

[MATLAB Central](#)▼[Home](#)[Post A New Message](#)[Advanced Search](#)[Help](#)

## generate a positive semi-definite matrices??

**Subject:** generate a positive semi-definite matrices??

**From:** [VijaKhara](#)

**Date:** 10 Feb, 2008 02:50:10

**Message:** 1 of 12

[Reply to this message](#)

[+ Add author to My Watch List](#)

[View original format](#)

[Flag as spam](#)

Hi all

I need to generate a 3x3 positive semi-definite matrix but I don't know what MATLAB function can do this?

Or is there any method to generate without try & check method?

Also, do you know what MATLAB function can be used to check if a matrix is a positive semi-definite matrix?

Thank you

[+ Add to My Watch List](#)

[i What is a Watch List?](#)

### Tags for this Thread

[positive define ma...](#),  
[positive definite ...](#)

### What are tags?

A tag is like a keyword or category label associated with each thread. Tags make it easier for you to find threads of interest.

Anyone can tag a thread. Tags are public and visible to everyone.

**Subject:** generate a positive semi-

[Reply to this message](#)

definite matrices??

**From:** [James Tursa](#)

**Date:** 10 Feb, 2008 03:17:33

**Message:** 2 of 12

[⊕ Add author to My Watch List](#)

[View original format](#)

[Flag as spam](#)

On Sat, 9 Feb 2008 18:50:10 -0800 (PST), VijaKhara  
<VijaKhara@gmail.com> wrote:

>Hi all

>

>

>I need to generate a 3x3 positive semi-definite matrix but I don't

>know what MATLAB function can do this?

>Or is there any method to generate without try & check method?

>

>Also, do you know what MATLAB function can be used to check if a

>matrix is a positive semi-definite matrix?

>

>Thank you

One way to generate a random positive semi-definite 3x3 matrix:

```
a = rand(3,3)
```

```
ata = a'*a
```

To check, use the eig function to see that all of the eigenvalues are non-negative

```
eig(ata)
```

James Tursa

**Subject:** generate a positive semi-definite matrices??

**From:** Roger Stafford

**Date:** 10 Feb, 2008 03:48:01

**Message:** 3 of 12

[Reply to this message](#)

[⊕ Add author to My Watch List](#)

[View original format](#)

[Flag as spam](#)

VijaKhara <VijaKhara@gmail.com> wrote in message  
<b082bc0e-5d7a-4bcf-ab79-478ad6a820f9@e4g2000hsg.googlegroups.com>...

> Hi all

>

>

> I need to generate a 3x3 positive semi-definite matrix but I don't

> know what MATLAB function can do this?

> Or is there any method to generate without try & check method?

>

> Also, do you know what MATLAB function can be used to check if a

> matrix is a positive semi-definite matrix?

>

> Thank you

-----

1. Generate a random 3 x 3 unitary matrix and a random set of three non-negative eigenvalues.

```
A = randn(3);
```

```
[U,ignore] = eig((A+A')/2); % (Don't really have to divide by 2)
```

```
M = U*diag(abs(randn(3,1)))*U';
```

Then M is a randomly determined positive semi-definite matrix.

2. Check that M is positive semi-definite:

$$\text{all}(\text{diag}(\text{eig}((M+M')/2))) \geq 0$$

If this is true, then M is positive semi-definite

Roger Stafford

**Subject:** generate a positive semi-definite matrices??

**From:** James Tursa

**Date:** 10 Feb, 2008 08:38:01

**Message:** 4 of 12

[Reply to this message](#)

[+ Add author to My Watch List](#)

[View original format](#)

[Flag as spam](#)

James Tursa <aclassyguywithaknotac@hotmail.com> wrote in message <0rqsq3tqr22j3e1h3emodegqmra5bd76rt@4ax.com>...

> On Sat, 9 Feb 2008 18:50:10 -0800 (PST), VijaKhara

> <VijaKhara@gmail.com> wrote:

>

> >Hi all

> >

> >

> >I need to generate a 3x3 positive semi-definite matrix but I don't

> >know what MATLAB function can do this?

> >Or is there any method to generate without try & check method?

```
> >  
> >Also, do you know what MATLAB function can be used to  
check if a  
> >matrix is a positive semi-definite matrix?  
> >  
> >Thank you  
>  
> One way to generate a random positive semi-definite 3x3  
matrix:  
>  
> a = rand(3,3)  
> ata = a'*a  
>  
> To check, use the eig function to see that all of the  
eigenvalues are  
> non-negative  
>  
> eig(ata)  
>  
> James Tursa
```

Clarify: "ata" is the positive semi-definite matrix, not "a".

