

## Lecture 2: August 31

Lecturer: Alistair Sinclair

Scribes: Omid Etesami, Alexandre Stauffer

**Disclaimer:** *These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications. They may be distributed outside this class only with the permission of the Instructor.*

## 2.1 Applications of Markov Chain Monte Carlo (continued)

### 2.1.1 Statistical Inference

Consider a statistical model with parameters  $\Theta$  and a set of observed data  $X$ . The aim is to obtain  $\Theta$  based on the observed data  $X$ , that is, to calculate the probability  $\Pr(\Theta | X)$ . Using Bayes' rule,  $\Pr(\Theta | X)$  translates to

$$\Pr(\Theta | X) = \frac{\Pr(X | \Theta) \Pr(\Theta)}{\Pr(X)},$$

where  $\Pr(\Theta)$  is the *prior* distribution and refers to the information previously known about  $\Theta$ ,  $\Pr(X | \Theta)$  is the probability that  $X$  is obtained with the assumed model, and  $\Pr(X)$  is the unconditioned probability that  $X$  is observed.  $\Pr(\Theta | X)$  is commonly called the *posterior* distribution and can be written in the form  $\pi(\Theta) = w(\Theta)/Z$ , where the weight  $w(\Theta) = \Pr(X | \Theta) \Pr(\Theta)$  is easy to compute but the normalizing factor  $Z = \Pr(X)$  is unknown. MCMC can then be used to sample from  $\Pr(\Theta | X)$ . We can further use the sampling in the following applications:

- Prediction: obtain the probability  $\Pr(Y | X)$  that some future data  $Y$  is observed given  $X$ .  $\Pr(Y | X)$  clearly can be written as  $\sum_{\Theta} \Pr(Y | \Theta) \Pr(\Theta | X) = E_{\pi} \Pr(Y | \Theta)$ . Therefore we can use sampling to predict  $\Pr(Y | X)$ .
- Model comparison: perform sampling to estimate  $Z = \Pr(X)$ , using this to compare some models and find which one is the best.

## 2.2 Markov Chains

Assume a finite state space  $\Omega$ . A Markov chain on  $\Omega$  is a random process  $\{X_0, X_1, \dots, X_t, \dots\} \in \Omega^\infty$ , such that

$$\Pr(X_{t+1} = x_{t+1} | X_t = x_t, X_{t-1} = x_{t-1}, \dots, X_0 = x_0) = \Pr(X_{t+1} = x_{t+1} | X_t = x_t) = P(x_t, x_{t+1}),$$

where  $P$  is a  $\Omega \times \Omega$  matrix called the matrix of transition probabilities. Clearly,  $P$  is nonnegative, i.e.,  $P(x, y) \geq 0$  for all  $x, y$ , and  $\sum_{y \in \Omega} P(x, y) = 1$  for all  $x$ . A matrix  $P$  with these properties is called a *stochastic matrix*.

Let  $p_x^{(t)}$  be the probability distribution of  $X_t$  given that  $X_0 = x$ . We can write

- $p_x^{(t+1)} = p_x^{(t)} P$  (vector-matrix multiplication)

- $p_x^{(t)} = p_x^{(0)} P^t$  (where of course  $p_x^{(0)}$  denotes the point mass at  $x$ )
- $p_x^{(t)}(y) = P^t(x, y)$

Sometimes we will also allow a general distribution  $p^{(0)}$  at time 0, in which case we write  $p^{(t)} = p^{(0)} P^t$  etc.

We call a probability distribution  $\pi$  over  $\Omega$  a *stationary distribution* for  $P$  if  $\pi = \pi P$ .

**Definition 2.1**  $P$  is irreducible if for all  $x, y$ , there exists some  $t$  such that  $P^t(x, y) > 0$ .

**Definition 2.2**  $P$  is aperiodic if for all  $x, y$  we have  $\gcd\{t : P^t(x, y) > 0\} = 1$ . Equivalently (**exercise!**),  $P$  is aperiodic if there exists  $t$  such that  $P^t(x, y) > 0$  for all  $x, y$ .

