Exercise of Supervised Learning: SVM Part 2

Yawei Li

yawei.li@stat.uni-muenchen.de

December 15, 2023

Exercise 1: Kernelized Multiclass SVM

For a data set $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, y^{(1)}), \dots, (\mathbf{x}^{(n)}, y^{(n)})\}$ with $y^{(i)} \in \mathcal{Y} = \{+1, -1\}$, assume that we are provided with a suitable feature map $\phi : \mathcal{X} \to \Phi$, where $\Phi \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. In the featureized SVM learning problem we are facing the following optimization problem:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, \theta_0, \zeta^{(i)}} & \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{\theta} + C \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta^{(i)} \\ \text{s.t. } y^{(i)} \left(\left\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \right\rangle + \theta_0 \right) \geq 1 - \zeta^{(i)} \qquad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \\ \text{and } \zeta^{(i)} \geq 0 \qquad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \end{split}$$

where C > 0 is some constant.

(a) Argue that this is equivalent to the following ERM problem:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathsf{emp}}(oldsymbol{ heta}) = rac{1}{2} ||oldsymbol{ heta}||^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \mathsf{max}(1 - y^{(i)}(oldsymbol{ heta}^{ au} \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + heta_0)), 0).$$

i.e., the regularized ERM problem for the hinge loss for the hypothesis space

$$\mathcal{H} = \{ f : \Phi \to \mathbb{R} \mid f(\mathbf{z}) = \boldsymbol{\theta}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{z} + \theta_0, \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \theta_0 \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

- 1. Identify that: $\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \rangle + \theta_0 = \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0$.
- 2. Check the conditions $y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0) \ge 1 \zeta^{(i)}$ and $\zeta^{(i)} \ge 0$. In other words, $\zeta^{(i)} \ge 1 y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0)$ and $\zeta^{(i)} \ge 0$.
 - Case 1: if $y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0) \ge 1$, then $\zeta^{(i)} \ge \underbrace{1 y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0)}_{\le 0}$ implies that we
 - can choose an arbitary number greater than a negative threshold. However, $\zeta^{(l)} \geq 0$ tells that we can only choose a non-negative number. Furthermore, we aim to minimize
 - $\frac{1}{2} heta^T heta+C\sum_{i=1}^n\zeta^{(i)}$. So, the smaller $\zeta^{(i)}$ the better. So, we choose $\zeta^{(i)}=0$.
 - Case 2: if $y^{(i)}(\theta^T\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0) < 1$, then $\zeta^{(i)} \ge 1 y^{(i)}(\theta^T\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0) > 0$. Similar to the above, the smaller $\zeta^{(i)}$ the better. So we choose $\zeta^{(i)} = 1 y^{(i)}(\theta^T\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0)$.

Combining both cases, we can write

$$\zeta^{(l)} = \max(0, 1 - y^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(l)}) + \theta_0)$$

Plug in to the primal problem we can prove that it is equivalent to the $\mathcal{R}_{emp}(m{ heta})$ using hinge loss

- 1. Identify that: $\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \rangle + \theta_0 = \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0$.
- 2. Check the conditions $y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0) \ge 1 \zeta^{(i)}$ and $\zeta^{(i)} \ge 0$. In other words, $\zeta^{(i)} \ge 1 y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0)$ and $\zeta^{(i)} \ge 0$.

Case 1: if
$$y^{(l)}(\theta^T\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(l)}) + \theta_0) \ge 1$$
, then $\zeta^{(l)} \ge \underbrace{1 - y^{(l)}(\theta^T\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(l)}) + \theta_0)}_{\le 0}$ implies that we

can choose an arbitary number greater than a negative threshold. However, $\zeta^{(l)} \geq 0$ tells that we can only choose a non-negative number. Furthermore, we aim to minimize

$$\frac{1}{2}\theta^T\theta+C\sum_{i=1}^n\zeta^{(i)}$$
. So, the smaller $\zeta^{(i)}$ the better. So, we choose $\zeta^{(i)}=0$.

