



Bookmarks

- ▶ [Introduction](#)
- ▶ [Part 1: Probability and Inference](#)
- ▶ [Part 2: Inference in Graphical Models](#)
- ▼ [Part 3: Learning Probabilistic Models](#)

[Week 8: Introduction to Learning Probabilistic Models](#)

[Week 8: Introduction to Parameter Learning - Maximum Likelihood and MAP Estimation](#)

[Exercises due Nov 10, 2016 at 01:30 IST](#)



[Week 8: Homework 6](#)

[Homework due Nov 10, 2016 at 01:30 IST](#)



Part 3: Learning Probabilistic Models > Week 9: Parameter Learning - Naive Bayes Classification > The Naive Bayes Classifier: Training

The Naive Bayes Classifier: Training

🔖 Bookmark this page

THE NAIVE BAYES CLASSIFIER: TRAINING (PREFACE)

You should try to answer the following *before* watching the video below which presents the solution.

Note: "log" means natural log in these videos/notes.


Practice problem: Show that the log likelihood for our email spam detection setup can be written as

$$\log \left(\prod_{i=1}^n p_{C, Y_1, \dots, Y_J}(c^{(i)}, y_1^{(i)}, \dots, y_J^{(i)}; \theta) \right) = f(\mathbf{s}) + \sum_{j=1}^J g_j(p_j) + \sum_{j=1}^J h_j(q_j)$$

for some functions $f, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_J, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_J$. What are these functions? Note that what the above equation is saying is that the log likelihood decouples into functions where each function depends on just one of the parameters. Thus, when we want to maximize over θ , what we can do is maximize over each parameter in θ separately! For example, to find what the ML estimate for \mathbf{s} is, we only need to look at $f(\mathbf{s})$.

Week 9: Parameter Learning - Naive Bayes Classification

Week 9: Mini-project on Email Spam Detection

Mini-projects due Nov 17, 2016 at 01:30 IST 

Hint: To show the above equation, you may find it helpful that we can write:

$$p_C(c; \theta) = s^{1\{c=\text{spam}\}} (1 - s)^{1-1\{c=\text{spam}\}} \quad \text{for } c \in \{\text{spam}, \text{ham}\}$$

$$p_{Y_j|C}(y_j \mid \text{ham}; \theta) = p_j^{y_j} (1 - p_j)^{1-y_j} \quad \text{for } y_j \in \{0, 1\}$$

$$p_{Y_j|C}(y_j \mid \text{spam}; \theta) = q_j^{y_j} (1 - q_j)^{1-y_j} \quad \text{for } y_j \in \{0, 1\}$$

Practice problem: After you figure out what the functions f , g_j 's, and h_j 's are, obtain the ML estimate for each of the parameters $s, p_1, \dots, p_J, q_1, \dots, q_J$ by setting derivatives equal to 0.

Hint: You may find it helpful that for nonzero constants A and B ,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \{A \log t + B \log(1 - t)\} = 0 \quad \text{when} \quad t = \frac{A}{A + B}.$$

The Naive Bayes Classifier: Training

6.008.1x - Naive Bayes Classifier Training



▶ 14:04 / 14:04

▶ 1.0x



Video

[Download video file](#)

Transcripts

[Download SubRip \(.srt\) file](#)

[Download Text \(.txt\) file](#)

These notes cover roughly the same content as the video:

THE NAIVE BAYES CLASSIFIER: TRAINING (COURSE NOTES)

we're ready to do maximum likelihood estimation.

and in particular, as a reminder, when we have--

this we saw when I talked about maximum likelihood

estimation before for the biased estimator.

whenever we have $A \log s$ plus--

A is just some constant that doesn't depend on s --

$s B \log 1 - s$,

when the derivative of this thing

is equal to 0, when s is equal to A over $A + B$.

And so now you can say what is the ML estimate for parameter s ?

Well, it's just going to be: here's our A . here's our B

Simplifying the log likelihood: The log likelihood is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^n p_{C,Y_1,\dots,Y_J}(c^{(i)}, y_1^{(i)}, \dots, y_J^{(i)}; \theta) \right) \\
 &= \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \left[p_C(c^{(i)}; \theta) \prod_{j=1}^J p_{Y_j|C}(y_j^{(i)} | c^{(i)}; \theta) \right] \right) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\log p_C(c^{(i)}; \theta) + \sum_{j=1}^J \log p_{Y_j|C}(y_j^{(i)} | c^{(i)}; \theta) \right] \\
 &= \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n \log p_C(c^{(i)}; \theta)}_{(*)} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^J \log p_{Y_j|C}(y_j^{(i)} | c^{(i)}; \theta)}_{(**)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We next simplify the expressions (*) and (**).

