

Small Handbook of Asset Pricing Essentials

Sangmin S. Oh

Contents

1	Introduction	5
	CLASSICAL AP ESSENTIALS	9
2	Portfolio Choice	9
2.1	Mean-Variance	9
2.2	Expected Return - Beta Representations	10
3	Stochastic Discount Factor	11
3.1	SDF Basics	11
4	Present Value Relations	15
5	Dynamic Factor Models	17
6	Estimating and Evaluating Models	19
7	Paper Highlights	21
	SHOULDERS OF GIANTS	25
8	Epstein-Zin Preferences and Long-Run Risks	25
9	Incomplete Markets	27
10	Rare Events and Disasters	29
11	Habit Formation	31
12	Ambiguity Aversion	33
13	Learning	35

14 Production-based Models	37
15 Term Structure	39
PRICING SPECIFIC ASSETS	43
16 Pricing Currencies	43
17 Pricing Volatility	45
18 Pricing Corporate Bonds	47
19 Pricing Government Bonds	49
20 Pricing Equity Strips	51
SELECTED TOPICS	55
21 Asset Pricing around Announcements	55
22 Machine Learning in Asset Pricing	57
23 Demand System Approach	59
24 Subjective Beliefs in Asset Pricing	61
25 Insurance	63
26 International Finance	65
MONETARY POLICY	69
27 Basics of New Keynesian Framework	69
28 Monetary Policy Shocks	71
29 Central Banks and Asset Prices	73

Chapter 1

Introduction

This handbook is designed to facilitate the review of key ideas and concepts necessary for asset pricing research. Much of the material is based primarily on my coursework at Chicago Booth, independent follow-ups, and discussions with my peers.

CLASSICAL AP ESSENTIALS

Chapter 2

Portfolio Choice

2.1 Mean-Variance

CARA-Normal Framework

One useful benchmark is what is referred to as the CARA-Normal framework, in which the agent has CARA utility and the risky asset has returns that are normally distributed. While tractable, a key disadvantage of this approach is that wealth does not affect the amount invested in a risky asset.

CRRA Utility and Mean-Variance

Instead, we now first assume that returns are lognormally distributed. It's appealing to assume that returns are lognormal in a discrete-time model with IID returns because returns will then be lognormal over multiple periods as well. Furthermore, let's introduce CRRA utility:

$$\max \left[\mathbb{E}_t \frac{W_{t+1}^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \right]$$

Then we can show that the investor indeed trades off mean against variance if we assume that investor's wealth is lognormally distributed. To see this, rewrite above as

$$\max[\log \mathbb{E}_t W_{t+1}^{1-\gamma}] = \max \left[(1-\gamma) \mathbb{E}_t w_{t+1} + \frac{1}{2} (1-\gamma)^2 \sigma_{wt}^2 \right]$$

where $w_t = \log W_t$ and σ_{wt}^2 is the conditional variance of log wealth. We can then use the budget constraint $w_{t+1} = r_{p,t+1} + w_t$ to restate the problem:

$$\max \left[\mathbb{E}_t r_{p,t+1} + \frac{1}{2} (1-\gamma) \sigma_{pt}^2 \right] = \max \left[\log \mathbb{E}_t r_{p,t+1} - \frac{\gamma}{2} \sigma_{pt}^2 \right]$$

Thus the investor trades the log of the arithmetic mean return linearly against the variance of the log return.

Mutual Fund Theorem

The mutual fund theorem of Tobin (1958) says that all minimum-variance portfolios can be obtained by mixing just two minimum-variance portfolios in different proportions. Thus, if all investors hold minimum-variance portfolios, all investors hold combinations of just two underlying portfolios or “mutual funds.”

In the presence of a riskless asset, the mutual fund theorem simplifies because one of the mutual funds is the riskless asset and the other, the tangency portfolio, contains only risky assets. Thus it says that all investor, regardless of their risk aversion, should hold risky assets in the same proportion.

Interpreting bonds and stocks as two alternative risky assets, the mutual fund theorem implies that the ratio of bonds to stocks should be constant across recommended portfolios, while the ratio of cash to (bonds + stocks) should move in the same direction as risk aversion. Canner, Mankiw, and Weil (1997) find that the ratio of bonds to stocks moves with risk aversion.

2.2 Expected Return - Beta Representations

Price and Quantity of Risk

It’s common to consider the representation of the following form:

$$\mathbb{E}[R^i] = \alpha + \beta_{i,a}\lambda_a + \beta_{i,b}\lambda_b + \dots$$

It says that assets with higher betas should get higher average returns. $\beta_{i,a}$, often referred to as quantity of risk, is interpreted as the amount of exposure of asset i to factor a risks, and λ_a is the **price of risk**. In other words, for each unit of exposure β to risk factor a , you must provide investors with an expected return premium λ_a .

Chapter 3

Stochastic Discount Factor

3.1 SDF Basics

Existence of SDFs

There are two key theorems regarding the existence of an SDF:

1. There is a discount factor that prices all the payoffs by $P = \mathbb{E}[MX]$ if and only if the law of one price holds.
2. There is a *positive* discount factor that prices all the payoffs by $P = \mathbb{E}[MX]$ if and only if there are no arbitrage opportunities.

These theorems are useful to show that we can use SDFs without implicitly assuming anything about utility functions, aggregate, and market completeness.

Fundamental Equation of Asset Pricing

Law of one price implies that we must have

$$P(X) = \sum_{s=1}^S q(s)X(s) = \sum_{s=1}^S \pi(s) \left(\frac{q(s)}{\pi(s)} \right) X(s) = \mathbb{E}[MX]$$

where $M(s) = q(s)/\pi(s)$ is the ratio of state price to probability for state s .

