## **Integer Factorization** Reading: Introduction Reading: Prime Numbers Practice Quiz: Puzzle: Arrange 2 questions

Reading: Factoring: Existence

Reading: Factoring: Uniqueness

Reading: Unique Factoring: Consequences

Quiz: Integer Factorization

6 questions

**Chinese Remainder Theorem Modular Exponentiation** 

## Prime Numbers

You can easily arrange 12 apples in three rows and four columns:



This is possible because 3 imes 4=12 or, in other words, because 12 can be represented as a product of two smaller numbers, 3 and 4. But the same idea does not work for 13 apples: either the table will consist of only one row or column, or some cells will be missing an apple.

**Stop and think!** Why? What happens for other numbers, say, 20, 21, 22, or 23?

The difference is that 12,20,21, and 22 are all  $\emph{composite}$  numbers and can be represented as the product of two smaller numbers (sometimes in many different ways):

 $12 = 2 \times 6 = 3 \times 4 = 4 \times 3 = 6 \times 2$  $20 = 2 \times 10 = 4 \times 5 = 5 \times 4 = 10 \times 2$ 

21=3 imes7=7 imes3

 $22=2\times 11=11\times 2$ 

Note that we do not include "trivial" decompositions like 21=1 imes21 (a rectangle with one row or one column). On the other hand, 23 does not have any "non-trivial" decompositions (only 1 imes 23 and 23 imes 1), meaning it is *prime*.

Definition

A *prime* number is a positive integer p>1 that cannot be represented as the product of two smaller positive integers.

**Stop and think!** We previously defined the notion of a *divisor*. Using it, could you finish the statement: "A number p>1 is prime if it does not have. . . "?

A number p>1 is prime if it does not have positive integer divisors except for 1 and p. Indeed, if m=uv is composite where u and v are non-trivial divisors, then u and v are both smaller than m, making it impossible for either one to be equal to 1. And if a number m>1 has some non-trivial divisor d, then for some positive integer q we must have m=dq by the definition of a divisor, and neither d nor q can be equal to 1, so both must be smaller than

**Stop and think!** Is 1 a prime number?

It is tempting to guess that 1 is prime since it cannot be expressed as the product of smaller positive integers (there are no smaller positive integers to pick from!). Still, our definition explicitly requires p>1 for a prime, so 1 is not considered a prime number (nor is it considered composite). But this is just a decision that most mathematicians agree with, not a theorem. (See, for example, this long <u>stackexchange</u> discussion.)

## Problem

Show that a composite number m has a divisor d such that  $1 < d \leq \sqrt{m}$ .

Solution

For a decomposition m=uv , we know that both divisors are greater than 1 (if u or v were equal to 1 , the other would have to be equal to m, but both are smaller). Now, suppose both u and v did exceed  $\sqrt{m}$ : then their product would exceed  $\sqrt{m\cdot\sqrt{m}}=m$ . Thus, at least one must be less than or equal to  $\sqrt{m}$ , satisfying the existence of d as described.

This problems shows that it is not necessary to check every possible number between 1 and m in search of a divisor if we want to check whether m is prime. It is enough to check the numbers that do not exceed  $\sqrt{m}$ : if there are no divisors among them, then m must be prime.

```
1 # Finds the smallest divisor>1 of the given integer m>1
  2 def min_divisor(m):
           for d in range(2, m + 1):
              if m % d == 0:
                  return d
              # optimization:
               if d * d > m:
                   return m
       for i in range (2, 25):
           divisor = min_divisor(i)
           print(f'\nThe smallest divisor of {i} is {divisor}', end='')
  14
               print(f' (hence, {i} is prime)', end='')
  15
The smallest divisor of 2 is 2 (hence, 2 is prime)
The smallest divisor of 3 is 3 (hence, 3 is prime)
The smallest divisor of 4 is 2
```

