



Global lake responses to climate change

R. Iestyn Woolway ^{1,2}✉, *Benjamin M. Kraemer* ^{3,11}, *John D. Lenters* ^{4,5,6,11},
Christopher J. Merchant ^{7,8,11}, *Catherine M. O'Reilly* ^{9,11} and *Sapna Sharma* ^{10,11}

Abstract | Climate change is one of the most severe threats to global lake ecosystems. Lake surface conditions, such as ice cover, surface temperature, evaporation and water level, respond dramatically to this threat, as observed in recent decades. In this Review, we discuss physical lake variables and their responses to climate change. Decreases in winter ice cover and increases in lake surface temperature modify lake mixing regimes and accelerate lake evaporation. Where not balanced by increased mean precipitation or inflow, higher evaporation rates will favour a decrease in lake level and surface water extent. Together with increases in extreme-precipitation events, these lake responses will impact lake ecosystems, changing water quantity and quality, food provisioning, recreational opportunities and transportation. Future research opportunities, including enhanced observation of lake variables from space (particularly for small water bodies), improved in situ lake monitoring and the development of advanced modelling techniques to predict lake processes, will improve our global understanding of lake responses to a changing climate.

Lakes are critical natural resources that are sensitive to changes in climate. There are more than 100 million lakes globally¹, holding 87% of Earth's liquid surface freshwater². Lakes support a global heritage of biodiversity³ and provide key ecosystem services⁴; as such, they are included in the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals committed to water resources (Goal #6) and the impacts of climate change (Goal #13)⁵. Lakes are also key indicators of local and regional watershed changes, making them useful for detecting Earth's response to climate change⁶. Specifically, variables such as lake surface temperature, water level and extent, ice cover and lake colour are recognized by the Global Climate Observing System (GCOS) as Essential Climate Variables (ECVs) because they contribute critically to the characterization of Earth's climate. The scientific value of lake research makes it an essential component of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) and the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC).

Lakes are already responding rapidly to climate change. Some of the most pervasive and concerning physical consequences of climate change on lakes are the loss of ice cover⁷, changes in evaporation and water budgets^{8,9}, warming surface water temperature¹⁰ and alterations in mixing regimes¹¹. These lake variables interact with one another (FIG. 1), complicating our ability to predict lake physical responses to climatic variations.

For example, changes in ice cover and water temperature modify (and are influenced by) evaporation rates⁹, which can subsequently alter lake levels and surface water extent¹². In the absence of precipitation changes, one of the effects of reduced ice cover, higher surface water temperatures and increased lake evaporation rates could be reductions in lake level and extent. However, land surface runoff and direct precipitation to the lake also affect lake level and extent, which are subject to climatic variations across the lake catchment, reinforcing or even offsetting the effects mediated by evaporation. Such sensitive balances between climatically driven factors lead to spatially variable outcomes for lake–climate interactions that require further elucidation to understand and predict.

In this Review, we summarize the responses of key physical lake variables and processes to global climate change, including ice cover, surface water temperature, evaporation, water levels and mixing regimes, and outline their ecological consequences (FIG. 1). We also identify research needs for improving our global understanding of lake responses to climatic variability and change, including enhancing satellite observations and in situ technology for monitoring both small lakes (which dominate the global lake distribution) and large lakes with high spatial heterogeneity, developing global-scale modelling techniques to better predict lake responses under climate change and establishing

✉e-mail: riwoolway@gmail.com
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-020-0067-5>

Key points

- Owing to climate change, lakes are experiencing less ice cover, with more than 100,000 lakes at risk of having ice-free winters if air temperatures increase by 4 °C. Ice duration has become 28 days shorter on average over the past 150 years for Northern Hemisphere lakes, with higher rates of change in recent decades.
- Lake surface water temperatures have increased worldwide at a global average rate of 0.34 °C decade⁻¹, which is similar to or in excess of air temperature trends.
- Global annual mean lake evaporation rates are forecast to increase 16% by 2100, with regional variations dependent on factors such as ice cover, stratification, wind speed and solar radiation.
- Global lake water storage is sensitive to climate change, but with substantial regional variability, and the magnitude of future changes in lake water storage remains uncertain.
- Decreases in winter ice cover and increasing lake surface water temperatures have led to mixing-regime alterations that have typically resulted in less frequent mixing of lakes.
- Ecological consequences of these physical changes vary widely depending upon location, lake depth and area, mixing regime and trophic status.

collaborations between limnologists and remote-sensing scientists.

Decreasing lake ice

Lake ice phenology — the timing of ice freeze and break-up — is a sensitive indicator of climate^{13,14}. Lake ice formation is dictated by the surface energy balance and mediated by air temperature, lake morphology, wind-induced mixing and other meteorological, morphometric and hydrologic influences¹⁵. For example, heat loss from the lake surface during the ice-formation process occurs primarily through outgoing longwave radiation and sensible and latent heat flux¹⁶. As such, initial ice formation often occurs at night under cold, calm, clear-sky conditions. However, strong cooling and deep mixing is often required to ‘prime’ the lake prior to initial ice formation at the surface, typically through cold, dry, wind events that lead to strong sensible and evaporative heat loss¹⁷.

Lake depth also modulates ice formation, thickness and spatial coverage, as deeper lakes take longer to cool in autumn^{7,15,18}. Air temperatures in autumn need to be below 0 °C for a longer period of time before deeper lakes freeze¹⁹, and deep lakes are more sensitive to experiencing

Fetch

The area of a lake surface over which the wind blows in an essentially constant direction.

Albedo

The fraction of light reflected from a surface, expressed as the ratio of outgoing to incoming solar radiation.

Author addresses

¹Centre for Freshwater and Environmental Studies, Dundalk Institute of Technology, Dundalk, Ireland.

²European Space Agency Climate Office, ECSAT, Harwell Campus, Didcot, UK.

³Department of Ecosystem Research, IGB Leibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries, Berlin, Germany.

⁴Bureau of Water Quality, Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, UW-Trout Lake Research Station, Boulder Junction, WI, USA.

⁵Center for Limnology, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI, USA.

⁶Great Lakes Research Center, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, MI, USA.

⁷Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading, UK.

⁸National Centre for Earth Observation, University of Reading, Reading, UK.

⁹Department of Geography, Geology, and the Environment, Illinois State University, Normal, IL, USA.

¹⁰Department of Biology, York University, Toronto, ON, Canada.

¹¹These authors contributed equally to this work: Benjamin M. Kraemer, John D. Lenters, Christopher J. Merchant, Catherine M. O'Reilly, Sapna Sharma.

intermittent winter ice cover (that is, not freezing every winter)⁷. Larger lakes with a longer fetch also tend to freeze later, as they are more sensitive to increased wind action breaking up the initial skim of ice on the lake surface^{20,21}. Thus, under scenarios of climate warming, deeper lakes with larger fetch are expected to be more susceptible to losing ice cover than shallower lakes within the same region^{7,21}.

The timing of lake ice break-up is generally governed by air temperature and its attendant effects on other components of the surface energy balance, primarily net radiation^{16,22,23}. Warmer air temperature in the range of weeks to months prior to ice break-up is usually the most important atmospheric driver of ice break-up, in part owing to its additive effects on sensible heat flux, downward longwave radiation, snow and ice albedo, and, thus, the total amount of absorbed longwave and short-wave radiation at the lake surface^{16,22,24}. The importance of air temperature is seen in Alaskan lakes, for example, where the date of the 0 °C air temperature isotherm, together with lake area, can explain more than 80% of the variation in ice break-up dates²⁵. Warmer late winter and early spring temperatures are also correlated with earlier ice break-up in other locations^{26,27}, with lakes in more southern regions experiencing the highest rates of change^{18,24}.

Snow depth, shortwave radiation and wind explain additional variation in break-up dates^{15,16,18,28}. Greater snow cover can delay ice break-up through its higher albedo and greater insulation during spring, as well as the additional contribution of snowpack to lake ice thickness throughout the winter¹⁶. However, seasonal timing is also important, since insulating snow cover in early winter can slow the rate of ice formation. For example, in Lake Baikal, cold winters with low snowfall and early ice formation tend to correspond to thicker ice cover and later ice break-up²⁹. By contrast, high snowfall acts as a reflective and insulating layer for lakes in Estonia, corresponding to a later ice break-up¹⁹. In addition, stronger incoming solar radiation facilitates earlier ice melt, and the amount of solar radiation absorbed within the lake is governed, in part, by the amount of snow cover on the ice and the light transmission through both snow and ice^{15,28,30}. With continued climate warming, lake ice break-up is expected to advance further. For example, ice break-up is projected to be 10–25 days earlier in the Canadian Arctic³¹ and 10–30 days earlier across other locations within the Northern Hemisphere³² by mid-century compared with the late twentieth century.

Records of lake ice freeze and break-up reveal that lakes in the Northern Hemisphere are experiencing earlier ice break-up, later ice freeze-up and shorter ice duration^{14,18,26}, and, in some years, some lakes do not freeze at all^{7,13,33}. Magnuson et al.¹⁴ calculated trends in ice freeze dates, ice break-up dates and ice duration from ~1855 to 1995 for 20 spatially and morphologically heterogeneous lakes distributed around the Northern Hemisphere. In this Review, we updated the ice phenology records for 19 of these 20 lakes by an additional 24 years, to 2019 (FIG. 2a,b). The trends in freeze date are 2.4 times faster between ~1855–2019 than 1855–1995,




Fig. 1 | Lakes in a changing climate. Essential lake variables, their response to climate change and how they interact with one another. For example, decreasing ice cover, increasing water temperature and altered lake mixing regimes will influence evaporation rates, with subsequent alterations in lake water levels and extent. Climate-induced changes in these key physical lake variables will influence lake productivity and, consequently, have widespread implications for the ecosystem services that lakes provide. LSWT, lake surface water temperature.

such that ice formation is 11.6 days later per century, compared with 4.8 days later per century as calculated in REF.¹⁴. Similarly, trends in break-up dates are now 1.3 times faster in the updated time series, and ice break-up is 8.1 days earlier per century, compared with 6.2 days earlier per century as previously calculated¹⁴. Moreover, ice duration is now 19 days shorter per century on average. Indeed, ice cover is being lost at a progressively faster rate, as these lakes have lost an additional week of ice cover in just the past ~25 years alone (FIG. 2a,b), owing to both earlier ice break-up and later ice freeze among lakes across the Northern Hemisphere. In addition, if air temperatures warm globally by as much as 4.5 °C, the number of lakes in the Northern Hemisphere that experience intermittent winter ice cover is projected to rise from the current 15,000 lakes to up to 90,000 (REF.⁷) (FIG. 2c).

