

edX and its Members use cookies and other tracking technologies for performance, analytics, and marketing purposes. By using this website, you accept this use. Learn more about these technologies in the [Privacy Policy](#).



Course **Discussion** Progress Resources

All Topics > Search Results

Add a Post

Search all posts
sandipan_dey

Search

Show all posts ▼ by recent activity ▼

[STAFF] Overlapped symbols & math processing error in the solution to the problem "Is the Direction of Largest Empirical Variance Unique?" 8
The solution provided for the ...
★ Following

A nice problem to solve, what is the interpretation / insights obtained from the results? 1 new
★ Following

[STAFF] A recitation on Regularization for logistic regression (e.g. elastic net) and Bayesian 5
It will be very helpful if a recit...
★ Following

[STAFF] Overlapped symbols & math processing error in the solution to the problem "Is the Direction of Largest Empirical Variance Unique?"

discussion posted 13 days ago by [sandipan_dey](#)

The solution provided for the problem "Is the Direction of Largest Empirical Variance Unique?" contains many overlapped symbols & math processing errors, can you please correct?

Related to: (Optional) Unit 8 Principal component analysis:(Optional) Lecture 23: Principal Component Analysis / 7. Largest Eigenvalue and Principal Directions
This post is visible to everyone.

Add a Response

2 responses




[sudarsanvsr_mit](#) (Staff)

10 days ago

Hi sandipan_dey, this must be a mathjax processing error on your browser. Did it get corrected automatically?

No, it's still the same as it was before.

posted 8 days ago by [sandipan_dey](#)

-  Mistakes during exam/assignments 25
This post has probably no rea...
-  Requesting Lectures on some more topics 19
Can we please have a few lect...
[★ Following](#)
-  [STAFF] Solution for "Statistics for LSE" is missing some topics 14

+1

posted 4 days ago by [markarjaybajo](#)[sudarsanvsr_mit](#) (Staff)

7 days ago

If anyone still has a rendering issue.

Solution:

The correct answer is **"No"**. First observe that if \mathbf{w} is a unit vector, then $P^T \mathbf{w}$ is also a unit vector. This is because

$$\begin{aligned} \|P^T \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 &= (P^T \mathbf{w})^T P^T \mathbf{w} \\ &= \mathbf{w}^T P P^T \mathbf{w} \\ &= \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} \\ &= \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

To go from the second to third line, we used that $P P^T = I_d$ and associativity of matrix multiplication.

Next, note that by the given decomposition

$$\mathbf{w}^T S \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^T P D P^T \mathbf{w} = (P^T \mathbf{w})^T D (P^T \mathbf{w}).$$

But as \mathbf{w} ranges over all unit vectors, we know that $P^T \mathbf{w}$ also ranges over all unit vectors. So if there exists $\mathbf{w} \neq \mathbf{v}_1$ such that $\mathbf{w}^T S \mathbf{w} = \lambda_1$, there must exist $\mathbf{b} \neq P^T \mathbf{v}_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$ such that $\mathbf{b}^T D \mathbf{b} = \lambda_1$. Observe that by matrix multiplication,

$$\mathbf{b}^T D \mathbf{b} = \sum_{i=1} \lambda_i (\mathbf{b}^i)^2 \leq \lambda_1 (\mathbf{b}^1)^2 + \lambda_2 (1 - \mathbf{b}_1^2).$$

We also used that $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_d \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \mathbf{b}_j^2 \leq 1 - \mathbf{b}_1^2$ for all $j \neq 1$. Suppose $\mathbf{b}^1 \neq 1$ (so that $\mathbf{b} \neq \mathbf{v}_1$ and $1 - \mathbf{b}_1^2 > 0$), then we have

$$\mathbf{b}^T D \mathbf{b} \leq \lambda_1 (\mathbf{b}^1)^2 + \lambda_2 (1 - \mathbf{b}_1^2) < \lambda_1 (\mathbf{b}^1)^2 + \lambda_1 (1 - \mathbf{b}_1^2) = \lambda_1,$$

where we used the strict inequality $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$. Therefore, the equality case is **only** possible if $\mathbf{b} = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$. Hence, we must also have $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v}_1$ if equality holds.

...

Thank you!

posted 4 days ago by [markarjaybajo](#)

...

Thank you!

posted 3 days ago by [Alexander_Andrianov](#)



It looks like there is a mistake in the solution closer to the end:

Should not it be

"Suppose $\mathbf{b}^1 \neq 1$ (so that $\mathbf{b} \neq P^T \mathbf{v}_1$ and $1 - b_1^2 > 0$)..."

instead of

"...(so that $\mathbf{b} \neq \mathbf{v}_1$ and..."

?

posted 3 days ago by [Alexander_Andrianov](#)

Add a comment

Showing all responses

Add a response:

Preview

Submit



[About](#)
[edX for Business](#)

[Terms of Service & Honor Code](#)
[Privacy Policy](#)
[Accessibility Policy](#)

[Blog](#)
[Contact Us](#)
[Help Center](#)



© 2019 edX Inc. All rights reserved.
| 深圳市恒宇博科技有限公司 [粤ICP备17044299号-2](#)