


1.1.1 Show that if M^m, N^n are smooth manifolds, then $M^m \times N^n$ is also a $(m+n)$ dimensional smooth manifold. Hence, the n -dimensional torus or simply n -torus

$$\mathbb{T}^n = \underbrace{\mathbb{S}^1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{S}^1}_n \quad \mathbb{T}^2 = \text{2-dim manifold}$$


is a smooth manifold.

proof) We want to show :

[1] $M^m \times N^n$ is manifold [2] It is smooth manifold

[1] : ① Hausdorff

Since M^m, N^n are smooth manifold, these are Hausdorff. Then, for any U_M, V_M in M^m and U_N, V_N in N^n , let $U_M \times U_N = U \subset M^m \times N^n$ and $V_M \times V_N \subset N^n$, then $U_M \times U_N \cap V_M \times V_N = \emptyset$ (*)

(::)

$$(1) : (U_M \times U_N) \cap V_M = V_M \cap U_M \times V_M \cap U_N = \emptyset$$

because $V_M \cap U_M = \emptyset$ since M^m is Hausdorff.

$$(2) : (U_M \times U_N) \cap V_N = V_N \cap U_M \times V_N \cap U_N = \emptyset$$

because $V_N \cap U_N = \emptyset$ since N^n is Hausdorff.

$$(*) = (1) \times (2) = \emptyset.$$

Thus, $M^m \times N^n$ is Hausdorff.

② second countable

By the assumption, M^m, N^n have a countable basis β_M, β_N . Then

trivially $\beta_M \times \beta_N \subset M^m \times N^n$ and we can pick $\beta_M \times \beta_N$ is a countable basis for $M^m \times N^n$.

($\circ\circ$) $x \in \beta_M$ & $y \in \beta_N \Rightarrow (x, y) \in \beta_M \times \beta_N \subset M^m \times N^n$
and $\beta_M, \beta_N : \text{open} \Rightarrow \beta_M \times \beta_N : \text{open}$.

$\beta_M \times \beta_N : \text{countable}$ since β_M, β_N are countable.

pf) Let $\beta_M \times \beta_N : \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{trivial}$.

We assume $\beta_M, \beta_N : \text{countably infinite}$.

$(\beta_M^0, \beta_N^0) (\beta_M^0, \beta_N^1) (\beta_M^0, \beta_N^2) \dots$
 $(\beta_M^1, \beta_N^0) (\beta_M^1, \beta_N^1) (\beta_M^1, \beta_N^2) \dots$
 $(\beta_M^2, \beta_N^0) (\beta_M^2, \beta_N^1) (\beta_M^2, \beta_N^2) \dots$
 $\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \ddots$

First, we pick (β_M^0, β_N^0) , then we pick

$(\beta_M^0, \beta_N^1), (\beta_M^1, \beta_N^0)$, then we pick

$(\beta_M^0, \beta_N^2), (\beta_M^1, \beta_N^1), (\beta_M^2, \beta_N^0) \dots$

Continue to this processes, then we can

define the one-to-one correspondence

between $\beta_M \times \beta_N \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ (the set of natural #).

Thus, by definition of countable,

the assertion is proved.

③ Homeomorphism

Let $\varphi_M : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ & $p \in \varphi_M(U)$ and

$\varphi_N : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ & $q \in \varphi_N(V)$, then we can define

$$\varphi_{MN}(r) = (\varphi_M \times \varphi_N)(p, q) = (\varphi_M(p), \varphi_N(q))$$

if $\varphi_{MN} : U \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$,

(i) injective

$$\varphi_{MN}(r_1) = \varphi_{MN}(r_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\varphi_M(p_1), \varphi_N(q_1)) = (\varphi_M(p_2), \varphi_N(q_2))$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi_M(p_1) = \varphi_M(p_2) \quad \& \quad \varphi_N(q_1) = \varphi_N(q_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow p_1 = p_2 \quad \& \quad q_1 = q_2 \quad \text{since } \varphi_M, \varphi_N : \text{injective.}$$

(ii) surjective

For $\forall y = \varphi_{MN}(\bar{r}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$, $\exists (\bar{p}, \bar{q}) \in U \times V$ s.t.

$$y = \varphi_{MN}(\bar{r}) = (\varphi_M(\bar{p}), \varphi_N(\bar{q})) \quad \text{since}$$

φ_M & φ_N are surjective.

