

Course > Unit 7 Generalized Linear Models > Homework 11 > 2. Logistic Regression

# 2. Logistic Regression

(a)

5/5 points (graded)

In this problem, we will model the likelihood of a particular client of a financial firm defaulting on his or her loans based on previous transactions. There are only two outcomes, "Yes" or "No", depending on whether the client eventually defaults or not. It is believed that the client's current balance is a good predictor for this outcome, so that the more money is spent without paying, the more likely it is for that person to default.

For each x, we will write  $Y_x$  as the 0-1 outcome of defaulting/not defaulting, given a particular current balance x. In other words, we will model the distribution of  $Y_x$  as a Bernoulli distribution with with a parameter x, which is reasonable given that there are only two possible outcomes.

First, recall the likelihood of a Bernoulli RV Y in terms of the parameter p:

$$P(Y = y) = p^{y}(1-p)^{1-y}.$$

Rewrite this in terms of an exponential family

$$P(Y = y) = h(y) \exp \left[ \eta(p) T(y) - B(p) \right].$$

Since this representation is only unique up to re-scaling by constants, take the convention that  $T\left(y\right)=y$ .

$$\eta(p) = \boxed{\ln(p/(1-p))}$$

$$B(p) =$$
 In(1-p)

We can write this in canonical form, e.g. as

$$P(Y = y) = h(y) \exp[y\eta - b(\eta)].$$

What is  $b(\eta)$ ?

$$b\left(\eta\right)= \boxed{\ln(1+\mathrm{e^{\wedge}eta)}}$$

Recall that the mean of a Bernoulli(p) distribution is p. What is the canonical link function  $g(\mu)$  associated with this exponential family, where  $\mu=\mathbb{E}\left[Y\right]$ ? Write your answer in terms of p.

STANDARD NOTATION

#### **Solution:**

We can rewrite the likelihood as

$$egin{aligned} P\left(Y=y
ight) &=& \exp\left(y\ln p + \left(1-y
ight)\ln\left(1-p
ight)
ight) \ &=& \exp\left(y\ln\left(rac{p}{1-p}
ight) + \ln\left(1-p
ight)
ight) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, given the convention  $T\left(y\right)=y$  for this specific case, we set

$$h(y) = 1$$

$$egin{aligned} B\left(p
ight) &= -\ln\left(1-p
ight) \ \eta\left(p
ight) &= \ln\left(rac{p}{1-p}
ight). \end{aligned}$$

In order to rewrite this in canonical form, solve

$$\ln\left(rac{p}{1-p}
ight) = \eta \iff p = rac{e^{\eta}}{1+e^{\eta}}.$$

SO

$$b\left(\eta
ight)=\ln\left(1+e^{\eta}
ight).$$

The canonical link function is  $b'^{-1}$  , which is

$$b^{\prime -1}\left( p
ight) =\ln \left( rac{p}{1-p}
ight) .$$

Submit

You have used 2 of 3 attempts

**1** Answers are displayed within the problem

(b)

2/2 points (graded)

What range will the values in  $\,Y\,$  belong to?

								_		_
• {	0, 1	1 },	the	set	of t	wo	value	es 0	and	1.

- (0,1), the open interval between 0 and 1.
- igcirc [0,1], the closed interval enclosed by 0 and 1.
- Other



According to the canonical Generalized Linear Model (your answer from (a)), what is the range of possible predictions for p?

- $igcup \{0,1\}$ , the set of two values 0 and 1.
- lacksquare (0,1), the open interval between 0 and 1.
- $\bigcirc$  [0,1], the closed interval enclosed by 0 and 1.
- Other



# Solution:

Y is Bernoulli, so it lives in  $\{0,1,2,\ldots\}$  .

Since the canonical model states

$$b^{\prime -1}\left( p
ight) =\ln \left( rac{p}{1-p}
ight) ,$$

the range of p is (0,1).

Submit

You have used 2 of 3 attempts

**1** Answers are displayed within the problem

(c)

3/3 points (graded)

Return to the original model. We now introduce a bias parameter  $p_x$  for every possible amount of money that measures the balance in a person's account.

Denote the parameter ( $\eta$ ) that gives the canonical exponential family representation as above by  $\theta_x$ . We choose to employ a linear model connecting the balance x with the canonical parameter  $\theta_x$  of the Bernoulli distribution above, i.e.,

$$heta_x = a + bx.$$

In other words, we choose a generalized linear model with the Bernoulli distribution and its canonical link function. That also means that conditioned on x, we assume the  $Y_x$  to be independent.

Imagine we observe the following data:

 $x_1 = -100$  0 (Never defaulted)

 $x_2=2000$  0 (Never defaulted)

 $x_3 = 2000$  1 (Defaulted)

 $x_4 = 5000$  1 (Defaulted)

We want to produce a maximum likelihood estimator for (a,b). To this end, write down the log likelihood  $\ell(a,b)$  of the model for the provided four observations at  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$  and  $x_4$  (plug in their values).

$$\ell\left(a,b\right) =$$

2\*a+7000\*b-ln(1+e^(a-100\*b))-2\*ln(1+e^(a+2000\*b))-ln(1+e^(a+5000\*b))

**~** 

**Answer**: 2\*a+7000\*b-ln(1+exp(a-100\*b))-2\*ln(1+exp(a+2000\*b))-ln(1+exp(a+5000\*b))

$$\left|2\cdot a+7000\cdot b{
m -ln}\left(1+e^{a-100\cdot b}
ight)-2{
m \cdot ln}\left(1+e^{a+2000\cdot b}
ight){
m -ln}\left(1+e^{a+5000\cdot b}
ight)$$

What is its gradient? Enter your answer as a pair of derivatives.