In summary, as climate changes, we predict that lake ice will increasingly be lost. Ice phenology in some regions is changing non-linearly (FIG. 2), with faster rates of lake warming in response to climatic change and phase switches of large-scale climate oscillations such as El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO), the North

Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) and the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO)^{34–36}. Increased greenhouse gas emissions and warming temperatures have been contributing to shorter ENSO and NAO cycles since the latter half of the twentieth century^{37,38}, directly impacting ice break-up and freeze dates³³. However, the non-linear interactions of ever-changing large-scale climate oscillations with one another, in addition to climate, complicates our ability to forecast ice phenology with a high degree of confidence³⁹.

Warming lake surface waters

Lake surface water temperature (LSWT), an indicator of climate change, is influenced by climatic and in-lake drivers that contribute to the lake surface energy budget (FIG. 3). Notable drivers include the amount of incoming shortwave and longwave radiation, the proportion of solar irradiance absorbed at the lake surface (albedo), the advection and storage of heat within the lake and the loss of heat at the air–water interface through outgoing longwave radiation and turbulent fluxes of sensible and latent heat. These drivers are affected by many climatic variables, including cloud cover, over-lake wind speed, atmospheric humidity and air temperature⁴⁰, as well as two critical lake surface parameters — LSWT and ice cover. Thus, changes in any of the aforementioned climatic variables can influence LSWT (and ice cover) through multiple feedbacks in the surface energy balance (FIG. 3).

For example, the feedback of evaporative cooling leads to a fundamental response of LSWT to a warming climate, namely, that, in the absence of other inputs of energy, lake surfaces should warm at a slower rate than air temperature alone^{9,41}. However, there are many observations of LSWT increasing more rapidly than local air temperature, often owing to earlier stratification^{42,43}, increasing incoming solar radiation^{10,44,45} or declining near-surface wind speed⁴⁶, which affects turbulent heat fluxes, vertical mixing and heat storage. Moreover, lake-specific factors complicate some of these expected thermal responses to climatic variations. Specifically, changes in river discharge regimes⁴⁷ and water clarity^{48,49} can have a considerable influence on lake surface temperature, amplifying or dampening surface warming rates.

A global synthesis of warm-season LSWT observations demonstrated that lakes worldwide have warmed at an average rate of 0.34 °C decade⁻¹ from 1985 to 2009 (REF.¹⁰). Generally, lakes in regions with cold winters (mean air temperature <−0.4 °C) are warming more rapidly than lakes in regions with warm winters¹⁰, partially reflecting the amplified increase in air temperature in polar and high-latitude regions^{50,51}. However, cold-region lakes also show observed trends in warm-season LSWTs that are comparable to or even in excess of air temperature trends^{9,42,52}. The trends suggest a response to earlier stratification or an additional source of energy for the lakes, such as greater absorption of solar radiation⁴⁴, with contributions, in some cases, due to reduced ice cover^{42,45} or reduced snowfall and snowmelt, resulting in more available energy to warm surface waters or increase evaporation rates⁹.

Advection

The lateral transport of heat, water or other material into or out of a lake.




Fig. 2 | Lake ice cover responses to climate change. Ice freeze (part a) and break-up (part b) dates from selected Northern Hemisphere lakes from 1846 to 2019, updated from REF.¹⁴ On average, these lakes are freezing 11.6 days later and breaking up 8.1 days earlier. c | Projections of intermittent ice cover (defined as a lake not experiencing ice cover every winter) for different scenarios of climate warming⁷. Lakes shown in dark blue are the 14,800 lakes that are currently estimated to experience intermittent winter ice cover. Lakes forecasted to experience intermittent winter ice cover with an air temperature increase of 1.5 °C (light blue), 3.2 °C (dark green) and 4.5 °C (light green) are shown.

Whereas ice-albedo feedbacks in driving LSWT trends are important for high-latitude snow and ice processes^{53,54}, their effects are more muted in mid-latitude lakes that experience earlier ice break-up⁴⁵. In part, the muted response is due to the loss of ice's insulating properties at ice-off (the capping of sensible and latent heat fluxes)⁵⁵. Specifically, although a reduction in ice cover can result in a lower surface albedo and, ultimately, warm the lake surface, it can also promote heat loss from the lake to the atmosphere, leading to a cooling effect⁴⁵. Thus, the importance of ice-albedo feedbacks remains in question and is likely to be significant for mostly high-latitude and alpine lakes that have prolonged ice-covered seasons⁹.

For many mid-latitude dimictic lakes (particularly deep ones such as the Laurentian Great Lakes), it is becoming apparent that the amplified warm-season LSWT trends are primarily the result of earlier

stratification and a prolonged summer stratified period^{42,43,56}. Specifically, LSWT increases more rapidly owing to smaller volumes of water participating directly in the air–water surface heat exchange. Therefore, an earlier stratification onset and, thus, prolonged presence of the shallow upper mixed layer can result in higher warm-season LSWTs than would be expected from changes in air temperature alone^{42,43}. However, the effect of stratification onset on warm-season LSWT can vary significantly among and within lakes^{43,57} and often has the largest effect on lakes situated at high latitude and/or high elevation, as well as in deep lakes (or the deepest regions within large lakes), such as Lake Superior (USA and Canada) and Lake Tahoe (USA) and Lake Ladoga (Russia).

Most global studies of LSWT responses to climate change focus on summer observations in temperate and high-latitude regions, and winter observations in




Fig. 3 | Lake surface water temperatures under climate change. **a** Worldwide, satellite-derived, warm-season lake surface water temperature (LSWT) trends from 1996 to 2018 (REF¹⁷). **b** Long-term, annually averaged LSWTs in five lakes. **c** Lake surface energy budget and associated atmospheric and in-lake drivers that can influence LSWT. Although both latent and sensible heat fluxes can act as either negative or positive contributions to the lake heat budget (thereby, cooling or warming the lake, respectively), they are generally directed positively away from the lake, causing a general cooling effect. **d** The qualitative and approximate quantitative changes in meteorological forcing, lake surface energy budget components and LSWT that are anticipated to occur as a result of climate change. Changes are estimated for an 'average' lake at the global scale and would vary across latitudes and scales. Meteorological forcings that affect each energy budget component are listed at the bottom (along with LSWT, which affects emitted longwave radiation), and symbols are included to indicate anticipated positive (+) or negative (-) climate trends associated with each variable. The number of symbols denotes the combined assessment of the magnitude and confidence in each trend. Some variables have mixed and/or uncertain trends (shown as +/-, for example). The size of the vertical arrows illustrates the expected LSWT response to each of the changing energy-balance components, indicating warming or cooling of the lake surface. LW, longwave; SW, shortwave.

the tropics, partly because of obscuration of satellite retrievals by tropical clouds during summer or because a large proportion of lakes in northern latitudes are frozen during winter. We could, therefore, be missing important changes that are taking place in other seasons^{44,58–60}, which merits future study.

Increasing lake evaporation

Evaporation (latent heat flux) of surface water directly and substantially modifies the hydrologic, chemical and energy budgets of lakes, making it an important physical control on lake ecosystems^{41,61,62}. In addition to removing freshwater, the cooling effect of latent heat

flux through evaporation is central to the modification of LSWT, ice formation, stratification^{17,56}, vertical mixing and gas fluxes^{55,63}. Importantly, lake evaporation also influences lake level and extent⁶⁴, experiences two-way feedbacks between salinity and evaporation rates^{62,65} and even affects regional climate itself (such as lake-effect clouds and precipitation)⁶⁶.

A thorough understanding of lake evaporation and its underlying physical drivers is essential for predicting the response of lake ecosystems to climate change⁶⁷. Evaporation is energy-driven and consumes approximately 82% of the global available radiative energy at the Earth's surface^{68,69}. However, the diffusive nature of evaporation lends itself to mass transfer (or 'bulk aerodynamic') formulations, wherein the open-water evaporation rate is simply proportional to the near-surface vapour-pressure gradient and various functions of wind speed and atmospheric stability^{70,71}. Although the most direct atmospheric drivers of lake evaporation are arguably wind speed and absolute humidity⁷², prediction of the vapour-pressure gradient within models also requires knowledge of LSWT and ice to calculate the saturation vapour pressure at the lake surface⁶⁷. As a result, other drivers of LSWT, ice cover and lake evaporation must also be considered^{9,41,55}, including air temperature (through sensible heat flux and Bowen ratio), incoming solar and longwave radiation (such as effects of cloud cover), advective sources of heat (snowmelt and groundwater, for example) and changes in lake heat storage (such as through whole-lake cooling or changes in stratification and mixing). The energy available for evaporation is also modulated by the amount of emitted and reflected radiation from the lake surface, which is primarily dictated by LSWT and shortwave albedo, respectively. Finally, the timing, intensity and overall volumetric flux of lake evaporation can also be modified by numerous lake-specific and landscape variables, such as water clarity, lake area and effects of wind sheltering^{64,73,74}.

The response of lake evaporation to climate change is likely to be spatially variable owing to these complex, interacting factors, but a global mean annual lake evaporation increase of 16% is expected by 2100, relative to 2006–2015 (REFS^{9,67}). The largest increases in annual evaporation are expected at low latitudes (annual changes of $\sim 210 \text{ mm year}^{-1}$), where evaporation rates are already high ($1,622 \text{ mm year}^{-1}$; 2006–2015 annual mean for lakes between 30°S and 30°N)⁹. The evaporation increase for low-latitude lakes is primarily a surface energy-balance response to increased air temperatures and downward longwave radiation, which also drive an increase in LSWT. Relative to air temperature, however, the increase in LSWT is muted by the loss of additional energy to evaporative cooling and emitted longwave radiation, leading to a weakened lake air temperature gradient, reduced sensible heat flux and $\sim 27\%$ smaller Bowen ratios by 2100, on average (particularly in tropical, temperate and arid regions)⁹ (FIG. 4).

In addition to the previously discussed factors, annual lake evaporation in cold and polar regions is also influenced by reduced ice and snow cover during warmer winters, as well as earlier summer stratification^{35,45,56}, with global mean lake surface albedo projected to

decline by $\sim 15\%$ by the year 2100 (REF.⁹). These effects lead to higher evaporation rates through the additional absorption of solar radiation⁹ and a greater concentration of available energy in the upper mixed layer during summer^{42,45}, which can be especially pronounced for deep, dimictic lakes, even those with limited ice cover.

