hujie-frank / SENet

Join GitHub today

GitHub is home to over 20 million developers working together to host and review code, manage projects, and build software together.

Sign up

Why use 1*1 convolution layer instead of full connect layer in SENet? For the less parameter? #16

New issue

Dismiss

4

(!) Closed

 $\mbox{miraclewkf}$ opened this issue on Sep 14 \cdot 3 comments



miraclewkf commented on Sep 14

Why use 1*1 convolution layer instead of full connect layer in SENet? For the less parameter?



hujie-frank commented on Sep 15 • edited ▼

In fact, the 1x1 convolution layer is equivalent to fc layer here as the input spatial dimension is squeezed to 1x1.



miraclewkf commented on Sep 15

So the reason is not to reduce the amount of parameter? A fc layer has CC/r parameters, but a 11 convolution layer only has C/r parameters.



AssigneesNo one assigned

Labels

None yet

Projects

None yet

Milestone

No milestone

Owner

Owner



hujie-frank commented on Sep 15

As for 1x1 convolutional layer whose input spatial dimension is 1x1, the amount of parameters you calculated is wrong.

A 1x1 convolutional kernel has C parameters, so a 1x1 convolutional layer which comprises C/r convolutional kernels has CC/r parameters in total, matching the corresponding fc layer whose output number is C/r.

hujie-frank closed this on Sep 18