





Like this course? Become an expert by joining the Methods and Statistics in Social Sciences Specialization (/specializations/social-science).

Upgrade

(https://accounts.coursera.org/i/zendesk/courserahelp?return_to=https://learner.coursera.help/hc)

Course Forums

All Course Discussions (/learn/infer...
General Discussion (/learn/inferenti...
Meet and Greet (/learn/inferential-s...

Module Forums

Before we get started... (/learn/infer...
Comparing two groups (/learn/infer...
Categorical association (/learn/infer...
Simple regression (/learn/inferentia...
Multiple regression (/learn/inferenti...
Analysis of variance (/learn/inferent...
Non-parametric tests (/learn/infere...
Exam time! (/learn/inferential-statis...

← All Course Discussions

(/learn/inferential-statistics/discussions?sort=lastActivityAtDesc&page=1&q=)

DS

Exam Q29 - very many ▼

Help Center

(/learn/inferential-

statistics/profiles/1f7f04368de1cdf42d40ee185779133)

(/learn/inferential-
statistics/module/jyCOE/dis
days ago
(/learn/inferential-
statistics/discussions/Zw4w

Question 29 seems to
have meaningful
ambiguity in its
formulation. Does
anyone have a good
understanding of the
answer/rationale to
any of the below
questions:

- Can we assume equal variance? My default is to say "no" and go through the more complex calculations for pooled SE and associated df.
- If assuming unequal variance, should df be truncated (as per Excel) or rounded or applied as-is (as per R)? The truncated and as-is df produce the same p-value in this specific case, while rounded df produces a p-value that is 0.001 lower. There is really no good case for rounding-up df, and I prefer the R as-is approach
- Do we assume a two-tailed test? My default is to say "yes" due to the

issues below, but that is ambiguous based on the problem statement

- If a one-tailed test, which direction? My default H_a is that procrastination score is higher in the graduated group based on the precise phrasing of the problem set-up. The issue is that it is obvious from the data that this is not the case, plus it is a weird hypothesis that higher procrastination will be positively associated with graduating. Perhaps it is one-sided test for not graduated > graduated?
- And, after all that, what p-value do you enter? If you got $p=0.1234$, do you enter 0.123 (round to 3 digits) or 0.124 (to match the strict " $p<$ " from the instructions)? To make it worse, if you use the strict p-hurdle approach, then the rounded df and as-is df agree on p-value, but truncated df does not (it will send p 0.001 higher)

Below are simulated data to match the provided parameters. I am not sure what to use for var.equal and alternative nor how to truncate or ceiling or round or format the resulting p-value. My defaults FALSE (use resulting df "as is" for full precision) and "two.sided" and round to 0.xxx do not match the auto-grader.

```
ngT <- c(5, 8, 5,
8, 6, 8, 5, 5, 5,
7, 7.523214, 3.770
386)
gT <- c(5, 6, 5,
4, 4, 4, 6, 5, 7,
7, 6, 5.049329, 2.
657571)

mean(ngT) ; sd(ng
T) ; length(ngT) #
# 6.1078 1.51213 1
2
mean(gT) ; sd(gT)
; length(gT) ## 5.
1313 1.26706 13

## This p-value ro
unded to 0.xxx is
not an auto-graded
correct answer
t.test(ngT, gT, pa
ired=FALSE, var.eq
ual=FALSE, alterna
tive="two.sided")
```

Any ideas?

Reply

▲ 0

Follow

to

Earliest <https://www.coursera.org/learn/inferential-statistics/discussions/Zw4w1vgwEeWPcBKgw3iPNw?sort=createdAtAsc&page=1>

scott

Top (/learn/inferential-statistics/discussions/Zw4w1vgwEeWPcBKgw3iPNw?sort=upvotesDesc&page=1)

Most Recent (/learn/inferential-statistics/discussions/Zw4w1vgwEeWPcBKgw3iPNw?sort=createdAtDesc&page=1)
Zara Bamdad, Teaching Staff · 3 days ago (/learn/inferential-statistics/discussions/Zw4w1vgwEeWPcBKgw3iPNw/replies/mIE0MPsmEeWW0grgbOUTkQ) · Edited (/learn/inferential-statistics/profiles/3cdb3ac214a020ebfbe64f1e50b03eac)

Thanks for posting :) This is a tough post to respond to without giving too much away!

The question expects you to figure out the equal/unequal variance assumption based on values provided in the question. The tails/direction of the test should be determined from the question itself, rather than the values. The question also relies on you using the table to get the threshold, rather than R or Excel (will make this explicit in the question!).

Does this help at all?

▲ 0 Upvote · Reply

< 1 >

SD

(/learn/inferential-statistics/profiles/f34069ce8df6de7dbebfedfb7e760d9f)

Reply

Reply