

## 4.4 Solving linear Congruences

After Mid-2 slides

## Warm-up problem

---

**Example:** Use the Euclidean Algorithm to find the greatest common divisor of 52 and 180.

Then, use Bezout's Theorem/the "Reverse Euclidean Algorithm" to express  $\gcd(52, 180)$  as a linear combination of 52 and 180. That is, find  $s$  and  $t$  such that  $\gcd(52, 180) = 52s + 180t$

Euclidean Algorithm to find  $\gcd(52, 180)$ :

$$180 = 52 \cdot 3 + 24 \quad (\text{note that } 52 \cdot 3 = 156)$$

$$52 = 24 \cdot 2 + 4$$

$$24 = 4 \cdot 6 + 0$$

$\gcd = \text{last nonzero remainder} = 4$

Bezout's Theorem/ "reverse Euclidean Algorithm" gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(52, 180) &= 4 = 52 - 24 \cdot 2 \\ &= 52 - (180 - 52 \cdot 3) \cdot 2 \\ &= 52 - 2 \cdot 180 + 6 \cdot 52 \\ &= 7 \cdot 52 - 2 \cdot 180 \end{aligned}$$

# Chap 4.4 - Linear Congruences

**Definition:** A congruence of the form

$$ax \equiv b \pmod{m},$$

where  $m$  is a positive integer,  $a$  and  $b$  are integers, and  $x$  is a variable, is called a *linear congruence*.

- The solutions to a linear congruence  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$  are all integers  $x$  that satisfy the congruence.

**Definition:** An integer  $\bar{a}$  such that  $\bar{a}a \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  is said to be an *inverse* of  $a$  modulo  $m$ .

**Example:** What is the inverse of 3 modulo 7?

- One method of solving linear congruences makes use of an inverse  $\bar{a}$ , if it exists. Although we can not divide both sides of the congruence by  $a$ , we can multiply by  $\bar{a}$  to solve for  $x$ .

# Chap 4.4 - Linear Congruences

**Definition:** A congruence of the form

$$ax \equiv b \pmod{m},$$

where  $m$  is a positive integer,  $a$  and  $b$  are integers, and  $x$  is a variable, is called a *linear congruence*.

- The solutions to a linear congruence  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$  are all integers  $x$  that satisfy the congruence.

**Definition:** An integer  $\bar{a}$  such that  $\bar{a}a \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  is said to be an *inverse of  $a$  modulo  $m$* .

**Example:** What is the inverse of 3 modulo 7?

5 is an inverse of 3 modulo 7 since  $5 \cdot 3 = 15 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$

- One method of solving linear congruences makes use of an inverse  $\bar{a}$ , if it exists. Although we can not divide both sides of the congruence by  $a$ , we can multiply by  $\bar{a}$  to solve for  $x$ .

# Inverse of $a$ modulo $m$

- The following theorem guarantees that an inverse of  $a$  modulo  $m$  exists whenever  $a$  and  $m$  are relatively prime. Two integers  $a$  and  $b$  are relatively prime when  $\gcd(a,b) = 1$ .

**Theorem 1:** If  $a$  and  $m$  are relatively prime integers and  $m > 1$ , then an inverse of  $a$  modulo  $m$  exists. Furthermore, this inverse is unique modulo  $m$ . (This means that there is a unique positive integer  $\bar{a}$  less than  $m$  that is an inverse of  $a$  modulo  $m$  and every other inverse of  $a$  modulo  $m$  is congruent to  $\bar{a}$  modulo  $m$ .)

**Proof:** Since  $\gcd(a,m) = 1$ , by Theorem 6 of Section 4.3, there are integers  $s$  and  $t$  such that  $sa + tm = 1$ .



# Inverse of $a$ modulo $m$

- The following theorem guarantees that an inverse of  $a$  modulo  $m$  exists whenever  $a$  and  $m$  are relatively prime. Two integers  $a$  and  $b$  are relatively prime when  $\gcd(a,b) = 1$ .

**Theorem 1:** If  $a$  and  $m$  are relatively prime integers and  $m > 1$ , then an inverse of  $a$  modulo  $m$  exists. Furthermore, this inverse is unique modulo  $m$ . (This means that there is a unique positive integer  $\bar{a}$  less than  $m$  that is an inverse of  $a$  modulo  $m$  and every other inverse of  $a$  modulo  $m$  is congruent to  $\bar{a}$  modulo  $m$ .)

**Proof:** Since  $\gcd(a,m) = 1$ , by Theorem 6 of Section 4.3, there are integers  $s$  and  $t$  such that  $sa + tm = 1$ .