Case 2: if $y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0) < 1$, then $\zeta^{(i)} \ge 1 - y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0) > 0$. Similar to the above, the smaller $\zeta^{(i)}$ the better. So we choose $\zeta^{(i)} = 1 - y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0)$.

Combining both cases, we can write

$$\zeta^{(i)} = \max(0, 1 - y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0))$$

Plug in to the primal problem we can prove that it is equivalent to the $\mathcal{R}_{emp}(\theta)$ using hinge loss.

- 1. Identify that: $\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \rangle + \theta_0 = \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0$.
- 2. Check the conditions $y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0) \ge 1 \zeta^{(i)}$ and $\zeta^{(i)} \ge 0$. In other words, $\zeta^{(i)} \ge 1 y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0)$ and $\zeta^{(i)} \ge 0$.
 - $\qquad \text{Case 1: if } y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0) \geq 1, \text{ then } \zeta^{(i)} \geq \underbrace{1 y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0)}_{<0} \text{ implies that we}$

can choose an arbitary number greater than a negative threshold. However, $\zeta^{(i)} \geq 0$ tells that we can only choose a non-negative number. Furthermore, we aim to minimize

$$\frac{1}{2}\theta^T\theta + C\sum_{i=1}^n \zeta^{(i)}$$
. So, the smaller $\zeta^{(i)}$ the better. So, we choose $\zeta^{(i)} = 0$.

Case 2: if $y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{T}\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0) < 1$, then $\zeta^{(i)} \ge 1 - y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{T}\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0) > 0$. Similar to the above, the smaller $\zeta^{(i)}$ the better. So we choose $\zeta^{(i)} = 1 - y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{T}\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0)$.

Combining both cases, we can write

$$\zeta^{(i)} = \max(0, 1 - y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0))$$

Plug in to the primal problem we can prove that it is equivalent to the $\mathcal{R}_{emp}(\theta)$ using hinge loss.

- 1. Identify that: $\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \rangle + \theta_0 = \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0$.
- 2. Check the conditions $y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0) \ge 1 \zeta^{(i)}$ and $\zeta^{(i)} \ge 0$. In other words, $\zeta^{(i)} \ge 1 y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0)$ and $\zeta^{(i)} \ge 0$.
 - Case 1: if $y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0) \ge 1$, then $\zeta^{(i)} \ge \underbrace{1 y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0)}_{<0}$ implies that we

can choose an arbitary number greater than a negative threshold. However, $\zeta^{(I)} \geq 0$ tells that we can only choose a non-negative number. Furthermore, we aim to minimize

$$\frac{1}{2}\theta^T\theta + C\sum_{i=1}^n \zeta^{(i)}$$
. So, the smaller $\zeta^{(i)}$ the better. So, we choose $\zeta^{(i)} = 0$.

► Case 2: if $y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0) < 1$, then $\zeta^{(i)} \ge 1 - y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0) > 0$. Similar to the above, the smaller $\zeta^{(i)}$ the better. So we choose $\zeta^{(i)} = 1 - y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0)$.

Combining both cases, we can write

$$\zeta^{(i)} = \max(0, 1 - y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0))$$

Plug in to the primal problem we can prove that it is equivalent to the $\mathcal{R}_{emp}(heta)$ using hinge loss

- 1. Identify that: $\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \rangle + \theta_0 = \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0$.
- 2. Check the conditions $y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0) \ge 1 \zeta^{(i)}$ and $\zeta^{(i)} \ge 0$. In other words, $\zeta^{(i)} \ge 1 y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0)$ and $\zeta^{(i)} \ge 0$.
 - Case 1: if $y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0) \ge 1$, then $\zeta^{(i)} \ge \underbrace{1 y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0)}_{<0}$ implies that we

can choose an arbitary number greater than a negative threshold. However, $\zeta^{(i)} \geq 0$ tells that we can only choose a non-negative number. Furthermore, we aim to minimize

$$\frac{1}{2}\theta^T\theta + C\sum_{i=1}^n \zeta^{(i)}$$
. So, the smaller $\zeta^{(i)}$ the better. So, we choose $\zeta^{(i)} = 0$.

