathbb{E}_0 \beta c_t^* \left[\alpha U_{t+1} z_{t+1}^{1-\alpha} (k_{t+1} U_{t+1})^{\alpha-1} + 1 - \delta U_{t+1}^\phi \right]$$

(b): Steady state calculation

Starting with the level of technology, we know that $x^* \rightarrow 0$ in a world without shocks, as any shocks die out due to $\rho \in (0, 1)$. Thus we have $z^* \rightarrow \exp(0) = 1$. For the capital stock, using the law of motion for physical capital, we have

$$k^* = (1 - \bar{\delta})k^* + i^* \Leftrightarrow i^* = \bar{\delta}k^*,$$

namely that the gross investment equals the depreciated capital.

We then have the stationary steady state of capital utilization given by

$$U = \left(\alpha (\delta \phi)^{-1} k^{\alpha-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\phi-\alpha}}$$

We may use this in the Euler equation to get

$$0 = \beta \left\{ \alpha U z^{1-\alpha} (kU)^{\alpha-1} + 1 - \delta U^\phi \right\} - 1$$

and when plugging in the previous result, dropping z since it is equal to one in the stationary steady state, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \beta \left\{ \alpha U^\alpha k^{\alpha-1} + 1 - \delta U^\phi \right\} - 1 \\ 0 &= \beta \left\{ \alpha \left(\alpha (\delta \phi)^{-1} k^{\alpha-1} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\phi-\alpha}} k^{\alpha-1} + 1 - \delta \left(\alpha (\delta \phi)^{-1} k^{\alpha-1} \right)^{\frac{\phi}{\phi-\alpha}} \right\} - 1 \end{aligned}$$