

## Feedback — Problem Set 1

[Help Center](#)

You submitted this homework on **Sat 12 Jan 2013 11:03 AM PST**. You got a score of **9.00** out of **9.00**.

### Question 1

#### Dominance

1\2	x	y	z
a	1,2	2,2	5,1
b	4,1	3,5	3,3
c	5,2	4,4	7,0
d	2,3	0,4	3,0

Find the strictly dominant strategy:

Your Answer

Score

Explanation

☐ 1) a;

☐ 2) b;

☒ 3) c;



1.00

☐ 4) d;

☐ 5) x;

☐ 6) y;

☐ 7) z

Total

1.00 / 1.00

### Question Explanation

(3) **c** is a strictly dominant strategy.

- Because when 2 plays  $x$  or  $y$  or  $z$ , playing **c** always gives 1 a strictly higher payoff than playing **a**, **b** or **d**.
- None of the strategies is always strictly best for player 2.

## Question 2

### Dominance

1 \ 2	x	y	z
a	1,2	2,2	5,1
b	4,1	3,5	3,3
c	5,2	4,4	7,0
d	2,3	0,4	3,0

Find a very weakly dominant strategy that is not also strictly dominant.

Your Answer

Score

Explanation

☐ 7) z

☐ 5) x;

☐ 1) a;

☒ 6) y;



1.00

☐ 4) d;

☐ 2) b;

☐ 3) c;

Total

1.00 / 1.00

### Question Explanation

(6)  $y$  is a very weakly dominant strategy that is not also strictly dominant.

- Because when 1 plays  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$  or  $d$ , playing  $y$  always gives 2 a weakly higher payoff than playing  $x$  or  $z$ .
- Note that it is only weakly higher when 1 plays  $a$ , as then playing  $x$  and  $y$  gives 2 the same payoff.

## Question 3

### Dominance

1 \ 2	x	y	z
a	1,2	2,2	5,1
b	4,1	3,5	3,3
c	5,2	4,4	7,0
d	2,3	0,4	3,0

When player 1 plays  $d$ , what is player 2's best response:

Your Answer

Score

Explanation

☐ a) Only x

☒ b) Only y



1.00

☐ c) Only z

☐ d) Both y and z

Total

1.00 / 1.00

### Question Explanation

(b) only  $y$  is a best response for player 2. When player 1 plays  $d$ , player 2 earns 3 from playing  $x$ , 4 from playing  $y$  and 0 from playing  $z$ . Thus only  $y$  is a best response.

## Question 4

### Dominance

1 \ 2	x	y	z
a	1,2	2,2	5,1
b	4,1	3,5	3,3
c	5,2	4,4	7,0
d	2,3	0,4	3,0

Find all strategy profiles that form pure strategy Nash equilibria (there may be more than one, or none):

### Your Answer

### Score

### Explanation

☐ 1) (a, x);



0.08

☐ 2) (b, x);



0.08

☐ 3) (c, x);



0.08

<input type="checkbox"/> 4) (d, x);	✓	0.08
<input type="checkbox"/> 5) (a, y);	✓	0.08
<input type="checkbox"/> 6) (b, y);	✓	0.08
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7) (c, y);	✓	0.08
<input type="checkbox"/> 8) (d, y);	✓	0.08
<input type="checkbox"/> 9) (a, z);	✓	0.08
<input type="checkbox"/> 10) (b, z);	✓	0.08
<input type="checkbox"/> 11) (c, z);	✓	0.08
<input type="checkbox"/> 12) (d, z).	✓	0.08

Total 1.00 / 1.00

### Question Explanation

(7) (c, y) is the only pure strategy Nash equilibria.

- Check that no one wants to deviate.
- Note that  $c$  is the strictly dominant strategy and so is the only possible strategy for player 1 in a pure strategy Nash equilibrium.
- When player 1 plays  $c$ , playing  $y$  gives player 2 the highest payoff.

## Question 5

### Nash Equilibrium - Bargaining

There are 2 players that have to decide how to split one dollar. The bargaining process works as follows. Players simultaneously announce the

share they would like to receive  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ , with  $0 \leq s_1, s_2 \leq 1$ . If  $s_1 + s_2 \leq 1$ , then the players receive the shares they named and if  $s_1 + s_2 > 1$ , then both players fail to achieve an agreement and receive zero.

Which of the following is a strictly dominant strategy?

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
<input type="radio"/> a) 1;		
<input type="radio"/> b) 0.5;		
<input type="radio"/> c) 0;		
<input checked="" type="radio"/> d) None of the above.	✓ 1.00	
Total	1.00 / 1.00	

### Question Explanation

(d) is true.

- No player has any strictly dominant strategies. Any of the options given constitutes a best response to some strategy played by the other player, and so no strategy always strictly outperforms all other strategies.
- Strategies (a) and (c) are in the set of best responses of player  $i$  when player  $j$ 's strategy is  $s_j > 1$ .
- Strategies (b) is the best response of player  $i$  when player  $j$ 's strategy is  $s_j = 0.5$ .

## Question 6

### Nash Equilibrium - Bargaining

There are 2 players that have to decide how to split one dollar. The bargaining process works as follows. Players simultaneously announce the share they would like to receive  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ , with  $0 \leq s_1, s_2 \leq 1$ . If  $s_1 + s_2 \leq 1$ , then the players receive the shares they named and if  $s_1 + s_2 > 1$ , then both players fail to achieve an agreement and receive zero.

Which of the following strategy profiles is a pure strategy Nash equilibrium?

