Submission Phase

1. Do assignment ☑ (/datavisualization-001/human_grading/view/courses/973956/assessments/14/submissions)

Evaluation Phase

2. Evaluate peers ☑ (/datavisualization-001/human_grading/view/courses/973956/assessments/14/peerGradingSets)
3. Self-evaluate ☑ (/datavisualization-001/human_grading/view/courses/973956/assessments/14/selfGradingSets)

Results Phase

4. See results ☑ (/datavisualization-001/human_grading/view/courses/973956/assessments/14/results/mine)

Your effective grade is 13

Your unadjusted grade is 13, which was calculated based on a combination of the grade you received from your peers and the grade you gave yourself.

See below for details.

Before submitting your visualization image, make sure you review the full instructions page (https://class.coursera.org/datavisualization-001/wiki/view?page=Programming_Assignment_2).

Upload your visualization image below.

Alongside your visualizations, feel free to include a paragraph that helps explain your submission. A few questions that your paragraph could answer:

1. What is the data that you chose? Why?
2. Did you use a subset of the data? If so, what was it?
3. Are there any particular aspects of your visualization to which you would like to bring attention?
4. What do you think the data, and your visualization, shows?

PA2 (https://s3.amazonaws.com/coursera-uploads/user-2d5edb9d2510431d02fbb340/973956/asst-14/693ac4703e6b11e59094c9afea787b0b.pdf)

## Overall evaluation/feedback

**Note**: this section can only be filled out during the evaluation phase.

### Proximate Layout

How well are related items placed near each other?

| Poor (1-2 points) | Fair (3 points) | Good (4 points) | Excellent (5 points) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Relationship between items cannot be discerned because of poor layout. | Major problems with the layout, leading to many long and/or overlapping edges. | Minor problems with the layout, resulting in one or two distractingly long edges. | Related items are placed near each other. |

**Instructions:** Select a score below that corresponds to the rating above that best describes the work you reviewed.

Score from your peers: **4.5**

Score from yourself: **5**

### Design of the visualization

Does the visualization effectively utilize the assignment of variables to elements and design of a visualization described in Week 2?

| Poor (1-2 points) | Fair (3 points) | Good (4 points) | Excellent (5 points) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Relationship between items cannot be discerned because of poor element and/or design choices. | Major problems with some elements and or design choices that interferes with the display of the data. | Minor problems with some elements and or design choices that distracts from the display of the data. | Visualization effectively uses elements and design to display the data. |

**Instructions:** Select a score below that corresponds to the rating above that best describes the work you reviewed.

Score from your peers: **4.5**

Score from yourself: **5**

### Contest

How interesting is the result. Does this represent an interesting choice of data and/or an interesting way to display the data?

| Poor (1-2 points) | Fair (3 points) | Good (4 points) | Excellent (5 points) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Misleading | Boring | Not boring | Interesting |

**Instructions:** Select a score below that corresponds to the rating above that best describes the work you reviewed.

Score from your peers: **4**

Score from yourself: **5**

Optionally, you may give your peers some feedback or comments on their submissions.

**peer 1** → *[This area was left blank by the evaluator.]*

**peer 2** → *[This area was left blank by the evaluator.]*

**peer 3** → *[This area was left blank by the evaluator.]*

**peer 4** → *[This area was left blank by the evaluator.]*