

STATE OF NEW YORK
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

REQUEST: May 14, 2018

AGENCY: MAP

FH #: 7757087P

In the Matter of the Appeal of	:
	: DECISION
	AFTER
	: FAIR
	HEARING
from a determination by the New York City	:
Department of Social Services	:

JURISDICTION

Pursuant to Section 22 of the New York State Social Services Law (hereinafter Social Services Law) and Part 358 of Title 18 NYCRR, (hereinafter Regulations), a fair hearing was held on June 11, 2018, in New York City, before an Administrative Law Judge. The following persons appeared at the hearing:

For the Appellant



For the Managed Long Term Care Plan (CPLH)

Plan appearance waived by the Office of Administrative Hearings

ISSUE

Was CPHL's determination not to increase the Appellant's Personal Care Aide Services authorization, correct?

FACT FINDINGS

An opportunity to be heard having been afforded to all interested parties and evidence having been taken and due deliberation having been had, it is hereby found that:

1. The Appellant, age 90, has been enrolled in a Managed Long Term Care Program and has received care and services, including Personal Care Services, through a Medicaid Managed Long Term Care Health Plan operated by Centers Plan for Healthy Living (CPHL).

2. On January 2, 2018, a nursing assessor completed a Uniform Assessment System New York (UANSY) Assessment (Comprehensive) Report of the Appellant's personal care needs including task sheets.

3. In or about March 2018, a request was made by the Appellant's representative for increase in Personal Care Aide hours from 49 hours weekly (7 hours daily, 7 days weekly).

4. On April 9, 2018, a nursing assessor completed a Uniform Assessment System New York (UANSY) Assessment (Comprehensive) Reports of the Appellant's personal care needs and it was finalized on April 27, 2018.

5. On April 11, 2018, CPHL authorized the Appellant to receive Personal Care Aide Services in the amount of 49 hours weekly, provided under a task-based plan of care (7 hours daily, 7 days weekly), on a mutual basis with his wife, who has been authorized to receive Personal Care Aide Services in the authorized amount of 35 hours per week provided under a task-based plan of care (5 hours per day, 7 days per week), Appellant's wife's Personal Care Services is not here at issue.

6. On May 14, 2018, the Appellant's representative requested this fair hearing to contest the Managed Long Term Care Plan's determination.

APPLICABLE LAW

Section 358-3.1 of the Regulations provides, in part:

- (a) An applicant or recipient has the right to challenge certain determinations or actions of a social services agency or such agency's failure to act with reasonable promptness or within the time periods required by other provisions of this Title, by requesting that the Department provide a fair hearing. The right to request a fair hearing cannot be limited or interfered with in any way.
- (b) If you are an applicant or a recipient of assistance, benefits or services you have a right to a fair hearing if:
 - (3) your public assistance, medical assistance, SNAP or services have been discontinued, suspended or reduced...
 - (6) your public assistance, medical assistance, HEAP or services are inadequate...

Part 438 of 42 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) pertains to provision of Medicaid medical care, services and supplies through Managed Care Organizations (MCOs), Prepaid Inpatient Health Plans (PIHPs), Prepaid Ambulatory Health Plans (PAHPs) and Primary Care Case Managers (PCCMs), and the requirements for contracts for services so provided.

Section 438.210 of 42 CFR Subpart D provides, in pertinent part:

(a) Coverage - Each contract with an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP must do the following:

(1) Identify, define, and specify the amount, duration, and scope of each service that the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP is required to offer.

(2) Require that the services identified in paragraph (a)(1) of this section be furnished in an amount, duration, and scope that is no less than the amount, duration, and scope for the same services furnished to beneficiaries under fee-for-service Medicaid, as set forth in Sec. 440.230.

(3) Provide that the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP--

(i) Must ensure that the services are sufficient in amount, duration, or scope to reasonably be expected to achieve the purpose for which the services are furnished.