The smallest divisor of 5 is 5 (hence, 5 is prime) The smallest divisor of 6 is 2 The smallest divisor of 7 is 7 (hence, 7 is prime) The smallest divisor of 8 is 2 The smallest divisor of 9 is 3 The smallest divisor of 10 is 2 The smallest divisor of 11 is 11 (hence, 11 is prime) The smallest divisor of 12 is 2 The smallest divisor of 13 is 13 (hence, 13 is prime) The smallest divisor of 14 is 2 The smallest divisor of 15 is 3 The smallest divisor of 16 is 2 The smallest divisor of 17 is 17 (hence, 17 is prime) The smallest divisor of 18 is 2 The smallest divisor of 19 is 19 (hence, 19 is prime) The smallest divisor of 20 is 2 The smallest divisor of 21 is 3 The smallest divisor of 22 is 2 The smallest divisor of 23 is 23 (hence, 23 is prime) The smallest divisor of 24 is 2

The function  $\min_{\mathbf{divisor}}(\mathbf{m})$  is applied to an integer  $\mathbf{m}>1$ } and returns the smallest divisor of  $\mathbf{m}$  (not counting 1). It tests all  $d \in \{2, \dots, m\}$  (note that in python, range(a, b) includes a, but not b) until a divisor is found. It will return m if there are no other divisors, i.e. if m is prime. The last two lines of this function take advantage of the

optimization mentioned above: if **d** is too large (exceeding  $\sqrt{m}$ , which is true when d\*d>m), then we know that **m** is prime and return **m** immediately.

```
1 The minimal divisor of 2 is 2 (hence, 2 is prime)
2 The minimal divisor of 3 is 3 (hence, 3 is prime)
3 The minimal divisor of 4 is 2
4 The minimal divisor of 5 is 5 (hence, 5 is prime)
5 The minimal divisor of 6 is 2
 6 The minimal divisor of 7 is 7 (hence, 7 is prime)
7 The minimal divisor of 8 is 2
 8 The minimal divisor of 9 is 3
9 The minimal divisor of 10 is 2
10 The minimal divisor of 11 is 11 (hence, 11 is prime)
11 The minimal divisor of 12 is 2
12 The minimal divisor of 13 is 13 (hence, 13 is prime)
13 The minimal divisor of 14 is 2
14 The minimal divisor of 15 is 3
15 The minimal divisor of 16 is 2
16 The minimal divisor of 17 is 17 (hence, 17 is prime)
17 The minimal divisor of 18 is 2
18 The minimal divisor of 19 is 19 (hence, 19 is prime)
19 The minimal divisor of 20 is 2
20 The minimal divisor of 21 is 3
21 The minimal divisor of 22 is 2
22 The minimal divisor of 23 is 23 (hence, 23 is prime)
23 The minimal divisor of 24 is 2
```

In the output you may easily recognize prime numbers (rows with two identical numbers).

The following example shows a function that returns an ordered list of the first n primes:

```
1 # Finds the minimal divisor>1 of the given integer m>1
  2 def min_divisor(m):
          for d in range(2, m + 1):
              if m % d == 0:
                  return d
              # optimization:
              if d * d > m:
                  return m
       def is_prime(m):
           return m == min_divisor(m)
  12
  13
  14
  15
       def primes_list(n):
  16
          lst = []
  17
           boundary = 2
           # primes < boundary are in lst</pre>
  18
           while len(lst) < n:</pre>
              if is_prime(boundary):
  20
  21
                 lst.append(boundary)
  22
              boundary += 1
  23
  24
           return 1st
  25
  26
  27 print('The first ten primes:')
                                                                                   Run
  28 print(primes_list(10))
The first ten primes:
[2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29]
```

We store in 1st the list of all the primes smaller than boundary; initially boundary is 2 and 1st is empty. Then, while  ${ t lst}$  is not yet long enough, we increase  ${ t boundary}$  by 1 after updating the list (appending the old value of boundary if it was prime).

```
1 The first ten primes:
2 [2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29]
```