

Home Installation
Documentation
Examples



# 1.4. Support Vector Machines

**Support vector machines (SVMs)** are a set of supervised learning methods used for classification, regression and outliers detection.

The advantages of support vector machines are:

- · Effective in high dimensional spaces.
- Still effective in cases where number of dimensions is greater than the number of samples.
- Uses a subset of training points in the decision function (called support vectors), so it is also memory
  efficient.
- Versatile: different Kernel functions can be specified for the decision function. Common kernels are provided, but it is also possible to specify custom kernels.


The disadvantages of support vector machines include:

- If the number of features is much greater than the number of samples, the method is likely to give poor performances.
- SVMs do not directly provide probability estimates, these are calculated using an expensive five-fold cross-validation (see Scores and probabilities, below).

The support vector machines in scikit-learn support both dense (numpy.ndarray and convertible to that by numpy.asarray) and sparse (any scipy.sparse) sample vectors as input. However, to use an SVM to make predictions for sparse data, it must have been fit on such data. For optimal performance, use C-ordered numpy.ndarray (dense) or scipy.sparse.csr\_matrix (sparse) with dtype=float64.

## 1.4.1. Classification

SVC, NuSVC and LinearSVC are classes capable of performing multi-class classification on a dataset.



svc and Nusvc are similar methods, but accept slightly different sets of parameters and have different mathematical formulations (see section Mathematical formulation). On the other hand, LinearSVC is another implementation of Support Vector Classification for the case of a linear kernel. Note that LinearSVC does not accept keyword kernel, as this is assumed

to be linear. It also lacks some of the members of SVC and NuSVC, like support\_.

As other classifiers, **SVC**, **NuSVC** and **LinearSVC** take as input two arrays: an array X of size [n\_samples, n\_features] holding the training samples, and an array y of class labels (strings or integers), size [n\_samples]:

```
>>> from sklearn import svm
>>> X = [[0, 0], [1, 1]]
>>> y = [0, 1]
>>> clf = svm.SVC()
>>> clf.fit(X, y)
SVC(C=1.0, cache_size=200, class_weight=None, coef0=0.0,
    decision_function_shape=None, degree=3, gamma='auto', kernel='rbf',
    max_iter=-1, probability=False, random_state=None, shrinking=True,
    tol=0.001, verbose=False)
```

After being fitted, the model can then be used to predict new values:

```
>>> clf.predict([[2., 2.]])
array([1])
```

SVMs decision function depends on some subset of the training data, called the support vectors. Some properties of these support vectors can be found in members support\_vectors\_, support\_ and n\_support:

#### 1.4.1.1. Multi-class classification

**svc** and **Nusvc** implement the "one-against-one" approach (Knerr et al., 1990) for multi- class classification. If n\_class is the number of classes, then n\_class \* (n\_class - 1) / 2 classifiers are constructed and each one trains data from two classes. To provide a consistent interface with other classifiers, the decision\_function\_shape option allows to aggregate the results of the "one-against-one" classifiers to a decision function of shape (n\_samples, n\_classes):

```
>>> X = [[0], [1], [2], [3]]
>>> Y = [0, 1, 2, 3]
>>> clf = svm.SVC(decision_function_shape='ovo')
```

```
>>> clf.fit(X, Y)
SVC(C=1.0, cache_size=200, class_weight=None, coef0=0.0,
    decision_function_shape='ovo', degree=3, gamma='auto', kernel='rbf',
    max_iter=-1, probability=False, random_state=None, shrinking=True,
    tol=0.001, verbose=False)
>>> dec = clf.decision_function([[1]])
>>> dec.shape[1] # 4 classes: 4*3/2 = 6
6
>>> clf.decision_function_shape = "ovr"
>>> dec = clf.decision_function([[1]])
>>> dec.shape[1] # 4 classes
4
```