- Hence,  $sa + tm \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ .
- Since  $tm \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ , it follows that  $sa \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ .
- Consequently,  $s$  is an inverse of  $a$  modulo  $m$ .
- The uniqueness of the inverse is Exercise 7.



# Finding Inverses

- The Euclidean algorithm and Bézout coefficients gives us a systematic approaches to finding inverses.

**Example:** Find an inverse of 3 modulo 7.

**Solution:** Because  $\gcd(3,7) = 1$ , by Theorem 1, an inverse of 3 modulo 7 exists.

- Using the Euclidian algorithm to find gcd:  $7 = 2 \cdot 3 + 1$ .
- From this equation, we get  $-2 \cdot 3 + 1 \cdot 7 = 1$ , and see that  $-2$  and  $1$  are Bézout coefficients of 3 and 7.
- Hence,  $-2$  is an inverse of 3 modulo 7.
- Also every integer congruent to  $-2$  modulo 7 is an inverse of 3 modulo 7, i.e., 5,  $-9$ , 12, etc.

# Finding Inverses

**Example:** Find an inverse of 101 modulo 4620.

**Solution:** First use the Euclidian algorithm to show that  $\gcd(101, 4620) = 1$ .

Working Backwards:



# Finding Inverses

**Example:** Find an inverse of 101 modulo 4620.

**Solution:** First use the Euclidian algorithm to show that  $\gcd(101, 4620) = 1$ .

Working Backwards:

$$4620 = 45 \cdot 101 + 75$$

$$101 = 1 \cdot 75 + 26$$

$$75 = 2 \cdot 26 + 23$$

$$26 = 1 \cdot 23 + 3$$

$$23 = 7 \cdot 3 + 2$$

$$3 = 1 \cdot 2 + 1$$

$$2 = 2 \cdot 1$$

$$1 = 3 - 1 \cdot 2$$

$$1 = 3 - 1 \cdot (23 - 7 \cdot 3) = -1 \cdot 23 + 8 \cdot 3$$

$$1 = -1 \cdot 23 + 8 \cdot (26 - 1 \cdot 23) = 8 \cdot 26 - 9 \cdot 23$$

$$1 = 8 \cdot 26 - 9 \cdot (75 - 2 \cdot 26) = 26 \cdot 26 - 9 \cdot 75$$

$$1 = 26 \cdot (101 - 1 \cdot 75) - 9 \cdot 75$$

$$= 26 \cdot 101 - 35 \cdot 75$$

$$1 = 26 \cdot 101 - 35 \cdot (4620 - 45 \cdot 101)$$

$$= -35 \cdot 4620 + 1601 \cdot 101$$

Since the last nonzero remainder is 1,  
 $\gcd(101, 4620) = 1$

Bézout coefficients :  
- 35 and 1601

1601 is an inverse  
of 101 modulo  
4620

## Solving congruences

---

- The inverse of  $a$  is exactly the coefficient  $s$  from Bezout's theorem, and we saw last time how to find such an  $s$

**Example:** Determine the inverse of 19 modulo 141

**Step 1:** Do the Euclidean algorithm to confirm that  $\gcd(19, 141) = 1$

## Solving congruences

---

- The inverse of  $a$  is exactly the coefficient  $s$  from Bezout's theorem, and we saw last time how to find such an  $s$

**Example:** Determine the inverse of 19 modulo 141

**Step 1:** Do the Euclidean algorithm to confirm that  $\gcd(19, 141) = 1$

$$141 = 7 \cdot 19 + 8$$

$$19 = 2 \cdot 8 + 3$$

$$8 = 2 \cdot 3 + 2$$

$$3 = 1 \cdot 2 + 1$$

$$2 = 2 \cdot 1 + 0$$

Since the last remainder was 1, the Euclidean algorithm tells us that 19 and 141 are relatively prime, and theorem applies  $\rightarrow$  there is an inverse of 19 (**mod** 141)

## Solving congruences

---

- The inverse of  $a$  is exactly the coefficient  $s$  from Bezout's theorem, and we saw last time how to find such an  $s$

**Example:** Determine the inverse of 19 modulo 141

**Step 2:** Do the Euclidean algorithm *in reverse* to find the coefficient on 19

$$141 = 7 \cdot 19 + 8$$

$$19 = 2 \cdot 8 + 3$$

$$8 = 2 \cdot 3 + 2$$

$$3 = 1 \cdot 2 + 1$$

$$2 = 2 \cdot 1 + 0$$

## Solving congruences

---

- The inverse of  $a$  is exactly the coefficient  $s$  from Bezout's theorem, and we saw last time how to find such an  $s$

