

p -typical curves on p -adic Tate twists and de Rham–Witt forms

Sanath K. Devalapurkar and Shubhodip Mondal

ABSTRACT. We show that de Rham–Witt forms are naturally isomorphic to p -typical curves on p -adic Tate twists, which revisits a question of Artin–Mazur pursued in the earlier work of Bloch and Kato. We show this by more generally equipping a related result of Hesselholt on topological cyclic homology with the motivic filtrations introduced by Bhatt–Morrow–Scholze.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Animated de Rham–Witt theory and filtration on p -typical TR	4
3. Pro-system of truncated polynomial rings	10
4. Proof of the main result	16
References	18

1. Introduction

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$. For a smooth proper scheme X over k , Artin and Mazur [AM77] constructed certain formal groups $\Phi^r(X)$ associated to X , with the property that p -typical curves on $\Phi^r(X)$ recover the slope $[0, 1)$ part of crystalline cohomology $H_{\text{crys}}^r(X/W(k))_{\mathbf{Q}}$ (see [AM77, Cor. 3.3]). Roughly speaking, this relies on the fact that the Dieudonné module of p -typical curves on the formal group $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m$ is $W(k)$ with the usual F and V -operators. In [AM77, Qn. (b)], the authors raised the question of whether there is a way to recover the slope $[i, i + 1)$ part of $H_{\text{crys}}^r(X/W(k))_{\mathbf{Q}}$ via the formalism of p -typical curves on certain group valued functors.

This question was answered by Bloch [Blo77] under the hypothesis that $p > \dim X$ by studying p -typical curves on symbolic part of the higher algebraic K -groups, generalizing the role played by \mathbb{G}_m when $i = 0$. The possibility of removing some of these assumptions was expressed in [Kat80, p. 635, Rmk. 2].

The goal of our paper is to revisit the above question of Artin and Mazur. We show that instead of using the algebraic K -groups, one may simply use the p -adic Tate twists $\mathbf{Z}_p(n)[n]$ from [BMS19], which, in some sense, generalizes the role played by the p -adic completion of \mathbb{G}_m when $n = 1$. More precisely, we prove the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let S be a quasisyntomic \mathbf{F}_p -algebra. Then for every $n \geq 0$, we have a natural isomorphism*

$$\prod_{I_p} \mathbb{L}W\Omega_S^{n-1} \simeq \text{fib} \left(\varprojlim_k \mathbf{Z}_p(n)(S[t]/t^k)[n] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p(n)(S)[n] \right),$$

where I_p denotes the set of positive integers coprime to p .

In the above, $\mathbb{L}W\Omega_S^{n-1}$ denotes the animated de Rham–Witt forms as in Definition 2.12. By analogy with the classical work of Cartier [Car67], the right hand side may be regarded as curves on the functor $\mathbf{Z}_p(n)[n]$; which is further equipped with Frobenius and Verschiebung operators F_m, V_m for all $m \geq 0$ (see Construction 4.3). Let $\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{Z}_p(n)[n]_S) := \bigcap_{(m,p)=1, m \geq 1} \text{fib}(F_m)$ (see Construction 4.5), which may be regarded as p -typical curves on the functor $\mathbf{Z}_p(n)[n]$. Note that $\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{Z}_p(n)[n]_S)$ is naturally equipped with the operators $F := F_p$ and $V := V_p$. As a corollary of Theorem 1.1, one obtains

Corollary 1.2. *Let S be a quasisyntomic \mathbf{F}_p -algebra. Then for each $n \geq 0$, we have a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{L}W\Omega_S^{n-1} \simeq \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{Z}_p(n)[n]_S),$$

which is compatible with the F and V defined on both sides.

Remark 1.3. Let us explain Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 in the case $n = 1$. For a quasisyntomic \mathbf{F}_p -algebra S , one has $\mathbf{Z}_p(1)(S)[1] \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(S, \mathbb{G}_m)^{\wedge p}$. More or less by definition, it then follows that the right hand side of Theorem 1.1 is isomorphic to the ring of big Witt vectors of S ; which is isomorphic to $\prod_{I_p} W(S)$, where $W(S)$ denotes the ring of p -typical Witt vectors of S (see, e.g., [Blo77, Prop. 3.6]). Thus Corollary 1.2 in this case says that $W(S) \simeq \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{Z}_p(1)[1]_S)$.

Remark 1.4. Note that by the degeneration of the slope spectral sequence as proved in [Ill79], the slope $[i, i+1)$ part of $H_{\text{crys}}^r(X/W(k))_{\mathbf{Q}}$ identifies with $H^{r-i}(X, W\Omega_X^i)_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Thus, our formula recovers the slopes desired in [AM77, Qn. (b)]. Also, Corollary 1.2 gives a way to reconstruct the de Rham–Witt forms purely from the p -adic Tate twists $\mathbf{Z}_p(n)$.

Let us now mention the main ideas that go into the proof of Theorem 1.1, which, in particular, uses some of the recent techniques introduced in p -adic geometry by Bhatt–Morrow–Scholze. In [Hes96], Hesselholt proved that there is a natural isomorphism

$$\text{TR}(S)[1] \simeq \text{fib}(\varprojlim_k \text{TC}(S[t]/t^k) \rightarrow \text{TC}(S)), \quad (1.1)$$

where TR denotes topological restriction homology and TC denotes topological cyclic homology¹. Further, Hesselholt [Hes96, Thm. C] showed that if S is smooth, then $\pi_* \text{TR}(S, p) \simeq W\Omega_S^*$, where $\text{TR}(S, p)$ denotes p -typical part of $\text{TR}(S)$. In [BMS19], Bhatt–Morrow–Scholze constructed a “motivic” filtration $\text{Fil}^* \text{TC}(S)$ on $\text{TC}(S)$ where the graded pieces $\text{gr}^n \text{TC}(S)$ are given by $\mathbf{Z}_p(n)(S)[2n]$. Using techniques similar to [BMS19] and animating the theory of de Rham–Witt forms (Definition 2.12), we obtain the following:

¹Combine [Hes96, Thm. 3.1.9] and [Hes96, Thm. 3.1.10] to obtain this statement.

Proposition 1.5. *Let A be an \mathbf{F}_p -algebra. There is a descending exhaustive complete \mathbf{Z} -indexed filtration $\mathrm{Fil}^* \mathrm{TR}(A, p)$ on $\mathrm{TR}(A, p)$ such that $\mathrm{gr}^n \mathrm{TR}(A, p) \simeq \mathbb{L}W\Omega_A^n[n]$.*

Therefore, we pursue the more general question of obtaining a filtered version of (1.1). More precisely, we prove the following:

Theorem 1.6. *Let S be a quasisyntomic \mathbf{F}_p -algebra. Then we have a natural isomorphism*

$$(\mathrm{Fil}^{*-1} \mathrm{TR}(S))[1] \simeq \varprojlim_k \mathrm{fib}(\mathrm{Fil}^* \mathrm{TC}(S[t]/t^k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}^* \mathrm{TC}(S)).$$

Note that passing to graded pieces yields Theorem 1.1. In order to prove Theorem 1.6, we crucially use the technique of quasisyntomic descent introduced in [BMS19]. This allows one to reduce to the case when S is a quasiregular semiperfect algebra. In this case, the filtration $\mathrm{Fil}^* \mathrm{TC}(S)$ is understood concretely by the work of [BMS19]. In Corollary 2.31, by studying the animated de Rham Witt forms, we prove that if S is a quasiregular semiperfect algebra, then $\mathrm{Fil}^n \mathrm{TR}(S)$ is given by $\tau_{\geq 2n} \mathrm{TR}(S)$. Relatedly, we use the animated de Rham–Witt forms to give a different proof of the following result of Darrell and Rignebach [DR23, Thm. A].

Proposition 1.7. *Let S be a quasiregular semiperfect algebra. Then $\pi_* \mathrm{TR}(S)$ is concentrated in even degrees.*

Back to Theorem 1.6, one further needs to understand $\varprojlim_k \mathrm{Fil}^* \mathrm{TC}(S[t]/t^k)$, when S is quasiregular semiperfect. We show that this is given by the “odd filtration”:

$$\varprojlim_k \mathrm{Fil}^n \mathrm{TC}(S[t]/t^k) \simeq \tau_{\geq 2n-1} \varprojlim_k \mathrm{TC}(S[t]/t^k) \quad (1.2)$$

(see Proposition 3.16). In order to show this, we require certain estimates on $\varprojlim_k \mathbf{Z}_p(n)(S[t]/t^k)$. To this end, we show the following, which, along with Lemma 3.15, implies (1.2).

Proposition 1.8. *Let S be a quasiregular semiperfect ring. Then*

$$\varprojlim_k \mathbf{Z}_p(i)(S[t]/t^k) \in D_{[-1,0]}(\mathbf{Z}_p).$$

The arguments for proving the above proposition relies on studying properties of Nygaard filtration on derived crystalline cohomology as well as Hodge and conjugate filtration on derived de Rham cohomology. This result is the content of Section 3. In Section 4, we put together the knowledge of all these filtrations to prove Theorem 1.6.

Conventions: We will freely use the language of ∞ -categories as in [Lur09b], more specifically, the language of stable ∞ -categories [Lur17]. For an ordinary commutative ring R , we will let $D(R)$ denote the derived ∞ -category of R -modules, so that it is naturally equipped with a t -structure and $D_{\geq 0}(R)$ (resp. $D_{\leq 0}(R)$) denotes the connective (resp. coconnective) objects. For a map $W \rightarrow V$, V/W will mean the cofiber unless otherwise mentioned. If \mathcal{C} is a stable ∞ -category, its associated category of pro-objects $\mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ is also a stable ∞ -category². Let Poly_R denote the category of finitely generated polynomial algebras and Alg_R denote the category of ordinary commutative R -algebras. Then any functor $F : \mathrm{Poly}_R \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ can be left Kan extended to a functor $\underline{F} : \mathrm{Ani}(\mathrm{Alg}_R) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, where $\mathrm{Ani}(\mathrm{Alg}_R)$ denotes the ∞ -category of animated R -algebras. The functor \underline{F} , or $\underline{F}|_{\mathrm{Alg}_R}$ will be called the

²Combine [Lur09a, Rmk. 2.13] and [Lur09a, Thm. 4.5] to obtain this statement.

animation of F . If A is an algebra over a field of characteristic $p > 0$, we will write $A^{(p^n)}$ to denote its n -fold Frobenius twist. $W(A)$ will denote the ring of p -typical Witt vectors.

