

[Course](#) > [The Higher Infinite](#) > [Ordinals](#) > Orderings

Audit Access Expires Sep 9, 2020

You lose all access to this course, including your progress, on Sep 9, 2020.

Upgrade by Jul 5, 2020 to get unlimited access to the course as long as it exists on the site. [Upgrade now](#)

Orderings

To order a set is to establish a relation of *precedence* between members of the set.

When I order my family members by birth date, for example, I take family member a to *precede* family member b (in symbols: $a < b$) if and only if a was born before b .

In general, we will say that a precedence relation $<$ counts as an **ordering** of a set A if it satisfies the following two conditions for any a, b, c in A :

Anti-Symmetry

If $a < b$, then $b \not< a$.

Transitivity

If $a < b$ and $b < c$, then $a < c$.

These conditions are to some extent implicit in our informal notion of precedence: I don't precede those who precede me (Anti-Symmetry), and I am preceded by those who precede my predecessors (Transitivity).

Taken together, our two conditions rule out precedence loops. For example, if a group of people are sitting around a table, Anti-Symmetry and Transitivity rule out an ordering where everyone precedes the person to their left.

Problem 1

1/1 point (ungraded)

Consider the following condition:

Anti-Reflexivity

$$a \not< a.$$

Does the Anti-Symmetry condition above entail Anti-Reflexivity?

☒ Yes

☐ No



Explanation

Yes. Anti-Symmetry entails Anti-Reflexivity.

Suppose that $a < a$. Then by anti-symmetry, we also have $a \not< a$, which is a contradiction. So it can't be the case that $a < a$.

Submit

i Answers are displayed within the problem