

Thursday seminar

14-4-2022



Higher exponents of generalized Moore spaces

from p -exponents to v_i -exponents, $i \geq 0$

(MN): $\mathbb{Z}_p^{2n+1} \times \mathbb{S}^{2k+1}$ has exp. p^n (p odd)

Wazj: v_i -periodic Wazj groups

$\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{S}^3$ are p -torsion

and v_i^2 acts trivially ($p \geq 5$)

guess: v_i not trivially on $\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{S}^l$ for $i < n$

however: the spectrum $\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{S}^3$ does not have

a v_i -exponent

$E_*(\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{S}^3)$ is not v_i -torsion

CNN, Nordecker: for p odd, $k \geq 2$, the space $\mathcal{S}^2 \mathcal{S}^k /_{pr}$ has exponent p^{r+1}

The result: for $p=2$, $r \geq 2$, get exponent
 $\mathcal{S}^k /_{\mathbb{Z}}$?

What about generalized Moore spaces?

$$\mathcal{S}^k / (p^{r_0}, v_1^{r_1}, \dots, v_{n-1}^{r_{n-1}})$$

(or just general finite type in space V)

guess: these have a v_i -exponent for $i < n$

obs.: the intersection spectrum of V
certainly does

Goal: This is true after inverting v_h
(for arbitrary $h \geq 0$).

In particular: $\Phi_h(\sum V)$ has v_i -exponent
 $i \leq n$

Preliminaries

① V pointed finite space of type i ,
 $\sum^d V \xrightarrow{\sim} V$ via self-map

then $X \in \mathcal{I}_h$ has a v_i -exponent if

$$\text{Map}_*(V, X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{S}^d \text{Map}_*(V, X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \dots$$

is wiped out

Generally: ℓ pointed ∞ -cat with finite limits

$$X^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{S}^d X^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} \dots$$

② "inverting v_h " :

localize Δ_v by testing colimit of v_h

fix finite space F of type $h+1$,

a suspension

d : dim of bottom cell of F

L_h^f Borsfeld localization w.r.t. $F \rightarrow *$

Define $L_h^f \downarrow \{d\}$ for full subcategory of L_h^f

a d -connected, L_h^f -local spaces.

The (Borsfeld): A map $X \rightarrow Y$ of d -conn-
spaces is a L_h^f -equiv. if and only if

\Downarrow is a iso or v_i -pseudo type maps
for $0 \leq i \leq h$.

facts: $\rightarrow \Delta_+(\mathbf{d}) \xrightarrow{\text{L}_h^f} L_h^f \Delta_+(\mathbf{d})$ preserves
 columns \rightarrow finite limits
 $+ L_h^f \Delta_+(\mathbf{d})$ admits Goodwillie calculus,
 $" P_k(L_h^f \Delta_+(\mathbf{d})) = L_h^f P_k id "$

Min Thm: If $X \in \Delta_+(\mathbf{d})$ such that
 $L_h^f \sum^\infty X$ has a v_i -exp., $i < n$, then
 so does the space $L_h^f \Sigma X$.

Cor. The spectrum $E_h(\Sigma X)$ also has
 a v_i -exp., $i < n$.

outline to pt b:

part A: "H-spaces are wrapped in $L_h^f L_k(d)$ "

fact: if X is a natural H-space, then in fact it is a ind. loop space
(product of EM's)

Def. An object $X \in L_h^f L_k(d)$ is

hyper wrapped (ab exp. k) if

$X \rightarrow P_k X$ admits a retraction.

(cf. Biedermann-Dwyer)

(from joint work with Brinthon, Hahn, Yuan)

Th A: Any H-space $X \in L_h^b L_b(d)$ is
hairy in�ets of exponent p^h .

Part B:

Th B (Mather): If $S_p \xrightarrow{F} S_p$ is a
reduced polynomial functor (in the sense of
Goodwillie) and Y has a v_i -exp., then the
 $F(Y)$ also has a v_i -exp.

proof of Th B

take $X \in L_b(d)$, s.t. $L_h^b \sum^\infty X$ has v_i -exp.
suffices to show $L_h^b R\mathcal{E} X$ has a v_i -exp.

thus is highly nilpotent of exponent p^h (Th A),
 so suffices to find exponents for

$$D_L(R\Sigma X) \cong R^\infty \left(\text{Id} \otimes \left(L_h^f \Sigma^\infty R\Sigma X \right)^{\otimes k} \right)_{h \geq k}$$

with $k \leq p^h$

apply Thm B, observing that

$$L_h^f \Sigma^\infty R\Sigma X = \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} L_h^f \Sigma^\infty X^{\otimes j}$$

has same exponent as $L_h^f \Sigma^\infty X$.

