

(https://accounts.coursera.org/i/zendesk/courserahelp?return_to=https://learner.coursera.help/hc)

Assignment: Assignment 4

✓ Pass the exercise

You received 3 reviews and 0 likes

(</learn/approximation-algorithms-part-2/peer/E03rO/assignment-4/submit>)

✓ Review 3 classmates

0/3 reviews completed

(</learn/approximation-algorithms-part-2/peer/E03rO/assignment-4/give-feedback>)

Instructions (</learn/approximation-algorithms-part-2/peer/E03rO/assignment-4>)

My submission (</learn/approximation-algorithms-part-2/peer/E03rO/assignment-4/submit>)

Review classmates (</learn/approximation-algorithms-part-2/peer/E03rO/assignment-4/give-feedback>)

Discussions (</learn/approximation-algorithms-part-2/peer/E03rO/assignment-4/discussions>)

Thank you for completing a peer reviewed assignment! Please take this survey to help us improve your experience.

([https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/Z8KFSCC?](https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/Z8KFSCC?c=assignmentId%3DBn5CpL1xEeWl5BKFFRwcCw%402&c=courseId%3DAWy3bpdeEeW2aQ7olstw0Q&c=itemId%3DE03rO&c=submissionId%3DEKURpt0IEeWvQA)

[c=assignmentId%3DBn5CpL1xEeWl5BKFFRwcCw%402&c=courseId%3DAWy3bpdeEeW2aQ7olstw0Q&c=itemId%3DE03rO&c=submissionId%3DEKURpt0IEeWvQA](https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/Z8KFSCC?c=assignmentId%3DBn5CpL1xEeWl5BKFFRwcCw%402&c=courseId%3DAWy3bpdeEeW2aQ7olstw0Q&c=itemId%3DE03rO&c=submissionId%3DEKURpt0IEeWvQA)

An SDP based randomized algorithm for the Correlation Clustering problem

February 27, 2016

Shareable Link (<https://www.coursera.org/learn/approximation-algorithms-part-2/peer/E03rO/assignment-4/review/EKURpt0IEeWvQA>)

An SDP based randomized algorithm for the Correlation Clustering problem

The objective of this exercise is to design an algorithm for the $\{ \text{it correlation clustering problem} \}$. Given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ without loops, for each edge $e = \{i, j\} \in E$ there are two non-negative numbers $w_e^+, w_e^- \geq 0$ representing how similar and dissimilar are the nodes i and j , respectively. For $S \subseteq V$, let $E(S)$ be the set of edges with both endpoints in S , that is, $E(S) = \{\{i, j\} \in E; i, j \in S\}$. The goal is to find a partition \mathcal{S} of V in order to maximize

$$f(\mathcal{S}) = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}: e \in E(S)} w_e^+ + \sum_{e \in E \setminus \cup E(S)} w_e^-.$$

In words, the objective is to find a partition that maximizes the total similarity inside each set of the partition plus the dissimilarity between nodes in different sets of the partition.

Consider the following simple algorithm:

Algorithm 1

Let $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{\{i\} : i \in V\}$ the partition that considers each vertex as a single cluster, and $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{V\}$, that is every vertex in the same cluster. Compute the values $f(\mathcal{S}_1)$ and $f(\mathcal{S}_2)$ of this two partitions, and output the best among this two.

Question 1. Compute the values $f(\mathcal{S}_1)$, $f(\mathcal{S}_2)$ in terms of the weights w^- and w^+ .

Question 2. Conclude that previous algorithm is a 1/2-approximation.

Let $B = \{e_\ell : \ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$ be the canonical basis in \mathbb{R}^n , where $n = |V|$. For every vertex $i \in V$ there is a vector x_i that is equal to e_k if node i is assigned to cluster k . Consider the following program:

$$\max \left\{ \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} \left(w_{\{i,j\}}^+ x_i \cdot x_j + w_{\{i,j\}}^- (1 - x_i \cdot x_j) \right) : x_i \in B \text{ for all } i \in V \right\}.$$

Question 3. Explain why this program is a formulation of the correlation clustering problem.

The formulation is relaxed to obtain the following vector program:

$$\max \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} \left(w_{\{i,j\}}^+ x_i \cdot x_j + w_{\{i,j\}}^- (1 - x_i \cdot x_j) \right)$$

subject to $v_i \cdot v_i = 1$ for all $i \in V$,

$v_i \cdot v_j \geq 0$ for all $i, j \in V$,

$v_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for all $i \in V$.