$$\partial_{a}\ell\left(a,b
ight)=$$

2-e^(a-100\*b)/(1+e^(a-100\*b))-2\*e^(a+2000\*b)/(1+e^(a+2000\*b))-e^(a+5000\*b)/(1+e^(a+5000\*b))

**V** 

**Answer:** 2-(1/(1+exp(-a+100\*b)))-(2/(1+exp(-a-2000\*b)))-(1/(1+exp(-a-5000\*b)))

$$2-rac{e^{a-100\cdot b}}{1+e^{a-100\cdot b}}-rac{2\cdot e^{a+2000\cdot b}}{1+e^{a+2000\cdot b}}-rac{e^{a+5000\cdot b}}{1+e^{a+5000\cdot b}}$$

$$\partial_b \ell\left(a,b\right) =$$

 $7000 + 100*e^{(a-100*b)}/(1 + e^{(a-100*b)}) - 4000*e^{(a+2000*b)}/(1 + e^{(a+2000*b)}) - 5000*e^{(a+5000*b)}/(1 + e^{(a+5000*b)}) - 5000*e^{(a+5000*b)}/(1 + e^{(a+5000*b)}/(1 + e^{(a+5000*b)}) - 5000*e^{(a+5000*b)}/(1 + e^{(a+5000*b)}/(1 + e^{(a+5000*b)}) - 5000*e^{(a+5000*b)}/(1 + e^{(a+5000*b)}/(1 + e$ 

**Answer:** 7000+(100/(1+exp(-a+100\*b)))-(4000/(1+exp(-a-2000\*b)))-(5000/(1+exp(-a-5000\*b)))

$$7000 + rac{100 \cdot e^{a-100 \cdot b}}{1 + e^{a-100 \cdot b}} - rac{4000 \cdot e^{a+2000 \cdot b}}{1 + e^{a+2000 \cdot b}} - rac{5000 \cdot e^{a+5000 \cdot b}}{1 + e^{a+5000 \cdot b}}$$

## **Solution:**

The likelihood for one observation is given by

$$P\left(Y_{x}=y
ight)=\exp\left(y\left(a+bx
ight)-\ln\left(1+e^{a+bx}
ight)
ight)$$

That means the log likelihood for the model for n observations is

$$\ell\left(a,b
ight) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[y_{i}\left(a+bx_{i}
ight) - \ln\left(1+e^{a+bx_{i}}
ight)
ight].$$

Plugging in the provided values, we get

$$\ell\left(a,b
ight) = -\ln\left(1+e^{a-100b}
ight) - 2\ln\left(1+e^{a+2000b}
ight) - \ln\left(1+e^{a+5000b}
ight) \ + 2a + 7000b.$$

Its derivative with respect to a is

$$\partial_{a}\ell\left(a,b
ight)=\hspace{0.2cm}2-rac{exp\left(a-100b
ight)}{\left(1+exp\left(a-100b
ight)
ight)}-rac{2exp\left(a+2000b
ight)}{\left(1+exp\left(a+2000b
ight)
ight)}-rac{exp\left(a+5000b
ight)}{\left(1+exp\left(a+5000b
ight)
ight)}.$$

Its derivative with respect to b is

$$\partial_b\ell\left(a,b
ight) = \;\;7000 + rac{100exp\left(a-100b
ight)}{\left(1+exp\left(a-100b
ight)
ight)} - rac{4000exp\left(a+2000b
ight)}{\left(1+exp\left(a+2000b
ight)
ight)} - rac{5000exp\left(a+5000b
ight)}{\left(1+exp\left(a+5000b
ight)
ight)}.$$

Submit

You have used 2 of 3 attempts

**1** Answers are displayed within the problem

(d)

1/1 point (graded)

Assume that we can reasonably estimate the likelihood estimator by using numerical methods to solve  $\nabla_{(a,b)}\ell=0$ . Consider the scenario where, using many more samples, we obtain the estimates

$$\widehat{a}pprox 0.0012,\quad \widehat{b}pprox 0.00035.$$

Given these results, what would be the predicted expected outcome  $\mathbb{E}\left[Y_x
ight]$  for x=4000? Round your answer to the nearest 0.001.

0.8023742

**✓ Answer:** 0.802374

## **Solution:**

We obtain the expected outcome as

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Y_x|x
ight] = p_x = rac{e^{a+bx}}{1+e^{a+bx}}.$$

Using the estimates for a and b and x=4000, we obtain the prediction

$$p_{4000} = rac{e^{0.0012 + 0.00035 \cdot 4000}}{1 + e^{0.0012 + 0.00035 \cdot 4000}} pprox 0.802374.$$

Submit

You have used 1 of 3 attempts

• Answers are displayed within the problem

Discussion

**Hide Discussion** 

**Topic:** Unit 7 Generalized Linear Models:Homework 11 / 2. Logistic Regression

Add a Post

Show all posts ▼

Parameter of Y is p(x) not x

2

[STAFF] Confused. Y=1 means defaulted or not defaulted? The wording in (a) and in (c) seem to contradict each otehr
In other words, should I use Y=1 or =0 with x4=5000?

2	Number (c) in case anyone is wondering, like I was: for me this was a very tedious exercise - I had to take derivatives of 4 log functions (with various coefficients) in both cases - this is mor	5
Q	[STAFF] x2 AND x3 are both 2000 is it right?  I am noticing that x2 and x3 are both 2000 and both have different Y, which is not possible based on our prediction. I am thinking x2 should be 200 and its a type. Appreciate	2
2	What is b(η)? Notation  Hi, my answer is not accepted as η and ρ are not permitted in the answer. Any clues as to what it should be in terms of?	2
<b>∀</b>	part (c)	3

© All Rights Reserved