Acknowledgement. We are very thankful to Bhargav Bhatt and Peter Scholze for helpful conversations and suggestions. We would further like to thank Luc Illusie, Akhil Mathew, Alexander Petrov, Noah Riggenbach, Arpon Raksit and Lucy Yang for helpful discussions regarding the paper. We are especially grateful to Luc Illusie for many detailed comments and questions on a draft version of this paper. The first author is supported by the PD Soros Fellowship and NSF DGE-2140743. The second author acknowledges support from the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Bonn and the University of British Columbia.

2. Animated de Rham–Witt theory and filtration on p -typical TR

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$. In this section, we discuss the “motivic” filtration on $\mathrm{TR}(A, p)$ for a k -algebra A . In order to do so, we discuss an animated form of the theory of de Rham–Witt forms from [Ill79]. As demonstrated in [Ill79], the theory of Cartier operators (see [Ill79, § 2]) play an important role in analyzing the de Rham–Witt forms via devissage. To this end, we will begin by discussing an animated form of Cartier operators, which would play a similar role in analyzing the animated de Rham–Witt forms. We will focus on understanding the animated Cartier operators in the case of quasiregular semiperfect algebras, which determines the entire theory via quasisyntomic descent.

Construction 2.1 (Animated Cartier operators). Let $A \in \mathrm{Alg}_k$. For each $i \geq 0$, we define $Z_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i$ to be the animation of the functor $Z_n \Omega_{(\cdot)}^i : \mathrm{Poly}_k \rightarrow D(k)$ from [Ill79, § 2.2.2]. Similarly, we define $B_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i$ to be the animation of the functor $B_n \Omega_{(\cdot)}^i : \mathrm{Poly}_k \rightarrow D(k)$ from [Ill79, § 2.2.2]. There are canonical maps $B_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i \rightarrow Z_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i$ and $Z_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i \rightarrow \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i$. Animating the Cartier isomorphism (see [Ill79, § 2]), we obtain a fiber sequence

$$B_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i \rightarrow Z_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i \rightarrow \mathbb{L}\Omega_{A^{(p^n)}}^i. \quad (2.1)$$

By construction, for each $n \geq 0$, we have the following natural fiber sequences in $D(\mathbf{Z})$:

$$B_1 \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i \rightarrow Z_{n+1} \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i \xrightarrow{C} Z_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i \quad (2.2)$$

$$B_1 \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i \rightarrow B_{n+1} \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i \xrightarrow{C} B_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i. \quad (2.3)$$

Note that we set $B_0 \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i = 0$ and $Z_0 \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i = \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i$. By construction, it follows that for all $n \geq 0$, we have $B_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^0 \simeq 0$ and $Z_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^0 \simeq A^{(p^n)}$. Again, by construction, we have a fiber sequence

$$Z_1 \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i \rightarrow \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i \xrightarrow{d} B_1 \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^{i+1}. \quad (2.4)$$

Proposition 2.2. *The functors from $\mathrm{Alg}_k^{\mathrm{op}}$ to $D(\mathbf{Z})$ determined by $A \mapsto B_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i$ and $A \mapsto Z_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i$ are fpqc sheaves for all $n \geq 0$.*

PROOF. Note that the claim holds when $i = 0$. Let us suppose that for a fixed $i \geq 0$, we have shown that the functors $A \mapsto B_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i$ and $A \mapsto Z_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i$ are fpqc sheaves. Since the functor $A \mapsto \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^j$ satisfies fpqc descent for all j (see [BMS19, Thm. 3.1]), by (2.4), $A \mapsto B_1 \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^{i+1}$ is an fpqc sheaf. By (2.3), $A \mapsto B_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^{i+1}$ is an

fpqc sheaf for all n . By (2.1), $A \mapsto Z_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^{i+1}$ is an fpqc sheaf for all n . Therefore, by induction on i , we are done. \square

Remark 2.3. For $A \in \text{Alg}_k$, the objects $Z_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i$ and $B_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i$ can be naturally viewed as objects of $D(A^{(p^n)})$. The fiber sequence (2.1) lifts to a fiber sequence in $D(A^{(p^n)})$.

In order to further understand $Z_1 \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i$ via descent, it would be useful to relate it to the conjugate filtration $\text{Fil}_{\text{conj}}^* \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^*$ and the Hodge filtration $\text{Fil}_{\text{Hodge}}^* \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^*$ on derived de Rham cohomology. Recall that when A is a polynomial algebra, then $Z_1 \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i \simeq Z_1 \Omega_A^i := \text{Ker}(\Omega_A^i \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_A^{i+1})$. We begin by observing the following:

Proposition 2.4. *Let A be a polynomial algebra over k . Then*

$$Z_1 \Omega_A^i[-i] \simeq \text{Fil}_{\text{conj}}^i \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^* \times_{\mathbb{L}\Omega_A^*} \text{Fil}_{\text{Hodge}}^i \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^*.$$

PROOF. Since A is a polynomial algebra over k , we have $\text{Fil}_{\text{conj}}^i \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^* \simeq \tau_{\geq -i} \Omega_A^*$ and $\text{Fil}_{\text{Hodge}}^i \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^* \simeq \Omega_A^{\geq i}$. Note that there is a natural map $Z_1 \Omega_A^i[-i] \simeq \tau_{\geq -i} \Omega_A^{\geq i} \rightarrow \text{Fil}_{\text{conj}}^i \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^* \times_{\mathbb{L}\Omega_A^*} \text{Fil}_{\text{Hodge}}^i \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^*$. Since we have a fiber sequence $\Omega_A^{\geq i} \rightarrow \Omega_A^* \rightarrow \Omega_A^{\leq i-1}$, we obtain a natural isomorphism

$$\text{Fil}_{\text{conj}}^i \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^* \times_{\mathbb{L}\Omega_A^*} \text{Fil}_{\text{Hodge}}^i \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^* \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{fib}(\text{Fil}_{\text{conj}}^i \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^* \rightarrow \Omega_A^{\leq i-1}).$$

By computing homotopy groups, one sees that the map $Z_1 \Omega_A^i[-i] \rightarrow \text{fib}(\text{Fil}_{\text{conj}}^i \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^* \rightarrow \Omega_A^{\leq i-1})$ is an isomorphism, which finishes the proof. \square

By animating Proposition 2.4, we obtain the following:

Corollary 2.5. *Let $A \in \text{Alg}_k$. Then*

$$Z_1 \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i[-i] \simeq \text{Fil}_{\text{conj}}^i \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^* \times_{\mathbb{L}\Omega_A^*} \text{Fil}_{\text{Hodge}}^i \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^*.$$

Proposition 2.6. *Let A be a perfect ring. Then $B_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i \simeq Z_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i \simeq 0$ for all $i > 0$.*

PROOF. Since A is perfect, we have $\mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i = 0$ for $i > 0$. Therefore, using (2.1), it suffices to prove that $B_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i \simeq 0$ for $i > 0$. By (2.3), this reduces to the case $n = 1$. Using (2.4) and descending induction on i , it suffices to show that the map $\text{can} : Z_1 \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^0$ is an isomorphism, which follows because A is perfect. \square

Before proceeding further, let us recall two definitions from [BMS19].

Definition 2.7 ([BMS19, Def. 4.10]). Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a map of \mathbf{F}_p -algebras. Then f is said to be quasisyntomic if it is flat and the cotangent complex $\mathbb{L}_{B/A}$ has Tor amplitude in homological degrees $[0, 1]$.

Proposition 2.8 ([BMS19, Def. 8.8]). *An \mathbf{F}_p -algebra S is said to be semiperfect if the natural map $S^\flat := \varprojlim_{x \rightarrow x^p} S \rightarrow S$ is surjective. S is called quasiregular semiperfect if S is semiperfect and $\mathbb{L}_{S/\mathbf{F}_p}$ is a flat S -module supported in homological degree 1.*

Proposition 2.9. *Let A be a quasiregular semiperfect algebra. Then $Z_1 \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i[-i]$ is discrete for all $i \geq 0$.*

PROOF. Let $I := \text{Ker}(A^b \rightarrow A)$. By [BMS], one knows that $\mathbb{L}\Omega_A^* \simeq D_{A^b}(I)$. Further, the conjugate filtration $\text{Fil}_{\text{conj}}^i \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^*$ is also discrete and is given by the A^b -submodule of $D_{A^b}(I)$ generated by elements of the form $a_1^{[l_1]} \cdots a_m^{[l_m]}$ such that $m \geq 0$, $a_i \in I$ and $\sum_{u=1}^m l_u < (i+1)p$. The Hodge filtration on $\mathbb{L}\Omega_A^*$ identifies with the divided power filtration on $D_{A^b}(I)$, i.e., $\text{Fil}_{\text{Hodge}}^i \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^*$ is the ideal generated by elements of the form $a_1^{[l_1]} \cdots a_m^{[l_m]}$ such that $m \geq 0$, $a_i \in I$ and $\sum_{u=1}^m l_u \geq i$. In particular, note that the map $\text{Fil}_{\text{Hodge}}^i \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^* \rightarrow \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^*$ is injective.

