

Welcome back! If you found this question useful,  
don't forget to vote both the question and the answers up.

[close this message](#)

## Using SciPy T.ppf to get p-value

Asked 4 years, 5 months ago   Active 9 months ago   Viewed 13k times



2



Trying to test code creating P-value manually against SciPy. The [Scipy Documentation](#) isn't the best, which makes it tough to know for sure what to do.

I am getting the correct t-stat and P-value with SciPy, but I'm not able to replicate the correct p-value manually - A friend steered me to `scipy.stats.t.ppf` - but I'm not getting a p-value from it.

What is the correct way to do `scipy.stats.t.ppf()` ?

my version:

```
def t_test(sample, mu):
    mean = np.mean(sample)
    var = np.var(sample)
    sem = (var / len(sample)) ** .5
    t = abs(mu - mean)/sem
    df = len(sample) - 1
    p = scs.t.ppf(.95, df)
    return (t, p)
```

returns (0.081500599630942958, 1.7291328115213671)

scipy version:

```
scs.ttest_1samp(sample, 4.123)
returns (statistic=0.079436958358141435, pvalue=0.93751577779749051)
```

for testing, I'm using the following sample set and sample mu.

```
sample = [4.15848606, 3.86146363, 4.31545726, 3.3748772,
4.67023082, 4.45950272, 3.85894915, 4.41089417,
3.82360986, 3.79889443, 4.75884172, 3.27100914,
4.08939402, 4.08904694, 5.62589842, 3.71445656,
3.58463792, 4.42426443, 3.9671448 , 4.39339124]
```

```
mu = 4.123
```

hypothesis-testing

python

scipy

Share Cite Edit Follow Flag

edited Dec 2 '20 at 13:28

reox

241 2 10

asked Apr 1 '17 at 18:10



be-ns

23 1 1 4

Welcome back! If you found this question useful,  
don't forget to vote both the question and the answers up.

[close this message](#)

- ▲ @whuber `mu` is a made up estimation of the population mean - while `mean` is the mean of the sample found here in `sample` I just copied and pasted the code into my terminal and it ran correctly - I edited the above to run my version within a function so you could run that easily  
– [be-ns](#) Apr 1 '17 at 19:34

## 1 Answer

Active	Oldest	Votes
--------	--------	-------

▲ To get the same results, change two things:


- 2
1. Change the estimation of the variance such that the divisor is  $N-1$
  2. Calculate the p-value using the cdf, that is the probability of getting a more extreme value, here using that the t-distribution is symmetric around zero. Note that the function you're comparing with does a two-sided test, and therefore, so do I.

✓ I've marked the relevant lines with `###`. The result is now the same as from the `ttest_1samp` function.

```
def t_test(sample, mu):
    mean = np.mean(sample)
    var = np.var(sample, ddof = 1) ###
    sem = (var / len(sample)) ** .5
    t = abs(mu - mean)/sem
    df = len(sample) - 1
    p = 2*(1-scs.t.cdf(t, df)) ###
    return (t, p)
```

Share Cite Edit Follow Flag

answered Apr 1 '17 at 20:03

 **swmo**  
**2,052** 12 19

▲ worked like a charm. Just adding the definition of `ddof` for any future visitors. "Delta Degrees of Freedom": the divisor used in the calculation is  $N - \text{ddof}$ , where  $N$  represents the number of elements. By default `ddof` is zero." – [be-ns](#) Apr 1 '17 at 23:07

▲ I think the p value should be `2*min(1-scs.t.cdf(t, df), scs.t.cdf(t, df))` - depending on which side of the t-distribution you are. – [reox](#) Dec 2 '20 at 12:47

▲ @reox: That would be correct if 't' were the signed t-statistic, but note that in swmo's code, it is the absolute value. This ensures that we are always on the right side of the distribution.  
– [Ruben van Bergen](#) Dec 2 '20 at 13:48

▲ @RubenvanBergen ah yes you are right, I missed the abs. – [reox](#) Dec 3 '20 at 14:18