Although changes in longwave radiation, Bowen ratio, ice cover and stratification are generally expected to dominate the long-term response of lake evaporation to climate change⁹, additional factors must also be considered, particularly on shorter timescales. For example, decadal-scale global and regional changes in incident solar radiation due to variations in cloud cover and aerosols (often referred to as solar brightening or dimming⁷⁵) contribute to trends in pan evaporation^{75,76}. Similar to evaporation pans, lakes are energy-limited systems, so some lakes could see increased evaporation in response to solar brightening trends, particularly in light of the changes observed in LSWT^{44,45}. While such variations could continue in the future at decadal timescales, widespread long-term trends in solar radiation are not generally expected^{9,44,45,75}. Similarly, downwards trends in wind speed and declines in evaporative demand have also been noted⁷³, but other studies have observed a recent reversal of that global trend⁷⁷ or even an upwards trend in regional wind speed⁷⁸. Thus, solar-induced and wind-induced trends in lake evaporation are likely to be highly localized and variable in the short term and smaller in magnitude on longer timescales. Changes in humidity could further influence evaporation trends, and global atmospheric specific humidity is projected to increase in the future⁷⁹. A more humid atmosphere, however, is not likely to counteract increasing evaporative demand over rapidly warming land surfaces⁸⁰ and lakes^{9,10}.

Finally, it is important to note that lake evaporation is often highly episodic^{41,72}, and that interannual changes in synoptic weather variability — such as the frequency of cold fronts — are known to significantly influence LSWT, lake evaporation, sensible heat flux and the depth and intensity of vertical mixing^{63,81}. Thus, accurate projections of the response of lake evaporation to climate change will need to account not only for trends in the mean climate but also changes in variability.

Wetting and drying trends

Climate-driven variability in lake water storage is the result of changing water availability within a lake's watershed, which is fundamentally a trade-off among precipitation (P), evaporative water loss and changes in terrestrial water storage⁸². This trade-off includes consideration of land surface water-balance processes such as evapotranspiration (ET), snow and soil water storage, and runoff; and in-lake processes such as surface and groundwater outflow and open-water evaporation (a component of ET). Except in certain instances (such as inputs of glacial meltwater), changes in land surface water storage are minimal on climatic timescales, resulting in a long-term balance between P-ET and total runoff. As such, understanding climate change impacts on water availability requires a joint examination of trends in both P and ET, as well as various indices of 'wetting' and 'drying', such as P-ET⁸³, the Palmer drought

Bowen ratio

The ratio of sensible to latent heat fluxes.

Brightening

Increase in the receipt of solar radiation at the Earth's surface due to long-term changes in cloud cover or aerosols.

Dimming




Decrease in the receipt of solar radiation at the Earth's surface due to long-term changes in cloud cover or aerosols.

Evapotranspiration (ET)

The process of water vapour transport from the Earth's surface to the atmosphere, represented as the total evaporated water from soil, water and other wet surfaces, and transpiration from plants.

Total runoff

Surface runoff plus groundwater recharge.

a Current climate: dimictic lake**b Warming climate: early stratification****c Future climate: monomictic lake**

severity index (PDSI)⁸⁴ and the aridity index⁸⁵. It is also important to distinguish between lakes in wet and dry regions when considering the potential impacts of climate change, since wetting and drying trends have been shown to differ for such regions⁸³.

Although there remains little consensus as to climate-induced trends in annual mean precipitation at local to regional scales⁸⁶, some patterns have begun to emerge as it relates to changes in extreme precipitation, global mean P and ET, and regional patterns of wetting and drying. Both P and ET are projected to increase globally as the climate warms and the hydrologic cycle intensifies⁷⁹. Regional variability in P and ET trends are also expected^{84,87}, with the increase in ET predicted to be largest for energy-limited lakes and oceans. Global land surfaces are anticipated to see a more modest increase in ET owing to additional constraints from water limitation⁷⁹ and potential increases in aridity at regional scales⁸⁰.

The 'dry gets drier, wet gets wetter' (DDWW) paradigm has often been demonstrated in future projections of P-ET over broad oceanic regions^{83,84,86,87}, albeit with less applicability to land surfaces and smaller spatial scales^{85,88,89}. Nonetheless, some polewards expansion of subtropical dry zones has been projected to occur by the end of the twenty-first century⁹⁰, with overall global drylands expected to grow in area by 11–23% and warm at twice the rate of humid regions⁹¹. There is also evidence for a 'wet gets wetter' signal over water-sufficient lands, including eastern North America, northern Canada, Europe and Asia, and in tropical convergence zones and monsoon regions^{85,92–94}. The distinction between wet and dry regions extends to lake evaporation, which is influenced by regional climate in a fashion similar to evaporation pans. Specifically, local-scale variations in precipitation, terrestrial ET, atmospheric humidity and cloud cover (particularly in water-limited regions) are known to influence pan-evaporation rates via the complementary relationship⁹⁵, such that variations in precipitation and pan evaporation often show an inverse relationship. Evidence of similar behaviour for lakes is supported by regional observations of enhanced lake

Fig. 4 | Lake evaporation response to climate change.

Anticipated response of a cold, dimictic, moderately deep lake in the mid-latitudes to climate change, including effects on ice cover, lake surface water temperature (LSWT), evaporation and mixing regime. The lake progresses here from the current state (part a) to a warm climate with earlier summer stratification (part b) and, eventually, a very warm climate in which all winter stratification and spring mixing are lost (part c). Here, the lake winter is defined as the period from ice-on to the beginning of ice melt, followed by spring mixing, with summer starting at the onset of stratification and lasting until the onset of autumn mixing. The largest initial increases in lake evaporation are anticipated in association with earlier summer onset, but with eventual increases in evaporation throughout all seasons as the lake continues to warm. The seasonal timing of maximum evaporation would be earlier for shallower lakes and later for deeper lakes. Evaporation during the ice-covered periods denotes the effects of sublimation and fractional ice coverage (such as open-water leads), with condensation occurring around ice-off.

evaporation (~20%, relative to precipitation anomalies) during years with low precipitation⁸².

In contrast to the DDWW paradigm that suggests differing regional trends in P–ET, increases in extreme precipitation are observed and expected for both wet and dry regions, though with significant spatial heterogeneity⁸⁶. These changes include global increases in the observed number of wet days, number of heavy-precipitation events and annual maximum daily precipitation^{94,96}. For example, the annual maximum daily precipitation in both wet and dry regions was found to increase over a 60-year period (1951–2010) at a rate of 1–2% per decade⁸⁶. Integrated over longer time-scales, anomalously high precipitation from months to decades can also lead to significant hydrologic impacts on even the largest of lake systems, including regional flooding and rapid lake level rise⁹⁷, and increased delivery of nutrients, sediments, pollutants and dissolved organic matter to lakes.

Changing lake water storage

The amount of water stored in specific lakes may increase, decrease or experience no substantial cumulative change in a warming climate^{8,12,98,99}. Indeed, although the global hydrologic cycle is sensitive to climate change, the actual magnitude of hydrologic changes that can be assuredly attributed to climate change remains uncertain, particularly given the key impact of human water withdrawal¹⁰⁰.

The attribution of water storage variation in lakes to climate change is facilitated when variations occur coherently across many lakes within broad geographic regions⁸², preferably absent of other anthropogenic hydrologic influences. For instance, water storage increases on the Tibetan Plateau (FIG. 5) have been attributed to long-term changes in glacier melt, precipitation and runoff, in part as a result of climate change^{101–103}. While most lakes on the Tibetan Plateau are increasing in size in response to these changes, there are exceptions due to local factors (see Orba and La-ang in FIG. 5). Nevertheless, these increases can be attributed to climate change, as they are corroborated by half-century-old ground-survey data¹⁰⁴ and recent observations from the Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) satellite mission^{8,105}, and because there are minimal irrigated-agriculture operations or water diversions that could confound the trend⁸.

Climate change can also affect water storage in thermokarst lakes. Initial permafrost thaw typically leads to thermokarst lake water storage increases¹⁰⁶, which can be enhanced by local increases in precipitation⁸. However, the initial lake expansion often gives way to lake drainage as the surrounding permafrost degrades further with warming¹⁰⁷. The temporal pattern of lake formation followed by lake drainage is observed in the Arctic, where the lake area has increased in regions with continuous permafrost and decreased in regions where permafrost is thinner and less contiguous¹⁰⁶. These substantial water storage changes in thermokarst lakes and glacier-fed lakes represent the potentially severe hydrologic modifications that can result from climate change.

Thermokarst lakes
Lakes formed by thawing ice-rich permafrost.

Despite the pronounced effects of climate change on lake water storage in specific regions, resolving the global-scale effects remains challenging, in part, owing to a lack of consensus about the magnitude of water flux changes that can be attributed to climate change versus other drivers of change^{97,100}. For example, from 1984 to 2015, 90,000 km² of permanent surface water disappeared globally, while 184,000 km² of lake surface area formed elsewhere¹². Most of these changes are thought to be attributable to background climate variability, water extractions and reservoir filling, rather than climate change¹². In a classic example, the two main inflowing rivers to the Aral Sea were diverted in the 1970s in an attempt to irrigate cotton plantations in Central Asian deserts¹⁰⁸, leading to a 37,000-km² loss of Aral Sea surface area from 1984 to 2015 (REF.¹²). Thus, although climate change might have contributed to the reduction in size of the Aral Sea¹⁰⁹ and lakes such as Lake Poopó in Bolivia, such attributions remain controversial^{108,110}.

Even in remote lakes that are not directly influenced by human activity, the effects of climate change on lake water budgets are often masked by the effects of background climate variability and atmospheric teleconnections such as ENSO¹¹¹, the PDO¹¹², the NAO¹¹³ and the Indian Ocean Dipole¹¹⁴. Many of these oscillations are multidecadal, making it especially difficult to disentangle them from the effects of climate change¹⁰⁰. For example, recent (2002–2016) changes in terrestrial water storage in Australia and sub-Saharan Africa have been attributed to the passage of natural drought and precipitation cycles, not climate change⁸. The complexities of lake water storage responses to climate change and the challenges associated with its detection and attribution are reflected in the ongoing debate about the influence of climate change effects on lake water storage^{115,116}.