From the above description, we see that the composite map

$$\text{Fil}_{\text{conj}}^i \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^* \rightarrow \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^* \rightarrow \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^* / \text{Fil}_{\text{Hodge}}^i \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^*$$

is surjective. This implies that the fiber of $\text{Fil}_{\text{conj}}^i \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^* \rightarrow \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^* / \text{Fil}_{\text{Hodge}}^i \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^*$ must be discrete. By Corollary 2.5, the fiber identifies with $Z_1 \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i[-i]$, which finishes the proof. \square

Proposition 2.10. *Let A be a quasiregular semiperfect algebra. Then $B_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i[-i]$ and $Z_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i[-i]$ are discrete for all $n, i \geq 0$.*

PROOF. Note that $B_0 \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i = 0$ by construction, and $Z_0 \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i[-i] \simeq \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i[-i] \simeq \Gamma_A^i(I/I^2)$, where $I := \text{Ker}(A^b \rightarrow A)$, thus the claim holds when $n = 0$. By the proof of Proposition 2.9, we see that if A is a quasiregular semiperfect algebra, one has a natural identification $Z_1 \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i[-i] \simeq \text{Fil}_{\text{conj}}^i \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^* \cap \text{Fil}_{\text{Hodge}}^i \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^*$ owing to the discreteness of all objects involved. The explicit description of $\text{Fil}_{\text{conj}}^i \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^*$ and $\text{Fil}_{\text{Hodge}}^i \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^*$ in this case implies that the natural map $Z_1 \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i[-i] \rightarrow \text{gr}_{\text{conj}}^i \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^*$ is surjective. Using the fiber sequence (2.1), it follows that $B_1 \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i[-i]$ is discrete. By (2.3), it inductively follows that $B_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i[-i]$ is discrete for all $n \geq 1$. Applying (2.1) again, and using the fact that $\mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i[-i]$ is discrete, we see that $Z_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i[-i]$ is discrete for all $n \geq 1$. \square

Now, we will discuss animation of the de Rham–Witt theory from [Ill79].

Definition 2.11. Let Alg_k denote the category of k -algebras. For each $i \geq 0$, we define

$$\mathbb{L}W_n \Omega_{(\cdot)}^i : \text{Alg}_k \rightarrow D(W_n(k))$$

to be the animation of the functor $W_n \Omega_{(\cdot)}^i : \text{Poly}_k \rightarrow D(W_n(k))$ defined in [Ill79].

For $A \in \text{Alg}_k$, the object $\mathbb{L}W_n \Omega_A^i$ is naturally an object of $D(W_n(A))$; further, the usual operators on the de Rham–Witt complex extend to $\mathbb{L}W_n \Omega_A^i$. In particular, we have $F : \mathbb{L}W_n \Omega_A^i \rightarrow \mathbb{L}W_{n-1} \Omega_A^i$, $V : \mathbb{L}W_n \Omega_A^i \rightarrow \mathbb{L}W_{n+1} \Omega_A^i$ which extend the Frobenius and Verschiebung maps. We also have a map $R : \mathbb{L}W_n \Omega_A^i \rightarrow \mathbb{L}W_{n-1} \Omega_A^i$ extending the restriction maps which allows one to obtain a pro-object $\mathbb{L}W_{\bullet} \Omega_A^i$ in the derived ∞ -category of $W(k)$ -modules. The operators F and V may be lifted to maps $F : \mathbb{L}W_{\bullet} \Omega_A^i \rightarrow \mathbb{L}W_{\bullet-1} \Omega_A^i$ and $V : \mathbb{L}W_{\bullet} \Omega_A^i \rightarrow \mathbb{L}W_{\bullet+1} \Omega_A^i$. When A is a polynomial algebra, it follows that $FV = VF = p$; by animation, this gives natural fiber sequences of pro-objects

$$\mathbb{L}W_{\bullet} \Omega_A^i / F \rightarrow \mathbb{L}W_{\bullet} \Omega_A^i / p \rightarrow \mathbb{L}W_{\bullet} \Omega_A^i / V \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\mathbb{L}W_{\bullet} \Omega_A^i / V \rightarrow \mathbb{L}W_{\bullet} \Omega_A^i / p \rightarrow \mathbb{L}W_{\bullet} \Omega_A^i / F. \quad (2.6)$$

Definition 2.12. Let Alg_k denote the category of k -algebras. For each $i \geq 0$, we define

$$\mathbb{L}W\Omega_{(\cdot)}^i : \text{Alg}_k \rightarrow D(W(k))$$

to be the functor determined by sending a k -algebra A to $\mathbb{L}W\Omega_A^i := \varprojlim_{n,R} \mathbb{L}W_n\Omega_A^i$.

Example 2.13. Note that $\mathbb{L}W_n\Omega_A^0 \simeq W_n(A)$ and therefore, $\mathbb{L}W\Omega_A^0 \simeq W(A)$, the usual ring of p -typical Witt vectors.

We have natural maps $F, V : \mathbb{L}W\Omega_A^i \rightarrow \mathbb{L}W\Omega_A^i$ which are obtained by passing to the limit of the map of pro-objects above. We also obtain the following fiber sequences

$$\mathbb{L}W\Omega_A^i/F \rightarrow \mathbb{L}W\Omega_A^i/p \rightarrow \mathbb{L}W\Omega_A^i/V \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$\mathbb{L}W\Omega_A^i/V \rightarrow \mathbb{L}W\Omega_A^i/p \rightarrow \mathbb{L}W\Omega_A^i/F. \quad (2.8)$$

The following proposition will allow us to calculate the animated de Rham–Witt sheaves by devissage.

Proposition 2.14. *Let $A \in \text{Alg}_k$. For $r \geq 1$, we have a fiber sequence*

$$\mathbb{L}W\Omega_A^{i-1}/F^r \rightarrow \mathbb{L}W\Omega_A^i/V^r \rightarrow \mathbb{L}W_r\Omega_A^i$$

PROOF. When $r = 1$, the proposition follows from animating [III79, Prop. 3.18] and passing to inverse limits. In general, our claim is a consequence of the following: \square

Lemma 2.15. *Let $A \in \text{Alg}_k$. Then we have a fiber sequence of pro-objects*

$$\mathbb{L}W_{\cdot-r}\Omega_A^{i-1}/F^r \xrightarrow{dV^r} \mathbb{L}W_{\cdot}\Omega_A^i/V^r \rightarrow \mathbb{L}W_r\Omega_A^i$$

PROOF. Suppose that A is a polynomial algebra. Let $\underline{R} : W_{n+1}\Omega_A^i[p^r] \rightarrow W_n\Omega_A^i[p^r]$ be the induced restriction maps. By [III79, Prop. 3.4], $\underline{R}^r = 0$; in particular, the same holds for $W_{n+1}\Omega_A^i[F^r]$ and $W_{n+1}\Omega_A^i[V^r]$. Therefore, the desired result follows from animation and passing to pro-objects, once we show that for a polynomial algebra A , the following sequence is exact for $n \geq 2r$, where the quotients are taken in the discrete sense:

$$0 \rightarrow V^r W_{n-r}\Omega_A^{i-1}/p^r W_n\Omega_A^{i-1} \xrightarrow{d} W_n\Omega_A^i/V^r W_{n-r}\Omega_A^i \rightarrow W_r\Omega_A^i \rightarrow 0.$$

Using [III79, Prop. 3.2], one obtains the exactness in the middle and right. For the injectivity of d , let us suppose that there is an $x \in W_{n-r}\Omega_A^{i-1}$ such that $dV^r x = V^r y$ for some $y \in W_{n-r}\Omega_A^i$. Applying F^r on both sides (also using $FdV = d$ and $FV = p$), we obtain $dx = p^r y$. Let $\bar{x} \in W_r\Omega_A^{i-1}$ be the restriction of x . Therefore, we have $d\bar{x} = 0$. It follows from [III79, Prop. 3.21]³ that there exists an $\alpha \in W_{2r}\Omega_A^{i-1}$ such that $\bar{x} = F^r \alpha$. Now let $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{x} \in W\Omega_A^{i-1}$ be elements that restrict to α, x respectively. Then it follows that $\tilde{x} - F^r \tilde{\alpha}$ restricts to zero in $W_r\Omega_A^{i-1}$. Since the restriction maps induce a quasi-isomorphism of complexes $W\Omega_A^*/p^r \rightarrow W_r\Omega_A^*$ (see [III79, Cor. 3.17]), it follows that $\tilde{x} - F^r \tilde{\alpha} \in p^r W\Omega_A^{i-1} + dW\Omega_A^{i-2}$. This implies that $V^r \tilde{x} = p^r z$ for some $z \in W\Omega_A^{i-1}$. By restriction, we obtain $V^r x = p^r \bar{z}$, where $\bar{z} \in W_n\Omega_A^{i-1}$. This finishes the proof. \square

³Illusie pointed out that there was a gap in the proof of [III79, Prop. 3.21] which was fixed in [IR83, II, 1.3].

To further analyze the animated de Rham–Witt sheaves, we use the following notion from [AN21].

Definition 2.16. Let $M \in D(\mathbf{Z})$ and $V : M \rightarrow M$ be an endomorphism. We will say that M is derived V -complete if $\varprojlim_V M = 0$.

Let $M/V^n := \text{cofib}(M \xrightarrow{V^n} M)$. Then we have a fiber sequence $\varprojlim_V M \rightarrow M \rightarrow \varprojlim M/V^n$, which implies that M is derived V -complete if and only if the natural map $M \rightarrow \varprojlim M/V^n$ is an isomorphism. The ∞ -category of derived V -complete objects are stable under limits.

