Name		-

CS 5565, LAB5 (Subset Selection, Ridge and Lasso, PCR and PLS) 100 pts. 1. View the videos at the following URLs

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3kwdDGnV8MM

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mv-vdysZIb4

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=F8MMHCCoALU

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1REe3qSotx8

You may download the R Code for Labs and the Data Sets to use from the textbook website.

http://www-bcf.usc.edu/~gareth/ISL/

- 2. (30 points total) In this exercise, we will generate simulated data, and will then use this data to perform best subset selection.
 - (a) (5 points) Use the rnorm() function to generate a predictor X of length n = 100, as well as a noise vector ϵ of length n = 100.
 - (b) (5 points) Generate a response vector Y of length n = 100 according to the model

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \beta_2 X^2 + \beta_3 X^3 + \epsilon,$$

where $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2$, and β_3 are constants of your choice.

- (c) (5 points) Use the regsubsets() function to perform best subset selection in order to choose the best model containing the predictors $X, X^2, ..., X^{10}$. What is the best model obtained according to C_p , BIC, and adjusted R^2 ? Show some plots to provide evidence for your answer, and report the coefficients of the best model obtained. Note you will need to use the data.frame() function to create a single data set containing both X and Y.
- (d) (5 points) Repeat (c), using forward stepwise selection and also using backwards stepwise selection. How does your answer compare to the results in (c)?
- (e) (5 points) Now fit a lasso model to the simulated data, again using X, X^2, \ldots, X^{10} as predictors. Use cross-validation to select the optimal value of λ . Create plots of the cross-validation error as a function of λ . Report the resulting coefficient estimates, and discuss the results obtained.
- (f) (5 points) Now generate a response vector Y according to the model

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_7 X^7 + \epsilon,$$

and perform best subset selection and the lasso. Discuss the results obtained.

- 3. (35 points total) In this exercise, we will predict the number of applications received using the other variables in the College data set.
 - (a) (5 points) Split the data set into a training set and a test set.
 - (b) (5 points) Fit a linear model using least squares on the training set, and report the test error obtained.

- (c) (5 points) Fit a ridge regression model on the training set, with λ chosen by cross-validation. Report the test error obtained.
- (d) (5 points) Fit a lasso model on the training set, with λ chosen by crossvalidation. Report the test error obtained, along with the number of non-zero coefficient estimates.
- (e) (5 points) Fit a PCR model on the training set, with M chosen by cross-validation. Report the test error obtained, along with the value of M selected by cross-validation.
- (f) (5 points) Fit a PLS model on the training set, with M chosen by cross-validation. Report the test error obtained, along with the value of M selected by cross-validation.
- (g) (5 points) Comment on the results obtained. How accurately can we predict the number of college applications received? Is there much difference among the test errors resulting from these five approaches?
- 4. (35 points total) We have seen that as the number of features used in a model increases, the training error will necessarily decrease, but the test error may not. We will now explore this in a simulated data set.
 - (a) (5 points) Generate a data set with p = 20 features, n = 1,000 observations, and an associated quantitative response vector generated according to the model

$$Y = X\beta + \epsilon$$
,

where β has some elements that are exactly equal to zero.

- (b) (5 points) Split your data set into a training set containing 100 observations and a test set containing 900 observations.
- (c) (5 points) Perform best subset selection on the training set, and plot the training set MSE associated with the best model of each size.
- (d) (5 points) Plot the test set MSE associated with the best model of each size.
- (e) (5 points) For which model size does the test set MSE take on its minimum value? Comment on your results. If it takes on its minimum value for a model containing only an intercept or a model containing all of the features, then play around with the way that you are generating the data in (a) until you come up with a scenario in which the test set MSE is minimized for an intermediate model size.
- (f) (5 points) How does the model at which the test set MSE is minimized compare to the true model used to generate the data? Comment on the coefficient values.
- (g) (5 points) Create a plot displaying $\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{p}(\beta_{j}-\hat{\beta}_{j}^{r})^{2}}$ for a range of values of r, where $\hat{\beta}_{j}^{r}$ is the jth coefficient estimate for the best model containing r coefficients. Comment on what you observe. How does this compare to the test MSE plot from (d)?