


1.1.1 Show that if M^m, N^n are smooth manifolds, then $M^m \times N^n$ is also a $(m+n)$ dimensional smooth manifold. Hence, the n -dimensional torus or simply n -torus

$$\mathbb{T}^n = \underbrace{\mathbb{S}^1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{S}^1}_n \quad \mathbb{T}^2 = \text{2-dim manifold}$$


is a smooth manifold.

proof) We want to show :

[1] $M^m \times N^n$ is manifold [2] It is smooth manifold

[1] : ① Hausdorff

Since M^m, N^n are smooth manifold, these are Hausdorff. Then, for any U_M, V_M in M^m and U_N, V_N in N^n , let $U_M \times U_N = U \subset M^m \times N^n$ and $V_M \times V_N \subset N^n$, then $U_M \times U_N \cap V_M \times V_N = \emptyset$ (*)

(\because)

$$(1) : (U_M \times U_N) \cap V_M = V_M \cap U_M \times V_M \cap U_N = \emptyset$$

because $V_M \cap U_M = \emptyset$ since M^m is Hausdorff.

$$(2) : (U_M \times U_N) \cap V_N = V_N \cap U_M \times V_N \cap U_N = \emptyset$$

because $V_N \cap U_N = \emptyset$ since N^n is Hausdorff.

$$(*) = (1) \times (2) = \emptyset.$$

Thus, $M^m \times N^n$ is Hausdorff.

② second countable

By the assumption, M^m, N^n have a countable basis β_M, β_N . Then

trivially $\beta_M \times \beta_N \subset M^m \times N^n$ and we can pick $\beta_M \times \beta_N$ is a countable basis for $M^m \times N^n$.

($\circ\circ$) $x \in \beta_M$ & $y \in \beta_N \Rightarrow (x, y) \in \beta_M \times \beta_N \subset M^m \times N^n$
and $\beta_M, \beta_N : \text{open} \Rightarrow \beta_M \times \beta_N : \text{open}$.

$\beta_M \times \beta_N : \text{countable}$ since β_M, β_N are countable.

pf) Let $\beta_M \times \beta_N : \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{trivial}$.

We assume $\beta_M, \beta_N : \text{countably infinite}$.

$(\beta_M^0, \beta_N^0) \quad (\beta_M^0, \beta_N^1) \quad (\beta_M^0, \beta_N^2) \quad \dots$
 $(\beta_M^1, \beta_N^0) \quad (\beta_M^1, \beta_N^1) \quad (\beta_M^1, \beta_N^2) \quad \dots$
 $(\beta_M^2, \beta_N^0) \quad (\beta_M^2, \beta_N^1) \quad (\beta_M^2, \beta_N^2) \quad \dots$
 $\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \ddots$

First, we pick (β_M^0, β_N^0) , then we pick

$(\beta_M^0, \beta_N^1), (\beta_M^1, \beta_N^0)$, then we pick

$(\beta_M^0, \beta_N^2), (\beta_M^1, \beta_N^1), (\beta_M^2, \beta_N^0) \dots$

Continue to this processes, then we can

define the one-to-one correspondence

between $\beta_M \times \beta_N \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ (the set of natural #).

Thus, by definition of countable,

the assertion is proved.

③ Homeomorphism

Let $\varphi_M : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ & $p \in \varphi_M(U)$ and

$\varphi_N : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ & $q \in \varphi_N(V)$, then we can define

$$\varphi_{MN}(r) = (\varphi_M \times \varphi_N)(p, q) = (\varphi_M(p), \varphi_N(q))$$

if $\varphi_{MN} : U \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$,

(i) injective

$$\varphi_{MN}(r_1) = \varphi_{MN}(r_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\varphi_M(p_1), \varphi_N(q_1)) = (\varphi_M(p_2), \varphi_N(q_2))$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi_M(p_1) = \varphi_M(p_2) \quad \& \quad \varphi_N(q_1) = \varphi_N(q_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow p_1 = p_2 \quad \& \quad q_1 = q_2 \quad \text{since } \varphi_M, \varphi_N : \text{injective.}$$

(ii) surjective

For $\forall y = \varphi_{MN}(\bar{r}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$, $\exists (\bar{p}, \bar{q}) \in U \times V$ s.t.

$$y = \varphi_{MN}(\bar{r}) = (\varphi_M(\bar{p}), \varphi_N(\bar{q})) \quad \text{since}$$

φ_M & φ_N are surjective.

By (i), (ii), $\varphi_{MN} : \text{bijection on } U \times V \subset \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

Thus, $\exists \varphi_{MN}^{-1} : \text{inverse of } \varphi_{MN}$. be open

In case of continuity, for any \mathcal{O}, β in $\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n$,

$\varphi_M^{-1}(\mathcal{O}), \varphi_N^{-1}(\beta)$ are open by the assumption.