Case 2: if $y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0) < 1$, then $\zeta^{(i)} \ge 1 - y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0) > 0$. Similar to the above, the smaller $\zeta^{(i)}$ the better. So we choose $\zeta^{(i)} = 1 - y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0)$.

Combining both cases, we can write

$$\zeta^{(i)} = \max(0, 1 - y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0))$$

Plug in to the primal problem we can prove that it is equivalent to the $\mathcal{R}_{emp}(\theta)$ using hinge loss.

Exercise 1 (b)

(b) Now assume we deal with a multiclass classification problem with a data set $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, y^{(1)}), \dots, (\mathbf{x}^{(n)}, y^{(n)})\}$ such that $y^{(i)} \in \mathcal{Y} = \{1, \dots, g\}$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. In this case, we can derive a similar regularized ERM problem by using the multiclass hinge loss (see Exercse Sheet 4(b)):

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathsf{emp}}(oldsymbol{ heta}) = rac{1}{2} ||oldsymbol{ heta}||^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y
eq y^{(i)}} \mathsf{max} (1 + oldsymbol{ heta}^T \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y) - oldsymbol{ heta}^T \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}), 0), \quad riangleleft$$

where $\psi: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is a suitable (multiclass) feature map. Specify a ψ such that this regularized multiclass ERM problem coincides with the regularized binary ERM problem in (a).

- 1. Motivation: functional margin has form $y(\langle \phi(\mathbf{x}), \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle + \theta_0)$. We can turn it into a inner product, e.g. something like $\langle \cdot, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle$.
- 2. We need to merge θ_0 into the inner product. We can add a dummy feature 1 to $\phi(\mathbf{x})$, as $\langle \phi(\mathbf{x}), \theta \rangle + \theta_0 = \langle (1, \phi(\mathbf{x}))^T, (\theta_0, \theta)^T \rangle$. Define $\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) = (1, \phi(\mathbf{x}))^T$, and $\tilde{\theta} = (\theta_0, \theta)^T$.
- 3. We can merge the coefficient y into $\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x})$, obtaining $y\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x})$.
- 4. We have transformed $y(\langle \phi(\mathbf{x}), \theta \rangle + \theta_0)$ into inner product $\langle y\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}), \tilde{\theta} \rangle$.
- 5. Multiply with a magic number $\frac{1}{2}$. Consider $\psi(\mathbf{x},y) = \frac{1}{2}y\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x})$.

- 1. Motivation: functional margin has form $y(\langle \phi(\mathbf{x}), \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle + \theta_0)$. We can turn it into a inner product, e.g. something like $\langle \cdot, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle$.
- 2. We need to merge θ_0 into the inner product. We can add a dummy feature 1 to $\phi(\mathbf{x})$, as $\langle \phi(\mathbf{x}), \theta \rangle + \theta_0 = \langle (\mathbf{1}, \phi(\mathbf{x}))^T, (\theta_0, \theta)^T \rangle$. Define $\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{1}, \phi(\mathbf{x}))^T$, and $\tilde{\theta} = (\theta_0, \theta)^T$.
- 3. We can merge the coefficient y into $\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x})$, obtaining $y\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x})$.
- 4. We have transformed $y(\langle \phi(\mathbf{x}), \theta \rangle + \theta_0)$ into inner product $\langle y\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}), \tilde{\theta} \rangle$.
- 5. Multiply with a magic number $\frac{1}{2}$. Consider $\psi(\mathbf{x},y) = \frac{1}{2}y\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x})$.

