


## STAT 501

## Regression Methods

## 11.1 - Distinction Between Outliers and Influential Data Points

 [Printer-friendly version \(https://onlinecourses.science.psu.edu/stat501/print/book/export/html/337\)](https://onlinecourses.science.psu.edu/stat501/print/book/export/html/337)

In this section, we learn the distinction between outliers and influential data points. In short:


- An **outlier** is a data point whose response  $y$  does not follow the general trend of the rest of the data.
- A data point is **influential** if it unduly influences any part of a regression analysis, such as the predicted responses, the estimated slope coefficients, or the hypothesis test results.

Note that — for our purposes — we consider a data point to be an outlier *only if* it is extreme with respect to the other  $y$  values, not the  $x$  values.

One advantage of the case in which we have only one predictor is that we can look at simple scatter plots in order to identify any outliers and influential data points. Let's take a look at a few examples that should help to clarify the distinction between the two types of extreme values.

### Example #1


Based on the definitions above, do you think the following data set (influence1.txt (/stat501/sites/onlinecourses.science.psu.edu/stat501/files/data/influence1.txt) ) contains any outliers? Or, any influential data points?




You got it! All of the data points follow the general trend of the rest of the data, so there are no outliers — for emphasis only — in the  $y$  direction. And, none of the data points would appear to influence the location of the best fitting line.

## Example #2

Now, how about this example? Do you think the following data set (influence2.txt ([/stat501/sites/onlinecourses.science.psu.edu/stat501/files/data/influence2.txt](https://stat501/sites/onlinecourses.science.psu.edu/stat501/files/data/influence2.txt)) ) contains any outliers? Or, any influential data points? (Wink! Wink!)



Of course! Because the red data point does not follow the general trend of the rest of the data, it would be considered an outlier. But, is the red data point influential? An easy way to determine if the data point is influential is to find the best fitting line twice — once with the red data point included and once the red data point excluded. The following plot illustrates the two best fitting lines:



Wow — it's hard to even tell the two estimated regression equations apart! The solid line represents the estimated regression equation with the red data point included, while the dashed line represents the estimated regression equation with the red data point taken excluded. The slopes of the two lines

are very similar — 5.04 and 5.12, respectively.

Do the two samples yield different results when testing  $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$ ? Well, we obtain the following output when the **red data point is included**:

```
Model Summary

      S      R-sq  R-sq(adj)  R-sq(pred)
4.71075  91.01%   90.53%    89.61%

Coefficients

Term      Coef  SE Coef  T-Value  P-Value  VIF
Constant   2.96    2.01     1.47     0.157    1.00
x          5.037   0.363    13.86     0.000    1.00

Regression Equation

y = 2.96 + 5.037 x
```

and the following output when the **red data point is excluded**:

```
Model Summary

      S      R-sq  R-sq(adj)  R-sq(pred)
2.59199  97.32%   97.17%    96.63%

Coefficients

Term      Coef  SE Coef  T-Value  P-Value  VIF
Constant   1.73    1.12     1.55     0.140    1.00
x          5.117   0.200    25.55     0.000    1.00

Regression Equation

y = 1.73 + 5.117 x
```

There certainly are some minor side effects of including the red data point, but none too serious:


- The  $R^2$  value has decreased slightly, but the relationship between  $y$  and  $x$  would still be deemed strong.
- The standard error of  $b_1$ , which is used in calculating our confidence interval for  $\beta_1$ , is larger when the red data point is included, thereby increasing the width of our confidence interval. You may recall that the standard error of  $b_1$  depends on the mean squared error  $MSE$ , which quantifies the difference between the observed and predicted responses. It is because the red data point is an outlier — in the  $y$  direction — that the standard error of  $b_1$  increases, not because the data point is influential in any way.
- In each case, the  $P$ -value for testing  $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$  is less than 0.001. In either case, we can conclude that there is sufficient evidence at the 0.05 level to conclude that, in the population,  $x$  is related to  $y$ .

In short, the predicted responses, estimated slope coefficients, and hypothesis test results are not affected by the inclusion of the red data point. Therefore, the data point is not deemed influential. In

summary, the red data point is not influential, but still an outlier.


### Example #3

Now, how about this example? Do you think the following data set (influence3.txt (/stat501/sites/onlinecourses.science.psu.edu/stat501/files/data/influence3.txt) ) contains any outliers? Or, any influential data points?



In this case, the red data point does follow the general trend of the rest of the data. Therefore, it is *not* deemed an outlier here. But, is the red data point influential? It certainly appears to be far removed from the rest of the data — in the  $x$  direction. Is that sufficient to make the data point influential?

The following plot illustrates two best fitting lines — one obtained when the red data point is included and one obtained when the red data point is excluded:



Again, it's hard to even tell the two estimated regression equations apart! The solid line represents the estimated regression equation with the red data point included, while the dashed line represents the

estimated regression equation with the red data point taken excluded. The slopes of the two lines are very similar — 4.927 and 5.117, respectively.

