

Peer Assessments (https://class.coursera.org/datavisualization-001/human_grading/)

/ Programming Assignment 2 Submission

[Help Center \(https://accounts.coursera.org/i/zendesk/courserahelp?return_to=https://learner.coursera.help/hc\)](https://accounts.coursera.org/i/zendesk/courserahelp?return_to=https://learner.coursera.help/hc)

Submission Phase


1. Do assignment ☒ (/datavisualization-001/human_grading/view/courses/973956/assessments/14/submissions)

due in 2day 11h

Evaluation Phase

2. Evaluate peers ☒ (/datavisualization-001/human_grading/view/courses/973956/assessments/14/peerGradingSets)
3. Self-evaluate ☐ (/datavisualization-001/human_grading/view/courses/973956/assessments/14/selfGradingSets)

Results Phase

4. See results  (/datavisualization-001/human_grading/view/courses/973956/assessments/14/results/mine)

Your work was submitted.



[← Return to list \(/datavisualization-001/human_grading/view/courses/973956/assessments/14/peerGradingSets/3209\)](#)

You should now do your required self-evaluation. Skipping this step will result in a **20% penalty** to your grade.

✓ Submitted

Re-submit evaluation

[+ Evaluate another student \(optional but useful\) \(/datavisualization-001/human_grading/view/courses/973956/assessments/14/peerGradingSets/3209/next\)](#)

[➔ Go on to self-evaluation \(/datavisualization-001/human_grading/view/courses/973956/assessments/14/selfGradingSets\)](#)

Submission from: Student 4

Before submitting your visualization image, make sure you review the [full instructions page](#) (https://class.coursera.org/datavisualization-001/wiki/view?page=Programming_Assignment_2).

Upload your visualization image below.

Alongside your visualizations, feel free to include a paragraph that helps explain your submission. A few questions that your paragraph could answer:

How well are related items placed near each other?

Poor (1-2 points)

Relationship between items cannot be discerned because of poor layout.

Fair (3 points)

Major problems with the layout, leading to many long and/or overlapping edges.

Good (4 points)

Minor problems with the layout, resulting in one or two distractingly long edges.

Excellent (5 points)

Related items are placed near each other.

Instructions: Select a score below that corresponds to the rating above that best describes the work you reviewed.

2: Poor (2 points)

Design of the visualization

Does the visualization effectively utilize the assignment of variables to elements and design of a visualization described in Week 2?

Poor (1-2 points)

Relationship between items cannot be discerned because of poor element and/or design choices.

Fair (3 points)

Major problems with some elements and or design choices that interferes with the display of the data.

Good (4 points)

Minor problems with some elements and or design choices that distracts from the display of the data.

Excellent (5 points)

Visualization effectively uses elements and design to display the data.

Instructions: Select a score below that corresponds to the rating above that best describes the work you reviewed.

4: Good

Contest

How interesting is the result. Does this represent an interesting choice of data and/or an interesting way to display the data?

Poor (1-2 points) Fair (3 points) Good (4 points) Excellent (5 points)

Misleading

Boring

Not boring

Interesting

Instructions: Select a score below that corresponds to the rating above that best describes the work you reviewed.

4: Good

Optionally, you may give your peers some feedback or comments on their submissions.

You've written 0 words

You should now do your required self-evaluation. Skipping this step will result in a **20% penalty** to your grade.

✓ Submitted

Re-submit evaluation

[+ Evaluate another student \(optional but useful\) \(/datavisualization-001/human_grading/view/courses/973956/assessments/14/peerGradingSets/3209/next\)](#)

[➔ Go on to self-evaluation \(/datavisualization-001/human_grading/view/courses/973956/assessments/14/selfGradingSets\)](#)