



PDPM
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DESIGN AND MANUFACTURING,
JABALPUR

DESIGN PROJECT REPORT

DS-302

Controlling Air Pollution Emission

Group B2-06

Project Team:

ADITYA Dhawan
PRANJUL Shukla
RAVURI Abhignya
RISHI Mishra
SANDEEP Gupta

Course In-charge

Prof. PUNEET Tandon

Instructor

Dr. SAMRAT Rao

November 15, 2017

Contents

1	Introduction	4
2	Objective	5
3	User and Literature Survey	6
3.1	User Survey	6
3.2	Literature Survey	7
4	Need Statement	8
4.1	Expectations	8
5	Product Specification	9
5.1	Benchmarking	9
5.2	Quality Function Deployment (QFD)	10
5.3	Qualitative Specification	11
5.4	Quantitative Specification	13
6	Concepts	14
6.1	Underground pollution suction system	14
6.2	Overhead pollution Suction system	15
6.3	Electrostatic precipitation system	15
6.4	Pole based pollution suction system	16
6.5	Smog sucking vehicles	17
6.6	Exhaust smoke purifier	17
7	Concept Evaluation and Selection	18
7.1	Pugh Concept Selection Method	18
7.2	List of Pros and Cons	20
8	Embodiment Design	22
8.1	Block Diagram for the product working	22
8.2	Parametric Design Specification	22
9	Detail Design	24
9.1	Detail Dimensioning	26
9.2	Time Estimation of the Product	27
9.3	Cost Estimation	28
10	Prototype (Physical or Virtual)	29
10.1	Chassis	29
10.2	Chassis with Fan and vents	30
10.3	Outer Body attached	30
10.4	Filter attached	31
10.5	Front frame attached	31
10.6	Outlet and Sensor attached	32

11 Results	33
12 Concluding Remarks	34

Abstract

In this report we will give you an overview of our design project Vyom with the specifications and rendered images of its CAD model explaining it's functionalities.

The report contains description of all the user needs, different concepts that we created in order to meet those user needs, the evaluation process that we followed to evaluate our concepts and techniques that we applied in order to choose our final concept. It also contains the descriptive explanation of our concept and how it will serve our need statement.

There are numerous diagrams and charts that explain the issue that we are tackling, our need statement, design and provide a better understanding of what Vyom is all about. We've used sketches and renders to make it easier to visualize the looks and functioning of our concepts and final design. We've included some facts from different sources in order to strengthen our points and provide a better insight into the issue.

1 Introduction

With the rise in global warming and increasing pollution levels on metropolitan roads, it is becoming essential to find a viable alternative to reduce the pollution on the crowded roads.

Metros across the world bear the major brunt of environment pollution and Total Suspended Particulate (TSP) is 3 times the level WHO above annual average in in these cities which is having an adverse affect on the health of people and biodiversity nearby.

The Aim of this project was to create a product to lessen the pollution level on busy roads where the main criteria being it's cost and environment friendliness in terms of both efficiency and materials. This Report present design of six such forms, where initially we build the need statement, specified the product specification to come up with a single form upon evaluating them on benchmarked product.

Finally the most efficient product design is proposed with detailing of the process after product specification were screened with user requirement, need statement and effectiveness of the cost.




Figure 1: Major Causes of air pollution

2 Objective

The project aims towards reducing the amount of Particulate matter in the air around busy roads. Our desired product should be better than the existing solutions like anti-pollution mask, smog sucking bikes etc. and should provide better environment around busy roads. We expect our product to be able to reduce PM10 and PM2.5(particulate matter of 10 and 2.5 micron) levels on such roads significantly resulting in an environment that is pollution free and harmless to human life. The product should ensure and maintain clean air and provide safety to nearby biodiversity. On the whole, the product we aim to design should reduce concentration of particulate matter in the air around heavily crowded roads.

3 User and Literature Survey

3.1 User Survey

Due to the seriousness related to the issue, it is decided to do the user survey by observation and direct interviewing. The main asked were as follows,

Questionnaire

1. Do you face traffic daily?
2. Do you use open Vehicle like auto and bikes?
3. Do you face any problem due to air pollution?
4. Whether you faces health related problem due to pollution?
5. Is minimization of air pollution on roads a good solution?




