

## Computing a limit of a sum mixed with product.

Asked 9 days ago Active today Viewed 290 times



9

The bounty expires in 4 hours. Answers to this question are eligible for a +50 reputation bounty. Guy Fsone is looking for an answer from a reputable source.



Given  $d \geq 2$  integer and  $m_0 > 0$  define



2

$$m_k = m_0 igg(rac{d}{d-1}igg)^k \quad ext{and} \quad \sigma_n = rac{1}{m_n+d}$$

I would like to compute

$$\lim_{n o\infty}\prod_{j=1}^n(1-\sigma_j)$$

and

$$\lim_{n o\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}\sigma_k\prod_{j=k+1}^{n-1}(1-\sigma_j)$$

I expect the following results  $\frac{m_0}{m_0+d}$  for the first and  $\frac{1}{m_0+d}$  for the second.

sequences-and-series

algebra-precalculus limits

infinite-product

Share Cite Edit Follow Flag

edited Sep 17 at 8:01

asked Sep 13 at 12:16



89

Were perhaps d and g confused in the question? We are given g but never use it, and we are not given d but use it. – Jukka Kohonen Sep 16 at 0:14

sorry typo, it is d and not g - Guy Fsone Sep 16 at 9:46 /

d must be greater than 1, otherwise, zero appears in the denominator. – Danny Pak-Keung Chan Sep 16 at 20:14

2 Answers

Oldest Active Votes



Let  $a = \frac{d}{d-1}$  and we need to compute





$$P_n = \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1-rac{1}{m_0 \ a^k+d}
ight)$$

Using Pochhamer symbols

$$P_n = rac{d+m_0}{d+m_0-1}igg(rac{d-1}{d}igg)^{n+1}rac{\left(-rac{m_0}{d-1};a
ight)_{n+1}}{\left(-rac{m_0}{d};a
ight)_{n+1}}$$

Replace a by its value and simplify to obtain

$$P_n = rac{1}{m_0 + d - 1} igg(rac{d - 1}{d}igg)^n \left(m_0igg(rac{d}{d - 1}igg)^n + d - 1
ight) = rac{m_0 + (d - 1)ig(1 - rac{1}{d}ig)^n}{m_0 + d - 1}$$

Therefore

$$P_{\infty}=rac{m_0}{m_0+d-1}$$

Checking for m = 10 and d = 3, the  $P_n$  make the sequence

$$\left\{\frac{17}{18}, \frac{49}{54}, \frac{143}{162}, \frac{421}{486}, \frac{1247}{1458}, \frac{3709}{4374}, \frac{11063}{13122}, \frac{33061}{39366}, \frac{98927}{118098}, \frac{296269}{354294}, \frac{887783}{1062882}, \frac{2661301}{3188646}, \frac{118098}{118098}, \frac{118098}$$

The last value

$$P_{12} = rac{2661301}{3188646} = rac{5}{6} + rac{2048}{1594323} = rac{5}{6} + 0.00129$$
  $P_{24} = rac{1412164459621}{1694577218886} = rac{5}{6} + rac{8388608}{847288609443} = rac{5}{6} + 0.00001$ 

*I* cannot do the second one.

Share Cite Edit Follow Flag





We have,  $\frac{m_k+d-1}{m_{k+1}+d}=\frac{d-1}{d}, \forall k$ 



$$\lim_{n o \infty} \prod_{j=1}^n (1-\sigma_j) = \lim_{n o \infty} \prod_{j=1}^n rac{m_j + d - 1}{m_j + d} = \lim_{n o \infty} \left(rac{1}{m_1 + d}
ight) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} rac{m_j + d - 1}{m_{j+1} + d}. \left(m_n + d - 1
ight)$$



$$egin{aligned} &=\left(rac{1}{m_1+d}
ight).\lim_{n o\infty}\left(rac{d-1}{d}
ight)^{n-1}.\left(m_0.\left(rac{d}{d-1}
ight)^n+d-1
ight)&=rac{m_0.rac{d}{d-1}}{m_0.rac{d}{d-1}+d}\ &=rac{m_0}{m_0+d-1} \end{aligned}$$

Also, we have,

$$\begin{split} t_k &= \sigma_k \prod_{j=k+1}^{n-1} (1 - \sigma_j) = \sigma_k \prod_{j=k+1}^{n-1} \frac{m_j + d - 1}{m_j + d} \\ &= \sigma_k \frac{1}{m_{k+1} + d} \prod_{j=k+1}^{n-2} \frac{m_j + d - 1}{m_{j+1} + d} \cdot (m_{n-1} + d - 1) \\ &= \sigma_k \sigma_{k+1} \left( \frac{d - 1}{d} \right)^{n-k-2} \cdot \left( m_0 \cdot \left( \frac{d}{d - 1} \right)^{n-1} + d - 1 \right) \\ &= \sigma_k \sigma_{k+1} \left( m_0 \cdot \left( \frac{d}{d - 1} \right)^{k+1} + \frac{1}{d} \left( \frac{d - 1}{d} \right)^{n-k-1} \right) \\ &= \sigma_k \sigma_{k+1} \left( m_{k+1} + \frac{1}{d} \left( \frac{d - 1}{d} \right)^{n-k-1} \right) \\ &\Rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} t_k = \sigma_k \sigma_{k+1} m_{k+1} \end{split}$$

