

# Dynamic Macroeconomics with Numerics: Project I

Hashem Zehi, Samuel (120112285)

Kotiers, Róza (XXXXX)

Polzin, Julian (XXXXX)

May 27, 2021

## Part I: Deterministic Version

We have a representative agents with preference given by

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t),$$

with  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ . We assume  $\partial u(c_t)/\partial c_t > 0$ , and  $u$  being concave and twice differentiable. Output is now a function of the utilized machines  $\kappa_t$ . This gives the aggregate resource constraint

$$c_t + i_t \leq z f(\kappa_t),$$

with all variables having their usual meaning.  $f$  is strictly increasing, concave and twice differentiable. Furthermore, the marginal product of capital converges to zero for the stock going to infinity. Unused capital does not depreciate. We have capital accumulation according to

$$k_{t+1} \leq (1 - \delta)\kappa_t + (k_t - \kappa_t) + i_t,$$

where we have  $k_t \geq \kappa_t$ .

### (a) Social planner problem

First we can rewrite the preferences by plugging in a rewritten aggregate resource constraint:

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(z f(\kappa_t) - i_t)$$

subject to the capital accumulation as described above. We can further consolidate this by plugging in the capital accumulation such that we have

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(z f(\kappa_t) - [k_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)\kappa_t - k_t + \kappa_t]) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(z f(\kappa_t) - \delta\kappa_t - k_t - k_{t+1}).$$

We denote this expression by  $\mathfrak{V}(k_{t+1}, \kappa_t)$  and write it explicitly for any given two periods

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{V}(k_{t+1}, \kappa_t) = & \dots + \beta^t u(z f(\kappa_t) - \delta\kappa_t - k_t - k_{t+1}) \\ & + \beta^{t+1} u(z f(\kappa_{t+1}) - \delta\kappa_{t+1} - k_{t+1} - k_{t+2}) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The choice variables are the current period machine utilization  $\kappa_t$  and the next period capital stock  $k_{t+1}$ . Thus, we have the FONCs given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa_t} \mathfrak{V}(k_{t+1}, \kappa_t) = \beta^t (z f'(\kappa_t) - \delta) u_{\kappa_t, t}(\dots) \stackrel{!}{=} 0, \quad (2)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial k_{t+1}} \mathfrak{V}(k_{t+1}, \kappa_t) = \beta^t (-1) u_{k_{t+1}, t}(\dots) + \beta^{t+1} (1) u_{k_{t+1}, t+1}(\dots) \stackrel{!}{=} 0. \quad (3)$$

Note that  $u_{x, t}(\dots)$  denotes the respective partial derivatives w.r.t.  $x$  evaluated at period  $t$  arguments. Looking at (2), and noting that  $u'_{\kappa_t, t}(\dots) > 0$  as well as  $\beta > 0$ , we need to have

$$z f'(\kappa_t) = \delta. \quad (4)$$

The marginal product of utilized machines needs to be equal to the depreciation rate. Imagine the depreciation rate being smaller than the marginal product of utilized machines, then it would be optimal for the firm to increase the utilization of machines. This comes from the fact that the profit of additional usage of machines is still higher than the cost in form of depreciation. Until both are equalized, the firm has an incentive to increase

the usage. The same argumentation holds for utilized capital that produces a lower marginal product than the depreciation rate, since the cost of depreciation is higher than the marginal product. Then the firm wants to reduce the utilized capital. Therefore it is only optimal if these two are equal. Now, from (3) we have

$$\beta^t u'_t(\dots) = \beta^{t+1} u'_{t+1}(\dots) \Leftrightarrow 1 = \frac{u'_t(\dots)}{\beta u'_{t+1}(\dots)}, \quad (5)$$

which is simply the standard Euler equation, so the marginal utility of consumption today must be equal to the one-period discounted marginal utility tomorrow. Of course a similar argument as for the depreciation-utilization relation in the optimum holds.

Note that this result is not particularly surprising. In the standard model we also have the marginal product of capital being equal to the depreciation rate. So we can view this non-utilization as some sort of saving mechanism that has a marginal product of zero. But if we do utilize this capital then we have a strictly positive marginal product, so there is no incentive to not fully utilize this capital, particularly since we discount future consumption.