- 1. Motivation: functional margin has form $y(\langle \phi(\mathbf{x}), \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle + \theta_0)$. We can turn it into a inner product, e.g. something like $\langle \cdot, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle$.
- 2. We need to merge θ_0 into the inner product. We can add a dummy feature 1 to $\phi(\mathbf{x})$, as $\langle \phi(\mathbf{x}), \theta \rangle + \theta_0 = \langle (\mathbf{1}, \phi(\mathbf{x}))^T, (\theta_0, \theta)^T \rangle$. Define $\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{1}, \phi(\mathbf{x}))^T$, and $\tilde{\theta} = (\theta_0, \theta)^T$.
- 3. We can merge the coefficient y into $\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x})$, obtaining $y\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x})$.
- 4. We have transformed $y(\langle \phi(\mathbf{x}), \theta \rangle + \theta_0)$ into inner product $\langle y\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}), \tilde{\theta} \rangle$.
- 5. Multiply with a magic number $\frac{1}{2}$. Consider $\psi(\mathbf{x}, y) = \frac{1}{2}y\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x})$.

- 1. Motivation: functional margin has form $y(\langle \phi(\mathbf{x}), \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle + \theta_0)$. We can turn it into a inner product, e.g. something like $\langle \cdot, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle$.
- 2. We need to merge θ_0 into the inner product. We can add a dummy feature 1 to $\phi(\mathbf{x})$, as $\langle \phi(\mathbf{x}), \theta \rangle + \theta_0 = \langle (\mathbf{1}, \phi(\mathbf{x}))^T, (\theta_0, \theta)^T \rangle$. Define $\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{1}, \phi(\mathbf{x}))^T$, and $\tilde{\theta} = (\theta_0, \theta)^T$.
- 3. We can merge the coefficient y into $\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x})$, obtaining $y\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x})$.
- 4. We have transformed $y(\langle \phi(\mathbf{x}), \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle + \theta_0)$ into inner product $\langle y\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}), \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \rangle$.
- 5. Multiply with a magic number $\frac{1}{2}$. Consider $\psi(\mathbf{x}, y) = \frac{1}{2}y\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x})$.

- 1. Motivation: functional margin has form $y(\langle \phi(\mathbf{x}), \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle + \theta_0)$. We can turn it into a inner product, e.g. something like $\langle \cdot, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle$.
- 2. We need to merge θ_0 into the inner product. We can add a dummy feature 1 to $\phi(\mathbf{x})$, as $\langle \phi(\mathbf{x}), \theta \rangle + \theta_0 = \langle (\mathbf{1}, \phi(\mathbf{x}))^T, (\theta_0, \theta)^T \rangle$. Define $\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{1}, \phi(\mathbf{x}))^T$, and $\tilde{\theta} = (\theta_0, \theta)^T$.
- 3. We can merge the coefficient y into $\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x})$, obtaining $y\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x})$.
- 4. We have transformed $y(\langle \phi(\mathbf{x}), \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle + \theta_0)$ into inner product $\langle y\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}), \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \rangle$.
- 5. Multiply with a magic number $\frac{1}{2}$. Consider $\psi(\mathbf{x}, y) = \frac{1}{2}y\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x})$.

Solution to 1(b): Continued

6. Then, for $y \neq y^{(i)}$, it follows that

$$1 + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{T} \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y) - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{T} \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$$

$$= 1 + \frac{1}{2} y \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{T} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) - \frac{1}{2} y^{(i)} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{T} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})$$

$$= 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(y - y^{(i)} \right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{T} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{T} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}), & \text{if } y^{(i)} = -1 \\ 1 - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{T} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}), & \text{if } y^{(i)} = +1 \end{cases}$$

$$= 1 - y^{(i)} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{T} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}).$$

Solution to 1 (b): Continued

7. Thus,

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{R}_{\text{emp}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \frac{1}{2} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y \neq y^{(i)}} \max(1 + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^T \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y) - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^T \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}), 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \max(1 - y^{(i)} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^T \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}), 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \max(1 - y^{(i)} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + \theta_0), 0). \end{split}$$

Exercise 1 (c)

