

Q-1! Rules of Inferences are used to derive conclusion from the given premises. This can help to check the validity of the argument also.

List of Rules of Inference

① Modus Ponens :-
$$\begin{array}{l} p \\ p \rightarrow q \\ \hline \therefore q. \end{array}$$

e.g. it is raining. If it rains then I will have tea. therefore, I will have tea.

② Modus Tollens :-
$$\begin{array}{l} \neg q \\ p \rightarrow q \\ \hline \therefore \neg p \end{array}$$

③ Hypothetical Syllogism :-
$$\begin{array}{l} p \rightarrow q \\ q \rightarrow r \\ \hline \therefore p \rightarrow r. \end{array}$$

④ Disjunctive Syllogism :-
$$\begin{array}{l} p \vee q \\ \neg p \\ \hline \therefore q. \end{array}$$

⑤ Addition.
$$\begin{array}{l} p \\ \hline \therefore p \vee q. \end{array}$$

⑥ Simplification :-
$$\begin{array}{l} p \wedge q \\ \hline \therefore p. \end{array}$$

⑦ Conjunction :-
$$\begin{array}{l} p \\ q \\ \hline p \wedge q. \end{array}$$

⑧ Resolution :-
$$\begin{array}{l} p \vee q \\ p \vee r \\ \hline \therefore q \vee r. \end{array}$$

the english example should be given for

each rule like in rule-1

Q.21 Sum of first n positive integer $= \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$

Since $n \geq 1$

for $n=1$

$$\text{Sum} = \frac{1(1+1)}{2} = 1.$$

for $n=k$.

assume

$$\text{Sum} = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}.$$

for $n=k+1$

$$\text{Sum} = \underbrace{1+2+3+4+\dots+k}_{k(k+1)/2} + (k+1)$$

$$= \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + (k+1)$$

$$= \frac{k(k+1) + 2(k+1)}{2} = \frac{(k+1)((k+1)+1)}{2}.$$

Q.21

for $n \geq 0$

Set - B

$$\sum_{p=0}^n x^p = \frac{x^{n+1} - 1}{x - 1}$$

\$(x \neq 1)\$

for $n = 0$

~~L.H.S.~~ L.H.S. $\sum_{p=0}^0 x^p = x^0 = 1$

R.H.S. $\cdot \frac{x^1 - 1}{x - 1} = 1$

L.H.S. = R.H.S.

for $n = k$

assume.

$$\sum_{p=0}^k x^p = \frac{x^{k+1} - 1}{x - 1}$$

for $n = (k+1)$

L.H.S.

$$\sum_{p=0}^{k+1} x^p = \sum_{p=0}^k x^p + x^{(k+1)}$$

$$= \frac{x^{k+1} - 1}{x - 1} + x^{(k+1)}$$

$$= \frac{x^{(k+1)} - 1 + x^{(k+1)}(x - 1)}{x - 1}$$

$$= \frac{\cancel{x^{k+1}} - 1 + x^{k+2} - \cancel{x^{k+1}}}{x - 1} = \frac{x^{(k+1)+1} - 1}{x - 1}$$

Q-3: $F(x, y) : x$ can fool y .

(i)

(a) $\forall y F(I, y)$

(b) $\forall x F(x, x)$

(c) $\exists x \forall y F(x, y)$

(d) $\exists y \exists z \{ F(\text{Ralph}, y) \wedge F(\text{Ralph}, z) \}_{\substack{(y \neq z) \\ \wedge}}$

(ii)

(a) Negation

$$\equiv \exists y \neg F(I, y)$$

\equiv I can not fool someone.

(b) $\exists x \neg F(x, x)$

\equiv Someone can not fool himself.

(c) $\forall x \exists y \neg F(x, y)$

\equiv Everyone can not fool somebody.

(d) $\forall y \forall z \neg \{ F(\text{Ralph}, y) \wedge F(\text{Ralph}, z) \}_{\substack{(y \neq z) \\ \wedge}}$
 OR
 $\equiv \forall y \forall z \{ \neg F(\text{Ralph}, y) \vee \neg F(\text{Ralph}, z) \}_{\substack{(y \neq z) \\ \wedge}}$

\Rightarrow It's not true that Ralph can fool any two different people.

Q-3! $F(x, y) : x \text{ can fool } y.$

(1)

(a) $\forall y \sim F(\text{George}, y)$

(b) $\forall x \sim F(x, x)$

(c) ~~$\forall x \exists y \sim F(x, y)$~~ $\exists y \forall x F(x, y)$

(d) $\exists y \exists z \{ F(\text{Ralph}, y) \wedge F(\text{Ralph}, z) \wedge (y \neq z) \}$

Negation

(a) $\exists y F(\text{George}, y)$

 \Rightarrow George can fool somebody.

(b) $\exists x F(x, x)$

 \Rightarrow Someone can fool himself.

(c) $\forall y \exists x \sim F(x, y)$

 \Rightarrow There is someone who can't fool everyone

(d) $\forall y \forall z \sim \{ F(\text{Ralph}, y) \wedge F(\text{Ralph}, z) \wedge (y \neq z) \}$

 \Rightarrow Ralph can't fool two different set of people.