(ii) May not arbitrarily deny or reduce the amount, duration, or scope of a required service solely because of diagnosis, type of illness, or condition of the beneficiary;

(iii) May place appropriate limits on a service

(A) On the basis of criteria applied under the State plan, such as medical necessity; or

(B) For the purpose of utilization control, provided the services furnished can reasonably be expected to achieve their purpose, as required in paragraph (a)(3)(i) of this section; and

(4) Specify what constitutes “medically necessary services” in a manner that:

(i) Is no more restrictive than that used in the State Medicaid program as indicated in State statutes and regulations, the State Plan, and other State policy and procedures; and

(ii) Addresses the extent to which the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP is responsible for covering services related to the following:

(A) The prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of health impairments.

(B) The ability to achieve age-appropriate growth and development.

(C) The ability to attain, maintain, or regain functional capacity.

(b) Authorization of services. For the processing of requests for initial and continuing authorizations of services, each contract must require:

(1) That the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP and its subcontractors have in place, and

FH# 7757087P

follow, written policies and procedures.

(2) That the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP:

(i) Have in effect mechanisms to ensure consistent application of review criteria for authorization decisions; and

(ii) Consult with the requesting provider when appropriate.

(3) That any decision to deny a service authorization request or to authorize a service in an amount, duration, or scope that is less than requested, be made by a health care professional who has appropriate clinical expertise in treating the enrollee's condition or disease....

Section 438.236 of 42 CFR Subpart D provides, in pertinent part:

(a) Basic rule: The State must ensure, through its contracts, that each MCO and, when applicable, each PIHP and PAHP meets the requirements of this section.

(b) Adoption of practice guidelines. Each MCO and, when applicable, each PIHP and PAHP adopts practice guidelines that meet the following requirements:

(1) Are based on valid and reliable clinical evidence or a consensus of health care professionals in the particular field.

(2) Consider the needs of the MCO's, PIHP's, or PAHP's enrollees.

(3) Are adopted in consultation with contracting health care professionals.

(4) Are reviewed and updated periodically as appropriate.

(c) Dissemination of guidelines. Each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP disseminates the guidelines to all affected providers and, upon request, to enrollees and potential enrollees.

(d) Application of guidelines. Decisions for utilization management, enrollee education, coverage of services, and other areas to which the guidelines apply are consistent with the guidelines.

Section 438.400 of 42 CFR Subpart F provides in part:

(a) Statutory basis. This subpart is based on sections 1902(a)(3), 1902(a)(4), and 1932(b)(4) of the Act.

(1) Section 1902(a)(3) requires that a State plan provide an opportunity for a fair hearing to any person whose claim for assistance is denied or not acted upon promptly.

(2) Section 1902(a)(4) requires that the State plan provide for methods of administration that the Secretary finds necessary for the proper and efficient operation of the

plan.

(3) Section 1932(b)(4) requires Medicaid managed care organizations to establish internal grievance procedures under which Medicaid enrollees, or providers acting on their behalf, may challenge the denial of coverage of, or payment for, medical assistance.

(b) Definitions. As used in this subpart, the following terms have the indicated meanings:
In the case of an MCO or PIHP—"Action" means--

(1) The denial or limited authorization of a requested service, including the type or level of service;

(2) The reduction, suspension, or termination of a previously authorized service;

(3) The denial, in whole or in part, of payment for a service...

Section 438.402 of 42 CFR Subpart F provides in part:

(a) The grievance system. Each MCO [Managed Care Organization] and PIHP [Prepaid Inpatient Health Plan] must have a system in place, for enrollees that includes a grievance process, an appeal process, and access to the State's fair hearing system...

Section 438.406 of 42 CFR Subpart F provides in part:

(a) General requirements. In handling grievances and appeals, each MCO and each PIHP must meet the following requirements:

(1) Give enrollees any reasonable assistance in completing forms and taking other procedural steps. This includes, but is not limited to, providing interpreter services and toll-free numbers that have adequate TTY/TTD and interpreter capability.

(2) Acknowledge receipt of each grievance and appeal.

(3) Ensure that the individuals who make decisions on grievances and appeals are individuals--

(i) Who were not involved in any previous level of review or decision-making; and

(ii) Who, if deciding any of the following, are health care professionals who have the appropriate clinical expertise, as determined by the State, in treating the enrollee's condition or disease.

