

2016 President's Club Leader's Board

District Business Manager Team (n=59)
August 2016



					Q1		Q2		Q3 QTD (A	ugust)	Q4 Q1	TD		YTD		
District	District	Incumbent DBM	Eligible	Interim Award Status	Target	Nation	Target	Nation	Target	Nation	Target	Nation	Target	YTD Rank	Nation	Region
Code	Name	incumbent DBW	Eligible	Interim Award Status	Earnings %	Rank	Earnings %	Percentile	Rank	Rank						
	Little Rock, AR	Clyde Williams III	Yes	Trending PC; Top 3	127.72%	(1)	173.09%	(1)	140.42%	(1)		!	147.08%	100.00%	1	1
	Jackson, MS	Perry Egger Jr	Yes	Trending PC; Top 3	104.30%	(11)	135.09%	(2)	74.08%	(7)		<u> </u>	104.49%	98.31%	2	1
1740500	Tampa, FL	Steven Shaver	No	Trending PC; Top 3	123.03%	(2)	107.14%	(6)	67.68%	(12)		•	99.29%	96.61%	3	2
1740600	Miami, FL	Robyn Miller	Yes	Trending PC; Next 1/Reg	103.68%	(12)	118.45%	(3)	69.94%	(8)		į	97.36%	94.92%	4	3
1730300	Memphis, TN	Matthew Lasley	Yes	Trending PC; Next 1/Reg	110.77%	(4)	116.00%	(4)	59.54%	(20)		İ	95.44%	93.22%	5	1
1710600	Pittsburgh, PA	Todd Bridge	Yes	Trending PC; Next 1/Reg	110.45%	(5)	112.69%	(5)	61.07%	(15)		İ	94.73%	91.53%	6	1
1711000	Cleveland, OH	Barry Chudakoff	Yes		94.81%	(26)	104.93%	(7)	69.09%	(10)		ĺ	89.61%	89.83%	7	2
	Charlotte, NC	Dominic Delizza	Yes	Trending PC; Next 1/Reg	109.52%	(6)	89.64%	(11)	69.15%	(9)		<u> </u>	89.44%	88.14%	8	1
1720900	Raleigh, NC	Kimberly Pope	Yes		122.66%	(3)	83.02%	(16)	61.31%	(14)		<u> </u>	89.00%	86.44%	9	2
	South Georgia	Michael Moulton	Yes		102.28%	(15)	78.01%	(18)	86.62%	(3)			88.97%	84.75%	10	4
	Toledo, OH	Donald Jurek	Yes		99.50%	(20)	103.55%	(8)	59.77%	(18)			87.61%	83.05%	11	3
	Orlando, FL	Briann Parson	Yes		100.12%	(18)	83.86%	(15)	78.54%	(5)		İ	87.51%	81.36%	12	5
	Omaha, NE	Todd Schneeberger	Yes	Trending PC; Next 1/Reg	95.91%	(24)	87.21%	(12)	79.05%	(4)		ł	87.39%	79.66%	13	2
	Oklahoma City, OK	Eric Wayman	Yes		106.11%	(8)	85.78%	(14)	68.82%	(11)		<u> </u>	86.91%	77.97%	14	3
	New Orleans, LA	Stephen LeBlanc	Yes		103.36%	(13)	77.95%	(19)	74.39%	(6)		į	85.24%	76.27%	15	6
	Cincinnati, OH	Scott Johnson	Yes	1	105.60%	(9)	86.41%	(13)	57.77%	(23)		İ	83.26%	74.58%	16	4
	Milwaukee, WI	Brian Schmit	Yes		85.98%	(46)	56.67%	(45)	98.92%	(2)		į	80.52%	72.88%	17	2
	Saint Louis, MO	Jerome Frick	Yes		100.86%	(16)	98.00%	(9)	42.59%	(44)		İ	80.48%	71.19%	18	3
	Fort Worth, TX	Ronald Cadet	Yes		106.26%	(7)	71.24%	(28)	60.23%	(17)			79.24%	69.49%	19	4
	Tallahassee, FL	Kimberly Wasden	No		84.05%	(52)	91.48%	(10)	53.71%	(28)			76.41%	67.80%	20	7
	Atlanta, GA	Vacant	No		94.00%	(27)	74.41%	(23)	58.87%	(22)		İ	75.76%	66.10%	21	8
	Salt Lake City, UT	John Pennell	Yes	Trending PC; Next 1/Reg	87.81%	(42)	80.99%	(17)	55.28%	(25)			74.70%	64.41%	22	1
	Birmingham, AL	Larry Schifer	No		95.64%	(25)	76.33%	(22)	51.71%	(31)		<u> </u>	74.56%	62.71%	23	9
	Knoxville, TN	Richard Lomax	No		105.46%	(10)	67.05%	(32)	50.84%	(33)		ļ	74.45%	61.02%	24	4
	South Jersey, NJ	Dahlia Orapello	Yes		90.83%	(35)	76.92%	(21)	55.18%	(26)		•	74.31%	59.32%	25	3
	Indianapolis, IN	Matthew Roberts	No		98.04%	(22)	66.47%	(34)	53.02%	(30)		į	72.51%	57.63%	26	5