By (i), (ii), $\varphi_{MN} : \text{bijection on } U \times V \subset \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

Thus, $\exists \varphi_{MN}^{-1} : \text{inverse of } \varphi_{MN}$. be open

In case of continuity, for any \mathcal{O}, β in $\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n$,

$\varphi_M^{-1}(\mathcal{O}), \varphi_N^{-1}(\beta)$ are open by the assumption.

Since $\mathcal{O} \times \beta$: open and its preimage

$\varphi_{MN}^{-1}(\mathcal{O} \times \beta)$ is open.

$\therefore \varphi_{MN}$ is continuous.

(*) if $\mathcal{O} = \varphi_M(\alpha), \beta = \varphi_N(\beta)$ for any open sets α, β in U, V , then

$$\varphi_{MN}^{-1}(\varphi_{MN}(\mathcal{O} \times \beta)) = \mathcal{O} \times \beta = \varphi_M(\alpha) \times \varphi_N(\beta) : \text{open.}$$

For φ_{MN}^{-1} : inverse of φ_{MN} , $\varphi_{MN}^{-1}(\theta \times \beta)$ is open

$\Rightarrow \varphi_{MN}(\varphi_{MN}^{-1}(\theta \times \beta)) = \theta \times \beta$ is open

$\therefore \varphi_{MN}^{-1}$ is continuous.

$\therefore \varphi_{MN}$ is Homeomorphism.

Therefore, $M^m \times N^n$ is a manifold.

[2] : Since M^m, N^n are smooth manifold, they have a C^∞ -structure, so that the coordinate charts $(U, \varphi_M), (V, \varphi_N)$ is C^∞ -compatible with all charts in the atlas of M^m, N^n , respectively.

By [1], we defined the homeomorphism φ_{MN} , hence we can write the coordinate chart of $M^m \times N^n$ that $(U \times V, \varphi_{MN})$. Consider another chart $(U' \times V', \varphi_{MN}^*)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{MN} \circ \varphi_{MN}^* &= (\varphi_M \times \varphi_N) \circ (\varphi_M^* \times \varphi_N^*)^{-1} \\ &= \varphi_M \circ \varphi_M^{*-1} \times \varphi_N \circ \varphi_N^{*-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi_M, \varphi_N, \varphi_M^{*-1}, \varphi_N^{*-1}$ are C^∞ ,

$\varphi_{MN} \circ \varphi_{MN}^*$ is C^∞ .

$\therefore M^m \times N^n$ is a smooth manifold.

Thus, By the proof above, \mathbb{T}^n is smooth manifold.



1.1.2 Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be continuous. Show that the graph of f

$$\Gamma_f = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m : x \in U \text{ and } y = f(x)\}$$

is an n -dimensional manifold.

proof) By the example 1.1.(i) of the lecture note of professor Han, $\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m$ are n, m dimensional smooth manifold and hence $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$ is smooth manifold by the exercise 1.1.1.

Thus, the graph of f Γ_f is the subspace topology of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$. Hence, Γ_f is Hausdorff and 2nd-countable space.

So, we want to show that Γ_f has the locally Euclidean property only.

Let $\pi_x: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is the projection onto x , and let $\varphi: \Gamma_f \rightarrow U$ be the restriction of π_x to Γ_f that $\varphi(x, y) = x, (x, y) \in \Gamma_f$.

Since π_x is continuous (clearly),

the restriction of π_x φ is continuous, and bijective also. Thus $\exists \varphi^{-1}$: inverse of φ and since $\varphi^{-1}(x) = (x, f(x))$, φ^{-1} is continuous.

$\therefore \varphi$: Homeomorphism.