Figure 2: Responses of User survey through Bar Chart

Analysis of Data]

- Around 90% people accepted to minimize on-road air pollution as a good solution.
- 65-85% people accepted transportation as a major cause
- 80% people said that they are facing health related issues due to air pollution

3.2 Literature Survey

- Filtering Mask
 - Easily available and user friendly
 - No protection against skin and eye problems



Figure 3: Filtering Gas Mask

- Smog sucking Bikes(Proposed Solution)
 - For specific people owning the bikes
 - Purifies air while you are cycling



Figure 4: Smog Sucking Bikes

- Parasitic Robots (Theoretical Solution)
 - Absorbs pollution, to generate its own power



Figure 5: Parasitic Robots

4 Need Statement

To design a sustainable solution to the problem of air pollution on busy roads that is not user specific and is cheap and efficient.

4.1 Expectations

- Should tackle problems like tearing of eyes
- Energy-efficient
- Less noisy
- Not user specific
- Cost effective

5 Product Specification

5.1 Benchmarking

To get to know more details about specification of the product with some benchmarked products in this field. Gas Mask and smog sucking being the most prominent, we benchmarked specification with them.

	IMP	PLANNED		GAS MASK		SMOKE SUCKING BIKE	
		RAT ING	Wgt. Rati ng	RAT ING	Wgt. Rati ng	RATI NG	Wgt. Rati ng
Improve air Quality	5	4	20	3	15	2	10
Tough Casting	3	4	12	2	6	4	12
Energy Efficient	4	4	16	5	20	5	20
Low Maintenance /Installation Cost	5	4	20	5	25	4	20
Need not to carry	5	5	25	1	5	1	5
Water proof	4	3	12	1	4	4	16
Less Noisy	3	4	12	5	15	4	12
Attractive	2	3	6	2	4	3	6
No Seasonal Effect	4	4	16	4	16	3	12
Low Production Cost	4	4	16	5	20	4	16
Low carbon Footprint	4	3	12	4	16	4	16
Uses Renewable Energy	3	5	15	4	12	5	15
Roboust Design	4	4	16	2	8	2	8
Durable	5	4	20	1	5	2	10
SUM	55	55	218	44	171	47	178
AVG.			15.57		12.21		12.71

Figure 6: Benchmarking

5.2 Quality Function Deployment (QFD)

For our quality function deployment we gathered the engineering specifications that our product must have and mapped it with our customer requirements. We also benchmarked our product against existing product that dominates the market i.e the pollution gas mask.




Figure 7: Quality function Deployment

Specification of the product can be divided into two broad categories which are listed below.

5.3 Qualitative Specification

- **Seasons shouldn't effect it**

As the product will be open to atmosphere, so it must not be affected by any particular season.



Figure 8: Different seasons

- **Tough Casting Material**

Frequent accidents and other damages on roads can be catastrophic for the product so the material used must be tough.




Figure 9: Stress vs Strain curve

- **Energy Efficient and Low Power Consuming**

70% of energy still is being produced by non-renewable sources and that would be indirectly affecting the nature.



Figure 10: Power Grid

- **Maintenance and Installation Cost**

As the product is being used in public domain so its maintenance cost must be low and to avoid unnecessary Traffic on roads.



Figure 11: Under Maintenance

- **Water Proof**

Flooding of Roads in rainy season is a very common issue in many developing countries so the product must be water proof to protect it's electronic component.



Figure 12: Waterlogging

- **Less Noisy and attractive**

It should produce the least possible Noise and should be aesthetically pleasing also. Noise pollution is a major concern for crowded roads as it is producing around 80dbA loudness on the busy with heavy traffic.



Figure 13: Noise pollution

5.4 Quantitative Specification

Specifications	Details
Power rating $< 50W$	Per unit of system
Inlet air PM10 level > 80	WHO standard 40
Outlet air PM10 level < 80	
Net Width $< 0.75m$	Width to maximize area coverage
Net Height $< 1.2 m$	For better efficiency
Net Depth $< 0.5m$	Maximum depth of divider
Height of Outlet $> 0.5m$ (above device)	Avoid mixing of clear air
Inlet air volume flow rate $> 1030 \text{ gal/hr}$	Expected outcome
Inlet air velocity $8.67\text{-}13.33 \text{ cm/min}$	

Table 1: Quantitative Specification.

