IN THE MOOT COURT OF THE GALE CUP

(ON APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF CANADA)

BETWEEN:

CATHIE GAUTHIER

APPELLANT

AND:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

RESPONDENT

APPELLANT'S FACTUM

McCann, Sancho Respondant, Counsel Other, Name Another, Name

Counsel for the Appellant Counsel for the Respondent

Contents

Part I	Attribution	1
Part II	STATEMENT OF FACTS	1
Part III	Argument	2
A. Ove	erview	2
B. Arg	gument	3
C. The	e majority of the Supreme Court of Canada erred in adding a	
new	v element to the defence of abandonment	3
(1)	Party liability	3
(2)	The defense of abandonment until this case	3
Part IV	Nature of the Order Sought	3

PART I—ATTRIBUTION

Except for this section, this document is an attempted reproduction of the factum at http://www.allard.ubc.ca/sites/www.allard.ubc.ca/files/uploads/moots/sample_appellants_factum_0.pdf. I'm using that content to test my custom authoring system.

PART II—STATEMENT OF FACTS

1. The Appellant, Cathie Gauthier, was convicted after trial by jury of three counts of first degree murder. Her appeal to the Québec Court of Appeal was dismissed, as was her appeal to the Supreme Court of Canada. The Appellant appeals from that decision to this Court.

R v Gauthier, 2013 SCC 32 [Gauthier SCC]. R v Gauthier, 2011 QCCA 1395 [Gauthier CA].

2. On the evening of December 31, 2008, the Appellant's spouse, Marc Laliberté, served poisoned drinks to himself, the Appellant, and the couple's three children. The Appellant alone survived, and was convicted of three counts of first degree murder.

Gauthier SCC, supra para 1 at para 2. Gauthier CA, supra para 1 at paras 5-6.

3. **The prosecution theory** was that the Appellant had participated in the offence by planning a murder-suicide pact with her spouse, by purchasing

prescription medication four days before her husband ultimately put them in the drinks that killed the children, and by omitting to intervene when her spouse served those drinks. The Crown led evidence of a pact between the Appellant and her spouse through documents: a joint will, her spouse's "life story", and several letters.

Gauthier SCC, supra para 1 at para 18, 14. Gauthier CA, supra para 1 at para 41.

PART III—ARGUMENT

A. Overview

4. This appeal raises the question of whether a woman who withdraws from a murder-suicide pact with her spouse and believes that he has also withdrawn should nonetheless be convicted of murder when he carries through with the offence on his own. The Appellant's primary position is that the Supreme Court of Canada erred in reformulating the defence of abandonment so as to require that a party take reasonable steps to neutralize previous assistance or to prevent the commission of the offence. However, even if this court accepts this new element, it should not be applied retrospectively to the Appellant.

- B. Argument
- C. The majority of the Supreme Court of Canada erred in adding a new element to the defence of abandonment
 - (1) Party liability
 - (2) The defense of abandonment until this case

PART IV—NATURE OF THE ORDER SOUGHT

Table of Authorities

Cases	Pages
R v Gauthier, 2013 SCC 32	1, 2
R v Gauthier, 2011 QCCA 1395	1, 2