

## 4.5. Overdetermined Systems

So far, we considered linear systems  $Ax = b$  with the *same* number of equations and unknowns (i.e.,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ). In the case where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , with  $m > n$  (more equations than unknowns) the existence of a true solution is not guaranteed, in this case we look for the “best possible” substitute for a solution. Before analyzing what that means, let’s look at how such problems arise.

As an example, in an experiment, we measure the pressure of a gas in a closed container as a function of the temperature. From Physics, we know that

$$pV = nR \frac{5}{9} (T + 459.67) \\ \Rightarrow p = \alpha T + \beta, \quad \alpha = \frac{5nR}{9V}, \beta = \frac{5nR \cdot 459.67}{9V}$$

What are  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ? Experimentally, the measurements should ideally lie on a straight line  $y = c_1 x + c_0$ , but do not, due to measurement error. If we have  $n$  measurement pairs  $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$  we would have wanted:

$$\left. \begin{array}{lcl} y_1 & = & c_1 x_1 + c_0 \\ y_2 & = & c_1 x_2 + c_0 \\ \vdots & & \\ y_n & = & c_1 x_n + c_0 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 1 \\ x_2 & 1 \\ \vdots & \\ x_n & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Here,  $A_{n \times 2} x_{2 \times 1} = b_{n \times 1}$  is a rectangular system. We cannot hope to find a true solution to this system. Instead, let's try to find an “approximate” solution, such that  $Ax \approx b$ . Let's look at the residual of this “interpolation”. The residual of the approximation of each data point is:

$$r_i = y_i - f(x_i) = y_i - c_1 x_i - c_0$$

If we write the vector of all residuals:

$$r = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ \vdots \\ r_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 - c_1 x_1 - c_0 \\ y_2 - c_1 x_2 - c_0 \\ \vdots \\ y_n - c_1 x_n - c_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 1 \\ x_2 & 1 \\ \vdots & \\ x_n & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_0 \end{bmatrix} = b - Ax$$

Although we can't find an  $x$  such that  $Ax = b$  (thus,  $r = 0$ ), we can at least try to make  $r$  *small*. As another example, consider the problem of finding the best parabola  $f(x) = c_2 x^2 + c_1 x + c_0$  that fits measurements  $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$ . We would like

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} f(x_1) \approx y_1 \\ f(x_2) \approx y_2 \\ \vdots \\ f(x_n) \approx y_n \end{array} \right\} = \left. \begin{array}{l} c_2 x_1^2 + c_1 x_1 + c_0 \approx y_1 \\ c_2 x_2^2 + c_1 x_2 + c_0 \approx y_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_2 x_n^2 + c_1 x_n + c_0 \approx y_n \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 & x_1 & 1 \\ x_2^2 & x_2 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_n^2 & x_n & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_A \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} c_2 \\ c_1 \\ c_0 \end{bmatrix}}_x \approx \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}}_b$$

Once again, we would like to make  $r = b - Ax$  as small as possible.

How do we quantify  $r$  being small?  $\Rightarrow$  using a norm! We could ask that  $\|r\|_1, \|r\|_2$  or  $\|r\|_\infty$  be as small as possible. Any of these norms would be intuitive to consider for minimization (especially 1- and  $\infty$ -norms are very intuitive). However, we typically use the 2-norm for this purpose, because its the easiest to work with in this problem.

### Definition

The *least squares solution* of the overdetermined system  $Ax \approx b$  is the vector  $x$  that minimizes  $\|r\|_2 = \|b - Ax\|_2$ .

