

will have product ~~than~~ greater than 72, of the respective groups.
 So, even if now we put 3, 5 and 6 in one group, the product is $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 = 90$ which is inevitably greater than 72.

$$(9 \times a) \times (8 \times b) \times (x) = \text{const.} \quad \text{If both } 9 \times a \text{ and } 8 \times b \text{ decrease, then } x \text{ increases.}$$

Use this basic fact to write the proof a bit more rigorously

Prob 21: Prove that there exists an integer whose decimal representation consists entirely of 1's, and which is divisible by 1987.

Suppose, let us consider the series $S = 1, 11, 111, 1111, \dots, \underbrace{1111 \dots 1}_{(1987 \text{ 1's})}$
 If a term a_i , where a_i consists of i 1's is div by 1987, we are already done.

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, the terms a_i gives remainders r_i on dividing by 1987 where $1 \leq r_i \leq 1986$, $r_i \in \mathbb{N}$. (By div algorithm)
 Since there are 1987 terms, by pigeonhole principle, $\exists a_i, a_j \in S$ s.t.
 $a_i \equiv a_j \pmod{1987}$

$$a_i = 1987q_i + r \quad a_j = 1987q_j + r$$

$$(a_i - a_j) = 1987(q_i - q_j)$$

$$\text{or, } (\underbrace{1111 \dots 1}_{(i)} - \underbrace{1111 \dots 1}_{(j)}) = 1987(q_i - q_j)$$

$$\text{or, } 1987 \mid (\underbrace{111 \dots 1}_{(i-j)} \underbrace{00 \dots 0}_j) \quad \text{or, } 1987 \mid (\underbrace{111 \dots 1}_{(i-j)} \times \underbrace{100 \dots 0}_{(j)})$$

2 and 5 are not present in the prime factorization of 1987. (Observe)

\therefore There is no way a no. of the form 10^i will be div by 1987.

\therefore The no. having $(i-j)$ 1's must be div by 1987 (Euclid's div lemma)
 (This is a contradiction to our assumption)

Prob 28: Prove that among any 6 people there are either 3 people, each of whom knows the other two, or 3 people, each of whom does not know the other two.