

Proof of variance of stationary time series

Asked 5 years ago Active 1 year, 7 months ago Viewed 2k times



Suppose that $\{X_t\}$ is a weakly stationary time series with mean $\mu=0$ and a covariance function $\gamma(h)$, $h\geq 0$, $\mathrm{E}[X_t]=\mu=0$ and $\gamma(h)=\mathrm{Cov}(X_t,X_{t+h})=\mathrm{E}\left[X_tX_{t+h}\right]$

4

Show that:

*

$$\operatorname{Var}\!\left(rac{X_1+X_2+\ldots+X_n}{n}
ight) = rac{\gamma(0)}{n} + rac{2}{n}\sum_{n=1}^{n-1}\left(1-rac{m}{n}
ight)\gamma(m).$$

So far, I've gotten this:

$$egin{align} \operatorname{Var}(ar{X}) &= rac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{Cov}(X_i, X_j) \ &= rac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i-j=-n}^n (n-|i-j|) \gamma(i-j) \ &= rac{1}{n} \sum_{m=-n}^n \left(1 - rac{|m|}{n}
ight) \gamma(m) \end{aligned}$$

How am I supposed to come up with the $\frac{\gamma(0)}{n} + \frac{2}{n}$?

time-series self-study variance stationarity

Share Cite Edit Follow Flag

edited May 11 '18 at 13:36



asked Oct 13 '16 at 13:38



FBeller **125** 4

- Hint: under stationarity, only the distance of two elements of the process matters for their covariance, not the direction. Christoph Hanck Oct 13 '16 at 15:13
 - related: stats.stackexchange.com/questions/154070/... your question + taking the limit Taylor May 11 '18 at 13:01 /

2 Answers

Active Oldest Votes



You are almost there! Now you just need to recognise that auto-correlation only depends on



the lag, so you have $\gamma(m) = \gamma |m|$, which means that the entire summand depends on monly through |m| (i.e., it is symmetric around m=0). This allows you to split the sum into the middle element (m=0) and two lots of the symmetric part ($|m|=1,\ldots,n-1$), which



gives you:

$$\operatorname{Var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{|m|}{n} \right) \gamma(m)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{|m|}{n} \right) \gamma|m|$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \left[\gamma(0) + 2 \sum_{|m|=1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{|m|}{n} \right) \gamma|m| \right]$$

$$= \frac{\gamma(0)}{n} + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{m}{n} \right) \gamma(m)$$

$$= \frac{\gamma(0)}{n} + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{m}{n} \right) \gamma(m).$$

(The last step follows from the fact that $1-\frac{m}{n}=0$ for m=n.) This method of splitting symmetric sums around their mid-point is a common trick used in these kinds of cases to simplify the sum by taking it only over positive arguments. It is a worthwhile trick to learn in general.

Share Cite Edit Follow Flag

edited May 7 '19 at 22:45

answered Jul 18 '18 at 2:28



340



first, fixing the definition of the problem, the index is m instead of u, to make simpler I will use only the index i and j.



We want to prove that



$$\operatorname{Var}\Bigl(rac{X_1+X_2+...+X_n}{n}\Bigr) = rac{\gamma(0)}{n} + rac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(1-rac{i}{n}
ight) \gamma(i).$$

The begin is correct,

$$\operatorname{Var}(ar{X}) = rac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{Cov}(X_i, X_j)$$

We can notice that $\mathrm{Cov}(X_i,X_j)=\mathrm{Cov}(X_j,X_i)$ and, from our assumptions about the problem, that $\mathrm{Cov}(X_i,X_i+h)=\mathrm{Cov}(X_i,X_i-h)=\gamma(h)$ for any i and h.

We can visualize the sum of covariances in i and j as follows

What is equal to

To sum all the elements we can first sum the main diagonal, and as it is symmetric sum twice the other diagonals

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \mathrm{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = n \gamma(0) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (n-i) \gamma(i)$$

.

Back to the main equation

$$\operatorname{Var}\!\left(\frac{X_1 + X_2 + \ldots + X_n}{n}\right) = \frac{\gamma(0)}{n} + \frac{2}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (n-i)\gamma(i) = \frac{\gamma(0)}{n} + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - \frac{i}{n})\gamma(i)$$

Share Cite Edit Follow Flag



answered Oct 14 '16 at 17:07



dutra