6 Concepts

By examining our user needs we generated a few concept that deliver their requirements and can be possible solutions to the problem of air pollution. Details of those concepts is discussed below.

6.1 Underground pollution suction system

- Suction system along with the filtration system will be fitted under the roads.
- The polluted air inlet vents will come on the surface of the road or along the sides.
- The clean air outlet will be away from roads in order to avoid re-filtering.
- This will be a centralized system with a single filtering system for multiple polluted air inlet vents.




Figure 14: Underground suction system sketch

6.2 Overhead pollution Suction system

- Multiple overhead arches will serve as inlet vents for polluted air.
- There will be a centralized filtration system for all overhead inlet arches.
- The clean air outlet will be attached to the central filtration system.
- This is a centralized system where the filtration system will be placed somewhere near the roads.




Figure 15: Overhead Pollution Suction System Sketch

6.3 Electrostatic precipitation system

- A set of inlets will pull the polluted air from the roads.
- An electrostatic precipitator will remove the suspended particulate matter from the polluted road.
- The outlet will grow out from the precipitator to release the purified air.




Figure 16: Electrostatic Precipitation system Sketch

6.4 Pole based pollution suction system

- A boxed structure holding the inlet, outlet and filtration system will be placed on any supporting pillar or pole.
- The whole filtration process will take place inside the boxed structure.
- Any particular road will hold a number of such structures with a central controller to synchronize them.




Figure 17: Pole Based suction System

6.5 Smog sucking vehicles

- A filtration system along with an inlet will be installed on the front of big vehicles like cars and buses
- The vehicle will suck the pollution, purify it, and release the purified air onto the surroundings.




Figure 18: Smog Sucking Vehicles Sketch

6.6 Exhaust smoke purifier

- A filtration setup will be installed on the exhaust pipes of vehicles.
- The filtration system will purify the air and remove the excess particulate matter from the exhaust air.
- This will target the root cause of major road and city air pollution




Figure 19: Exhaust smoke purifier Sketch

7 Concept Evaluation and Selection

Evaluation of concept against the user needs and the way they fulfilled there need. We visualized the scenarios that our product might face while functioning. We listed out pros and cons for each concept that we developed. We used techniques like Pugh's selection method to evaluate each concept.

7.1 Pugh Concept Selection Method

Those concepts which have positive score were considered in further iteration for pugh concepts selection.

concept#	Name
Concept1	Pole based polluton suction system
Concept2	Smog sucking vehicles
Concept3	Electrostatic precipitation system
Concept4	Exhaust smoke purifier
Concept5	Overhead pollution suction system
Concept6	Underground pollution suction system

Figure 20: Concepts

Concept \ CRs	Concept1	Concept2	Concept3	Concept4	Concept5	Concept6
Improve air Quality	+	-	-	+	-	-
Tough Casting	+	-	-	+	+	+
Energy Efficient	+	+	-	+	+	-
Low Maintenance /Installation Cost	+	+	-	+	-	-
Need not to carry	0	0	0	0	0	0
Water proof	+	+	+	0	+	+
Less Noisy	+	+	+	0	0	0
Attractive	0	+	+	0	0	0
No Seasonal Effect	0	0	0	0	0	-
Low Production Cost	+	+	+	0	0	0
Low carbon Footprint	+	+	-	0	-	-
Uses Renewable Energy	0	-	+	0	0	0
Roboust Design	0	-	-	-	-	-
Durable	+	-	-	0	-	-
Sum +	9	7	7	3	2	
Sum -	0	5	5	2	7	
Sum 0	5	2	2	9	5	
Net Score	9	2	0	2	1	-5
Rank	1	2	4	2	3	5
continue	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N