Lake water storage projections are limited primarily by the absence of reliable, long-term, homogenous and spatially resolved hydrologic observations necessary for building lake water budgets and for assessing the validity of climate models¹⁰⁰. This uncertainty is reflected in the widely divergent projections for lake water storage responses of individual lakes to future climate changes^{117,118}, which vary based on the emissions scenario and the uncertainty in the simulated effects of climate change on the regional hydrology^{98,119}. Selecting models that perform well in cross-validation often does little to reduce water storage projection uncertainty due to differences in the future emission scenarios and variation across model simulations¹¹⁷. This wide range of potential changes makes it difficult to manage lakes in the context of anticipated future patterns of lake water storage. Until the influence of climate change on all water fluxes (precipitation, ET, runoff) relevant to specific lake water budgets can be adequately resolved, the magnitude of climate change effects on global lake water storage will remain highly uncertain, particularly in the presence of interannual climate variability.

Altered lake mixing regimes

The lake energy balance and associated surface variables (such as ice cover and LSWT), in addition to lake morphology, have a considerable influence on the physical

environment of lakes, especially their seasonal mixing regimes¹²⁰ (BOX 1). In response to climate-induced variations in these lake surface conditions, the mixing regimes of lakes are projected to change through

time^{11,121,122}, with numerous consequential implications for lake ecosystems.

One of the most commonly projected alterations in lake mixing regimes during the twenty-first century is a




Fig. 5 | Lake level changes for 17 lakes on the Tibetan Plateau. Lake level changes for 17 lakes on the Tibetan Plateau. Climate change partially contributes to lake water storage changes in this region by altering

precipitation, ice cover, glaciers and permafrost. Data are courtesy of the [USDA G-REALM](#) project and the [Hydroweb](#) database (both datasets shown when available).

Box 1 | Lake mixing regimes

Polymictic

Polymictic lakes are permanently (continuous polymictic) or frequently (discontinuous polymictic) mixed, often owing to their shallow depth, can be subcategorized as cold polymictic if they experience winter ice cover or warm polymictic if they do not freeze.

Dimictic

Dimictic lakes experience two mixing events per year, one typically following the summer stratified period and the other following the inversely stratified winter period.

Monomictic

Monomictic lakes experience one vertical mixing event per year, typically in winter. They can be subcategorized as cold monomictic if they experience winter ice cover or warm monomictic if they do not freeze.


Oligomictic

Oligomictic lakes are persistently stratified in most years, yet mix fully in others.


Meromictic

Meromictic lakes are persistently stratified, often owing to their immense depths or to the presence of a chemical gradient.


Polymictic (continuous warm polymictic)




Dimictic



Monomictic (warm monomictic)



Oligomictic/meromictic






Fig. 6 | Lake mixing regime alterations due to projected twenty-first century climate change. The mixing-regime alterations relate to simulated changes in lake mixing regimes between 1985–2005 and 2080–2100 (part a) using a lake model forced with low (RCP 2.6) (part b) and high (RCP 6.0) (part c) greenhouse gas concentration scenarios. A widespread decrease in winter ice cover and an increase in lake surface temperatures will modify lake mixing regimes, typically shifting lakes to the right along the polymictic–dimictic–monomictic–oligomictic–meromictic continuum. As lakes mix less frequently in response to climate change, some of the most common anticipated mixing-regime alterations include a shift from dimictic to monomictic and from monomictic to meromictic. Data from REF.¹¹.

change from dimictic to monomictic conditions, with ~17% of all lakes likely to experience this mixing regime alteration by 2080–2099 (REF.¹¹; FIG. 6). Specifically, warming winters, a loss of ice cover and warmer winter surface waters (~4 °C; roughly the temperature of maximum density of freshwater) will result in lakes no longer typically experiencing an inversely stratified winter period, thus, remaining vertically mixed from autumn (following autumnal mixing) until stratification onset in spring. Given current projections of lake ice loss⁷, this type of mixing regime alteration is expected to be particularly common in deep, alpine lakes, such as Mondsee, Austria¹²³. The influence of ice cover loss on lake mixing regimes is also evident in High Arctic lakes, which are typically perennially ice covered. Specifically, a warming climate has resulted in many Arctic lakes now experiencing seasonal ice cover and, thus, mixing vertically during the warmest months¹²⁴.

Another commonly identified alteration in lake mixing regimes is a change from monomictic to oligomictic and/or meromictic¹¹ (FIG. 6). An increase in winter LSWT is key a driver of change from monomictic to oligomictic and/or meromictic conditions¹²⁵. In particular, if the surface temperature of deep lakes no longer falls to 4 °C, stratification can persist from one summer to the next without interruption, inhibiting complete turnover. There is some evidence that this could, indeed, already be taking place, with deeply penetrative mixing being suppressed in some traditionally monomictic lakes (such

as Lake Zurich, Switzerland) during increasingly mild winters¹²⁶. Furthermore, lakes that are currently classified as oligomictic (such as Lake Garda and Lake Como, Italy) are very likely to transition to the meromictic class under future climate conditions owing to warming surface waters during winter¹¹.

Lakes most susceptible to mixing regime alterations are those that are ‘marginal’, historically transitioning between two mixing classes and often experiencing anomalous mixing behaviour relative to their dominant mixing classification (defined as experiencing at least 3 years of anomalous mixing regimes during a 20-year period¹¹). For example, a dimictic lake that does not freeze during a particularly warm winter and, thus, might experience a monomictic year, such as in Lake Vänern and Lake Vättern in Sweden¹²⁷, will likely experience a mixing regime alteration in the future. Also, polymictic lakes that can develop prolonged stratification during summer in some warm or calm years are particularly vulnerable to experiencing a mixing regime alteration (such as becoming monomictic) under climate change¹²⁸.

The mixing regimes of marginal lakes have also been described as being very sensitive to changes in water clarity^{122,129}. Specifically, a browning of lake surface waters (resulting in a decrease in water transparency), due mainly to terrestrial inputs of dissolved organic matter^{130–132}, affects the depth at which shortwave radiation is absorbed within a lake. The browning has a profound

Browning

An increase in the yellow–brown colour of lake surface waters, caused mainly by an increase in dissolved organic carbon concentrations.

Eutrophication

The enrichment of a water body with nutrients, often resulting in excessive algae growth.

influence on the vertical thermal structure⁴⁹ and can, for example, determine whether a lake mixes regularly or stratifies continuously throughout the summer period¹²⁹. The interactions among decreasing water transparency, vertical thermal structure and altered lake mixing regimes are expected to be most important in historically clearer lakes^{49,122}.

While the majority of previous studies have demonstrated that climate change is likely to shift mixing regimes to the right along the polymictic–dimictic–monomictic–oligomictic–meromictic continuum (FIG. 6), some lakes will not follow the expected directional change. For example, some are projected to experience fewer continuous periods of stratification owing to a local increase in near-surface wind speed caused by, for instance, a recovery from atmospheric stilling⁷⁷. Specifically, an increase in wind mixing could push a lake to a less stable regime and, ultimately, result in a lake transitioning from a dimictic to a polymictic mixing class¹¹. There are also many examples of saline lakes that have experienced a relatively unexpected change in their mixing regime owing to climatic warming. For example, the extensively studied meromictic Lake Shira (Russia) has recently shifted from a meromictic to a dimictic mixing class owing to a decrease in winter ice cover, which resulted in less salt exclusion and, thus, weaker stratification, thereby allowing the lake to overturn¹³³. Overall, the influence of climate change on lake mixing regimes is complex, but we are beginning to understand the global drivers of historic change and have projected mixing regime alterations in many lakes during the twenty-first century. Future research should aim to expand on previous work and investigate mixing regimes at a truly global scale (such as across climatic gradients, including perennially ice-covered lakes), including both freshwater and saline lakes.

Implications for lake ecosystems

Effects of climate change on lake ecosystems have been observed globally, including changes in water quality associated with increases in phytoplankton biomass and shifts in community composition¹³⁴. Rising temperatures induce extensive changes in planktonic communities^{135–137}, such as shifts towards increased cyanobacteria populations and greater toxin production^{138–140}. Unprecedented cyanobacterial blooms have been identified even in remote lakes, driven by earlier ice-out, incomplete mixing, early stratification onset and subsequent increased internal nutrient loading¹⁴¹. Earlier onset of phytoplankton blooms has been observed in many lakes, including advances of 30 days from 2003 to 2017 for Lake Taihu, China¹⁴² and of 28.5 days from the period 1984–1994 to 2007–2017 for Lake Kötönjärvi, Finland¹⁴³. Increases in chlorophyll and cyanobacteria are also often associated with a lowering of water level in many lakes and reservoirs, sometimes accompanied by regime shifts from clear to turbid waters that are not necessarily reversible¹⁴⁴. In some lakes, increased stratification due to climate change results in declines in algal biomass, which adversely impact fish yields^{145,146}. Also observed is a general shift towards lower food quality from both internal¹⁴⁷ and external sources¹⁴⁸ that will likely have consequences for lake food webs. Changing

water temperatures further influence metabolism, biodiversity and species invasions¹⁴⁹.

Changes in winter conditions and precipitation have a range of consequences. For example, altering the duration, timing and condition of lake ice will affect biogeochemical cycling, community composition, algal biomass¹⁵⁰, food-web dynamics and gas emissions^{151,152}, with similar consequences from permafrost thaw¹⁵³. Precipitation and snowmelt are among the major factors that affect nutrient and dissolved organic matter availability in lakes. In conjunction with other environmental changes, wetter climates will lead to ‘browner’ lakes from terrestrial inputs of dissolved organic matter^{131,132}, which has implications for carbon cycling and anoxia¹⁵⁴, species invasions¹⁵⁵, the persistence of pathogens¹⁵⁶ and other ecological attributes¹⁵⁷. Climate change in combination with browning and eutrophication will alter the function and fuelling of aquatic food webs¹⁵⁸. Indirectly, climate warming also affects lake ecosystems through changes in the landscape that lead to shifts in vegetation¹⁵⁹ or increased dust¹⁶⁰ that can affect nutrient availability, water quality, and community composition and productivity¹⁶¹.

