

[Courseware \(/courses/MITx/6.00.1\\_4x/3T2014/courseware\)](/courses/MITx/6.00.1_4x/3T2014/courseware)[Updates & News \(/courses/MITx/6.00.1\\_4x/3T2014/info\)](/courses/MITx/6.00.1_4x/3T2014/info)[Calendar \(/courses/MITx/6.00.1\\_4x/3T2014/89309559b0414f6d8cbef9e48ca19f4b/\)](/courses/MITx/6.00.1_4x/3T2014/89309559b0414f6d8cbef9e48ca19f4b/)[Wiki \(/courses/MITx/6.00.1\\_4x/3T2014/course\\_wiki\)](/courses/MITx/6.00.1_4x/3T2014/course_wiki)[Discussion \(/courses/MITx/6.00.1\\_4x/3T2014/discussion/forum\)](/courses/MITx/6.00.1_4x/3T2014/discussion/forum)[Progress \(/courses/MITx/6.00.1\\_4x/3T2014/progress\)](/courses/MITx/6.00.1_4x/3T2014/progress)

## L10 PROBLEM 6 (5/5 points)


Here is another version of a sorting function:

```
def mySort(L):
    clear = False
    while not clear:
        clear = True
        for j in range(1, len(L)):
            if L[j-1] > L[j]:
                clear = False
                temp = L[j]
                L[j] = L[j-1]
                L[j-1] = temp
```

Compare this to:

```
def newSort(L):
    for i in range(len(L) - 1):
        j=i+1
        while j < len(L):
            if L[i] > L[j]:
                temp = L[i]
                L[i] = L[j]
                L[j] = temp
            j += 1
```

1. Do these two functions result in the same sorted lists?

- ☒ Yes 
- ☐ No

### EXPLANATION:

Yes, both `mySort` and `newSort` correctly sort a list.

`mySort` :

A list is sorted if every pair of successive elements in a list are in the correct order. `mySort` implements this idea more directly than in other sorting algorithms we have seen. The basic idea is that every time it finds two successive elements in the wrong order, it will swap them. Because all lists can be sorted, it will eventually run out of things that are in the wrong order. At this point the list is sorted, and the algorithm terminates.

Another way of thinking about `mySort` is that in each iteration, if an element `e` is bigger than the one after it, `e` moves down one location. Then, `e` is checked against the next element, and so on, until the algorithm finds an element bigger than `e`. So, in the first pass, the biggest element drops to the bottom of the list. Then, in the second pass, the second biggest drops to the second to last position in the list, and so on for the remaining iterations. In each pass through the list, the next biggest element drops to its proper location, so that after `n` iterations, the list is sorted. This algorithm is typically known as 'bubble sort' as elements bubble (up or down) one element at a time.

`newSort` :

`newSort` is basically a slight variant of Selection Sort (see previous problem). In each iteration, `newSort` tries to find the smallest element in the unsorted part of the list and appends it to the sorted part of the list. `newSort` maintains that the element at the `i`th position is the smallest element between the `i`th and `j`th positions. So, when `j` reaches the end of the list, the `i`th position must have been the smallest element in the unsorted portion (from position `i` to the end) of the list.

2. Do these two functions execute the same number of assignments of values into entries of the lists?

- ☒ Yes. They execute the same number of assignments. ✓
- ☐ No. `newSort` may use more - but never fewer - inserts than `mySort`.
- ☐ No. `mySort` may use more - but never fewer - inserts than `newSort`.
- ☐ No. Either function may use more inserts than the other.

**EXPLANATION:**

This is pretty complicated to prove, so don't worry if this question was hard for you! Here's a sketch of why both `mySort` and `newSort` execute the same number of assignments:

`newSort` is, loosely speaking, performing `mySort` in the opposite direction, moving up the next *smallest* element to the beginning of the list. However, instead of swapping the successive elements, it instead swaps with the eventual position the smallest element will have to end up in. The number of swaps it needs ends up being the same as that of `mySort` because moving in either direction will encounter the same number of inconsistent pairwise elements.

3. Is the worst-case order of growth of these functions the same?

- ☒ Yes. `newSort` and `mySort` have the same complexity. ✓
- ☐ No. `newSort` has higher complexity than `mySort`.
- ☐ No. `mySort` has higher complexity than `newSort`.

**EXPLANATION:**

Yes. `mySort` is  $O(n^2)$ . In each iteration, `mySort` checks `n-1` successive pairwise elements, and also moves the next biggest element to the bottom of the list (see explanation of how `mySort` works under the first question of this problem). So, after at most `n` iterations, it will have moved the `n` biggest elements to their correct locations, in which case it has sorted the list! So, the worst case time complexity for `mySort` is  $O(n^2)$ .

In `newSort`, `i` iterates over each element of the list, and `j` checks between 1 and up to `n-i` elements. That's `n` iterations for `i`, and for each `i`, we are looking for the smallest element by checking about `n/2` elements on average. That's kind of like `n * n/2` checks, which is a complexity of  $O(n^2)$ .

4. Do these two functions examine the same number of entries in the list?

- ☐ Yes. `newSort` and `mySort` examine the same number of entries.
- ☐ No. `newSort` examines more entries than `mySort`.
- ☒ No. `mySort` examines more entries than `newSort`. ✓
- ☐ No. `mySort` and `newSort` examine different numbers of entries, but one cannot always say which function will examine the most entries.

**EXPLANATION:**

`newSort` does not examine entries in positions before the `i`th on the `i`th iteration. `mySort`, however, examines the entire list on each iteration. Thus, `mySort` examines more entries.

Check

Hide Answer

Show Discussion

 New Post



About (<https://www.edx.org/about-us>) Jobs (<https://www.edx.org/jobs>)  
Press (<https://www.edx.org/press>) FAQ (<https://www.edx.org/student-faq>)  
Contact (<https://www.edx.org/contact>)



EdX is a non-profit created by founding partners Harvard and MIT whose mission is to bring the best of higher education to students of all ages anywhere in the world, wherever there is Internet access. EdX's free online MOOCs are interactive and subjects include computer science, public health, and artificial intelligence.



(<http://www.meetup.com/YourMeetup>)



(<http://www.facebook.com/EdxOnline>)



(<https://twitter.com/YourPlatformTwitterAcco>)



(<https://plus.google.com/YourGooglePlusAcco>)



(<http://youtube.com/user/edxonline>)

© 2014 edX, some rights reserved.

Terms of Service and Honor Code -  
Privacy Policy (<https://www.edx.org/edx-privacy-policy>)