

You passed!

Congratulations. You earned 5 / 5 points. Review the feedback below and continue the course when you are ready. You can also help more classmates by reviewing their submissions.

Review Classmates' Work

i It looks like this is your first peer-graded assignment. Learn more



Instructions

My submission

Assignment 7.1 Open Science

Discussions

Submitted on July 16, 2017

Shareable Link

PROMPT

Enter the link to the public project page on the Open Science Framework that contains the pre-registration pdf, the original and analysis data, the analysis script, and the research report.

☑ https://osf.io/wmp5a/

RUBRIC

Look at the PDF file containing the pre-registration. Is a theoretical prediction mentioned under point 1? Is the dependent variable specified under point 2? Is the number of conditions specified under point 3? Is the planned analysis reported under point 4? Is the number of planned data points specified under point 6? If all 5 points are present, score this as 'YES'. If one or more points are missing, score this as 'NO'

- 1 pointYes
- 0 pointsNo

Click on the 'Original Data and Meta Data folder, and then on the Original Data folder. Is the original unchanged data file shared? Score this as 'YES' if the original data is shared, or as 'NO' if the original data is missing.

- 1 pointYes
- 0 points

Click on the Processing and Analysis folder, and go to the Importable Data folder. Is there a data file that contains the importable data? Score this as 'YES' if the analysis data is shared, or as 'NO' if the analysis data is missing.

- 1 pointYes
- 0 pointsNo

Click on the Processing and Analysis folder, and go to the Command Files folder. Is there a file that contains the analysis script? Score this as 'YES' if the analysis script is shared, or as 'NO' if the analysis script is missing.

1 pointYes

0 pointsNo

Click on the Research Report. Does it contain a results section, and some kind of interpretation or conclusion? Score this as 'YES' if the report contains results and some interpretation of the results, or as 'NO' if the results or the interpretation of the results is missing.

1 pointYes

0 pointsNo

Feel free to leave a constructive comment if you have looked at aspects of the Research Report, and have noticed something that can be improved. E.g., maybe the conclusions did not follow from the statistical test, or a better statistical test could have been performed to test the theoretical hypothesis. Please only use this for constructive, friendly comments, when there is something that can be improved.



Carlos Lago Fuentes

Congratulations! It is a really good report about an interesting comparison between two incredible film directors.

Statistical analysis is really clear and completely done.

Maybe, you can include some final conclusions at the end of research report.

Edit submission

Comments

Comments left for the learner are visible only to that learner and the person who left the comment.



share your thoughts...