Note that both definitions do not refer to specific values of the elements of  $P$ , but just to whether those values are nonzero. Now, let  $G(P)$  be the (directed) graph on vertex set  $\Omega$  such that  $(x, y)$  is an edge iff  $P(x, y) > 0$ . Then  $P$  is irreducible iff  $G(P)$  is strongly connected. If  $G(P)$  is undirected (i.e., whenever  $(x, y)$  is an edge then so is  $(y, x)$ ), then  $P$  is aperiodic iff  $G(P)$  is bipartite (**exercise!**). Notice that the existence of a self-loop in  $G(P)$  is sufficient to ensure that  $P$  is aperiodic (**exercise!**).

**Theorem 2.3 (Fundamental Theorem of Markov Chains)** If  $P$  is irreducible and aperiodic then it has a unique stationary distribution  $\pi$  (which is the unique—up to normalization—left eigenvector with eigenvalue 1). Moreover,  $P^t(x, y) \rightarrow \pi(y)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ .

The classical proof of this theorem proceeds via the Perron-Frobenius theorem for non-negative matrices:

**Theorem 2.4 (Perron-Frobenius)** Any irreducible, aperiodic stochastic matrix  $P$  has an eigenvalue  $\lambda_0 = 1$  with unique associated left eigenvector  $e_0 > 0$ . Moreover, all other eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  of  $P$  satisfy  $|\lambda_i| < 1$ .

**Proof:** (of Theorem 2.3) Here we present a sketch proof for the case where  $P$  is reversible (see section 2.2.1 below). In this case the eigenvalues of  $P$  are real, and its eigenvectors span  $\mathbb{R}^{|\Omega|}$ .

- Write the initial distribution over the basis of the eigenvectors as  $P^{(0)} = \sum_{i \geq 0} \alpha_i e_i$ .
- Then we have  $p^{(t)} = \sum_{i \geq 0} \alpha_i e_i \lambda_i^t \rightarrow \alpha_0 e_0 = \pi$ .

When  $P$  is not reversible, its eigenvectors do not necessarily form a basis so the above argument fails. However, using a more technical argument one can still deduce Theorem 2.3 from the Perron-Frobenius theorem in this more general setting. For a proof, see, e.g., the book by Seneta [Se80]. In the next lecture, we will see a more elementary probabilistic proof of the fundamental theorem. ■

If  $P$  is irreducible (but not necessarily aperiodic), then  $\pi$  still exists and is unique, but the Markov chain does not necessarily converge to  $\pi$  from every starting state. For example, consider the two-state Markov chain with  $P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . This has the unique stationary distribution  $\pi = (1/2, 1/2)$ , but does not converge from either of the two initial states. Notice that in this example  $\lambda_0 = 1$  and  $\lambda_1 = -1$ , so there is another eigenvalue of magnitude 1, contradicting the Perron-Frobenius theorem. However, the Perron-Frobenius theorem does generalize to the periodic setting, with the weaker conclusion that the remaining eigenvalues satisfy  $|\lambda_i| \leq 1$ .

In this course we will not spend much time worrying about periodicity, because of the following simple observation:

**Claim 2.5** For  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , if  $P$  is irreducible then  $P' = \alpha P + (1 - \alpha)I$  is irreducible and aperiodic, and has the same stationary distribution as  $P$ .

This operation corresponds to introducing a self-loop at all vertices of  $G(P)$  with probability  $1 - \alpha$ . The value of  $\alpha$  is usually set to  $1/2$ .

$P'$  is called a “lazy” version of  $P$ . In the design of MCMC algorithms, we mostly do not need to worry about periodicity, since instead of running the Markov chain  $P$ , the algorithm can run the lazy  $P'$ . This just has the effect of slowing down time by a factor of 2.

### 2.2.1 Reversible Markov Chains

**Definition 2.6** A Markov chain  $P$  is reversible with respect to a distribution  $\pi$  if for every  $x, y$ , we have

$$\pi(x)P(x, y) = \pi(y)P(y, x).$$

**Proposition 2.7** If  $P$  is irreducible, aperiodic, and reversible with respect to  $\pi$ , then  $\pi$  is the unique stationary distribution of  $P$ .

