

# Omnizart: A General Toolbox for Automatic Music Transcription

Yu-Te Wu<sup>1</sup>, Yin-Jyun Luo<sup>1</sup>, Tsung-Ping Chen<sup>1</sup>, I-Chieh Wei<sup>1</sup>, Jui-Yang Hsu<sup>1</sup>, Yi-Chin Chuang<sup>1</sup>, and Li Su<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Music and Culture Technology Lab, Institute of Information Science, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan

DOI: [10.21105/joss.03391](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03391)

## Software

- [Review](#) ↗
- [Repository](#) ↗
- [Archive](#) ↗

Editor: [Fabian-Robert Stöter](#) ↗

## Reviewers:

- [@hagenw](#)
- [@keunwoochoi](#)

Submitted: 24 April 2021

Published: 21 June 2021

## License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

## Summary

We present and release Omnizart, a new Python library that provides a streamlined solution to automatic music transcription (AMT). Omnizart encompasses modules that construct the life-cycle of deep learning-based AMT, and is designed for ease of use with a compact command-line interface. To the best of our knowledge, Omnizart is the first transcription toolkit which offers models covering a wide class of instruments ranging from solo, instrument ensembles, percussion instruments to vocal, as well as models for chord recognition and beat/downbeat tracking, two music information retrieval (MIR) tasks highly related to AMT. In summary, Omnizart incorporates:

- Pre-trained models for frame-level and note-level transcription of multiple pitched instruments, vocal melody, and drum events;
- Pre-trained models of chord recognition and beat/downbeat tracking;
- Main functionalities in the life-cycle of AMT research, covering from dataset downloading, feature pre-processing, model training, to sonification of the transcription result.

Omnizart is based on Tensorflow ([Abadi et al., 2016](#)). The complete code base, command-line interface, documentation, as well as demo examples can all be accessed from the [project website](#).

## Statement of need

AMT has been one of the core challenges in MIR because of the multifaceted nature of musical signals. Typically, streams of musical notes performed with various instruments overlap with each other and then create a hierarchy of abstraction. This complicates the task to identify the melodic, timbral, and rhythmic attributes of the music.

While the majority of the previous solution focuses on single-instrument transcription, Omnizart collects several state-of-the-art (SoTA) models for transcribing multiple pitched and percussive instruments, as well as vocal out of the interference with rich music polyphony. Omnizart also finds its applicability for chord recognition and beat tracking. As such, the proposed library offers a unified solution to music transcription for multi-track and modalities.

In short conclusion, Omnizart represents an AMT tool which unifies multiple transcription utilities and enables further productivity. Omnizart can save one's time and labor in generating massive amount of multi-track MIDI files, which could have a great impact on music production, music generation, education, and musicology research.

## Implementation Details

### Piano solo transcription

The piano solo transcription model in Omnizart reproduces the implementation of (Wu et al., 2020). The model features a U-net which takes as inputs the audio spectrogram, generalized cepstrum (GC) (Li Su & Yang, 2015), and GC of spectrogram (GCoS) (Wu et al., 2018), and outputs a multi-channel time-pitch representation with time- and pitch-resolution of 20ms and 25 cents, respectively. For the U-net, implementation of the encoder and the decoder follows DeepLabV3+ (L.-C. Chen et al., 2018), and the bottleneck layer is adapted from the Image Transformer (Parmar et al., 2018).

The model is trained on the MAESTRO dataset (Hawthorne et al., 2019), an external dataset containing 1,184 real piano performance recordings with a total length of 172.3 hours. The model achieves 72.50% and 79.57% for frame- and note-level F1-scores, respectively, on the Configuration-II test set of the MAPS dataset (Kelz et al., 2016).

### Multi-instrument polyphonic transcription

The multi-instrument transcription model extends the piano solo model to support 11 output classes, namely piano, violin, viola, cello, flute, horn, bassoon, clarinet, harpsichord, contrabass, and oboe, accessed from MusicNet (Thickstun et al., 2017). Notably, the model allows for *instrument-agnostic transcription* where the instruments to transcribe are unknown during inference (Wu et al., 2020). The evaluation on the test set from MusicNet (Thickstun et al., 2018) yields 66.59% for the note streaming task.

### Drum transcription

The model for drum transcription is a re-implementation of (Wei et al., 2021) which predicts percussive events from a given input audio. Building blocks of the network include convolutional layers and the attention mechanism.

The model is trained on a dataset with 1,454 audio clips of polyphonic music with synchronized drum events (Wei et al., 2021). The model demonstrates SoTA performance on two commonly used benchmark datasets, i.e., 74% for ENST (Gillet & Richard, 2006) and 71% for MDB-Drums (Southall et al., 2017) in terms of the note-level F1-score.

### Vocal transcription in polyphonic music

The system for vocal transcription features a pitch extractor and a module for note segmentation. The inputs to the model are composed of spectrogram, GS, and GCoS derived from polyphonic music recordings (Wu et al., 2018).

