

STATE OF NEW YORK
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

REQUEST: June 14, 2018

AGENCY: MAP

FH #: 7773898H

In the Matter of the Appeal of	:
	: DECISION
	AFTER
	: FAIR
	HEARING
from a determination by the New York City	:
Department of Social Services	:

JURISDICTION

Pursuant to Section 22 of the New York State Social Services Law (hereinafter Social Services Law) and Part 358 of Title 18 NYCRR, (hereinafter Regulations), a fair hearing was held on July 11, 2018, in New York City, before an Administrative Law Judge. The following persons appeared at the hearing:

For the Appellant



For the Managed Long Term Care Plan

Plan appearance waived by the Office of Administrative Hearings

ISSUE

Was the Appellant's Managed Long Term Care Plan's June 14, 2018 determination, not to increase the Appellant's Personal Care Service hours correct?

FINDINGS OF FACT

An opportunity to be heard having been afforded to all interested parties and evidence having been taken and due deliberation having been had, it is hereby found that:

1. The Appellant, age ninety-three, resides alone has been in receipt of Medical Assistance authorizations for Medicaid managed long term care

2. The Appellant has been enrolled in Managed Long Term Care through Centers Plan for Healthy Living (the Plan).

3. The Appellant has been in receipt of personal care services for 84 hours per week (12 hours per day, 7 days per week)

4. On or about May 1, 2018 the Appellant requested an increase in the number of personal care service hours.

5. On June 14, 2018 the Plan issued a Final Adverse Determination which advises the Appellant of the Plan's determination to deny the request for an increase in the number of the Appellant's personal care service hours.

6. On June 1, 2018, the Plan conducted, by a Registered Nurse, an in home assessment of the Appellant's needs based upon a "Significant change in status" and issued a Uniform Assessment System – New York Assessment (Comprehensive) Report.

7. On June 14, 2018, the Appellant requested this fair hearing.

APPLICABLE LAW

18 NYCRR 358-5.9. Fair hearing procedures.

(a) At a fair hearing concerning the denial of an application for or the adequacy of public assistance, medical assistance, HEAP, SNAP benefits or services; or an exemption from work activity requirements the appellant must establish that the agency's denial of assistance or benefits was not correct or that the appellant is eligible for a greater amount of assistance or benefits or is exempt from work requirements pursuant to Part 385 of this Title.

Part 438 of 42 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) pertains to provision of Medicaid medical care, services and supplies through Managed Care Organizations (MCOs), Prepaid Inpatient Health Plans (PIHPs), Prepaid Ambulatory Health Plans (PAHPs) and Primary Care Case Managers (PCCMs), and the requirements for contracts for services so provided.

Section 438.210 of 42 CFR Subpart D provides, in pertinent part:

(a) Coverage - Each contract with an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP must do the following:

FH# 7773898H

(1) Identify, define, and specify the amount, duration, and scope of each service that the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP is required to offer.

(2) Require that the services identified in paragraph (a)(1) of this section be furnished in an amount, duration, and scope that is no less than the amount, duration, and scope for the same services furnished to beneficiaries under fee-for-service Medicaid, as set forth in Sec. 440.230.

(3) Provide that the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP--

(i) Must ensure that the services are sufficient in amount, duration, or scope to reasonably be expected to achieve the purpose for which the services are furnished.

(ii) May not arbitrarily deny or reduce the amount, duration, or scope of a required service solely because of diagnosis, type of illness, or condition of the beneficiary;

(iii) May place appropriate limits on a service

(A) On the basis of criteria applied under the State plan, such as medical necessity; or

(B) For the purpose of utilization control, provided the services furnished can reasonably be expected to achieve their purpose, as required in paragraph (a)(3)(i) of this section; and

(4) Specify what constitutes “medically necessary services” in a manner that:

(i) Is no more restrictive than that used in the State Medicaid program as indicated in State statutes and regulations, the State Plan, and other State policy and procedures; and

(ii) Addresses the extent to which the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP is responsible for covering services related to the following:

(A) The prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of health impairments.

(B) The ability to achieve age-appropriate growth and development.

(C) The ability to attain, maintain, or regain functional capacity.

