



Derive Variance of regression coefficient in simple linear regression

Asked 5 years, 9 months ago Active 2 years, 7 months ago Viewed 67k times



38

In simple linear regression, we have $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + u$, where $u \sim iid \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$. I derived the estimator:

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2},$$



37

where \bar{x} and \bar{y} are the sample means of x and y .

Now I want to find the variance of $\hat{\beta}_1$. I derived something like the following:

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{\sigma^2(1 - \frac{1}{n})}{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2}.$$

The derivation is as follow:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1) \\
&= \text{Var}\left(\frac{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2)^2} \text{Var}\left(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x}) \left(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + u_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_j (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_j + u_j)\right)\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2)^2} \text{Var}\left(\beta_1 \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x}) \left(u_i - \sum_j \frac{u_j}{n}\right)\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2)^2} \text{Var}\left(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x}) \left(u_i - \sum_j \frac{u_j}{n}\right)\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2)^2} \times \\
&\quad E\left[\left(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x}) \left(u_i - \sum_j \frac{u_j}{n}\right) - \underbrace{E\left[\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x}) \left(u_i - \sum_j \frac{u_j}{n}\right)\right]}_{=0}\right)^2\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2)^2} E\left[\left(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x}) \left(u_i - \sum_j \frac{u_j}{n}\right)\right)^2\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2)^2} E\left[\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \left(u_i - \sum_j \frac{u_j}{n}\right)^2\right] \quad , \text{ since } u_i \text{ 's are iid} \\
&= \frac{1}{(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2)^2} \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2 E\left(u_i - \sum_j \frac{u_j}{n}\right)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2)^2} \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \left(E(u_i^2) - 2 \times E\left(u_i \times \left(\sum_j \frac{u_j}{n}\right)\right) + E\left(\sum_j \frac{u_j}{n}\right)^2\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2)^2} \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \left(\sigma^2 - \frac{2}{n} \sigma^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right) \\
&= \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Did I do something wrong here?

I know if I do everything in matrix notation, I would get $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$. But I am trying to derive the answer without using the matrix notation just to make sure I understand the concepts.

regression

mathematical-statistics

variance

linear-model

regression-coefficients

edited Mar 7 '14 at 2:00

asked Mar 2 '14 at 15:56



TooTone

3,309 18 31



mynameisJEFF

1,231 3 19 26

2 Yes, your formula from matrix notation is correct. Looking at the formula in question, $1 - \frac{1}{n} = \frac{n-1}{n}$ so it rather looks as if you might used a sample standard deviation somewhere instead of a population standard deviation? Without seeing the derivation it's hard to say any more. – TooTone Mar 3 '14 at 0:51

General answers have also been posted in the duplicate thread at stats.stackexchange.com/questions/91750. – whuber ♦ Mar 29 '14 at 20:50

3 Answers



35



At the start of your derivation you multiply out the brackets $\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})$, in the process expanding both y_i and \bar{y} . The former depends on the sum variable i , whereas the latter doesn't. If you leave \bar{y} as is, the derivation is a lot simpler, because

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})\bar{y} &= \bar{y} \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x}) \\ &= \bar{y} \left(\left(\sum_i x_i \right) - n\bar{x} \right) \\ &= \bar{y} (n\bar{x} - n\bar{x}) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}) &= \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})y_i - \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})\bar{y} \\ &= \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})y_i \\ &= \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + u_i)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1) &= \text{Var}\left(\frac{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2}\right) \\
&= \text{Var}\left(\frac{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + u_i)}{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2}\right), \quad \text{substituting in the above} \\
&= \text{Var}\left(\frac{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})u_i}{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2}\right), \quad \text{noting only } u_i \text{ is a random variable} \\
&= \frac{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \text{Var}(u_i)}{(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2)^2}, \quad \text{independence of } u_i \text{ and, } \text{Var}(kX) = k^2 \text{Var}(X) \\
&= \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2}
\end{aligned}$$

which is the result you want.

