



[Course](#) > [Unit 6 Linear Regression](#) > [Lecture 20: Linear Regression 2](#) > 11. Significance Tests

## 11. Significance Tests

### Significance Tests





## Video

[Download video file](#)

## Transcripts

[Download SubRip \(.srt\) file](#)

[Download Text \(.txt\) file](#)

## Setup:

A geneticist at the Broad Institute wishes to study the relationship between a collection of five genes and obesity. In particular, he suspects that the number of mutations in these five genes  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_5)$  is correlated to the blood sugar level  $Y$ , when all other factors such as diet are kept identical.

A dataset consisting of measurements obtained from  $n = 125$  patients is obtained from a nearby hospital. As statisticians, we attempt to perform linear regression with the assumption that the relationship of  $Y$  given  $\mathbf{X}$  is linear.

All problems on this page refers to this setup.

## Building a hypothesis test

2/2 points (graded)

Let's say we suspect that the number of mutations in gene 1 has some (non-zero) correlation with blood sugar level. To test this, we begin by defining the null hypothesis  $H_0 : \beta_1 = 0$ , and the alternative hypothesis  $H_1 : \beta_1 \neq 0$ .

Using the setup given above, what is an appropriate choice for the unit column vector  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^5$ ? That is, what  $\mathbf{u}$  gives  $\mathbf{u}^T \boldsymbol{\beta} = \beta_1$ ?

(For convenience, enter your answers to all answer boxes in this problem as a row vector to represent  $\mathbf{u}^T$ . For instance, if your answer is  $\mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ , type "[1,2]". Do not round; enter exact fractional values if applicable.)

$\mathbf{u}^T =$   ✓ Answer: [1,0,0,0,0]

Alternatively, we could also test whether gene 2 has a more positive correlation than gene 3. In this scenario, we setup the null hypothesis  $H_0 : \beta_2 \leq \beta_3$  and  $H_1 : \beta_2 > \beta_3$ . Alternatively, we could write this as  $H_0 : \beta_2 - \beta_3 \leq 0$  and  $H_1 : \beta_2 - \beta_3 > 0$ .

What choice of unit vector  $\mathbf{u}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{u}^T \boldsymbol{\beta} \leq 0 \iff \beta_2 - \beta_3 \leq 0$ ?

$\mathbf{u}^T =$   ✓ Answer: [0,1/sqrt(2),-1/sqrt(2),0,0]

**Solution:**

For the first setup,  $\mathbf{u} = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$  is the right choice, since we just want the first coordinate  $\beta_1$ . In the second setup, we want the second coordinate minus the third. Therefore, we ought to normalize the vector  $(0, 1, -1, 0, 0)$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{u} = (0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, 0)$  is the correct choice.

Submit

You have used 2 of 3 attempts

 Answers are displayed within the problem

## Statistics for the LSE

1/1 point (graded)

Again, use the setup as in the previous problem.

We assume that the model is homoscedastic; i.e.  $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I_{125})$ , so that  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbb{X}\beta^* + \epsilon$ .

In the linear regression model, we derived  $\hat{\beta} = \beta^* + (\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})^{-1} \mathbb{X}^T \epsilon$ , so  $\hat{\beta}$  is a  $p$ -dimensional Gaussian. We saw previously that  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-p} \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbb{X}\hat{\beta}\|_2^2$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ .

Let  $\mathbf{u}$  be a unit vector in  $\mathbb{R}^5$ . What distribution does the quantity  $S = \frac{\mathbf{u}^T \hat{\beta} - \mathbf{u}^T \beta}{\hat{\sigma} \sqrt{\mathbf{u}^T (\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})^{-1} \mathbf{u}}}$  obey?

☐  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ , the standard normal distribution.

☒  $t_{120}$ , a  $t$ -distribution with  $n - p = 120$  degrees of freedom.

☐  $\chi_{120}^2$ , a chi-squared distribution with 120 degrees of freedom.



**Solution:**

The correct answer is " $t_{120}$ , a  $t$ -distribution with  $n - p = 120$  degrees of freedom."

