Approach

- Initially inspected the data using describe, info and shape methods
- Derived target variable by analysing the LastWorkingDate
- Performed univariate analysis on all the features available
- Performed feature engineering to derived new features and trainable data as follows:-
 - 1. Derived tenure/duration worked as date difference between dateofjoining and lastworkingdate
 - 2. Grouped data based on Emp_ID, City, Education level and Joining Date
 - 3. Derived average age, average rating, average business value
 - 4. Derived promotion_flag which tells employee was promoted or not based on his last and present designations
 - 5. Derived rating_flag based on employees last and present ratings which indicate if ratings improved or not
 - 6. Derived salary_hike_flag which tells if employee received salary hike or not based on last and present salaries of employee
 - 7. Derived age and duration/tenure groups (categorical binning) based on average age and duration features
- The following observations were derived from EDA on these new features:
 - 1. Male employees had more attrition rate than female employees
 - 2. C20 city had highest attrition rate
 - 3. Employees with Master's Education had comparetively more attrition rate
 - 4. Age groups between 30-40 had highest attrition
 - 5. Employees lower designations had higher attrition
 - 6. Employees with no salary hike had highest attrition
 - 7. Employees lesser rating (lower performance) i.e no rating imporvement had highest attrition
 - 8. Employees with no promotion also had highest attrition
 - 9. Employees with less than 1 year tenure had highest attrition
- Filtered only employee ID that are not there in test data for training the model
- The data was highly imbalanced hence performed SMOTE to balance the data
- Performed one-hot encoding of categorical features and standard scaling on numerical features
- Initially performed logistic regression with RFE with 15 features
- The model was evaluated based on accuracy, precision, recall, auc_roc curve and f1-score
- The model gave around f1-score of around 0.77
- The model was test on test data with f1-score around 0.80
- The above procedures were carried out on Decision Tree Classifier, Random Forest Classifier and XGBoost Classifier but those returned unsatisfactory results