(A) An appeal of a denial that is based on lack of medical necessity.

(B) A grievance regarding denial of expedited resolution of an appeal.

(C) A grievance or appeal that involves clinical issues.

(b) Special requirements for appeals. The process for appeals must:

(1) Provide that oral inquiries seeking to appeal an action are treated as appeals (to establish the earliest possible filing date for the appeal) and must be confirmed in writing, unless the enrollee or the provider requests expedited resolution.

(2) Provide the enrollee a reasonable opportunity to present evidence, and allegations of fact or law, in person as well as in writing. (The MCO or PIHP must inform the enrollee of the limited time available for this in the case of expedited resolution.)

(3) Provide the enrollee and his or her representative opportunity, before and during the appeals process, to examine the enrollee's case file, including medical records, and any other documents and records considered during the appeals process.

(4) Include, as parties to the appeal--

(i) The enrollee and his or her representative;

Section 4403-f of the Public Health Law pertains to Managed Long Term Care Plans.

Article 49 of the Public Health Law pertains to Utilization Review and External Appeal.

OBLIGATIONS OF THE CONTRACTOR

A. Provision of Benefits

1. The Contractor agrees to provide covered services set forth in Appendix G in accordance with the coverage and authorization requirements of 42CFR 438.210; comply with professionally recognized standards of health care and implement practice guidelines consistent with 42CFR 438.236; and comply with the requirements of 438.114 regarding emergency and post-stabilization services to the extent that services required to treat an emergency medical condition are within the scope of covered services in Appendix G.

2. Benefit package services provided by the Contractor under this Contract shall comply with all standards of the State Medicaid Plan established pursuant to State Social Services Law Section 363-a and shall satisfy all applicable requirements of the State Public Health and Social Services Law. Non-covered services for which the Enrollee is eligible under the Medicaid Program will be paid by the Department on a fee-for-service basis directly to the provider of service.

3. The Contractor agrees to allow each Enrollee the choice of Participating Provider of covered service to the extent possible and appropriate.

4. The Contractor agrees to maintain and demonstrate to the Department's satisfaction, a sufficient and adequate network for the delivery of all covered services either directly or through subcontracts. The Contractor shall meet the standards required by 42CFR 438.206 for availability of services; and 42CFR 438.207 for assurances of adequate capacity; and applicable sections of Public Health Law and regulations. If the network is unable to provide necessary services under this Contract for a particular Enrollee, the Contractor agrees to adequately and timely furnish these services outside of the Contractor's network for as long as the Contractor is unable to provide them within the network.

Appeal - a request for a review of an action taken by the Contractor.

Section B of Appendix K of the Managed Long Term Care Contract, provides in part:

B. APPEALS

An Appeal is a request for a review of an action taken by a plan.

Expedited Appeal – the plan determines or the provider indicates that a delay would seriously jeopardize the enrollee's life or health or ability to attain, maintain or regain maximum function or the action was the result of a concurrent review of a service authorization request. A member may also request an expedited review of an appeal. If an expedited review is not requested, the appeal will be treated as a standard appeal.

Plans must designate one or more qualified personnel who were not involved in any previous level of review or decision-making to review the appeal, and if the appeal pertains to clinical matters, the personnel must include licensed, certified or registered health care professionals. The plan may deny a request for an expedited review, but it must make reasonable efforts to give oral notice of denial of an expedited review and send written notice within 2 calendar days of oral request. The appeal is then handled as a standard appeal. A member's disagreement with plan's decision to handle as a standard appeal is considered a grievance – see Grievance Procedures.

An appeal may be filed orally or in writing. If oral, the plan must provide the member with a summary of the appeal in writing as part of acknowledgement or separately. The date of the oral request for both standard and expedited appeals is treated as the date of the appeal.

Note: New York has elected to require that a member exhaust the plan's internal appeal process before an enrollee may request a State Fair Hearing.