(c) Show that the regularized multiclass ERM problem in (b) can be written in the kernelized form:

$$\frac{1}{2}\beta^{T} \mathbf{K} \beta + C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{y \neq y^{(i)}} \max(1 + (\mathbf{K} \beta)_{(i-i)g+y} - (\mathbf{K} \beta)_{(i-1)g+y^{(i)}}), 0),$$

where $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^{ng}$ and $\boldsymbol{K} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T$ for $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{ng \times d}$ with row entries $\psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y)^T$ for $i = i, \dots, n, y = 1, \dots, g$, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{X} = egin{pmatrix} \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{1})^{ au} \ \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{2})^{ au} \ dots \ \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, g)^{ au} \ \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(2)}, \mathbf{1})^{ au} \ dots \ \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}, g)^{ au} \end{pmatrix}. \quad riangleleft$$

Here, $(K\beta)_{(i-1)g+y}$ denotes the ((i-1)g+y)-th entry of the vector $K\beta$. Hint: The representation theorems tells us that for the solution θ^* of $\mathcal{R}_{emp}(\theta)$ it holds that $\theta^* \in \operatorname{span}\{(\psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)},y))_{i=1,\ldots,n,y=1,\ldots,q}\}$

 $\theta^* \in \text{span}\{(\psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y))_{i=1,\dots,n,y=1,\dots,g}\}$ means that θ is a linear combination of the spanning bases,

i.e. $oldsymbol{ heta} = \mathbf{X}^T oldsymbol{eta}$ for $oldsymbol{eta} \in \mathbb{R}^{ng}$ and

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, 1)^T \\ \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, 2)^T \\ \vdots \\ \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, g)^T \\ \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(2)}, 1)^T \\ \vdots \\ \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}, g)^T \end{pmatrix}$$

So for $K = XX^T$, we obtain

$$||\theta||^2 = \theta^T \theta = (\mathbf{X}^T \beta)^T \mathbf{X}^T \beta = \beta^T K \beta$$

 $\theta^* \in \text{span}\{(\psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)},y))_{i=1,\dots,n,y=1,\dots,g}\}$ means that θ is a linear combination of the spanning bases,

i.e. $oldsymbol{ heta} = \mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}} oldsymbol{eta}$ for $oldsymbol{eta} \in \mathbb{R}^{ng}$ and

$$\mathbf{X} = egin{pmatrix} \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, 1)^T \ \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, 2)^T \ dots \ \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, g)^T \ \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(2)}, 1)^T \ dots \ \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}, g)^T \end{pmatrix}.$$

So for $K = XX^T$, we obtain

$$||\theta||^2 = \theta^T \theta = (\mathbf{X}^T \beta)^T \mathbf{X}^T \beta = \beta^T K \beta$$

 $\theta^* \in \text{span}\{(\psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)},y))_{i=1,\dots,n,y=1,\dots,g}\}$ means that θ is a linear combination of the spanning bases,

i.e. $oldsymbol{ heta} = \mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}} oldsymbol{eta}$ for $oldsymbol{eta} \in \mathbb{R}^{ng}$ and

$$\mathbf{X} = egin{pmatrix} \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, 1)^T \ \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, 2)^T \ dots \ \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, g)^T \ \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(2)}, 1)^T \ dots \ \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}, g)^T \end{pmatrix}.$$

So for $K = XX^T$, we obtain

$$||\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2 = \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{\theta} = (\mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\beta})^T \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \boldsymbol{K} \boldsymbol{\beta}$$

Furthermore,

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) = \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X} \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y) - \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X} \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$$

Note that the result is a scalar.