A pre-trained Patch-CNN (L. Su, 2018) is leveraged as the pitch extractor. The module for note segmentation is implemented with PyramidNet-110 and ShakeDrop regularization (Yamada et al., 2019), which is trained using Virtual Adversarial Training (Miyato et al., 2018) enabling semi-supervised learning.

The training includes labeled data from TONAS (Mora et al., 2010) and unlabeled ones from MIR-1K (Hsu & Jang, 2009). The model yields the SoTA F1-score of 68.4% evaluated with the ISMIR2014 dataset (Molina et al., 2014).

## 77 Chord recognition

78 The harmony recognition function of Omnizart is implemented using the Harmony Transformer  
79 (HT) (T.-P. Chen & Su, 2019). The HT model is based on an encoder-decoder architecture,  
80 where the encoder performs chord segmentation on the input, and the decoder recognizes the  
81 chord progression based on the segmentation result.

82 The original HT supports both audio and symbolic inputs. Currently, Omnizart supports only  
83 audio inputs. A given audio input is pre-processed using Chordino VAMP plugin (Mauch  
84 & Dixon, 2010) as the non-negative-least-squares chromagram. The outputs of the model  
85 include 25 chord types, covering 12 major and minor chords together with a class referred to  
86 the absence of chord, with a time resolution of 230ms.

87 In an experiment with evaluations on the McGill Billboard dataset (Burgoyne et al., 2011),  
88 the HT outperforms the previous SoTAs (T.-P. Chen & Su, 2019).

## 89 Beat/downbeat tracking

90 The model for beat and downbeat tracking provided in Omnizart is a reproduction of (Chuang  
91 & Su, 2020). Unlike most of the available open-source projects such as madmom (Böck et al.,  
92 2016) and librosa (McFee et al., 2015) which focus on audio, the provided model targets  
93 symbolic data.

94 The input and output of the model are respectively MIDI and beat/downbeat positions with  
95 the time resolution of 10ms. The input representation combines piano-roll, spectral flux, and  
96 inter-onset interval extracted from MIDI. The model composes a two-layer BLSTM network  
97 with the attention mechanism, and predict probabilities of the presence of beat and downbeat  
98 per time step.

99 Experiments on the MusicNet dataset (Thickstun et al., 2018) with the synchronized beat  
100 annotation show that the proposed model outperforms the SoTA beat trackers which operate  
101 on synthesized audio (Chuang & Su, 2020).

## 102 Conclusion

103 Omnizart represents the first systematic solution for the polyphonic AMT of general music  
104 contents ranging from pitched instruments, percussion instrument, to voice. In addition to  
105 note transcription, Omnizart also includes high-level MIR tasks such as chord recognition and  
106 beat/downbeat tracking. As an ongoing project, the research group will keep refining the  
107 package and also extending the scope of transcription in the future.

## 108 References

- 109 Abadi, M., Barham, P., Chen, J., Chen, Z., Davis, A., Dean, J., Devin, M., Ghemawat, S.,  
110 Irving, G., Isard, M., & others. (2016). Tensorflow: A system for large-scale machine  
111 learning. *12th USENIX Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation*  
112 (*OSDI 16*), 265–283.
- 113 Böck, S., Korzeniowski, F., Schlüter, J., Krebs, F., & Widmer, G. (2016). Madmom: A  
114 new python audio and music signal processing library. *Proceedings of the 24th ACM*  
115 *International Conference on Multimedia*, 1174–1178.

