Exercise of Supervised Learning: Gaussian Processes Part 2

Yawei Li

yawei.li@stat.uni-muenchen.de

February 4, 2025

Exercise 1: Gaussian Posterior Process

Assume your data follows the following law:

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{f} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}),$$

with $\mathbf{f} = f(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ being a realization of a Gaussian process (GP), for which we a priori assume

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \sim \mathcal{GP}(m(\mathbf{x}), k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'))$$
.

- **x** here only consists of 1 feature that is observed for *n* data points.
- (a) Derive / define the prior distribution of **f**.

1 (a): Prior Distribution of f

- ▶ $f \sim \mathcal{N}(m, K)$.
- $ightharpoonup m = m(\mathbf{x}).$
- $ightharpoonup K_{ij} = k(x^{(i)}, x^{(j)}).$
- NB: Note the (in-)finite Gaussian property of a GP.

Exercise 1 (b)

(b) Derive the posterior distribution of $\mathbf{f}|\mathbf{y}$.

1 (b): Likelihood and Prior

The likelihood is:

$$p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{f}) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{f})^{\top}(\sigma^2\mathbf{I})^{-1}(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{f})\right).$$

The prior is:

$$p(\mathbf{f}) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{m})^{\top}\mathbf{K}^{-1}(\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{m})\right).$$

1 (b): Posterior

So the posterior is:

$$\begin{split} & \rho(\mathbf{f}|\mathbf{y}) \propto \rho(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{f}) \cdot \rho(\mathbf{f}) \\ & \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{f})^{\top}(\sigma^{2}\mathbf{I})^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{f})\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{m})^{\top}\mathbf{K}^{-1}(\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{m})\right) \\ & \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{f}^{\top}(\sigma^{2}\mathbf{I})^{-1}\mathbf{f} - 2\mathbf{f}^{\top}(\sigma^{2}\mathbf{I})^{-1}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{f}^{\top}\mathbf{K}^{-1}\mathbf{f} - 2\mathbf{f}^{\top}\mathbf{K}^{-1}\mathbf{m}\right)\right) \\ & \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{f}^{\top}((\sigma^{2}\mathbf{I})^{-1} + \mathbf{K}^{-1})\mathbf{f} - 2\cdot\mathbf{f}^{\top}((\sigma^{2}\mathbf{I})^{-1}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{K}^{-1}\mathbf{m})\right)\right) \\ & \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{f}^{\top}\underbrace{\left((\sigma^{2}\mathbf{I})^{-1} + \mathbf{K}^{-1}\right)}_{=:\mathbf{K}_{post}^{-1}}\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{f}^{\top}\underbrace{\left((\sigma^{2}\mathbf{I})^{-1}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{K}^{-1}\mathbf{m}\right)}_{=:\tilde{\mathbf{f}}}\right) \\ & \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{f}^{\top}\mathbf{K}_{post}^{-1}\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{f}^{\top}\tilde{\mathbf{f}}\right) \end{split}$$

1 (b): Complete the Square

$$p(\mathbf{f}|\mathbf{y}) \propto \exp\left(-rac{1}{2}\mathbf{f}^{ op}\mathbf{K}_{ ext{post}}^{-1}\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{f}^{ op} ilde{\mathbf{f}}
ight)$$

Recall the technique of completing the square:

- Scalar: $ax^2 + bx + c = a(x + \frac{b}{2a})^2 + (c \frac{b^2}{4a})^2$
- Matrix / vector: $\mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{b} + c = (\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{b})^{\top} \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{b}) + (c \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{b}^{\top} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{b})$ for a symmetric matrix \mathbf{A} .

In our case: $\mathbf{A} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{K}_{\text{post}}^{-1}$, and $\mathbf{b} = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}$, and $\mathbf{c} = 0$ or an arbitary const. So,

$$\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{f} + \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{K}_{post}^{-1})^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbf{f} - \mathbf{K}_{post}\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$$

and we omit $c - \frac{1}{4} \boldsymbol{b}^{\top} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{b}$ because

- lt is a constant w.r.t. f.
- ightharpoonup the exp and \propto operators.

1 (b): Complete the Square

$$\begin{split} \rho(\mathbf{f}|\mathbf{y}) &\propto \exp\left((\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{K}_{\text{post}}\tilde{\mathbf{f}})^{\top} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{K}_{\text{post}}^{-1}\right)^{-1} (\mathbf{f} - \underbrace{\mathbf{K}_{\text{post}}\tilde{\mathbf{f}}})\right) \\ &\propto \exp\left((\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{f}_{\text{post}})^{\top} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{K}_{\text{post}}^{-1}\right)^{-1} (\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{f}_{\text{post}})\right) \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$\mathbf{f}|\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{f}_{\text{post}}, \textit{\textbf{K}}_{\text{post}}).$$

Exercise 1 (c)

(c) Derive the posterior predictive distribution $y_*|x_*, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ for a new sample x_* from the sample data-generating process.

1 (c): Derive Predictive Posterior from Joint Distribution

Naïvely, we can compute

$$ho(y_*|x_*,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \int
ho(y_*|x_*,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{f}) \cdot
ho(\mathbf{f}|\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{f}.$$

This is cumbersome. Alternative, we can use the fact that

$$\text{if } \begin{pmatrix} \textbf{\textit{a}} \\ \textbf{\textit{b}} \end{pmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\textbf{\textit{a}}} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\textbf{\textit{b}}} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\textbf{\textit{a}}\textbf{\textit{a}}} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\textbf{\textit{a}}\textbf{\textit{b}}} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\textbf{\textit{a}}\textbf{\textit{b}}}^{\top} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\textbf{\textit{b}}\textbf{\textit{b}}} \end{pmatrix} \right), \text{ then } \quad \textbf{\textit{a}} | \textbf{\textit{b}} \sim \mathcal{N} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\textbf{\textit{a}}|\textbf{\textit{b}}}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\textbf{\textit{a}}|\textbf{\textit{b}}})$$

where

$$egin{aligned} \mu_{a|b} &= \mu_a + \Sigma_{ab} \Sigma_{bb}^{-1} (m{b} - \mu_b) \ \Sigma_{a|b} &= \Sigma_{aa} - \Sigma_{ab} \Sigma_{bb}^{-1} \Sigma_{ba} \end{aligned}$$

1 (c) Derive Predictive Posterior from Joint Distribution

The joint distribution of (\mathbf{y}, y_*) :

Note: here is y instead of f, and $y = f + \epsilon$. So we have σ^2 in the in the cov. matrix.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ y_* \end{pmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{m} \\ m_* \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{K} + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{K}_* \\ \mathbf{K}_*^{\top} & \mathbf{K}_{**} + \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

Therefore, the conditional distribution $y_*|x_*, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ is also a Gaussian:

$$y_*|x_*, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(m_* + \mathbf{K}_*^{\top} (\mathbf{K} + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{m}), \mathbf{K}_{**} + \sigma^2 - \mathbf{K}_*^{\top} (\mathbf{K} + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{K}_*).$$

Exercise 1 (d)

Implement the GP with squared exponential kernel, zero mean function and $\ell=1$ from scratch for n=2 observations (\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x}) . Do this as efficiently as possible by explicitly calculating all expensive computations by hand. Do the same for the posterior predictive distribution of y_* . Test your implementation using simulated data.

Show the standard solution.