On the other hand, LinearSVC implements "one-vs-the-rest" multi-class strategy, thus training n\_class models. If there are only two classes, only one model is trained:

```
>>> lin_clf = svm.LinearSVC()
>>> lin_clf.fit(X, Y)
LinearSVC(C=1.0, class_weight=None, dual=True, fit_intercept=True,
    intercept_scaling=1, loss='squared_hinge', max_iter=1000,
    multi_class='ovr', penalty='l2', random_state=None, tol=0.0001,
    verbose=0)
>>> dec = lin_clf.decision_function([[1]])
>>> dec.shape[1]
4
```

See Mathematical formulation for a complete description of the decision function.

Note that the LinearSVC also implements an alternative multi-class strategy, the so-called multi-class SVM formulated by Crammer and Singer, by using the option multi\_class='crammer\_singer'. This method is consistent, which is not true for one-vs-rest classification. In practice, one-vs-rest classification is usually preferred, since the results are mostly similar, but the runtime is significantly less.

For "one-vs-rest" LinearSVC the attributes coef\_ and intercept\_ have the shape [n\_class, n\_features] and [n\_class] respectively. Each row of the coefficients corresponds to one of the n\_class many "one-vs-rest" classifiers and similar for the intercepts, in the order of the "one" class.

In the case of "one-vs-one" **svc**, the layout of the attributes is a little more involved. In the case of having a linear kernel, The layout of coef\_ and intercept\_ is similar to the one described for **LinearSvc** described above, except that the shape of coef\_ is [n\_class \* (n\_class - 1) / 2, n\_features], corresponding to as many binary classifiers. The order for classes 0 to n is "0 vs 1", "0 vs 2", … "0 vs n", "1 vs 2", "1 vs 3", "1 vs n", . . . "n-1 vs n".

The shape of dual\_coef\_ is [n\_class-1, n\_SV] with a somewhat hard to grasp layout. The columns correspond to the support vectors involved in any of the n\_class \* (n\_class - 1) / 2 "one-vs-one" classifiers. Each of the support vectors is used in n\_class - 1 classifiers. The n\_class - 1 entries in each row correspond to the dual coefficients for these classifiers.

This might be made more clear by an example:

Consider a three class problem with with class 0 having three support vectors  $v_0^0, v_0^1, v_0^2$  and class 1 and 2 having two support vectors  $v_1^0, v_1^1$  and  $v_2^0, v_2^1$  respectively. For each support vector  $v_i^j$ , there are two dual coefficients. Let's call the coefficient of support vector  $v_i^j$  in the classifier between classes i and k  $\alpha_{ik}^j$ . Then dual\_coef\_ looks like this:

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Δ	
$\begin{array}{ccc} \alpha_{0,1}^2 & \alpha_{0,2}^2 \\ \hline \alpha_{1,0}^0 & \alpha_{1,2}^0 & \text{Coefficients for SVs of class 1} \\ \hline \alpha_{1,0}^1 & \alpha_{1,2}^1 & \hline \\ \alpha_{2,0}^0 & \alpha_{2,1}^0 & \text{Coefficients for SVs of class 2} \\ \hline \end{array}$	Coefficients for SVs of class 0	$lpha_{0,2}^0$	$\alpha_{0,1}^{0}$
$\begin{array}{ccc} \alpha_{1,0}^0 & \alpha_{1,2}^0 & \text{Coefficients for SVs of class 1} \\ \hline \alpha_{1,0}^1 & \alpha_{1,2}^1 & \\ \hline \alpha_{2,0}^0 & \alpha_{2,1}^0 & \text{Coefficients for SVs of class 2} \end{array}$		$lpha_{0,2}^1$	$\alpha^1_{0,1}$
$lpha_{1,0}^1$ $lpha_{1,2}^1$ $lpha_{1,2}^0$ $lpha_{2,0}^0$ $lpha_{2,1}^0$ Coefficients for SVs of class 2		$lpha_{0,2}^2$	$\alpha_{0,1}^2$
$lpha_{2,0}^{0}$ Coefficients for SVs of class 2	Coefficients for SVs of class 1	$lpha_{1,2}^0$	$lpha_{1,0}^0$
$egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$lpha_{1,2}^1$	$lpha_{1,0}^1$
$\alpha_{20}^1$ $\alpha_{21}^1$	Coefficients for SVs of class 2	$lpha_{2,1}^0$	$lpha_{2,0}^0$
2,0		$lpha_{2,1}^1$	$\alpha^1_{2,0}$

