

1. $K = \{i \mid M_i \text{ is TM that halts on blank input}\}$

10 Proof by Contradiction

Let's assume K is decidable and R be a TM that decides K . We use R to construct a TM S that decides $HALT_{TM} = \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } M \text{ halts on input } w \}$

$S(\langle M, w \rangle)$ /s on input $\langle M, w \rangle$, an encoding of M & string w ,

1. Construct the following TM M_1 from M, w

M_1 (on blank input tape)

1. ~~if $w \neq \epsilon$ reject else~~ Start with blank input.
2. write w on the input tape.
3. Run M on input w until it halts and accept if it does

2. Run R on input $\langle M_1 \rangle$.

3. If R accepts, accept; if R rejects, reject.

Since R decides K , ~~there~~ S decides $HALT_{TM}$, a contradiction.

3. 7 $E_{TM} \not\subseteq FINITE$

To show we must present a computable function f that takes input of the form $\langle M \rangle$ and returns output of the form $\langle M' \rangle$, i.e., $\langle M \rangle \mapsto \langle M' \rangle$ where $\langle M \rangle \in E_{TM}$ iff $\langle M' \rangle \in FINITE$

Define reducing function $f(\langle M \rangle) = \langle M' \rangle$ where the following machine F computes f :

$F =$ "on input $\langle M \rangle$:"

1. construct M' as follows:

$M' =$ "On input x : reject if x is infinite"

2. output $\langle M' \rangle$.

$\langle M \rangle \in E_{TM} \iff \langle M' \rangle \in FINITE$

? how can a string be infinite?
This does not depend on M it can vary.

~~(S_c) has an~~ S_c must have a blue element as well ✓

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $(S, C) \in \text{SET SPLITTING}$. Fix some color with 2 colors such that every set has at least one both colors.

Consider the following assignment to variables of Φ . x_i , assign it 'True' if its color differs from the special element F . Assign x_i 'False' if its color same as that of F .

Hence,
(\Rightarrow) Each clause c in Φ is satisfied, since S_c at least one element x_i or \bar{x}_i that is colored differently than F .
✓

5.16. Proof by contradiction

10

Let's assume $BB(k)$ is computable, to the contrary
 $\Rightarrow \exists$ TM T_{BB} which on input 1^k , writes $1^{BB(k)}$ on its tape
~~output~~ and halts.

~~Let's reduce the HALT-BLANK_{TM} undecidable~~

Now, let's show that BB is computable?
 \Rightarrow HALT-BLANK_{TM} = $\{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } M \text{ halts on blank tape} \}$ can be decided using the function BB .

Given TM M , modify M to form N s.t.,

$\rightarrow N$ starts on a blank tape and works in the same way as M except N inserts a new square with number '1' printed on it in between every two squares of the original computation of M .

\rightarrow To do this, start by modifying M s.t. it prints a left end marker at the left end of tape and never attempts to shift to the left of this marker, but N simulates M Right/left shift to be modified by first shifting right left, printing '1', then again shifting right/left.

$\rightarrow N$ halts on blank tape iff M halts on a blank tape.

\rightarrow Can use BB to ~~find~~ decide whether N halts: if N ever moves its head more than $2 \cdot BB(k)$ steps from left end of its tape, it will never halt.

\rightarrow Simulate N on a blank tape until it either halts (then accept) or it repeats a configuration (only finite number of them) or moves beyond $2 \cdot BB(k)$ tape squares (then reject)

$\rightarrow BB(k)$ decides HALT-BLANK_{TM}, a contradiction.

Down to use an output tape.