The formula provided gives  $\mathbf{u}^T \hat{\beta} - \mathbf{u}^T \beta^* = (\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})^{-1} \mathbb{X}^T \epsilon$ , which obeys the Gaussian distribution  $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 \mathbf{u}^T (\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})^{-1} \mathbf{u})$ .

To see why, note that the covariance must be  $(\mathbf{u}^T (\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})^{-1}) (\sigma^2 I) (\mathbf{u}^T (\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})^{-1})^T = \sigma^2 \mathbf{u}^T (\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})^{-1} \mathbf{u}$ .

From the definition of the  $t$ -distribution, we conclude that  $S$  obeys the law  $t_{120}$ , since  $S$  uses the unbiased estimate  $\hat{\sigma}$  in place of  $\sigma$ .

Submit

You have used 2 of 2 attempts

**i** Answers are displayed within the problem

## Designing the test

1/1 point (graded)

Let us work with the first scenario from the previous problem. We have the two-tailed hypotheses test  $H_0 : \beta_1 = 0, H_1 : \beta_1 \neq 0$ . Consider the test statistic

$$T := \frac{\mathbf{u}^T \hat{\beta}}{\hat{\sigma} \sqrt{\mathbf{u}^T (\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})^{-1} \mathbf{u}}}$$

where  $\mathbf{u}$  is the appropriate **unit vector** (a vector of length 1) such that  $\mathbf{u}^T \beta = \beta_1$ .

Keep in mind the following intuition: **we ought to reject  $H_0$  if  $\hat{\beta}_1$  is far away from zero, the presumed value of  $\beta_1$  under the null hypothesis**. How far is "far"? We studied this previously in the Hypothesis Testing unit, and we now apply that knowledge to this setting.

We design the two-sided test with level  $\alpha$

$$\psi := \mathbf{1}(|T| \geq q_{\alpha/2}).$$

where  $q_\alpha$  is the  $(1 - \alpha)$  quantile of the distribution of  $T$ , which has a certain distribution under  $H_0$  (refer to the solution to the previous problem, which asks for the distribution of a certain random variable  $S$ ). If we decide to test at the level  $\alpha = 0.001$ , what is the numerical value of  $q_{\alpha/2}$ ? Round to the nearest  $10^{-3}$ .

$q_{\alpha/2} =$

3.373454

✓ Answer: 3.374

### Solution:

We saw previously that the statistic  $T$ , under the null hypothesis  $\beta_1 = 0$ , obeys the  $t$ -distribution with  $n - p = 125 - 5 = 120$  degrees of freedom. Since we are doing a two-tailed test at significance level  $\alpha = 0.001$ , we wish to compute  $q_{\alpha/2}$  such that  $\Pr(|T| > q_{\alpha/2}) = 0.001$ . Plugging this into a calculator (or looking the values up in a  $t$ -distribution table) gives  $q_{\alpha/2} \approx 3.373$ . (Note that this is very different from the quantile function  $q_\alpha$  for a normal distribution!)

Submit

You have used 1 of 3 attempts

📘 Answers are displayed within the problem

## Discussion

Hide Discussion

**Topic:** Unit 6 Linear Regression:Lecture 20: Linear Regression 2 / 11. Significance Tests

Add a Post

◀ All Posts

[STAFF] Solution for "Statistics for LSE" is missing some terms.

discussion posted 4 days ago by [DriftingWoods](#)

u^t on RHS of equation on line 2.

Extra X^T on LHS two times in each parentheses in equation on line 3.

This post is visible to everyone.



Add a Response

1 response

**ya mukhin** (Staff)

3 days ago



Thank you, @DriftingWoods, this has now been fixed.

Add a comment

**sandipan dey**

5 minutes ago



Since we have 5 features (genes), should not we add a  $1^T$  vector (a column of 1s for the intercept) to have  $p = 5 + 1 = 6$ ?

Add a comment

Preview

Submit

Showing all responses

Add a response:

Preview

Submit

© All Rights Reserved