OR

 \Rightarrow It's not true that Ralph can fool any two different people

Q.4 -

Set-B

L.H.S.

$$\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q))$$

$$\Rightarrow \neg((p \vee \neg p) \wedge (p \wedge q))$$

Associative law

$$\Rightarrow \neg(\top \wedge (p \wedge q))$$

Negation Law.

$$\Rightarrow \neg(p \wedge q)$$

~~De Morgan's~~ Identity Law.

$$\Rightarrow \neg p \vee \neg q$$

De Morgan's law.

Set-A.

Q: 4, $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow [(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow q]$

$$\equiv (p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow [\neg(p \rightarrow q) \vee q] \quad (p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q)$$

$$\equiv (p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow [(p \wedge \neg q) \vee q] \quad //$$

$$\equiv (p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow [(p \vee q) \wedge (\neg q \vee q)] \quad \text{Negation Law}$$

$$\equiv (p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \vee q) \quad \begin{matrix} \downarrow \\ T \\ \text{Ident} \end{matrix}$$

$$\equiv \neg(p \rightarrow q) \vee (p \vee q) \quad (p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q)$$

$$\equiv (p \wedge \neg q) \vee (p \vee q) \quad (p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q \text{ and De Morgan's})$$

$$\equiv (p \vee (p \wedge \neg q)) \wedge ((p \vee q) \vee \neg q) \quad \text{Associative Law}$$

$$\equiv ((p \vee q) \wedge p) \quad \text{Identity Law}$$

To be $((p \vee q) \wedge p)$ to be true.

$(p \vee q)$ should be true & p should be true. but

but p can have either true or false value. Hence the

given expression is not a tautology.

Set - B

5 In addition to union (\cup), intersection (\cap), difference ($-$) and power set ($2A$), let us add the following two operations to

• Pairwise addition: $A \oplus B := \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ (This is also called the Minkowski addition of sets A and B.)

• Pairwise multiplication: $A \otimes B := \{a \times b : a \in A, b \in B\}$

For example,

if $A = \{1, 2\}$ and $B = \{10, 100\}$,

then

$$A \oplus B = \{11, 12, 101, 102\}$$

and

$$A \otimes B = \{10, 20, 100, 200\}.$$

Now answer the following questions:

(a) Briefly describe the following sets:

i. $N \oplus \emptyset$

ii. $N \oplus N$

iii. $N^+ \oplus N^+$

iv. $N^+ \otimes N^+$

where N is a set of all natural numbers that includes zero also, and N^+ is a set of all positive natural numbers.

(a) (I) $N = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots, +\infty\}$

$$\emptyset = \{ \}$$

$$N \oplus \emptyset = \{ \} \text{ or } \emptyset$$

(II) $N \oplus N = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, +\infty\} \oplus \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, +\infty\}$
 $= \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, +\infty\} = N$

(III) $N^+ = \{1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, +\infty\}$

$$N^+ \oplus N^+ = \{1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, +\infty\} \oplus \{1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, +\infty\}$$
$$= \{2, 3, 4, 5, \dots, +\infty\} = N^+ - \{1\}$$
$$= N - \{0, 1\}$$

(IV) $N^+ \otimes N^+ = \{1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, +\infty\} \otimes \{1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, +\infty\}$
 $= \{1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, +\infty\} = N^+$

(b) (10 points) If E is the set of all positive even numbers, what's the shortest way to write the set of all positive multiples of 4? (Use pairwise multiplication).

=

set of all positive even numbers = E

$$E = \{2k; k \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$$

$$\mathbb{N}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, +\infty\}$$

$$E = \{2, 4, 6, 8, 10, \dots, +\infty\}$$

set of all positive multiples of 4 = $E \otimes E$

$$= \{4, 8, 12, 16, \dots, +\infty\}$$

Set - A

5/

In addition to union (\cup), intersection (\cap), difference ($-$) and power set ($2A$), let us add the following two operations

• Pairwise addition: $A \oplus B := \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ (This is also called the Minkowski addition of sets A and B .)

• Pairwise multiplication: $A \otimes B := \{a \times b : a \in A, b \in B\}$

For example, if $A = \{1, 2\}$ and $B = \{10, 100\}$, then

$A \oplus B = \{11, 12, 101, 102\}$ and $A \otimes B = \{10, 20, 100, 200\}$.

Now answer the following questions:

(a) Briefly describe the following sets:

i). $I \oplus \emptyset$ ii). $I \oplus I$ iii). $I^+ \oplus I^+$ iv). $I^+ \otimes I^+$

where I is a set of all integer numbers and I^+ is a set of all positive integer numbers.

$$(a) (I) \quad I = \{-\infty, \dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots, +\infty\}$$

$$\emptyset = \{\}$$

$$I \oplus \emptyset = \{\} \text{ or } \emptyset$$

$$(II) \quad I \oplus I = \{-\infty, \dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots, +\infty\}$$

$$\oplus \{-\infty, \dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots, +\infty\}$$

$$= \{-\infty, \dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots, +\infty\} = I$$

$$(III) \quad I^+ = \{1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, +\infty\}$$

$$I^+ \oplus I^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, +\infty\} \oplus \{1, 2, 3, \dots, +\infty\}$$

$$= \{2, 3, 4, \dots, +\infty\}$$

$$= I^+ - \{1\}$$

$$(IV) \quad I^+ \otimes I^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, +\infty\} \otimes \{1, 2, 3, \dots, +\infty\}$$

$$= \{1, 2, 3, \dots, +\infty\}$$

$$= I^+$$

(b) (10 points) If E is the set of all positive even numbers, what's the shortest way to write the set of all positive multiples of 4? (Use pairwise multiplication).