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
<input type="radio"/> a) (0.3, 0.7);		
<input type="radio"/> b) (0.5, 0.5);		
<input type="radio"/> c) (1.0, 1.0);		
<input checked="" type="radio"/> d) All of the above	1.00	✓
Total	1.00 / 1.00	

### Question Explanation

(d) is true.

- Check that no one wants to deviate.
- Note that when player  $i$  plays  $s_i < 1$ , player  $j$ 's best response is  $s_j = 1 - s_i$ . This holds in a) and b). Thus, both players are best responding.
- When player  $i$  plays  $s_i = 1$ , player  $j$ 's best response can be any number as she will get 0 no matter 1. Thus c) also forms a pure strategy NE.

## Question 7

### Bertrand Duopoly

- Two firms produce identical goods, with a production cost of  $c$  per unit.
- Each firm sets a nonnegative price ( $p_1$  and  $p_2$ ).
- All consumers buy from the firm with the lower price, if  $p_i \neq p_j$ . Half of the consumers buy from each firm if  $p_i = p_j$ .
- $D$  is the total demand.

- Profit of firm  $i$  is:
  - 0 if  $p_i > p_j$  (no one buys from firm  $i$ );
  - $D(p_i - c)/2$  if  $p_i = p_j$  (Half of customers buy from firm  $i$ );
  - $D(p_i - c)$  if  $p_i < p_j$  (All customers buy from firm  $i$ );

Find the pure strategy Nash equilibrium:

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
<input type="radio"/> a) Both firms set $p = 0$ .		
<input type="radio"/> b) Firm 1 sets $p = 0$ , and firm 2 sets $p = c$ .		
<input checked="" type="radio"/> c) Both firms set $p = c$ .	✓ 1.00	
<input type="radio"/> d) No pure strategy Nash equilibrium exists.		
Total	1.00 / 1.00	

### Question Explanation

(c) is true.

- Notice that in a) and b) at least one firm  $i$  is making negative profits since  $p_i < c$  and it sells a positive quantity. Thus, firm  $i$  would prefer to deviate to  $p_i > p_j$  and earn a profit of 0.
- It is easy to verify that  $p_1 = p_2 = c$  is an equilibrium by checking that no firm wants to deviate:
  - When  $p_1 = p_2 = c$ , both firms are earning null profits.
  - If firm 1 increases its price above  $c$  ( $p_1 > c$ ), it will still earn null profits.
  - If firm 2 decreases its price below  $c$  ( $p_1 < c$ ), it will earn strictly negative profits.
  - In both cases, either the firm is indifferent or strictly worse off. Then, it does not have incentives to deviate given the other firm's strategy.



## Question 8

### Voting

- Three voters vote over two candidates (A and B), and each voter has two pure strategies: vote for A and vote for B.
- When A wins, voter 1 gets a payoff of 1, and 2 and 3 get payoffs of 0; when B wins, 1 gets 0 and 2 and 3 get 1. Thus, 1 prefers A, and 2 and 3 prefer B.
- The candidate getting 2 or more votes is the winner (majority rule).

Find all very weakly **dominant** strategies (there may be more than one, or none).

Your Answer		Score	Explanation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> a) Voter 1 voting for A.	✓	0.25	
<input type="checkbox"/> b) Voter 1 voting for B.	✓	0.25	
<input type="checkbox"/> c) Voter 2 (or 3) voting for A.	✓	0.25	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> d) Voter 2 (or 3) voting for B.	✓	0.25	
Total		1.00 / 1.00	

### Question Explanation

(a) and (d) are (very weakly) dominant strategies.

- Check (b): for voter 1, voting for candidate A always results in at least as high a payoff as voting for candidate B and indeed is sometimes strictly better (when the other players vote for different candidates).
  - When voters 2 and 3 vote for B, voter 1 is indifferent between A or B (since B will win anyways).
  - When either 2 or 3 (or both) vote for A, voter 1 strictly prefers to vote for A than for B.
- Check (c): for voter 2, voting for candidate B is a very weakly dominant strategy.
  - When voters 1 and 3 vote for A, voter 2 is indifferent between A or B (since A will win anyways).
  - When either 1 or 3 (or both) vote for B, voter 2 strictly prefers to vote for B than for A.

- (b) and (c) can't be very weakly dominant strategies, since they sometimes do worse than the other strategy.

## Question 9

### Voting

- Three voters vote over two candidates (A and B), and each voter has two pure strategies: vote for A and vote for B.
- When A wins, voter 1 gets a payoff of 1, and 2 and 3 get payoffs of 0; when B wins, 1 gets 0 and 2 and 3 get 1. Thus, 1 prefers A, and 2 and 3 prefer B.
- The candidate getting 2 or more votes is the winner (majority rule).

Find **all** pure strategy Nash equilibria (there may be more than one, or none)?

Your Answer		Score	Explanation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> a) All voting for A.	✓	0.25	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> b) All voting for B.	✓	0.25	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> c) 1 voting for A, and 2 and 3 voting for B.	✓	0.25	
<input type="checkbox"/> d) 1 and 2 voting for A, and 3 voting for B.	✓	0.25	
Total		1.00 / 1.00	

### Question Explanation

(a), (b) and (c) are pure strategy Nash equilibria.

- It is easy to verify that (a), (b) and (c) are equilibria by checking that no voter wants to deviate:
  - When all voters vote for the same candidate, no single voter has any incentives to deviate because his/her individual vote can't modify the outcome of the election.
  - In (c), voter 1 is indifferent between candidates A and B, and voters 2 and 3 are best responding to the strategies played by the

remaining voters (if voter 2 votes for A, candidate A wins; if voter 2 votes for B, candidate B wins).

- (d) is not an equilibrium, since voter 2 has incentives to deviate and vote for candidate B.