



[Lecture 21: Introduction to
Generalized Linear Models;](#)

[Course](#) > [Unit 7 Generalized Linear Models](#) > [Exponential Families](#)

> 14. Review Exercises

14. Review Exercises

Transformations of Random Variables

2/2 points (graded)

Consider a random variable Y with distribution $p_\theta(y)$ for some θ , coming from a canonical exponential family.

Let $Z = Y + a$, where a is a constant. Denote by $q_\theta(z)$ the density of Z , which is parametrized by θ .

Is q_θ also a member of some canonical exponential family?

☒ Yes

☐ No



Now instead suppose $Z = \lambda Y$, where $\lambda \neq 0$ is constant. This again determines some density $\tilde{q}_\theta(z)$ of Z .

Is \tilde{q}_θ also a member of some canonical exponential family?

☒ Yes

☐ No



Solution:

For the first part: we have $q_\theta(z) = p_\theta(z - a)$. In particular,

$$q_\theta(z) = \exp\left(\frac{(z - a)\theta - b(\theta)}{\phi} + c(z - a, \phi)\right) = \exp\left(\frac{z\theta - (b(\theta) + a\theta)}{\phi} + c(z - a, \phi)\right)$$

Let $\tilde{b}(\theta) = b(\theta) + a\theta$ and $\tilde{c}(z, \phi) = c(z - a, \phi)$ which demonstrates that this is indeed contained in a canonical exponential family.

A similar argument (exercise) shows the same answer for the second part, where we instead use $\tilde{q}_\theta(z) = p_\theta(z/\lambda)$.

Submit

You have used 1 of 1 attempt

i Answers are displayed within the problem

(Ungraded) Re-parametrization

0 points possible (ungraded)

Ungrading note: The third part of this problem is unclear and need to be reworked. For now, we have ungraded this problem.

Let $\mathbf{x} = (X_1, X_2)$ where X_1, X_2 are positive random variables, and suppose $\mu(x_1, x_2) = \mathbb{E}[Y|X = (x_1, x_2)]$ is given by

$$\mathbb{E}[Y|X = (x_1, x_2)] = 1000 \exp(x_1^2 - x_2^2).$$

Answer the following questions.

- True or False: $\ln \mu(\mathbf{x})$ is linear in \mathbf{x} .

☐ True

☒ False



- True or False: There is an invertible reparametrization $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ of \mathbf{x} for which $Y|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ is a generalized linear model.

☒ True

☐ False



- If there *were* a reparametrization $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$, would Jeffreys prior change? That is, would Jeffreys prior be computed using a different formula?

☐ Yes

☒ No




Solution:

- No. Note that $\ln \mu(\mathbf{x}) = \ln \delta + \alpha x_1^2 - \beta x_2^2$. In particular, it is quadratic in \mathbf{x} .
- Yes. Since x_1, x_2 are positive, so we can equivalently use a reparametrization, $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = (x_1^2, x_2^2)$. From here, $\ln \mu(\mathbf{x})$ is linear.
- No. This is a consequence of the fact that Jeffreys prior is parametrization-invariant.

Submit

You have used 1 of 1 attempt

 Answers are displayed within the problem

Discussion

Hide Discussion

Topic: Unit 7 Generalized Linear Models:Lecture 21: Introduction to Generalized Linear Models; Exponential Families / 14. Review Exercises

Add a Post

Show all posts ▼

by recent activity ▼

There are no posts in this topic yet.

✕

© All Rights Reserved