**Proof:** For every  $y$ , we have

$$[\pi P](y) = \sum_x \pi(x)P(x, y) = \sum_x \pi(y)P(y, x) = \pi(y).$$

Hence  $\pi$  is stationary, and by the Fundamental Theorem it is unique. ■

Notice that the reversibility condition implies *local* balance of flow for the stationary Markov chain: for every pair of states  $x, y$ , the probability that we move from  $x$  to  $y$  in one step is the same as the probability that we move from  $y$  to  $x$ . Note that *global* balance of flow holds even for irreversible Markov chains: i.e., for any partition of  $\Omega$  into two sets  $(S, \bar{S})$ , in stationarity the probability that in one step we move from  $S$  to  $\bar{S}$  is the same as the probability that we move from  $\bar{S}$  to  $S$ , or equivalently  $\pi(S)P(S, \bar{S}) = \pi(\bar{S})P(\bar{S}, S)$ .

**Corollary 2.8** If  $P$  is reversible and symmetric, then the stationary distribution is uniform.

## 2.3 Examples of Markov Chains

### 2.3.1 Random Walks on Undirected Graphs

**Definition 2.9** Random walk on an undirected graph  $G(V, E)$  is given by the transition matrix

$$P(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1/\deg(x) & \text{if } (x, y) \in E; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 2.10** For random walk  $P$  on an undirected graph, we have:

- $P$  is irreducible iff  $G$  is connected;
- $P$  is aperiodic iff  $G$  is non-bipartite;
- $P$  is reversible with respect to  $\pi(x) = \deg(x)/(2|E|)$ .

### 2.3.2 Ehrenfest Urn

In the Ehrenfest Urn, we have 2 urns and  $n$  balls, where there are  $j$  balls in the first urn and  $n - j$  balls in the other. At each step of the Markov chain, we pick a ball u.a.r. and move it to the other urn.

The non-negative entries of the transition matrix are given by

$$\begin{aligned}P(j, j+1) &= (n-j)/n, \\P(j, j-1) &= j/n.\end{aligned}$$

The Markov chain is irreducible, and it is easy to see (**exercise!**) that  $\pi(j) = \binom{n}{j}/2^n$  is the stationary distribution. However,  $P$  is periodic with period 2.

### 2.3.3 Card Shuffling

In card shuffling, we have a deck of  $n$  cards, and we consider the space  $\Omega$  of all permutations of the cards. Thus  $|\Omega| = n!$ . The aim is to have the stationary distribution  $\pi$  be uniform.

We look at three different shuffling techniques:

#### Random Transpositions

*Pick two cards  $i$  and  $j$  uniformly at random, and switch card  $i$  with card  $j$ .*

This is a pretty slow way of shuffling, but it is irreducible (any permutation can be expressed as a product of transpositions), and also aperiodic (since we may choose  $i = j$  so the chain has self-loops). Since it is symmetric, that is  $P(x, y) = P(y, x)$  for every two permutations  $x$  and  $y$ , the stationary distribution  $\pi$  is uniform.

#### Top-to-random

*Take the top card and insert it at one of the  $n$  positions chosen uniformly at random.*

This shuffle is again irreducible and aperiodic (**exercise!**). However, note that it is not reversible: If we insert the top card into (say) the middle of the deck, we cannot bring it back to the top in one step.

However, notice that every permutation  $y$  can be obtained, in one step, from exactly  $n$  different permutations (corresponding to the  $n$  possible choices for the identity of the previous top card). Hence  $\sum_x P(x, y) = 1$ , or in other words, the matrix  $P$  is *doubly stochastic* (its column sums, as well as its row sums, are 1). It is easy to show that the uniform distribution is stationary for doubly stochastic matrices; in fact (**exercise!**),  $\pi$  is uniform *if and only if*  $P$  is doubly stochastic.

#### Riffle Shuffle (Gilbert-Shannon-Reeds [Gi55,Re81])

- Split the deck into two parts according to the binomial distribution  $\text{Bin}(n, 1/2)$ .
- Drop cards in sequence, where the next card comes from the left hand  $L$  with probability  $|L|/(|L| + |R|)$ .

Notice that the second step of the shuffle is equivalent to choosing a *random interleaving* of the two parts (**exercise!**).

As a final **exercise**, show that the riffle shuffle is irreducible, aperiodic and doubly stochastic (and hence its stationary distribution is again uniform).

## References

- [Se80] E. SENETA, *Non-negative matrices and Markov chains*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [Gi55] E. GILBERT, "Theory of shuffling," Technical Memorandum, Bell Laboratories, 1955.
- [Re81] J. REEDS, Unpublished manuscript, 1981.