$\therefore \Gamma_f$ is n -dimensional manifold.



1.2.1 Complete the proof of proposition 1.14 :

Suppose that $\pi : M \rightarrow M/\sim$ is an open map. Then

(ii) M/\sim is Hausdorff $\Rightarrow R = \{(p, q) : p \sim q\}$ is closed in $M \times M$.

proof) Note that :

$$[x]_{\sim} = \{x \in M : x \sim \alpha, \alpha \in M\}.$$

$$M/\sim = \{[x]_{\sim} : x \in M\}$$

$$\Theta \subset M/\sim \text{ is open } \Leftrightarrow \pi^{-1}(\Theta) = \{x : \pi(x) = [x] \in \Theta\} \\ \text{is open in } M.$$

Assume that M/\sim is Hausdorff.

Claim : $R \subset M \times M$ is closed

$\Leftrightarrow M \times M - R$ is open.

Let $(p, q) \in M \times M - R$, then $\pi(p) \neq \pi(q)$

$\Rightarrow (p, q) \notin R$. Thus we can take the

disjoint open sets $\pi(p) \in U_1$, $\pi(q) \in U_2$ since M/\sim is Hausdorff.

Let $V_1 = \pi^{-1}(U_1)$ & $V_2 = \pi^{-1}(U_2)$.

If $(V_1 \times V_2) \cap R \neq \emptyset$, then $\exists (v_1, v_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$

such that $\pi(v_1) = \pi(v_2)$, $\pi(v_1) \in U_1$, $\pi(v_2) \in U_2$.

But, $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$, that is contradiction.

$\therefore R$ is closed in $M \times M$.



1.2.2 Let $f: S^n \rightarrow S^n$ be the antipodal map defined by $f(x) = -x$. Define an relation \sim on S^n by $x \sim y$ iff $y = x$ or $y = f(x)$. Show that \sim is an equivalence relation and $S^n/\sim = \mathbb{RP}^n$.

proof) ① Equivalence relation

$$\boxed{x \sim y \iff y = x \iff y - x = 0} \quad \square$$

$$(i) \quad x \sim x \quad \text{since} \quad x - x = 0$$

(ii) if $x \sim y$, then

$$\begin{aligned} y = x &\iff y - x = 0 \iff -(x - y) = 0 \\ &\iff x - y = 0 \iff x = y \\ &\iff y \sim x. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} y = -x &\iff -y = x \iff x = f(y) \\ &\iff y \sim x \end{aligned}$$

(iii) if $x \sim y$ & $y \sim z$, then

$$y = x \quad \text{and} \quad z = y \quad \text{and so}$$

$$z = y = x \iff x \sim z.$$

$$y = -x \quad \& \quad z = -y, \quad \text{then}$$

$$z = -y = -(-x) = x \iff x \sim z.$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad S^n / \sim = \mathbb{RP}^n$$

$$[x]_M = \bigcup_i [x_i]_{S^n}. \quad (\because [x]_{S^n} \subset [x]_M)$$

$$\Rightarrow \bigcup_i \left(\bigcup_i [x_i]_{S^n} \right)_i = M / \sim = \mathbb{RP}^n$$

For arbitrary $r \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} - \{0\}$, we can let

$$S^n = \{ \vec{x} : \|\vec{x}\| = r \}, \text{ and thus}$$

$$S^n / \sim = \mathbb{RP}^n.$$

(Additional Information i thought)

$$S^n = \{ (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) : x_1^2 + \dots + x_{n+1}^2 = r^2 \}.$$

$$(0, 0, \dots, 0) \notin S^n \subset M = \mathbb{R}^{n+1} - \{0\}.$$

$$[x]_M = \{ x \in M : x \sim y \Leftrightarrow y = tx \text{ for some } t \neq 0 \}$$

$$[x]_{S^n} = \{ x \in S^n : x \sim y \Leftrightarrow y = \pm x \}$$

$$\Rightarrow [x]_{S^n} \subset [x]_M. \quad (\because [x]_{S^n} = \{-x, x\})$$

$$\Rightarrow [x]_{S^n} \in M / \sim = \mathbb{RP}^n.$$

$$\therefore S^n / \sim \subset M / \sim = \mathbb{RP}^n.$$

□

1.2.3. The complex projective space \mathbb{CP}^n is the set of all line through the origin in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} , i.e., the set of 1-dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . If we define an equivalence relation on $M = \mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}$ by $z \sim w \iff w = \lambda z$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$, then $\mathbb{CP}^n = M/\sim$. Show that \mathbb{CP}^n is a $2n$ -dimensional smooth manifold.