Many emerging changes in lake ecosystems are the result of complex interactions among a multitude of climatic factors, in addition to human activities and lake characteristics. The influence of climate remains persistently detectable, however, even across lakes also affected by factors such as oil and gas extraction¹⁶², forest harvest^{163,164} and invasive species¹⁶⁴. Human impacts on terrestrial nutrient cycles are among the most prevalent interacting factors, and the combination of increases in both nutrient inputs and temperature could be synergistic, leading to hypoxic conditions and influencing community structure and biodiversity^{165,166} and the frequency, intensity, extent and duration of harmful algal blooms^{138,167}. Negative effects of legacy conditions can be magnified in the presence of warming, supporting proposed synergisms between chemical pollution and other stressors¹⁶⁸. Lake responses are not necessarily regionally synchronous, as morphometric characteristics are known to drive trajectories of warming¹⁰ and ice loss⁷, and lake depth has also been linked to community responses¹⁶³. In general, climate change will likely amplify the negative effects of eutrophication and other stressors to lake ecosystems^{169,170}.

Future directions

Climate change has unquestionably altered lakes worldwide. Spatial and temporal variability notwithstanding, we expect the observed long-term trends discussed here to not only continue but, in some cases, accelerate. Specifically, lake ice cover will likely decrease and LSWT will increase as a result of projected changes in air temperature and earlier stratification onset, and there will be increases in lake evaporation due to warming of surface waters, loss of lake ice and an earlier start to the evaporation season. Lake levels will likely decrease in some regions but increase in others (depending on glacier retreat and precipitation and ET trends, among other factors), and lake mixing will occur less frequently owing to a general strengthening of thermal stratification. Interactions between climate and other stressors

will likely lead to some unexpected, non-linear ecological responses, further complicating the development of effective management strategies.

While our understanding of physical lake responses to global climate change has improved markedly in recent decades, the scientific literature addresses in detail only a small proportion of lakes worldwide. Further improvements in remote sensing (especially of smaller lakes) and in situ data will be essential for advancing a comprehensive global understanding of lake processes and their responses to climate change. Specifically, previous observational campaigns have focused on various lakes and time periods, often with inconsistent observational protocols and techniques, thus, limiting the effectiveness of a global-scale quantification of lake variables and their interactions. Future efforts investigating lake responses to climate change need to be grounded in sustainable, systematic, multivariate observations for a consistent set of lakes.

One effort in this direction is the ongoing European Space Agency Climate Change Initiative for Lakes (CCI Lakes), which coordinates a range of remote-sensing techniques to address the lake ECVs identified by the GCOS. Further expansion of remotely sensed data using multiple sensors could help fill data gaps and obtain consistent observational constraints for lakes worldwide. An important aspect of efforts such as CCI Lakes is that they focus on maximizing the benefit of legacy Earth observations made over the past decades, as well as developing better observational capabilities from current and prospective missions. State-of-the-art observational data sets presently provide measurement time series of lake state for a few hundred lakes. Based on current and historic sensors, records of combined temperature, reflectance and optically derived lake ice-state observations for roughly 10,000 lakes may prove tractable with improved remote-sensing methods.

In addition to optically derived lake ice observations from missions such as MODIS, all-weather remote-sensing capability is available in microwave domains, with synthetic-aperture radar techniques enabling lake-ice determination at resolutions on the order of 30 m. Ongoing missions (such as Sentinel-1 and the RADARSAT Constellation Mission) greatly increase the routine temporal coverage, enabling the interactions of lake ice and climatic variability to be quantified more comprehensively in the future. Future satellite missions in development for expansion of the European Copernicus Space Programme will expand lake observations. An upcoming microwave mission will enable all-weather day and night observations of LSWT¹⁷¹ and ice cover at <15-km and <5-km resolutions, respectively, for large lakes. An optical and infrared mission will enable accurate LSWT observation at <50-m resolution under clear skies, expanding observability to water bodies with linear dimension <300 m. In addition, the altimeters on board

Sentinel-3A and Sentinel-3B will further improve our ability to measure water levels, especially for lakes of a few kilometres in extent¹⁷², leading to more accurate mapping of surface water storage.

Satellite observations must be combined with highly spatio-temporally resolved in situ measurements from buoys, field-sampling programmes, long-term monitoring networks and palaeolimnological data sets, as well as advanced in situ technology, such as autonomous buoys, gliders and drones. Specifically, in situ measurements are essential for observing lake processes below the water surface (such as stratification and mixing), to improve understanding of complex air–water energy fluxes (such as evaporation) and to maintain long-term perspectives that began prior to the advent of satellites and regardless of weather conditions that adversely impact satellite measurements. Furthermore, calibration between in situ measurements and remote-sensing observations is needed for many ecological variables (such as algal biomass), as we lack a complete understanding of how to measure many ecological variables accurately using remote sensing.

However, in situ lake data that are not carefully indexed and stored can become nearly invisible to scientists and other potential users. These so-called dark data are likely to remain underutilized and eventually lost. Therefore, further understanding of lake responses to past climate change calls for renewed efforts to rescue, scan and digitize historical data and to overcome impediments to data sharing and delivery. In situ observational campaigns across diverse lakes will yield the greatest science return when resulting data sets are documented and made open^{10,173}.

Observations should be combined with predictions from statistical and process-based lake models¹⁷⁴, particularly to help elucidate mechanisms and to disentangle anthropogenic and natural drivers. Data assimilation, in which satellite and in situ observations are systematically combined with numerical models, provides a range of methodologies to quantify both the time-evolution of the state of a lake and the lake model parameters (as in REF.¹⁷⁵). Also, the new modelling paradigm known as process-guided deep learning¹⁷⁶, which aims to integrate process understanding from lake models into advanced machine-learning modelling techniques, will provide substantial improvements to our predictive ability of lake responses to climate change. Improved modelling techniques could allow background variability within the climate system to be more effectively disentangled from anthropogenic climate change. The proposed advancements in lake research provide strong promise for improved measurements and modelling of lake processes and, as a result, greater prospects for understanding and anticipating future lake responses to climate change.

Published online: 14 July 2020

1. Verpoorter, C., Kutser, T., Seekell, D. A. & Tranvik, L. J. A global inventory of lakes based on high-resolution satellite imagery. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **41**, 6396–6402 (2014).
2. Gleick, P. H. Water and conflict: fresh water resources and international security. *Int. Secur.* **18**, 79–112 (1993).
3. Abell, R. et al. Freshwater ecoregions of the world: a new map of biogeographic units for freshwater biodiversity conservation. *BioScience* **58**, 403–414 (2008).
4. Rinke, K., Keller, P. S., Kong, X., Borchardt, D. & Weitere, M. in *Atlas of Ecosystem Services: Drivers, Risks, and Societal Responses* (eds Schröter, M., Bonn, A., Klotz, S., Seppelt, R. & Baessler, C.) 191–195 (Springer, 2019).
5. United Nations. Resolution adopted by the General Assembly on 25 September 2015. 21 October 2015 A/RES/70/1 (2016).
6. Adrian, R. et al. Lakes as sentinels of climate change. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **54**, 2283–2297 (2009).