Figure 21: Pugh selection based on Customer Requirements

Concept	Concept1	Concept2	Concept3	Concept4	Concept5	Concept6
ECs						
Power consumption	+	+		+	+	-
impact strength	+	-		-	+	+
material cost	+	+		+	-	-
production cost	+	+		+	-	-
Hydrophobic	0	-		-	+	+
Anti Corrosiveness	+	+		-	+	+
Recyclable	+	-		-	+	-
Average Working Time	0	-		-	0	0
Thermal exp.Coefficient	0	+		+	0	+
Filtering Time	+	+		+	0	0
System Bulkiness	+	+		+	0	-
Thermal Conductivity	0	+		+	0	+
Inlet/outlet air Velocity	0	-		-	-	-
Body Design	+	+		+	0	0
Air Filtering Technology	-	+		-	+	0
Heat Emission	+	+		-	0	0
Sum +	10	10		8	4	5
Sum -	1	6		8	5	7
Sum 0's	5	0		0	7	4
Net Score	9	4		0	-1	-2
Rank	1	2	3	3	4	5
Continue	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N

Figure 22: Pugh selection based on Engineering Characteristics

Concept	Concept1	Concept2	Concept3	Concept4
ECs				
Power consumption		+	-	+
impact strength		-	-	-
material cost		+	-	+
production cost		-	-	-
Hydrophobic		-	-	-
Anti Corrosiveness		-	+	-
Recyclable		-	0	-
Average Working Time		-	0	-
Thermal exp.Coefficient		-	-	+
Filtering Time		+	-	-
System Bulkiness		-	0	+
Thermal Conductivity		-	0	-
Inlet/outlet air Velocity		-	0	-
Body Design		0	0	-
Air Filtering Technology		0	+	-
Heat Emission		-	0	-
Sum +		3	2	4
Sum -		11	7	12
Sum 0's		2	7	0
Net Score		-8	-5	-8
Rank		3	4	2
Continue		N	N	N

Figure 23: Pugh selection based on Engineering Characteristics 2nd iteration

As the datum(Concept 1 - Pole based suction system) is the only one which get the positive score so we are considering datum as our final concept.

7.2 List of Pros and Cons

1. Underground pollution suction system

- Pros
 - Requires lesser ground space
 - Centralized filtration provides better efficiency and lesser power consumption.
- Cons
 - Installation and maintenance cost is high
 - Water logging and rain may produce issue for such design

2. Pole based pollution suction system

- Pros
 - Can fulfill any type of pollution control requirement
 - Maintenance and installation costs are cheap.
 - Can withstand most weather condition.
- Cons
 - Is lesser efficient compared to centralized filtration systems
 - Requires fairly enough ground space or installation space

3. Overhead pollution Suction system

- Pros
 - Is more efficient as it has a centralized filtration system.
 - Can withstand most weather conditions
- Cons
 - Installation cost is comparatively very high
 - Can create issues with the traffic control
 - Requires large ground space for installation as centralized filter is installed on the ground.

4. Electrostatic precipitation system

- Pros
 - Lesser suction power required as no pressure is needed to purify the air
 - The electrostatic precipitator doesn't require consistent replacement and cleaning and can work for long
- Cons

- Requires a lot of ground space
- Needs a lot of power to run
- High humidity in air can affect it adversely

5. Smog sucking vehicles

- Pros
 - Not limited to a particular place.
 - Can reduce more than other setups for the same amount of time.
- Cons
 - Power required for filtration is needed to be delivered by the vehicle which would not be efficient.
 - Will require proper maintenance from time to time requires a lot of vehicles to install the setup in order for the solution to make any difference

6. Exhaust smoke purifier

- Pros
 - This solution in most ideal cases gives maximum efficiency
 - This directly minimizes the major source for air pollution around the world
- Cons
 - It requires very frequent monitoring and removal of soot from the filter.
 - Maintaining such a setup is exhausting and time consuming
 - It directly affects the performance of one's vehicles and is highly dependent on the amount of people adapting to the solution

8 Embodiment Design

8.1 Block Diagram for the product working




Figure 24: Block Diagram

8.2 Parametric Design Specification

- **Sensor**

- Working voltage: DC 5.0 (+- 0.5V)
- Working current(Max): 90mA
- Humidity Range:Working Conditions 0-95% RH
- Temperature Range: Working Conditions -20 to 60 degree
- DSM501 dust sensor can sense more than 1 micron tiny particles.

- **Filter**

- High Efficiency Particulate Absorber(HEPA) type filtration
- Effectively removes up to 1 micron particles
- Helps absorb harmful pollutants such as VOC's.
- HEPA filtration removes up to 99.97% of airborne allergens.

