

# Random assignment

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

**Random assignment** or **random placement** is an experimental technique for assigning subjects to different treatments (or no treatment). The thinking behind random assignment is that by randomizing treatment assignment, then the group attributes for the different treatments will be roughly equivalent and therefore any effect observed between treatment groups can be linked to the treatment effect and is not a characteristic of the individuals in the group.

In experimental design, random assignment of participants in experiments or treatment and control groups help to ensure that any differences between and within the groups are not systematic at the outset of the experiment. Random assignment does not guarantee that the groups are "matched" or equivalent, only that any differences are due to chance.

Random assignment facilitates comparison in experiments by creating similar groups. Example compares "Apple to Apple" and "Orange to Orange".

Random assignment

- Step 1: Begin with a collection of subjects. Example 20 people.
- Step 2: Devise a method to randomize that is purely mechanical ( e.g. flip a coin)
- Step 3: Assign subjects with "Heads" to one group : Control Group. Assign subjects with "Tails" to the other group: Experimental Group

## Contents

- 1 Example
- 2 History
- 3 See also
- 4 References
- 5 Links

## Example

Consider an experiment with a treatment group and one control group. Suppose the experimenter has recruited a population of 50 people for the experiment—25 with blue eyes and 25 with brown eyes. If the experimenter were to assign all of the blue-eyed people to the treatment group and the brown-eyed people to the control group, the results may be biased. When analyzing the results, one might question whether an observed effect was due to the application of the experimental condition or was in fact due to eye color.

With random assignment, one would randomly assign individuals to either treatment or control and therefore have a better chance at detecting if an observed change is due to chance or due to the experimental treatment.

If a randomly assigned group is compared to the mean it may be discovered that statistically they differ, even though they were assigned from the same group. To express this same idea statistically - If a test of statistical significance is applied to randomly assigned groups to test the difference between sample means against the null hypothesis that they are equal to the same population mean (i.e., population mean of differences = 0), given the

probability distribution, the null hypothesis will sometimes be "rejected," that is, deemed not plausible. That is, the groups will be sufficiently different on the variable tested to conclude statistically that they did not come from the same population, even though, procedurally, they were assigned from the same total group. In the example above using random assignment may create an assignment to groups that has 20 blue-eyed people and 5 brown-eyed people in one group. This is a rare event under random assignment, but it could happen, and when it does it might add some doubt to the causal agent in the experimental hypothesis.

Because most basic statistical tests require the hypothesis of an independent randomly sampled population, random assignment is the desired assignment method because it provides control for all attributes of the members of the samples—in contrast to matching on only one or more variables—and provides the mathematical basis for estimating the likelihood of group equivalence for characteristics one is interested in, both for pretreatment checks on equivalence and the evaluation of post treatment results using inferential statistics. More advanced statistical modeling can be used to adapt the inference to the sampling method.

## History

Randomization was emphasized in the theory of statistical inference of Charles S. Peirce in "Illustrations of the Logic of Science" (1877–1878) and "A Theory of Probable Inference" (1883). Peirce applied randomization in the Peirce-Jastrow experiment on weight perception.

Charles S. Peirce randomly assigned volunteers to a blinded, repeated-measures design to evaluate their ability to discriminate weights.<sup>[1][2][3][4]</sup> Peirce's experiment inspired other researchers in psychology and education, which developed a research tradition of randomized experiments in laboratories and specialized textbooks in the eighteen-hundreds.<sup>[1][2][3][4]</sup>

Jerzy Neyman advocated randomization in survey sampling (1934) and in experiments (1923).<sup>[5]</sup> Ronald A. Fisher advocated randomization in his book on experimental design (1935).

