

EdX and its Members use cookies and other tracking technologies for performance, analytics, and marketing purposes. By using this website, you accept this use. Learn more about these technologies in the [Privacy Policy](#).



[Course](#) > [Unit 2 Foundation of Inference](#) > [Hypothesis Testing](#) > 8. A Union-Intersection Test

Currently enrolled in **Audit Track** (expires December 25, 2019) [Upgrade \(\\$300\)](#)

8. A Union-Intersection Test

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables with unknown parameter $p \in (0, 1)$. Suppose we want to test

$$H_0 : p \in [0.48, 0.51] \quad \text{vs} \quad H_1 : p \notin [0.48, 0.51]$$

We want to construct an asymptotic test ψ for these hypotheses using \bar{X}_n . For this problem, we specifically consider the family of tests ψ_{c_1, c_2} where we reject the null hypothesis if either $\bar{X}_n < c_1 \leq 0.48$ or $\bar{X}_n > c_2 \geq 0.51$ for some c_1 and c_2 that may depend on n , i.e.

$$\psi_{c_1, c_2} = \mathbf{1} \left((\bar{X}_n < c_1) \cup (\bar{X}_n > c_2) \right) \quad \text{where } c_1 < 0.48 < 0.51 < c_2.$$

Throughout this problem, we will discuss possible choices for constants c_1 and c_2 , and their impact to both the asymptotic and non-asymptotic level of the test.

(a)

Generating Speech Output

1/1 point (graded)

Which expression represents the (smallest asymptotic) level α of this test? Recall the (smallest asymptotic) level equals the maximum Type 1 error rate.

☒ $\alpha = \max_{p \in [0.48, 0.51]} (\mathbf{P}_p(\bar{X}_n < c_1) + \mathbf{P}_p(\bar{X}_n > c_2))$

☐ $\alpha = \max_{p \in [0.48, 0.51]} \left(\max(\mathbf{P}_p(\bar{X}_n < c_1), \mathbf{P}_p(\bar{X}_n > c_2)) \right)$

☐ $\alpha = \max_{p \in [0.48, 0.51]} \mathbf{P}_p(\bar{X}_n < c_1)$

☐ $\alpha = \max_{p \in [0.48, 0.51]} \mathbf{P}_p(\bar{X}_n > c_2)$

☐ $\alpha = \max_{p \in [0.48, 0.51]} (\mathbf{P}_p(\bar{X}_n < c_1) \cdot \mathbf{P}_p(\bar{X}_n > c_2))$



You have used 1 of 2 attempts

(b)

4.0/4 points (graded)

Use the central limit theorem and the approximation $\sqrt{p(1-p)} \approx \frac{1}{2}$ for $p \in [0.48, 0.51]$ to approximate $\mathbf{P}_p(\bar{X}_n < c_1)$ and $\mathbf{P}_p(\bar{X}_n > c_2)$ for large n . Express your answers as a formula in terms of c_1 , c_2 , n and p .

(Write **Phi** for the cdf of a Normal distribution, **c_1** for c_1 , and **c_2** for c_2 .)

$$\mathbf{P}_p(\bar{X}_n < c_1) \approx$$



Generating Speech Output

For what value of $p \in [0.48, 0.51]$ is the expression above for $\mathbf{P}_p(\bar{X}_n < c_1)$ maximized?

$\mathbf{P}_p(\bar{X}_n < c_1)$ is max at $p =$ ✓

$\mathbf{P}_p(\bar{X}_n > c_2) \approx$ ✓

For what value of $p \in [0.48, 0.51]$ is the expression above for $\mathbf{P}_p(\bar{X}_n > c_2)$ maximized?

$\mathbf{P}_p(\bar{X}_n > c_2)$ is max at $p =$ ✓

Submit

You have used 1 of 4 attempts

(c)

1.0/1 point (graded)

Next, we combine the results from parts (a) and (b).

Apply the inequality $\max_x (f(x) + g(x)) \leq \max_x f(x) + \max_x g(x)$ to the expression for the (asymptotic) level α obtained in part (a) and use the results from part (b) to give an upper bound on α .

Express your answer as a formula in terms of c_1 , c_2 , and n .

(Write **Phi** for the cdf of a Normal distribution, **c_1** for c_1 , and **c_2** for c_2 .)

$\alpha \leq$ ✓

(Food for thought: Is this upper bound tight? A bound is tight if equality may be achieved.)

Generating Speech Output

ed 1 of 4 attempts

Submit

(d)

2.0/2 points (graded)

Suppose that we wish to have a level $\alpha = 0.05$. What c_1 and c_2 will achieve $\alpha = 0.05$? Choose c_1 and c_2 by setting the expressions you obtained above for $\max_{p \in [0.48, 0.51]} \mathbf{P}_p(\bar{X}_n < c_1)$ and $\max_{p \in [0.48, 0.51]} \mathbf{P}_p(\bar{X}_n > c_2)$ to both be 0.025.

