

## Chapter 2 FAQ

[Help Center](#)

### Q: How do I expand the list of candidate peptides in CyclopeptideSequencing?

**A:** One of the students in the first session of our class, John Cloutier, provided the following example that illustrates how **CyclopeptideSequencing** works. Consider a strange amino acid alphabet consisting of just two amino acids with masses 1 and 3. The figure below shows the peptides generated at each step by **CyclopeptideSequencing** with respect to a sample experimental spectrum {0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 6}. Consistent peptides are shown in black, and inconsistent peptides are shown in red. In step 4, **CyclopeptideSequencing** produces the blue peptides 1-1-1-3, 1-1-3-1, 1-3-1-1, and 3-1-1-1; these four linear peptides all represent the same cyclic peptide, whose spectrum is equal to the experimental spectrum.

Step	Candidate peptide	Linear Spectrum
1	(1) (3)	(0, 1) (0, 3)
2	(1, 1) (1, 3) (3, 1) (3, 3)	(0, 1, 1, 2) (0, 1, 3, 4) (0, 1, 3, 4) (0, 3, 3, 6)
3	(1, 1, 1) (1, 1, 3) (1, 3, 1) (1, 3, 3) (3, 1, 1) (3, 1, 3) (3, 3, 1) (3, 3, 3)	(0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3) (0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) (0, 1, 1, 3, 4, 4, 5) (0, 1, 3, 3, 4, 6, 7) - remove (0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) (0, 1, 3, 3, 4, 4, 7) - remove (0, 1, 3, 3, 4, 6, 7) - remove (0, 3, 3, 3, 6, 6, 9) - remove
4	(1, 1, 1, 1) (1, 1, 1, 3)  (1, 1, 3, 1) (1, 1, 3, 3) (1, 3, 1, 1) (1, 3, 1, 3)  (3, 1, 1, 1) (3, 1, 1, 3)	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4) - remove (0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 5, 6) – output  (0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 3, 4, 4, 5, 5, 6) – output (0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 3, 4, 6, 5, 7, 8) - remove (0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 3, 4, 4, 5, 5, 6) - output (0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 3, 4, 6, 5, 7, 8) - remove  (0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 5, 6) - output (0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 3, 4, 6, 5, 7, 8) - remove
5:	Empty list.	

### Q: How can i trim the peptide leaderboard without sorting?

**A:** To trim a peptide leaderboard without using sorting, we will first compute an array *ScoreHistogram*, where *ScoreHistogram*(*i*) holds the number of peptides in *Leaderboard* with score *i*. For example, if we are trimming the leaderboard from Charging Station: Trimming the Peptide Leaderboard to  $N = 5$  peptides (including ties), then *ScoreHistogram* = *ScoreHistogram* = (0, 0, 2, 1, 3, 2, 2). As a result,  $2 + 2 + 3 = 7$  peptides will be retained and the remaining  $0 + 0 + 2 + 1$  peptides will be trimmed. Here, the minimum score that a peptide can have without being cut is denoted *ScoreThreshold<sub>N</sub>*(*Spectrum*).

Assuming that  $N$  is smaller than the number of elements on *Leaderboard*, note that the number of peptides cut is at most  $|Leaderboard| - N$ . In order to compute *ScoreThreshold<sub>N</sub>*(*Spectrum*), we need to find the index  $i$  such that the sum of the first  $i$  elements in *ScoreHistogram* is at most  $|Leaderboard| - N$  and the sum of the first  $i + 1$  elements in *ScoreHistogram* exceeds  $|Leaderboard| - N$ . To find this index, we will compute *CumulativeHistogram*, where *CumulativeHistogram*(*i*) holds the number of peptides in *Leaderboard* with score below  $i$ . For our ongoing example, *CumulativeHistogram* = (0, 0, 2, 3, 6, 8, 10). This leads us to the following pseudocode.

```
AnotherTrim(Leaderboard, Spectrum, N, AminoAcid, AminoAcidMass)
  for i ← 0 to |Spectrum|
    ScoreHistogram(i) ← 0
  for j ← 1 to |Leaderboard|
    Peptide ← j-th peptide in Leaderboard
    LinearSpectrum ← LinearSpectrum(Peptide, AminoAcid, AminoAcidMass)
    LinearScore ← Score(Peptide, LinearSpectrum)
    LinearScore(j) ← LinearScore
    ScoreHistogram(LinearScore) ← ScoreHistogram(LinearScore) + 1
  sum ← 0
  for i ← 0 to |Spectrum|
    sum ← sum + ScoreHistogram(i)
    if sum > |Leaderboard| - N
      ScoreThreshold ← i - 1
      for j ← 1 to |Leaderboard|
        Peptide ← j-th peptide in Leaderboard
        if LinearScores(j) < ScoreThreshold
          remove Peptide from Leaderboard
  return Leaderboard
```

**Q:** How can I improve the performance of LeaderboardCyclopeptideSequencing?

**A:** You should not need to optimize your implementation for **LeaderboardCyclopeptideSequencing** in order to pass its Code Challenge. However, various optimization approaches can be applied. To take one example, if the leaderboard has a peptide with mass smaller than *ParentMass*(*Spectrum*) but exceeding *ParentMass*(*Spectrum*) - 57 (recall that 57 is the mass of the lightest amino acid, glycine), this peptide can be safely removed from the leaderboard.

**Q:** I've noticed a discrepancy between the mass of an amino acid cited in the book and in

**other sources. Why is this?**

**A:** For example book suggests that glycine has elemental composition  $C_2H_3ON$  (integer mass  $24+3+16+14=57$  Da), whereas [Wikipedia](#) suggests that it is  $C_2H_5ON_2$  (integer mass  $24+5+16+28=75$  Da). We should use the former formula in analyzing mass spectra, since when an amino acid forms a peptide bond, it loses a water molecule ( $H_2O$ ).

---

Created Fri 21 Nov 2014 3:47 PM PST

Last Modified Sun 27 Sep 2015 3:27 PM PDT