proof) ① 2nd-countable

Since M is 2nd-countable, the quotient set of M is 2nd-countable.

② Hausdorff.

$[z_1], [z_2] \in U_j$ for some j

$\Rightarrow [z_1]$ and $[z_2]$ are disjoint open set,

$(\because) \varphi_j(z_1), \varphi_j(z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

Claim: $\nexists U_j$ containing $[z_1]$ & $[z_2]$.

Given $j \neq k$, let

$$A_{j,k} = \{[z] : |z^j| > |z^k|\} \subset \mathbb{CP}^n.$$

Then $A_{j,k}$ is open since

$\pi^{-1}(A_{j,k})$ is open in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}$.

By the assumption, $\nexists j \neq k$ s.t.

$[z_1] \in U_j$ and $[z_2] \in U_k$, but

$$z_1^j = z_2^k = 0.$$

$$\therefore z_1 \in A_{j,k}, z_2 \in A_{k,j}.$$

$$\therefore A_{j,k} \cap A_{k,j} = \emptyset.$$

③ local Euclidean

For $\mathbf{z} = (z^0, \dots, z^n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, define

$U_i = \{[\mathbf{z}] : z^i \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$, then we can

define $\varphi_i : U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ by

$$\varphi_i([\mathbf{z}]) = \left(\frac{z^0}{z^i}, \dots, \frac{z^{i-1}}{z^i}, \frac{z^{i+1}}{z^i}, \dots, \frac{z^n}{z^i} \right).$$

continuous { For a projection $\pi : M \rightarrow M/\sim$ by $\pi(\mathbf{z}) = [\mathbf{z}]$,
 $\varphi_i^{-1}(V)$ is open for any open $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$
 $\Leftrightarrow \pi^{-1} \circ \varphi_i^{-1}(V) = (\varphi_i \circ \pi)^{-1}(V)$ is open in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} .
 Since $\varphi_i \circ \pi$ is clearly continuous, ($\because z^i \neq 0$)
 $(\varphi_i \circ \pi)^{-1}(V)$ is open in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} .

④ injective

$$\varphi_i([\mathbf{z}_1]) = \varphi_i([\mathbf{z}_2]) \Rightarrow \frac{z_1^j}{z_2^j} = \frac{z_1^i}{z_2^i}, \quad j \neq i$$

$$\Rightarrow [\mathbf{z}_1] = [\mathbf{z}_2]. \quad (\because z_1^j = \lambda z_2^j \text{ for } \forall j)$$

⑤ $\mathbf{v} = (v^1, \dots, v^n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, then

$$\varphi_i^{-1}(\mathbf{v}) = \pi(v^1, \dots, v^{i-1}, 1, v^i, \dots, v^n).$$

Since π is continuous, φ_i^{-1} is continuous.

$$\begin{aligned} (\because) \varphi_i(\pi(v^1, \dots, v^{i-1}, 1, v^i, \dots, v^n)) \\ = \left(\frac{v^1}{1}, \dots, \frac{v^{i-1}}{1}, \frac{v^{i+1}}{1}, \dots, \frac{v^n}{1} \right) = \mathbf{v} \end{aligned}$$

By ①, ②, ④, ⑤, φ_i is a homeomorphism.