**Subject:** generate a positive semi-definite matrices??

**From:** [Roger Stafford](#)

**Date:** 10 Feb, 2008 09:17:02

**Message:** 5 of 12

[Reply to this message](#)

[⊕ Add author to My Watch List](#)

[View original format](#)

[Flag as spam](#)

"Roger Stafford" <ellieandrogerxyzzy@mindspring.com.invalid> wrote in message <fols5h\$e71\$1@fred.mathworks.com>...

> .....

> 2. Check that M is positive semi-definite:

>

> all(diag(eig((M+M')/2))) >= 0

>

> If this is true, then M is positive semi-definite

-----

In the check for M to be positive semi-definite I should have written:

all(eig((M+M')/2)) >= 0

I forgot that eig with only one output produces a vector result, not a square array, and the diag operation should not be used.

Second observation: There seem to be two definitions of a semi-definite matrix floating around. One, as for example in Wikipedia, insists that it both be Hermitian and have non-negative eigenvalues. The other definition, as for example in MathWorld and which I have used here, requires only that its Hermitian part,  $(M+M')/2$ , have non-negative eigenvalues.

Roger Stafford

**Subject:** generate a positive semi-definite matrices??

**From:** James Tursa

**Date:** 10 Feb, 2008 19:52:17

**Message:** 6 of 12

[Reply to this message](#)

[⊕ Add author to My Watch List](#)

[View original format](#)

[Flag as spam](#)

On Sun, 10 Feb 2008 09:17:02 +0000 (UTC), "Roger Stafford"  
<ellieandrogerxyzzy@mindspring.com.invalid> wrote:

```
>"Roger Stafford" <ellieandrogerxyzzy@mindspring.com.invalid> wrote in  
>message <fols5h$e71$1@fred.mathworks.com>...  
>> .....  
>> 2. Check that M is positive semi-definite:  
>>  
>> all(diag(eig((M+M')/2))) >= 0  
>>  
>> If this is true, then M is positive semi-definite  
>-----  
> In the check for M to be positive semi-definite I should have written:  
>  
> all(eig((M+M')/2)) >= 0  
>  
>I forgot that eig with only one output produces a vector result, not a square  
>array, and the diag operation should not be used.  
>  
> Second observation: There seem to be two definitions of a semi-definite  
>matrix floating around. One, as for example in Wikipedia, insists that it both  
>be Hermitian and have non-negative eigenvalues. The other definition, as  
>for  
>example in MathWorld and which I have used here, requires only that its  
>Hermitian part, (M+M')/2, have non-negative eigenvalues.  
>  
>Roger Stafford  
>
```

Where, exactly, are you getting these definitions from? Can you post

some web links? The Hermitian restriction does not agree with my understanding at all. For a matrix  $M$  to be positive semi-definite, it simply has to satisfy this (which I am sure you already know but I repeat for others):

$$x' * M * x \geq 0 \text{ for all non-zero vectors } x$$

where  $x'$  is the complex conjugate transpose operator.

And testing the sign of all of the eigenvalues of  $M$  is a necessary and sufficient test for this. I am not sure what the  $M + M'$  calculation in your post (from other links) has to do with this. For example, see this wiki link which agrees with my understanding:

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Positive-definite\\_matrix](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Positive-definite_matrix)

Here is an example of a random matrix I generated in MATLAB that is not Hermitian but is positive semi-definite:

```
0.95012928514718 0.48598246870930 0.45646766516834  
0.23113851357429 0.89129896614890 0.01850364324822  
0.60684258354179 0.76209683302739 0.82140716429525
```

A Hermitian matrix (i.e., where `isequal(M,M')` is true ) *will* be positive semi-definite (an easy thing to prove), but it is not a necessary condition for positive semi-definiteness. Perhaps this is where the confusion is?

James Tursa



**Subject:** generate a positive semi-definite matrices??

**From:** Roger Stafford

**Date:** 10 Feb, 2008 21:55:03

**Message:** 7 of 12

[Reply to this message](#)

[⊕ Add author to My Watch List](#)

[View original format](#)

[Flag as spam](#)

James Tursa <aclassyguywithaknotac@hotmail.com> wrote in message <3dkuq3ls0516g4inapn6hvl7sac7k44np@4ax.com>...

> On Sun, 10 Feb 2008 09:17:02 +0000 (UTC), "Roger Stafford"

> <ellieandrogerxyzz@mindspring.com.invalid> wrote:

> > Second observation: There seem to be two definitions of a semi-definite  
> > matrix floating around. One, as for example in Wikipedia, insists that it  
both

> > be Hermitian and have non-negative eigenvalues. The other definition,  
as for

> > example in MathWorld and which I have used here, requires only that its  
> > Hermitian part,  $(M+M')/2$ , have non-negative eigenvalues.

