

How do I solve the following multivariable minimization optimization problem?

Asked 2 days ago Active today Viewed 60 times



2



- minimize $b_1x_1 + b_2x_2 + b_3x_3 + b_4x_4$ subject to $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 1$
- $d(1)x(1)+d(2)x(2)+d(3)x(3)+d(4)x(4)\leq Dt$

- The four variables x(1) to x(4) represent percentages and must add up to 1
- Is there a minimum for the objective function such that all the constraints are satisified? How to approach such a problem?

linear-algebra optimization maxima-minima lagrange-multiplier

Share Cite Edit Follow Flag

edited 17 hours ago

asked 2 days ago



New contributor

Please clarify your specific problem or provide additional details to highlight exactly what you need. As it's currently written, it's hard to tel asking. – Community • 2 days ago

Do you correctly formulate the problem? You want to minimize the sum, but you claim that it is equal to 1. – user376343 2 days ago

As it is stated, if the admissible region, S, is non-empty, the minimum is 1 and that value is attained at every point in S. Maybe you want to

— PierreCarre 2 days ago

— @user376343 Hello, I have edited the problem. Does the new formulation make sense? — Mohamed R 23 hours ago

@PierreCarre Hello, I have edited the problem. Does the new formulation make sense? - Mohamed R 23 hours ago

• Watch tag

46 watchers 2.4k question

This tag is for the questions

method of Lagrange multipl Louis Lagrange) provides a s

maxima and minima of a fur

constraints. View tag

Active Oldest

Votes

1 Answer



Calling





we have the lagrangian

$$\begin{cases} f(x) = \sum_k b_k x_k^2 \\ r_1(x) = \sum_k x_k^2 - 1 \\ r_2(x, s) = \sum_k d_k x_k^2 - D + s^2 \end{cases}$$

$$L(x,\lambda,\mu,s) = f(x) + \lambda r_1(x) + \mu r_2(x,s)$$

here s is a slack variable to transform the inequality into an equivalent equation.

The **stationary points** are the solutions for

$$abla L = \left\{ egin{aligned} b_j x_j + \lambda x_j + \mu d_j x_j &= 0, \ j = 1, \cdots, 4 \ \sum_k x_k^2 - 1 &= 0 \ \sum_k d_k x_k^2 - D + s^2 &= 0 \end{aligned}
ight.$$

giving

$\lceil f \rceil$	x_1^2	x_2^2	x_3^2	x_4^2	λ	μ	s^2	condition
b_1	1	0	0	0	$-b_1$	0	$D-d_1$	$D \geq d_1$
b_2	0	1	0	0	$-b_2$	0	$D-d_2$	$D \geq d_2$
b_3	0	0	1	0	$-b_3$	0	$D-d_3$	$D \geq d_3$
b_4	0	0	0	1	$-b_4$	0	$D-d_4$	$D \geq d_4$
$\left rac{b_2(d_1-D)}{d_1-d_2} + rac{b_1(d_2-D)}{d_2-d_1} ight $	$\frac{d_2-D}{d_2-d_1}$	$\frac{d_1-D}{d_1-d_2}$	0	0	$\frac{b_1d_2-b_2d_1}{d_1-d_2}$	$\frac{b_2\!-\!b_1}{d_1\!-\!d_2}$	0	$d_1 \leq D \leq d_2$
$\frac{b_3(d_1-D)}{d_1-d_3} + \frac{b_1(d_3-D)}{d_3-d_1}$	$\frac{d_3-D}{d_3-d_1}$	0	$\frac{d_1-D}{d_1-d_3}$	0	$\frac{b_1d_3 - b_3d_1}{d_1 - d_3}$	$\frac{b_3-b_1}{d_1-d_3}$	0	$d_1 \leq D \leq d_3$
$\frac{b_3(d_2-D)}{d_2-d_3} + \frac{b_2(d_3-D)}{d_3-d_2}$	0	$\frac{d_3 - D}{d_3 - d_2}$	$\frac{d_2-D}{d_2-d_3}$	0	$\frac{b_2d_3-b_3d_2}{d_2-d_3}$	$\frac{b_3-b_2}{d_2-d_3}$	0	$d_2 \leq D \leq d_3$
$\frac{b_4(d_1-D)}{d_1-d_4} + \frac{b_1(d_4-D)}{d_4-d_1}$	$\frac{d_4-D}{d_4-d_1}$	0	0	$\frac{d_1-D}{d_1-d_4}$	$\frac{b_1d_4 - b_4d_1}{d_1 - d_4}$	$\frac{b_4-b_1}{d_1-d_4}$	0	$d_1 \leq D \leq d_4$
$\frac{b_4(d_2-D)}{d_2-d_4} + \frac{b_2(d_4-D)}{d_4-d_2}$	0	$\frac{d_4-D}{d_4-d_2}$	0	$\frac{d_2-D}{d_2-d_4}$	$\frac{b_2d_4 - b_4d_2}{d_2 - d_4}$	$\frac{b_4-b_2}{d_2-d_4}$	0	$d_2 \leq D \leq d_4$
	0	0	$\frac{d_4-D}{d_4-d_3}$	$\frac{d_3-D}{d_3-d_4}$	$\frac{b_3d_4\!-\!b_4d_3}{d_3\!-\!d_4}$	$\frac{b_4-b_3}{d_3-d_4}$	0	$d_3 \leq D \leq d_4 \Big]$

NOTE

We used x_k^2 to assure the positiveness. When s=0 indicates that $r_2(x,s)$ is actuating. The table of results should be interpreted according to the given condition at the last column.

Share Cite Edit Follow Flag

edited 57 mins ago

answered 10 hours ago
Cesareo
22.7k 14 11 31