Lemma 2.17. *Let $M \in D(\mathbf{Z})$ and $V : M \rightarrow M$ be such that M is derived V -complete. If M/V is discrete then so is M .*

PROOF. We will prove that M/V^n is discrete by induction on n . The diagram $M \xrightarrow{V} M \xrightarrow{V^{n-1}} M$ yields a fiber sequence

$$M/V \rightarrow M/V^n \rightarrow M/V^{n-1}.$$

It follows inductively from the hypothesis that M/V^n is discrete for all $n \geq 1$ and the natural maps $M/V^n \rightarrow M/V^{n-1}$ are surjective. Since $M \simeq \varprojlim M/V^n$ by derived V -completeness of M , the conclusion follows. \square

Lemma 2.18. *Let $A \in \text{Alg}_k$. Then $\mathbb{L}W\Omega_A^i$ is derived V -complete for each $i \geq 0$.*

PROOF. Let us define $V' : \mathbb{L}W_n\Omega_A^i \rightarrow \mathbb{L}W_n\Omega_A^i$ as the composite of $V : \mathbb{L}W_n\Omega_A^i \rightarrow \mathbb{L}W_{n+1}\Omega_A^i$ with $R : \mathbb{L}W_{n+1}\Omega_A^i \rightarrow \mathbb{L}W_n\Omega_A^i$. When A is a polynomial algebra, it follows that $V'^n = 0$. Therefore, $\mathbb{L}W_n\Omega_A^i$ is derived V' -complete after animation. Passing to inverse limit over n , it follows that $\mathbb{L}W\Omega_A^i$ is derived V -complete. \square

Proposition 2.19. *The functor $\text{Alg}_k^{\text{op}} \rightarrow D(\mathbf{Z})$ determined by $A \mapsto \mathbb{L}W\Omega_A^i$ is an fpqc sheaf.*

PROOF. We will use induction on i ; the case $i = 0$ is clear. By Lemma 2.18, we reduce to checking that $A \mapsto \mathbb{L}W\Omega_A^i/V$ is an fpqc sheaf. The latter follows inductively from [BMS19, Theorem 3.1] and Proposition 2.14 (in the case $r = 1$). \square

Remark 2.20. The functor $\text{Alg}_k^{\text{op}} \rightarrow D(\mathbf{Z})$ determined by $A \mapsto \mathbb{L}W_r\Omega_A^i$ is also an fpqc sheaf; this follows from Proposition 2.14 and Proposition 2.19.

The importance of Cartier operators for our purposes is reflected in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.21. *Let $A \in \text{Alg}_k$. Then $\mathbb{L}W\Omega_A^i/V \simeq \varprojlim_C Z_n\mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i$ and $\mathbb{L}W\Omega_A^i/F \simeq \varprojlim_C B_n\mathbb{L}\Omega_A^{i+1}$.*

PROOF. Let A be a polynomial algebra over k . Then by [Ill79, Prop. 3.11], there is a natural map $\text{cofib}(W_n\Omega_A^i \xrightarrow{V} W_{n+1}\Omega_A^i) \xrightarrow{F^n} Z_n\Omega_A^i$ of \mathbb{N} -indexed (via the restriction maps $W_{n+1}\Omega_A^i \rightarrow W_n\Omega_A^i$ on the left-hand side and via C on the right-hand side) objects whose fiber is an \mathbb{N} -indexed object whose transition maps are all zero. By animation and taking inverse limit over $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{L}W\Omega_A^i/V \simeq \varprojlim_C Z_n\mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i.$$

The other assertion is deduced similarly from loc. cit. \square

Proposition 2.22. *Let S be a perfect ring. Then $\mathbb{L}W\Omega_S^i = 0$ for $i > 0$.*

PROOF. Follows from Proposition 2.6 and Proposition 2.21. \square

Proposition 2.23. *Let S be a quasiregular semiperfect algebra. Then $\mathbb{L}W\Omega_S^i[-i]$ is discrete for each $i \geq 0$.*

PROOF. Using Lemma 2.17, it suffices to prove that $(\mathbb{L}W\Omega_S^i/V)[-i]$ is discrete. By Proposition 2.21, $\mathbb{L}W\Omega_S^i/V \simeq \varprojlim Z_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i$. By Proposition 2.10, $Z_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i[-i]$ is discrete. Since (by Proposition 2.10), $B_1 \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i[-i]$ is also discrete, using the fiber sequence (2.2), we see that the maps $Z_{n+1} \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i[-i] \xrightarrow{C} Z_n \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^i[-i]$ are surjections. This finishes the proof. \square

Finally, we begin our discussion on TR .

Construction 2.24. Note that for a k -algebra A , the functor $A \mapsto \mathrm{TR}^r(A, p)$ is the animation of its restriction to the full subcategory of finitely generated polynomial algebras. Further, when A is smooth, by [Hes96, Thm. B], we have

$$\pi_n \mathrm{TR}^r(A, p) \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n \\ n-i \text{ is even}}} W_r \Omega_A^i.$$

Therefore, by animating the decreasing Postnikov filtration on $\mathrm{TR}^r(A, p)$ (given by $\tau_{\geq *} \mathrm{TR}^r(A, p)$) from the category of polynomial algebras, one can equip $\mathrm{TR}^r(A, p)$ with the structure of a filtered object $\mathrm{Fil}^* \mathrm{TR}^r(A, p)$ such that

$$\mathrm{gr}_M^n \mathrm{TR}^r(A, p) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n \\ n-i \text{ is even}}} \mathbb{L}W_r \Omega_A^i[n] \quad (2.9)$$

Note that there natural restriction maps $R: \mathrm{TR}^{r+1}(A, p) \rightarrow \mathrm{TR}^r(A, p)$, and one sets

$$\mathrm{TR}(A, p) := \varprojlim_{r, R} \mathrm{TR}^r(A, p).$$

By passing to inverse limit over the restriction maps one can equip $\mathrm{TR}(A, p)$ with the structure of a filtered object $\mathrm{Fil}_M^* \mathrm{TR}(A, p)$. Note that by [Hes96, Thm. B], the map $R: \mathrm{TR}^{r+1}(A, p) \rightarrow \mathrm{TR}^r(A, p)$ induces a map $R_{n, r, i}: \mathbb{L}W_{r+1} \Omega_A^i[n] \rightarrow \mathbb{L}W_r \Omega_A^i[n]$ at the level of the i -th summand of gr^n that is equivalent to $(p\lambda_{r+1})^{\frac{n-i}{2}} R[n]$, where $\lambda_{r+1} \in (\mathbf{Z}/p^{r+1}\mathbf{Z})^\times$. It follows from this description that $\varprojlim_{r, R_{n, r, i}} \mathbb{L}W_r \Omega_A^i[n] \simeq 0$ if $n > i$. Therefore, we see that $\mathrm{gr}^n \mathrm{TR}(A, p) \simeq \mathbb{L}W\Omega_A^n[n]$. Let us summarize this construction in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.25. *Let A be a k -algebra. There is a descending exhaustive complete \mathbf{Z} -indexed filtration $\mathrm{Fil}^* \mathrm{TR}(A, p)$ on $\mathrm{TR}(A, p)$ such that $\mathrm{gr}^n \mathrm{TR}(A, p) \simeq \mathbb{L}W\Omega_A^n[n]$. This may be called the “motivic” filtration on $\mathrm{TR}(A, p)$.*

PROOF. The construction of the filtration and the description of the graded pieces follow from the above discussion. In order to prove the completeness of the filtration $\mathrm{Fil}^* \mathrm{TR}(A, p)$, by construction, it would be enough to show the completeness of $\mathrm{Fil}^* \mathrm{TR}^r(A, p)$. To this end, it is enough to show that $\mathrm{Fil}^k \mathrm{TR}^r(A, p)$ is k -connective. By considering sifted colimits, this reduces to the case when A is a polynomial algebra, in which case the result follows since the filtration is given by the Postnikov filtration. \square

Corollary 2.26 ([AN21, Thm. 6.14]). *Let S be a perfect ring. Then $\mathrm{TR}(S, p) \simeq W(S)$.*

PROOF. Follows from Proposition 2.22 and Proposition 2.25. \square

Let us now consider the category of quasisyntomic k -algebras qSyn_k , thought of as a Grothendieck site equipped with the quasisyntomic topology. If A is in qSyn_k , we will give a different construction of $\mathrm{Fil}^* \mathrm{TR}(A, p)$ by quasisyntomic descent that will be important later in this paper. By [BMS19, Prop. 4.31] any quasisyntomic sheaf on qSyn_k is determined by its values on quasiregular semiperfect algebras.

Proposition 2.27. *Let $A \in \mathrm{qSyn}_k$. The functor $A \mapsto \mathrm{Fil}^* \mathrm{TR}(A, p)$ is a quasisyntomic sheaf with values in filtered spectra.*

PROOF. Since $\mathrm{Fil}^* \mathrm{TR}(A, p)$ is a complete descending filtration on $\mathrm{TR}(A, p)$, by considering limits, it would be enough to prove that $A \mapsto \mathrm{gr}^n \mathrm{TR}(A, p) \simeq \mathbb{L}W\Omega_A^n[n]$ is a sheaf for all n . The latter follows from Proposition 2.19. \square

Proposition 2.28. *Let R be a quasiregular semiperfect algebra. Then $\pi_* \mathrm{TR}(R, p)$ is concentrated in even degrees.*

PROOF. Follows from Proposition 2.25 and Proposition 2.23. \square

The following proposition, along with Proposition 2.27, gives an alternative way to understand the filtration on p -typical TR via quasisyntomic descent (see [BMS19, Prop. 4.31]).

