ChatGPT

Quality Analysis in Customer Support: Processes, Metrics, and Adaptation to a Financial Platform

Role of a Quality Analyst in Call Center Operations

Quality analysts in call centers are responsible for monitoring and evaluating customer interactions to ensure service standards are met and to drive continuous improvement [1]. This involves listening to calls (live or recorded), scoring them against predefined quality parameters, and providing feedback to agents. For example, a quality associate at Conduent might assess **30–50 calls per day** against criteria such as **accuracy of information, professionalism, effectiveness of issue resolution, and compliance with procedures** [2]. All observations are meticulously logged – maintaining QA scorecards, dashboards, and call logs (often in Excel or internal tools) – to track performance trends and enable structured feedback loops with agents and teams [3]. By identifying recurring issues and errors, quality analysts help initiate **root cause analysis** and calibration sessions: they flag repetitive non-compliance or mistakes and discuss these in weekly calibration meetings so that all evaluators and supervisors align on standards and prevent future recurrences [4]. In essence, the QA role ensures that every customer call is handled following best practices and that any deviations are caught and corrected for improving overall service quality [5] [6].

Quality analysts also collaborate closely with operations and training teams. They contribute to weekly and monthly quality reports, summarizing evaluation trends and areas of improvement for leadership [7]. These reports might highlight common issues (for example, agents frequently missing a mandatory greeting or a verification step) and recommend targeted training or process changes. In performing their duties, quality analysts use various tools – from **Customer Relationship Management (CRM)** systems (e.g., Oracle Siebel CRM) for case documentation [8] to call recording software and QA evaluation forms. Many organizations now leverage **call analytics** platforms that can automate parts of this process: calls can be recorded and transcribed, and **AI-powered quality monitoring tools** analyze interactions for things like customer sentiment, agent empathy, and script adherence [9] [10]. This technology assists the quality analyst by providing data-backed insights, such as automatic flags when an agent fails to show courtesy or when certain keywords (e.g. indicating customer frustration) appear [11]. However, the human judgment of a QA specialist remains vital to understand context and provide constructive coaching to agents. Through regular feedback sessions, coaching, and even positive reinforcement, quality analysts empower agents to improve – turning every call into an opportunity to create a better customer experience.

Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) and “Signals” of Quality

In evaluating call center performance, there are several key **metrics (KPIs)** that act as important signals of quality and efficiency. A quality analyst needs to be familiar with these, monitor them, and understand how they interrelate:

- **Average Handle Time (AHT):** This measures the average duration of a call from start to finish, including talk time, hold time, and after-call wrap-up work [12]. It essentially answers, “How long does an agent spend on a typical customer issue?” AHT is a crucial efficiency metric: resolving

customer issues quickly can lead to higher customer satisfaction and lower operational costs [13]. The *industry average AHT* across call centers is a little over **6 minutes** (about 6 minutes 10 seconds) [14], though this varies by industry and complexity of calls. It's important to note that **shorter is not always better** – **AHT is not a pure success metric** on its own [15]. If agents rush customers off the phone just to reduce handle time, they might fail to fully resolve issues, hurting service quality. Thus, a quality analyst looks at AHT in context: balancing efficiency with effectiveness. For instance, after introducing self-service for simple issues, the remaining calls may be more complex, causing AHT to increase slightly – which can be acceptable if **First Call Resolution** and customer satisfaction are improving [16]. The goal is to optimize AHT so that calls are handled **as efficiently as possible without compromising resolution and customer experience**.

- **First Call Resolution (FCR):** FCR is the percentage of customer inquiries resolved on the **first contact**, with no need for the customer to call back or be transferred later [17]. It is widely regarded as one of the most important call center KPIs for customer satisfaction [18]. A high FCR means the company is able to fix problems in one go, which boosts customer confidence and convenience. Industry research shows that **each time a customer has to call back for the same issue, satisfaction drops significantly (around 15% drop per additional call)** [19]. A *good* FCR rate is typically around **70–75%**, meaning roughly three-quarters of calls are fully resolved the first time [20]. Top-performing centers achieve ~74% or higher [21]. Quality analysts influence FCR by checking whether agents followed through on issues correctly – for example, did the agent provide the correct solution or next steps so the customer doesn't need to contact again? If an issue wasn't resolved first-time due to an avoidable agent error, that becomes a coaching point. In reports, analysts might track **repeat call rate** as a negative signal and work on process fixes to improve FCR (for instance, updating the knowledge base so that agents have the right information at first contact).