## See also

- Asymptotic theory (statistics)

## References

- ↑ ***a*** ***b*** Charles Sanders Peirce and Joseph Jastrow (1885). "On Small Differences in Sensation" (<http://psychclassics.yorku.ca/Peirce/small-diffs.htm>). *Memoirs of the National Academy of Sciences* **3**: 73–83.
  - ↑ ***a*** ***b*** Ian Hacking (September 1988). "Telepathy: Origins of Randomization in Experimental Design". *Isis (A Special Issue on Artifact and Experiment)* **79** (3): 427–451.
  - ↑ ***a*** ***b*** Stephen M. Stigler (November 1992). "A Historical View of Statistical Concepts in Psychology and Educational Research". *American Journal of Education* **101** (1): 60–70. doi:10.1086/444032 (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1086%2F444032>).
  - ↑ ***a*** ***b*** Trudy Dehue (December 1997). "Deception, Efficiency, and Random Groups: Psychology and the Gradual Origination of the Random Group Design". *Isis* **88** (4): 653–673. doi:10.1086/383850 (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1086%2F383850>). PMID 9519574 (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9519574>).
  - ↑ Neyman, Jerzy (1990) [1923], "On the application of probability theory to agricultural experiments: Essay on principles (Section 9)", in Dabrowska, Dorota M.; Speed, Terence P., *Statistical Science* (Translated from (1923) Polish ed. ed.) **5** (4): 465–472
- Caliński, Tadeusz and Kageyama, Sanpei (2000). *Block designs: A Randomization approach, Volume I: Analysis*. Lecture Notes in Statistics **150**. New York: Springer-Verlag. ISBN 0-387-98578-6.

- Hinkelmann, Klaus and Kempthorne, Oscar (2008). *Design and Analysis of Experiments*. I and II (Second ed.). Wiley. ISBN 978-0-470-38551-7.
  - Hinkelmann, Klaus and Kempthorne, Oscar (2008). *Design and Analysis of Experiments, Volume I: Introduction to Experimental Design* (Second ed.). Wiley. ISBN 978-0-471-72756-9.
  - Hinkelmann, Klaus and Kempthorne, Oscar (2005). *Design and Analysis of Experiments, Volume 2: Advanced Assignment* (<http://www.australiabesttutors.com/Help-with-Assignment>) *Experimental Design* (First ed.). Wiley. ISBN 978-0-471-55177-5.
- Charles S. Peirce, "Illustrations of the Logic of Science" (1877–1878)
- Charles S. Peirce, "A Theory of Probable Inference" (1883)
- Charles Sanders Peirce and Joseph Jastrow (1885). "On Small Differences in Sensation" (<http://psychclassics.yorku.ca/Peirce/small-diffs.htm>). *Memoirs of the National Academy of Sciences* **3**: 73–83. <http://psychclassics.yorku.ca/Peirce/small-diffs.htm>
- Hacking, Ian (September 1988). "Telepathy: Origins of Randomization in Experimental Design". *Isis* **79** (3): 427–451. doi:10.1086/354775 (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1086%2F354775>). JSTOR 234674 (<http://www.jstor.org/stable/234674>). MR 1013489 (<http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1013489>).
- Stephen M. Stigler (November 1992). "A Historical View of Statistical Concepts in Psychology and Educational Research". *American Journal of Education* **101** (1): 60–70. doi:10.1086/444032 (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1086%2F444032>).
- Trudy Dehue (December 1997). "Deception, Efficiency, and Random Groups: Psychology and the Gradual Origination of the Random Group Design". *Isis* **88** (4): 653–673. doi:10.1086/383850 (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1086%2F383850>). PMID 9519574 (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9519574>).
- *Basic Psychology* by Gleitman, Fridlund, and Reisberg.
- "What statistical testing is, and what it is not," *Journal of Experimental Education*, 1993, vol 61, pp. 293–316 by Shaver.

## Links

Experimental Random Assignment Tool: Random assignment tool - Experimental (<http://www.researchtool.org>)

Retrieved from "[http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Random\\_assignment&oldid=592901360](http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Random_assignment&oldid=592901360)"

Categories: Causal inference | Design of experiments | Experiments

- 
- This page was last modified on 29 January 2014 at 03:11.
  - Text is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License; additional terms may apply. By using this site, you agree to the Terms of Use and Privacy Policy.
- Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the Wikimedia Foundation, Inc., a non-profit organization.