Proposition 2.29. *Let R be a quasiregular semiperfect algebra. Then*

$$\mathrm{Fil}^n \mathrm{TR}(R, p) \simeq \tau_{\geq 2n} \mathrm{TR}(R, p).$$

PROOF. Follows because $\mathrm{gr}^n \mathrm{TR}(R, p)[-2n]$ is discrete. \square

Note that for any \mathbf{F}_p -algebra A , there is a canonical product decomposition $\mathrm{TR}(A) \simeq \prod_{(k,p)=1} \mathrm{TR}(A, p)$. One may define a filtration $\mathrm{Fil}^* \mathrm{TR}(A) := \prod_{(k,p)=1} \mathrm{Fil}^* \mathrm{TR}(A, p)$. This equips $\mathrm{TR}(A)$ with a descending complete exhaustive filtration such that $\mathrm{gr}^n \mathrm{TR}(A) \simeq \prod_{(k,p)=1} \mathbb{L}W\Omega_A^n[n]$. Our previous discussion on $\mathrm{TR}(A, p)$ implies the following corollaries.

Corollary 2.30. *Let $A \in \mathrm{qSyn}_k$. The functor $A \mapsto \mathrm{Fil}^* \mathrm{TR}(A)$ is a quasisyntomic sheaf with values in filtered spectra.*

Corollary 2.31. *Let R be a quasiregular semiperfect algebra. Then $\pi_* \mathrm{TR}(R)$ is concentrated in even degrees, and*

$$\mathrm{Fil}^n \mathrm{TR}(R) \simeq \tau_{\geq 2n} \mathrm{TR}(R).$$

3. Pro-system of truncated polynomial rings

Let S be a quasisyntomic \mathbf{F}_p -algebra. In [BMS19], Bhatt–Morrow–Scholze constructed a “motivic” filtration $\mathrm{Fil}^* \mathrm{TC}(S)$ on $\mathrm{TC}(S)$ where the graded pieces $\mathrm{gr}^n \mathrm{TC}(S)$ are given by $\mathbf{Z}_p(n)(S)[2n]$. The goal of this section is to identify the induced motivic filtration on $\varprojlim \mathrm{TC}(R[t]/t^k)$, when R is a quasiregular semiperfect algebra, with the “odd filtration” (see Proposition 3.16). We will use the description of the Tate twists $\mathbf{Z}_p(n)$ in terms of Nygaard filtration on derived crystalline cohomology. To this end, we recall a few notations and basic properties.

Notation 3.1. Let A be an \mathbf{F}_p -algebra. We will use dR , $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^* \mathrm{dR}$ and $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{conj}}^* \mathrm{dR}$ to denote the functors $\mathbb{L}\Omega_{(\cdot)}^*$, $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^* \mathbb{L}\Omega_{(\cdot)}^*$ and $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{conj}}^* \mathbb{L}\Omega_{(\cdot)}^*$. Let $\widehat{\mathrm{dR}}$ denote the completion of dR with respect to $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^* \mathrm{dR}$, so that it is naturally equipped with a filtration $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^* \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}$. By construction, $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^n \mathrm{dR}(A) \simeq \mathrm{gr}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^n \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(A) \simeq \wedge^n \mathbb{L}_{A/\mathbf{F}_p}[-n]$. By animating the Cartier isomorphism, $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathrm{conj}}^n \mathrm{dR}(A) \simeq \wedge^n \mathbb{L}_{A^{(p)}/\mathbf{F}_p}[-n]$ (see [Bha12, Prop. 3.5]). The following proposition discusses certain monoidal properties of these functors that will be useful later.

Proposition 3.2. *Let A and B be two \mathbf{F}_p -algebras. Then,*

- (1) $\mathrm{dR}(A \otimes_{\mathbf{F}_p} B) \simeq \mathrm{dR}(A) \otimes_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{dR}(B)$.
- (2) $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^n \mathrm{dR}(A \otimes_{\mathbf{F}_p} B) \simeq \mathrm{colim}_{j+k \geq n} \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^j \mathrm{dR}(A) \otimes_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^k \mathrm{dR}(B)$.
- (3) $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{conj}}^n \mathrm{dR}(A \otimes_{\mathbf{F}_p} B) \simeq \mathrm{colim}_{j+k \leq n} \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{conj}}^j \mathrm{dR}(A) \otimes_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{conj}}^k \mathrm{dR}(B)$.

PROOF. By animation, these can be checked by reducing to polynomial algebras. For polynomial algebras, one can further reduce it to checking on graded pieces and use [BMS19, Lem. 5.2] (cf. [GP18]). \square

Remark 3.3. In the language of filtered derived categories $DF(\mathbf{F}_p)$ as in [BMS19], the construction appearing in the right hand side is called the Day convolution, which turns $DF(\mathbf{F}_p)$ into a symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category. One also has the completed filtered derived category $\widehat{DF}(\mathbf{F}_p)$, equipped with an induced monoidal structure. It follows from Proposition 3.2 that $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^* \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(A \otimes_{\mathbf{F}_p} B) \simeq \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^* \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(A) \hat{\otimes} \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^* \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(B)$, where the right hand side denotes the monoidal operation on $\widehat{DF}(\mathbf{F}_p)$.

Notation 3.4. Let A be an \mathbf{F}_p -algebra. We let $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(A)$ denote derived crystalline cohomology, and $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Nyg}}^* R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(A)$ denote the Nygaard filtration; they are both defined to be animated from polynomial algebras. The associated Nygaard completed object will be denoted by $\widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(A)$, which is naturally equipped with a filtration $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Nyg}}^* \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(A)$. We will only apply these notions in the case when A is a quasisyntomic \mathbf{F}_p -algebra, and we will assume A to be quasisyntomic from now for simplicity. The proposition below lists some basic properties of the Nygaard filtration.

Proposition 3.5. *Let A be a quasisyntomic \mathbf{F}_p -algebra. Then,*

- (1) $\widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(A)/p \simeq \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(A)$.
- (2) $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathrm{Nyg}}^n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(A) \simeq \mathrm{gr}_{\mathrm{Nyg}}^n \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(A) \simeq \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{conj}}^n \mathrm{dR}(A)$.
- (3) Multiplication by p induces a natural map $p : \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Nyg}}^{n-1} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Nyg}}^n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(A)$ whose cofiber is naturally isomorphic to $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^n \mathrm{dR}(A)$.
- (4) Multiplication by p induces a natural map $p : \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Nyg}}^{n-1} \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Nyg}}^n \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(A)$ whose cofiber is naturally isomorphic to $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^n \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(A)$.
- (5) There is a divided Frobenius map $\varphi_n : \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Nyg}}^n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(A) \rightarrow R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(A)$ which gives a fiber sequence

$$\mathbf{Z}_p(n)(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Nyg}}^n R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(A) \xrightarrow{\varphi_n - \mathrm{can}} R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(A).$$

(6) *There is a divided Frobenius map $\varphi_n : \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Nyg}}^n \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(A) \rightarrow \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(A)$ which gives a fiber sequence*

$$\mathbf{Z}_p(n)(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Nyg}}^n \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(A) \xrightarrow{\varphi_n - \mathrm{can}} \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(A).$$

PROOF. See [BMS19, § 8] for the case when A is a quasiregular semiperfect \mathbf{F}_p -algebra. The proposition follows by quasisyntomic descent. \square

Let us define $\mathbf{F}_p(n)(A) := \mathbf{Z}_p(n)(A)/p$. We show that $\mathbf{F}_p(n)(A)$ may be described purely in terms of the animated Cartier theory from Section 2.

Proposition 3.6. *Let A be quasisyntomic \mathbf{F}_p -algebra. We have a natural fiber sequence*

$$\mathbf{F}_p(n)(A)[n] \rightarrow Z_1 \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{can}-C} \mathbb{L}\Omega_A^n, \quad (3.1)$$

PROOF. By animation, it is enough to prove the claim when A is a polynomial algebra. By [BMS19, Prop. 8.21] and quasisyntomic descent, it follows that

$$\mathbf{Z}_p(n)(A)[n] \simeq R\Gamma_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(\mathrm{Spec} A, W\Omega_{\mathrm{Spec} A, \log}^n),$$

where $W\Omega_{\mathrm{Spec} A, \log}^n := \varprojlim W_r \Omega_{\mathrm{Spec} A, \log}^n$ (see [BMS19, Prop. 8.4]). The claim now follows from going modulo p , and using [Ill79, 2.1.20, 2.4.1.1, Thm. 2.4.2, Cor. 5.7.5]. \square

Remark 3.7. Let R be a quasiregular semiperfect algebra. By [BMS19, Lem. 8.19], $\mathbf{Z}_p(i)(R)$ is discrete for $i > 0$. This may also be seen by reducing modulo p and using the sequence (3.1). Further, $\mathbf{Z}_p(0)(R) \in D_{[-1, 0]}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ and $\mathbf{Z}_p(i)(S) = 0$ for $i < 0$. Using Lemma 3.15, we see that the filtration $\mathrm{Fil}^n \mathrm{TC}(R)$ constructed in [BMS19] is simply given by $\tau_{\geq 2n-1} \mathrm{TC}(R)$.

Having discussed these basic properties, we now focus on the behavior of these invariants for the pro-system of truncated polynomial rings.

