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| **Group Id: 01** | |  | | **Date: 02/03/2022** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
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| **Sr.No.** | **RollNo.** | **Student Name** | **Contact Details** | **Internal / External Guide Details** |
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| **PROJECT STATEMENT** | |
| --- | --- |
| 1. Is the statement short and concise (10-20 words maximum)? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| 2. Does the statement give a clear indication of what your project will accomplish? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| 3. Can a person who is not familiar with the project understand the scope of the project by reading the Project Problem Statement? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| **REQUIREMENT: SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES** | |
| Does the Scope and Objectives establish the "context" for the proposed project by referencing to the following elements: |  |
| a. Are all aspects of the requirements document (i.e., Functional Spec.) addressed in the design? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| b. Is the architecture / block diagram well defined and understood? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| c. The project's objective of study(what product, process, resource etc.) is being addressed? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| d. The project's purpose: is the purpose of project addressed properly (why it's being pursued: to evaluate, reduce, increase, etc.)? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| e. The project's viewpoint: Is the project’s viewpoint is understood? (Who is the project's end user)? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| f. Is the project goal statement is in alignment with the sponsoring organization’s business goals and mission? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| **ANALYSIS** | |
| 1. Is information domain analysis complete, consistent and accurate? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| 2. Is problem statement categorized in identified area and targeted towards specific area therein? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| 3. Are external and internal interfaces properly defined? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| 4. Does the Use Case Model properly reflects the actors and their roles and responsibilities? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| 5. Are all requirements traceable to system level? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| 6. Is similar type of methodology / model is used for existing work? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| 7. Are requirements consistent with schedule, resources and budget? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |

| **DESIGN** | |
| --- | --- |
| 1. Are requirements reflected in the system architecture? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| 2. Does the design support both project (product) and project goals? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| 3. Does the design address all the issues from the requirements? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| 4. Is effective modularity achieved and modules are functionally independent? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| 5. Are structural diagrams (Class, Object, etc.) well defined and understood? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| 6. Are all class associations clearly defined and understood? (Is it clear which classes provide which services)? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| 7. Are the classes in the class diagram clear? (What they represent in the architecture design document?) | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| 8. Is inheritance appropriately used? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| 9. Are the multiplicities in the use case diagram depicted in the class diagram? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| 10. Are behavioral diagrams (use case, sequence, activity, etc.) well defined and understood? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| 11. Is aggregation/containment (if used) clearly defined and understood? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| 12. Does each case have clearly defined actors and input/output? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| 13. Is all concurrent processing (if used) clearly understood and reflected in the sequence diagrams? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| 14. Are all objects used in sequence diagram? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| 15. Does the sequence diagram match class diagram? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |
| 16. Are the symbols used in all diagrams correspond to UML standards? | Y / N / NA / NC\* |

**STUDENT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION**

| **Students’ Contribution and Performance** | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Particulars** | **Marks(50M)** | | | |
| **Group Members** | | | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| System Architecture & Literature Survey | Y/N | Y/N | Y/N | Y/N |
| 1. Background and Topic (5 M) |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Project Scope and Objectives (5M) |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Literature Survey (5 M) |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Project Design (10 M) |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Methodology /Algorithms and Project Features (5 M) |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Project Planning (3 M) |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Basic Implementation (5 M) |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Presentation Skills ( 5 M) |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Question and Answer (5 M) |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Summarization of ultimate findings of the Project (2M) |  |  |  |  |
| **Total(50M)** |  |  |  |  |
| **Comments (if any)** | | | | |

# To be filled by internal guide & reviewer(s) only.

* Whether the presentation/evaluation is as per the schedule. : YES / NO (If NO mention the reasons for the same.)

**Review – I: Deliverables**

* Problem Statement / Title
* Abstract
* Introduction
* Literature Survey (comparison with existing system)
* Methodology
* Design / algorithms / techniques used
* Modules Split-up
* Proposed System
* Software Tools / Technologies to be used
* Proposed Outcomes
* Project Plan 2.0
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