Risk-Neutral Pricing

We can further rewrite the above equation by defining risk-neutral probabilities as $\pi^*(s)$:

$$\pi^*(s) = R_f q(s) = \frac{M(s)}{\mathbb{E}[M]} \pi(s)$$

which allows us to rewrite the asset pricing equation:

$$P(X) = \frac{1}{R_f} \sum_s 1^S \pi^*(s) X(s) = \frac{1}{R_f} \mathbb{E}^*[X]$$

So the price of any asset is the pseudo-expectation of its payoff, discounted at the riskless interest rate.

3.1.1 Properties of SDFs

Linear Factor Pricing Model

We can show that returns always obey a linear factor pricing model with the SDF as the single factor. To see this, start with the fundamental equation of asset pricing:

$$P_{it} = \mathbb{E}_t[M_{t+1}X_{i,t+1}] = \mathbb{E}_t[M_{t+1}]\mathbb{E}_t[X_{i,t+1}] + \text{Cov}_t(M_{t+1}, X_{i,t+1})$$

Dividing each side by P_{it} and using the fact that $1 + R_{f,t+1} = 1/\mathbb{E}_t[M_{t+1}]$ yields:

$$\mathbb{E}_t[1 + R_{i,t+1}] = (1 + R_{f,t+1})(1 - \text{Cov}_t(M_{t+1}, R_{i,t+1}))$$

which says that expected return on any asset is the riskless return times an adjustment factor for the covariance of return with the SDF.

As a final step, we can subtract the gross risk-free rate from each side:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_t[R_{i,t+1} - R_{f,t+1}] &= -(1 + R_{f,t+1})\text{Cov}_t(M_{t+1}, R_{i,t+1} - R_{f,t+1}) \\ &= \underbrace{-(1 + R_{f,t+1})\text{Var}_t(M_{t+1})}_{\equiv \lambda_{Mt}} \underbrace{\frac{\text{Cov}_t(M_{t+1}, R_{i,t+1} - R_{f,t+1})}{\text{Var}_t(M_{t+1})}}_{\equiv \beta_{iMt}} \end{aligned}$$

We denote λ_{Mt} as the price of risk or the factor risk premium of the SDF. Immediately, we see that it depends on the volatility of the SDF.

Deriving the Hansen-Jagannathan Bound

Hansen–Jagannathan bound, introduced in Hansen and Jagannathan (1991) is a theorem that says that the ratio of the standard deviation of a stochastic discount factor to its mean exceeds the Sharpe ratio attained by any portfolio. Deriving the bound with a risky and a riskless asset is easy. Specifically, write:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_t[R_{i,t+1} - R_{f,t+1}] &= -\frac{\text{Cov}_t(M_{t+1}, R_{i,t+1} - R_{f,t+1})}{\mathbb{E}_t[M_{t+1}]} \\ &\leq \frac{\sigma_t(M_{t+1})\sigma_t(R_{i,t+1} - R_{f,t+1})}{\mathbb{E}_t[M_{t+1}]} \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging therefore yields:

$$\frac{\sigma_t(M_{t+1})}{\mathbb{E}_t[M_{t+1}]} \geq \frac{\mathbb{E}_t[R_{i,t+1} - R_{f,t+1}]}{\sigma_t(R_{i,t+1} - R_{f,t+1})}$$

Hansen and Jagannathan (1991) also derive the bound even when there is no riskfree asset pinning down the mean of the SDF. The idea is to treat the mean

of the SDF as an unknown parameter, and for each possible value of the mean, augment the set of basis assets with a hypothetical riskfree payoff whose return equals $1/\bar{M}$.

Usefulness of the Hansen-Jagannathan Bound

The HJ frontier is commonly used as a quick check on the ability of a parametric asset pricing model to fit the properties of asset returns. The mean and volatility of the SDF can be calculated for different parameter values of the model, and if they fail to satisfy the SDF volatility bounds, then this indicates that the model fails to price the assets.

- For example, Hansen and Jagannathan (1991) calculate SDF volatility bounds using return data on Treasury bills and an aggregate stock index. They find that a simple consumption-based asset pricing model with a power-utility representative agent can only satisfy these bounds if very high risk aversion coefficients are used.

Chapter 4

Present Value Relations

Chapter 5

Dynamic Factor Models

Chapter 6

Estimating and Evaluating Models

Chapter 7

Paper Highlights

7.0.1 Hansen and Jagannathan (1991)

This paper...

SHOULDERS OF GIANTS

Chapter 8

Epstein-Zin Preferences and Long-Run Risks

Chapter 9

Incomplete Markets

Chapter 10

Rare Events and Disasters

Chapter 11

Habit Formation

Chapter 12

Ambiguity Aversion

Chapter 13

Learning

Chapter 14

Production-based Models

Chapter 15

Term Structure

PRICING SPECIFIC ASSETS

Chapter 16

Pricing Currencies

Chapter 17

Pricing Volatility

Chapter 18

Pricing Corporate Bonds

Chapter 19

Pricing Government Bonds

Chapter 20

Pricing Equity Strips

SELECTED TOPICS

Chapter 21

Asset Pricing around Announcements

Chapter 22

Machine Learning in Asset Pricing

Chapter 23

Demand System Approach

Chapter 24

Subjective Beliefs in Asset Pricing

Chapter 25

Insurance

Chapter 26

International Finance

MONETARY POLICY

Chapter 27

Basics of New Keynesian Framework

Chapter 28

Monetary Policy Shocks

Chapter 29

Central Banks and Asset Prices