Proposition 3.8. *Let R be a perfect ring. Then $\varprojlim \mathrm{dR}(R[t]/t^n) \simeq R[[t]]^{(p)} \oplus R[[t]]^{(p)}[-1]$ as an $R[[t]]^{(p)}$ -module.*

PROOF. Let $n = p^k$. Then $\mathbb{L}_{R[t]/t^n} \simeq (t^n/t^{2n})[1] \oplus R[t]/t^n$ as an $R[t]/t^n$ -module. Let $I_n := (t^n/t^{2n})$, which is free of rank 1 as an $R[t]/t^n$ -module. For $s \geq 0$, one has

$$\wedge^s \mathbb{L}_{R[t]/t^n}[-s] \simeq \Gamma^s(I_n) \oplus \Gamma^{s-1}(I_n)[-1].$$

Now, we note that since $R[t]/t^n$ is liftable to $\mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z}$, along with a lift of the Frobenius, the conjugate filtration on $\mathrm{dR}(R[t]/t^n)$ splits [Bha12, Prop. 3.17]; this gives

$$\mathrm{dR}(R[t]/t^n) \simeq \Gamma^*(I_n) \oplus \Gamma^*(I_n)[-1].$$

Finally, note that the natural map $R[t]/t^{p^{k+1}} \rightarrow R[t]/t^{p^k}$ induces the zero map $I_{p^{k+1}} \rightarrow I_{p^k}$. This shows that the \mathbf{N} -indexed objects $\mathrm{dR}(R[t]/t^n)$ and $(R[t]/t^n)^{(p)} \oplus (R[t]/t^n)^{(p)}[-1]$ are isomorphic as pro-objects. This yields the desired claim. \square

Proposition 3.9. *Let R be a perfect ring. Then $Z_1 \mathbb{L}\Omega_{R[t]/t^n}^i \simeq 0$ as a pro-object for $i \geq 2$.*

PROOF. First we prove that the natural map $\mathrm{dR}(R[t]/t^n) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R[t]/t^n)$ is a pro-isomorphism. To this end, note that the pro-object $\widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R[t]/t^n)$ admits a

complete descending filtration (induced by the Hodge filtration) $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^* \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R[t]/t^n)$ whose graded pieces are described as

$$\mathrm{gr}^0 \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R[t]/t^n) \simeq R[t]/t^n, \quad \mathrm{gr}^1 \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R[t]/t^n) \simeq \Omega_{R[t]/t^n}^1[-1], \quad \mathrm{gr}^i \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R[t]/t^n) \simeq 0 \text{ for } i > 1$$

as pro-objects. This implies that the pro-object $\widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R[t]/t^n)$ is pro-isomorphic to $\Omega_{R[t]/t^n}^*$, where the latter denotes the classical de Rham complex. Now let $n = p^k$. Then $\Omega_{R[t]/t^n}^*$, as an $(R[t]/t^n)^{(p)}$ -module is naturally isomorphic to

$$\Omega_{R[t]}^* \otimes_{R[t]^{(p)}} (R[t]/t^n)^{(p)}.$$

Considering that $R[t]$ lifts to $\mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z}$ along with a lift of the Frobenius, we see that the pro-object $\widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R[t]/t^n) \simeq (R[t]/t^n)^{(p)} \oplus (R[t]/t^n)^{(p)}[-1] \simeq \mathrm{dR}(R[t]/t^n)$, where the latter isomorphism follows from the proof of Proposition 3.8.

Since for $i \geq 2$, we have $\widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R[t]/t^n) \simeq \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R[t]/t^n) / \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^i$, and the natural map $\mathrm{dR}(R[t]/t^n) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R[t]/t^n)$ is a pro-isomorphism, it now follows that the natural map

$$\mathrm{dR}(R[t]/t^n) \rightarrow \mathrm{dR}(R[t]/t^n) / \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^i$$

is a pro-isomorphism. Further, note that the natural map

$$\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{conj}}^j \mathrm{dR}(R[t]/t^n) \rightarrow \mathrm{dR}(R[t]/t^n)$$

is a pro-isomorphism for $j \geq 1$. Therefore, the natural map

$$\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{conj}}^i \mathrm{dR}(R[t]/t^n) \rightarrow \mathrm{dR}(R[t]/t^n) / \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^i$$

is a pro-isomorphism for $i \geq 2$. Thus the fiber, which is naturally isomorphic to $Z_1 \mathbb{L} \Omega_{R[t]/t^n}^i[-i]$, is pro-zero for $i \geq 2$. \square

Proposition 3.10. *Let R be a perfect ring. Then $\varprojlim \mathbf{Z}_p(i)(R[t]/t^n) = 0$ for $i > 1$.*

PROOF. By derived p -completeness, it is enough to prove that $\varprojlim \mathbf{F}_p(i)(R[t]/t^n) = 0$ for $i > 1$. Now the fiber sequence (see (3.1))

$$\mathbf{F}_p(i)(R[t]/t^n)[i] \rightarrow Z_1 \mathbb{L} \Omega_{R[t]/t^n}^i \xrightarrow{\mathrm{can}-C} \mathbb{L} \Omega_{R[t]/t^n}^i$$

yields the desired vanishing since $Z_1 \mathbb{L} \Omega_{R[t]/t^n}^i$ and $\mathbb{L} \Omega_{R[t]/t^n}^i$ are both pro-zero for $i > 1$. \square

Now we focus our attention to $\varprojlim \mathbf{Z}_p(i)(R[t]/t^n)$, where R is a quasiregular semiperfect algebra. For this purpose, it will be convenient to work with Nygaard filtration on derived crystalline cohomology.

Lemma 3.11. *Let R be a quasiregular semiperfect ring. Then*

$$\varprojlim \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{conj}}^i \mathrm{dR}(R[t]/t^n) \in D_{[-1,0]}(\mathbf{Z}_p).$$

PROOF. Note that $\mathrm{dR}(R[t]/t^n) \simeq \mathrm{dR}(R) \otimes_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{dR}(\mathbf{F}_p[t]/t^n)$. By the proof of Proposition 3.8, $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{conj}}^0 \mathrm{dR}(\mathbf{F}_p[t]/t^n) \simeq (\mathbf{F}_p[t]/t^n)^{(p)}$ and $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{conj}}^i \mathrm{dR}(\mathbf{F}_p[t]/t^n) \simeq (\mathbf{F}_p[t]/t^n)^{(p)} \oplus (\mathbf{F}_p[t]/t^n)^{(p)}[-1]$ as n -indexed pro-objects for each $i \geq 1$. For fixed i, n , we have

$$\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{conj}}^i \mathrm{dR}(R[t]/t^n) \simeq \mathrm{colim}_{u+v \leq i} \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{conj}}^u \mathrm{dR}(R) \otimes_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{conj}}^v \mathrm{dR}(\mathbf{F}_p[t]/t^n).$$

The above formula gives a natural map

$$(\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{conj}}^* \mathrm{dR}(R) \oplus \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{conj}}^{*-1} \mathrm{dR}(R)[-1]) \otimes_{\mathbf{F}_p} (\mathbf{F}_p[t]/t^n)^{(p)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{conj}}^* \mathrm{dR}(R[t]/t^n) \quad (3.2)$$

To prove that this induces an isomorphism in the category of pro-objects, it is enough to prove that the graded pieces are pro-isomorphic. To this end, let $I := \text{Ker}(R^\flat \rightarrow R)$; then we have $\mathbb{L}_{R/\mathbf{F}_p} \simeq I/I^2[1]$. One computes that in the pro-category, we have

$$\mathbb{L}_{(R[t]/t^n)/\mathbf{F}_p} \simeq (I/I^2 \otimes_R R[t]/t^n)[1] \oplus R[t]/t^n.$$

Computing wedge powers, we see that (3.2) is indeed an isomorphism. Since $\wedge^i \mathbb{L}_{R/\mathbf{F}_p}[-i] \simeq \Gamma_R^i(I/I^2)$ is discrete, $\text{Fil}_{\text{conj}}^* \text{dR}(R)$ is also discrete. The maps induced on π_{-1} on the left hand side of (3.2) are surjections and therefore the proposition follows. \square

Lemma 3.12. *Let R be a quasiregular semiperfect ring. Then*

$$\varprojlim \text{Fil}_{\text{Nyg}}^i \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\text{crys}}(R[t]/t^n) \in D_{[-1,0]}(\mathbf{Z}_p).$$

PROOF. The left hand side is equipped with a complete descending filtration $\varprojlim \text{Fil}_{\text{Nyg}}^{i+*} \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\text{crys}}(R[t]/t^n)$, where the graded pieces are computed by $\varprojlim \text{Fil}_{\text{conj}}^{i+*} \text{dR}(R[t]/t^n)$. Therefore, the claim follows from the above lemma. \square

Lemma 3.13. *Let R be a quasiregular semiperfect ring. Then*

$$\varprojlim \widehat{\text{dR}}(R[t]/t^n) \simeq \varprojlim \left(\widehat{\text{dR}}(R) \otimes_{\mathbf{F}_p} (\mathbf{F}_p[t]/t^n)^{(p)} \oplus \widehat{\text{dR}}(R) \otimes_{\mathbf{F}_p} (\mathbf{F}_p[t]/t^n)^{(p)}[-1] \right).$$

PROOF. It follows that $\widehat{\text{dR}}(R[t]/t^n)$ may be computed by completing $\widehat{\text{dR}}(R) \otimes_{\mathbf{F}_p} \widehat{\text{dR}}(\mathbf{F}_p[t]/t^n)$ with respect to the Day convolution filtration induced from the Hodge filtration $\text{Fil}_{\text{Hodge}}^* \widehat{\text{dR}}(R)$ and $\text{Fil}_{\text{Hodge}}^* \widehat{\text{dR}}(\mathbf{F}_p[t]/t^n)$. However, as an \mathbf{N} -indexed pro-object, $\text{Fil}_{\text{Hodge}}^i \widehat{\text{dR}}(\mathbf{F}_p[t]/t^n) = 0$ for $i \geq 2$ (see Proposition 3.9); therefore, one may ignore the completion step in order to compute the inverse limit, i.e., $\varprojlim \widehat{\text{dR}}(R[t]/t^n) \simeq \varprojlim \left(\widehat{\text{dR}}(R) \otimes_{\mathbf{F}_p} \widehat{\text{dR}}(\mathbf{F}_p[t]/t^n) \right)$. This yields the desired statement. \square

Proposition 3.14. *Let R be a quasiregular semiperfect ring. Then*

$$\varprojlim \mathbf{Z}_p(i)(R[t]/t^n) \in D_{[-1,0]}(\mathbf{Z}_p).$$

PROOF. When $i = 0$, one may check the claim by reducing modulo p and using the Artin–Schreier sequence. For $i = 1$, we argue as follows: note that for any quasisyntomic \mathbf{F}_p -algebra S , we have $\mathbf{Z}_p(1)(S)[1] \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(S, \mathbb{G}_m)^{\wedge^p}$. This implies that we have a fiber sequence

$$\prod_{(r,p)=1} W(S) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n \mathbf{Z}_p(1)(R[t]/t^n)[1] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p(1)(R)[1].$$

Since R is quasiregular semiperfect, $\mathbf{Z}_p(1)(R)$ is discrete, which gives the claim.

