

EdX and its Members use cookies and other tracking technologies for performance, analytics, and marketing purposes. By using this website, you accept this use. Learn more about these technologies in the [Privacy Policy](#).



Course **Discussion** Progress Resources








## Discussion

**sandipan\_dey** *Student*

17 discussions started 89 comments

Show all posts ▼

by recent activity ▼

-  anyone interested in future collaboration?  
Hi, I enjoyed this course and the discussions have been helpful for me time to time. I am interested in pursuing further by working on some real ... 10  
 Pinned
-  [Note from Staff] 1.2 has been regraded  
Indeed Problem 1.2 contained a grader error for the plot depicting the linear classifier. It has now been regraded. Please let us know if there are... 22  
 Staff
-  [Staff] Answers to 1. (2) look incorrect 28
-  [STAFF] Confusion regarding Q 2 (2)/(3) 1  
 Following

?	[Staff] Please tell me my total grade	11
	Could you please tell me my total grade as I didn't do the exam yet, so to know If I now passed the course. I'm so nervous. Thank you	
💬	Optimal episodic reward (thought process)	48
?	Struggling with Linear Q-learning	30
	Where an I going wrong? $q\_value = (\theta @ \text{current\_state\_vector})[\text{tuple2index}(\text{action\_index}, \text{object\_index})]$ $\max\_q\_value\_next = 0$ if terminal else...	
?	[Staff] Evaluate linear Q-learning on Home World game	26
	👤 Community TA	
✓	[Staff] Report performance	43
💬	Q1: Does highest possible salary here refer to highest expected salary?	12
	Q1: Just wanted to confirm whether "highest possible salary" here refers to highest expected salary.(we are supposed to consider the risk or T al...	
	★ Following	
?	[Staff] Epsilon-greedy method 2	11
	Is question referring to epsilon at the beginning of the learning or end of the learning or is it a fixed value?	

[All Posts](#)

## [STAFF] Confusion regarding Q 2 (2)/(3)

discussion posted about 9 hours ago by [sandipan\\_dey](#)

Just reading the '*ideal policy*' I just assumed (wrongly) that the question is asking for the *ideal policy at convergence*, since

1. we already computed the q-value matrix for the *first iteration* in part (1), i thought now we need to go for the values at *convergence* and missed the part that it was asked for the *first iteration again*.
2. also the values *first iteration* are already computed in (1), they can trivially be obtained from the computed matrix in (1), i thought they won't be asked again for part (3).



3. given the difficulty level of the exam I never thought that same question could be asked twice.

The *ideal policy at convergence* remains same as that after the *first iteration* but the  $V_1(s = 0)$  and  $V_1(s = 1)$  values are different *at convergence* (3.25 and 6.5, instead of 0 and 4, respectively),.

I know it's my bad (did not read the question properly), but given that I did some over-work (and obtained the final values *at convergence* correctly) could I be considered for some partial marks :-)?

This post is visible to everyone.

0 responses

Preview

Submit



**edX**

[About](#)

[edX for Business](#)

**Legal**

[Terms of Service & Honor Code](#)

[Privacy Policy](#)

[Accessibility Policy](#)

**Connect**

[Blog](#)

[Contact Us](#)

[Help Center](#)



© 2012–2019 edX Inc.

edX, Open edX, and MicroMasters are registered trademarks of edX Inc. | 深圳市恒宇博科技有限公司 [粤ICP备17044299号-2](#)