Hence.

$$egin{aligned} &\lim_{n o\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}\sigma_k\prod_{j=k+1}^{n-1}(1-\sigma_j)=\lim_{n o\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}\lim_{n o\infty}t_k=\lim_{n o\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}\sigma_k\sigma_{k+1}m_{k+1} \ &=\lim_{n o\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}rac{m_k}{(m_k+d)(m_k+d-1)} \quad ext{since }m_{k+1}=\left(rac{d}{d-1}
ight)m_k,orall k \end{aligned}$$

Now it boils down to computing sum of this series, where  $T_k = \dfrac{m_k}{(m_k+d)(m_k+d-1)}$  .

Also, notice that  $T_1 > T_2 > \ldots$ , i.e.,  $\{T_k\}$  is monotonically decreasing and

$$\lim_{n o\infty}T_n=\lim_{n o\infty}rac{1/m_n}{(1+d/m_n)(1+(d-1)/m_n)}=0.$$

Another way, as pointed out by @Wiley,

since we have

Since we have 
$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{k+1}}-\frac{1}{\sigma_k}=(m_{k+1}-d)-(m_k+d)=m_{k+1}-m_k=\left(1-\frac{d-1}{d}\right)m_{k+1}=\frac{m_{k+1}}{d}$$
 
$$\Longrightarrow \frac{\sigma_k-\sigma_{k+1}}{\sigma_k\sigma_{k+1}}=\frac{m_{k+1}}{d}$$
 
$$\Longrightarrow \sigma_k\sigma_{k+1}m_{k+1}=d(\sigma_k-\sigma_{k+1})$$

$$\implies \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n-2}\sigma_k\sigma_{k+1}m_{k+1}=d\sum\limits_{k=1}^{n-2}(\sigma_k-\sigma_{k+1})=d(\sigma_1-\sigma_{n-1})$$

$$\therefore \lim_{n o\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}\sigma_k\prod_{j=k+1}^{n-1}(1-\sigma_j)=\lim_{n o\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}\lim_{n o\infty}t_k$$

$$=\lim_{n o\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}\sigma_k\sigma_{k+1}m_{k+1}$$

$$=d\lim_{n o\infty}(\sigma_1-\sigma_{n-1})=d\sigma_1,$$
 since  $\lim_{n o\infty}\sigma_{n-1}=0$ 

$$= \frac{d}{m_1 + d} = \frac{d-1}{m_0 + d - 1}$$

Share Cite Edit Delete Flag

edited 7 hours ago

answered Sep 17 at 20:14



3 We have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \prod_{j=k+1}^n (1-a_j) = 1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1-a_j),$$

it's the inclusion-exclusion formula in disguise. – Maxim Sep 18 at 3:36 🖍

@Maxim How do you get this identity? – Guy Fsone 2 days ago

2 lacktriangle To finish it off, instead of taking the limit for  $t_k$ , one should keep the  $m_{n-1}$  term and note

$$\begin{split} &\sigma_k - \sigma_{k+1} = \sigma_k \sigma_{k+1} \, \frac{m_0 q^k}{d-1} \text{, where } q = \frac{d}{d-1} \text{. Therefore} \\ &\sum_{k=1}^{n-2} t_k = \frac{(m_{n-1} + d-1)(d-1)}{m_0 q^{n-2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} (\sigma_k - \sigma_{k+1}) = \frac{(m_0 q^{n-1} + d-1)(d-1)}{m_0 q^{n-2}} (\sigma_1 - \sigma_{n-1}) \text{. Taking the limit} \\ &= \frac{(m_0 q^{n-1} + d-1)(d-1)m_0 q(q^{n-2} - 1)}{m_0 q^{n-2} (m_0 q + d)(m_0 q^{n-1} + d)} \\ &\text{when } n \to \infty \text{ gives } \frac{(d-1)q}{m_0 q + d} = \frac{d-1}{m_0 + d-1} \text{ - Wiley yesterday} \end{split}$$

Thanks for the hint @Wiley, I was wondering whether we can compute the sum of  $\{T_k\}$  too, since numerical simulation shows that the sum converges. – Sandipan Dey 7 hours ago  $\nearrow$ 

I doubt the second result is correct because it is a sum of positive numbers. – Guy Fsone 2 hours ago