- 116 Burgoyne, J. A., Wild, J., & Fujinaga, I. (2011). An expert ground truth set for audio chord  
117 recognition and music analysis. *Proceedings of the 12th International Society for Music*  
118 *Information Retrieval Conference (ISMIR)*, 633–638.
- 119 Chen, L.-C., Zhu, Y., George, P., Florian, S., & Hartwig, A. (2018). Encoder-decoder  
120 with atrous separable convolution for semantic image segmentation. *arXiv Preprint*  
121 *arXiv:1802.02611*. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-01234-2\\_49](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-01234-2_49)
- 122 Chen, T.-P., & Su, L. (2019). Harmony transformer: Incorporating chord segmentation into  
123 harmony recognition. *Proc. ISMIR*.
- 124 Chuang, Y.-C., & Su, L. (2020). Beat and downbeat tracking of symbolic music data us-  
125 ing deep recurrent neural networks. *2020 Asia-Pacific Signal and Information Processing*  
126 *Association Annual Summit and Conference (APSIPA ASC)*, 346–352.
- 127 Gillet, O., & Richard, G. (2006). ENST-drums: An extensive audio-visual database for drum  
128 signals processing. *ISMIR*, 156–159.
- 129 Hawthorne, C., Stasyuk, A., Roberts, A., Simon, I., Huang, C.-Z. A., Dieleman, S., Elsen, E.,  
130 Engel, J. H., & Eck, D. (2019). Enabling Factorized Piano Music Modeling and Generation  
131 with the MAESTRO Dataset. *7th International Conference on Learning Representations*  
132 *(ICLR)*.
- 133 Hsu, C.-L., & Jang, J.-S. R. (2009). On the improvement of singing voice separation for  
134 monaural recordings using the MIR-1K dataset. *IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech, and*  
135 *Language Processing*, 18(2), 310–319. <https://doi.org/10.1109/tasl.2009.2026503>
- 136 Kelz, R., Dorfer, M., Korzeniowski, F., Böck, S., Arzt, A., & Widmer, G. (2016). On the  
137 Potential of Simple Framewise Approaches to Piano Transcription. *Proceedings of the*  
138 *17th International Society for Music Information Retrieval Conference (ISMIR)*, 475–481.
- 139 Mauch, M., & Dixon, S. (2010). Approximate note transcription for the improved identifica-  
140 tion of difficult chords. *Proceedings of the 11th International Society for Music Information*  
141 *Retrieval Conference (ISMIR)*, 135–140.
- 142 McFee, B., Raffel, C., Liang, D., Ellis, D. P., McVicar, M., Battenberg, E., & Nieto, O.  
143 (2015). Librosa: Audio and music signal analysis in python. *Proceedings of the 14th*  
144 *Python in Science Conference*, 8, 18–25. <https://doi.org/10.25080/majora-7b98e3ed-003>
- 145 Miyato, T., Maeda, S., Koyama, M., & Ishii, S. (2018). Virtual adversarial training: A  
146 regularization method for supervised and semi-supervised learning. *IEEE TPAMI*, 41(8),  
147 1979–1993. <https://doi.org/10.1109/tpami.2018.2858821>
- 148 Molina, E., Barbancho-Perez, A. M., Tardón, L. J., Barbancho-Perez, I., & others. (2014).  
149 Evaluation framework for automatic singing transcription. *Proc. ISMIR*.
- 150 Mora, J., Gómez, F., Gómez, E., Escobar-Borrego, F., & Díaz-Báñez, J. M. (2010). Char-  
151 acterization and melodic similarity of a cappella flamenco cantes. *Proceedings of ISMIR*,  
152 9–13.
- 153 Parmar, N., Vaswani, A., Uszkoreit, J., Kaiser, L., Shazeer, N., Ku, A., & Tran, D. (2018).  
154 Image Transformer. *Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on Machine Learning*  
155 *(ICML)*, 4052–4061.
- 156 Southall, C., Wu, C.-W., Lerch, A., & Hockman, J. (2017). *MDB drums: An annotated*  
157 *subset of MedleyDB for automatic drum transcription*.
- 158 Su, L. (2018). Vocal melody extraction using patch-based CNN. *Proc. ICASSP*, 371–375.  
159 <https://doi.org/10.1109/icassp.2018.8462420>
- 160 Su, Li, & Yang, Y.-H. (2015). Combining Spectral and Temporal Representations for Mul-  
161 tipitch Estimation of Polyphonic Music. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and*  
162 *Language Processing*, 23(10), 1600–1612. <https://doi.org/10.1109/taslp.2015.2442411>

- 163 Thickstun, J., Harchaoui, Z., Foster, D. P., & Kakade, S. M. (2018). Invariances and  
164 Data Augmentation for Supervised Music Transcription. *IEEE International Conference*  
165 *on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2241–2245. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1109/icassp.2018.8461686)  
166 [1109/icassp.2018.8461686](https://doi.org/10.1109/icassp.2018.8461686)
- 167 Thickstun, J., Harchaoui, Z., & Kakade, S. M. (2017). Learning features of music from  
168 scratch. *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*.
- 169 Wei, I.-C., Wu, C.-W., & Su, L. (2021). Improving automatic drum transcription using large-  
170 scale audio-to-midi aligned data. *Proc. ICASSP*. [https://doi.org/10.1109/icassp39728.](https://doi.org/10.1109/icassp39728.2021.9414409)  
171 [2021.9414409](https://doi.org/10.1109/icassp39728.2021.9414409)
- 172 Wu, Y.-T., Chen, B., & Su, L. (2018). Automatic Music Transcription Leveraging Generalized  
173 Cepstral Features and Deep Learning. *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech*  
174 *and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 401–405. <https://doi.org/10.1109/icassp.2018.8462079>
- 175 Wu, Y.-T., Chen, B., & Su, L. (2020). Multi-instrument automatic music transcription with  
176 self-attention-based instance segmentation. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech,*  
177 *and Language Processing*, 28, 2796–2809. <https://doi.org/10.1109/taslp.2020.3030482>
- 178 Yamada, Y., Iwamura, M., Akiba, T., & Kise, K. (2019). Shakedrop regularization for deep  
179 residual learning. *IEEE Access*, 7, 186126–186136. [https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2019.](https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2019.2960566)  
180 [2960566](https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2019.2960566)

DRAFT