Define  $Q(x) = Q(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \|b - Ax\|_2^2$  where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m$  ( $m > n$ ). The least squares solution is the set of values  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  that *minimize*  $Q(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \|b - Ax\|_2^2 = \|r\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m r_i^2 \\ r &= b - Ax \Rightarrow r_i = b_i - (Ax)_i \Rightarrow r_i = b_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \\ \Rightarrow Q(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left( b_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

If  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are those that *minimize*  $Q$ , then:

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_1} = 0, \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_2} = 0, \dots, \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_n} = 0$$

in order to guarantee a minimum.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_k} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \left( b_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \right)^2 \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left( b_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \right)^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \underbrace{2 \left( b_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \right)}_{r_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left( b_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m -2r_i a_{ik} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^m [A^T]_{ki} r_i = -2[A^T r]_k = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow [A^T r]_k = 0
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
\partial Q / \partial x_1 &= 0 \Rightarrow [A^T r]_1 = 0 \\
\partial Q / \partial x_2 &= 0 \Rightarrow [A^T r]_2 = 0 \\
&\vdots \\
\partial Q / \partial x_n &= 0 \Rightarrow [A^T r]_n = 0
\end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \boxed{A^T r = 0}$$

Since  $r = b - Ax$ , we have:

$$0 = A^T r = A^T (b - Ax) = A^T b - A^T Ax \Rightarrow \boxed{A^T Ax = A^T b}$$

The system above is called the *normal equations system*; it is a *square* system that has as solution the least-squares approximation of  $Ax \approx b$ .

$$\underbrace{A_{n \times m}^T}_{n \times n} \underbrace{A_{m \times n}}_{n \times 1} \underbrace{x_{n \times 1}}_{n \times 1} = \underbrace{A_{n \times m}^T b_{m \times 1}}_{n \times 1}$$

The normal equations *always* have a solution (with the simple condition that the columns of  $A$  have to be linearly independent, which is usually true).

### 4.5.1. $QR$ factorization

While the normal equations can adequately compute the least squares solution, the condition number of  $A^T A$  is the *square* of that of  $A$  (if  $A$  was a square matrix). An alternative method that does not suffer from this problematic conditioning is  $QR$  factorization.

#### Definition

An  $n \times n$  matrix  $Q$  is called *orthonormal* if and only if

$$Q^T Q = Q Q^T = I$$

## Theorem

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  ( $m > n$ ) have linearly independent columns. Then a decomposition  $A = QR$  exists, such that  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  is orthonormal and  $R \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is upper triangular, i.e.,

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{R} \\ O \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\hat{R}$  is an  $n \times n$  upper triangular matrix. Additionally, given that  $A$  has linearly independent columns, all diagonal elements  $r_{ii} \neq 0$ .

Now, let us write

$$Q = \left[ \hat{Q} \mid Q^* \right]$$

where  $\hat{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  contains the first  $n$  columns of  $Q$  and  $Q^* \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times (m-n)}$  contains the last  $(m - n)$  columns. Respectively, we write:

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{R} \\ O \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\hat{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  (and upper triangular) contains the first  $n$  rows of  $R$ .  $\hat{R}$  is also *non-singular* because it has linearly independent columns. We can verify the following:

$$\hat{Q}^T \hat{Q} = I_{n \times n} \quad (\text{although } \hat{Q} \hat{Q}^T \neq I_{m \times m}!)$$

The factorization  $A = \hat{Q} \hat{R}$  is the so-called *economy size QR* factorization. Once we have  $\hat{Q}$  and  $\hat{R}$  computed, we observe that the normal equations can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} A^T A x &= A^T b \\ \Rightarrow \hat{R}^T \underbrace{\hat{Q}^T \hat{Q}}_{=I_{m \times m}} \hat{R} x &= \hat{R}^T \hat{Q}^T b \\ \Rightarrow \hat{R}^T \hat{R} x &= \hat{R}^T \hat{Q}^T b \\ \Rightarrow \boxed{\hat{R} x = \hat{Q}^T b} \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows because  $\hat{R}$  is invertible. The benefit of using the *QR* factorization is that  $\text{cond}(A^T A) = [\text{cond}(\hat{R})]^2$ . Thus, the resulting equation is *much better* conditioned than the normal equations.