② assuming w.r.o.g, $i < j$, the transition maps

$$\varphi_j \circ \varphi_i^{-1} : \varphi(U_i \cap U_j) = \{z = (z^1, \dots, z^n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z^j \neq 0\} \\ \rightarrow \varphi(U_i \cap U_j)$$

$$\varphi_j \circ \varphi_i^{-1}(z^1, \dots, z^n) = \varphi_j([z^1, \dots, z^{i-1}, 1, z^{i+1}, \dots, z^n]) \\ = \left(\frac{z^1}{z^j}, \dots, \frac{z^i}{z^j}, \frac{1}{z^j}, \frac{z^{i+1}}{z^j}, \dots, \frac{z^{j-1}}{z^j}, \frac{z^{j+1}}{z^j}, \dots, \frac{z^n}{z^j} \right)$$

is smooth,

Thus, for $\{(U_i, \varphi_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, n+1\} = \mathcal{A}$,
 \mathcal{A} is C^∞ atlas.

$\therefore \mathbb{CP}^n$ is a $2n$ -dimensional smooth manifold.



1.3.1. Let $N = M = \mathbb{RP}^1$ and write a point in \mathbb{RP}^1 as $[(x, y)]$ for $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Show that the map $F: N \rightarrow M$ given by $F([(x, y)]) = [(x^2, y^2)]$ is smooth.

proof) For $\{(U, \varphi)\} = \mathcal{A}_N$ and $\{(V, \psi)\} = \mathcal{A}_M$, let $\varphi = \psi = \pi^{-1}$, i.e.,

$$\varphi: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ by } \varphi([(x, y)]) = (x, y)$$

$$\psi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ by } \psi([(x, y)]) = (x, y)$$

Then, these can be a homeomorphism.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f &\equiv \psi \circ F \circ \varphi^{-1} = \psi(F([(x, y)])) \\ \mathbb{R}^2 &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 = \psi([(x^2, y^2)]) = (x^2, y^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since the components of f is smooth,

$f = \psi \circ F \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is smooth, and therefore,

$F: N \rightarrow M$ is smooth mapping.

□

1.3.2 Prove that (ii) of Theorem 1.23.

proof) For each $q \in A$, $\exists (\varphi, U)$ near q
that $U_q \subset U$ & $\varphi(U_q) \subset V$,
 $V = \{ \text{contains the open ball } B_3(0) \}$.
 $B_3(0) \equiv$ open ball of radius 3 centered at 0.

Let $\tilde{U}_q = \varphi^{-1}(B_1(0))$ and

define the function called "Bump function" that

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } x \geq 2 \end{cases},$$

and let

$$f(p) = \begin{cases} g(\varphi(p)) & p \in U_q \\ 0 & p \notin U_q \end{cases}.$$

Then, $f \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $0 \leq f \leq 1$,

$f \equiv 1$ on $\tilde{U}_q \subset A$ and $\text{supp}(f) \subset U_p \subset U$. □

2.1.1 For a smooth map $F: N^n \rightarrow M^m$, the push forward $F_*p : T_p N \rightarrow T_{F(p)} M$ at $p \in N$ was defined in terms of derivations. This can be also defined by the equivalence class of curves as

$$F_*p([\gamma]) = [F \circ \gamma].$$

Show that this definition is well defined. In other words, $\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2$ implies that $F \circ \gamma_1 \sim F \circ \gamma_2$.

proof) For a coordinate chart (U, φ) at p ,

$$\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2 \Leftrightarrow (\varphi \circ \gamma_1)'(0) = (\varphi \circ \gamma_2)'(0).$$

$$F \circ \gamma = F \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ \gamma \text{ since } \varphi \text{ is homeomorphism.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow (F \circ \gamma_1)'(0) &= (F \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ \gamma_1)'(0) \\ &= (F \circ \varphi^{-1})'(\varphi \circ \gamma_1)(0). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\because \gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2) \quad &= (F \circ \varphi^{-1})'(\varphi \circ \gamma_2)(0) \\ &= (F \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ \gamma_2)'(0) \\ &= (F \circ \gamma_2)'(0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow F \circ \gamma_1 \sim F \circ \gamma_2$$



2.1.2. Let $F: N^n \rightarrow M^m$ be a smooth map.

Prove that if N is connected and $F_*p = 0$ for any $p \in N$, then F is constant map.

proof) Let $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and let $X_p \in T_p N$.