- **Customer Satisfaction (CSAT) and Feedback:** Ultimately, the end-goal of quality monitoring is happy customers. Many call centers use customer satisfaction surveys (post-call IVR surveys, emailed surveys, etc.) to directly measure the customer's perspective. In fact, about **80% of customer service organizations use CSAT as a primary metric** to gauge performance [22]. CSAT is often expressed as a rating (e.g. 1–5 or 1–10 scale) of the customer's overall experience. Quality analysts review these scores and the associated feedback comments for insights. If customers consistently rate certain types of calls poorly, the QA team investigates why – it could indicate an unresolved issue, a process pain point, or an agent's poor behavior. Alongside CSAT, some programs track **Net Promoter Score (NPS)** (likelihood to recommend the service) or **Customer Effort Score (CES)** (how easy it was to get their issue resolved) as additional signals of customer experience. These external "voice of the customer" metrics complement internal QA scores. A quality analyst often correlates QA evaluation results with CSAT outcomes. For example, if calls that scored low in internal quality also received low survey scores from customers, it validates the quality criteria and highlights an area needing improvement (be it agent training, better information, etc.).

- **Quality Scoring and Compliance Metrics:** Internally, every monitored call usually receives a **quality score** based on a rubric. This rubric covers the critical behaviors and steps an agent should perform on a call. Typical elements include: proper greeting and authentication of the customer, courtesy and empathy, product knowledge accuracy, correct problem diagnosis or need assessment, providing a solution or next steps, and a proper closing. Adherence to **compliance** requirements is absolutely vital – especially in regulated industries like finance or healthcare. For example, in a financial services call, the agent must **verify the caller's identity properly, disclose required legal statements, and**

not divulge confidential info to an unauthorized person. Quality forms will assign points to each of these areas. The QA will note any **compliance violations** (e.g., if an agent skipped the ID verification or gave incorrect information about a policy) – those are critical errors. Ensuring compliance through call monitoring is a key purpose of QA [23]. In fact, call center QA programs play a **vital role in regulatory compliance** by making sure agents follow scripts/protocols that meet all legal and privacy requirements [23]. Quality analysts may track specific compliance metrics such as the percentage of calls with proper ID verification or the number of calls with no disclosure errors, etc. Any serious compliance breaches are immediately flagged to management for corrective action. In a financial context, this could involve ensuring **adherence to data protection (GDPR, PCI-DSS)** during calls, and that agents do not give any misleading financial advice or violate banking regulations.

- **Other Efficiency Metrics:** While AHT and FCR are major operational metrics, a quality analyst is aware of other call center KPIs as well – since they all interplay. **Average Speed of Answer (ASA)** and **Abandonment Rate** reflect how quickly customers are being served and if many give up waiting; these impact customer experience and thus quality perceptions. For instance, industry standards often aim for **80% of calls answered within 20 seconds** [24], and abandonment rates under 5% [25]. If the QA team notices a high abandon rate, they might raise it in discussions (even though solving that is more workforce management's domain, it ultimately affects customers' experience before the call even begins). **First Contact Resolution** (as noted) and **transfer rates** (how often calls get transferred or escalated) are also telling signals – a high transfer rate might indicate that front-line agents lack training or authority to handle issues fully, which QA can flag. Additionally, **agent adherence and professionalism metrics** can be monitored: for example, checking if agents put callers on hold for too long or use improper language. Modern QA might even include **"soft skills" scoring**, analyzing things like agent empathy, active listening, and courtesy – these qualitative aspects often show up in sentiment analysis and directly tie to customer satisfaction [11].