FH# 7773898H

(b) Authorization of services. For the processing of requests for initial and continuing authorizations of services, each contract must require:

(1) That the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP and its subcontractors have in place, and follow, written policies and procedures.

(2) That the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP:

(i) Have in effect mechanisms to ensure consistent application of review criteria for authorization decisions; and

(ii) Consult with the requesting provider when appropriate.

(3) That any decision to deny a service authorization request or to authorize a service in an amount, duration, or scope that is less than requested, be made by a health care professional who has appropriate clinical expertise in treating the enrollee's condition or disease....

Section 438.236 of 42 CFR Subpart D provides, in pertinent part:

(a) Basic rule: The State must ensure, through its contracts, that each MCO and, when applicable, each PIHP and PAHP meets the requirements of this section.

(b) Adoption of practice guidelines. Each MCO and, when applicable, each PIHP and PAHP adopts practice guidelines that meet the following requirements:

(1) Are based on valid and reliable clinical evidence or a consensus of health care professionals in the particular field.

(2) Consider the needs of the MCO's, PIHP's, or PAHP's enrollees.

(3) Are adopted in consultation with contracting health care professionals.

(4) Are reviewed and updated periodically as appropriate.

(c) Dissemination of guidelines. Each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP disseminates the guidelines to all affected providers and, upon request, to enrollees and potential enrollees.

(d) Application of guidelines. Decisions for utilization management, enrollee education, coverage of services, and other areas to which the guidelines apply are consistent with the guidelines.

Section 438.400 of 42 CFR Subpart F provides in part:

FH# 7773898H

(a) Statutory basis. This subpart is based on sections 1902(a)(3), 1902(a)(4), and 1932(b)(4) of the Act.

(1) Section 1902(a)(3) requires that a State plan provide an opportunity for a fair hearing to any person whose claim for assistance is denied or not acted upon promptly.

(2) Section 1902(a)(4) requires that the State plan provide for methods of administration that the Secretary finds necessary for the proper and efficient operation of the plan.

(3) Section 1932(b)(4) requires Medicaid managed care organizations to establish internal grievance procedures under which Medicaid enrollees, or providers acting on their behalf, may challenge the denial of coverage of, or payment for, medical assistance.

(b) Definitions. As used in this subpart, the following terms have the indicated meanings:

In the case of an MCO or PIHP—"Action" means--

(1) The denial or limited authorization of a requested service, including the type or level of service;

(2) The reduction, suspension, or termination of a previously authorized service;

(3) The denial, in whole or in part, of payment for a service...

Section 438.402 of 42 CFR Subpart F provides in part:

(a) The grievance system. Each MCO [Managed Care Organization] and PIHP [Prepaid Inpatient Health Plan] must have a system in place, for enrollees, that includes a grievance process, an appeal process, and access to the State's fair hearing system...

Section 4403-f of the Public Health Law pertains to Managed Long Term Care Plans.

Article 49 of the Public Health Law pertains to Utilization Review and External Appeal.

Section 505.14(a)(1) of the Regulations defines "Personal Care Services" to mean some or total assistance with personal hygiene, dressing and feeding; and nutritional and environmental support functions. Such services must be essential to the maintenance of the patient's health and safety in his or her own home.

Section 505.14(a) of the Regulations provides in part that:

(2) Some or total assistance shall be defined as follows:

i) Some assistance shall mean that a specific function or task is performed and completed by the patient with help from another individual.

ii) Total assistance shall mean that a specific function or task is performed and completed for the patient.

(3) Continuous personal care services means the provision of uninterrupted care, by more than one person, for more than 16 hours per day for a patient who, because of the patient's medical condition and disabilities, requires total assistance with toileting, walking, transferring or feeding at times that cannot be predicted.

(5) Live-in 24-hour personal care services means the provision of care by one person for a patient who, because of the patient's medical condition and disabilities, requires some or total assistance with one or more personal care functions during the day and night and whose need for assistance during the night is infrequent or can be predicted.

(6) Personal care services shall include the following two levels of care, and be provided in accordance with the following standards:

(i) Level I shall be limited to the performance of nutritional and environmental support functions.