By the assumption, $F_*p[f] = X_p(f \circ F) = 0$.

Let (U, φ) : smooth chart containing p . Then

$$X_p = \sum_i X_p^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_p = \sum_i X^i(\varphi^{-1})_*p \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{\varphi(p)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\sum_i X^i(\varphi^{-1})_*p \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{\varphi(p)} \right) (f \circ F) = \sum_i X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{\varphi(p)} (f \circ F \circ \varphi^{-1}) = 0$$

$\Rightarrow F$ is constant on U .

Since N is connected, N : path connected.

Let $q \in N$ & let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow N$ be a path connecting p & q .

Since F is constant on each smooth chart $(U_{\gamma(x)}, \varphi_{\gamma(x)})$ containing $\gamma(x)$ for every $x \in [0, 1]$, $F \equiv c$ on N since $F(p) = c$ & γ is continuous. □

2.1.3. Prove that for any $p \in S^n$,

$$T_p S^n = \{ X \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \langle p, X \rangle = 0 \}.$$

proof) Let $p \in S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1} - \{0\}$ and let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

By the example 2.2, $T_p \mathbb{R}^m = \mathbb{R}^m$.

$$m = n+1 \Rightarrow T_p \mathbb{R}^{n+1} = \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \supset S^n.$$

$$[X]_{S^n} = \{ X \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : X \sim p \Leftrightarrow p = tX \text{ for some } t \neq 0 \}.$$

$$\langle p, X \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow p = -X.$$

』

Define

$$\gamma_{p,X}(t) = \begin{cases} p + tX & t \neq 1 \\ p & t = 1 \end{cases}.$$

$$\text{Then, } \frac{d}{dt} \gamma_{p,X}(t) \Big|_{t=0} = p + X, \gamma_{p,X}(0) = p = -X$$

$$\Rightarrow [\gamma_{p,X}] \in T_p S^n.$$

$$\circ \circ T_p S^n = \{ X \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \langle p, X \rangle = 0 \}.$$

□

2.3.1. Let $F: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$F(x, y) = (x^2 - 2y, 4x^3y^2)$. For $X = 4x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 3y^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, compute $F_* X$.

proof) For the vector field $X = 4x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 3y^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$,

let $x' = x^2 - 2y$ & $y' = 4x^3y^2$, then

$$F_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{\partial x'}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial y'}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 2x^2y^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

$$F_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) = \frac{\partial x'}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial y'}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 8x^3y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

$$F_* X = 4x F_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) + 3y^2 F_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)$$

$$= -6y^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x^3y^2(24y + 48) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

□

2.3.2. Express the following planar vector fields in polar coordinates.

$$X = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad Y = -y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

What is $[X, Y]$?

proof) Let $x = r \cos \theta$, $y = r \sin \theta$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} &= \frac{\partial x}{\partial r} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\ &= \frac{x}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{y}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{r} X \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -r \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + r \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\ &= -y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = Y \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore X = r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \quad Y = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}.$$

By definition 2.21.(iii), $[X, Y] = \left[r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right] = 0$.

↑
professor Ham's note



2.3.3. In \mathbb{R}^3 , let

$$X = x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \quad \text{and} \quad Y = y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - z \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

Compute $[X, Y]$.

proof) By definition 2.22, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [X, Y] &= \left[x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right] \\ &= \left[x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right]^{(1)} + \left[x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, -z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right]^{(2)} + \\ &\quad \left[-y \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right]^{(3)} + \left[-y \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, -z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right]^{(4)} \end{aligned}$$

By definition 2.21 (iii), we have

$$(1) = x \cdot 0 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + y \cdot 0 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0$$

$$(2) = x \cdot 0 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + (-z) \cdot 0 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0$$

$$(3) = -y \cdot 0 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + y \cdot 0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = 0$$

$$(4) = -y \cdot 0 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - z \cdot 0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = 0$$

$$\therefore [X, Y] = 0$$



2.3.4. Verify Example 2.23.

proof) (i) \mathbb{R}^n is a Lie algebra.