7. Sharma, S. et al. Widespread loss of lake ice around the Northern Hemisphere in a warming world. *Nat. Clim. Change* **9**, 227–231 (2019). **Demonstrated that lake ice cover will severely diminish during the twenty-first century throughout the Northern Hemisphere, with many lakes no longer experiencing ice cover in winter.**
8. Rodell, M. et al. Emerging trends in global freshwater availability. *Nature* **557**, 651–659 (2018). **Quantified trends and characterized the drivers of change in terrestrial water storage observed by the Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) satellites.**
9. Wang, W. et al. Global lake evaporation accelerated by changes in surface energy allocation in a warmer climate. *Nat. Geosci.* **11**, 410–414 (2018). **First study to demonstrate that lake evaporation will increase worldwide during the twenty-first century due to a warming of lake surface temperature and a reduction in lake ice cover.**
10. O'Reilly, C. M. et al. Rapid and highly variable warming of lake surface waters around the globe. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **42**, 10773–10781 (2015). **First global-scale analysis of satellite-derived and in situ lake surface temperature responses to climate change, showing rapid and widespread warming of lakes from 1985 to 2009.**
11. Woolway, R. I. & Merchant, C. J. Worldwide alteration of lake mixing regimes in response to climate change. *Nat. Geosci.* **12**, 271–276 (2019). **Projected that climate change will result in the worldwide alteration of lake mixing regimes by the end of the twenty-first century, which will have large implications for lake ecosystems.**
12. Pekel, J.-F., Cottam, A., Gorelick, N. & Belward, A. S. High-resolution mapping of global surface water and its long-term changes. *Nature* **540**, 418–422 (2016). **Investigated the temporal and spatial variations in the availability of surface water worldwide since 1984, as well as its response to climate change and human activities.**
13. Walsh, S. E. et al. Global patterns of lake ice phenology and climate model simulations and observations. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **103**, 28825–28837 (1998).
14. Magnuson, J. J. et al. Historical trends in lake and river ice cover in the Northern Hemisphere. *Science* **289**, 1743–1746 (2000). **Analyzed ice-cover trends from lakes and rivers around the Northern Hemisphere using over 100 years of data.**
15. Brown, L. C. & Duguay, C. R. The response and role of ice cover in lake-climate interactions. *Prog. Phys. Geogr.* **34**, 671–704 (2010).
16. Vavrus, S. J., Wynne, R. H. & Foley, J. A. Measuring the sensitivity of southern Wisconsin lake ice to climate variations and lake depth using a numerical model. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **41**, 822–831 (1996).
17. Spence, C., Blanken, P. D., Lenters, J. D. & Hedstrom, N. The importance of spring and autumn atmospheric conditions for the evaporation regime of Lake Superior. *J. Hydrometeorol.* **14**, 1647–1658 (2013).
18. Jensen, O. P. et al. Spatial analysis of ice phenology trends across the Laurentian Great Lakes region during a recent warming period. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **52**, 2013–2026 (2007).
19. Nöges, P. & Nöges, T. Weak trends in ice phenology of Estonian large lakes despite significant warming trends. *Hydrobiologia* **731**, 5–18 (2014).
20. Williams, G., Layman, K. & Stefan, H. G. Dependence of lake ice covers on climatic, geographic and bathymetric variables. *Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.* **40**, 145–164 (2004).
21. Magee, M. R. & Wu, C. H. Effects of changing climate on ice cover in three morphometrically different lakes. *Hydro. Process.* **31**, 308–323 (2017).
22. Palecki, M. A. & Barry, R. G. Freeze-up and break-up of lakes as an index of temperature changes during the transition seasons: A case study for Finland. *J. Clim. Appl. Meteorol.* **25**, 893–902 (1986).
23. Duguay, C. R. et al. Recent trends in Canadian lake ice cover. *Hydro. Process.* **20**, 781–801 (2006).
24. Weyhenmeyer, G. A. et al. Large geographical differences in the sensitivity of ice-covered lakes and rivers in the Northern Hemisphere to temperature changes. *Glob. Change Biol.* **17**, 268–275 (2011).
25. Arp, C. D., Jones, B. M. & Gross, G. Recent lake ice-out phenology within and among lake districts of Alaska, USA. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **58**, 213–228 (2013).
26. Benson, B. J. et al. Extreme events, trends, and variability in Northern Hemisphere lake-ice phenology (1855–2005). *Clim. Change* **112**, 299–323 (2012).
27. Sharma, S., Magnuson, J. J., Mendoza, G. & Carpenter, S. R. Influences of local weather, large-scale climatic drivers, and the ca. 11 year solar cycle on lake ice breakup dates: 1905–2004. *Clim. Change* **118**, 857–870 (2013).
28. Jakkila, J. et al. Radiation transfer and heat budget during the ice season in Lake Paajarvi, Finland. *Aquat. Ecol.* **43**, 681–692 (2009).
29. Kouraev, A. V. et al. The ice regime of Lake Baikal from historical and satellite data: Relationship to air temperature, dynamical, and other factors. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **52**, 1268–1286 (2007).
30. Kirillin, G. et al. Physics of seasonally ice-covered lakes: a review. *Aquat. Sci.* **74**, 659–682 (2012).
31. Brown, L. C. & Duguay, C. R. The fate of lake ice in the North American Arctic. *Cryosphere* **5**, 869–892 (2011).
32. Dibike, Y., Prowse, T., Saloranta, T. & Ahmed, R. Response of Northern Hemisphere lake-ice cover and lake-water thermal structure patterns to a changing climate. *Hydro. Process.* **25**, 2942–2953 (2011).
33. Sharma, S. et al. Direct observations of ice seasonality reveal changes in climate over the past 320–570 years. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 25061 (2016).
34. Robertson, D. M., Wynne, R. H. & Chang, W. Y. B. Influence of El Niño on lake and river ice cover in the Northern Hemisphere from 1900 to 1995. *Verh. Int. Ver. Theor. Angew. Limnol.* **27**, 2784–2788 (2000).
35. Van Cleave, K., Lenters, J. D., Wang, J. & Verhamme, E. M. A regime shift in Lake Superior ice cover, evaporation, and water temperature following the warm El Niño winter of 1997–1998. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **59**, 1889–1898 (2014).
36. Lopez, L. S., Hewitt, B. A. & Sharma, S. Reaching a break point: how is climate change influencing the timing of ice break-up in lakes across the Northern Hemisphere. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **64**, 2621–2631 (2019).
37. Higuchi, K., Huang, J. & Shabbar, A. A wavelet characterization of the North Atlantic oscillation variation and its relationship to the North Atlantic sea surface temperature. *Int. J. Climatol.* **19**, 1119–1129 (1999).
38. Li, J. et al. Interdecadal modulation of El Niño amplitude during the past millennium. *Nat. Clim. Change* **1**, 114–118 (2011).
39. Bai, X., Wang, J., Sellinger, C., Clites, A. & Assel, R. Interannual variability of Great Lakes ice cover and its relationship to NAO and ENSO. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* **117**, C03002 (2012).
40. Edinger, J. E., Duttweiler, D. W. & Geyer, J. C. The response of water temperature to meteorological conditions. *Water Resour. Res.* **4**, 1137–1143 (1968).
41. Lenters, J. D., Kratz, T. K. & Bowser, C. J. Effects of climate variability on lake evaporation: results from a long-term energy budget study of Sparkling Lake, northern Wisconsin (USA). *J. Hydrol.* **308**, 168–195 (2005).
42. Austin, J. A. & Colman, S. M. Lake Superior summer water temperatures are increasing more rapidly than regional air temperatures: a positive ice-albedo feedback. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **34**, L06604 (2007).
43. Woolway, R. I. & Merchant, C. J. Amplified surface temperature response of cold, deep lakes to inter-annual air temperature variability. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 4130 (2017).
44. Schmid, M. & Köster, O. Excess warming of a Central European lake driven by solar brightening. *Water Resour. Res.* **52**, 8103–8116 (2016).
45. Zhong, Y., Notaro, M., Vavrus, S. J. & Foster, M. J. Recent accelerated warming of the Laurentian Great Lakes: Physical drivers. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **61**, 1762–1786 (2016).
46. Woolway, R. I. et al. Northern Hemisphere atmospheric stilling accelerates lake thermal responses to a warming world. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **46**, 11983–11992 (2019).
47. Råman Vinnå, L., Wüest, A., Zappa, M., Fink, G. & Bouffard, D. Tributaries affect the thermal response of lakes to climate change. *Hydro. Earth Syst. Sci.* **22**, 31–51 (2018).
48. Rose, K. C., Winslow, L. A., Read, J. S. & Hansen, G. J. A. Climate-induced warming of lakes can be either amplified or suppressed by trends in water clarity. *Limnol. Oceanogr. Lett.* **1**, 44–53 (2016).
49. Pilla, R. M. et al. Browning-related decreases in water transparency lead to long-term increases in surface water temperature and thermal stratification in two small lakes. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.* **123**, 1651–1665 (2018).
50. Screen, J. A. & Simmonds, I. The central role of diminishing sea ice in recent arctic temperature amplification. *Nature* **464**, 1334–1337 (2010).
51. Stuecker, M. F. et al. Polar amplification dominated by local forcing and feedbacks. *Nat. Clim. Change* **8**, 1076–1081 (2018).
52. Schneider, P. & Hook, S. J. Space observations of inland water bodies show rapid surface warming since 1985. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **37**, L22405 (2010). **First worldwide study of surface-temperature trends in the largest lakes of the world.**
53. Groisman, P. Y., Karl, T. R. & Knight, R. W. Observed impact of snow cover on the heat balance and the rise of continental spring temperatures. *Science* **263**, 198–200 (1994).
54. Goose, H. et al. Quantifying climate feedbacks in polar regions. *Nat. Comm.* **9**, 1919 (2018).
55. Ye, X., Anderson, E. J., Chu, P. Y., Huang, C. & Wu, P. Impact of water mixing and ice formation on the warming of Lake Superior: a model-guided mechanism study. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **64**, 558–574 (2018).
56. Mishra, V., Cherkauer, K. A. & Bowling, L. C. Changing thermal dynamics of lakes in the Great Lakes region: role of ice cover feedbacks. *Glob. Planet Change* **75**, 155–172 (2011).
57. Woolway, R. I. & Merchant, C. J. Intralake heterogeneity of thermal responses to climate change: A study of large northern hemisphere lakes. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **123**, 3087–3098 (2018).
58. Winslow, L. A., Reed, J. S., Hansen, G. J. A., Rose, K. C. & Robertson, D. M. Seasonality of change: Summer warming rates do not fully represent effects of climate change on lake temperatures. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **62**, 2168–2178 (2017).
59. Woolway, R. I. et al. Warming of Central European lakes and their response to the 1980s climate regime shift. *Clim. Change* **142**, 505–520 (2017).
60. Maberly, S. C. et al. Global lake thermal regions shift under climate change. *Nat. Comm.* **11**, 1232 (2020).
61. Schindler, D. W. The cumulative effects of climate warming and other human stresses on Canadian freshwaters in the new millennium. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **58**, 18–29 (2001).
62. Riveros-Iregui, D. A. et al. Evaporation from a shallow, saline lake in the Nebraska Sandhills: Energy balance drivers of seasonal and interannual variability. *J. Hydrol.* **553**, 172–187 (2017).
63. MacIntyre, S. et al. Climate-related variations in mixing dynamics in an Alaskan arctic lake. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **54**, 2401–2417 (2009).
64. Zhan, S., Song, C., Wang, J., Sheng, Y. & Quan, J. A global assessment of terrestrial evapotranspiration increase due to surface water area change. *Earth's Future* **7**, 266–282 (2019).
65. Salhotra, A. M. Effect of salinity and ionic composition on evaporation: analysis of Dead Sea evaporation pans. *Water Resour. Res.* **21**, 1336–1344 (1985).
66. Fujisaki-Manome, A. et al. Turbulent heat fluxes during an extreme lake-effect snow event. *J. Hydrometeorol.* **18**, 3145–3163 (2017).
67. Friedrich, K. et al. Reservoir evaporation in the Western United States: current science, challenges, and future needs. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* **99**, 167–187 (2018).
68. Trenberth, K. E., Fasullo, J. T. & Kiehl, J. T. Earth's global energy budget. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* **90**, 311–323 (2009).
69. Wild, M. et al. The global energy balance from a surface perspective. *Clim. Dyn.* **40**, 3107–3134 (2013).
70. Verburg, P. & Antenucci, J. P. Persistent unstable atmospheric boundary layer enhances sensible and latent heat loss in a tropical great lake: Lake Tanganyika. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **115**, D11109 (2010).
71. Woolway, R. I. et al. Geographic and temporal variations in turbulent heat loss from lakes: A global analysis across 45 lakes. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **63**, 2436–2449 (2018).
72. Blanken, P. D., Spence, C., Hedstrom, N. & Lenters, J. D. Evaporation from Lake Superior: 1. Physical controls and processes. *J. Great Lakes Res.* **37**, 707–716 (2011).
73. McVicar, T. R. et al. Global review and synthesis of trends in observed terrestrial near-surface wind speeds: Implications for evaporation. *J. Hydrol.* **416–417**, 182–205 (2012).
74. Watras, C. J., Morrison, K. A. & Rubsam, J. L. Effect of DOC on evaporation from small Wisconsin lakes. *J. Hydrol.* **540**, 162–175 (2016).
75. Wild, M. Global dimming and brightening: A review. *J. Geophys. Res.* **114**, D00D16 (2009).
76. Roderick, M. L. & Farquhar, G. D. The cause of decreased pan evaporation over the past 50 years. *Science* **298**, 1410–1411 (2002).