	Lexington, KY	Gerry Hall	Yes		100.75%	(17)	62.92%	(38)	53.33%	(29)			72.34%	55.93%	27	5
	Baltimore, MD	Michael Ciaffi	Yes		95.99%	(23)	74.37%	(24)	45.43%	(41)		İ	71.93%	54.24%	28	6
	Houston, TX	Vacant	No		88.68%	(40)	67.37%	(31)	59.70%	(19)		ĺ	71.92%	52.54%	29	5
	Albany, NY	Kimberly Fear	Yes		102.75%	(14)	66.36%	(35)	46.08%	(39)			71.73%	50.85%	30	4
	Buffalo, NY	Craig Waringa	Yes		91.65%	(33)	69.39%	(30)	54.08%	(27)			71.71%	49.15%	31	5
	Washington, DC	Vacant	No		90.06%	(38)	73.59%	(25)	49.33%	(37)			71.00%	47.46%	32	7
	San Antonio, TX	Richard Silverman	Yes		86.14%	(44)	60.87%	(41)	63.26%	(13)			70.09%	45.76%	33	6
	Spokane, WA	Laird Adams	Yes		85.53%	(49)	72.25%	(26)	50.46%	(34)			69.41%	44.07%	34	2
	Philadelphia, PA	Halima Holloway	Yes		93.69%	(29)	63.22%	(37)	50.87%	(32)		İ	69.26%	42.37%	35	6
1730700	Chicago West, IL	Daniel McAvoy	Yes		90.45%	(36)	58.97%	(43)	56.31%	(24)		İ	68.58%	40.68%	36	6
	Dallas, TX	James Galluccio	No		83.10%	(53)	61.32%	(40)	58.99%	(21)		İ	67.80%	38.98%	37	7
	Detroit, MI	Cristina Gleason	Yes		91.72%	(32)	71.91%	(27)	37.99%	(48)		Ì	67.20%	37.29%	38	8
	Las Vegas, NV	Christopher Hausbeck	No		91.60%	(34)	62.26%	(39)	47.24%	(38)			67.03%	35.59%	39	3
	Grand Rapids, MI	Randy Wrona	Yes		85.97%	(47)	77.17%	(20)	37.77%	(49)		<u> </u>	66.97%	33.90%	40	9
	Kansas City, MO	David Lowe	Yes		88.79%	(39)	66.63%	(33)	45.48%	(40)		İ	66.97%	32.20%	41	7
	Richmond, VA	Steven Zegarski	Yes		90.34%	(37)	69.98%	(29)	39.78%	(46)		İ	66.70%	30.51%	42	10
	South Carolina	LeAvis Sullivan	Yes		87.34%	(43)	51.72%	(51)	60.29%	(16)		İ	66.45%	28.81%	43	10
	Newark, NJ	George Evancho	Yes		99.50%	(19)	60.38%	(42)	37.60%	(50)		ĺ	65.83%	27.12%	44	7
	Boston, MA	Gregory Byrnes	Yes	1	85.93%	(48)	64.49%	(36)	44.29%	(42)		!	64.90%	25.42%	45	8
	Nashville, TN	Erik Nordgren	Yes		84.86%	(51)	56.46%	(46)	50.40%	(35)		ļ	63.91%	23.73%	46	8
	Portland, OR	Patrick Nave	Yes	1	99.14%	(21)	57.01%	(44)	31.29%	(55)		<u> </u>	62.48%	22.03%	47	4
	Chicago, IL	Laurie Gallo	Yes		93.16%	(30)	43.60%	(55)	50.29%	(36)		į	62.35%	20.34%	48	9
	Hartford, CT	James Gill	Yes	1	92.99%	(31)	55.89%	(48)	35.64%	(52)		<u> </u>	61.51%	18.64%	49	9
	Denver, CO	Andrea Buechel	No		93.89%	(28)	52.66%	(50)	36.18%	(51)		İ	60.91%	16.95%	50	8
	Sacramento, CA	Lesley Smith	Yes	1	85.46%	(50)	53.96%	(49)	39.41%	(47)		İ	59.61%	15.25%	51	5
	Los Angeles, CA	Michele Ringler	Yes		77.36%	(56)	56.09%	(47)	44.16%	(43)		İ	59.20%	13.56%	52	6
	Tucson, AZ	Martin Capanna	Yes		88.08%	(41)	50.72%	(52)	34.19%	(53)		ĺ	57.66%	11.86%	53	9
	New York, NY	Gary Hinds	Yes	1	86.05%	(45)	47.70%	(53)	33.24%	(54)		İ	55.66%	10.17%	54	10
	San Francisco, CA	Gina Telford	Yes		74.65%	(57)	46.65%	(54)	40.71%	(45)			54.00%	8.48%	55	7
1760300	Seattle, WA	Becky Snyder	No	1	81.02%	(55)	41.93%	(56)	22.35%	(58)		!	48.44%	6.78%	56	8
	Minneapolis, MN	Garry Colquitt	No		81.60%	(54)	38.11%	(57)	22.95%	(57)		!	47.55%	5.09%	57	10
1760900	San Diego, CA	Scott Roppatte	No	1	70.98%	(59)	30.44%	(58)	28.71%	(56)			43.37%	3.39%	58	9
	Phoenix, AZ	Christina Daugherty	Yes	l	73.64%	(58)	14.32%	(59)	19.86%	(59)			35.94%	1.70%	59	10

