

ASSESSMENT BRIEF 7 - Formal Demonstration

COURSE: Bachelor of Information Technology/Bachelor of Information Technology with a Specialisation in Cyber Security						
Unit:	Capstone Project					
Unit Code:	CPRO306					
Type of Assessment:	Task 7 – Formative Assessment					
Length/Duration:	1 – 3 hrs					
Unit Learning Outcomes addressed:	 Critically analyse the methods and techniques used when seeking to apply information technology at the corporate level Identify and present systematically the requirements for an information system in a large and complex organisation Design, develop, test, and evaluate an appropriate systems solution Identify and resolve critical technical issues with minimal supervision Work effectively as part of a team in the production of an information system and related documentation and reports. 					
Submission Date:	Week 12					
Assessment Task:	Assessment 7 - Formal Demonstration					
Total Mark:	50					
Weighting:	15%					

signed approved Assignment Extension Form (Kent Website MyKent Student Link FORM – Assignment Extension Form – Student Login Required) or previously approved application for other extension circumstances impacting course of study, incurs a 5% penalty per calendar day,

calculated by deduction from the total mark.

For example. An Assessment Task marked out of 40 will incur a 2 mark penalty for each calendar day.

More information, please refer to (Kent Website <u>MyKent Student Link</u>> POLICY – Assessment Policy & Procedures – Student Login Required)

ASSESSMENT DESCRIPTION:

In this part of the assessment, student needs to demonstrate their completed project along with their implementation documentation. The formal demonstration requires 10 to 15 PowerPoint slides demonstrating the following criteria of their completed project. They also needs to demonstrate their completed project including the database structure, files, and folder structure and how they have implemented or integrated the database with the front-end. The demonstration must have a check list to show that they have completed all of the required functionalities they have achieved which were documented in the Software Requirement specifications and the Software Design specifications and implementation plan. Students are required to provide the proof of their work along with the following:

- Development environment
- Files and folders are organised and accessed
- Front-end completed with all of the functional links
- Back-end database created and integrated with the front-end
- The coded programs are understandable and maintainable.
- The system has been adequately tested.
- Sufficient and appropriate information will support the system's implementation, use and maintenance.
- Achieves the required functional and non-functional objectives
- Your responses on the feedback from your Lecturer.

Note: this is a group work and during the presentation all the group members must present their parts.

MARKING GUIDE (RUBRIC):

Though the nature of this assessment is purely qualitative, your Lecturer will consider the below marking criteria while assessing your report.

a	(0- 49% of the criteri	(50- 64% of the criterion	(65- 74% of the criterion	(75- 84% of the criterion	Excellent (85- 100% of the criterion mark)
	created.	and running. Files and folders are vaguely organised and accessed, Front- end completed with few of functional Links, Back-end database vaguely cCrea ted and vague or not Integrated	environment created and running. Some Files and folders are organised and accessed, Front- end completed with some of the functional Links, some of Back-end database created	environment created and running. Files and folders are mostly organised and accessed, Front-end completed with most of the functional Links, Ba ck-end database created and integrated with	Development environment created and running. Files and folders are completely organised a nd accessed, Front-end completed with all of th e functional Links, Back- end database created and integrated with the front- end
2 (10 mark)	re Not commented	provided in programs codes and provided little	provided in programs codes and provided basic explanations of the	mostly commented and provided good explanations of the codes being used	Programs codes are properly and completely commented and provided sufficient explanations of the codes being
Criterion- 3 (10 marks) The system has been adequately	Test results are no t provided .	provided based on the t		areprovided based on the test	All test results are provided based on the t est plans.
4(10 marks) Achieves non- functional objectives		and validated against the validation tools e.g.	against the validation tools e.g.	tested and validated against the validation	Completely tested and validated against the validation tools e.g. validator.w3.org

Criterion -5(10	Help files not and	Help files provided for			
marks)	no user	the	the uses of the system	the uses of the system	the uses of the system
Sufficient and	documentation	uses of the system and	and user	and user	and user
appropriate	created	user documentation	documentation	documentation	documentation
information will		created. Help files and			
support		user documentation	user documentation	user documentation	user documentation
the system's		cover few	cover some features	cover almost all	cover all
implementatio		features which provide	which provide help to	features which	features which provide
n, use and		little help to user.	user.	provide good help to	great help to user
maintenance				user	

ASSESSMENT SUBMISSION

A written assignment undertaken in groups of three or four students in the form of a report addressing a selected topic related to abovementioned project. This assessment is a group project/activity and students are required to work with their respective groups. No individual submission will be accepted. You will not receive any marks for this assignment if your group members collectively report against you for non-participation or non-cooperation. You have to nominate someone as your group leader to coordinate the assignment submission. Only one submission is accepted for each group.

