

STATE OF NEW YORK
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

REQUEST: October 16, 2019

AGENCY: MAP
FH #: 8045825Y

In the Matter of the Appeal of	:
	: DECISION
	AFTER
	: FAIR
	HEARING
from a determination by the New York City	:
Department of Social Services	:

JURISDICTION

Pursuant to Section 22 of the New York State Social Services Law (hereinafter Social Services Law) and Part 358 of Title 18 NYCRR, (hereinafter Regulations), a fair hearing was held on November 21, 2019, in New York City, before an Administrative Law Judge. The following persons appeared at the hearing:

For the Appellant



For the Managed Long-Term Care Plan (Centers Plan for Healthy Living)


Debora Ferguson, Fair Hearing Representative

ISSUE

Was the determination of September 5, 2019 by the Managed Long-Term Care, Plan Centers Plan for Healthy Living, to deny an increase in Personal Care Services for the Appellant from 38.5 hours per week (5.5 hours x 7 days per week) to live-in 24-hour personal care services, 7 days per week correct?

FINDINGS OF FACT

An opportunity to be heard having been afforded to all interested parties and evidence having been taken and due deliberation having been had, it is hereby found that:

1. The Appellant , lives alone and receives Medicaid benefits provided through Centers Plan for Healthy Living, Managed Long-Term Care Plan (the Plan).

FH# 8045825Y

2. The Appellant receives an authorization for Personal Care Services (PCS) in the amount of 38.5 hours per week (5.5 hours x 7 days per week).

3. On August 30, 2019, the Appellant requested that that her PCS hours be increased to 24 hours continuous care (live-in), 7 days per week.

4. By Initial Adverse Determination dated September 4, 2019, the Plan denied the Appellant's request for an increase in PCS from 38.5 hours per week (5.5 hours x 7 days per week) to live-in 24-hour personal care services, 7 days per week.

5. The Appellant filed an internal appeal.

6. By Final Adverse Determination dated September 5, 2019, the Plan upheld its determination to deny the Appellant's request for an increase in PCS from 38.5 hours per week (5.5 hours x 7 days per week) to live-in 24-hour personal care services, 7 days per week.

7. On October 16, 2019, the Appellant requested this fair hearing to review the Plan's determination.

APPLICABLE LAW

Regulations at 18 NYCRR 358-3.7(a) provide that an Appellant has the right to examine the contents of the case record at the fair hearing. The Agency must provide complete copies of its documentary evidence to the hearing officer at the hearing and also to the Appellant or representative where such documents were not otherwise provided in accordance with 18 NYCRR 358-3.7. 18 NYCRR 358-4.3(a). Unless a waiver of appearance is approved by the Office of Administrative Hearings, a representative of the Agency must appear at the hearing along with the case record and a written summary of the case and be prepared to present evidence in support of its determination. If a waiver has been approved, the hearing officer may require the Agency's appearance if necessary to protect the appellant's due process rights. 18 NYCRR 358-4.3(b) and (c). In fair hearings concerning the discontinuance, reduction or suspension of Public Assistance, Medical Assistance, SNAP benefits or services, the Agency must establish that its actions were correct. 18 NYCRR 358-5.9(a).

Part 438 of 42 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) pertains to provision of Medicaid medical care, services and supplies through Managed Care Organizations (MCOs), Prepaid Inpatient Health Plans (PIHPs), Prepaid Ambulatory Health Plans (PAHPs) and Primary Care Case Managers (PCCMs), and the requirements for contracts for services so provided.

Section 438.210 of 42 CFR Subpart D provides, in pertinent part:

- (a) Coverage - Each contract with an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP must do the following:

- (1) Identify, define, and specify the amount, duration, and scope of each service that the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP is required to offer.
- (2) Require that the services identified in paragraph (a)(1) of this section be furnished in an amount, duration, and scope that is no less than the amount, duration, and scope for the same services furnished to beneficiaries under fee-for-service Medicaid, as set forth in Sec. 440.230.
- (3) Provide that the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP--
 - (i) Must ensure that the services are sufficient in amount, duration, or scope to reasonably be expected to achieve the purpose for which the services are furnished.
 - (ii) May not arbitrarily deny or reduce the amount, duration, or scope of a required service solely because of diagnosis, type of illness, or condition of the beneficiary;
 - (iii) May place appropriate limits on a service
 - (A) On the basis of criteria applied under the State plan, such as medical necessity; or
 - (B) For the purpose of utilization control, provided the services furnished can reasonably be expected to achieve their purpose, as required in paragraph (a)(3)(i) of this section; and
- (4) Specify what constitutes “medically necessary services” in a manner that:
 - (i) Is no more restrictive than that used in the State Medicaid program as indicated in State statutes and regulations, the State Plan, and other State policy and procedures; and
 - (ii) Addresses the extent to which the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP is responsible for covering services related to the following:
 - (A) The prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of health impairments.
 - (B) The ability to achieve age-appropriate growth and development.
 - (C) The ability to attain, maintain, or regain functional capacity.