Consider the following algorithm:

Algorithm SDP

Solve the the previous relaxation to obtain vectors $\{v_i : i \in V\}$, with objective value equal to Z . Draw independently two random hyperplanes with normals r_1 and r_2 . This determines four regions,

$$R_1 = \{i \in V : r_1 \cdot v_i \geq 0 \text{ and } r_2 \cdot v_i \geq 0\},$$

$$R_2 = \{i \in V : r_1 \cdot v_i \geq 0 \text{ and } r_2 \cdot v_i < 0\},$$

$$R_3 = \{i \in V : r_1 \cdot v_i < 0 \text{ and } r_2 \cdot v_i \geq 0\},$$

$$R_4 = \{i \in V : r_1 \cdot v_i < 0 \text{ and } r_2 \cdot v_i < 0\},$$

and output the partition $\mathcal{R} = \{R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4\}$.

In the following, the goal is to analyse this algorithm, and to prove that it is a 3/4-approximation.

Question 4. Let $X_{\{i,j\}}$ be the random variable that is equal to 1 if the vectors v_i and v_j lie in the same side of the two random hyperplanes, and zero otherwise. Using an argument similar to the one used for Max-Cut, prove that $\text{Prob}(X_{\{i,j\}} = 1) = (1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \theta_{\{i,j\}})^2$, where $\theta_{\{i,j\}} = \arccos(v_i \cdot v_j)$ is the angle between vectors v_i and v_j .

Question 5. Let $f(\mathcal{R}) = \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} (w_{\{i,j\}}^+ X_{\{i,j\}} + w_{\{i,j\}}^- (1 - X_{\{i,j\}}))$ the value of the partition \mathcal{R} , and denote $g(\theta) = (1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \theta)^2$ the probability function computed before. Prove that the expected value of $f(\mathcal{R})$, denoted by $E(f(\mathcal{R}))$, is

$$\sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} (w_{\{i,j\}}^+ g(\theta_{\{i,j\}}) + w_{\{i,j\}}^- (1 - g(\theta_{\{i,j\}}))).$$

The following lemma will be helpful to conclude the analysis (You don't need to prove it.)

Lemma. For $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$, $g(\theta) \geq \frac{3}{4} \cos(\theta)$ and $1 - g(\theta) \geq \frac{3}{4} (1 - \cos(\theta))$.

Question 6. Using the lemma conclude that $E(f(\mathcal{R})) \geq \frac{3}{4} \cdot Z$, and that the algorithm is a 3/4-approximation.

Answers:

$$\begin{aligned} 1. f(S_1) &= \sum_{S \in S_1: e \in E(S)} w_e^+ + \sum_{S \in S_1: e \in E - \cup E(S)} w_e^- \\ &= 0 + \sum_{S \in S_1: e \in E - \cup E(S)} w_e^- \quad (\text{since } \sum_{S \in S_1: e \in E(S)} w_e^+ = 0, \text{ no edge has both endpoints in any partition } S \text{ in } S_1) \\ &= \sum_{e \in E} w_e^- \quad (\text{since } \forall S \in S_1, E(S) = \emptyset \Rightarrow E - \cup E(S) = E) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(S_2) &= \sum_{S \in S_2: e \in E(S)} w_e^+ + \sum_{S \in S_2: e \in E - \cup E(S)} w_e^- \\ &= \sum_{S \in S_2: e \in E(S)} w_e^+ + 0 \quad (\text{since all the edges have their both endpoints in the only partition } S \text{ of } S_2) \\ &= \sum_{e \in E} w_e^+ \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2. \max(f(S_1), f(S_2)) &\geq \frac{f(S_1) + f(S_2)}{2} \quad (\text{since maximum of 2 values is at least their average}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\sum_{e \in E} w_e^- + \sum_{e \in E} w_e^+) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e \in E} (w_e^- + w_e^+) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \text{OPT} \quad (\text{since OPT can't be greater than sum of all weights}). \end{aligned}$$

Since the **algorithm 1** outputs $\max(f(S_1), f(S_2))$, it's a $\frac{1}{2}$ -approximation algorithm. (QED)

$$3. \text{The objective function for the program given is } \max \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} (w_{\{i,j\}}^+ x_i \cdot x_j + w_{\{i,j\}}^- (1 - x_i \cdot x_j)).$$

First let's note that $x_i, x_j \in \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$, where $e_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\forall k$, e.g., $e_1 = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$, $e_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and so on, since given they belong to *orthonormal* canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^n \Rightarrow x_i \cdot x_j$ can be either 0 (when they are assigned to different clusters k, l , s.t., k^{th} dimension of $e_k = 1$ and l^{th} dimension of $e_l = 1$, with $k \neq l \Rightarrow x_i \perp x_j \Rightarrow x_i \cdot x_j = 0$) or 1 (when they are assigned to the same cluster k).