As a side note, I spent a long time trying to find an error in your derivation. In the end I decided that discretion was the better part of valour and it was best to try the simpler approach. However for the record I wasn't sure that this step was justified

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2)^2} E \left[\left(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x}) \left(u_i - \sum_j \frac{u_j}{n} \right) \right)^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2)^2} E \left[\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \left(u_i - \sum_j \frac{u_j}{n} \right)^2 \right], \quad \text{since } u_i \text{ 's are iid}
\end{aligned}$$

because it misses out the cross terms due to $\sum_j \frac{u_j}{n}$.

answered Mar 7 '14 at 13:35



TooTone

3,309 18 31

▲ I noticed that I could use the simpler approach long ago, but I was determined to dig deep and come up with the same answer using different approaches, in order to ensure that I understand the concepts. I realise that first $\sum_j \hat{u}_j = 0$ from normal equations (FOC from least square method), so $\bar{\hat{u}} = \frac{\sum_i \hat{u}_i}{n} = 0$, plus $\bar{\hat{u}} = \bar{y} - \bar{\hat{y}} = 0$, so $\bar{y} = \bar{\hat{y}}$. So there won't be the term $\sum_j \frac{u_j}{n}$ in the first place. – mynameisJEFF Mar 7 '14 at 13:56

▲ ok, in your question the emphasis was on avoiding matrix notation. – TooTone Mar 7 '14 at 14:01

▲ Yes, because I was able to solve it using matrix notation. And notice from my last comment, I did not use any linear algebra. Thanks for your great answer anyway^.^ – mynameisJEFF Mar 7 '14 at 14:04

▲ sorry are we talking at cross-purposes here? I didn't use any matrix notation in my answer either, and I thought that was what you were asking in your question. – TooTone Mar 7 '14 at 14:06

▲ sorry for misunderstanding haha... – mynameisJEFF Mar 7 '14 at 14:21

|

Begin from "The derivation is as follow." The 7th "=" is wrong.

2

Because

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})(u_i - \bar{u}) \\
 &= \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})u_i - \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})\bar{u} \\
 &= \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})u_i - \bar{u} \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x}) \\
 &= \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})u_i - \bar{u}(\sum_i x_i - n\bar{x}) \\
 &= \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})u_i - \bar{u}(\sum_i x_i - \sum_i x_i) \\
 &= \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})u_i - \bar{u}0 \\
 &= \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})u_i
 \end{aligned}$$

So after 7th "=" it should be:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2)^2} E \left[(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})u_i)^2 \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2)^2} E \left(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2 u_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} (x_i - \bar{x})(x_j - \bar{x})u_i u_j \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2)^2} E \left(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2 u_i^2 \right) + 2E \left(\sum_{i \neq j} (x_i - \bar{x})(x_j - \bar{x})u_i u_j \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2)^2} E \left(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2 u_i^2 \right), \text{ because } u_i \text{ and } u_j \text{ are independent and mean 0, so } E(u_i u_j) = 0 \\
 &= \frac{1}{(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2)^2} \left(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2 E(u_i^2) \right) \\
 &= \frac{\sigma^2}{(\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2)^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

edited Apr 27 '17 at 8:17

answered Apr 24 '17 at 6:10



user158565

6,502 2 6 18

1 It might be helpful if you edited your answer to include the correct line. – mdewey Apr 24 '17 at 7:48

▲ Your answer is being automatically flagged as low quality because it's very short. Please consider expanding on your answer – Glen_b -Reinstate Monica Apr 24 '17 at 12:04

- ▲ I believe the problem in your proof is the step where you take the expected value of the square of $\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x}) \left(u_i - \sum_j \frac{u_j}{n} \right)$. This is of the form
- 2 $E \left[\left(\sum_i a_i b_i \right)^2 \right]$, where $a_i = x_i - \bar{x}$; $b_i = u_i - \sum_j \frac{u_j}{n}$. So, upon squaring, we get $E \left[\sum_{i,j} a_i a_j b_i b_j \right] = \sum_{i,j} a_i a_j E[b_i b_j]$. Now, from explicit
- ▼ computation, $E[b_i b_j] = \sigma^2 \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{n} \right)$, so $E \left[\sum_{i,j} a_i a_j b_i b_j \right] = \sum_{i,j} a_i a_j \sigma^2 \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{n} \right) = \sum_i a_i^2 \sigma^2$ as $\sum_i a_i = 0$.

answered May 3 '16 at 13:30



Stefano

21 1