Problem: Given unsorted array, return kth largest element. Not kth distinct element.

k = 2[5, 3, 1, 6, 4, 2] then [1, 2, 3, 4(5, 6] k = 4[2, 3, 1, 2, 4, 2] then [1, 2, 2, 2, 3, 4] KEY KID KOLK=1 Workbre Quicksolts: solts in place Intro: Verify Constraints (does not reburn new • k larger than len(arr)? no osiay) Create Testcases $\begin{tabular}{ll} \circ & [5,3,1,6,4,2] \ and \ k=2 -> 5 \\ \circ & [2,3,1,2,4,2] \ and \ k=4 -> 1 \\ \end{tabular}$ [5,3,1,6,4, \circ [3] and k = 1 -> 3 Brute Force: Brainstorming & Pattern Observations after quicksoit quicksock [1,2,3,4,5,6] (1,2,2,2,3,4] i-tinal restring place of where pivot j-scans/compares Starting at end of array scanner wil pivok minife 1/2/60/001-1: if K==1: rewin arc [-1] artisacti # swap i (evement@) i= lenlarr)-2 Uniquet =1 # swap is and is elements p(e) = a(([-1] anti], anti]= anti], anti] unile i ≥0: if acr[i] != prev: Jrique # += 2 if K = = unique #; works one rock cewin ar [1] [6]3.(1)6,4,3]

[1]3.5,16,4,3]

[1]2.5,6,4,3]

[2]3.6,4,3] ecev=acc[i] 1+=1

- Pseudocode
- Write code
- Run through testcases
- · Analyze time and space complexity

Time: O(nlogn): how long does it take to find the partition index? looks thru n times and then splitting tree

2x

Space: O(logn): split tree into two parts every time (2 recursive

Optimal:

- Brainstorming & Pattern Observations
 Using HOARE'S QUICKSELECT ALGORITHM
- Pseudocode
- Write code
- Run through testcases
- Analyze time and space complexity

```
Time: partition function: O(n)
quickSelect:
best: O(n) + O(n/2) + O(n/4) + ... = O(n)
worst: array sorted in descending order:
the array does not get split in half, always split on one huge array O(n^2)
```

Space: reduced to tail recursion O(1): we're not waiting on both the left and right branch recursion