## 1.4.1.2. Scores and probabilities

The **svc** method decision\_function gives per-class scores for each sample (or a single score per sample in the binary case). When the constructor option probability is set to True, class membership probability estimates (from the methods predict\_proba and predict\_log\_proba) are enabled. In the binary case, the probabilities are calibrated using Platt scaling: logistic regression on the SVM's scores, fit by an additional cross-validation on the training data. In the multiclass case, this is extended as per Wu et al. (2004).

Needless to say, the cross-validation involved in Platt scaling is an expensive operation for large datasets. In addition, the probability estimates may be inconsistent with the scores, in the sense that the "argmax" of the scores may not be the argmax of the probabilities. (E.g., in binary classification, a sample may be labeled by predict as belonging to a class that has probability <½ according to predict\_proba.) Platt's method is also known to have theoretical issues. If confidence scores are required, but these do not have to be probabilities, then it is advisable to set probability=False and use decision function instead of predict\_proba.


#### References:

• Wu, Lin and Weng, "Probability estimates for multi-class classification by pairwise coupling". JMLR 5:975-1005, 2004.

## 1.4.1.3. Unbalanced problems


In problems where it is desired to give more importance to certain classes or certain individual samples keywords class\_weight and sample\_weight can be used.

**SVC** (but not **NuSVC**) implement a keyword class\_weight in the fit method. It's a dictionary of the form {class\_label : value}, where value is a floating point number > 0 that sets the parameter C of class class\_label to C \* value.




SVC, NuSVC, SVR, NuSVR and OneClassSVM implement also weights for individual samples in method fit through keyword sample\_weight. Similar to class\_weight, these set the parameter C for the i-th example to C \* sample\_weight[i].

### Constant weights



#### Modified weights



## **Examples:**

- · Plot different SVM classifiers in the iris dataset,
- SVM: Maximum margin separating hyperplane,
- SVM: Separating hyperplane for unbalanced classes
- SVM-Anova: SVM with univariate feature selection,
- Non-linear SVM
- SVM: Weighted samples,

## 1.4.2. Regression

The method of Support Vector Classification can be extended to solve regression problems. This method is called Support Vector Regression.

The model produced by support vector classification (as described above) depends only on a subset of the training data, because the cost function for building the model does not care about training points that lie beyond the margin. Analogously, the model produced by Support Vector Regression depends only on a subset of the training data, because the cost function for building the model ignores any training data close to the model prediction.

There are three different implementations of Support Vector Regression: SVR, NuSVR and LinearSVR. LinearSVR provides a faster implementation than SVR but only considers linear kernels, while NuSVR implements a slightly different formulation than SVR and LinearSVR. See Implementation details for further details.

As with classification classes, the fit method will take as argument vectors X, y, only that in this case y is expected to have floating point values instead of integer values:

#### **Examples:**

Support Vector Regression (SVR) using linear and non-linear kernels


## 1.4.3. Density estimation, novelty detection

One-class SVM is used for novelty detection, that is, given a set of samples, it will detect the soft boundary of that set so as to classify new points as belonging to that set or not. The class that implements this is called <code>OneClassSVM</code>.

In this case, as it is a type of unsupervised learning, the fit method will only take as input an array X, as there are no class

labels.