77. Zeng, Z. et al. A reversal in global terrestrial stilling and its implications for wind energy production. *Nat. Clim. Change* **9**, 979–985 (2019).
78. Desai, A. R., Austin, J. A., Bennington, V. & McKinley, G. A. Stronger winds over a large lake in response to weakening air-to-lake temperature gradient. *Nat. Geosci.* **2**, 855–858 (2009).
79. Roderick, M. L., Sun, F., Lim, W. H. & Farquhar, G. D. A general framework for understanding the response of the water cycle to global warming over land and ocean. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* **18**, 1575–1589 (2014).
80. Sherwood, S. & Fu, Q. A drier future? *Science* **343**, 737–739 (2014).
81. Liu, H., Blanckenhorn, P. D., Weidinger, T., Nordbo, A. & Vesala, T. Variability in cold front activities modulating cool-season evaporation from a southern inland water in the USA. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **6**, 024022 (2011).
82. Watras, C. J. et al. Decadal oscillation of lakes and aquifers in the upper Great Lakes region of North America: Hydroclimatic implications. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **41**, 456–462 (2014).
83. Held, I. M. & Soden, B. J. Robust responses of the hydrological cycle to global warming. *J. Clim.* **19**, 5686–5699 (2006).
84. Trenberth, K. E. Changes in precipitation with climate change. *Clim. Res.* **47**, 123–138 (2011).
85. Greve, P. et al. Global assessment of trends in wetting and drying over land. *Nat. Geosci.* **7**, 716–721 (2014).
86. Donat, M. G., Lowry, A. L., Alexander, L. V., O'Gorman, P. A. & Maher, N. More extreme precipitation in the world's dry and wet regions. *Nat. Clim. Change* **6**, 508–513 (2016).
87. Liu, C. & Allen, R. P. Observed and simulated precipitation responses in wet and dry regions 1850–2100. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **8**, 034002 (2013).
88. Byrne, M. P. & O'Gorman, P. A. The response of precipitation minus evapotranspiration to climate warming: Why the "Wet-Get-Wetter, Dry-Get-Drier" scaling does not hold over land. *J. Clim.* **28**, 8078–8092 (2015).
89. Chadwick, R., Good, P., Martin, G. & Rowell, D. P. Large rainfall changes consistently projected over substantial areas of tropical land. *Nat. Clim. Change* **6**, 177–181 (2016).
90. Greve, P. & Seneviratne, S. I. Assessment of future changes in water availability and aridity. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **42**, 5493–5499 (2015).
91. Huang, J., Yu, H., Guan, X., Wang, G. & Guo, R. Accelerated dryland expansion under climate change. *Nat. Clim. Change* **6**, 166–171 (2016).
92. Boehlert, B., Solomon, S. & Strzepek, K. M. Water under a changing and uncertain climate: lessons from climate model ensembles. *J. Clim.* **28**, 9561–9582 (2015).
93. Kumar, S., Allan, R. P., Zwiers, F., Lawrence, D. M. & Dirmeyer, P. A. Revisiting trends in wetness and dryness in the presence of internal climate variability and water limitations over land. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **42**, 10,867–10,875 (2015).
94. Markonis, Y., Papalexiou, S. M., Matinkova, M. & Hanel, M. Assessment of water cycle intensification over land using a multisource global gridded precipitation dataset. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **124**, 11175–11187 (2019).
95. Brutsaert, W. & Parlange, M. B. Hydrologic cycle explains the evaporation paradox. *Nature* **396**, 30 (1998).
96. Westra, S., Alexander, L. V. & Zwiers, F. W. Global increasing trends in annual maximum daily precipitation. *J. Clim.* **26**, 3904–3918 (2013).
97. Gronewold, A. D. & Rood, R. B. Recent water level changes across Earth's largest lake system and implications for future variability. *J. Great Lakes Res.* **45**, 1–3 (2019).
98. Notaro, M., Bennington, V. & Lofgren, B. Dynamical downscaling-based projections of Great Lakes water levels. *J. Clim.* **28**, 9721–9745 (2015).
99. Busker, T. et al. A global lake and reservoir volume analysis using a surface water dataset and satellite altimetry. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* **23**, 669–690 (2019).
100. Hegerl, G. C. et al. Challenges in quantifying changes in the global water cycle. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* **96**, 1097–1115 (2015).
101. Zhang, G. et al. Extensive and drastically different alpine lake changes on Asia's high plateaus during the past four decades. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **44**, 252–260 (2017).
102. Zhang, G. et al. Lake volume and groundwater storage variations in Tibetan Plateau's endorheic basin. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **44**, 5550–5560 (2017).
103. Huang, L., Liu, J., Shao, Q. & Liu, R. Changing inland lakes responding to climate warming in Northeastern Tibetan Plateau. *Clim. Change* **109**, 479–502 (2011).
104. Ma, R. et al. A half-century of changes in China's lakes: Global warming or human influence? *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **37**, L24106 (2010).
105. Wang, J. et al. Recent global decline in endorheic basin water storages. *Nat. Geosci.* **11**, 926–932 (2018). **Used satellite observations and hydrological modelling to demonstrate that the global endorheic system experienced a widespread water loss during the start of the twenty-first century.**
106. Smith, L. C., Sheng, Y., MacDonald, G. M. & Hinman, L. D. Disappearing arctic lakes. *Science* **308**, 1429 (2005).
107. van Huissteden, J. et al. Methane emissions from permafrost thaw lakes limited by lake drainage. *Nat. Clim. Change* **1**, 119–123 (2011).
108. Micklin, P. The past, present, and future Aral Sea. *Lakes Reserv. Res. Manag.* **15**, 193–213 (2010).
109. Small, E. E., Giorgi, F., Sloan, L. C. & Hostetler, S. The effects of desiccation and climatic change on the hydrology of the Aral Sea. *J. Clim.* **14**, 300–322 (2001).
110. Satgé, F. et al. Role of climate variability and human activity on Poopó Lake droughts between 1990 and 2015 assessed using remote sensing data. *Remote Sens.* **9**, 218 (2017).
111. Lei, Y. et al. Extreme lake level changes on the Tibetan Plateau associated with the 2015/2016 El Niño. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **46**, 5889–5898 (2019).
112. Wang, S. Y., Gillies, R. R., Jin, J. & Hippis, L. E. Coherence between the Great Salt Lake level and the Pacific quasi-decadal oscillation. *J. Clim.* **23**, 2161–2177 (2010).
113. Benson, L. V. et al. Correlation of late-Pleistocene lake-level oscillations in Mono Lake, California, with North Atlantic climate events. *Quat. Res.* **49**, 1–10 (1998).
114. Marchant, R., Mumbi, C., Behera, S. & Yamagata, T. The Indian Ocean dipole - the unsung driver of climatic variability in East Africa. *Afr. J. Ecol.* **45**, 4–16 (2007).
115. Awange, J. L. et al. Falling Lake Victoria water levels: Is climate a contributing factor? *Clim. Change* **89**, 281–297 (2008).
116. Muller, M. Cape Town's drought: don't blame climate change. *Nature* **559**, 174–176 (2018).
117. Angel, J. R. & Kunkel, K. F. The response of Great Lakes water levels to future climate scenarios with an emphasis on Lake Michigan-Huron. *J. Great Lakes Res.* **36**, 51–58 (2010).
118. Malsy, M., Aus der Beek, T., Eisner, S. & Flörke, M. Climate change impacts on Central Asian water resources. *Adv. Geosci.* **32**, 77–83 (2012).
119. Mackay, M. & Seglenieks, F. On the simulation of Laurentian Great Lakes water levels under projections of global climate change. *Clim. Change* **117**, 55–67 (2013).
120. Lewis, W. M. Jr A revised classification of lakes based on mixing. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **40**, 1779–1787 (1983).
121. Kirillin, G. Modeling the impact of global warming on water temperature and seasonal mixing regimes in small temperate lakes. *Boreal. Environ. Res.* **15**, 279–293 (2010).
122. Shatwell, T., Thiery, W. & Kirillin, G. Future projections of temperature and mixing regime of European temperate lakes. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* **23**, 1533–1551 (2019).
123. Ficker, H., Luger, M. & Gassner, H. From dimictic to monomictic: empirical evidence of thermal regime transitions in three deep alpine lakes in Austria induced by climate change. *Freshw. Biol.* **62**, 1335–1345 (2017).
124. Mueller, D. R., Van Hove, P., Antoniades, D., Jeffries, M. O. & Vincent, W. F. High Arctic lakes as sentinel ecosystems: Cascading regime shifts in climate, ice cover, and mixing. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **54**, 2371–2385 (2009).
125. Woolway, R. I. et al. Substantial increase in minimum lake surface temperatures under climate change. *Clim. Change* **155**, 81–94 (2019).
126. Yankova, Y., Neuenschwander, S., Köster, O. & Posch, T. Abrupt stop of deep water turnover with lake warming: Drastic consequences for algal primary producers. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 13770 (2017).
127. Weyhenmeyer, G. A., Westöö, A.-K. & Willén, E. in *European Large Lakes Ecosystem Changes and Their Ecological and Socioeconomic Impacts. Developments in Hydrobiology Vol. 199* (eds Nöges, T. et al) 111–118 (Springer, 2007).
128. Woolway, R. I., Meinson, P., Nöges, P., Jones, I. D. & Laas, A. Atmospheric stilling leads to prolonged thermal stratification in a large shallow polymictic lake. *Clim. Change* **141**, 759–773 (2017).
129. Shatwell, T., Adrian, R. & Kirillin, G. Planktonic events may cause polymictic-dimictic regime shifts in temperate lakes. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 24361 (2016).
130. Williamson, C. E. et al. Lakes as sensors in the landscape: optical metrics as scalable sentinel responses to climate change. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **59**, 840–850 (2014).
131. de Wit, H. A. et al. Current browning of surface waters will be further promoted by wetter climate. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* **3**, 430–435 (2016).
132. Meyer-Jacob, C. et al. The browning and re-browning of lakes: divergent lake-water organic carbon trends linked to acid deposition and climate change. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 16676 (2019).
133. Rogozin, D. Y. et al. Disturbance of meromixis in saline Lake Shira (Siberia, Russia): Possible reasons and ecosystem response. *Limnologica* **66**, 12–23 (2017).
134. Ho, J. C., Michalak, A. M. & Paheyan, N. Widespread global increase in intense lake phytoplankton blooms since the 1980s. *Nature* **574**, 667–670 (2019). **Used three decades of high-resolution satellite imagery to investigate long-term trends in intense near-surface phytoplankton blooms for 71 large lakes globally.**
135. da Silva, C. F. M., Torgan, L. C. & Schneck, F. Temperature and surface runoff affect the community of periphytic diatoms and have distinct effects on functional groups: evidence of a mesocosms experiment. *Hydrobiologia* **839**, 37–50 (2019).
136. Urrutia-Cordero, P. et al. Phytoplankton diversity loss along a gradient of future warming and brownification in freshwater mesocosms. *Freshw. Biol.* **62**, 1869–1878 (2017).
137. Fey, S. B., Mertens, A. N., Beversdorff, L. J., McMahon, K. D. & Cottingham, K. L. Recognizing cross-ecosystem responses to changing temperatures: soil warming impacts pelagic food webs. *Oikos* **124**, 1473–1481 (2015).
138. Huisman, J. et al. Cyanobacterial blooms. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **16**, 471–483 (2018).
139. Urrutia-Cordero, P., Ekval, M. K. & Hansson, L. A. Local food web management increases resilience and buffers against global change effects on freshwaters. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 29542 (2016).
140. Gallina, N., Beniston, M. & Jacquet, S. Estimating future cyanobacterial occurrence and importance in lakes: a case study with *Planktothrix rubescens* in Lake Geneva. *Aquat. Sci.* **79**, 249–263 (2017).
141. Favot, E. J. et al. Climate variability promotes unprecedented cyanobacterial blooms in a remote, oligotrophic Ontario lake: evidence from paleolimnology. *J. Paleolimnol.* **62**, 31–52 (2019).
142. Shi, K. et al. Phenology of phytoplankton blooms in a trophic lake observed from long-term MODIS data. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **53**, 2324–2331 (2019).
143. Maeda, E. E. et al. Temporal patterns of phytoplankton phenology across high latitude lakes unveiled by long-term time series of satellite data. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **221**, 609–620 (2019).
144. Jeppesen, E. et al. Ecological impacts of global warming and water abstraction on lakes and reservoirs due to changes in water level and related changes in salinity. *Hydrobiologia* **750**, 201–227 (2015).
145. O'Reilly, C. M., Alin, S. R., Plisnier, P.-D., Cohen, A. S. & McKee, B. A. Climate change decreases aquatic ecosystem productivity of Lake Tanganyika, Africa. *Nature* **424**, 766–768 (2003).
146. Verburg, P., Hecky, R. E. & Kling, H. Ecological consequences of a century of warming in Lake Tanganyika. *Science* **301**, 505–507 (2003).
147. Galloway, A. W. E. & Winder, M. Partitioning the relative importance of phylogeny and environmental conditions on phytoplankton fatty acids. *PLoS One* **10**, e0150053 (2015).
148. Verbeek, L., Gall, A., Hillebrand, H. & Striebel, M. Warming and oligotrophication cause shifts in freshwater phytoplankton communities. *Glob. Change Biol.* **24**, 4532–4543 (2018).
149. Hesselschwerdt, J. & Wantzen, K. M. Global warming may lower thermal barriers against invasive species in freshwater ecosystems - A study from Lake Constance. *Sci. Total Environ.* **645**, 44–50 (2018).
150. Obryk, M. K. et al. Responses of Antarctic marine and freshwater ecosystems to changing ice conditions. *Bioscience* **66**, 864–879 (2016).
151. Saros, J. E. et al. Arctic climate shifts drive rapid ecosystem responses across the West Greenland landscape. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **14**, 074027 (2019).

