



Speech act operators and CALL-BACK function

Sanghee Kim* (University of Chicago)

WAFL 15, 26-28 September 2019, Lomonosov Moscow State University

1. Introduction: Speech Act (SA) Operator

A traditional view

- SA cannot be embedded nor can it be composed by other speech act operators

A more recent view

- High-level SA operators that function as components
- High-level SA operators are embeddable

2. ‘Remind-me’ Presupposition

‘Remind-me’ readings

- What is your name **again**? (ENG)
- Wie ist **nochmal** ihr Name? (GER)
how is again your name
- Wie ist **wieder** ihr Name? (GER)
how is again your name
- Namae-wa nan da- **kke**? (JPN)
name- TOP what COP-KKE
Int. ‘Could you remind me what your name is?’

Important aspects of ‘Remind-me’ presupposition

- It is not presupposed that the speaker knows the answer to the question
- It is not presupposed that it was the hearer that knows the answer to the question
- It is sufficient that the answer to the question has been updated to the common ground in the past

Sauerland and Yatsushiro (2017)

Proposal: IMP-2 [again [CG [what is your name?]]]

p
 q

- Remind-me presupposition arises when **again** takes scope over **CG**
- The predicate **CG** is true of a discourse iff the current speaker participates in e and the complete answer to q is part of the common ground of e
- Again** adds its presupposition to this predicate
- IMP-2** obliges the speaker to bring about an event e where q is answered in e , and presupposes that p is satisfied in any such e

3. Questions

Questions on Sauerland and Yatsushiro (2017)

- Is IMP-2 the only way to account for ‘Remind-me’ presupposition in questions?
- Should the silent speech act operators be only clause-type-dependent?

Questions in general

- Can there be a unified SA operator that applies to all propositions regardless of clause type?
- Is there a unified and high-level SA operator that can apply over another SA operator?

4. Empirical Landscape: Korean Particles

- Triplet of sentences in different clause types
- Particles of interest: **-ko**, **-nikka**

Hey, wait-a-minute test (e.g., von Stechow 2004)

- For the sentences in (b) (When **-ko** is used) ...
“Hey, wait a minute. That person’s name has never been discussed in the common ground.”
- For the sentences in (c) (When **-nikka** is used) ...
“Hey, wait a minute. You didn’t tell/ask me that/whether that person’s name is Jon.”

Declarative (-*ya*/-*la*: clause boundary particle)

- ku salam-uy ilum-i Jon-i- **ya**.
that person-GEN name-NOM Jon-COP-YA
‘That person’s name is Jon.’
- ku salam- uy ilum-i Jon-i- la-**ko**.
that person-GEN name-NOM Jon-COP-LA-**KO**
‘That person’s name is Jon.’
(And I know that person’s name is shared in the CG)
- ku salam-uy ilum-i Jon-i- la-**nikka**.
that person-GEN name-NOM Jon-COP-LA-**NIKKA**
‘That person’s name is Jon.’
(And I’m asserting this again)

Interrogative (-*ya*/-*la*: clause boundary / -*ni*: Q-particle)

- ku salam-uy ilum-i {Jon/mwues}-i- **ya**?
that person-GEN name-NOM {Jon/what}- COP-YA
‘Is that person name Jon?’ ‘What’s that person’s name?’
- ku salam-uy ilum-i {Jon/mwues}-i- la-**ko**?
that person-GEN name-NOM {Jon/what}- COP-LA-**KO**
‘That person’s name is Jon?’ ‘What’s that person’s name?’
(And I know that person’s name is shared in the CG)
- ku salam-uy ilum-i {Jon/mwues}-i- ***(ni)-ya- nikka**?
that person-GEN name-NOM {Jon/what}- COP-***(Q)**- YA- **NIKKA**
‘Is that person’s name Jon?’ ‘What’s that person’s name?’
(And I’m asking this again)

6. Proposal

Observation

- Sentence particle **-ko** functions as a speech act operator that ‘calls back’ the common ground (CG)
- Sentence particle **-nikka** functions as a speech act operator that ‘calls back’ the previous speech act (SA)
- These particles are not limited to particular clause types
- These particles are stackable to other SA particles such as ASSERT or QUESTION

Proposal: **CALL-BACK speech act operator**

- CALL-BACK** is an anaphoric function that anchors ϕ to the common ground (C), or to the set of previous SA (\sqrt{C} , à la Krifka 2015)
- CALL-BACK(ϕ)** is defined in case one of the two worlds:
 - iff ϕ is a proposition, then $\phi \in C$, or
 - iff ϕ is a SA, then $\phi \in \sqrt{C}$

7. Theoretical Implications

- In support for a **high-level speech act operator** (or ‘meta-operator’)
- Captures all the aspects of ‘Remind-me’ presupposition
- CALL-BACK serves as a **unified SA operator** that can be applied **regardless of clause types**; even to, for example, EXHORTATIVES (example not presented here)
- Explains why a Q-particle **-ni** must precede **-nikka** in Korean
- Provides empirical support that **SA operators are stackable** (e.g., Krifka 2015; Law et al., a.o.): **-ko**, **-nikka**

Acknowledgment

I am indebted to Anastasia Giannakidou for an extensive discussion and suggestions on this project. I am thankful to Suwon Yoon for her comments. I am grateful to Yenan Sun for directing me to the Cantonese data and for giving me feedback on my first draft. I also thank Jianrong Yu for introducing me relevant work on Singlish data.

*Email address: sangheekim@uchicago.edu