

Distributed Information Systems: Spring Semester 2017 - Quiz 2

1. Given the 2-itemsets {1, 2}, {1, 3}, {2, 3}, {2, 5}, {3, 5}, when generating the 3-itemset we will:
☐ a. Have **4** 3-itemsets after the **join** and **4** 3-itemsets after the **prune**
☐ b. Have **4** 3-itemsets after the **join** and **2** 3-itemsets after the **prune**
☐ c. Have **3** 3-itemsets after the **join** and **3** 3-itemsets after the **prune**
☐ d. Have **2** 3-itemsets after the **join** and **2** 3-itemsets after the **prune**
2. Given the following transactions {milk, bread}, {eggs, bread}, {milk, eggs, bread}, {eggs}, {milk, eggs}, {milk}
☐ a. **bread \Rightarrow milk has support $\frac{1}{3}$ and confidence $\frac{2}{3}$**
☐ b. eggs \Rightarrow milk has support $\frac{1}{3}$ and confidence $\frac{2}{3}$
☐ c. milk \Rightarrow bread has support $\frac{1}{3}$ and confidence $\frac{2}{3}$
☐ d. milk \Rightarrow eggs has support $\frac{1}{3}$ and confidence $\frac{2}{3}$
3. If we have a graph with nodes {1, 2, 3, 4} and edges {1 \rightarrow 2, 1 \rightarrow 3, 1 \rightarrow 4, 2 \rightarrow 3} then the authority values, without normalization, are:
☐ a. **(0, $\frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{4}$)**
☐ b. ($\frac{3}{4}$, $\frac{1}{4}$, 0, 0)
☐ c. (0, $\frac{1}{3}$, $\frac{1}{3}$, $\frac{1}{3}$)
☐ d. ($\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, 0, 0)
4. If milk \Rightarrow {bread, eggs} has confidence c1 and milk \Rightarrow bread has confidence c2, then:
☐ a. **c1 \leq c2**
☐ b. c2 \leq c1
☐ c. c1 < c2 and c2 < c1 are possible
☐ d. c1 = c2
5. Given the following matrix for teleporting in a random walker model:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Which of the following is true (independent of how the link matrix is given):

- ☐ a. A random walker can always reach node 2
- ☐ b. A random walker can always reach any node
- ☐ c. **A random walker can always leave node 2**
- ☐ d. A random walker can never reach node 2

6. Which of the following statements concerning compression of adjacency lists for link indexing is wrong:

- ☐ a. Compression can exploit the fact that most links of a page point to the page itself
- ☐ b. Compression can exploit the fact that pages with similar URLs typically have also many outgoing links in common
- ☐ c. **Exploiting similarity among different adjacency lists will always decrease the cost of encoding of adjacency lists**
- ☐ d. Compression works well, even if we consider similarity of adjacency lists only for a fraction of neighbouring URLs in the lexicographically order

7. Given the graph $1 \rightarrow 2$, $1 \rightarrow 3$, $2 \rightarrow 3$, $3 \rightarrow 2$, the *PageRank* value of this graph is (without random jumps)

- ☐ a. (0, 1, 1)
- ☐ b. **(0, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$)**
- ☐ c. ($\frac{1}{5}$, $\frac{2}{5}$, $\frac{2}{5}$)
- ☐ d. (1, 0, 0)

8. When computing *PageRank* iteratively the computation ends when

- ☐ a. The norm of the rank vector exceeds a predefined threshold
- ☐ b. All nodes of the graph have been visited a predefined number of times
- ☐ c. **The norm of the difference of rank vectors of two subsequent iterations falls below a predefined threshold**
- ☐ d. The difference among the Eigenvalues of two subsequent iterations falls below a predefined threshold