Since $\mathcal{O} \times \beta$: open and its preimage

$\varphi_{MN}^{-1}(\mathcal{O} \times \beta)$ is open.

$\therefore \varphi_{MN}$ is continuous.

(*) if $\mathcal{O} = \varphi_M(\alpha), \beta = \varphi_N(\beta)$ for any open sets α, β in U, V , then

$$\varphi_{MN}^{-1}(\varphi_{MN}(\mathcal{O} \times \beta)) = \mathcal{O} \times \beta = \varphi_M(\alpha) \times \varphi_N(\beta) : \text{open.}$$

For φ_{MN}^{-1} : inverse of φ_{MN} , $\varphi_{MN}^{-1}(\theta \times \beta)$ is open

$\Rightarrow \varphi_{MN}(\varphi_{MN}^{-1}(\theta \times \beta)) = \theta \times \beta$ is open

$\therefore \varphi_{MN}^{-1}$ is continuous.

$\therefore \varphi_{MN}$ is Homeomorphism.

Therefore, $M^m \times N^n$ is a manifold.

[2] : Since M^m, N^n are smooth manifold, they have a C^∞ -structure, so that the coordinate charts $(U, \varphi_M), (V, \varphi_N)$ is C^∞ -compatible with all charts in the atlas of M^m, N^n , respectively.

By [1], we defined the homeomorphism φ_{MN} , hence we can write the coordinate chart of $M^m \times N^n$ that $(U \times V, \varphi_{MN})$. Consider another chart $(U' \times V', \varphi_{MN}^*)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{MN} \circ \varphi_{MN}^* &= (\varphi_M \times \varphi_N) \circ (\varphi_M^* \times \varphi_N^*)^{-1} \\ &= \varphi_M \circ \varphi_M^{*-1} \times \varphi_N \circ \varphi_N^{*-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi_M, \varphi_N, \varphi_M^{*-1}, \varphi_N^{*-1}$ are C^∞ ,

$\varphi_{MN} \circ \varphi_{MN}^*$ is C^∞ .

$\therefore M^m \times N^n$ is a smooth manifold.

Thus, By the proof above, \mathbb{T}^n is smooth manifold.



1.1.2 Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be continuous. Show that the graph of f

$$\Gamma_f = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m : x \in U \text{ and } y = f(x)\}$$

is an n -dimensional manifold.

proof) By the example 1.1.(i) of the lecture note of professor Han, $\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m$ are n, m dimensional smooth manifold and hence $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$ is smooth manifold by the exercise 1.1.1.

Thus, the graph of f Γ_f is the subspace topology of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$. Hence, Γ_f is Hausdorff and 2nd-countable space.

So, we want to show that Γ_f has the locally Euclidean property only.

Let $\pi_x: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is the projection onto x , and let $\varphi: \Gamma_f \rightarrow U$ be the restriction of π_x to Γ_f that $\varphi(x, y) = x, (x, y) \in \Gamma_f$.

Since π_x is continuous (clearly),

the restriction of π_x φ is continuous, and bijective also. Thus $\exists \varphi^{-1}$: inverse of φ and since $\varphi^{-1}(x) = (x, f(x))$, φ^{-1} is continuous.

$\therefore \varphi$: Homeomorphism.

$\therefore \Gamma_f$ is n -dimensional manifold.



1.2.1 Complete the proof of proposition 1.14 :

Suppose that $\pi : M \rightarrow M/\sim$ is an open map. Then

(ii) M/\sim is Hausdorff $\Rightarrow R = \{(p, q) : p \sim q\}$ is closed in $M \times M$.

proof) Note that :

$$[x]_{\sim} = \{x \in M : x \sim \alpha, \alpha \in M\}.$$

$$M/\sim = \{[x]_{\sim} : x \in M\}$$

$$\Theta \subset M/\sim \text{ is open } \Leftrightarrow \pi^{-1}(\Theta) = \{x : \pi(x) = [x] \in \Theta\} \\ \text{is open in } M.$$

Assume that M/\sim is Hausdorff.

Claim : $R \subset M \times M$ is closed

$\Leftrightarrow M \times M - R$ is open.

Let $(p, q) \in M \times M - R$, then $\pi(p) \neq \pi(q)$

$\Rightarrow (p, q) \notin R$. Thus we can take the

disjoint open sets $\pi(p) \in U_1$, $\pi(q) \in U_2$ since M/\sim is Hausdorff.

Let $V_1 = \pi^{-1}(U_1)$ & $V_2 = \pi^{-1}(U_2)$.

If $(V_1 \times V_2) \cap R \neq \emptyset$, then $\exists (v_1, v_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$

such that $\pi(v_1) = \pi(v_2)$, $\pi(v_1) \in U_1$, $\pi(v_2) \in U_2$.

But, $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$, that is contradiction.

$\therefore R$ is closed in $M \times M$.