See, section Novelty and Outlier Detection for more details on this usage.



error train: 21/200; errors novel regular: 2/40; errors novel abnormal: 1/40

### **Examples:**

- One-class SVM with non-linear kernel (RBF)
- · Species distribution modeling

## 1.4.4. Complexity

Support Vector Machines are powerful tools, but their compute and storage requirements increase rapidly with the number of training vectors. The core of an SVM is a quadratic programming problem (QP), separating support vectors from the rest of the training data. The QP solver used by this libsvm-based implementation scales between  $O(n_{features} \times n_{samples}^2)$  and  $O(n_{features} \times n_{samples}^3)$  depending on how efficiently the libsvm cache is used in practice (dataset dependent). If the data is very sparse  $n_{features}$  should be replaced by the average number of non-zero features in a sample vector.

Also note that for the linear case, the algorithm used in LinearSVC by the liblinear implementation is much more efficient than its libsvm-based SVC counterpart and can scale almost linearly to millions of samples and/or features.

## 1.4.5. Tips on Practical Use

• **Avoiding data copy**: For **svc**, **svr**, **nusvc** and **nusvr**, if the data passed to certain methods is not C-ordered contiguous, and double precision, it will be copied before calling the underlying C implementation. You can check whether a give numpy array is C-contiguous by inspecting its flags attribute.

For LinearSVC (and LogisticRegression) any input passed as a numpy array will be copied and converted to the liblinear internal sparse data representation (double precision floats and int32 indices of non-zero components). If you want to fit a large-scale linear classifier without copying a dense numpy C-contiguous double precision array as input we suggest to use the SGDClassifier class instead. The objective function can be configured to be almost the same as the LinearSVC model.

- **Kernel cache size**: For **SVC**, **SVR**, **nuSVC** and **NuSVR**, the size of the kernel cache has a strong impact on run times for larger problems. If you have enough RAM available, it is recommended to set cache\_size to a higher value than the default of 200(MB), such as 500(MB) or 1000(MB).
- **Setting C**: C is 1 by default and it's a reasonable default choice. If you have a lot of noisy observations you should decrease it. It corresponds to regularize more the estimation.
- Support Vector Machine algorithms are not scale invariant, so it is highly recommended to scale your data. For example, scale each attribute on the input vector X to [0,1] or [-1,+1], or standardize it to have mean 0 and variance 1. Note that the same scaling must be applied to the test vector to obtain meaningful results. See section Preprocessing data for more details on scaling and normalization.
- Parameter nu in NuSVC/OneClassSVM/NuSVR approximates the fraction of training errors and support vectors.
- In **svc**, if data for classification are unbalanced (e.g. many positive and few negative), set class\_weight='balanced' and/or try different penalty parameters c.

**«** 

- The underlying LinearSVC implementation uses a random number generator to select features when fitting the model. It is thus not uncommon, to have slightly different results for the same input data. If that happens, try with a smaller tol parameter.
- Using L1 penalization as provided by LinearSVC(loss='12', penalty='11', dual=False) yields a sparse solution, i.e. only a subset of feature weights is different from zero and contribute to the decision function. Increasing C yields a more complex model (more feature are selected). The C value that yields a "null" model (all weights equal to zero) can be calculated using 11 min c.

Previous

## 1.4.6. Kernel functions

The kernel function can be any of the following:

- linear:  $\langle x, x' \rangle$ .
- polynomial:  $(\gamma \langle x, x' \rangle + r)^d$ . d is specified by keyword degree, r by coef0.
- rbf:  $\exp(-\gamma |x-x'|^2)$ .  $\gamma$  is specified by keyword gamma, must be greater than 0.
- sigmoid  $(\tanh(\gamma\langle x,x'\rangle+r))$ , where r is specified by coef0.