> > Roger Stafford

>

> Where, exactly, are you getting these definitions from? Can you post

> some web links? The Hermitian restriction does not agree with my

> understanding at all. For a matrix  $M$  to be positive semi-definite, it

> simply has to satisfy this (which I am sure you already know but I

> repeat for others):

>

>  $x' * M * x \geq 0$  for all non-zero vectors  $x$

>

> where  $x'$  is the complex conjugate transpose operator.

>

> And testing the sign of all of the eigenvalues of  $M$  is a necessary and

> sufficient test for this. I am not sure what the  $M + M'$  calculation in

> your post (from other links) has to do with this. For example, see  
> this wiki link which agrees with my understanding:  
>  
> [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Positive-definite\\_matrix](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Positive-definite_matrix)  
>  
> Here is an example of a random matrix I generated in MATLAB that is  
> not Hermitian but is positive semi-definite:  
>  
> 0.95012928514718 0.48598246870930 0.45646766516834  
> 0.23113851357429 0.89129896614890 0.01850364324822  
> 0.60684258354179 0.76209683302739 0.82140716429525  
>  
> A Hermitian matrix (i.e., where `isequal(M,M')` is true ) \*will\* be  
> positive semi-definite (an easy thing to prove), but it is not a  
> necessary condition for positive semi-definiteness. Perhaps this is  
> where the confusion is?  
>  
> James Tursa

-----  
I dug up a few web sites pertinent to this matter, James. You'll note that the first one is the site you mentioned. In its first paragraph, which I quote below, it appears to restrict positive definite matrices to those that are Hermitian. The same is true of the MathWorld site for semi-definite matrices, though elsewhere MathWorld states it differently. The matter is explained in greater depth in the third site below which discusses non-Hermitian matrices in one of its paragraphs. As it states in its concluding remark in this paragraph, "There is no agreement in the literature on the proper definition of positive-definite for non-Hermitian matrices." There are also some very similar remarks to this last in your referenced Wikipedia site.

In particular you will note that if you were to require that your expression  $x^* M x$  above be real and non-negative for all complex vectors  $x$ , then  $M$

must necessarily be Hermitian. If you only require that the real part of  $x^*Mx$  be non-negative for all complex  $x$ , then that would allow  $M$  to be non-Hermitian.

Here are the web sites and quotations which I refer you to:

-----  
[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Positive-definite\\_matrix](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Positive-definite_matrix)

"In linear algebra, a positive-definite matrix is a Hermitian matrix which in many ways is analogous to a positive real number."

-----  
<http://mathworld.wolfram.com/PositiveSemidefiniteMatrix.html>

"A positive semidefinite matrix is a Hermitian matrix all of whose eigenvalues are nonnegative."

-----  
[http://www.halfvalue.com/wiki.jsp?topic=Positive-definite\\_matrix](http://www.halfvalue.com/wiki.jsp?topic=Positive-definite_matrix)

"Non-Hermitian matrices

A real matrix  $M$  may have the property that  $x^TMx > 0$  for all nonzero real vectors  $x$  without being symmetric. The matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

provides an example. In general, we have  $x^TMx > 0$  for all real nonzero vectors  $x$  if and only if the symmetric part,  $(M + M^T) / 2$ , is positive definite.

The situation for complex matrices may be different, depending on how one generalizes the inequality  $z^*Mz > 0$ . If  $z^*Mz$  is real for all complex vectors  $z$ , then the matrix  $M$  is necessarily Hermitian. So, if we require that  $z^*Mz$  be real and positive, then  $M$  is automatically Hermitian. On the other hand, we have

that  $\text{Re}(z^* M z) > 0$  for all complex nonzero vectors  $z$  if and only if the Hermitian part,  $(M + M^*) / 2$ , is positive definite.

In summary, the distinguishing feature between the real and complex case is that, a bounded positive operator on a complex Hilbert space is necessarily Hermitian, or self adjoint. The general claim can be argued using the polarization identity. That is no longer true in the real case.

There is no agreement in the literature on the proper definition of positive-definite for non-Hermitian matrices."

-----

Roger Stafford

**Subject:** generate a positive semi-definite matrices??

**From:** James Tursa

**Date:** 11 Feb, 2008 08:58:50

**Message:** 8 of 12

[Reply to this message](#)

[+ Add author to My Watch List](#)

[View original format](#)

[Flag as spam](#)

On Sun, 10 Feb 2008 19:52:17 GMT, James Tursa

<aclassyguywithaknotac@hotmail.com> wrote:

>A Hermitian matrix (i.e., where `isequal(M,M')` is true ) \*will\* be

>positive semi-definite ...