- (b) Authorization of services. For the processing of requests for initial and continuing authorizations of services, each contract must require:
- (1) That the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP and its subcontractors have in place, and follow, written policies and procedures.
 - (2) That the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP:
 - (i) Have in effect mechanisms to ensure consistent application of review criteria for authorization decisions; and
 - (ii) Consult with the requesting provider when appropriate.
 - (3) That any decision to deny a service authorization request or to authorize a service in an amount, duration, or scope that is less than requested, be made by a health care professional who has appropriate clinical expertise in treating the enrollee's condition or disease....

Section 438.236 of 42 CFR Subpart D provides, in pertinent part:

- (a) Basic rule: The State must ensure, through its contracts, that each MCO and, when applicable, each PIHP and PAHP meets the requirements of this section.
- (b) Adoption of practice guidelines. Each MCO and, when applicable, each PIHP and PAHP adopts practice guidelines that meet the following requirements:
 - (1) Are based on valid and reliable clinical evidence or a consensus of health care professionals in the particular field.
 - (2) Consider the needs of the MCO's, PIHP's, or PAHP's enrollees.
 - (3) Are adopted in consultation with contracting health care professionals.
 - (4) Are reviewed and updated periodically as appropriate.
- (c) Dissemination of guidelines. Each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP disseminates the guidelines to all affected providers and, upon request, to enrollees and potential enrollees.
- (d) Application of guidelines. Decisions for utilization management, enrollee education, coverage of services, and other areas to which the guidelines apply are consistent with the guidelines.

Section 438.400 of 42 CFR Subpart F provides in part:

- (a) Statutory basis. This subpart is based on sections 1902(a)(3), 1902(a)(4), and 1932(b)(4) of the Act.
 - (1) Section 1902(a)(3) requires that a State plan provide an opportunity for a fair hearing to any person whose claim for assistance is denied or not acted upon promptly.
 - (2) Section 1902(a)(4) requires that the State plan provide for methods of administration that the Secretary finds necessary for the proper and efficient operation of the plan.
 - (3) Section 1932(b)(4) requires Medicaid managed care organizations to establish internal grievance procedures under which Medicaid enrollees, or providers acting on their behalf, may challenge the denial of coverage of, or payment for, medical assistance.
- (b) Definitions. As used in this subpart, the following terms have the indicated meanings:

In the case of an MCO or PIHP-“Action” means--

- (1) The denial or limited authorization of a requested service, including the type or level of service;
- (2) The reduction, suspension, or termination of a previously authorized service;
- (3) The denial, in whole or in part, of payment for a service...

Section 438.402 of 42 CFR Subpart F provides in part:

- (a) The grievance system. Each MCO [Managed Care Organization] and PIHP [Prepaid Inpatient Health Plan] must have a system in place, for enrollees, that includes a grievance process, an appeal process, and access to the State's fair hearing system...

Section 4403-f of the Public Health Law pertains to Managed Long Term Care Plans.

Article 49 of the Public Health Law pertains to Utilization Review and External Appeal.

Section 505.14(a)(1) of the Regulations defines "Personal Care Services" to mean assistance with nutritional and environmental support functions and personal care functions, as specified in clauses (5)(i)(a) and (5)(ii)(a) of this subdivision. Such services must be essential to the maintenance of the patient's health and safety in his or her own home, as determined by the

social services district in accordance with this section; ordered by the attending physician; based on an assessment of the patient's needs and of the appropriateness and cost-effectiveness of services specified in subparagraph (b)(3)(iv) of this section; provided by a qualified person in accordance with a plan of care; and supervised by a registered professional nurse.

Section 505.14(a) of the Regulations provides:

(2) Continuous personal care services means the provision of uninterrupted care, by more than one personal care aide, for more than 16 hours in a calendar day for a patient who, because of the patient's medical condition, needs assistance during such calendar day with toileting, walking, transferring, turning and positioning, or feeding and needs assistance with such frequency that a live-in 24-hour personal care aide would be unlikely to obtain, on a regular basis, five hours per day of uninterrupted sleep during the aide's eight hour period of sleep.

