

[Course](#)

[Progress](#)

[Dates](#)

[Discussion](#)

[Syllabus](#)

[Outline](#)

[laff routines](#)

[Community](#)

 [Course](#) / [Week 12: Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors](#) / [12.3 The General Case](#)



< Previous

 







Next >

12.3.2 Eigenvalues of $n \times n$ Matrices

 Bookmark this page

Week 12 due Dec 29, 2023 10:42 IST Completed

12.3.2 Eigenvalues of n x n Matrices

Video

Start of transcript. Skip to the end.

0:00 / 0:00

▶ 2.0x

🔊

🔍

CC

“

Dr. Robert van de Geijn: So now that we have reviewed
a few simple matrices that are $n \times n$ but have special structure,
and we've seen that for those matrices it is relatively easy to find
the eigenvalues and eigenvectors, we're now
ready to discuss the general case of an $n \times n$ matrix.

Video

📄 [Download video file](#)

Transcripts

📄 [Download SubRip \(.srt\) file](#)

📄 [Download Text \(.txt\) file](#)

Reading Assignment

0 points possible (ungraded)
Read Unit 12.3.2 of the notes. [\[LINK\]](#)

☒ Done

✓

Submit

✓ Correct

Discussion

Topic: Week 12 / 12.3.2

Hide Discussion

Add a Post

Show all posts	▼	by recent activity	▼
✓	Significance of the sign of λ^n and γ_i ?	4	

Homework 12 3 2 1

Calculator

Homework 12.3.2.1

10.0/10.0 points (graded)
If $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, then $\Lambda(A)$ has n distinct elements.

FALSE

✔ Answer: FALSE

The characteristic polynomial of A may have roots that have multiplicity greater than one. If $\Lambda(A) = \{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-1}\}$, where $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ if $i \neq j$, then

$$p_k(\lambda) = (\lambda - \lambda_0)^{n_0} (\lambda - \lambda_1)^{n_1} \cdots (\lambda - \lambda_{k-1})^{n_{k-1}}$$

with $n_0 + n_1 + \cdots + n_{k-1} = n$. Here n_j is the multiplicity of root λ_j .

Submit

Answers are displayed within the problem

Homework 12.3.2.2

1/1 point (graded)
Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda(A)$. Let S be the set of all vectors that satisfy $Ax = \lambda x$. (Notice that S is the set of all eigenvectors corresponding to λ *plus* the zero vector.) Then S is a subspace.

TRUE

✔ Answer: TRUE

The easiest argument is to note that $Ax = \lambda x$ is the same as $(A - \lambda I)x = 0$ so that S is the null space of $(A - \lambda I)$. But the null space is a subspace, so S is a subspace.

Alternative proof: Let $x, y \in S$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

- $x + y \in S$: Since $x, y \in S$ we know that $Ax = \lambda x$ and $Ay = \lambda y$. But then

$$A(x + y) = Ax + Ay = \lambda x + \lambda y = \lambda(x + y).$$

Hence $x + y \in S$.

- $\alpha x \in S$: Since $x \in S$ we know that $Ax = \lambda x$. But then

$$A(\alpha x) = A(\alpha x) = \alpha Ax = \alpha \lambda x = \lambda(\alpha x).$$

Hence $\alpha x \in S$.

Submit

Answers are displayed within the problem

< Previous

Next >



edX

- [About](#)
- [Affiliates](#)
- [edX for Business](#)
- [Open edX](#)
- [Careers](#)
- [News](#)

Legal

- [Terms of Service & Honor Code](#)
- [Privacy Policy](#)
- [Accessibility Policy](#)
- [Trademark Policy](#)
- [Sitemap](#)
- [Cookie Policy](#)
- [Your Privacy Choices](#)

Connect

- [Idea Hub](#)
- [Contact Us](#)
- [Help Center](#)
- [Security](#)
- [Media Kit](#)



© 2023 edX LLC. All rights reserved.
深圳市恒宇博科技有限公司 [粤ICP备17044299号-2](#)