- ightharpoonup Recall that $K = XX^T$.
- $\psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)},y)$ is the ((i-1)g+y)-th row of **X**. (Similar argument for $\psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)},y^{(i)})$)
- So, $\mathbf{X}\psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)},y)$ is the ((i-1)g+y)-th row/column of $K=\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T$ (symmetric).
- So, the inner product $\beta^T(\mathbf{X}\psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)},y))$ is equivalent to: first compute $K\beta$, and then retrieve the entry in the ((i-1)g+y)-th row.

$$\mathcal{R}_{emp}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} ||\theta||^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y \neq y^{(i)}} \max(1 + \theta^T \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y) - \theta^T \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}), 0)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \beta^T \mathbf{K} \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y \neq y^{(i)}} \max(1 + (\mathbf{K} \beta)_{(i-1)g+y} - (\mathbf{K} \beta)_{(i-1)g+y^{(i)}}), 0)$$

Furthermore,

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) = \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X} \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y) - \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X} \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$$

Note that the result is a scalar.

- ightharpoonup Recall that $K = XX^T$.
- $\psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)},y)$ is the ((i-1)g+y)-th row of **X**. (Similar argument for $\psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)},y^{(i)})$)
- So, $\mathbf{X}\psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)},y)$ is the ((i-1)g+y)-th row/column of $K=\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T$ (symmetric).
- So, the inner product $\beta^T(\mathbf{X}\psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)},y))$ is equivalent to: first compute $K\beta$, and then retrieve the entry in the ((i-1)g+y)-th row.

$$\mathcal{R}_{emp}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} ||\theta||^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y \neq y^{(i)}} \max(1 + \theta^T \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y) - \theta^T \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}), 0)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \beta^T \mathbf{K} \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y \neq y^{(i)}} \max(1 + (\mathbf{K} \beta)_{(i-1)g+y} - (\mathbf{K} \beta)_{(i-1)g+y^{(i)}}), 0)$$

Furthermore,

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) = \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X} \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y) - \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X} \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$$

Note that the result is a scalar.

- ightharpoonup Recall that $K = XX^T$.
- $\psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)},y)$ is the ((i-1)g+y)-th row of **X**. (Similar argument for $\psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)},y^{(i)})$)
- So, $\mathbf{X}\psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)},y)$ is the ((i-1)g+y)-th row/column of $K=\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T$ (symmetric).
- So, the inner product $\beta^T(\mathbf{X}\psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)},y))$ is equivalent to: first compute $K\beta$, and then retrieve the entry in the ((i-1)g+y)-th row.

$$\mathcal{R}_{emp}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} ||\theta||^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y \neq y^{(i)}} \max(1 + \theta^T \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y) - \theta^T \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}), 0)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \beta^T \mathbf{K} \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y \neq y^{(i)}} \max(1 + (\mathbf{K} \beta)_{(i-1)g+y} - (\mathbf{K} \beta)_{(i-1)g+y^{(i)}}), 0)$$

Furthermore,

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) = \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X} \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y) - \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X} \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$$

Note that the result is a scalar.

- ightharpoonup Recall that $K = XX^T$.
- $\psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)},y)$ is the ((i-1)g+y)-th row of **X**. (Similar argument for $\psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)},y^{(i)})$)
- So, $\mathbf{X}\psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)},y)$ is the ((i-1)g+y)-th row/column of $\mathbf{K}=\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T$ (symmetric).
- So, the inner product $\beta^T(\mathbf{X}\psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)},y))$ is equivalent to: first compute $K\beta$, and then retrieve the entry in the ((i-1)g+y)-th row.

Therefore.

$$\mathcal{R}_{emp}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} ||\theta||^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y \neq y^{(i)}} \max(1 + \theta^T \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y) - \theta^T \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}), 0)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \beta^T \mathbf{K} \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y \neq y^{(i)}} \max(1 + (\mathbf{K} \beta)_{(i-1)g+y} - (\mathbf{K} \beta)_{(i-1)g+y^{(i)}}), 0)$$

Furthermore,

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) = \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X} \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y) - \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X} \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$$

Note that the result is a scalar.