(3) Personal care services, as defined in this section, can be provided only if the services are medically necessary and the social services district reasonably expects that the patient's health and safety in the home can be maintained by the provision of such services, as determined in accordance with this section.

(4) Live-in 24-hour personal care services means the provision of care by one personal care aide for a patient who, because of the patient's medical condition, needs assistance during a calendar day with toileting, walking, transferring, turning and positioning, or feeding and whose need for assistance is sufficiently infrequent that a live-in 24-hour personal care aide would be likely to obtain, on a regular basis, five hours per day of uninterrupted sleep during the aide's eight hour period of sleep.

(5) Personal care services shall include the following two levels of care, and be provided in accordance with the following standards:

(i) Level I shall be limited to the performance of nutritional and environmental support functions.

(a) Nutritional and environmental support functions include assistance with the following:

- (1) making and changing beds;
- (2) dusting and vacuuming the rooms which the patient uses;
- (3) light cleaning of the kitchen, bedroom and bathroom;
- (4) dishwashing;
- (5) listing needed supplies;

FH# 8045825Y

- (6) shopping for the patient if no other arrangements are possible;
- (7) patient's laundering, including necessary ironing and mending;
- (8) payment of bills and other essential errands; and
- (9) preparing meals, including simple modified diets.
- (b) The authorization for Level I services shall not exceed eight hours per week.
- (ii) Level II shall include the performance of nutritional and environmental support functions specified in clause (i)(a) of this paragraph and personal care functions.
- (a) Personal care functions include assistance with the following:
 - (1) bathing of the patient in the bed, the tub or in the shower;
 - (2) dressing;
 - (3) grooming, including care of hair, shaving and ordinary care of nails, teeth and mouth;
 - (4) toileting; this may include assisting the patient on and off the bedpan, commode or toilet;
 - (5) walking, beyond that provided by durable medical equipment, within the home and outside the home;
 - (6) transferring from bed to chair or wheelchair;
 - (7) turning and positioning;
 - (8) preparing of meals in accordance with modified diets, including low sugar, low fat, low salt and low residue diets;
 - (9) feeding;
 - (10) administration of medication by the patient, including prompting the patient as to time, identifying the medication for the patient, bringing the medication and any necessary supplies or equipment to the patient, opening the container for the patient, positioning the patient for medication and administration, disposing of used supplies and materials and storing the medication properly;
 - (11) providing routine skin care;
 - (12) using medical supplies and equipment such as walkers and wheelchairs; and

FH# 8045825Y

(13) changing of simple dressings.

MLTC Policy 15.09: Changes to the Regulations for Personal Care Services (PCS) and Consumer Directed Personal Assistance (CDPA), effective December 23, 2015, provides:

The purpose of this policy directive is to inform Managed Long Term Care Plans (MLTCPs) of revisions to the Personal Care Services (PCS) and Consumer Directed Personal Assistance (CDPA) regulations at 18 NYCRR § 505.14 and 18 NYCRR § 505.28, respectively. These revised regulations are effective on December 23, 2015.

These changes to the PCS and CDPA regulations include, among other provisions, changes to the definitions and eligibility requirements for continuous (“split-shift”) PCS and CDPA as well as live-in 24-hour PCS and CDPA. Consequently, MLTCPs must be aware of, and apply, effective immediately, the revised definitions and eligibility requirements when conducting PCA and CDPA assessments and reassessments. In addition, the revised regulations set forth revised criteria for notices that deny, reduce or discontinue these services. See the attached detailed summary of these changes and the Notice of Adoption, as published in the New York State Register on December 23, 2015.