In summary, these KPIs serve as the vital signs of a contact center's health. A quality analyst will use them to identify where the service is strong or weak. For instance, if AHT is low but FCR is also low (meaning agents rush but don't fix issues first-time), that's a red flag. Or if FCR is high but AHT is also somewhat high, that might be acceptable because complex issues are being resolved without callbacks. By monitoring **trends in these signals**, quality analysts help balance efficiency (speed, cost) with effectiveness (resolution, satisfaction). Frequently, they will present such data in dashboards to the client and internal stakeholders. In outsourced scenarios (like Conduent providing support for a client company), the client will have set **Service Level Agreements (SLAs)** tied to some of these KPIs – for example, maintaining an average handle time under X minutes, an FCR above Y%, and a certain QA score average. The quality team ensures the operation stays within those targets and continuously improves. Notably, Conduent has reported significant improvements by focusing on data-driven QA: for example, using analytics and automation **they achieved 40% cost savings for a client while delivering lower call handle times and high-quality interactions** [26]. Implementing advanced solutions like chatbots and IVR deflection can reduce call volumes (one case showed a **30% reduction in call inquiries** through automated solutions) and let human agents focus on more complex calls [27]. When those complex calls are supported by tools like speech analytics, even backlog queues can drop sharply (one figure cites **75% reduction in call backlog using speech analytics to prioritize and resolve issues** [28]). All these statistics underscore that tracking the right metrics and optimizing via technology and process improvements can yield dramatic gains in both efficiency and quality.

Quality Monitoring Processes and Tools

To carry out quality assurance effectively, certain processes and tools are standard in the industry. First, **call monitoring** can be done in real-time or through recordings. Many call centers allow quality analysts (or team leaders) to listen to live calls for coaching purposes, especially for new agents or critical situations [29]. More commonly, calls are recorded and sampled for evaluation. The QA process usually involves filling out a **QA scorecard** for each assessed call, as described earlier. This scorecard might be managed through an internal system or even a module in the CRM. **Calibration sessions** are an important process to ensure consistency: in these, multiple evaluators and supervisors will review the same call and compare scores, to align on scoring standards. The resume information above mentioned weekly calibration at Conduent – these sessions help in "synchronizing" understanding of what constitutes (for example) a minor versus major error, so that one QA analyst doesn't grade significantly harsher or more lenient than another [4]. Consistent scoring is crucial, especially when reports are shared with a client who needs to trust the QA process.

The tools involved in QA have evolved. Core tools include **call recording systems**, which often integrate with the telephony platform. Quality analysts will have access to recordings and sometimes transcripts if the system converts speech to text. They also use **CRM and case management tools** (like the Oracle Siebel CRM mentioned in the experience [8]) to check the documentation associated with the call – ensuring that the agent logged the case properly, entered notes, or followed through on required actions. This is part of making sure the interaction is "audit-ready" and traceable, which is often a client requirement in outsourced services [8].

Increasingly, specialized **Quality Management software** is used. These platforms (for example, CallMiner, NICE, or Sprinklr's AI QA tools) can automate parts of the QA workflow. They might auto-score certain objective parts of calls – like whether the agent said the customer's name, or whether they used any disallowed phrases – by using speech analytics. They can also compile aggregate data. As mentioned in a Conduent insights article, call centers now track a variety of data points: beyond basic metrics, they analyze **call sentiment** (tone and mood of customer) and even measure **empathy** through Natural Language Processing [11]. Some advanced systems produce **"automated scorecards"** for 100% of calls using AI, which the human QA can then review for exceptions [30]. This means rather than manually listening to, say, 5 calls per agent per week, the QA team gets a dashboard of every call's estimated scores and can drill into ones that show high risk (like very angry customer sentiment or very long calls or low automated scores). This greatly improves coverage and can catch problems that sampling alone might miss.

Another tool/process is **feedback and coaching management**. After QA evaluations, it's a best practice to review results with the agents. Many centers have a routine where agents have one-on-one sessions with a QA or supervisor to go over their top issues for the week. The quality analyst often prepares clips or examples from calls to illustrate points. A supportive approach is encouraged: recognizing good performance as well (some programs give "kudos" or rewards to agents who consistently score highly in quality [31]). Gamification can be introduced – for example, posting quality score improvements on a dashboard, or giving small incentives for hitting quality targets [32]. This motivates agents to take quality seriously, rather than seeing it as punitive.