- ightharpoonup Recall that $K = XX^T$.
- $\blacktriangleright \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y)$ is the ((i-1)g+y)-th row of **X**. (Similar argument for $\psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$)
- So, $\mathbf{X}\psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)},y)$ is the ((i-1)g+y)-th row/column of $\mathbf{K}=\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T$ (symmetric).
- So, the inner product $\beta^T(\mathbf{X}\psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)},y))$ is equivalent to: first compute $K\beta$, and then retrieve the entry in the ((i-1)g+y)-th row.

$$\mathcal{R}_{emp}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} ||\theta||^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y \neq y^{(i)}} \max(1 + \theta^T \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y) - \theta^T \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}), 0)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \beta^T \mathbf{K} \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y \neq y^{(i)}} \max(1 + (\mathbf{K} \beta)_{(i-1)g+y} - (\mathbf{K} \beta)_{(i-1)g+y^{(i)}}), 0)$$

Exercise 2: Kernel Trick

The polynomial kernel is defined as

$$k(x, \tilde{x}) = (x^T \tilde{x} + b)^d.$$

Furthermore, assume that $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and d = 2. (a) Derive the explicit feature map ϕ taking into account that the following equation holds:

$$k(x, \tilde{x}) = \langle \phi(x), \phi(\tilde{x}) \rangle$$

$$k(x, \tilde{x}) = (x^T \tilde{x} + b)^2 = \left(\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 \\ \tilde{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} + b \right)^2$$

$$k(x, \tilde{x}) = (x^T \tilde{x} + b)^2 = \left(\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 \\ \tilde{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} + b \right)^2$$
$$= (x_1 \tilde{x}_1 + x_2 \tilde{x}_2 + b)^2$$

$$k(x, \tilde{x}) = (x^{T} \tilde{x} + b)^{2} = \left(\begin{pmatrix} x_{1} \\ x_{2} \end{pmatrix}^{T} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_{1} \\ \tilde{x}_{2} \end{pmatrix} + b \right)^{2}$$

$$= (x_{1} \tilde{x}_{1} + x_{2} \tilde{x}_{2} + b)^{2}$$

$$= x_{1}^{2} \tilde{x}_{1}^{2} + 2x_{1} \tilde{x}_{1} x_{2} \tilde{x}_{2} + x_{2}^{2} \tilde{x}_{2}^{2} + 2bx_{1} \tilde{x}_{1} + 2bx_{2} \tilde{x}_{2} + b^{2}$$

$$k(x, \tilde{x}) = (x^{T}\tilde{x} + b)^{2} = \left(\begin{pmatrix} x_{1} \\ x_{2} \end{pmatrix}^{T} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_{1} \\ \tilde{x}_{2} \end{pmatrix} + b \right)^{2}$$

$$= (x_{1}\tilde{x}_{1} + x_{2}\tilde{x}_{2} + b)^{2}$$

$$= x_{1}^{2}\tilde{x}_{1}^{2} + 2x_{1}\tilde{x}_{1}x_{2}\tilde{x}_{2} + x_{2}^{2}\tilde{x}_{2}^{2} + 2bx_{1}\tilde{x}_{1} + 2bx_{2}\tilde{x}_{2} + b^{2}$$

$$= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} x_{1}^{2} \\ \sqrt{2}x_{1}x_{2} \\ x_{2}^{2} \\ \sqrt{2b}x_{1} \\ \sqrt{2b}\tilde{x}_{2} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_{1}^{2} \\ \sqrt{2}\tilde{x}_{1}\tilde{x}_{2} \\ \frac{\tilde{x}_{2}^{2}}{\sqrt{2b}\tilde{x}_{1}} \\ \sqrt{2b}\tilde{x}_{2} \\ b \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$= \langle \phi(x), \phi(\tilde{x}) \rangle$$

Exercise 2 (b)

(b) Describe the main differences between the kernel method and the explicit feature map.

Solution: Using the kernel method reduces the computational costs of computing the scalar product in the higher-dimensional features space after calculating the feature map.

Exercise 2 (b)

(b) Describe the main differences between the kernel method and the explicit feature map.

Solution: Using the kernel method reduces the computational costs of computing the scalar product in the higher-dimensional features space after calculating the feature map.