Note: Effective April 1, 2011 Social Services Law §365-a(2)(e)(iv), which is reflected in this regulation, was amended to provide that personal care services pursuant to this paragraph shall not exceed eight hours per week for individuals whose needs are limited to nutritional and environmental support functions.

(ii) Level II shall include the performance of nutritional and environmental support functions and personal care functions.

(a) Personal care functions shall include some or total assistance with the following:

- (1) bathing of the patient in the bed, the tub or in the shower;
- (2) dressing;
- (3) grooming, including care of hair, shaving and ordinary care of nails, teeth and mouth;
- (4) toileting; this may include assisting the patient on and off the bedpan, commode or toilet;
- (5) walking, beyond that provided by durable medical equipment, within the home and outside the home;

FH# 7773898H

- (6) transferring from bed to chair or wheelchair;
- (7) preparing meals in accordance with modified diets, including low sugar, low fat, low salt and low residue diets;
- (8) feeding;
- (9) administration of medication by the patient, including prompting the patient as to time, identifying the medication for the patient, bringing the medication and any necessary supplies or equipment to the patient, opening the container for the patient, positioning the patient for medication and administration, disposing of used supplies and materials and storing the medication properly;
- (10) providing routine skin care;
- (11) using medical supplies and equipment such as walkers and wheelchairs; and
- (12) changing of simple dressings.

MLTC policy memo 13.09(a) reminds Plans of MLTC Policy 13.09: Transition of Semi-Annual Assessment of Members to the Uniform Assessment System for New York which indicates that effective October 1, 2013, the Uniform Assessment System for New York (UAS-NY) will replace the Semi-Annual Assessment of Members (SAAM).

As per the statewide implementation plan, Plans must use the UAS-NY for all new members who are scheduled to enroll effective October 1, 2013; the SAAM assessment must not be used for these new enrollees. Additionally, the UAS-NY must be used for all reassessments beginning October 1, 2013.

All SAAM assessments conducted from June 16, 2013 through September 30, 2013 must be submitted to the Department of Health by October 31, 2013 via the regular SAAM submission process.

MLTC policy memo 13.09(b) advises in part:

1. Is it permissible for an MLTC Plan to have the nurse complete the 22 items to calculate the Nursing Facility Level of Care in order to determine if the individual meets the initial eligibility for one of the MLTC products? If the individual scores below a 5, the individual would not be assessed using the full UAS-NY Community Assessment.

No. All MLTC Plans (Partial Capitation, PACE and MAP) are required to conduct the full UAS-NY Community Assessment. The purpose of this tool, in use across all long term care programs and provider types, is to obtain consistent information related to Medicaid recipient care needs. The Department of Health will use this information to effectively inform future community based long term care policy for its entire population. Additionally, this assessment will be used

FH# 7773898H

by MLTC Plans to demonstrate reasons for denial of enrollment at Fair Hearings and as such will need to present a clear and consistent representation of the Medicaid recipient's total health care needs to justify their action.

It is important to note that the Nursing Facility Level of Care is not a determining factor for all Partial Capitation MLTC eligibility. Please refer to the MLTC contract for the full eligibility criteria.

General Information Service Message GIS 03/MA/03, released on January 24, 2003 by the New York State Department of Health, reads as follows:

The purpose of this GIS is to clarify and elaborate on the assessment of Personal Care Services pursuant to the Courts ruling in Rodriguez v. Novello and in accordance with existing Department regulations and policies.

Social services districts, including those using locally developed task based assessment (TBA) instruments, must complete a comprehensive assessment of the patients health care needs in order to determine the patients appropriateness for services and the amount, frequency and duration of a service authorization. Department regulations (18 N.Y.C.R.R. 505.14) require both a social and nursing assessment in the Personal Care Services patient assessment process.

The assessment process should evaluate and document when and to what degree the patient requires assistance with personal care services tasks and whether needed assistance with tasks can be scheduled or may occur at unpredictable times during the day or night. The assessment process should also evaluate the availability of informal supports who may be willing and available to provide assistance with needed tasks and whether the patients day or nighttime needs can totally or partially be met through the use of efficiencies and specialized medical equipment including, but not limited to, commode, urinal, walker, wheelchair, etc.

