

(1a)

$$-u''(x) = f(x) = \sin(4\pi x)$$

$$-\int u''(x) \cdot dx = \int \sin(4\pi x) \cdot dx$$

$$-u'(x) = \frac{\cos(4\pi x)}{4\pi} + C_0$$

$$-\int u'(x) \cdot dx = \frac{\cos(4\pi x)}{4\pi} + C_0$$

$$-u(x) = \frac{\sin(4\pi x)}{(4\pi)^2} + C_0 x + C_1$$

$$\Rightarrow u(x) = \frac{\sin(4\pi x)}{(4\pi)^2} - C_0 x - C_1$$

Using periodic boundary conditions, we have $u(0) = u(1)$

$$\Rightarrow -C_1 = -C_0 - C_1 \Rightarrow C_0 = 0.$$

$$\therefore u(x) = \frac{\sin(4\pi x)}{(4\pi)^2} - C_1$$

The exact solution with mean 0 is when $C_1 = 0$.

$$u(x) = \frac{\sin(4\pi x)}{(4\pi)^2}$$

$$(1c) \quad A = \frac{1}{h^2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & & & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- We see that the sum of all rows/columns of A is 0, which implies that columns of A are not linearly independent $\Rightarrow A$ is not full rank.

Note that any $c \cdot e^T = c \cdot [1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1]^T$ belongs to $\text{null}(A)$ for any constant $c \Rightarrow c \cdot A e = 0$.

or $A e = 0 \Rightarrow e$ is the basis for the nullspace of A .
The nullspace is not 2 dimensional since we only have 1 degree of freedom [ie, only one of u_i 's can be varied without an effect on the other u_i 's. This can also be seen from the exact solution, $u_i = u(x_i) = \frac{\sin(4\pi x_i)}{(4\pi)^2} + C_1$.

If we choose $u_{m-1} = u(x_{m-1}) = \frac{\sin(4\pi(m-1))}{(4\pi)^2} + C_1 = k$

then, we can eliminate the last row & column of A , making it a full rank matrix.
 \Rightarrow Nullspace of A is 1 Dimensional.

(3a) We know the following:

$$(k+1) P_{k+1} = (2k+1)x P_k - k P_{k-1}$$

$$(k+1) P'_{k+1} = (2k+1)x P'_k + (2k+1) P_k - k P'_{k-1}$$

$$(k+1) P''_{k+1} = (2k+1)x P''_k + 2(2k+1) P'_k - k P''_{k-1}$$

We want to show:

$$(1-x^2) P''_{n+1} - 2x P'_{n+1} + (n+2)(n+1) P_{n+1} = 0.$$

Answer: We show this using induction and one other identity, given by

$$P'_{n+1} - P'_{n-1} = (2n+1) P_n$$

$$\text{Base Case: } P_0 = 1 \quad P_1 = x \quad P_2 = \frac{1}{2}(3x^2 - 1)$$

$k=1$:

$$(1-x^2) P''_1 - 2x P'_1 + 2 P_1 = -2x + 2x = 0.$$

$k=2$:

$$(1-x^2) P''_2 - 2x P'_2 + 6 P_2 = (1-x^2)3 - 2x(3x) + 9x^2 - 3 = 0$$

$$\text{Now assume } (1-x^2) P''_p - 2x P'_p + p(p+1) P_p = 0$$

for all $p \leq n$.

Consider the following expression for $n+1$:

$$((1-x^2) P'_{n+1})'$$

$$= [(1-x^2) (P'_{n-1} + (2n+1) P_n)]'$$

$$= ((1-x^2) P'_{n-1})' + (2n+1) (-2x P_n + (1-x^2) P'_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -(n-1)n P_{n-1} - 2[(n+1)P_{n+1} + nP_{n-1}] + n(n+1)(P_{n-1} - P_{n+1}) \\
&= (- (n-1)n - 2n + n(n+1)) P_{n-1} + (-2(n+1) - n(n+1)) P_{n+1} \\
&= n[-(n-1) - 2 + (n+1)] P_{n-1} - (n+1)(n+2) P_{n+1} \\
&= -(n+1)(n+2) P_{n+1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\therefore ((1-x^2)P'_{n+1})' &= (1-x^2)P''_{n+1} - 2xP'_n \\
&= -(n+1)(n+2)P_{n+1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow (1-x^2)P''_{n+1} - 2xP'_n + (n+1)(n+2)P_{n+1} = 0.$$

(3b) We know the following:

$$T_{k+1} = 2xT_k - T_{k-1} \quad (1-x^2)T_k'' - xT_k' + k^2T_k = 0$$

$$T_{k+1}' = 2xT_k' + 2T_k - T_{k-1}'$$

$$(1-x^2)T_k'' = xT_k' - k^2T_k$$

$$T_{k+1}'' = 2xT_k'' + 4T_k' - T_{k-1}''$$

$$(1-x^2)T_{k-1}'' = xT_{k-1}' - (k-1)^2T_{k-1}$$

We want to prove this: $(1-x^2)T_{k+1}'' = xT_{k+1}' - (k+1)^2T_{k+1}$

Answer: We can use induction to prove this.

$$\text{Base case: } T_0 = 1 \quad T_1 = x \quad T_2 = 2x^2 - 1$$

$$k=1: (1-x^2)T_1'' - xT_1' + T_1 = -x + x = 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} k=2: & (1-x^2)T_2'' - xT_2' + 4T_2 \\ &= (1-x^2)(4) - x(4x) + 4(2x^2-1) \\ &= 4 - 4x^2 - 4x^2 + 8x^2 - 4 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, assume $(1-x^2)T_p'' - xT_p' + k^2T_p = 0$ is true for all $p \leq k$.