Section 2 of Appendix K of the Managed Long Term Care Contract sets forth language relating to the managed long-term care demonstration grievance and appeal process which must appear in the Contractor's Member Handbook. This language includes:

State Fair Hearings

If we did not decide the appeal totally in your favor, you may request a Medicaid Fair Hearing from New York State within 60 days of the date we sent you the notice about our decision on your appeal.

If your appeal involved the reduction, suspension or termination of authorized services you are currently receiving, and you have requested a Fair Hearing, you may also request to continue to receive these services while you are waiting for the Fair Hearing decision. You must check the box on the form you submit to request a Fair Hearing to indicate that you want the services at issue to continue. Your request to continue the services must be made within 10 days of the date the appeal decision was sent by us or by the intended effective date of our action to reduce, suspend or terminate your services, whichever occurs later. Your benefits will continue until you withdraw the appeal; the original authorization period for your services ends; or the State Fair Hearing Officer issues a hearing decision that is not in your favor, whichever occurs first.

18 NYCRR § 505.14(a)(1) provides that Personal care services means assistance with nutritional and environmental support functions and personal care functions, as specified in 18 NYCRR §§ 505.14(a)(5)(i)(a) and 505.14(a)(5)(ii)(a). Such services must be essential to the maintenance of the patient's health and safety in his or her own home, as determined by the social services district in accordance with Section 505.14; ordered by the attending physician; based on an assessment of the patient's needs and of the appropriateness and cost-effectiveness of services specified in 18 NYCRR § 505.14(b)(3)(iv); provided by a qualified person in accordance with a plan of care; and supervised by a registered professional nurse.

18 NYCRR § 505.14(a)(2) provides that Continuous personal care services means the provision of uninterrupted care, by more than one personal care aide, for more than 16 hours in a calendar day for a patient who, because of the patient's medical condition, needs assistance during such calendar day with toileting, walking, transferring, turning and positioning, or feeding and needs assistance with such frequency that a live-in 24 hour personal care aide would be unlikely to obtain, on a regular basis, five hours daily of uninterrupted sleep during the aide's eight hour period of sleep.

18 NYCRR § 505.14(a)(3)(iii) provides that Personal care services, including continuous personal care services and live-in 24-hour personal care services, shall not be authorized to the extent that the patient's need for assistance can be met by the following:

- (1) voluntary assistance available from informal caregivers including, but not limited to, the patient's family, friends, or other responsible adult;
- (2) formal services provided or funded by an entity, agency or program other than the medical assistance program; or
- (3) adaptive or specialized equipment or supplies including, but not limited to, bedside commodes, urinals, walkers, and wheelchairs, when such equipment or supplies can be provided safely and cost-effectively.

18 NYCRR § 505.14(a)(3)(iii) provides that the social services district must first determine whether the patient, because of the patient's medical condition, would be otherwise eligible for personal care services, including continuous personal care services or live-in 24-hour personal care services. For patients who would be otherwise eligible for personal care services, the district must then determine whether, and the extent to which, the patient's need for assistance can be met by voluntary assistance from informal caregivers, by formal services, or by adaptive or specialized equipment or supplies.

18 NYCRR § 505.14(a)(4) provides that Live-in 24-hour personal care services means the provision of care by one personal care aide for a patient who, because of the patient's medical condition, needs assistance during a calendar day with toileting, walking, transferring, turning and positioning, or feeding and whose need for assistance is sufficiently infrequent that a live-in 24-hour personal care aide would be likely to obtain, on a regular basis, five hours daily of uninterrupted sleep during the aide's eight hour period of sleep.

Section 505.14(a)(4)(iii) of the regulations provides personal care services shall not be authorized if the patient's need for assistance can be met by either or both of the following:

(a) voluntary assistance available from informal caregivers including, but not limited to, the patient's family, friends or other responsible adult; or formal services provided by an entity or agency; or

(b) adaptive or specialized equipment or supplies including, but not limited to, bedside commodes, urinals, walkers and wheelchairs, when such equipment or supplies can be provided safely and cost-effectively.