### ***(b)-(c) Capital utilization: steady state and transversality condition***

In order to guarantee existence of a steady state, or equivalently to pin down a unique initial capital stock, we require a transversality condition on all endogenous state variables. In this model, we only have one endogenous state variable, the next periods capital stock as it is determined in the current period and then simply taken as given. Thus we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta^{t-1} u'(c_{t-1}^*) k_t^* = 0, \quad (6)$$

and some given  $k_0 \geq 0$ . We assume strictly positive values for state variables, namely  $k_t > 0$  in order make sure we do have an interior solution. Lastly, we assume the Euler equation to be fulfilled. Then we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_t &\rightarrow \kappa^* \\ k_t, k_{t+1} &\rightarrow k^* \\ c_t &\rightarrow c^* \\ i_t &\rightarrow i^* \end{aligned}$$

We can use the second optimality condition to obtain

$$\kappa^* = f'^{-1}(z^{-1}\delta).$$

As we do not have capital under-utilization we also have

$$\kappa^* = k^*.$$

From the capital accumulation equation, at equality, we then have

$$k^* = (1 - \delta)k^* + (k^* - k^*) + i^* \Leftrightarrow i^* = \delta k^*.$$

This can be written in terms of  $z$  and  $\delta$ , given the inverse of the derivative of the production function exists:

$$i^* = \delta f'^{-1}(z^{-1}\delta)$$

We can use this to determine the steady-state consumption in terms of the physical capital stock:

$$c^* = z f(k^*) - \delta k^*,$$

and in terms of the model parameters we have

$$c^* = z f\left(f'^{-1}(z^{-1}\delta)\right) - \delta f'^{-1}(z^{-1}\delta).$$

Thus we have a description for consumption, capital, and investment in terms of the depreciation rate, the level of technology, using only the functional form of the production function.

## Part II: Stochastic One-Sector Growth Model with Variable Capital Utilization

The preferences of the **representative agent** are given by

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \log(c_t),$$

with the production function given by

$$y_t = z_t^{1-\alpha} (k_t U_t)^\alpha,$$

with  $\alpha, \beta, U_t \in (0, 1)$ . Using capital more intensely increases the capital depreciation rate

$$\delta_t = \delta U_t^\phi,$$

given  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  and  $\phi > 1$ . The law of motion for physical capital is given by

$$k_{t+1} = (1 - \delta_t)k_t + i_t.$$

Technological progress is captured by

$$\begin{aligned} z_t &= \exp(x_t) \\ x_t &= \rho x_{t-1} + \epsilon_t, \end{aligned}$$

with  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ , such that we have non-explosive behavior to shocks on the error term  $\epsilon_t \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_\epsilon)$ . In the stationary steady-state we have  $\sigma_\epsilon = 0$ . The aggregate resource constraint is given by

$$c_t + i_t \leq y_t.$$

### (a): Social planner problem

Since we have a stochastic term need to formulate the maximization problem in terms of expectation formed in period zero:

$$\max_{c_t} \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \log(c_t),$$

subject to the conditions laid out above. We can consolidate these conditions as follows; first use the production function in the aggregate resource constraint such that we have

$$c_t \leq z_t^{1-\alpha} (k_t U_t)^\alpha - i_t.$$

We then rewrite the law of motion for physical capital keeping the gross investment on one side such that

$$i_t = k_{t+1} - (1 - \delta_t)k_t = k_{t+1} - k_t + \delta_t k_t.$$

Now we use the capital depreciation rate and have

$$i_t = k_{t+1} - k_t + \delta U_t^\phi k_t.$$

We can now use this in the reformulation of the aggregate resource constraint:

$$c_t \leq z_t^{1-\alpha} (k_t U_t)^\alpha - k_{t+1} + k_t - \delta U_t^\phi k_t.$$

This is then plugged into the original maximization.

**Proposition 2.1** (Social Planner Problem). The social planner maximizes the expected discounted lifetime utility with respect to current period capital utilization and next period's capital stock:

$$\max_{U_t, k_{t+1}} \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \log \left( z_t^{1-\alpha} (k_t U_t)^\alpha - k_{t+1} + k_t - \delta U_t^\phi k_t \right) \quad (7)$$

subject to the stochastic technology level which follows

$$z_t = \exp(x_t), \quad x_t = \rho x_{t-1} + \epsilon_t, \quad (8)$$

given some initial capital stock  $k_0 \geq 0$ .

