

Notes - 12/02/2013

Monday, December 02, 2013 10:07 AM

- Schedule update
- Bill's email about specializations and merged interfaces]
 - interface I {
 - Foo(f: "foo"): Bar;
 - Foo(f: string): Bar;
 - }
 - interface I {
 - Foo(f: "baz"): Baz;
 - }
 - Interface J {
 - f: Foo;
 - }
 - A couple things:
 - Allowing you to augment members is not allowed by the spec, yet the compiler allows it. Should error
 - Suggestion:
 - Disallow merging of call signatures across interfaces. Need to investigate breakages. If breakages are too much, the workaround is to require users to order their interfaces for merging.
 - lib.d.ts extensions may be tricky
- My email with the remaining spec questions
 - Generic constraints and extending classes (1st most important)
 - Investigating constraints that are recursive
 - This would predicate the kind of checking on whether or not there is a constraint. If it doesn't have a constraint, we don't do any constraint check
 - ▣ • Get rid of "bullet 1"
 - If we do #5 below, we can remove bullet 4 and half of bullet 3.
 - With #5, we infer the base constraint
 - To investigate:
 - Need to check how often <any> is passed when there are constraints
 - (aka: switching to subtype checking instead of assignment compat)
 - Use of recursive/reflexive constraints in internal/external code
 - Statics/non-inherited. (2nd most important)
 - Anders+Steve this is a high pri
 - Research: revisit if it's a regression
 - Type inference (3rd most important)
 - Concerned that testing and maintaining back compat will be difficult. Anders to spec
 - Covariant arguments are unsound
 - Don't care
 - Recursive constraints (Should be done if we do #3)
 - Bill is investigating
 - Contextually typing return type
 - Not high pri, we could ship without them