

# Shapiro–Wilk test

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

The **Shapiro–Wilk test** is a test of normality in frequentist statistics. It was published in 1965 by Samuel Sanford Shapiro and Martin Wilk.<sup>[1]</sup>

## Contents

- 1 Theory
- 2 Interpretation
- 3 Power analysis
- 4 Approximation
- 5 See also
- 6 References
- 7 External links

## Theory

The Shapiro–Wilk test utilizes the null hypothesis principle to check whether a sample  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  came from a normally distributed population. The test statistic is:

$$W = \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_{(i)}\right)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

where

- $x_{(i)}$  (with parentheses enclosing the subscript index  $i$ ) is the  $i$ th order statistic, i.e., the  $i$ th-smallest number in the sample;
- $\bar{x} = (x_1 + \dots + x_n) / n$  is the sample mean;
- the constants  $a_i$  are given by<sup>[1]</sup>

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \frac{m^T V^{-1}}{(m^T V^{-1} V^{-1} m)^{1/2}}$$

where

$$m = (m_1, \dots, m_n)^T$$

and  $m_1, \dots, m_n$  are the expected values of the order statistics of independent and identically

distributed random variables sampled from the standard normal distribution, and  $V$  is the covariance matrix of those order statistics.

The user may reject the null hypothesis if  $W$  is below a predetermined threshold.

## Interpretation

The null-hypothesis of this test is that the population is normally distributed. Thus if the  $p$ -value is less than the chosen alpha level, then the null hypothesis is rejected and there is evidence that the data tested are not from a normally distributed population. In other words, the data are not normal. On the contrary, if the  $p$ -value is greater than the chosen alpha level, then the null hypothesis that the data came from a normally distributed population cannot be rejected. E.g. for an alpha level of 0.05, a data set with a  $p$ -value of 0.02 rejects the null hypothesis that the data are from a normally distributed population.<sup>[2]</sup>

However, since the test is biased by sample size,<sup>[3]</sup> the test may be statistically significant from a normal distribution in any large samples. Thus a Q–Q plot is required for verification in addition to the test.

## Power analysis

Monte Carlo simulation has found that Shapiro–Wilk has the best power for a given significance, followed closely by Anderson–Darling when comparing the Shapiro–Wilk, Kolmogorov–Smirnov, Lilliefors, and Anderson–Darling tests.<sup>[4]</sup>

## Approximation

Royston proposed an alternative method of calculating the coefficients vector by providing an algorithm for calculating values, which extended the sample size to 2000.<sup>[5]</sup> This technique is used in several software packages including R,<sup>[6]</sup> Stata,<sup>[7][8]</sup> SPSS and SAS.<sup>[9]</sup> Rahman and Govidarajulu extended the sample size further up to 5000.<sup>[10]</sup>

## See also

- Anderson–Darling test
- Cramér–von Mises criterion
- Kolmogorov–Smirnov test
- Normal probability plot
- Ryan–Joiner test
- Watson test
- Lilliefors test
- D'Agostino's K-squared test

## References

1. Shapiro, S. S.; Wilk, M. B. (1965). "An analysis of variance test for normality (complete samples)". *Biometrika* **52** (3–4): 591–611. doi:10.1093/biomet/52.3-4.591. JSTOR 2333709. MR 205384. p. 593
2. "How do I interpret the Shapiro–Wilk test for normality?". *JMP*. 2004. Retrieved March 24, 2012.
3. Field, Andy (2009). *Discovering statistics using SPSS* (3rd ed.). Los Angeles [i.e. Thousand Oaks, Calif.]: SAGE Publications. p. 143. ISBN 978-1-84787-906-6.
4. Razali, Nornadiah; Wah, Yap Bee (2011). "Power comparisons of Shapiro–Wilk, Kolmogorov–Smirnov, Lilliefors and Anderson–Darling tests" (PDF). *Journal of Statistical Modeling and Analytics* **2** (1): 21–33.

Retrieved 5 June 2012.

5. Royston, Patrick (September 1992). "Approximating the Shapiro–Wilk  $W$ -test for non-normality". *Statistics and Computing* **2** (3): 117–119. doi:10.1007/BF01891203.
6. Korkmaz, Selcuk. "Package 'royston'" (PDF). *Cran.r-project.org*. Retrieved 26 February 2014.
7. Royston, Patrick. "Shapiro–Wilk and Shapiro–Francia Tests". *Stata Technical Bulletin, StataCorp LP* **1** (3).
8. Shapiro–Wilk and Shapiro–Francia tests for normality (<https://www.stata.com/manuals13/rs Wilkinson.pdf>)
9. Park, Hun Myoung (2002–2008). "Univariate Analysis and Normality Test Using SAS, Stata, and SPSS" (PDF). *[working paper]*. Retrieved 26 February 2014.
10. Rahman und Govidarajulu (1997). "A modification of the test of Shapiro and Wilk for normality". *Journal of Applied Statistics* **24** (2): 219–236. doi:10.1080/02664769723828.

## External links

- Samuel Sanford Shapiro (<http://www.answers.com/topic/samuel-sanford-shapiro>)
- Algorithm AS R94 (Shapiro Wilk) FORTRAN code (<http://lib.stat.cmu.edu/apstat/R94>)
- Exploratory analysis using the Shapiro–Wilk normality test in R (<http://cran.us.r-project.org/doc/manuals/R-intro.html#Examining-the-distribution-of-a-set-of-data>)

Retrieved from "[https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Shapiro–Wilk\\_test&oldid=706356461](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Shapiro–Wilk_test&oldid=706356461)"

Categories: Normality tests

- 
- This page was last modified on 22 February 2016, at 21:43.
  - Text is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License; additional terms may apply. By using this site, you agree to the Terms of Use and Privacy Policy. Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the Wikimedia Foundation, Inc., a non-profit organization.