First let's simplify term (*):

$$\begin{aligned}
 (*) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \log p_C(c^{(i)}; \theta) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n [\mathbf{1}\{c = \text{"spam"}\} \log s + \mathbf{1}\{c = \text{"ham"}\} \log(1 - s)] \\
 &= \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{c = \text{"spam"}\} \right] \log s + \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{c = \text{"ham"}\} \right] \log(1 - s) \\
 &\triangleq f(s).
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, we simplify (**), splitting it up as to decouple p_j and q_j . To do this, we can split the summation over i into two sums, one accounting for all the ham emails and one accounting for all the spam emails:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (**) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^J \log p_{Y_j|C}(y_j^{(i)} | c^{(i)}; \theta) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{c^{(i)} = \text{"ham"}\} \sum_{j=1}^J \log p_{Y_j|C}(y_j^{(i)} | c^{(i)}; \theta) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{c^{(i)} = \text{"spam"}\} \sum_{j=1}^J \log p_{Y_j|C}(y_j^{(i)} | c^{(i)}; \theta) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{c^{(i)} = \text{"ham"}\} \sum_{j=1}^J \left[y_j^{(i)} \log p_j + (1 - y_j^{(i)}) \log(1 - p_j) \right] \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{c^{(i)} = \text{"spam"}\} \sum_{j=1}^J \left[y_j^{(i)} \log q_j + (1 - y_j^{(i)}) \log(1 - q_j) \right] \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\mathbf{1}\{c^{(i)} = \text{"ham"}\} \left[y_j^{(i)} \log p_j + (1 - y_j^{(i)}) \log(1 - p_j) \right]}_{\triangleq g_j(p_j)} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\mathbf{1}\{c^{(i)} = \text{"spam"}\} \left[y_j^{(i)} \log q_j + (1 - y_j^{(i)}) \log(1 - q_j) \right]}_{\triangleq h_j(q_j)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In summary:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(s) &= \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{c^{(i)} = \text{"spam"}\} \right] \log s + \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{c^{(i)} = \text{"ham"}\} \right] \log(1 - s), \\
 g_j(p_j) &= \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{c^{(i)} = \text{"ham"}\} y_j^{(i)} \right] \log p_j + \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{c^{(i)} = \text{"ham"}\} (1 - y_j^{(i)}) \right] \log(1 - p_j), \\
 h_j(q_j) &= \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{c^{(i)} = \text{"spam"}\} y_j^{(i)} \right] \log q_j + \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{c^{(i)} = \text{"spam"}\} (1 - y_j^{(i)}) \right] \log(1 - q_j).
 \end{aligned}$$

Setting derivatives to 0: The ML estimate for s is $\hat{s} = \arg \max_{s \in [0,1]} f(s)$, which occurs when $\frac{df}{ds} = 0$. Using the hint, we see that

$$f(s) = \underbrace{\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{c^{(i)} = \text{"spam"}\} \right]}_A \log s + \underbrace{\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{c^{(i)} = \text{"ham"}\} \right]}_B \log(1 - s)$$

has derivative equal to 0 when

$$\hat{s} = \frac{A}{A + B} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{c^{(i)} = \text{"spam"}\}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{c^{(i)} = \text{"spam"}\} + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{c^{(i)} = \text{"ham"}\}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{c^{(i)} = \text{"spam"}\}}{n}.$$

This result is intuitive — it's the number of emails labeled "spam" divided by the total number of emails.

The ML estimate for p_j is $\hat{p}_j = \arg \max_{p_j \in [0,1]} g_j(p_j)$, which occurs when $\frac{dg_j}{dp_j} = 0$. Again using the hint, we see that

$$g_j(p_j) = \underbrace{\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{c^{(i)} = \text{"ham"}\} y_j^{(i)} \right]}_A \log p_j + \underbrace{\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{c^{(i)} = \text{"ham"}\} (1 - y_j^{(i)}) \right]}_B \log(1 - p_j)$$

has derivative equal to 0 when

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{p}_j &= \frac{A}{A+B} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{c^{(i)} = \text{"ham"}\} y_j^{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{c^{(i)} = \text{"ham"}\} y_j^{(i)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{c^{(i)} = \text{"ham"}\} (1 - y_j^{(i)})} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{c^{(i)} = \text{"ham"}\} y_j^{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{c^{(i)} = \text{"ham"}\}}. \end{aligned}$$

This result is also intuitive — it's the number of times word j occurred in an email labeled "ham" divided by the total number of emails labeled "ham".

Finally, by pattern-matching, the ML estimate for q_j is

$$\hat{q}_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{c^{(i)} = \text{"spam"}\} y_j^{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{c^{(i)} = \text{"spam"}\}}.$$

Wonderful, now we can write up an algorithm that computes all those ML estimates above. Once we learn the parameters θ , we can treat them as fixed and start doing prediction.

Discussion

Topic: Parameter Learning - Naive Bayes Classification / The Naive Bayes Classifier: Training

[Show Discussion](#)

© All Rights Reserved



© 2016 edX Inc. All rights reserved except where noted. EdX, Open edX and the edX and Open EdX logos are registered trademarks or trademarks of edX Inc.

POWERED BY
OPENedX®