Since $[a, b] = (a+b) - (b+a) = 0$,
bilinear & skew symmetric satisfied.

Check Jacobi identity condition. For $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]]$$

$$= a + [b, c] - ([b, c] + a) + b + [c, a] - ([c, a] + b) \\ + c + [a, b] - ([a, b] + c)$$

$$= a + 0 - (0 + a) + b + 0 - (0 + b) + c + 0 - (0 + c)$$

$$= a - a + b - b + c - c = 0.$$

$\therefore \mathbb{R}^n$ is a Lie algebra.

(ii) $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ is a Lie algebra.

Check only the condition for Jacobi.

$$[A, B] = AB - BA \text{ for } A, B \in GL(n, \mathbb{R}),$$

pick $C \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, then

$$[A, [B, C]] + [B, [C, A]] + [C, [A, B]]$$

$$= A([B, C]) - ([B, C]A) + B([C, A]) - ([C, A]B) \\ + C([A, B]) - ([A, B]C)$$

$$= A(BC - CB) - (BC - CB)A + B(CA - AC) - (CA - AC)B \\ + C(AB - BA) - (AB - BA)C$$

$$= ABC - ACB - BCA + CBA + BCA - BAC - CAB + ACB \\ + CAB - CBA - ABC + BAC$$

$$= 0. \quad \therefore GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \text{ is a Lie algebra.}$$

(iii) \mathbb{R}^3 is a Lie algebra with $[u, v] = u \times v$, $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^3$

Cross product satisfy the skew symmetric condition (°° $u \times v = -v \times u$). and bilinear condition also.

(°° Let $u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}^3$, i, j, k : standard basis of \mathbb{R}^3 .

$$u = u_1 i + u_2 j + u_3 k, \quad v = v_1 i + v_2 j + v_3 k,$$

$$w = w_1 i + w_2 j + w_3 k. \quad \text{Then, for } c \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(cu + v) \times w = c(u \times w) + v \times w.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pf)} \quad (cu + v) \times w &= \begin{vmatrix} i & j & k \\ cu_1 + v_1 & cu_2 + v_2 & cu_3 + v_3 \\ w_1 & w_2 & w_3 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} i & j & k \\ cu_1 & cu_2 & cu_3 \\ w_1 & w_2 & w_3 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} i & j & k \\ v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \\ w_1 & w_2 & w_3 \end{vmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$= c \begin{vmatrix} i & j & k \\ u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \\ w_1 & w_2 & w_3 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} i & j & k \\ v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \\ w_1 & w_2 & w_3 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= c(u \times w) + v \times w. \quad \text{Q.E.D)}$$

Check Jacobi identity condition. For $u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$[u, [v, w]] + [v, [w, u]] + [w, [u, v]]$$

$$= u \times (v \times w) + v \times (w \times u) + w \times (u \times v)$$

$$= (u \cdot w)v - (u \cdot v)w + (v \cdot u)w - (v \cdot w)u$$

$$+ (w \cdot v)u - (w \cdot u)v$$

$$= 0.$$

°° \mathbb{R}^3 is a Lie algebra with $[u, v] = u \times v$ for $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

(iv) $\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{H}$ are Lie algebras $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{H}$ is also a Lie algebra under the bracket

$$[(X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2)] = ([X_1, Y_1], [X_2, Y_2]).$$

① Bilinearity

Clearly we obtain the property after complicate calculation.

Note that $[X_1, Y_1], [X_2, Y_2]$ are satisfy the bilinearity in $\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{H}$, respectively.

② skew-symmetric.