□

Proof of Th A:

by H-space \Rightarrow a retract of a loop space,

so wlog X is a loop space

Step 1: X loop space $\Rightarrow X \xrightarrow{\eta} R\Sigma X$ has
 retractor

\Rightarrow sufficient to establish factorization

$$X \xrightarrow{1} R \Sigma X$$
$$\downarrow \quad \nearrow$$
$$P_p X$$

will do this for $\approx X$ (not necessarily
loop space)

Step 2: functors involved preserve selected colimits, so
suffices to do this for generators

$$X = L_h^b \left(\underbrace{\Sigma^{d+1} \vee \dots \vee \Sigma^{d+1}}_k \right)$$

Step 3: Hilton - Moore theorem gives identification of η

as

weak-ish product over words w in
clusters for free Lie alg. or $x_1 \rightarrow x_k$

$$S^{d_{11}} v \dots v S^{d_{kk}} \xrightarrow{\eta} \prod_{w \in \text{block}} \mathcal{R}\Sigma w(S^{d_{11}}, \dots, S^{d_{kk}})$$

↑ motivation of "linear"
words x_1, \dots, x_k

$$S^{d_{11}} x \dots x S^{d_{kk}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}\Sigma S^{d_{11}} x \dots x \mathcal{R}\Sigma S^{d_{kk}}$$

Step 4: Arone-Mahowald:

$$S^l \rightarrow P_{\tilde{P}}(S^l) \rightsquigarrow \begin{cases} l \text{-equiv} \\ l \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

(A l we: $\mathbb{Z}P^k \rightarrow A l we$)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S^{d+1} \times \dots \times S^{d+1} & \longrightarrow & \Omega \sum S^{d+1} \times \dots \times \Omega \sum S^{d+1} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 P_{ph}(S^{d+1} \times \dots \times S^{d+1}) & & \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\
 P_{ph}(S^{d+1}) \times \dots \times P_{ph}(S^{d+1}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Omega P_{ph}(\sum S^{d+1}) \times \dots \times \Omega P_{ph}(\sum S^{d+1})
 \end{array}$$

one of the vertical composites \Rightarrow a L^2 -quadratic
 by Atiyah-Mahowald, \Rightarrow get half is square

□

part B: polynomial factors & exponents

ℓ stable ∞ -category

(in particular, it makes sense to have objects of
 ℓ with finite spectra)

F a dm. spectrum

Ded: (1) $X \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow F\text{-}\underline{\text{wipole}} \text{ of } A's$ in
the thick subcat. generated by objects
of the form $F \otimes Y$, $Y \in \mathcal{C}$

(2) $X \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow F\text{-}\underline{\text{torsion}}$ of $A's$ a
subset of $F\text{-}\underline{\text{wipole}}$ objects

Lemma: $X \in \mathcal{C}$ has a v_i -exp. $\Leftrightarrow X$ is
 V is in V-wipole for
 \Rightarrow type into cplex V

Proof: "": say $v_i^k \otimes X = \text{null}$
 $(\sum f \rightarrow F \text{ vs. self-map})$

then $\sum_{v_i^k}^{kl} F \otimes X \xrightarrow{0} F \otimes X \xrightarrow{\text{cyclic}} F / v_i^k \otimes X$

$F \otimes X \Rightarrow F/V, k$ - wfp.

" \Leftarrow : category of X_{el} with V -crys as thick,
so suffice to do this for $V \otimes Y$,
 Y_{el}

but V has sub-crys by
Periodicity then \square

Theorem (Matthew): Let $P: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be
a reduced polynomial functor between stable
 ∞ -cats. Then P preserves F -wfped
objects

Prf: Embed \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} into $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ & $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{D})$.

Existed P to $\hat{P}: \text{Ind}(l) \rightarrow \text{Ind}(D)$

preserving filtered objects.

By induction on Goodwillie tower, suffices to treat homogeneous \hat{P} .

$$\hat{P}(X) \cong L(X, _, X)_{h\mathbb{Z}_n}$$

for some multilinear $L: \text{Ind}(l)^n \rightarrow \text{Ind}(D)$,

so \hat{P} preserves F -torsion objects

but this suffices!

If $X \in l$ F -represents, then

$\hat{P}(X)$ is filtered colimit of compact F -rep.
objects, but also F -rep. $\in \text{Ind}(D)$

$\Rightarrow \hat{P}(X)$ retract of a F -rep. object. \square

Cor: Let \vee be finite type in spectrum.

then the fibers are abg.

$$L_{T_2} \left(\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} (L(k) \otimes V^{\otimes k})_{k \geq 1} \right)$$

has a (p, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) -exp.

by contrast: $L_{T_1} \text{Sym}^{\geq 1}(S/p)$ does not have a p -exp.