Let us now suppose that $i > 1$. Since we have a fiber sequence of derived p -complete objects

$$\varprojlim \mathbf{Z}_p(i)(R[t]/t^n) \rightarrow \varprojlim \text{Fil}_{\text{Nyg}}^i \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\text{crys}}(R[t]/t^n) \xrightarrow{\varphi_i - \iota} \varprojlim \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\text{crys}}(R[t]/t^n),$$

it would be enough to prove that the map $\varphi_i - \iota$: $\varprojlim \text{Fil}_{\text{Nyg}}^i \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\text{crys}}(R[t]/t^n)/p \rightarrow \varprojlim \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\text{crys}}(R[t]/t^n)/p$ induces a surjection on π_{-1} . Note that the composition

$$\text{Fil}_{\text{Nyg}}^{i+1} \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\text{crys}}(R[t]/t^n) \rightarrow \text{Fil}_{\text{Nyg}}^i \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\text{crys}}(R[t]/t^n) \xrightarrow{\varphi_i - \iota} \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\text{crys}}(R[t]/t^n)/p \simeq \widehat{\text{dR}}(R[t]/t^n)$$

is homotopic to the canonical map ι and the latter factors as

$$\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Nyg}}^{i+1} \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(R[t]/t^n) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^{i+1} \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R[t]/t^n) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R[t]/t^n). \quad (3.3)$$

Since we have a fiber sequence

$$\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Nyg}}^i \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(R[t]/t^n) \xrightarrow{p} \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Nyg}}^{i+1} \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(R[t]/t^n) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^{i+1} \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R[t]/t^n),$$

it follows from Lemma 3.12 that the map $\varprojlim \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Nyg}}^{i+1} \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(R[t]/t^n) \rightarrow \varprojlim \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^{i+1} \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R[t]/t^n)$ is a surjection on π_{-1} . Therefore, the image under π_{-1} of the composite map $\varprojlim \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Nyg}}^{i+1} \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(R[t]/t^n) \rightarrow \varprojlim \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R[t]/t^n)$ coming from (3.3) is the same as image of π_{-1} induced by the map

$$\alpha: \varprojlim \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^{i+1} \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R[t]/t^n) \rightarrow \varprojlim \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R[t]/t^n).$$

On the other hand, note that the composition

$$\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Nyg}}^{i-1} \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(R[t]/t^n) \xrightarrow{p} \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Nyg}}^i \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(R[t]/t^n) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{i-1}} \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(R[t]/t^n)/p$$

is homotopic to φ_{i-1} . Furthermore, since $i > 0$, the map $\varphi_{i-1}: \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Nyg}}^{i-1} \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(R[t]/t^n) \rightarrow \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(R[t]/t^n)/p$ factors as

$$\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Nyg}}^{i-1} \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(R[t]/t^n) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{\mathrm{Nyg}}^{i-1} \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(R[t]/t^n) \rightarrow \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(R[t]/t^n)/p.$$

Passing to inverse limits over n , we see that the map $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Nyg}}^{i-1} \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(R[t]/t^n) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{\mathrm{Nyg}}^{i-1} \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(R[t]/t^n)$ induces surjection on π_{-1} . Therefore, the image of the map induced on π_{-1} by the composite map $\varprojlim \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Nyg}}^{i-1} \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(R[t]/t^n) \rightarrow \varprojlim \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(R[t]/t^n)/p$ is the same as the image of the map induced on π_{-1} by $\varprojlim \mathrm{gr}_{\mathrm{Nyg}}^{i-1} \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(R[t]/t^n) \rightarrow \varprojlim \widehat{R\Gamma}_{\mathrm{crys}}(R[t]/t^n)/p$. The latter map identifies with the map

$$\beta: \varprojlim \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{conj}}^{i-1} \mathrm{dR}(R[t]/t^n) \rightarrow \varprojlim \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R[t]/t^n).$$

It would be enough to prove that image of $\pi_{-1}(\alpha)$ and $\pi_{-1}(\beta)$ generates $\pi_{-1}(\varprojlim \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R[t]/t^n))$ under addition. Note that there is a natural map

$$\varprojlim \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^i \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R) \otimes_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^1 \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbf{F}_p[t]/t^n) \rightarrow \varprojlim \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^{i+1} \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R[t]/t^n).$$

Composing with α , we get a map $\varprojlim \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^i \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R) \otimes_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^1 \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbf{F}_p[t]/t^n) \rightarrow \varprojlim \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R[t]/t^n)$. Note that by Lemma 3.13, we have an isomorphism $\pi_{-1}(\varprojlim \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R[t]/t^n)) \simeq \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R)[[s]]$. It follows that under the latter isomorphism, the image of $\pi_{-1}(\alpha)$ contains all elements of the form $\sum_i x_i s^i$, where $x_i \in \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^i \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R)$ and image of $\pi_{-1}(\beta)$ contains all elements of the form $\sum_i y_i s^i$, where $y_i \in \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{conj}}^{i-2} \mathrm{dR}(R)$ (see (3.2)). For $i > 1$, we have

$$\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{conj}}^{i-2} \mathrm{dR}(R) + \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hodge}}^i \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R) = \widehat{\mathrm{dR}}(R),$$

which finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.15. *Let us suppose that a spectrum S admits a descending complete and exhaustive \mathbf{Z} -indexed filtration $\mathrm{Fil}^* S$ such that the graded pieces $\mathrm{gr}^n S \in \mathrm{Sp}_{[2n, 2n-1]}$. Then there is a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Fil}^n S \simeq \tau_{\geq 2n-1} S$.*

PROOF. Let us fix an integer n . Let us choose another integer $j \geq 2n - 1$. By the description of graded pieces, it follows that $\pi_j(\mathrm{Fil}^n S) = \pi_j(\mathrm{Fil}^{n-1} S) = \dots$. Therefore, $\pi_j(\mathrm{Fil}^n S) \simeq \pi_j(S)$, since the filtration is exhaustive. By completeness of the filtration, we have $\mathrm{Fil}^n S \simeq \varprojlim_{k \in \mathbf{N}} \mathrm{Fil}^n S / \mathrm{Fil}^{n+k} S$. By the description of the graded pieces, it follows that if $j < 2n - 1$, then $\pi_j(\mathrm{Fil}^n S / \mathrm{Fil}^{n+k} S) \simeq 0$. Moreover, using the fiber sequence

$$\mathrm{gr}^{n+k} S \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}^n S / \mathrm{Fil}^{n+k+1} S \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}^n S / \mathrm{Fil}^{n+k} S,$$

we see that the maps $\pi_{2n-1}(\mathrm{Fil}^n S / \mathrm{Fil}^{n+k+1} S) \rightarrow \pi_{2n-1}(\mathrm{Fil}^n S / \mathrm{Fil}^{n+k} S)$ are surjections for $k \geq 1$. By using Milnor sequences, it follows that $\pi_j(\mathrm{Fil}^n S) = 0$ for $j < 2n - 1$. Since we have a natural map $\mathrm{Fil}^n S[-2n + 1] \rightarrow S[-2n + 1]$, and $\mathrm{Fil}^n S[-2n + 1]$ is connective, we obtain a map $\mathrm{Fil}^n S \rightarrow \tau_{\geq 2n-1} S$. Since we know that this map induces isomorphism on all homotopy groups, we obtain the desired claim. \square

Now we can summarize the observations in this section in the following manner: let S be a quasisyntomic \mathbf{F}_p -algebra. Let us define

$$\mathrm{Fil}^* \varprojlim_k \mathrm{TC}(S[t]/t^k) := \varprojlim_k \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{BMS}}^* \mathrm{TC}(S[t]/t^k).$$

It follows that the graded pieces of this filtration are computed as

$$\mathrm{gr}^n \varprojlim_k \mathrm{TC}(S[t]/t^k) \simeq \varprojlim_k \mathbf{Z}_p(n)(S[t]/t^k)[2n].$$

It also follows that $\mathrm{Fil}^* \varprojlim_k \mathrm{TC}(S[t]/t^k)$ is a complete exhaustive filtration and the functor determined by $S \mapsto \mathrm{Fil}^* \varprojlim_k \mathrm{TC}(S[t]/t^k)$ is a quasisyntomic sheaf of spectra. The proposition below gives a concrete description of this filtration for quasiregular semiperfect algebras.

Proposition 3.16. *Let R be a quasiregular semiperfect algebra. Then*

$$\mathrm{Fil}^n \varprojlim_k \mathrm{TC}(R[t]/t^k) \simeq \tau_{\geq 2n-1} \varprojlim_k \mathrm{TC}(R[t]/t^k).$$

PROOF. Follows from the above description of the graded pieces along with Proposition 3.14 and Lemma 3.15. \square

Remark 3.17. Let us point out that certain computations of topological cyclic homology of $R[t]/t^k$ where R is a perfect(oid) ring appeared in [Sul23] and [Rig22] (cf. [Mat22, Thm. 10.4]).