The 2016 President's Club rankings now shows "interim status," based on current territory trending. Final award winners are dependent on a full 12-months of geography performance and incumbent eligibility.

2016 President's Club Ranking

Performance Criteria:

- ⇒ % of Target Earnings (normalizes for different IC targets for TBM I/TBM II)
 - Mighest average % of Target Earnings over all four quarters will be ranked #1
- Ranked within Teams (Hysingla, Butrans, Portfolio, ATBM, District)
- Territory's Annual (12 Month) performance is ranked (Associates are eligible in the geography where they are active for 8 or more months in the program year)
 - © Field associates must also be active in field for 150 business days
 - Individual IC Eligibility is not considered in Territory Ranks
 - Associate performance rankings are not prorated across transfer assignments
- □ IC earnings may include contest earnings (Q2 Summer SURGE Earnings will apply to Award rankings, whereas Spring FORWARD ePoints will not)
- ⇒ IC earnings do not include DFT/RFT payments
- Territory earnings for New Hires are applied to rankings directly. Capitated ranges for new hire periods -- 75% or 125% -- are not considered for rankings.

Eligibility Criteria:

- Field Associates must be employed and in good standing for the performance year, up to and Award trip date (Spring 2017) in order to participate in President's Club and other Sales Awards
- Must be hired on or before April 1, 2016 to participate, and active 8 months in winning assignment
- The transferees must be in top 50% percentile for 1st half 2016 (YTD June) to be eligible
- **⊃** Leaves of Absence (LOAs) are not considered active periods in assignments (as defined in Section 6 of 2016 IC Eligibility document)
- Awards do not cascade, if a winning assignment does not have an eligible associate assigned

2016 President's Club Details

Sales	Regional National Winners Winners		Total Winners	Business Performance Award (BPA) Next 5% Nationally		
Hysingla ER Territory		ngla ER Territory nally Then, Top (2) Territories per Region (12)	Up to 40 Territories	Next 3 Hysingla ER Territories per Region		
Butrans Territory		Then, Top (1) Territories per Region (6)	Up to 21 Territories	Next 2 Butrans Territories per Region		
Portfolio Territory	#1: Top (1) Terr	ritory Nationally	Up to 1 Territory	Next 1 Portfolio Territory Nationally		
Account TBM	Top (2) N	lationally	Up to 2 ATBMs	Next 1 ATBM Nationally		
Transfer Pool	Top (2) Eligible Transferees, Nationally		Up to 2 Nationally Must be in top 50% percentile for 1st half 2016 (YTD June) to be eligible	Next 1 Nationally		
District	Top (3) Districts Nationally	Then, Top 1 District per Region (6)	Up to 9 Districts	N/A		