We show that it is true for $k+1$.

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-x^2)T_{k+1}'' \\ &= (1-x^2)[2xT_k'' + 4T_k' - T_{k-1}''] \\ &= 2x[xT_k' - k^2T_k] + 4(1-x^2)T_k' - [xT_{k-1}' - (k-1)^2T_{k-1}] \\ &= -2x^2T_k' + 4T_k' - xT_{k-1}' - 2xk^2T_k + (k-1)^2T_{k-1} \end{aligned}$$

Adding $-xT_{k+1}' + (k+1)^2 T_{k+1}$, we have

$$\underbrace{-2x^2 T_k'} + 4T_k' - \underbrace{x(T_{k-1}' + T_{k+1}')} - 2xk^2 T_k + (k-1)^2 T_{k-1} + (k+1)^2 T_{k+1}$$

$$= -2x^2 T_k' + 4T_k' - x(2xT_k' + 2T_k)$$

$$- k^2(2xT_k - T_{k-1} - T_{k+1}) - 2kT_{k-1} + 2kT_{k+1} + T_{k-1} + T_{k+1}$$

$$= 4(1-x^2)T_k' - \cancel{2xT_k} - 2kT_{k-1} + 2k(2xT_k - T_{k-1}) + \cancel{2xT_k}$$

$$= 4(1-x^2)T_k' + 4kxT_k - 4kT_{k-1}$$

In order to simplify this, we use the identity that

$$(1-x^2)T_k' = kT_{k-1} - kxT_k$$

$$\Rightarrow (1-x^2)T_{k+1}'' - xT_{k+1}' + (k+1)^2 T_{k+1} = 0.$$

(3c) $\{P_n\}$ family of orthogonal polynomials.

To get P_{n+1} , we can orthogonalise xP_n using Gram Schmidt orthogonalisation.

$$P_{n+1} = xP_n - \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\langle xP_n, P_k \rangle}{\langle P_k, P_k \rangle} P_k$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Consider } \langle P_k, xP_n \rangle &= \int_a^b x \cdot P_k \cdot P_n \cdot dx \\ &= \langle P_n, xP_k \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

xP_k is a polynomial of degree $\leq k+1$.

$$\Rightarrow xP_k = \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} c_j P_j$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle P_n, xP_k \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} c_j \langle P_n, P_j \rangle$$

We can see that when $k < n-2$, $k+1 < n-1$,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} c_j \langle P_n, P_j \rangle = 0. \quad \text{ie, } \langle P_n, xP_k \rangle = 0 \text{ if } k < n-2$$

$$\Rightarrow P_{n+1} = xP_n - \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\langle P_n, xP_k \rangle}{\langle P_k, P_k \rangle} P_k$$

$$= xP_n - \frac{\langle P_n, xP_n \rangle}{\langle P_n, P_n \rangle} P_n - \frac{\langle P_n, xP_{n-1} \rangle}{\langle P_{n-1}, P_{n-1} \rangle} P_{n-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow P_{n+1} = \left(x - \frac{\langle P_n, xP_n \rangle}{\langle P_n, P_n \rangle} \right) P_n - \frac{\langle P_n, xP_{n-1} \rangle}{\langle P_{n-1}, P_{n-1} \rangle} P_{n-1}$$

\therefore There is a 3-term recurrence relation for a family of orthogonal polynomials.

Problem 4:

$\{P_n\}$ family of monic orthogonal polynomials

Consider q : a monic polynomial of degree n .

$$q = P_n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k P_k$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q, q \rangle &= \left\langle P_n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k P_k, P_n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k P_k \right\rangle \\ &= \langle P_n, P_n \rangle + 2 \underbrace{\left\langle \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k P_k, P_n \right\rangle}_{=0} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \left\langle \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k P_k, \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k P_k \right\rangle$$

$$= \langle P_n, P_n \rangle + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} a_k a_l \underbrace{\langle P_k, P_l \rangle}_{=\delta_{kl}}$$

$$= \langle P_n, P_n \rangle + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k^2 \langle P_k, P_k \rangle$$

We know $\langle P_k, P_k \rangle > 0 \quad \forall \quad k=0, 1, \dots, n-1$.

$\therefore \langle q, q \rangle = \langle P_n, P_n \rangle + C$ where $C > 0$ for all n .

$$\Rightarrow \langle q, q \rangle \geq \langle P_n, P_n \rangle.$$

The equality is achieved when $q = P_n$ or $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k P_k = 0$.