all-comb (tral, begin+1, n) will be called, begin = -1, and we know that our first call to all comb has begin=O. This is a contradiction. is called on I time, where $I=2^{\circ}=2^{\text{begin}}$. This is correct (base case holds)

I.H.: For a particular spin, where begins (n, the function all comb on the parameters of the begin, n) is called 2 begin times.

He parameters of the lock from lines. Induction Step: Now, form the value Regions. The of black from lines 4-9 doesn't call any instance of the function all comb. Only the else block does that on lines 15 and 17. If on the current call, begin=K+1, then it's easy to see that in the previous call, begin=K. For each case when begin=K, the function all comb on the farameters (tval, K+1, n) is called twice, i.e. on lines 15, 17. By I.H, the function all comb() on the parameters (tval, K, n) is called . .. The total no. of times the function all-comb on the parameters [tval, KHI, n) is called = 2.2 = 2 KH = 2 begin [] i. We have shown for a fixed n, and \tegin(n) begin(n), the function all comb (tval, begin, n) is called 2 begin times. Now, we can do a similar proof when the no. of variables is n+1, and From here, our original claim follows. :. Total no. of function calls: 2+2+2++2n=(2n+1-1) We leave aside the original function call. . Now it's $(2^{n+1}-2)$ = $2(2^n-1)$