Regulatory changes for PCS and CDPA applicable to MLTCP’s include:

1. The definitions of “some assistance” and “total assistance” are repealed in their entirety. This means, in part, that a “total assistance” need with certain activities of per day living is no longer an eligibility requirement for continuous personal care services or continuous consumer directed personal assistance.
2. “Turning and positioning” is added as a specific Level II personal care function and as a CDPA function.
3. The definitions and eligibility requirements for “continuous personal care services,” “live-in 24-hour personal care services,” “continuous consumer directed personal assistance” and “live-in 24-hour consumer directed personal assistance” are revised as follows:
 - a. *Continuous personal care services* means the provision of uninterrupted care, by more than one personal care aide, for more than 16 hours in a calendar day for a patient who, because of the patient’s medical condition, needs assistance during such calendar day with toileting, walking, transferring, turning and positioning, or feeding and needs assistance with such frequency that a live-in 24-hour personal care aide would be unlikely to obtain, on a regular basis, five hours per day of uninterrupted sleep during the aide’s eight hour period of sleep.
 - b. *Live-in 24-hour personal care services* means the provision of care by one personal care aide for a patient who, because of the patient’s medical condition, needs assistance during a calendar day with toileting, walking, transferring, turning and positioning, or feeding and whose need for assistance is sufficiently infrequent that a live-in 24-hour personal care aide would be likely to obtain, on a regular basis, five hours per day of uninterrupted sleep during the aide’s eight hour period of sleep.

c. *Continuous consumer directed personal assistance* means the provision of uninterrupted care, by more than one consumer directed personal assistant, for more than 16 hours in a calendar day for a consumer who, because of the consumer's medical condition, needs assistance during such calendar day with toileting, walking, transferring, turning and positioning, feeding, home health aide services, or skilled nursing tasks, and needs assistance with such frequency that a live-in 24-hour consumer directed personal assistant would be unlikely to obtain, on a regular basis, five hours per day of uninterrupted sleep during the aide's eight hour period of sleep.

d. *Live-in 24-hour consumer directed personal assistance* means the provision of care by one consumer directed personal assistant for a consumer who, because of the consumer's medical condition, needs assistance during a calendar day with toileting, walking, transferring, turning and positioning, feeding, home health aide services, or skilled nursing tasks and whose need for assistance is sufficiently infrequent that a live-in 24-hour consumer directed personal assistant would be likely to obtain, on a regular basis, five hours per day of uninterrupted sleep during the aide's eight hour period of sleep.

GIS 12 MA/026 entitled "Availability of 24-Hour Split-Shift Personal Care Services" provides, in part, the intent of 18 NYCRR 505.14 is to allow the identification of situations in which a person's needs can be met by a live-in aide and still allow the aide to have an uninterrupted five hours for sleeping.

GIS 12 MA/026 provides as follows concerning the availability of 24 hour, split-shift personal care services in connection with the case of Strouchler v. Shah:

It is the Department's policy that 24-hour split-shift care should be authorized only when a person's nighttime needs cannot be met by a live-in aide or through either or both of the following: (1)adaptive or specialized equipment or supplies including, but not limited to, bedside commodes, urinals, walkers, wheelchairs, and insulin pens, when the social services district determines that such equipment or supplies can be provided safely and cost-effectively; and (2)voluntary assistance available from informal caregivers or formal services provided by an entity or agency.

With regard to adaptive or specialized equipment (the "efficiencies"), the nursing assessment shall include a professional evaluation whether such adaptive or specialized equipment or supplies can meet the recipient's need for assistance and whether such equipment or supplies can be provided safely and cost-effectively when compared to the provision of aide services. Such adaptive or specialized equipment or supplies include, but are not limited to, bedside commodes, adult diapers, urinals, walkers and wheelchairs.

General Information Service message GIS 97 MA 033 includes a reminder that the contribution of family members or friends (to the care of a Personal Care Services recipient) is voluntary and cannot be coerced or required in any manner whatsoever.

In Rodriguez v. City of New York, 197 F. 3rd 611 (Federal Court of Appeals, 2nd Circuit 1999), cert. denied 531 U.S. 864, the Plaintiffs were Personal Care Services recipients who

FH# 8045825Y

alleged that they would be in receipt of inadequate service not meeting legal requirements, without the provision of safety monitoring as an independent task in their Personal Care Services authorizations. The district court had ruled in favor of the Plaintiffs, but the Court of Appeals held that the Agency is not required to provide safety monitoring as an independent Personal Care Services task in evaluating the needs of applicants for and recipients of Personal Care Services. Local Agencies were advised of this decision in GIS message 99/MA/036.

GIS 03 MA/03 was released to clarify and elaborate on the assessment of Personal Care Services pursuant to the Court's ruling in *Rodriguez v. Novello* and in accordance with existing Department regulations and policies. In relevant portion, this GIS Message states:

Social services districts should authorize assistance with recognized, medically necessary personal care services tasks. As previously advised, social services districts are **NOT** required to allot time for safety monitoring as a separate task as part of the total personal care services hours authorized (see GIS 99 MA/013, GIS 99 MA/036). However, districts are reminded that a clear and legitimate distinction exists between "safety monitoring" as a non-required independent stand-alone function while no Level II personal care services task is being provided, and the appropriate monitoring of the patient while providing assistance with the performance of a Level II personal care services task, such as transferring, toileting, or walking, to assure the task is being safely completed.