**Example:** Determine the inverse of 19 modulo 141

**Step 2:** Do the Euclidean algorithm *in reverse* to find the coefficient on 19

$$141 = 7 \cdot 19 + 8$$

$$19 = 2 \cdot 8 + 3$$

$$8 = 2 \cdot 3 + 2$$

$$3 = 1 \cdot 2 + 1$$

$$2 = 2 \cdot 1 + 0$$

$$1 = 3 - 1 \cdot 2$$

$$= 3 - 1 \cdot (8 - 2 \cdot 3) = 3 - 1 \cdot 8 + 2 \cdot 3 = 3 \cdot 3 - 1 \cdot 8$$

$$= 3 \cdot (19 - 2 \cdot 8) - 1 \cdot 8 = 3 \cdot 19 - 6 \cdot 8 - 1 \cdot 8 = 3 \cdot 19 - 7 \cdot 8$$

$$= 3 \cdot 19 - 7 \cdot (141 - 7 \cdot 19) = 3 \cdot 19 - 7 \cdot 141 + 49 \cdot 19$$

$$= \mathbf{52} \cdot 19 - 7 \cdot 141$$

$\Rightarrow$  So 52 is the inverse of 19 (**mod** 141)

# Using Inverses to Solve Congruences

- We can solve the congruence  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$  by multiplying both sides by  $\bar{a}$ .

**Example:** What are the solutions of the congruence  $3x \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$ .

# Using Inverses to Solve Congruences

- We can solve the congruence  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$  by multiplying both sides by  $\bar{a}$ .

**Example:** What are the solutions of the congruence  $3x \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$ .

**Solution:** We found that  $-2$  is an inverse of  $3$  modulo  $7$  (two slides back).

We multiply both sides of the congruence by  $-2$  giving

$$-2 \cdot 3x \equiv -2 \cdot 4 \pmod{7}.$$

Because  $-6 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$  and  $-8 \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$ , it follows that if  $x$  is a solution, then  $x \equiv -8 \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$

We need to determine if every  $x$  with  $x \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$  is a solution. Assume that  $x \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$ . By Theorem 5 of Section 4.1, it follows that  $3x \equiv 3 \cdot 6 = 18 \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$  which shows that all such  $x$  satisfy the congruence.

The solutions are the integers  $x$  such that  $x \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$ , namely,  $6, 13, 20 \dots$  and  $-1, -8, -15, \dots$

# The Chinese Remainder Theorem

- In the first century, the Chinese mathematician Sun-Tsu asked:  
There are certain things whose number is unknown. When divided by 3, the remainder is 2; when divided by 5, the remainder is 3; when divided by 7, the remainder is 2. What will be the number of things?
- This puzzle can be translated into the solution of the system of congruences:  
$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{3},$$
$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{5},$$
$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}?$$
- We'll see how the theorem that is known as the *Chinese Remainder Theorem* can be used to solve Sun-Tsu's problem.



# The Chinese Remainder Theorem

**Theorem 2:** (*The Chinese Remainder Theorem*) Let  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n$  be pairwise relatively prime positive integers greater than one and  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  arbitrary integers. Then the system

$$x \equiv a_1 \pmod{m_1}$$

$$x \equiv a_2 \pmod{m_2}$$

.

.

.

$$x \equiv a_n \pmod{m_n}$$

has a unique solution modulo  $m = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n$ .

(That is, there is a solution  $x$  with  $0 \leq x < m$  and all other solutions are congruent modulo  $m$  to this solution.)

- **Proof:** We'll show that a solution exists by describing a way to construct the solution. Showing that the solution is unique modulo  $m$  is Exercise 30.

*continued* →

# The Chinese Remainder Theorem

To construct a solution first let  $M_k = m/m_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $m = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n$ .

Since  $\gcd(m_k, M_k) = 1$ , by Theorem 1, there is an integer  $y_k$ , an inverse of  $M_k$  modulo  $m_k$ , such that

$$M_k y_k \equiv 1 \pmod{m_k}.$$

Form the sum

$$x = a_1 M_1 y_1 + a_2 M_2 y_2 + \cdots + a_n M_n y_n.$$

Note that because  $M_j \equiv 0 \pmod{m_k}$  whenever  $j \neq k$ , all terms except the  $k$ th term in this sum are congruent to 0 modulo  $m_k$ .