Regulations at 18 NYCRR §§ 505.14(a)(5)(ii)(b), 505.14(b)(4)(i)(c)(2) provides that the nursing assessment in continuous (split-shift) and live-in cases shall document the following:

(i) whether the physician's order has documented a medical condition that causes the patient to need frequent assistance during a calendar day with toileting, walking, transferring, turning and positioning, or feeding;

(ii) the specific personal care functions with which the patient needs frequent assistance during a calendar day;

(iii) the frequency at which the patient needs assistance with these personal care functions during a calendar day;

(iv) whether the patient needs similar assistance with these personal care functions during the patient's waking and sleeping hours and, if not, why not; and

(v) whether, were live-in 24-hour personal care services to be authorized, the personal care aide would be likely to obtain, on a regular basis, five hours daily of uninterrupted sleep during the aide's eight-hour period of sleep.

Regulations at 18 NYCRR § 505.14(b)(5)(v)(c)(1) provide that appropriate reasons and notice language to be used when denying personal care services include but are not limited to the following:

(v) the client refused to cooperate in the required assessment;

Regulations at 18 NYCRR § 505.14(b)(5)(v)(d) provides that the social services district may not authorize or reauthorize personal care services based upon a task-based assessment when the applicant or recipient of personal care services has been determined by the social services district or the State to be in need of 24-hour personal care, including continuous personal care services, live-in 24-hour personal care services or the equivalent provided by formal services or informal caregivers.

GIS 15 MA/024: Changes to the Regulations for the Personal Care Services Program (PCS) and the Consumer Directed Personal Assistance Program (CDPAP) provides for the following:

The purpose of this General Information System message is to inform local departments of social services (LDSS) eligibility and managed care staff of revisions to the Personal Care Services (PCS) and Consumer Directed Personal Assistance (CDPA) regulations at 18 NYCRR § 505.14

and 18 NYCRR § 505.28, respectively. These revised regulations were effective on December 23, 2015.

These changes to the PCS and CDPA regulations include, among other provisions, changes to the definitions and eligibility requirements for continuous ("split-shift") PCS and CDPA as well as live-in 24-hour PCS and CDPA. Consequently, LDSS workers must be aware of, and apply, effective immediately, the revised definitions and eligibility requirements when conducting PCA and CDPA assessments and reassessments. In addition, the revised regulations set forth revised criteria for notices that deny, reduce or discontinue these services. See the attached detailed summary of these changes and the Notice of Adoption, as published in the **New York State Register** on December 23, 2015.

Regulatory changes for PCS and CDPA include:

1. The definitions of "some assistance" and "total assistance" are repealed in their entirety. This means, in part, that a "total assistance" need with certain activities of daily living is no longer an eligibility requirement for continuous personal care services or continuous consumer directed personal assistance.
2. "Turning and positioning" is added as a specific Level II personal care function and as a CDPA function.
3. The definitions and eligibility requirements for "continuous personal care services," "live-in 24-hour personal care services," "continuous consumer directed personal assistance" and "live-in 24-hour consumer directed personal assistance" are revised as follows:
 - *a. Continuous personal care services* mean the provision of uninterrupted care, by more than one personal care aide, for more than 16 hours in a calendar day for a patient who, because of the patient's medical condition, needs assistance during such calendar day-in 24-hour personal care aide would be unlikely to obtain, on a regular basis, five hours daily of uninterrupted sleep during the aide's eight-hour period of sleep.
 - *b. Live-in 24-hour personal care services* means the provision of care by one personal care aide for a patient who, because of the patient's medical condition, needs assistance during a calendar day with toileting, walking, transferring, turning and positioning, or feeding and whose need for assistance is sufficiently infrequent that a live-in 24-hour personal care aide would be likely to obtain, on a regular basis, five hours daily of uninterrupted sleep during the aide's eight hour period of sleep.
 - *c. Continuous consumer directed personal assistance* means the provision of uninterrupted care, by more than one consumer directed personal assistant, for more than 16 hours in a calendar day for a consumer who, because of the consumer's medical condition, needs assistance during such calendar day with toileting, walking, transferring, turning and positioning, feeding, home health aide services, or skilled nursing tasks, and needs assistance with such frequency that a live-in 24-hour consumer directed personal assistant would be unlikely to obtain, on a regular basis, five hours daily of uninterrupted sleep during the aide's eight hour period of sleep.

