



What is the intuition behind having upperbound on eigenvalues of Hessian?

Asked 2 years, 3 months ago Active 2 years, 3 months ago Viewed 438 times



Suppose $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and is a C^1 , i.e., ∇f is a continuous vector valued function.

2

Show if the λ_{max} of $\nabla^2 f$ is bounded, then ∇f is Lipschitz with global constant λ_{max} .



Proof:



$$\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x) = \int_0^1 \nabla^2 f(x + t(y-x))(y-x) dt \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\|\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x)\| = \left\| \int_0^1 \nabla^2 f(x + t(y-x))(y-x) dt \right\| \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz we have

$$\|\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x)\| \leq \int_0^1 \|\nabla^2 f(x + t(y-x))\| \|(y-x)\| dt \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\|\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x)\| \leq \lambda_{max}(\nabla^2 f) \|(y-x)\| dt \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

What is the intuition behind this claim? Please discuss this from different point of views, for example curvature, function behaviour,

eigenvalues-eigenvectors

lipschitz-functions

hessian-matrix

Share Cite Edit Follow Flag

asked May 11 '19 at 19:23



Saeed

3,794

1

6

21

1 Answer

Active

Oldest

Votes



The following is an imprecise wording of the situation (since you are asking for intuition), but can be made mathematically precise.

3



For univariate functions $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, this states that a bound on [the absolute value of] the second derivative (curvature) implies the derivative (slope) cannot change too quickly (Lipschitz).



In general for functions $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the Hessian captures curvature information in multiple directions, and the maximum eigenvalue is the maximum curvature. If this quantity is

bounded, it bounds curvature in all directions, and thus implies the gradient ("slope") cannot change too quickly (Lipschitz).

Share Cite Edit Follow Flag

answered May 11 '19 at 19:43



angryavian

73.7k

4

53

115



What do we mean when we say curvature is bounded, intuitively? I can think of $y = ax^2$ for large a , and say in higher dimension that means we have no narrow deep valleys provided bounded curvature. Is that right? – Saeed May 11 '19 at 19:54