\*groan\* ... I meant to write that a Hermitian matrix has real eigenvalues, not that it is necessarily positive semi-definite.

**Subject:** generate a positive semi-definite matrices??

**From:** Lanyi Xu

**Date:** 11 Feb, 2008 14:23:02

**Message:** 9 of 12

[Reply to this message](#)

[⊕ Add author to My Watch List](#)

[View original format](#)

[Flag as spam](#)

James Tursa <aclassyguywithaknotac@hotmail.com> wrote in message <7930r31i4p1uenb58ac90nve3giru5el1a@4ax.com>...

> On Sun, 10 Feb 2008 19:52:17 GMT, James Tursa

> <aclassyguywithaknotac@hotmail.com> wrote:

> >A Hermitian matrix (i.e., where `isequal(M,M')` is true )

> \*will\* be

> >positive semi-definite ...

>

> \*groan\* ... I meant to write that a Hermitian matrix has real

> eigenvalues, not that it is necessarily positive semi-definite.

let's

a =

0.8147 0.9134 0.2785

0.9058 0.6324 0.5469

0.1270 0.0975 0.9575

then;

>> ata=a'\*a;

>> eig(ata)

ans =

0.0329

0.7038

3.3007

```
>> ata2=(a'+a);
```

```
>> eig(ata2)
```

ans =

-0.4007

1.6130

3.5969

So, that means  $(a'+a)/2$  may not be a positive-definite matrix.

Regard

Lanyi

**Subject:** generate a positive semi-definite matrices??

**From:** [Pablo N   ez](#)

**Date:** 9 Nov, 2012 17:29:20

**Message:** 10 of 12

[Reply to this message](#)

[+ Add author to My Watch List](#)

[View original format](#)

[Flag as spam](#)

I have created this little script that can help you, it creates a PD random matrix and then creates the symmetric version of the original matrix. I hope that this works for you.

Pablo.

```
% This script generates random positive definite symmetric matrices of size n.
```

```
% By Pablo Nanez (Ñañez)
```

```
function [Q] = randPDsymmMatix(n)
```

```
Q = randn(n);
```

```
Q = Q*Q';
```

```
Q = symmetry(Q,1);
```

```
fprintf('The eigenvalues\n')
```

```
eig(Q)
```

```
end
```

```
function [A] = symmetry(A,tipo)
```

```
% Funcion para hacer la matriz simetrica de A
```

```
% Tipo: 1: matriz simetrica con signos
```

```
% Tipo: -1: matriz simetrica con signos contrarios
```

```
% e.g., D = simetria(D,1);
```

```
[f c] = size(A);
```

```
for iff = 1:f
```

```
    for ic = 1:c
```

```
        if isempty(A(iff,ic)) || A(iff,ic)==0
```

```
        else
```

```
            A(ic,iff) = tipo*A(iff,ic);
```

```
        end
```

```
end  
end  
end
```

**Subject:** generate a positive semi-definite matrices??

**From:** [Muhammad Asim Mubeen](#)

**Date:** 25 Apr, 2014 19:54:00

**Message:** 11 of 12

[Reply to this message](#)

[⊕ Add author to My Watch List](#)

[View original format](#)

[Flag as spam](#)

You can use the following function:

<http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/45873-positive-definite-matrix>

**Subject:** generate a positive semi-definite matrices??

**From:** [Steven Lord](#)

**Date:** 25 Apr, 2014 21:32:18

**Message:** 12 of 12

[Reply to this message](#)

[⊕ Add author to My Watch List](#)

[View original format](#)

[Flag as spam](#)

"Muhammad Asim Mubeen" <muhammmdeletemad\_asimm@yahoo.com>  
wrote in message  
news:ljeego\$sln\$1@newsci01ah.mathworks.com...  
> You can use the following function:



&gt;

> <http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/45873-positive-definite-matrix>

Some of the options for the GALLERY function may be of interest in solving this problem.

<http://www.mathworks.com/help/matlab/ref/gallery.html>

The options 'gcdmat', 'randcorr', and 'toeppd' specifically mention generating positive semidefinite matrices (or being able to generate a random positive semidefinite matrix using the matrix returned by 'gcdmat'.)

--

Steve Lord

slord@mathworks.com

To contact Technical Support use the Contact Us link on

<http://www.mathworks.com> Feed for this Thread