Different kernels are specified by keyword kernel at initialization:

```
>>> linear_svc = svm.SVC(kernel='linear')
>>> linear_svc.kernel
'linear'
>>> rbf_svc = svm.SVC(kernel='rbf')
>>> rbf_svc.kernel
'rbf'
```

#### 1.4.6.1. Custom Kernels

You can define your own kernels by either giving the kernel as a python function or by precomputing the Gram matrix.

Classifiers with custom kernels behave the same way as any other classifiers, except that:

- Field support vectors is now empty, only indices of support vectors are stored in support
- A reference (and not a copy) of the first argument in the fit() method is stored for future reference. If that array changes between the use of fit() and predict() you will have unexpected results.

#### 1.4.6.1.1. Using Python functions as kernels

You can also use your own defined kernels by passing a function to the keyword kernel in the constructor.

Your kernel must take as arguments two matrices of shape (n\_samples\_1, n\_features), (n\_samples\_2, n\_features) and return a kernel matrix of shape (n\_samples\_1, n\_samples\_2).

The following code defines a linear kernel and creates a classifier instance that will use that kernel:

```
>>> import numpy as np
>>> from sklearn import svm
>>> def my_kernel(X, Y):
... return np.dot(X, Y.T)
...
>>> clf = svm.SVC(kernel=my_kernel)
```

#### **Examples:**

SVM with custom kernel.

### 1.4.6.1.2. Using the Gram matrix

Set kernel='precomputed' and pass the Gram matrix instead of X in the fit method. At the moment, the kernel values between *all* training vectors and the test vectors must be provided.

```
>>>
>>> import numpy as np
>>> from sklearn import svm
>>> X = np.array([[0, 0], [1, 1]])
>>> y = [0, 1]
>>> clf = svm.SVC(kernel='precomputed')
>>> # linear kernel computation
>>> gram = np.dot(X, X.T)
>>> clf.fit(gram, y)
SVC(C=1.0, cache size=200, class weight=None, coef0=0.0,
    decision function shape=None, degree=3, gamma='auto',
    kernel='precomputed', max iter=-1, probability=False,
    random state=None, shrinking=True, tol=0.001, verbose=False)
>>> # predict on training examples
>>> clf.predict(gram)
array([0, 1])
```

#### 1.4.6.1.3. Parameters of the RBF Kernel

Previous

When training an SVM with the *Radial Basis Function* (RBF) kernel, two parameters must be considered: C and gamma. The parameter C, common to all SVM kernels, trades off misclassification of training examples against simplicity of the decision surface. A low C makes the decision surface smooth, while a high C aims at classifying all training examples correctly. gamma defines how much influence a single training example has. The larger gamma is, the closer other examples must be to be affected.

Proper choice of C and gamma is critical to the SVM's performance. One is advised to use <a href="mailto:sklearn.grid\_search.GridSearchCV">sklearn.grid\_search.GridSearchCV</a> with C and gamma spaced exponentially far apart to choose good values.

Previous

#### **Examples:**

RBF SVM parameters

#### 1.4.7. Mathematical formulation

A support vector machine constructs a hyper-plane or set of hyper-planes in a high or infinite dimensional space, which can be used for classification, regression or other tasks. Intuitively, a good separation is achieved by the hyper-plane that has the distance to the nearest training data points of any class (so-called functional margin), since in general the larger the margin the lower the generalization error of the classifier.

**Previous** 

**«** 

# 1.4.7.1. SVC

-5

Given training vectors  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , i=1,..., n, in two classes, and a vector  $y \in \{1, -1\}^n$ , SVC solves the following primal problem:

0

2

4

-2

-4

$$\min_{w,b,\zeta} \frac{1}{2} w^T w + C \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i$$
  
subject to  $y_i(w^T \phi(x_i) + b) \ge 1 - \zeta_i$ ,  
 $\zeta_i \ge 0, i = 1, ..., n$ 

http://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/svm.html#svm-kernels

7/22/2016

Its dual is

$$\min_{\alpha} \frac{1}{2} \alpha^T Q \alpha - e^T \alpha$$
subject to  $y^T \alpha = 0$ 

$$0 \le \alpha_i \le C, i = 1, ..., n$$

Previous

where e is the vector of all ones, C>0 is the upper bound, Q is an n by n positive semidefinite matrix,  $Q_{ij}\equiv y_iy_jK(x_i,x_j)$  Where  $K(x_i,x_j)=\phi(x_i)^T\phi(x_j)$  is the kernel. Here training vectors are implicitly mapped into a higher (maybe infinite) dimensional space by the function  $\phi$ .