When the district, in accordance with 505.14 (a)(4), has determined the patient is appropriate for the Personal Care Services Program, a care plan must be developed that meets the patients scheduled and unscheduled day and nighttime personal care needs. In determining the appropriate amount of hours to authorize, the district must review the physicians order and the nursing and social assessments to assure that the authorization and scheduling of hours in combination with any informal support contributions, efficiencies and specialized medical equipment, is sufficient to meet the patients personal care needs.

Social services districts should authorize assistance with recognized, medically necessary personal care services tasks. As previously advised, social services districts are NOT required to allot time for safety monitoring as a separate task as part of the total personal care services hours authorized (see GIS 99 MA/013, GIS 99 MA/036). However, districts are reminded that a clear and legitimate distinction exists between safety monitoring as a non-required independent stand alone function while no Level II personal care services task is being provided, and the appropriate monitoring of the patient while providing assistance with the performance of a Level II personal care services task, such as transferring, toileting, or walking, to assure the task is being safely completed.

Completion of accurate and comprehensive assessments are essential to safe and adequate care plan development and appropriate service authorization. Adherence to Department assessments requirements will help assure patient quality of care and district compliance with the administration of the Personal Care Services Program

GIS 12 MA/026 entitled “Availability of 24-Hour Split-Shift Personal Care Services” provides that the Department has been directed by the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, in connection with the case of Strouchler v. Shah, to clarify the proper interpretation and application of 18 NYCRR 505.14 with respect to the availability of 24-hour, split-shift personal care services for needs that are predicted and for Medicaid recipients whose only nighttime need is turning and positioning.

It is the Department’s policy that 24-hour split-shift care should be authorized only when a person’s nighttime needs cannot be met by a live-in aide or through either or both of the following: (1)adaptive or specialized equipment or supplies including, but not limited to, bedside commodes, urinals, walkers, wheelchairs, and insulin pens, when the social services district determines that such equipment or supplies can be provided safely and cost-effectively; and (2)voluntary assistance available from informal caregivers or formal services provided by an entity or agency.

When a person’s nighttime needs cannot be met by the use of adaptive or specialized equipment or supplies or voluntary assistance from informal caregivers or formal services, a determination must be made whether the person needs 24-hour split-shift care (included within the regulatory definition of “continuous personal care services”) or live-in 24-hour personal care services. Under Section 505.14, this depends on whether the person needs “some” or “total” assistance with toileting, walking, transferring, or feeding, and whether these needs are “frequent” or “infrequent”, and able to be “scheduled” or “predicted”.

The intent of the regulation is to allow the identification of situations in which a person’s needs can be met by a live-in aide and still allow the aide to have an uninterrupted five hours for sleeping. The Department is considering changes to the regulations to better achieve this goal. In the meantime, the Department provides the following clarifications:

1. The fact that a person’s needs are predictable does not preclude the receipt of 24-hour split-shift care, if the person has a documented medical need for the tasks to be performed with a frequency that would not allow a live-in aide to perform them and still obtain an uninterrupted five hours of sleep.
2. The need for turning and positioning and/or the need for diaper changes, by themselves, neither preclude nor justify the receipt of 24-hour splitshift care. In order to receive 24-hour split-shift care, the person must have a documented medical need for those tasks to be performed so frequently that a live-in aide cannot provide them and still obtain an uninterrupted five hours of sleep.
3. A person with a documented medical need for turning and positioning may, if otherwise appropriate, qualify for either 24-hour split-shift care or live-in care depending on the frequency at which turning and positioning is required at night, regardless of whether the person has a nighttime need for transferring.

4. When determining whether a person requires 24-hour split-shift care or live-in care, the local professional director must consider whether the physician's order and other required assessments document the following:

- The existence of a medical condition that directly causes the person to need frequent assistance with personal care services tasks during the night;
- The specific task or tasks with which the person requires frequent assistance during the night;
- The frequency at which the person requires assistance with these tasks during the night;
- Whether the person requires similar assistance with these tasks during the daylight hours and, if not, why not;
- The informal supports or formal services that are willing, able and available to provide assistance with the person's nighttime tasks;
- The person's ability to use adaptive or specialized equipment or supplies to meet his or her documented medical need for assistance with nighttime tasks; and whether the person's physician has documented that, due to the person's medical condition, he or she could not safely use the equipment or supplies; and
- Whether a live-in aide would likely be able to obtain an uninterrupted five hours of sleep were live-in services to be authorized.