Now, Two vertices i, j are similar $\Leftrightarrow w_{\{i,j\}}^+$ will have high value and $w_{\{i,j\}}^-$ will have low value. The objective being a maximization function, the solver will try to increase the product term $x_i \cdot x_j$ corresponding to $w_{\{i,j\}}^+$ as much as possible. This will assign the highest possible value 1 to

$< x_i, x_j > \Leftrightarrow (x_i, x_j)$ will be the same basis vector e_k and will be assigned to the same cluster.

Also, Two vertices i, j are dissimilar $\Leftrightarrow w_{\{i,j\}}^+$ will have low value and $w_{\{i,j\}}^-$ will have high value. The objective being a maximization function, the solver will try to increase the product term $(1 - x_i \cdot x_j)$ corresponding to $w_{\{i,j\}}^-$, i.e, it will decrease $x_i \cdot x_j$ as much as possible. This will assign the lowest possible value 0 to $< x_i, x_j > \Leftrightarrow (x_i, x_j)$ will be different basis vectors and will be assigned to different clusters.

The above argument shows that the program is a formulation of *correlation clustering* problem (since it will try to create partitions by maximizing the similarity in between intra-cluster points and dissimilarity in between the inter-cluster points).

4. By similar argument from the lecture, the probability that the random hyperplane R_1 separates v_i from v_j is $P(R_1) = \frac{\theta_{\{i,j\}}}{\pi}$.

\Rightarrow The probability that the random hyperplane R_1 can't separate v_i from v_j is $P(R_1^c) = 1 - \frac{\theta_{\{i,j\}}}{\pi}$.

Similarly, the probability that the random hyperplane R_2 can't separate v_i from v_j is $P(R_2^c) = 1 - \frac{\theta_{\{i,j\}}}{\pi}$.

Hence, $\text{Prob}(X_{\{i,j\}} = 1) = \text{Probability that } v_i, v_j \text{ are on the same side of } R_1 \text{ or } R_2 = \text{the probability that none of the the random hyperplanes } R_1 \text{ and } R_2 \text{ can separate } v_i \text{ from } v_j$ is $P(R_1^c \cap R_2^c) = P(R_1^c) \cdot P(R_2^c) = \left(1 - \frac{\theta_{\{i,j\}}}{\pi}\right)^2$ (by **independence**).

$$\begin{aligned}
 5. E[f(R)] &= E[\sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} (w_{\{i,j\}}^+ X_{\{i,j\}} + w_{\{i,j\}}^- (1 - X_{\{i,j\}}))] \\
 &= \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} w_{\{i,j\}}^+ E[X_{\{i,j\}}] + w_{\{i,j\}}^- (1 - E[X_{\{i,j\}}]) \quad (\text{by linearity of expectation}) \\
 &= \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} (w_{\{i,j\}}^+ P(X_{\{i,j\}} = 1) + w_{\{i,j\}}^- (1 - P(X_{\{i,j\}} = 1))) \quad (\text{since } X_{\{i,j\}} \text{ is a binary variable}) \\
 &= \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} (w_{\{i,j\}}^+ (1 - \frac{\theta_{\{i,j\}}}{\pi})^2 + w_{\{i,j\}}^- (1 - (1 - \frac{\theta_{\{i,j\}}}{\pi})^2)) \\
 &= \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} (w_{\{i,j\}}^+ g(\theta_{\{i,j\}}) + w_{\{i,j\}}^- (1 - g(\theta_{\{i,j\}}))) \quad (\text{where } g(\theta) = (1 - \frac{\theta}{\pi})^2) \\
 &\geq \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} (w_{\{i,j\}}^+ \frac{3}{4} \cos(\theta_{\{i,j\}}) + w_{\{i,j\}}^- \frac{3}{4} (1 - \cos(\theta_{\{i,j\}}))) \quad (\text{by the lemma}) \\
 &= \frac{3}{4} \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} (w_{\{i,j\}}^+ \cos(\theta_{\{i,j\}}) + w_{\{i,j\}}^- (1 - \cos(\theta_{\{i,j\}}))) \\
 &= \frac{3}{4} \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} (w_{\{i,j\}}^+ v_i \cdot v_j + w_{\{i,j\}}^- (1 - v_i \cdot v_j)) \quad (\text{since } v_i \cdot v_j = |v_i| \cdot |v_j| \cos(\theta_{\{i,j\}}) \text{ and } |v_i| = |v_j| = 1) \\
 &= \frac{3}{4} Z \geq \frac{3}{4} \text{OPT} \quad (\text{by property of quadratic relaxation and SDP})
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $E[f(R)] \geq \frac{3}{4} \text{OPT}$. (QED)