The decision function is:

$$\operatorname{sgn}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \alpha_i K(x_i, x) + \rho)$$

Note: While SVM models derived from libsym and liblinear use C as regularization parameter, most other estimators use alpha. The relation between both is  $C = \frac{n\_samples}{alpha}$ .

**«** 

This parameters can be accessed through the members dual\_coef\_ which holds the product  $y_i\alpha_i$ , support\_vectors\_ which holds the support vectors, and intercept\_ which holds the independent term  $\rho$ :

#### References:

- "Automatic Capacity Tuning of Very Large VC-dimension Classifiers" I Guyon, B Boser, V Vapnik Advances in neural information processing 1993,
- "Support-vector networks" C. Cortes, V. Vapnik, Machine Learning, 20, 273-297 (1995)

#### 1.4.7.2. NuSVC

We introduce a new parameter  $\nu$  which controls the number of support vectors and training errors. The parameter  $\nu \in (0,1]$  is an upper bound on the fraction of training errors and a lower bound of the fraction of support vectors.

It can be shown that the  $\nu$ -SVC formulation is a reparametrization of the C-SVC and therefore mathematically equivalent.

1.4.7.3. SVR

Given training vectors  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , i=1,..., n, and a vector  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \varepsilon$ -SVR solves the following primal problem:

 $\min_{w,b,\zeta,\zeta^*} \frac{1}{2} w^T w + C \sum_{i=1}^n (\zeta_i + \zeta_i^*)$ subject to  $y_i - w^T \phi(x_i) - b \le \varepsilon + \zeta_i$ ,  $w^T \phi(x_i) + b - y_i \le \varepsilon + \zeta_i^*$ 

 $\zeta_i, \zeta_i^* \ge 0, i = 1, ..., n$ 

Its dual is

**«** 

 $\min_{\alpha,\alpha^*} \frac{1}{2} (\alpha - \alpha^*)^T Q(\alpha - \alpha^*) + \varepsilon e^T (\alpha + \alpha^*) - y^T (\alpha - \alpha^*)$ subject to  $e^T (\alpha - \alpha^*) = 0$ 

 $0 \le \alpha_i, \alpha_i^* \le C, i = 1, ..., n$ 

where e is the vector of all ones, C>0 is the upper bound, Q is an n by n positive semidefinite matrix,  $Q_{ij}\equiv K(x_i,x_j)=\phi(x_i)^T\phi(x_j)$  is the kernel. Here training vectors are implicitly mapped into a higher (maybe infinite) dimensional space by the function  $\phi$ .

The decision function is:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*) K(x_i, x) + \rho$$

These parameters can be accessed through the members dual\_coef\_ which holds the difference  $\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*$ , support\_vectors\_ which holds the support vectors, and intercept\_ which holds the independent term  $\rho$ 

References:

Previous

 "A Tutorial on Support Vector Regression" Alex J. Smola, Bernhard Schölkopf -Statistics and Computing archive Volume 14 Issue 3, August 2004, p. 199-222

## 1.4.8. Implementation details

Internally, we use libsvm and liblinear to handle all computations. These libraries are wrapped using C and Cython.

#### Previous

#### References:

For a description of the implementation and details of the algorithms used, please refer to

- LIBSVM: a library for Support Vector Machines
- LIBLINEAR A Library for Large Linear Classification

**«**