



MITx 6.419x

Data Analysis: Statistical Modeling and Computation in Applications

Help

sandipan\_dey ▾

- [Course](#)
- [Progress](#)
- [Dates](#)
- [Discussion](#)
- [Resources](#)

[🏠](#) [Course](#) / [Module 3: Network Analysis](#) / [Graph Basics](#)



< Previous	✓			✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	Next >
------------	---	--	--	---	--	---	---	---	---	--------

5. Adjacency Matrix

Bookmark this page

Exercises due Oct 20, 2021 17:29 IST   Completed

Discussions: Representation of Networks and Adjacency Matrix

[Start of transcript. Skip to the end.](#)



Prof Uhler: OK, so welcome back.  
So now that we have introduced or looked at different network examples and seen, also discussed, different motivations for studying networks, today and in this video, we'll discuss different ways

▶

0:00 / 0:00

▶ 2.0x

🔊

🔍

CC

🗣️

Video

[Download video file](#)

Transcripts

[Download SubRip \(.srt\) file](#)  
[Download Text \(.txt\) file](#)

Definition of the adjacency matrix

In this course module, the adjacency matrix,  $A_{ij}$  will be defined as

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ij} &= 1 && \text{if there exists an edge from } i \text{ to } j \\ A_{ij} &= 0 && \text{otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

For an undirected graph,  $A_{ij} = 1$  implies that  $A_{ji} = 1$ .

For a directed graph,  $A_{ij}$  and  $A_{ji}$  are independent.

Note that another convention for the adjacency matrix exists, where  $A_{ij} = 1$  implies an edge from  $j$  to  $i$ . That convention will be not be used in this course, instead the above mentioned convention will be used.

Adjacency Matrix

1/2 points (graded)  
Let  $A$  be the adjacency matrix of an unweighted graph. State whether the following are **True** or **False**.

1. A non-zero  $A_{ii}$  represents a loop from node  $i$  to itself.

☒ True

☐ False



2.  $A = A^T$  if and only if the graph is undirected.

☒ True

☐ False ✓
**Solution:**

1. **True.** Follows from the definition.
2. **False.** While an undirected graph has the property  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^T$ , it is not necessary that the graph be undirected for this property to hold. If this property is true for a directed graph then it means that there is a directed edge from node  $i$  to  $j$  whenever there is a directed edge from node  $j$  to  $i$ .

If the statement " $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^T$  if and only if the graph is undirected" were correct, then this would imply that any directed graph with  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^T$  is also equivalent to an undirected graph with the same adjacency matrix. However, we can't have this. Consider a simple directed graph with two nodes and adjacency matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^T$ , and we can also write this graph as  $\mathbf{G} = (\mathbf{V} = \{1, 2\}, \mathbf{E} = \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\})$ . Note that  $\mathbf{E}$  has two elements, and that there is a cycle in this graph.

On the other hand, an undirected graph with the same adjacency matrix would be written as  $\mathbf{G}' = (\mathbf{V} = \{1, 2\}, \mathbf{E} = \{\{1, 2\}\})$ . Note that  $\mathbf{E}$  has one element, and while there is a path of length 2 from node 1 to itself, this graph has no cycle (as the definition of a cycle does not allow repeated edges). Therefore, these two graphs cannot be considered equivalent, as  $\mathbf{G}$  has two edges, while  $\mathbf{G}'$  has one edge; and,  $\mathbf{G}$  has a cycle, while  $\mathbf{G}'$  has no cycles.

Submit

You have used 1 of 1 attempt

❏ Answers are displayed within the problem

**Powers of the Adjacency Matrix**

**Theorem:** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be the adjacency matrix of an unweighted graph (could be directed/undirected, simple/multigraph). For any  $\ell \geq 1$ ,  $\mathbf{A}^\ell$  contains the following elements:  $A_{ij}^\ell$ , which is the element in row  $i$  and column  $j$  of  $\mathbf{A}^\ell$ , is the number of walks of length  $\ell$  from node  $i$  to node  $j$ .