Knowledge management is also linked to QA. If QA finds that agents are frequently providing wrong answers or seem confused about a product/policy, they will coordinate with the knowledge base or training team to get that information corrected or clarified. Essentially, QA serves as the ears of the organization,

catching not only individual agent mistakes but also systemic issues (like a procedure that customers find confusing, or a particular type of call that always runs long). They might initiate **process improvements** – for instance, if many calls are long because agents have to navigate through multiple systems, a quality analyst might escalate that as a pain point impacting AHT and customer experience, suggesting an integration or shortcut to IT teams.

In summary, quality analysts use a mix of **monitoring, analytics, and human coaching** to uphold call center standards. Tools like dashboards and reports provide the quantitative "signals", while the QA's qualitative judgment provides context. With modern cloud contact center setups, much of this infrastructure (recordings, analytics, surveys) is built-in and can be accessed remotely – enabling even work-from-home service teams to be monitored consistently [33] [34]. The end result is a cycle: **Monitor -> Analyze -> Report -> Improve -> Monitor again**, constantly refining the customer service delivery.

Adapting Quality Assurance to a Financial Services Platform

In the scenario described, the "platform" is a financial body – meaning the client (or the environment in which support is provided) is in the **financial services sector**. While the general principles of call center QA remain the same, there are some nuances to consider for a financial platform as opposed to, say, a technical hardware support context.

Firstly, **compliance and security take an even higher priority** in finance. Every industry cares about customer privacy, but finance is heavily regulated. A quality analyst in a financial call center will pay special attention to whether agents follow **security verification protocols** (e.g., asking security questions or OTPs to verify identity before discussing account details), adhere to **scripts for regulatory disclosures** (for example, mini Miranda in debt collection, or FDCPA guidelines, or disclaimers for investment products), and properly handle sensitive data (not recording credit card numbers in notes, not sharing personal data in unsecured ways, etc.). As noted earlier, QA is instrumental in ensuring adherence to these regulations [23]. Failure in compliance can lead to legal penalties for the company, so the QA scoring sheet for a bank's call center might have non-negotiable items that result in automatic failure of the call if missed. For instance, if an agent bypasses identity verification and discloses account information to someone not fully authenticated, that's a serious breach. The quality team would document it and it could lead to re-training or disciplinary action. In contrast, in a hardware support setting (like troubleshooting a laptop), while there are still guidelines, the compliance aspect might be less intense – the focus there might be more on technical accuracy and customer service tone rather than legal requirements.

Secondly, the **nature of calls in a financial platform** may differ from hardware tech support, which affects certain metrics and approach. Financial calls might include things like balance inquiries, fund transfers, credit card issues, loan inquiries, or insurance claims – these can range from very quick questions to very lengthy, complex cases. A metric like AHT will be interpreted in context: a credit card activation call might only be 3-4 minutes, whereas a fraud dispute call could easily run 15+ minutes due to forms that need filling and explanations. Quality analysts will ensure agents are managing the call efficiently (not putting the customer on hold unnecessarily, using time productively) but **without rushing the customer through important details**. They also watch for **accuracy** – in finance, giving correct information is paramount (misquoting a policy or a rate can have serious consequences). Thus, the QA criteria for accuracy and completeness are strict. Every calculation or piece of advice given on the call must be correct per the bank's guidelines. In a hardware support scenario, accuracy is important too (correct diagnosis, correct part

ordered, etc.), but the financial repercussions of a mistake can be higher in banking (think of an agent misinforming a customer about fees or failing to file a stop-payment properly).