Furthermore, we can take the partial derivative w.r.t.  $k_{t+1}$  of (7) in order to obtain the FONC

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \beta^t \left\{ \frac{-1}{z_t^{1-\alpha} (k_t U_t)^\alpha - k_{t+1} + k_t - \delta U_t^\phi k_t} \right\} + \mathbb{E}_0 \beta^{t+1} \left\{ \frac{\alpha U_{t+1} z_{t+1}^{1-\alpha} (k_{t+1} U_{t+1})^{\alpha-1} + 1 - \delta U_{t+1}^\phi}{z_{t+1}^{1-\alpha} (k_{t+1} U_{t+1})^\alpha - k_{t+2} + k_{t+1} - \delta U_{t+1}^\phi k_{t+1}} \right\} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

We can write this as the Euler equation for this maximization problem

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \frac{1}{c_t} = \mathbb{E}_0 \beta \frac{1}{c_{t+1}} \frac{\partial c_{t+1}}{\partial k_{t+1}} \Leftrightarrow 1 = \mathbb{E}_0 \beta \frac{c_t}{c_{t+1}} \frac{\partial c_{t+1}}{\partial k_{t+1}}$$

Taking the partial derivative w.r.t.  $U_t$  yields another FONC:

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \beta^t \frac{\alpha z_t^{1-\alpha} (k_t U_t)^{\alpha-1} k_t - \phi \delta U_t^{\phi-1} k_t}{c_t} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

As this is a static equation we can omit the expectation operator here. This FONC is equivalent to the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \delta U_t^{\phi-1} k_t &= \alpha z_t^{1-\alpha} (k_t U_t)^{\alpha-1} k_t \\ \phi \delta U_t^{\phi-1} k_t &= \alpha z_t^{1-\alpha} k_t^\alpha U_t^{\alpha-1} \\ \phi \delta U_t^{\phi-1} &= \alpha z_t^{1-\alpha} k_t^{\alpha-1} U_t^{\alpha-1} \\ U_t^{\phi-\alpha} &= (\phi \delta)^{-1} \alpha z_t^{1-\alpha} k_t^{\alpha-1} \\ U_t &= \left\{ (\phi \delta)^{-1} \alpha z_t^{1-\alpha} k_t^{\alpha-1} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\phi-\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

In words, the capital utilization only depends on the current level of physical capital, the current level of technology and the parameters of the model. It has no time-path, but rather implicitly follows a function of the time path for physical capital.

### (b): Steady state calculation

Starting with the level of technology, we know that  $x^* \rightarrow 0$  in a world without shocks, as any shocks die out due to  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ . Thus we have  $z^* \rightarrow \exp(0) = 1$ . For the capital stock, using the law of motion for physical capital, we have

$$k^* = (1 - \bar{\delta})k^* + i^* \Leftrightarrow i^* = \bar{\delta}k^*,$$

namely that the gross investment equals the depreciated capital.

We then have the stationary steady state of capital utilization given by

$$U = \left( \alpha (\delta \phi)^{-1} k^{\alpha-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\phi-\alpha}}$$

We may use this in the Euler equation to get

$$0 = \beta \left\{ \alpha U z^{1-\alpha} (k U)^{\alpha-1} + 1 - \delta U^\phi \right\} - 1$$

and when plugging in the previous result, dropping  $z$  since it is equal to one, we have

$$0 = \beta \left\{ \alpha U^\alpha k^{\alpha-1} + 1 - \delta U^\phi \right\} - 1$$

$$0 = \beta \left\{ \alpha \left( \alpha (\delta \phi)^{-1} k^{\alpha-1} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\phi-\alpha}} k^{\alpha-1} + 1 - \delta \left( \alpha (\delta \phi)^{-1} k^{\alpha-1} \right)^{\frac{\phi}{\phi-\alpha}} \right\} - 1$$

*time path for consumption, assuming linearity around the stationary steady state:*

$$c_{t+1}^* = \beta \frac{1}{c_t^*} \frac{\partial c_{t+1}}{\partial k_{t+1}} = \beta \frac{1}{c_t^*} \left[ \alpha U_{t+1} z_{t+1}^{1-\alpha} (k_{t+1} U_{t+1})^{\alpha-1} + 1 - \delta U_{t+1}^\phi \right]$$