The assignment must be submitted online in Moodle. All materials MUST be submitted electronically in Microsoft Word format. Other formats (e.g., pdf or MAC file) may not be readable by markers. Please be aware that any assessments submitted in other formats will be considered LATE and will lose marks until it is presented in MS Word. No paper based or hardcopy submission will be accepted.

Our Academic Learning Support (ALS) team would be happy to help you with understanding the task and all other assessment-related matters. For assistance and to book one-on-one meeting please email one of our ALS coordinators (Sydney ALS_SYD@kent.edu.au; Melbourne ALS_MELB@kent.edu.au). For online help and support please click the following link and navigate Academic Learning Support in Moodle.

http://moodle.kent.edu.au/kentmoodle/course/view.php?id=5

GENERAL NOTES FOR ASSESSMENT TASKS

Content for Assessment Task papers should incorporate a formal introduction, main points and conclusion.

Appropriate academic writing and referencing are inevitable academic skills that you must develop and demonstrate in work being presented for assessment. The content of high quality work presented by a student must be fully referenced within-text citations and a Reference List at the end. Kent strongly recommends you refer to the Academic Learning Support Workshop materials available on the Kent Learning Management System (Moodle). For details please click the link http://moodle.kent.edu.au/kentmoodle/mod/folder/view.php?id=3606 and download the file titled "Harvard Referencing Workbook". This Moodle Site is the location for Workbooks and information that are presented to Kent Students in the ALS Workshops conducted at the beginning of each Trimester.

Kent recommends a minimum of **FIVE (5)** references in work being presented for assessment. Unless otherwise specifically instructed by your Lecturer or as detailed in the Unit Outline for the specific Assessment Task, any paper with <u>less</u> than five (5) references may be deemed not meeting a satisfactory standard and possibly be failed.

Content in Assessment tasks that includes sources that are <u>not</u> properly referenced according to the "Harvard Referencing Workbook" will be penalised.

Marks will be deducted for failure to adhere to the <u>word count</u> if this is specifically stated for the Assessment Task in the Unit Outline. As a general rule there is an allowable discretionary variance to the word count in that it is generally accepted that a student may go over or under by 10% than the stated length.

GENERAL NOTES FOR REFERENCING

References are assessed for their quality. Students should draw on quality academic sources, such as books, chapters from edited books, journals etc. The textbook for the Unit of study can be used as a reference, but not the Lecturer Notes. The Assessor will want to see evidence that a student is capable of conducting their own research. Also, in order to help Assessors determine a student's understanding of the work they cite, all in-text references (not just direct quotes) must include the specific page number(s) if shown in the original. Before preparing your Assessment Task or own contribution, please review this 'YouTube' video (Avoiding Plagiarism through Referencing) by clicking on the following link: link:

http://moodle.kent.edu.au/kentmoodle/mod/folder/view.php?id=3606

A search for peer-reviewed journal articles may also assist students. These type of journal articles can be located in the online journal databases and can be accessed from the Kent Library homepage. Wikipedia, online dictionaries and online encyclopaedias are acceptable as a starting point to gain knowledge about a topic, but should not be over-used – these should constitute no more than 10% of your total list of references/sources. Additional information and literature can be used where these are produced by legitimate sources, such as government departments, research institutes such as the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC), or international organisations such as the World Health Organisation (WHO). Legitimate organisations and government departments produce peer reviewed reports and articles and are therefore very useful and mostly very current. The content of the following link explains why it is not acceptable to use non-peer reviewed websites (Why can't I just Google?): https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N39mnu1Pkgw