- *d. Live-in 24-hour consumer directed personal assistance* means the provision of care by one consumer directed personal assistant for a consumer who, because of the consumer's medical condition, needs assistance during a calendar day with toileting, walking, transferring, turning and positioning, feeding, home health aide services, or skilled nursing tasks and whose need for assistance is sufficiently infrequent that a live-in 24-hour consumer directed personal assistant would be likely to obtain, on a regular basis, five hours daily of uninterrupted sleep during the aide's eight hour period of sleep.

Services shall not be authorized to the extent that the individual's need for assistance can be met by **voluntary** assistance from informal caregivers, by formal services other than the Medicaid program, or by adaptive or specialized equipment or supplies that can be provided safely and cost-effectively.

5. The nursing assessment is no longer required to include an evaluation of the degree of assistance required for each function or task, since the definitions of "some assistance" and "total assistance" are repealed.

6. The nursing assessment in continuous personal care services and live-in 24-hour personal care services cases must document certain factors, such as whether the physician's order has documented a medical condition that causes the patient to need frequent assistance during a calendar day with toileting, walking, transferring, turning and positioning, or feeding. The regulations set forth other factors that nursing assessments must document in all continuous PCS and live-in 24-hour PCS cases. Similar requirements also apply in continuous consumer directed personal assistance and live-in 24-hour consumer directed personal assistance cases.

7. The social assessment in live-in 24-hour PCS and CDPA cases would have to evaluate whether the individual's home has sleeping accommodations for an aide. If not, continuous PCS or CDPA must be authorized; however, should the individual's circumstances change and sleeping accommodations for an aide become available in the individual's home, the case must be promptly reviewed. If a reduction of the continuous services to live-in 24-hour services is appropriate, timely and adequate notice of the proposed reduction must be sent to the individual.

8. The regulations also revise the Department's regulations governing the content of notices for denials, reductions or discontinuances of PCS and CDPA. In subparagraph 505.14(b)(5)(v), the provisions governing social services districts' notices to recipients for whom districts have determined to deny, reduce or discontinue PCS are revised and reorganized. Paragraph 505.28(h)(5) is amended to provide additional detail regarding the content of social services district notices when the district denies, reduces or discontinues CDPA. All districts must ensure that their notices denying, reducing or discontinuing PCS or CDPA are consistent with these regulations and, in particular, include the specific reason for the action and, if applicable, the clinical rationale. All districts should ensure that their policies and procedures are appropriately and expeditiously updated to reflect these new requirements. If you have any questions, please services@health.ny.gov

General Information Service message 97 MA 033 includes a reminder concerning "statements of understanding". The GIS Message advises that the contribution of family members or friends to the care of a Personal Care Services applicant or recipient is voluntary and cannot be coerced or required in any manner whatsoever. A district may choose to implement so-called "statements of understanding" to reflect a family member's or friend's voluntary agreement to provide hours of care to a recipient whom the district has determined is medically eligible for split shift or live-in services. (See 95 LCM-76, section III, issued July 18, 1995, for a description of statements of understanding.) In New York City, the form statement of understanding is entitled "Agreement of Friend or Relative."

12 OHIP/ADM-1 states, in part:

With regard to informal caregivers, such support cannot be required but should be evaluated and discussed with the patient and the potential caregivers.

Administrative Directive 92 ADM-49 clarifies State policy with regard to the requirement that an applicant for/ recipient of Personal Care Services have a stable health condition, and be able to self-direct, and be able to direct a Personal Care Services worker. The ADM reiterates that responsibility for making certain choices can be delegated to a self-directive individual, or to an organization.