152. Hampton, S. E. et al. Ecology under lake ice. *Ecol. Lett.* **20**, 98–111 (2017).
153. Pastick, N. J. et al. Spatiotemporal remote sensing of ecosystem change and causation across Alaska. *Glob. Change Biol.* **25**, 1171–1189 (2019).
154. Brothers, S. et al. A feedback loop links brownification and anoxia in a temperate, shallow lake. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **59**, 1388–1398 (2014).
155. Mormul, R. P., Ahlgren, J., Ekval, M. K., Hansson, L.-A. & Brönmark, C. Water brownification may increase the invisibility of a submerged non-native macrophyte. *Biol. Invasions* **14**, 2091–2099 (2012).
156. Williamson, C. E. et al. Climate change-induced increases in precipitation are reducing the potential for solar ultraviolet radiation to inactivate pathogens in surface waters. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 13033 (2017).
157. Williamson, C. E. et al. Ecological consequences of long-term browning in lakes. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 18666 (2015).
158. Hayden, B. et al. From clear lakes to murky waters – tracing the functional response of high-latitude lake communities to concurrent ‘greening’ and ‘browning’. *Ecol. Lett.* **22**, 807–816 (2019).
159. Finstad, A. G. et al. From greening to browning: Catchment vegetation development and reduced S-deposition promote organic carbon load on decadal time scales in Nordic lakes. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 31944 (2016).
160. Jimenez, L., Ruhland, K. M., Jeziorski, A., Smol, J. P. & Perez-Martinez, C. Climate change and Saharan dust drive recent cladoceran and primary production changes in remote alpine lakes of Sierra Nevada, Spain. *Glob. Change Biol.* **24**, e139–e158 (2018).
161. Symons, C. C., Schulhof, M. A., Cavalheri, H. B. & Shurin, J. B. Antagonistic effects of temperature and dissolved organic carbon on fish growth in California mountain lakes. *Oecologia* **189**, 231–241 (2019).
162. Coleman, K. A. et al. Assessing long-term changes in aquatic ecosystems near a small conventional oil and gas operation in the Cameron Hills, southern Northwest Territories, Canada. *Fund. Appl. Limnol.* **192**, 181–197 (2019).
163. Lévesque, D., Pinel-Aloul, B., Méthot, G. & Steedman, R. Effects of climate, limnological features and watershed clearcut logging on long-term variation in zooplankton communities of Boreal Shield Lakes. *Water* **9**, 733 (2017).
164. Gutowsky, L. F. G. et al. Quantifying multiple pressure interactions affecting populations of a recreationally and commercially important freshwater fish. *Glob. Change Biol.* **25**, 1049–1062 (2019).
165. Biswas, S. R., Vogt, R. J. & Sharma, S. Projected compositional shifts and loss of ecosystem services in freshwater fish communities under climate change scenarios. *Hydrobiologia* **799**, 135–149 (2017).
166. Smith, S. D. P. et al. Evidence for interactions among environmental stressors in the Laurentian Great Lakes. *Ecol. Indic.* **101**, 203–211 (2019).
167. Brooks, B. W. et al. Are harmful algal blooms becoming the greatest inland water quality threat to public health and aquatic ecosystems? *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **35**, 6–13 (2016).
168. Cambroner, M. C. et al. Predictability of the impact of multiple stressors on the keystone species *Daphnia*. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 17572 (2018).
169. Greaver, T. L. et al. Key ecological responses to nitrogen are altered by climate change. *Nat. Clim. Change* **6**, 836–843 (2016).
170. Collingsworth, P. D. et al. Climate change as a long-term stressor for the fisheries of the Laurentian Great Lakes of North America. *Rev. Fish Biol. Fish.* **27**, 363–391 (2017).
171. Kilic, L. et al. Expected performances of the Copernicus Imaging Microwave Radiometer (CIMR) for an all-weather and high spatial resolution estimation of ocean and sea ice parameters. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* **123**, 7564–7580 (2018).
172. Cretaux, J.-F. et al. Lake volume monitoring from space. *Surv. Geophys.* **37**, 269–305 (2016).
173. Piwowar, H. A. & Vision, T. J. Data reuse and the open data citation advantage. *PeerJ* **1**, e175 (2013).
174. Bruce, L. C. et al. A multi-lake comparative analysis of the General Lake Model (GLM): Stress-testing across a global observatory network. *Environ. Model. Softw.* **102**, 274–291 (2018).
175. Zwart, J. A. et al. Improving estimates and forecasts of lake carbon dynamics using data assimilation. *Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods* **17**, 97–111 (2019).
176. Read, J. S. et al. Process-guided deep learning predictions of lake water temperature. *Water Resour. Res.* **55**, 9173–9190 (2019).
177. Carrea, L. & Merchant, C. J. GloboLakes: lake surface water temperature (LSWT) v4.0 (1995–2016). CEDA Archive <https://doi.org/10.5285/76a29c5b55204b66a40308fc2ba9cdb3> (2019).

Acknowledgements

R.I.W. received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement no. 791812. B.M.K. received support from the Belmont Forum, BiodivERsA and the German Research Foundation through the LimnoScenES project (AD 91/22-1). S.S. thanks John Magnuson, Gesa Weyhenmeyer, Johanna Korhonen, Yasuyuki Aono, Lars Rudstam, Nikolay Granin and Kevin Blagrave for their assistance updating the lake ice phenology records. J.D.L.

thanks Martin Dokulil, Katrin Teubner, Pius Niederhauser and David Livingstone for their assistance updating the LSWT records. J.D.L. was supported, in part, by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources grant no. I02E01485 (New Innovations in Lake Monitoring). This work benefited from participation in GLEON (Global Lake Ecological Observatory Network). The Cumbrian Lakes monitoring scheme, which provided lake temperature data from Windermere, is currently supported by the Natural Environment Research Council award number NE/R016429/1 as part of the UK-SCaPE programme delivering National Capability.

Author contributions

R.I.W. initiated and led the project. This Review is the result of a collective effort from all authors, with leadership on different sections as follows: S.S. led lake ice; R.I.W. led lake temperatures and mixing regimes; J.D.L. led evaporation and wetting-drying; B.M.K. led lake level and extent; C.M.O. led ecosystem impacts; and C.J.M. led the remote sensing summary. R.I.W., S.S., J.D.L. and B.M.K. compiled data. R.I.W., S.S., J.D.L. and B.M.K. led the design of visualizations. All authors contributed to the introduction and future directions, and participated in discussions, revisions and the final production of this manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors do not have any competing financial or non-financial interests to declare.

Peer review information

Nature Reviews Earth & Environment thanks Bertram Boehrer, Craig Williamson and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Publisher’s note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

RELATED LINKS

European Space Agency Climate Change Initiative for Lakes: <http://cci.esa.int/lakes>

Global Lake Ecological Observatory Network: <https://gleon.org/>

Hydroweb: <http://hydroweb.theia-land.fr/>

USDA G-REALM project: https://ipad.fas.usda.gov/cropexplorer/global_reservoir/

World Meteorological Organization Global Climate Observing System Essential Climate Variables: <https://public.wmo.int/en/programmes/global-climate-observing-system/essential-climate-variables>