

Proof: Suppose we have the set of persons $P = \{P_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 6\}$.

We assume that the relation "Knows" is symmetric, i.e. $\forall i, j, 1 \leq i, j \leq 6, i \neq j$
 P_i Knows P_j iff P_j Knows P_i .

Let, $\forall i, 1 \leq i \leq 6$, person P_i has the set of ~~known~~ known persons in F_i .

There are two possible cases:

① There exists $P_x \in P$, s.t. $x \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq x \leq 6$ and $|F_x| > 2$.

Suppose we arbitrarily pick $P, q, r \in F_x$ and each of them being unique.
 Suppose P is P_j , q is P_k and r is P_l , $1 \leq j, k, l \leq 6$. The assumption is valid
 since F_x can only be a subset of P .

If $(P_k \in F_j \text{ and } P_j \in F_k)$ or $(P_k \in F_l \text{ and } P_l \in F_k)$ or $(P_j \in F_l \text{ and } P_l \in F_j)$
 then $(P, q, r), (q, r, P), (P, r, P)$ respectively forms a set of three
 people where everyone knows each other.

Else, if none of the above is true, (P, q, r) forms a triple where none
 knows each other.

② $\forall i, 1 \leq i \leq 6, i \in \mathbb{N}, |F_i| \leq 2$.

Suppose, we have $P_x \in P, 1 \leq x \leq 6$, s.t. $|F_x| > 0$. If no such P_x exist,
 then ~~from~~ we can take any 3 element subset of P ; since none of them
 knows each other mutually.

So, we claim P_x exist.

Let $|F_x| = 1$, and let $P_y \in F_x$, where $1 \leq y \leq 6, x \neq y$. Suppose, $\{P_z, P_w, P_u, P_v\}$ represent the set of people whom P_x doesn't know,
 $1 \leq z, w, u, v \leq 6$.

Suppose, we pick P_z . $\because |F_x| \leq 2$, there
 exists at least one ~~element~~ person in the set $\{P_z, P_w, P_u, P_v\}$ whom
 P_x doesn't know. Wlog, let it be P_u . (By pigeonhole principle)

$\therefore \{P_x, P_z, P_u\}$ form a triple where none know each other.