**Proof:** The proof of this statement follows from induction with the following base cases: For  $\ell = 1$ , the statement is true by the definition of an adjacency matrix. For  $\ell = 2$ , let  $\mathbf{r}_i$  be row  $i$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{c}_j$  be column  $j$  of  $\mathbf{A}$ . By definition, the entries of  $\mathbf{r}_i$  are the number of walks of length 1 from node  $i$  to any other node. Similarly, the entries of  $\mathbf{c}_j$  are the number of walks of length 1 from any other node to node  $j$ . Since entry  $A_{ij}^2$  is the vector inner product of  $\mathbf{r}_i$  and  $\mathbf{c}_j$  it is then also equal to the number of walks of length 2 from node  $i$  to  $j$  via all possible intermediate nodes.

Inductively, we assume that  $A_{kj}^\ell$  is equal to the number of walks with length  $\ell$  from node  $k$  to node  $j$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ij}^{\ell+1} &= [\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^\ell]_{ij} \\ &= \sum_k A_{ik} A_{kj}^\ell. \end{aligned}$$

We can see now that  $A_{ik} A_{kj}^\ell$  will be zero if there is no walk from  $i$  to  $k$ , and it will be equal to  $A_{kj}^\ell$  if there is. Thus  $A_{ij}^{\ell+1}$  is equal to the number of walks of length  $\ell + 1$  from node  $i$  to  $j$  and the proof is completed.

## Adjacency Matrix - Walks of Length 2 and 3

2/2 points (graded)  
Consider the following adjacency matrix:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let the nodes be numbered **1**, **2**, and **3**, with  $A_{ij}$  representing the number of directed edges from node  $i$  to node  $j$ .

1. How many walks of length **2** are there from node **1** to **2**?

1

✓ Answer: 1

2. How many walks of length **3** are there from node **1** to **2**?

1

✓ Answer: 1

Solution:

1.  $A^2$  has entry  $A^2_{12}$  equal to 1. Therefore, there is exactly 1 walk of length **2** from node **1** to **2**.
2.  $A^3$  has entry  $A^3_{12}$  equal to 1. Therefore, there is exactly 1 walk of length **3** from node **1** to **2**.

Identify the walks by sketching the graph.

Submit

You have used 1 of 2 attempts

Answers are displayed within the problem

### Discussion

Hide Discussion

Topic: Module 3: Network Analysis:Graph Basics / 5. Adjacency Matrix

Add a Post

◀ All Posts

Why the inner product inc walk length from 1 to 2 AND connects node i to node j?

question posted 2 months ago by anonymous

I am baffled that the matrices could be used like that but unfortunately, I do not see how did the magic happened :// I know the math of it and how to answer and so on but I would not guess ever that the power is the walk-length!!

Could someone either refer me to a material where I could see an explanation or perhaps demystify it for me here? Thanks!

This post is visible to everyone.

Add a Response

1 response

**lam\_trinh** (Community TA)  
2 months ago

Hello

Hello,

I shared this resource in another post, it'll help you with the intuition to answer your question:  
<https://quickmathintuitions.org/finding-paths-length-n-graph/>

The link to the post where there're more resources from myself and others are here:  
[https://courses.edx.org/courses/course-v1:MITx+6.419x+3T2021/discussion/forum/6419x\\_3T2021\\_discussion\\_networks\\_lec1\\_basics-tab6\\_discussion/threads/61581474b9dd920473a133e8](https://courses.edx.org/courses/course-v1:MITx+6.419x+3T2021/discussion/forum/6419x_3T2021_discussion_networks_lec1_basics-tab6_discussion/threads/61581474b9dd920473a133e8)



Thanks this was interesting.

posted 2 months ago by **[jtourkis](#)**

Add a comment

Showing all responses

Add a response:

Preview

[< Previous](#)

[Next >](#)



edX

- [About](#)
- [Affiliates](#)
- [edX for Business](#)
- [Open edX](#)
- [Careers](#)
- [News](#)

Legal

- [Terms of Service & Honor Code](#)
- [Privacy Policy](#)
- [Accessibility Policy](#)
- [Trademark Policy](#)

[Sitemap](#)

---

# Connect

[Blog](#)

[Contact Us](#)

[Help Center](#)

[Media Kit](#)

[Donate](#)



© 2021 edX Inc. All rights reserved.  
深圳市恒宇博科技有限公司 [粤ICP备17044299号-2](#)