- **Air pump**

- Power Consumption 35W
- Flow Rate 1030 gal/hr
- Can withstand humidity of 95%
- Air Velocity - 8.67-13.33 cm/min

- **Micro controller**

- Operating Voltage 5V
- Input Voltage Limits 6-20V
- Digital I/O pins 14(of which 6 provide PWM output)
- Analog Input pins 6
- DC current per I/O pin 40mA
- DC current per 3.3V pin 50mA
- Flash Memory 32 KB
- SRAM 2KB
- EEPROM 1KB
- Clock Speed 16Mhz

9 Detail Design




Figure 25: Lower Duct Drawing sheet




Figure 26: Filter Case Drawing sheet

Drawing Sheet




Figure 27: Base Drawing sheet




Figure 28: Upper Duct Drawing sheet

9.1 Detail Dimensioning



Figure 29: Detail Dimensioning of the product

9.2 Time Estimation of the Product

ACTIVITY	PREDECESSORS	TIME(In Weeks)	DESCRIPTION
A	--	3	Purchasing(material collection)
B	A	2	Chassis
C	B	3	Finishing Body Parts
D	C	1	Assembling and Component Fixation
E	D	2	Electrical connections
F	D	1	Programming
G	E,F	2	Finishing
H	G	1	Testing

Figure 30: Estimation of Time




Figure 31: Time Estimation, Activity on Nodes

Critical Path: a-b-c-d-e-g-h

Time in Critical Path: 15 Weeks (Minimum Project Completion time)

9.3 Cost Estimation

After conducting the market survey, we estimated the cost of the internal components to be as given in the figure 26.

PRODUCT	COST
MICROCONTROLLER	₹ 500
HEPA FILTER	₹ 800
27 FT. ANGLE IRON	₹ 800
GI SHEET	₹ 1100
EXHAUST FAN	₹ 1200
PM 2.5 TESTING SENSOR	₹1600
EXTRA	₹ 200
TOTAL	₹ 6200

Figure 32: Estimation of Cost

10 Prototype (Physical or Virtual)

Explored View This section contains how the product will look at different stages of its making as estimated in a sequential order.




Figure 33: Explored View of the product

10.1 Chassis



Figure 34: Chassis prototype

10.2 Chassis with Fan and vents




Figure 35: Chassis with Fan and vents prototype

10.3 Outer Body attached



Figure 36: Outer Body attached prototype

10.4 Filter attached



Figure 37: Filter attached prototype

10.5 Front frame attached




Figure 38: Front frame attached prototype

10.6 Outlet and Sensor attached



Figure 39: Outlet and Sensor attached prototype

11 Results

The results that we expect to obtain from our design project are as follows,

- Cleaner air around busy roads in major cities.
- Better environment around the more polluted parts of the city.
- Lower **PM10** and **PM2.5** levels for the city resulting in a better quality of air for everyone to breath.
- A reliable system that ensures clean air and better surrounding ensuring conservation of biodiversity around polluted roads.

12 Concluding Remarks

There isn't a doubt that the world needs a solution to the problem of pollution in this age of ever growing requirement of energy.

Reducing pollution is the more sensible approach as escaping it would not be an option in a few years. Transportation being one of the most influential factors for the increasing pollution in the air has to be targeted and by our product we are doing the same.

Instead of making people survive the existing pollution we are making the environment cleaner for humans to live in. Unlike conventional measures which are incapable of delivering a better quality of air for people, our product will help making the environment around busy roads in big metropolitans around the world pollution free and clean.

As the solution to the problem of pollution that we proposed is un-thought of, there will be numerous challenges surrounding the development of the same. Some major challenges include

- Reducing product's installation and production price to an extent that it's comparatively cheaper for Governments and Industries to install.
- Making it look aesthetically appealing as it needs to stay in public domain and none of us would like to see a hideous box ruining the city's beauty.

As the challenges are tough we need to make the processes and materials involved in the production of our product efficient and cheap. With that done we don't want it to hinder the lives of people living nearby so it shouldn't be noisy and eventually the product should turn out to be alluring in order for it to be likable by the people that pass by.