The answer to Question 1 is of the following form:

The partition \mathcal{S}_1 satisfies that $E(\{v\}) = \emptyset$ for all $v \in V$ (the graph has no loops) and then $f(\mathcal{S}_1) = \sum_{e \in E} w_e^-$. On the other hand, partition \mathcal{S}_2 satisfies that all edges are internal, and then $f(\mathcal{S}_2) = \sum_{e \in E} w_e^+$.

- ☒ 2 pts
Yes
- ☐ 0 pts
No

The answer to Question 2 is of the following form:

An upper bound on the value of opt is the total sum of all weights, that is, $\text{opt} \leq \sum_{e \in E} (w_e^- + w_e^+)$. Then, $\text{OPT} \leq f(\mathcal{S}_1) + f(\mathcal{S}_2)$, which implies that $\max\{f(\mathcal{S}_1), f(\mathcal{S}_2)\} \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \text{opt}$.

- ☒ 3 pts
Yes
- ☐ 0 pts
No

The answer to Question 3 is of the following form:

The product $x_i \cdot x_j = 1$ if and only if nodes i, j belong to the same cluster. In this case the edge is internal and then it contributes $w_{\{i,j\}}^+ = w_{\{i,j\}}^+ x_i \cdot x_j$ to the objective value. On the other hand, $x_i \cdot x_j = 0$ and the the nodes i, j belong to different sets of the partition. The edge $\{i, j\}$ contributes $w_{\{i,j\}}^- = w_{\{i,j\}}^- (1 - x_i \cdot x_j)$ to the objective value.

- ☒ 3 pts
Yes
- ☐ 0 pts
No

The answer to Question 4 is of the following form:

The probability that vectors v_i, v_j belong to different sides of a random hyperplane is equal to $\theta_{\{i,j\}}/\pi$. Therefore, the probability that v_i, v_j belong to the same side of the random hyperplane r_1 is equal to $1 - \theta_{\{i,j\}}/\pi$, and the same holds for the random hyperplane r_2 . Since both are drawn independently, it follows that $\text{Prob}(X_{\{i,j\}} = 1) = (1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \theta_{\{i,j\}})^2$.

- ☒ 4 pts
Yes
- ☐ 0 pts
No

☐ No

The answer to Question 5 is of the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} E(f(\mathcal{R})) &= \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} \left(w_{\{i,j\}}^+ E(X_{\{i,j\}}) + w_{\{i,j\}}^- (1 - E(X_{\{i,j\}})) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} \left(w_{\{i,j\}}^+ \text{Prob}(X_{\{i,j\}} = 1) + w_{\{i,j\}}^- (1 - \text{Prob}(X_{\{i,j\}} = 1)) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} \left(w_{\{i,j\}}^+ g(\theta_{\{i,j\}}) + w_{\{i,j\}}^- (1 - g(\theta_{\{i,j\}})) \right). \end{aligned}$$

☒ 2 pts
Yes

☐ 0 pts
No



The answer to Question 6 is of the following form:

To conclude we use the following facts: i) $\theta_{\{i,j\}} = \arccos(v_i \cdot v_j)$, ii) the lemma, and iii) $Z \geq \text{opt}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} E(f(\mathcal{R})) &= \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} \left(w_{\{i,j\}}^+ g(\theta_{\{i,j\}}) + w_{\{i,j\}}^- (1 - g(\theta_{\{i,j\}})) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{3}{4} \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} \left(w_{\{i,j\}}^+ \cos(\theta_{\{i,j\}}) + w_{\{i,j\}}^- (1 - \cos(\theta_{\{i,j\}})) \right) \\ &= \frac{3}{4} \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} \left(w_{\{i,j\}}^+ v_i \cdot v_j + w_{\{i,j\}}^- (1 - v_i \cdot v_j) \right) \\ &= \frac{3}{4} Z \\ &\geq \frac{3}{4} \text{opt}. \end{aligned}$$

☒ 4 pts
Yes

☐ 0 pts
No



Edit submission

Comments

Visible to classmates



share your thoughts...