Because  $M_k y_k \equiv 1 \pmod{m_k}$ , we see that  $x \equiv a_k M_k y_k \equiv a_k \pmod{m_k}$ , for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Hence,  $x$  is a simultaneous solution to the  $n$  congruences.

$$x \equiv a_1 \pmod{m_1}$$

$$x \equiv a_2 \pmod{m_2}$$

.

.

.

$$x \equiv a_n \pmod{m_n}$$



# The Chinese Remainder Theorem

**Example:** Consider the 3 congruences from Sun-Tsu's problem:

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \quad x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}, \quad x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}.$$

- Let  $m = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 105$ ,  $M_1 = m/3 = 35$ ,  $M_2 = m/5 = 21$ ,  
 $M_3 = m/7 = 15$ .

- We see that

- Hence,

# The Chinese Remainder Theorem

**Example:** Consider the 3 congruences from Sun-Tsu's problem:

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \quad x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}, \quad x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}.$$

– Let  $m = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 105$ ,  $M_1 = m/3 = 35$ ,  $M_2 = m/5 = 21$ ,  
 $M_3 = m/7 = 15$ .

– We see that

- 2 is an inverse of  $M_1 = 35$  modulo 3 since  $35 \cdot 2 \equiv 2 \cdot 2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$
- 1 is an inverse of  $M_2 = 21$  modulo 5 since  $21 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$
- 1 is an inverse of  $M_3 = 15$  modulo 7 since  $15 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$

– Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} x &= a_1 M_1 y_1 + a_2 M_2 y_2 + a_3 M_3 y_3 \\ &= 2 \cdot 35 \cdot 2 + 3 \cdot 21 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 15 \cdot 1 = 233 \equiv 23 \pmod{105} \end{aligned}$$

– We have shown that 23 is the smallest positive integer that is a simultaneous solution. Check it!

# Back Substitution

- We can also solve systems of linear congruences with pairwise relatively prime moduli by rewriting a congruence as an equality using Theorem 4 in Section 4.1, substituting the value for the variable into another congruence, and continuing the process until we have worked through all the congruences. This method is known as *back substitution*.

**Example:** Use the method of back substitution to find all integers  $x$  such that  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ ,  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ , and  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$ .

**Solution:** By Theorem 4 in Section 4.1, the first congruence can be rewritten as  $x = 5t + 1$ , where  $t$  is an integer.

- Substituting into the second congruence yields  $5t + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ .
- Solving this tells us that  $t \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ .
- Using Theorem 4 again gives  $t = 6u + 5$  where  $u$  is an integer.
- Substituting this back into  $x = 5t + 1$ , gives  $x = 5(6u + 5) + 1 = 30u + 26$ .
- Inserting this into the third equation gives  $30u + 26 \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$ .
- Solving this congruence tells us that  $u \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$ .
- By Theorem 4,  $u = 7v + 6$ , where  $v$  is an integer.
- Substituting this expression for  $u$  into  $x = 30u + 26$ , tells us that  $x = 30(7v + 6) + 26 = 210v + 206$ .

Translating this back into a congruence we find the solution  $x \equiv 206 \pmod{210}$ .

# Fermat's Little Theorem

Pierre de Fermat  
(1601-1665)



**Theorem 3:** (*Fermat's Little Theorem*) If  $p$  is prime and  $a$  is an integer not divisible by  $p$ , then  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$

Furthermore, for every integer  $a$  we have  $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$

(*proof outlined in Exercise 19*)

Fermat's little theorem is useful in computing the remainders modulo  $p$  of large powers of integers.

**Example:** Find  $7^{222} \bmod 11$ .

By Fermat's little theorem, we know that  $7^{10} \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$ , and so  $(7^{10})^k \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$ , for every positive integer  $k$ . Therefore,

# Fermat's Little Theorem

Pierre de Fermat  
(1601-1665)



**Theorem 3:** (*Fermat's Little Theorem*) If  $p$  is prime and  $a$  is an integer not divisible by  $p$ , then  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$

Furthermore, for every integer  $a$  we have  $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$   
(*proof outlined in Exercise 19*)

Fermat's little theorem is useful in computing the remainders modulo  $p$  of large powers of integers.

**Example:** Find  $7^{222} \bmod 11$ .

By Fermat's little theorem, we know that  $7^{10} \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$ , and so  $(7^{10})^k \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$ , for every positive integer  $k$ . Therefore,

$$7^{222} = 7^{22 \cdot 10 + 2} = (7^{10})^{22} 7^2 \equiv (1)^{22} \cdot 49 \equiv 5 \pmod{11}.$$

Hence,  $7^{222} \bmod 11 = 5$ .