Another key point in financial services is **customer emotional state and trust**. Customers contacting their bank or insurer are often anxious – maybe they are locked out of an account or dealing with fraudulent charges, etc. The quality analyst will be listening for the agent's **empathy and reassurance skills**. Did the agent acknowledge the customer's concern ("I understand how stressful fraudulent charges can be, let's get this resolved for you")? Empathy is always a soft-skill factor in QA, but finance often demands extra sensitivity because money matters are personal and stressful. On the other hand, in a tech support call for a hardware device, empathy matters too ("I'm sorry your laptop isn't working, I know that's frustrating"), but the troubleshooting might be more procedural. In finance, sometimes the agent cannot fully resolve the issue if, say, a back-office process is needed (like a claims investigation). In those cases, **First Call Resolution** might be inherently lower (some issues need follow-ups or customer documentation). The quality analyst will still aim to maximize FCR where possible (for example, giving the customer all necessary instructions in the first call itself to avoid them calling back again). They might track **Escalation Rate** or **Repeat Contact Rate** for the financial client as a quality indicator – ensuring that, whenever possible, the frontline agent either solves the problem or properly hands it off with clear next steps, rather than leaving the customer in the dark.

When reporting on performance to a financial-services client, the QA analyst might incorporate industry-specific **benchmarks or compliance reports**. For instance, demonstrating that "Our call center meets the 90%+ accuracy level on disclosure compliance" or that "Customer satisfaction for our banking client's calls is X%, above the industry average of ~73% [35]." If the client is a bank, they will value not only speed and courtesy, but also **audit-ready documentation** – every call log must be detailed and correct because financial regulators or internal audits might review interactions. The Conduent experience included "ensuring audit-ready documentation and transparent scoring" [8] – this becomes even more crucial in a financial setting. The QA team might periodically audit case notes against call recordings to ensure agents are documenting every transaction or customer request accurately (this is part of quality control as well).

It's also worth noting that a financial platform might integrate multiple channels – phone, email, chat. Quality assurance will cover all these channels (often termed **omnichannel QA**). The analyst might review email responses or chat logs in addition to phone calls, checking for professionalism and accuracy in written communication. The principles are similar, but each channel has its nuances (e.g., checking that no sensitive account info is emailed insecurely, etc.).

In summary, adapting to a financial services platform means **restructuring QA focus around compliance, accuracy, and customer trust**, while still leveraging the general QA toolkit from call center operations. The good news is the core skills – attentive listening, analytical scoring, data-driven insights – that a quality analyst developed in, say, a hardware support context, are transferable. It's about tweaking the scorecards and priorities. For example, where a tech support QA form might heavily emphasize troubleshooting steps and product knowledge, a financial services QA form will emphasize compliance scripts, security verification, and correct policy information. A quality analyst would update their checklists and training to reflect these differences. The end goal remains: **ensure every customer interaction is handled efficiently, correctly, and courteously, leaving the customer satisfied and the company in good standing**.

Continuous Improvement and Key Takeaways

Quality assurance in customer support is an ongoing, iterative process. Whether dealing with hardware support or financial services, the QA function acts as a **feedback conduit** between the front-line operations and management's goals. By analyzing KPI "signals" like AHT, FCR, CSAT, and compliance scores, the quality analyst pinpoints where the service is excelling and where it needs refinement. For instance, if the average handle time is above target, the QA team investigates why – are agents spending too long on after-call paperwork, or are they getting stuck without answers? If first call resolution is low, QA might find that certain call types always require a callback and propose empowering agents with more training or authority to handle those in one go.

Crucially, quality analysts don't work in a vacuum; they coordinate with **stakeholders** at all levels. They may provide inputs for agent **training programs** (e.g., noticing that many agents struggle with a particular product and suggesting a refresher training). They might also contribute to **process improvements** (for example, recommending a change in a form or a better knowledge base article to reduce errors). The resume example mentions pursuing Lean Six Sigma – methodologies like that are often applied to reduce defects in processes and improve efficiency systematically. A QA professional with such mindset will quantify issues (e.g., error rates) and help drive projects to fix root causes, embodying a culture of continuous improvement.