4. Proof of the main result

In this section, we will enhance Hesselholt's isomorphism (1.1) with the motivic filtrations studied above. We recall some notations first. Let S be a quasisyntomic \mathbf{F}_p -algebra. Let $\mathrm{Fil}^* \mathrm{TR}(S)$ be the filtration constructed before Corollary 2.30. Let $\mathrm{Fil}^* \mathrm{TC}(S[t]/t^k)$ and $\mathrm{Fil}^* \mathrm{TC}(S)$ be the motivic filtrations as constructed by Bhatt–Morrow–Scholze.

Proposition 4.1. *Let S be a quasisyntomic \mathbf{F}_p -algebra. Then we have a natural isomorphism*

$$(\mathrm{Fil}^{*-1} \mathrm{TR}(S))[1] \simeq \varprojlim_k \mathrm{fib}(\mathrm{Fil}^* \mathrm{TC}(S[t]/t^k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}^* \mathrm{TC}(S)).$$

PROOF. Let R be a quasiregular semiperfect algebra. Using Hesselholt’s result (1.1), we obtain a natural fiber sequence

$$\varprojlim_k \mathrm{TC}(R[t]/t^k) \rightarrow \mathrm{TC}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{TR}(R)[2].$$

By Corollary 2.31 and Remark 3.7, we obtain a fiber sequence

$$\tau_{\geq 2n-1} \varprojlim_k \mathrm{TC}(R[t]/t^k) \rightarrow \tau_{\geq 2n-1} \mathrm{TC}(R) \rightarrow (\tau_{\geq 2n-2} \mathrm{TR}(R))[2].$$

Using Corollary 2.31 and Proposition 3.16, this implies that we have a fiber sequence

$$\mathrm{Fil}^n \varprojlim_k \mathrm{TC}(R[t]/t^k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}^n \mathrm{TC}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}^{n-1} \mathrm{TR}(R)[2].$$

Applying quasisyntomic descent produces a natural isomorphism

$$(\mathrm{Fil}^{n-1} \mathrm{TR}(S))[1] \simeq \varprojlim_k \mathrm{fib}(\mathrm{Fil}^n \mathrm{TC}(S[t]/t^k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}^n \mathrm{TC}(S));$$

this finishes the proof. \square

Proposition 4.2. *Let S be a quasisyntomic \mathbf{F}_p -algebra. Then we have a natural isomorphism*

$$\prod_{(u,p)=1} \mathbb{L}W\Omega_S^{n-1} \simeq \mathrm{fib} \left(\varprojlim_k \mathbf{Z}_p(n)(S[t]/t^k)[n] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p(n)(S)[n] \right).$$

PROOF. By passing to the graded pieces in the filtered isomorphism in Proposition 4.1, we obtain a natural isomorphism

$$\prod_{(u,p)=1} \mathbb{L}W\Omega_S^{n-1}[n-1][1] \simeq \mathrm{fib} \left(\varprojlim_k \mathbf{Z}_p(n)(S[t]/t^k)[2n] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p(n)(S)[2n] \right),$$

which gives the desired result. \square

Construction 4.3 (Frobenius and Verschiebung). Let S be a quasisyntomic \mathbf{F}_p -algebra. Let

$$C(\mathbf{Z}_p(n)[n]_S) := \mathrm{fib} \left(\varprojlim_k \mathbf{Z}_p(n)(S[t]/t^k)[n] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p(n)(S)[n] \right),$$

which we regard as curves on $\mathbf{Z}_p(n)[n]$. Let $m \geq 0$ be an integer. The assignment $t \mapsto t^m$ determines an endomorphism $V_m: C(\mathbf{Z}_p(n)[n]_S) \rightarrow C(\mathbf{Z}_p(n)[n]_S)$, which we call the m -th *Verschiebung*. We will now construct the m -th Frobenius maps. Let us first assume that S is quasiregular semiperfect. We will construct a “transfer endomorphism”

$$\Phi_m: \varprojlim_k \mathbf{Z}_p(n)(S[t]/t^k) \rightarrow \varprojlim_k \mathbf{Z}_p(n)(S[t]/t^k) \quad (4.1)$$

To do so, one notes that there are transfer maps $\mathrm{TC}(S[t]/t^{km}) \rightarrow \mathrm{TC}(S[t]/t^k)$ induced by the map $S[t]/t^k \rightarrow S[t]/t^{km}$ determined by $t \mapsto t^m$. This induces a map

$$\tilde{\Phi}_m: \varprojlim_k \mathrm{TC}(S[t]/t^k) \rightarrow \varprojlim_k \mathrm{TC}(S[t]/t^k).$$

Now, using the assumption that S is quasiregular semiperfect, Proposition 3.16, and passing to graded pieces produces the desired transfer map (4.2) on the p -adic Tate

twists. By quasisyntomic descent, for any quasisyntomic \mathbf{F}_p -algebra, we obtain a “transfer map”

$$\Phi_m: \varprojlim_k \mathbf{Z}_p(n)(S[t]/t^k) \rightarrow \varprojlim_k \mathbf{Z}_p(n)(S[t]/t^k). \quad (4.2)$$

The latter induces an endomorphism

$$F_m: C(\mathbf{Z}_p(n)[n]_S) \rightarrow C(\mathbf{Z}_p(n)[n]_S),$$

that we call the m -th *Frobenius*.

Remark 4.4. Note that the Frobenius and Verschiebung operators on $\mathrm{TR}(S)$ induce the operators F_m and V_m on the left hand side of Proposition 4.2 as well. Using [McC23, Rmk. 2.4.6], it follows that Proposition 4.2 is compatible with the F_m and V_m defined on both sides.

Construction 4.5 (p -typicalization). Let S be a quasisyntomic \mathbf{F}_p -algebra. Using Construction 4.3, we obtain natural maps $\eta_m: \mathrm{fib}(F_m) \rightarrow C(\mathbf{Z}_p(n)[n]_S)$, which maybe viewed as an object of $D(\mathbf{Z}_p)_{/C(\mathbf{Z}_p(n)[n]_S)}$. We define

$$\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{Z}_p(n)[n]_S) := \prod_{(m,p)=1, m>1} \eta_m \in D(\mathbf{Z}_p)_{/C(\mathbf{Z}_p(n)[n]_S)},$$

where the product is taken in $D(\mathbf{Z}_p)_{/C(\mathbf{Z}_p(n)[n]_S)}$. Naively, one may think of $\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{Z}_p(n)[n]_S)$ as “ $\bigcap_{(m,p)=1, m>1} \mathrm{fib}(F_m)$ ”, where the latter should be suitably interpreted as above. By analogy with the classical situation, we will call $\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{Z}_p(n)[n]_S)$ the p -typical curves on $\mathbf{Z}_p(n)[n]$ over S . Note that $\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{Z}_p(n)[n]_S)$ is naturally equipped with the operators $F := F_p$ and $V := V_p$.

Corollary 4.6. *Let S be a quasisyntomic \mathbf{F}_p -algebra. Then we have a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{L}W\Omega_S^{n-1} \simeq \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{Z}_p(n)[n]_S),$$

which is compatible with the F and V defined on both sides.

PROOF. This follows from Proposition 4.2, the previous discussion and the description of the Frobenius on $\mathrm{TR}(S)$ following [Hes96, Prop. 3.3.1]. \square

References

- [AM77] Michael Artin and Barry Mazur, *Formal groups arising from algebraic varieties*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **10** (1977), no. 1, 87–131.
- [AN21] Benjamin Antieau and Thomas Nikolaus, *Cartier modules and cyclotomic spectra*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **34** (2021), no. 1, 1–78.
- [Bha12] Bhargav Bhatt, *p -adic derived de Rham cohomology*, 2012, arXiv:1204.6560.
- [Blo77] Spencer Bloch, *Algebraic K-theory and crystalline cohomology*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1977), no. 47, 187–268.
- [BMS19] Bhargav Bhatt, Matthew Morrow, and Peter Scholze, *Topological Hochschild homology and integral p -adic Hodge theory*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **129** (2019), 199–310.
- [Car67] Pierre Cartier, *Groupes formels associés aux anneaux de Witt généralisés*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **265** (1967), A49–A52.
- [DR23] Micah Darrell and Noah Riggenbach, *TR of quasiregular semiperfect rings is even*, 2023, arXiv:2308.13008.
- [GP18] Owen Gwilliam and Dmitri Pavlov, *Enhancing the filtered derived category*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **222** (2018), no. 11, 3621–3674.
- [Hes96] Lars Hesselholt, *On the p -typical curves in Quillen’s K-theory*, Acta Math. **177** (1996), no. 1, 1–53.

- [Ill79] Luc Illusie, *Complexe de de Rham–Witt et cohomologie cristalline*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **12** (1979), no. 4, 501–661.
- [IR83] Luc Illusie and Michel Raynaud, *Les suites spectrales associées au complexe de de Rham–Witt*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1983), no. 57, 73–212.
- [Kat80] Kazuya Kato, *A generalization of local class field theory by using K -groups. II*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **27** (1980), no. 3, 603–683.
- [Lur09a] Jacob Lurie, *Derived Algebraic Geometry I: Stable ∞ -Categories*, 2009, arXiv:0608228.
- [Lur09b] Jacob Lurie, *Higher topos theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 170, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.
- [Lur17] ———, *Higher Algebra*, available at <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>, 2017.
- [Mat22] Akhil Mathew, *Some recent advances in topological Hochschild homology*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **54** (2022), no. 1, 1–44.
- [McC23] Jonas McCandless, *On curves in K -theory and TR* , J. Eur. Math. Soc. (2023).
- [Rig22] Noah Riggenbach, *K -theory of truncated polynomials*, 2022, arXiv:2211.11110.
- [Sul23] Yuri J. F. Sulyma, *Floor, ceiling, slopes, and K -theory*, Ann. K-Theory **8** (2023), no. 3, 331–354.

(Sanath K. Devalapurkar)

Email address: `sdevalapurkar@math.harvard.edu`

(Shubhodip Mondal)

Email address: `smondal@math.ubc.ca`