18 NYCRR 358-5.9(a) provides:

At a fair hearing concerning the denial of an application for or the adequacy of Public Assistance, Medical Assistance, HEAP, SNAP benefits or services, the appellant must establish that the agency's denial of assistance or benefits was not correct or that the appellant is eligible for a greater amount of assistance or benefits. Except where otherwise established by law or regulation, in fair hearings concerning the discontinuance, reduction or suspension of Public Assistance, Medical Assistance, SNAP benefits or services, the social services agency must establish that its actions were correct.

DISCUSSION

The record establishes that the Appellant [REDACTED], lives alone and receives Medicaid benefits provided through the Plan. The Appellant requested that that her PCS be increased to live-in 24-hour personal care services, 7 days per week. The Plan denied the Appellant's request for an increase in PCS from 38.5 hours per week (5.5 hours x 7 days per week) to live-in 24-hour personal care services, 7 days per week.

According to a Uniform Assessment System Report (UAS) evaluation on August 26, 2019 of the Appellant's personal care needs, the Appellant is totally dependent on others for housework and shopping; she requires maximal assistance with meal preparation; extensive assistance with managing medications, transportation, bathing, personal hygiene, dressing the upper and lower body, walking, locomotion and toilet transfer; and limited assistance with

FH# 8045825Y

managing finances, toilet use and bed mobility. The UAS also states that the Appellant has some cognitive difficulties in new situations; she is incontinent of bladder and has Parkinson's Disease.

The Plan's case records fail to contain a tasking tool, so there is insufficient evidence in the record to establish how much time the Plan determined that the Appellant needs help with activities of daily living.

At the hearing, the Appellant's daughter testified that the Appellant's Parkinson's and memory problem are worsening. The Appellant frequently gets urinary tract infections, she is incontinent of bladder and needs help with toileting, particularly at night, personal hygiene and bathing. Her daughter stated that the Appellant has not been in day care since August 2019. The Appellant cannot cook or feed herself, and she also needs someone to take her to doctor appointments. The Appellant cannot walk by herself, and her daughter has requested a walker from the Plan. The Appellant's daughter said that she often takes care of her mother after work, but she is now exhausted and cannot continue to do it.

The record establishes that because of the Appellant's medical condition, she needs assistance during the day and night with toileting, bed mobility, walking and feeding. The need for assistance is sufficiently infrequent so that a live-in 24-hour personal care aide would be likely to obtain, on a regular basis, five hours per day of uninterrupted sleep during the aide's eight-hour period of sleep. The record establishes that the Appellant requires a live-in 24-hour aide. The Plan is reminded that the contribution of family members or friends (to the care of a Personal Care Services recipient) is voluntary and cannot be coerced or required in any manner whatsoever.

The regulations require that at a fair hearing concerning the denial of an application for or the adequacy of Medical Assistance, the Appellant must establish that the denial of assistance or benefits was not correct or that the Appellant is eligible for a greater amount of assistance or benefits. A review of the record shows that the denial the Appellant's request for increased PCS from 38.5 hours per week (5.5 hours x 7 days per week) to live-in 24-hour personal care services, 7 days per week was improper and cannot be sustained.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Plan's September 5, 2019 determination to deny the increase in Personal Care Services from 38.5 hours per week (5.5 hours x 7 days per week) to live-in 24-hour personal care services, 7 days per week is not correct and is reversed.

1. The Plan is directed to authorize live-in 24-hour personal care services, 7 days per week for Appellant.
2. The Plan is directed to notify Appellant and her representative in writing upon compliance with this decision after fair hearing.

FH# 8045825Y

Should the Plan need additional information from the Appellant in order to comply with the above directives, it is directed to notify the Appellant and her representative promptly in writing as to what documentation is needed. If such information is requested, the Appellant or her representative must provide it to the Plan promptly to facilitate such compliance.

As required by 18 NYCRR 358-6.4, the Plan must comply immediately with the directives set forth above.

DATED: Albany, New York
12/10/2019

NEW YORK STATE
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

By

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert M. Warner". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Robert" and middle initial "M." being more legible than the last name "Warner".

Commissioner's Designee