=

$E = \text{set of all positive even numbers.}$

$$E = \{2k, k \in \mathbb{I}^+\}$$

$$\mathbb{I}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, 4, \dots + \infty\}$$

$$E = \{2, 4, 6, 8, \dots + \infty\}$$

set of all positive multiples of 4 = $E \otimes E$

$$= \{4, 8, 12, 16, \dots + \infty\}$$

6)

Let $\text{Triangle}(x)$, $\text{Circle}(x)$, and $\text{Square}(x)$ mean "x is a triangle," "x is a circle," and "x is a square";

let $\text{White}(x)$, $\text{Gray}(x)$, and $\text{Black}(x)$ mean "x is white," "x is gray," and "x is black";

let $\text{RightOf}(x, y)$, $\text{Above}(x, y)$, and $\text{SameColorAs}(x, y)$ mean

"x is to the right of y," "x is above y," and "x has the same color as y";

and use the notation $x = y$ to denote the predicate "x is equal to y".

Let the common domain D of all variables be the set of all the objects in the Tarski world.

Use formal, logical notation to write each of the following statements,

and write a formal negation for each statement.

a. For all circles x, x is above f.

b. There is a square x such that x is black.

c. For all circles x, there is a square y such that x and y have the same color.

d. There is a square x such that for all triangles y, x is to right of y.

a. *Statement:* $\forall x(\text{Circle}(x) \rightarrow \text{Above}(x, f))$.

Negation: $\sim(\forall x(\text{Circle}(x) \rightarrow \text{Above}(x, f)))$

$$\equiv \exists x \sim (\text{Circle}(x) \rightarrow \text{Above}(x, f))$$

by the law for negating a \forall statement

$$\equiv \exists x(\text{Circle}(x) \wedge \sim \text{Above}(x, f))$$

by the law of negating an if-then statement

b. *Statement:* $\exists x(\text{Square}(x) \wedge \text{Black}(x))$.

Negation: $\sim(\exists x(\text{Square}(x) \wedge \text{Black}(x)))$

$$\equiv \forall x \sim (\text{Square}(x) \wedge \text{Black}(x))$$

by the law for negating a \exists statement

$$\equiv \forall x(\sim \text{Square}(x) \vee \sim \text{Black}(x))$$

by De Morgan's law

c. *Statement:* $\forall x(\text{Circle}(x) \rightarrow \exists y(\text{Square}(y) \wedge \text{SameColor}(x, y)))$.

Negation: $\sim(\forall x(\text{Circle}(x) \rightarrow \exists y(\text{Square}(y) \wedge \text{SameColor}(x, y))))$

$$\equiv \exists x \sim (\text{Circle}(x) \rightarrow \exists y(\text{Square}(y) \wedge \text{SameColor}(x, y)))$$

by the law for negating a \forall statement

$$\equiv \exists x(\text{Circle}(x) \wedge \sim(\exists y(\text{Square}(y) \wedge \text{SameColor}(x, y))))$$

by the law for negating an if-then statement

$$\equiv \exists x(\text{Circle}(x) \wedge \forall y(\sim(\text{Square}(y) \wedge \text{SameColor}(x, y))))$$

by the law for negating a \exists statement

$$\equiv \exists x(\text{Circle}(x) \wedge \forall y(\sim\text{Square}(y) \vee \sim\text{SameColor}(x, y)))$$

by De Morgan's law

d. *Statement:* $\exists x(\text{Square}(x) \wedge \forall y(\text{Triangle}(y) \rightarrow \text{RightOf}(x, y)))$.

Negation: $\sim(\exists x(\text{Square}(x) \wedge \forall y(\text{Triangle}(y) \rightarrow \text{RightOf}(x, y))))$

$$\equiv \forall x \sim (\text{Square}(x) \wedge \forall y(\text{Triangle}(y) \rightarrow \text{RightOf}(x, y)))$$

by the law for negating a \exists statement

$$\equiv \forall x(\sim\text{Square}(x) \vee \sim(\forall y(\text{Triangle}(y) \rightarrow \text{RightOf}(x, y))))$$

by De Morgan's law

$$\equiv \forall x(\sim\text{Square}(x) \vee \exists y(\sim(\text{Triangle}(y) \rightarrow \text{RightOf}(x, y))))$$

by the law for negating a \forall statement

$$\equiv \forall x(\sim\text{Square}(x) \vee \exists y(\text{Triangle}(y) \wedge \sim\text{RightOf}(x, y)))$$

by the law for negating an if-then statement