Do the two samples yield different results when testing  $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$ ? Well, we obtain the following output when the **red data point is included**:

```
Model Summary

      S      R-sq  R-sq(adj)  R-sq(pred)
2.70911  97.74%   97.62%    97.04%

Coefficients

Term      Coef  SE Coef  T-Value  P-Value  VIF
Constant   2.47    1.08     2.29    0.033
x          4.927   0.172    28.66    0.000   1.00

Regression Equation

y = 2.47 + 4.927 x
```

and the following output when the **red data point is excluded**:

```
Model Summary

      S      R-sq  R-sq(adj)  R-sq(pred)
2.59199  97.32%   97.17%    96.63%

Coefficients

Term      Coef  SE Coef  T-Value  P-Value  VIF
Constant   1.73    1.12     1.55    0.140
x          5.117   0.200    25.55    0.000   1.00

Regression Equation

y = 1.73 + 5.117 x
```

Here, there are hardly any side effects at all from including the red data point:


- The  $R^2$  value has hardly changed at all, increasing only slightly from 97.3% to 97.7%. In either case, the relationship between  $y$  and  $x$  is deemed strong.
- The standard error of  $b_1$  is about the same in each case — 0.172 when the red data point is included, and 0.200 when the red data point is excluded. Therefore, the width of the confidence intervals for  $\beta_1$  would largely remain unaffected by the existence of the red data point. You might take note that this is because the data point is *not* an outlier heavily impacting  $MSE$ .
- In each case, the  $P$ -value for testing  $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$  is less than 0.001. In either case, we can conclude that there is sufficient evidence at the 0.05 level to conclude that, in the population,  $x$  is related to  $y$ .

In short, the predicted responses, estimated slope coefficients, and hypothesis test results are not affected by the inclusion of the red data point. Therefore, the data point is not deemed influential. In summary, the red data point is not influential, nor is it an outlier.


## Example #4

One last example! Do you think the following data set (influence4.txt

(/stat501/sites/onlinecourses.science.psu.edu/stat501/files/data/influence4.txt) ) contains any outliers? Or, any influential data points?



That's right — in this case, the red data point is most certainly an outlier and influential! The red data point does not follow the general trend of the rest of the data. And, the two best fitting lines — one obtained when the red data point is included and one obtained when the red data point is excluded:



are (not surprisingly) substantially different. The solid line represents the estimated regression equation with the red data point included, while the dashed line represents the estimated regression equation with the red data point taken excluded. The existence of the red data point significantly reduces the slope of the regression line — dropping it from 5.117 to 3.320.

Do the two samples yield different results when testing  $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$ ? Well, we obtain the following

output when the **red data point is included**:

#### Model Summary

S	R-sq	R-sq(adj)	R-sq(pred)
10.4459	55.19%	52.84%	19.11%

#### Coefficients

Term	Coef	SE Coef	T-Value	P-Value	VIF
Constant	8.50	4.22	2.01	0.058	
x	3.320	0.686	4.84	0.000	1.00

#### Regression Equation

$y = 8.50 + 3.320 x$

and the following output when the **red data point is excluded**:

#### Model Summary

S	R-sq	R-sq(adj)	R-sq(pred)
2.59199	97.32%	97.17%	96.63%

#### Coefficients

Term	Coef	SE Coef	T-Value	P-Value	VIF
Constant	1.73	1.12	1.55	0.140	
x	5.117	0.200	25.55	0.000	1.00

#### Regression Equation

$y = 1.73 + 5.117 x$

What impact does the red data point have on our regression analysis here? In summary:

- The  $R^2$  value has decreased substantially from 97.32% to 55.19%. If we include the red data point, we conclude that the relationship between  $y$  and  $x$  is only moderately strong, whereas if we exclude the red data point, we conclude that the relationship between  $y$  and  $x$  is very strong.
- The standard error of  $b_1$  is almost 3.5 times larger when the red data point is included — increasing from 0.200 to 0.686. This increase would have a substantial effect on the width of our confidence interval for  $\beta_1$ . Again, the increase is because the red data point is an outlier — in the  $y$  direction.
- In each case, the  $P$ -value for testing  $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$  is less than 0.001. In both cases, we can conclude that there is sufficient evidence at the 0.05 level to conclude that, in the population,  $x$  is related to  $y$ . Note, however, that the  $t$ -statistic decreases dramatically from 25.55 to 4.84 upon inclusion of the red data point.

Here, the predicted responses and estimated slope coefficients are clearly affected by the presence of the red data point. While the data point did not affect the significance of the hypothesis test, the  $t$ -statistic did change dramatically. In this case, the red data point is deemed both influential and an outlier.

## Summary

The above examples — through the use of simple plots — have highlighted the distinction between outliers and influential data points. We have seen an example, in which a data point was an outlier, but not influential. That is, not every outlier strongly influences the regression analysis. It is your job as a regression analyst to always determine if your regression analysis is unduly influenced by one or a few data points.

Of course, the easy situation occurs for simple linear regression, when we can rely on simple scatter plots to elucidate matters. Unfortunately, we don't have that luxury in the case of multiple linear regression. In that situation, we have to rely on various measures to help us determine whether a data point is an outlier, influential or both. That's just what we'll learn how to do in the next few sections!

---

◀ Lesson 11: Outliers & Influential Points  
(/stat501/node/336)

up

11.2 - Using Leverages to Help Identify  
Extreme x Values ▶ (/stat501/node/338)

---