For the simplicity, denote $X_i, Y_i \equiv x_i, y_i$.

$$[(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)] = ([x_1, y_1], [x_2, y_2])$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{H} : \text{Lie algebra} &\longrightarrow = (-[y_1, x_1], -[y_2, x_2]) \\ &= -([y_1, x_1], [y_2, x_2]) \\ &= -[(y_1, x_1), (y_2, x_2)] \end{aligned}$$

③ Jacobi identity

$$\begin{aligned} &[(x_1, y_1), [(x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3)]] \\ &+ [(x_2, y_2), [(x_3, y_3), (x_1, y_1)]] \\ &+ [(x_3, y_3), [(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)]] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

using the previous results we proved and our Lie bracket.



2.3.5. Prove Theorem 2.24.

proof) Check the Jacobi identity.

For the simplicity, denote $X, Y, \dots \equiv x, y, \dots$.

$$[x, [y, z]] + [y, [z, x]] + [z, [x, y]]$$

$$= x(yz - zy) - (yz - zy)x + y(zx - xz) - (zx - xz)y \\ + z(xy - yx) - (xy - yx)z$$

$$= xyz - xzy - yzx + zyx + yxz - yxz - zxy + xzy \\ + zxy - zyx - xyz + yxz$$

$$= 0.$$



2.3.6. Prove Theorem 2.26.

proof) By the assumption, X_i, Y_i are F -related.
i.e. $F_*(X_i) = Y_i$ by definition 2.25.

Claim : $F_*([X_1, X_2]) = [Y_1, Y_2]$.

Choose $g \in C^\infty(M)$ and $x \in N$, then

$$(Y_i g)(F(x)) = (F_*)_x(X_i)(g) = X_i(g \circ F)$$

$$\text{Thus, } (Y_i g) \circ F = X_i(g \circ F) \quad \dots (*)$$

Let $f \in C^\infty(M)$ be arbitrary. Using $(*)$

$$\Rightarrow Y_1(Y_2 f) \circ F = X_1((Y_2 f) \circ F) \quad \dots (**)$$

By $(-*)$, we also obtain

$$(Y_2 f) \circ F = X_2(f \circ F) \text{ and thus}$$

$$(**) = Y_1(Y_2 f) \circ F = X_1(X_2(f \circ F)).$$

Likewise, we get

$$Y_2(Y_1 f) \circ F = X_2(X_1(f \circ F)).$$

$$\text{Hence, } ([Y_1, Y_2] f) \circ F = [X_1, X_2](f \circ F).$$

Therefore, $[Y_1, Y_2]$ is F -related to $[X_1, X_2]$ □

2.3.1. Let $F: N \rightarrow M$ be a diffeomorphism. Prove that for any $Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$, there is a unique $X \in \mathcal{X}(N)$ such that X is F -related to Y .

proof) Assume that X is F -related to Y .

$$\text{i.e. } X_{F(p)} = F_{*p}(Y_p).$$

If F is a diffeomorphism, we define X by

$$X_q = F_{*F^{-1}(q)}(Y_{F^{-1}(q)})$$

Then, it is clear that X is the unique vector field such that F -related to Y .

□

Note that

$X: N \rightarrow TN$, N : manifold, TN : tangent bundle.

Then X is the composition that

$$N \xrightarrow{F^{-1}} M \xrightarrow{X} TM \xrightarrow{dF} TN$$

$\Rightarrow X$ is smooth.

□

□

2.3.8. Express the planar 1-form $\omega = x dx + y dy$ in polar coordinates.

proof) Let $x = r \cos \theta$ and $y = r \sin \theta$.

By Chain rule,

$$dx = \frac{\partial x}{\partial r} dr + \frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta} d\theta = \cos \theta dr - r \sin \theta d\theta$$

$$dy = \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} dr + \frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta} d\theta = \sin \theta dr + r \cos \theta d\theta$$

The differential 1-form ω is expressed by

$$\begin{aligned}\omega &= \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial r} x + \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} y \right) dr + \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta} x + \frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta} y \right) d\theta \\ &= \left(r \cos^2 \theta + r \sin^2 \theta \right) dr + \left(-r^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta + r^2 \cos \theta \sin \theta \right) d\theta \\ &= r dr + 0 d\theta \\ &= r dr.\end{aligned}$$

□