(If applicable, enter **q(alpha)** for q_α , the $1 - \alpha$ -quantile of a standard normal distribution, e.g. enter **q(0.01)** for $q_{0.01}$.)

$$c_1 = \boxed{(q(0.975))/(2*\text{sqrt}(n))+0.4} \quad \checkmark$$

$$c_2 = \boxed{(q(0.025))/(2*\text{sqrt}(n))+0.5} \quad \checkmark$$

Submit

You have used 2 of 3 attempts

(e)

2/2 points (graded)

We will now show that the values we just derived for c_1 and c_2 are in fact too conservative.

Recall the expression from part (b) for $\mathbf{P}_p(\bar{X}_n < c_1)$ for large n . For $p > 0.48$ (note the strict inequality), find $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}_p(\bar{X}_n < c_1)$.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}_{p > 0.48}(\bar{X}_n < c_1) = \boxed{0} \quad \checkmark$$

Similarly, for $p < 0.51$ (note the strict inequality), find $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}_p(\bar{X}_n > c_2)$. Use the expression you found in part (b) for $\mathbf{P}_p(\bar{X}_n > c_2)$.

Generating Speech Output

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}_{p < 0.51} (\bar{X}_n > c_2) =$$

0



Submit

You have used 2 of 3 attempts

(f)

2/2 points (graded)

Note: This part of the problem will contain multiple steps but you would only enter answers to the final step. Also **refer to the recitation 4 last video Composite Test** for related ideas.

Next, we analyze the asymptotic test given different possible values of p , in order to choose suitable and sufficiently-tight c_1 and c_2 . Looking more closely at part (d), we may note that the asymptotic behavior of the expressions for the errors are different depending on whether $p = 0.48$, $0.48 < p < 0.51$, or $p = 0.51$.

Based on your answers and work from the previous part, evaluate the asymptotic Type 1 error

$$\mathbf{P}(\bar{X}_n < c_1) + \mathbf{P}(\bar{X}_n > c_2).$$

on each of the three cases for the value of p in terms of c_1 , c_2 , and n , and determine in each case which component(s) of the Type 1 error will converge to zero.

This would allow you to come up with a new set of conditions for c_1 and c_2 in terms of n , given the desired level of 5%. Enter these values (in terms of n) below.

(If applicable, enter **q(alpha)** for q_α , the $1 - \alpha$ -quantile of a standard normal distribution, e.g. enter **q(0.01)** for $q_{0.01}$. Do not worry about the parser not rendering **q(alpha)** properly; the grader will work nonetheless. You could also enclose **q(alpha)** by brackets for the rendering to show properly.)

$$c_1 =$$

0.48-(q(0.05))/(2*sqrt(n))



Generating Speech Output

$c_2 =$

0.51+(q(0.05))/(2*sqrt(n))

✓

STANDARD NOTATION

Submit

You have used 3 of 3 attempts

✓ Correct (2/2 points)

Discussion

Hide Discussion

Topic: Unit 2 Foundation of Inference:Homework 3: Introduction to Hypothesis Testing / 8. A Union-Intersection Test

Add a Post

Show all posts

by recent activity

- [What does e really mean](#)

2

[Can anyone explain the "english" behind the purpose of this.](#)
- [Format of \(f\)/\(d\)](#)

1

[I see other threads and problems with q\(alpha\). Replacing q\(alpha\) with number, I have expression of form: constant1 + \(constant2/g\(n\)\) Is this reasonable form for answer fo...](#)
- [part c/d](#)

1

[I got part a an part b correct. I thought part c you just copy and paste the answers from part b since alpha is from part a? part d, I am pretty sure I got it right by setting two an...](#)
- [\[STAFF\] - Grader not accepting q\(alpha\) In part\(f\)](#)

7

[At the very end of the part \(f\) question it says "\(If applicable, enter q\(alpha\) for qα, the 1−α -quantile of a standard normal distribution, e.g. enter q\(0.01\) for q0.01 . Do not wor...](#)
- [Question f\)](#)

2
- [\[Staff\]: about answer formula in \(f\)](#)

1

[For grader in \(f\), it only accept when using the approximation sqrt\(p*\(1-p\)\)-1/2, but not the really value. For example if p=0.48, when my answer used sqrt\(0.48*\(1-0.48\)\), it sh...](#)
- [Generating Speech Output : grader error? - Never mind, please disregard](#)

2

[Is it possible to check to see if my submitted answer for the first question in part e isn't actually correct? \(Homework #3 Question #8\)](#)

✓ Part b, $g(\alpha)$.

I believe we will need to put the expression of the CDF evaluated at C_1 , C_2 but the equivalent normalized values. When I'm trying to normalize c_2 , I found that within the Phi st...

3

Audit Access Expires Dec 24, 2019

You lose all access to this course, including your progress, on Dec 24, 2019.

Upgrade by Nov 4, 2019 to get unlimited access to the course as long as it exists on the site. [**Upgrade now**](#)

Learn About Verified Certificates

© All Rights Reserved

Generating Speech Output