EdX and its Members use cookies and other tracking technologies for performance, analytics, and marketing purposes. By using this website, you accept this use. Learn more about these technologies in the Privacy Policy.





Homework 4: TV distance, KL-

Course > Unit 3 Methods of Estimation > Divergence, and Introduction to MLE > 1. Kullback-Leibler divergence

Currently enrolled in **Audit Track** (expires December 25, 2019) <u>Upgrade (\$300)</u>

1. Kullback-Leibler divergence

Instructions:

For the following pairs of distributions (\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}) , compute the Kullback-Leibler divergence $\mathsf{KL}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q})$.

If the KL divergence is $+\infty$ or $-\infty$, enter **+inf** or **-inf**.

(a)

1/1 point (graded)

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathcal{N}\left(a, \sigma^2
ight), \quad \mathbf{Q} = \mathcal{N}\left(b, \sigma^2
ight), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \, \sigma^2 > 0.$$

(If applicable, enter $\ln(\mathbf{x})$ for $\ln(x)$. Do NOT enter "log".)

$$\mathsf{KL}\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}\right) = \underbrace{\left(a-b\right)^{2}/2/\operatorname{sigma^{2}}}_{\left(a-b\right)^{2}}$$

STANDARD NOTATION

Submit

You have used 1 of 2 attempts

✓ Correct (1/1 point)

(b)

1/1 point (graded)

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathsf{Ber}\left(a
ight), \quad \mathbf{Q} = \mathsf{Ber}\left(b
ight), \quad a,b \in (0,1)$$

(If applicable, enter $\ln(\mathbf{x})$ for $\ln(x)$. Do NOT enter "log".)

$$\mathsf{KL}\left(\mathbf{P},\,\mathbf{Q}\right) = \underbrace{\left(1-a\right)^*\ln\left(\left(1-a\right)/\left(1-b\right)\right) + a^*\ln\left(a/b\right)}_{\left(1-a\right)\cdot\ln\left(\frac{1-a}{1-b}\right) + a\cdot\ln\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)}$$

STANDARD NOTATION

Submit

You have used 1 of 3 attempts

✓ Correct (1/1 point)

(c)

2/2 points (graded)

$$P = \mathsf{Unif}\left([0, heta_1]
ight), \quad Q = \mathsf{Unif}\left([0, heta_2]
ight), \quad 0 < heta_1 < heta_2.$$

Hint: Note the support of each distribution when computing the expectation.

(If applicable, enter $\ln(x)$ for $\ln(x)$. Do NOT enter "log". If applicable, enter **theta_1** for θ_1 and **theta_2** for θ_2 .)

$$\mathsf{KL}\left(\mathbf{P},\,\mathbf{Q}
ight) = egin{bmatrix} \mathsf{In}(\mathsf{theta_2/theta_1}) \ & & \\ \hline & & \\ \mathsf{ln}\left(rac{ heta_2}{ heta_1}
ight) \end{bmatrix}$$

STANDARD NOTATION

Submit

You have used 3 of 3 attempts

✓ Correct (2/2 points)

(d)

1/1 point (graded)

$$P = \mathsf{Exp}\left(\lambda
ight), \quad Q = \mathsf{Exp}\left(\mu
ight), \quad \lambda, \mu \in (0, \infty).$$

(If applicable, enter $\ln(\mathbf{x})$ for $\ln(x)$. Do NOT enter "log".)

 $\mathsf{KL}\left(\mathbf{P},\,\mathbf{Q}
ight) = egin{bmatrix} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ \end{pmatrix} + rac{\mu}{\lambda} - 1$

STANDARD NOTATION

Submit

You have used 1 of 2 attempts

✓ Correct (1/1 point)

Discussion

Hide Discussion

Topic: Unit 3 Methods of Estimation:Homework 4: TV distance, KL-Divergence, and Introduction to MLE / 1. Kullback-Leibler divergence

Add a Post

♦ All Posts

disjoint supports (c.2)

discussion posted 2 days ago by **nbourbon**

I did the first part of exercise d but in the second part I'm finding that Theta_2 is larger than Theta_1... so if I integrate over the entire range even if I split in two parts, there is one part that is [...]. What is the recommended solution in that case?

Note: my question is already answered by following the instructions at the very top of the page.

This post is visible to everyone.

| Add a Response | 1 response |
|--|------------|
| Erocha (Community TA) 2 days ago | + |
| Don't you mean (c)? If you computed correctly you should follow the instructions at the top of this page: "If the KL divergence" | |
| sorry yes, part c and yes I got my green tick now I didn't see it was already included in the instruction. Thanks now it makes sense posted 2 days ago by nbourbon | ••• |
| First I assumed $ln(0):=0$ to get rid of the singularity in the function, for which the KL divergence or (c) 2nd part has the form $\frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2}.ln(\theta_1)-ln(\theta_2)$. I wonder if this has any intuitive meaning. posted 3 minutes ago by <u>sandipan dey</u> | ••• |
| Add a comment | |
| nowing all responses | |
| Add a response: | |
| | |
| | 2 |
| Preview | <i></i> |

Submit

Audit Access Expires Dec 24, 2019

You lose all access to this course, including your progress, on Dec 24, 2019.

Upgrade by Nov 4, 2019 to get unlimited access to the course as long as it exists on the site. **Upgrade now**

Learn About Verified Certificates

© All Rights Reserved