Lecture – 29

-stationary charges experience no magnetic forces.

What sort of field exerts a force on charges at rest? electric fields

A changing magnetic field induces an electric field.

$$\mathcal{E} = \oint \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = -\frac{d\Phi}{dt}$$
, universal flux rule:

$$\oint \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = -\int \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \cdot d\mathbf{a}.$$
 Faraday's law, in integral form

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}.$$

Electrodynamics before Maxwell

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0} \rho & \text{(Gauss's law)} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 & \text{(no name)} \end{cases}$$
$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} & \text{(Faraday's law)}$$
$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} & \text{(Ampere's law)} \end{cases}$$

A fatal inconsistency in Ampere's law

$$\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) = \mu_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

Ampere's law is incorrect for the nonsteady current.

The Electric and Magnetic Fields

Two distinct kinds of electric fields:

 $\begin{cases} \textit{E} \text{ (in static case): attributed to electric charges, using } \\ \textit{Coulomb's law.} \\ \textit{E} \text{ (in nonsteady case): associated with changing } \\ \textit{magnetic field, using Faraday's law.} \end{cases}$

Two distinct kinds of magnetic fields:

B (in static case): attributed to electric currents, using Ampere's law.

B (in nonsteady case): associated with changing electric field, using?

How Maxwell Fixed Ampere's Law

Applying the continuity equation and Gauss's law, the offending term can be rewritten:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = -\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial (\varepsilon_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E})}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (-\varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t})$$

A new current $\mathbf{J}' = \mathbf{J} + \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}$ \leftarrow kills off the extra divergence

$$\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) = \mu_0 (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}') = \mu_0 \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{J} + \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}) = 0$$

When E is constant (electrostatic+magnetostatic), we will have $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J}$.

 $\varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}$ plays a crucial role in the EM wave propagation.

Electric Analogy of Faraday's Law

Maxwell's term cures the defect in Ampere's law, and moreover, it has a certain aesthetic appeal.

Faraday's law 💊

A changing magnetic field induces a electric field.

A changing electric field induces a magnetic field.

Maxwell called this extra term "the displacement current".

$$\mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{d}} \equiv \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{0} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}$$

a misleading name, nothing to do with current

Maxwell's Equations

Maxwell's equations in the traditional way.

$$\begin{cases}
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0} \rho & \text{(Gauss's law)} \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 & \text{(no name)} \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} & \text{(Faraday's law)} \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} + \mu_0 \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} & \text{(Ampere's law with} \\
\text{Maxwell's correction)}
\end{cases}$$

Lorentz force law

$$\mathbf{F} = q(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B})$$

Continuity equation

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = -\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}$$

Another expression of the Maxwell's equations.

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0} \qquad \nabla \times \mathbf{E} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = 0$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \qquad \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - \mu_0 \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J}$$

The fields (${\bf E}$ and ${\bf B}$) on the left and the sources (ρ and ${\bf J}$) on the right.

Maxwell's equations tell you how sources produce fields; reciprocally, the Lorentz force law tells you how fields affect sources. ← A nonlinear feedback

Maxwell's Equations in Matter

When working with materials that are subject to electric and magnetic polarization, there is a more convenient way to write the Maxwell's equations.

Static case:

An electric polarization produces a bound charge: $\rho_b = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}$ A magnetic polarization results in a bound current: $\mathbf{J}_b = \nabla \times \mathbf{M}$

Nonstatic case:

Any change in the electric polarization involves a flow of bound charge.

$$\mathbf{J}_{p} = \frac{dI}{da_{\perp}} = \frac{d\sigma_{b}}{dt} \frac{da_{\perp}}{da_{\perp}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}}{\partial t} \quad \text{where } \sigma_{b} = \mathbf{P} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}$$
polarization current

Now
$$\rho = \rho_{\rm f} + \rho_{\rm b} = \rho_{\rm f} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}$$
$$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J}_{\rm f} + \mathbf{J}_{\rm b} + \mathbf{J}_{\rm p} = \mathbf{J}_{\rm f} + \nabla \times \mathbf{M} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}}{\partial t}$$

Gauss's law:
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0} (\rho_f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}) \implies \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon_0 \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P}) = \rho_f$$

Ampere's law:
$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 (\mathbf{J_f} + \nabla \times \mathbf{M} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}}{\partial t}) + \mu_0 \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}$$
$$\Rightarrow \nabla \times (\frac{1}{\mu_0} \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{M}) = \mathbf{J_f} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\varepsilon_0 \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P})$$

Maxwell's Equations in Matter

In terms of free charges and currents, Maxwell's equations read

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \rho_{\mathbf{f}} \qquad \nabla \times \mathbf{E} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = 0$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \qquad \nabla \times \mathbf{H} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{f}}$$

The constitutive relations:
$$\mathbf{P} = \varepsilon_0 \chi_e \mathbf{E}$$

 $\mathbf{M} = \mu_0 \chi_m \mathbf{H}$

So
$$\mathbf{D} = \varepsilon_0 \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P} = \varepsilon_0 (1 + \chi_e) \mathbf{E} = \varepsilon \mathbf{E}$$

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{M} \implies \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 (1 + \chi_m) \mathbf{H} = \mu \mathbf{H}$$

Differential form

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \rho_{\mathbf{f}}$$
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$$

$$\oint_{S} \mathbf{D} \cdot d\mathbf{a} = \rho_{f}$$
over any enclosed surface S.
$$\oint_{S} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{a} = 0$$

Differential form

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = 0$$
$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{f}}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = 0 \qquad \qquad \oint_{P} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{S} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{a} \qquad \text{for any surface } S \text{ bounded by the }$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{J}_{f} \qquad \qquad \oint_{P} \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \mathbf{J}_{f} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{S} \mathbf{D} \cdot d\mathbf{a} \qquad \text{closed loop } P.$$