In Rodriguez v. City of New York, 197 F. 3rd 611 (Federal Court of Appeals, 2nd Circuit 1999), cert. denied 531 U.S. 864, the Plaintiffs were Personal Care Services recipients who alleged that they would be in receipt of inadequate service not meeting legal requirements, without the provision of safety monitoring as an independent task in their Personal Care Services authorizations. The district court had ruled in favor of the Plaintiffs, but the Court of Appeals held that the Agency is not required to provide safety monitoring as an independent Personal Care Services task in evaluating the needs of applicants for and recipients of Personal Care Services. Local Agencies were advised of this decision in GIS message 99/MA/036.

GIS 03 MA/03 was released to clarify and elaborate on the assessment of Personal Care Services pursuant to the Court's ruling in Rodriguez v. Novello and in accordance with existing Department regulations and policies. In relevant portion, this GIS Message states:

Social services districts should authorize assistance with recognized, medically necessary personal care services tasks. As previously advised, social services districts are **NOT** required to allot time for safety monitoring as a separate task as part of the total personal care services hours authorized (see GIS 99 MA/013, GIS 99 MA/036). However, districts are reminded that a clear and legitimate distinction exists between "safety monitoring" as a non-required independent stand-alone function while no Level II personal care services task is being provided, and the appropriate monitoring of the patient while providing assistance with the performance of a Level II personal care services task, such as transferring, toileting, or walking, to assure the task is being safely completed.

18 NYCRR 358-5.9(a) provides:

At a fair hearing concerning the denial of an application for or the adequacy of public

assistance, medical assistance, HEAP, SNAP benefits or services; or an exemption from work activity requirements the appellant must establish that the agency's denial of assistance or benefits or such an exemption was not correct or that the appellant is eligible for a greater amount of assistance or benefits.

DISCUSSION

The hearing record establishes that the Appellant, age 90, has been enrolled in a Managed Long Term Care Program and has received care and services, including Personal Care Aide services, through a Medicaid Managed Long Term Care Health Plan operated by Centers Plan for Healthy Living (CPHL). On January 2, 2018, a nursing assessor completed a Uniform Assessment System New York (UANSY) Assessment (Comprehensive) Report of the Appellant's personal care needs including task sheets. On April 9, 2018, a nursing assessor completed a Uniform Assessment System New York (UANSY) Assessment (Comprehensive) Reports of the Appellant's personal care needs and it was finalized on April 27, 2018.

On April 11, 2018, CPHL authorized the Appellant to receive Personal Care Aide services in the amount of 49 hours weekly, provided under a task-based plan of care (7 hours daily, 7 days weekly), on a mutual basis with his wife, who was authorized to receive Personal Care Aide services in the amount of 35 hours weekly, provided under a task-based plan of care (5 hour daily, 7 days weekly); Appellant's wife's Personal Care Services is not here at issue.

At the hearing, the Appellant was represented by his son. The Appellant's representative testified that the Appellant needs 24 hours daily, 7 days weekly by split shift because Appellant suffers from progressive Alzheimer's disease, he requires constant ongoing orientation to reality, he needs to be told where he is and who is taking care of him. The Appellant's representative stated that confusion exacerbates in the evening and night hours and has led to wandering. Appellant's representative stated that there were episodes of wandering, trying to open the door and trying to go outside; going to the kitchen and trying to make tea and turning on the stove. Appellant's representative stated that the Appellant walks with walker at all times, he is not steady on his feet and the family is concerned that if left alone, his unsteadiness may lead to accidents and falls. The Appellant's representative stated that the Appellant has incontinence episode, especially at night, he complains about putting on diapers, he has pulled them off and most of the time he is able to request assistance to go to the bathroom but he needs to be cleaned after using the bathroom.

The Appellant's representative contended that the Appellant needs to be involved in an interactive activity like card or games due to episodes of confusion especially during the evening hours. The Appellant's representative stated that the Appellant wakes 4 to 5 times per night to use the bathroom, drink water or just to be oriented to reality as these episodes of waking up, needing the bathroom, water, disorientation and wandering are frequent and unscheduled. The Appellant's representative testified that the Appellant wakes up around 6:00 to 6:30 and needs to be oriented to time day place etc.; the Appellant needs to be given his thyroid medication at about 6:30 both in the morning and at night and he has breakfast at 7:30. The Appellant's representative reiterated that in all, the Appellant requires ongoing supervision for his safety,

ongoing with most tasks and ongoing engagement in various interactive activities.

