

## Notes - 7/11 - SENT

Wednesday, July 11, 2012 1:04 PM

#79

Yes – I'll add the optional properties topic to the agenda. Jonathan and I haven't yet completed the investigation of the impact of the larger change to tighten up implicit downcasts, but we can discuss some of the findings so far.

Luke

**From:** Jonathan Turner  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 11, 2012 10:30 AM  
**To:** Anders Hejlsberg; Luke Hoban; Strada Design Team  
**Subject:** RE: Strada Design Meeting Agenda - 7/11/2012

Luke, did we also want to talk about the prelim results of disabling implicit downcasts?

Jonathan

**From:** Anders Hejlsberg  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 11, 2012 10:28 AM  
**To:** Luke Hoban; Strada Design Team  
**Subject:** RE: Strada Design Meeting Agenda - 7/11/2012

At some point we also need to decide whether to support optional properties and the related changes to assignment compatibility.

Anders

**From:** Luke Hoban  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 11, 2012 9:35 AM  
**To:** Strada Design Team  
**Subject:** Strada Design Meeting Agenda - 7/11/2012

[In 41/4749 at 1:00 today.]

### Agenda:

- ES6-aligned classes topics
  - Minor deviations from ES6 class proposal [attached]
    - extends** Object should be the same as saying nothing
    - You can still explicitly call `super("foo")` with Object base class
    - No class side inheritance for now
    - Base class expressions - problem is compiler complexity
  - Review Zephyr team coding guidelines for ES6 class conversion [attached]
  - Error on missing super call [attached]
    - Yes - super must appear somewhere in the constructor
- Recursive type checking
  - If there's any cycles return any
- Close on enums [attached]
  - Next time
- Optional properties
- Minification
- new Customer[]
- Private constructors - low priority
- Others?

```
class Derived extends Base {}
b
function foo(x: Derived): Base;

foo = function(x: Base){return new Derived();} // okay
foo = function(x: Derived){return new Derived();} // okay
foo = function(x: Base){return new Base();} // error

var base = Foo(new Derived());
```

```
var x = <Customer[]>[];
Var x = new Customer[];

Var x = <Custoemr>null;
```

Class Foo extends Bar {}

var x = <Foo>bar();

// <Foo> becomes a "type assertion"  
 // - If it could succeed then it's legal

```
function foo() {
  var x = foo();
  return 3;
}
```

```
Function fib(n) {
  if(n==0 || n == 1) return 1;
  var x = fib(n-1) + fib(n-2)
  return x;
}
```

```
function bar() {
  return [ bar() ];
}
```

Ideas;

- Go to any instead of error
- Offer compiler mode that errors on inferred any
  - `function foo(x) {} //error`
- With the above, we could
  - `///`