Comparing variance estimators: a test-based relative-efficiency approach *

Samuel P. Engle[†] **Job Market Paper**

University of Wisconsin-Madison

Department of Economics

This version: October 9, 2021 Please find the current version here

Abstract

When constructing Wald tests, consistency is the key property required for the variance estimator. This property ensures asymptotic validity of the test, and classical efficiency comparisons based on local-asymptotics indicate all consistent variance estimators lead to equivalent tests. This paper develops an asymptotic framework in which the efficiency consequences of variance estimation can be quantified and compared across different variance estimators. After considering the general approach in a GMM setting, several environments are considered, including quantile regression, heavy-tailed data, and cluster-robust inference. In the case of cluster-robust inference there is an asymptotic penalty paid for adopting a conservative approach to inference. An application demonstrates how researchers can use these new findings to conduct power analysis and incorporate these costs into their own approach to empirical work.

†email: sengle2@wisc.edu website: samuelpengle.com

^{*}I am grateful for the encouragement, advice, and, especially, patience I have received from Jack Porter, Bruce Hansen, Mikkel Sølvsten, and Harold Chiang. I also thank Xiaoxia Shi, Ken West, Bo Honore, Jim Powell, Eric Auerbach, Yuya Sasaki, Kei Hirano, Anson Zhou, Anna Trubnikova, Annie Lee, John Stromme, and past seminar participants at the University of Wisconsin-Madison for their helpful insights and comments which have shaped the paper in its present form. I gratefully acknowledge the financial support I have received from the Alice S. Gengler Dissertation Fellowship. All remaining errors are my own.