The Appellant's representative concluded that the Appellant's family is willing to support the Appellant but due to working schedule, are unable to stay with the Appellant all time during the night. The representative also stated that the family is willing to decrease their working schedules to accommodate the Appellant but unable to do so due to lack of funds.

The Appellant's representative presented a draft letter dated May 31, 2018 from the Appellant's physician and a note prepared by the Appellant's representative for the hearing. The physician letter itemizes the Appellant and his spouse's medical problems and it concludes that the aged couple require full 24 hour help from a home health aide. Two 12 hour shifts would be ideal because the depth of necessity could not be handled by a single 24 hour aide.

The Plan sent its evidence packet which consist of its January 2, 2018, Uniform Assessment System – Assessments (Comprehensive) Reports (UAS); request for increase in PCA service work flow medical review Form for Hi hours dated March 14, 2018; its Task sheet dated January 2, 2018; UAS Personal Health Summary Report dated January 2, 2018; Physician's order for personal care/consumer Directed Personal Assistance Services Forms Dated March 2018; its April 9, 2018, Uniform Assessment System – Assessments (Comprehensive) Reports (UAS) which was completed on April 27, 2018; and its authorization Notice of April 11, 2018.

A review of the Plan's packet reveals that Appellant suffers from among other things generalized (osteo)arthritis, Alzheimer disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, abnormalities of gait and mobility, conductive hearing loss, urinary incontinence, personal history of ischemic attack (TIA) and cerebral infraction without residual deficits, dizziness and giddiness. The Plan's report indicates that Appellant is occasional incontinent of urine and is receiving incontinent supplies. Plan's report indicates that Appellant ambulates with a walker and assistance, during the day Appellant's aide assist. The Plan notes that Appellant's daughter and granddaughter are available to help the Appellant. The Plan's indicates that this is a mutual case with the Appellant's wife, [REDACTED] and that both of them receives a total of 12 hours a day for 7 days a week (7 for the Appellant and 5 for his spouse); that the Appellant goes to Community Senior Center 3 times a week with his wife and aide. The report indicates that Appellant needs assistance with ADL's and IADL's due to his ailments, Appellant has become weaker and needs more assistance.

The Appellant's Representative's contention was considered, but was not persuasive as a basis to increase the Appellant's Personal Care Aide services authorization to 24 hours a day, 7 days a week by split shift. A review of the hearing record and Appellant's representative's testimony established that Appellant's request for additional personal care hours is because he requires constant safety supervision, and not because of any specific task need that he might require a home attendant's assistance with. The totality of the testimony from the Appellant's representative as to why he requires the additional hours is for safety supervision purposes, and a determination to authorize an increase for these reasons would be for purposes of safety monitoring, something the Plan need not authorize, pursuant to Rodriguez v. Wing.

FH# 7757087P

Further, the Appellant does not meet the criteria for “continuous personal care services (505.14) means the provision of uninterrupted care by more than one personal care aide, for more than 16 hours in a calendar day for a patient who, because of the patient’s medical condition, needs assistance during such day with toileting, walking, transferring, turning and positioning, or feeding and needs assistance with such frequency that a live-in 24 -hour personal care aide would be unlikely to obtain, on a regular basis five hours of uninterrupted sleep during the aide’s eight hour period of sleep”.

CPHL established that it evaluated the Appellant's entitlement to a Personal Care Services authorization in accordance with the Regulations. Accordingly, CPHL’s determination is affirmed

DECISION

The Appellant's Managed Long Term Care Plan’s determination not to increase the Appellant’s Personal Care Aide services authorization is correct.

DATED: Albany, New York
07/03/2018

NEW YORK STATE
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

By

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "T. A. Selekm", followed by a period.

Commissioner's Designee