Amendments to 18 NYCRR 505.14 (Personal Care Services) and 18 NYCRR 505.28 (Consumer Directed Personal Assistance Program) [not shown above] were filed by the Department of Health and will be effective December 23, 2015

DISCUSSION

The record in this case establishes that the Appellant, age ninety-three, resides alone, and that she has been recipients of Managed Long Term Care. The Record also establishes that they have been in receipt of authorization for mutual plan of personal care service for a total of 84 hours per week (12 hours per day, 7 days per week).

The record further establishes that on or about May 1, 2018 the Appellant requested an increase in the number of personal care service hours. On June 14, 2018, the Appellant's long term managed care plan, denied the request for an increase in the number of personal care service hours for the Appellant.

At the hearing, the Appellant's representative sought an increase to 24 hours per day, 7 days per week sleep-in personal care service hours or split shift. The Appellant said she did not care whether the Appellant received 24 hours per day live in or 24 hours continuous care (split shift), although the representative said split shift would be easier.

At the hearing, a waiver evidence packet was entered into evidence, which included, a Uniform Assessment System – New York Assessment (Comprehensive) Report (UAS) dated June 1, 2018 and a prior UAS report, dated January 19, 2018. Both of the UAS reports also show that the Appellant has total dependence for most Activities of Daily Living (ADLs) and most significantly, for walking, locomotion, toilet transfer and toilet use, as well as for bathing, personal hygiene, upper and lower body dressing. The Appellant is blind in one eye, has great

FH# 7773898H

difficulty with her hearing and is frequently incontinent. The Appellant had hip replacement surgery in April 2018, due to a fall that broke the Appellant's hip and requires maximal assistance with walking, locomotion and toilet transfers.

Section 505.14(a)(5) of the Regulations states that "live-in 24-hour personal care services means the provision of care by one person for a patient who, because of the patient's medical condition and disabilities, requires some or total assistance with one or more personal care functions during the day and night and whose need for assistance during the night is infrequent or can be predicted.

The Appellant's granddaughter testified at the hearing that she visits frequently during the week, but cannot assist during throughout the nighttime as she has her own family and she works.

At the hearing, the Appellant's granddaughter also testified that on most days, the Appellant is frequently incontinent and this was supported by both of the UAS reports. This issue is one of the major reasons for the request for an increase in PCS hours, as the Appellant needs maximal assistance in toilet transfers. The Appellant has suffered from frequent urinary and skin infections as a result of the Appellant's incontinence issues after the home attendant leaves.

The testimony of the Appellant's granddaughter was detailed, consistent and persuasive, and there is nothing in the UAS reports which refutes her testimony, but rather supports it. Therefore, her testimony is deemed credible and supports the need for 24 hours live-in personal care service.

At a fair hearing concerning the denial of an application for or the adequacy of medical assistance or services; the Appellant must establish that the agency's denial of assistance or benefits was not correct or that the Appellant is eligible for a greater amount of assistance or benefits or is exempt from work requirements pursuant to Part 385 of this Title. Based upon the credible evidence, the Appellant's representative has met this burden by establishing that the Appellant is in need of 24 hour live-in personal care service which is an increase from the 84 hours per week she is currently receiving. There was insufficient evidence presented at the hearing to warrant 24 hour continuous care (split shift) as opposed to 24 hour live in care.

Accordingly, the Plan's June 1, 2018 determination, not to increase the Appellant's Personal Care Service hours cannot be sustained.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Managed Long Term Care Plan's June 1, 2018 determination not to increase the Appellant's Personal Care Service hours is not correct and is reversed.

FH# 7773898H

1. The Managed Long Term Care Plan is directed to authorize Personal Care Services to the Appellant in the amount of 24-hour sleep-in personal care services, 7 days per week.

As required by 18 NYCRR 358-6.4, the Plan must comply immediately with the directives set forth above.

DATED: Albany, New York
07/27/2018

NEW YORK STATE
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

By

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Al Chomey", with a large, stylized loop at the end.

Commissioner's Designee