From a higher-level perspective, the impact of quality assurance is seen in both **customer outcomes and business outcomes**. Satisfied customers are more likely to remain loyal and even purchase more (studies have shown a strong link between improving first call resolution and higher customer satisfaction and net promoter scores [36]). Efficient call handling and fewer repeat calls translate to lower operational costs – fewer agent hours wasted and fewer customer frustrations. The stats provided earlier (like achieving 40% cost savings while improving quality [26]) illustrate how effective QA and process optimization can drive significant ROI. Additionally, a well-run QA program ensures **consistency**, which is particularly important for a financial brand's reputation – every customer should receive accurate and helpful service, no matter which agent they speak to. Consistency builds trust, an invaluable commodity in finance.

To conclude, a quality analyst serves as a guardian of both **service quality and regulatory compliance**, using a variety of tools and metrics to measure performance. Key metrics such as **Average Handle Time** are tracked to gauge efficiency, but always in balance with **First Call Resolution** and **Customer Satisfaction** that reflect effectiveness [37] [38]. Internal QA scores and compliance checks ensure that every call adheres to the client's standards and industry regulations. When moving from one domain (like hardware support) to another (financial services), the fundamental QA approach remains, but one must recalibrate to the new domain's critical factors – emphasizing security, precision, and empathy in the case of finance. By leveraging data (dashboards, analytics) and human insight (coaching, calibration), quality analysts drive continuous improvements in the customer experience. The result is a win-win: customers get their needs addressed promptly and correctly, and the business (or client) benefits from higher satisfaction, loyalty, and operational excellence. **In a nutshell, quality assurance provides the continuous oversight and feedback needed to keep a customer support operation running at peak quality, whether it's supporting a banking platform or troubleshooting hardware devices.**

Sources:

- Conduent Business Solutions Quality Associate Experience (Francis Vikram CV) – *Call auditing, performance tracking, and QA processes* [2] [3] [4] [8].
- Spectrum Enterprise, *What is quality assurance in a call center? – Definition of QA and role in compliance* [1] [23].
- CallMiner, *What is Average Handle Time? – Definition and importance of AHT as a KPI* [12] [37].
- Wikipedia, *First Call Resolution – Definition of FCR and its significance; ~70-75% considered good FCR in industry* [17] [20].
- Sprinklr Blog, *Top Call Center Statistics 2025 – Industry benchmarks: ~6 minute AHT average; ~74% FCR for top performers; 80% organizations use CSAT* [14] [21] [22].
- Conduent CXNow Brochure – *Improvements via analytics: 40% cost reduction with lower handle times; 75% reduction in backlog with speech analytics* [26] [28].
- Conduent Blog, *Making Data Actionable – Tracking agent performance data like AHT, call sentiment, and using automated quality scoring* [16] [39].
- Sprinklr Blog, *13 QA Best Practices – Importance of clearly defined metrics (AHT, FCR, CSAT) and using AI for quality monitoring* [40] [9].

---

[1] [5] [6] [23] What is quality assurance in a call center? | Spectrum Business
https://enterprise.spectrum.com/support/faq/voice-and-collaboration/what-is-quality-assurance-in-a-call-center.html

[2] [3] [4] [7] [8] Francis Vikram – Quality support CV.docx
file://file_00000000594c7246a3ca4a64b5c62029

[9] [10] [29] [31] [32] [40] 13 Best Practices for Call Center Quality Assurance | Sprinklr
https://www.sprinklr.com/blog/call-center-quality-assurance-best-practices/

[11] [16] [39] How Contact Centers Can Make Data More Actionable
https://insights.conduent.com/conduent-blog/how-contact-centers-can-make-data-more-actionable

[12] [13] [15] [30] [37] What is Average Handle Time (AHT) in Call Centers? | CallMiner
https://callminer.com/blog/average-handle-time-challenges-examples-best-practices-improving-aht

[14] [21] [22] [24] [25] [35] Important Call Center Statistics to Know [2025] | Sprinklr
https://www.sprinklr.com/blog/call-center-statistics/

[17] [18] [19] [20] [36] [38] First call resolution - Wikipedia
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/First_call_resolution

[26] [27] [28] [33] [34] Conduent CXNow: Enhance Customer Interactions - Conduent
https://www.conduent.com/customer-experience-management/customer-contact-solutions/conduent-cxnow/