

home2park: An R package to assess the spatial provision of urban parks

Xiao Ping Song¹ and Kwek Yan Chong¹

¹ Department of Biological Sciences, National University of Singapore

DOI: [10.21105/joss.03486](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03486)

Software

- [Review](#) ↗
- [Repository](#) ↗
- [Archive](#) ↗

Editor: [Pending Editor](#) ↗

Submitted: 11 July 2021

Published: 18 July 2021

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)).

Summary

In the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, significant changes to human mobility and working environments have prompted a re-think of land use distribution in the city, particularly for office, residential and public spaces such as parks. One prominent trend is a rising demand for the outdoors, as urban dwellers turn to local parks for recreation and leisure (Venter et al., 2020). However, current metrics of park provision tend to focus on summaries of park area within a given region (Tan & Samsudin, 2017). There is a need to characterise the wide variety of parks that serve different groups of people (Song, Richards, He, et al., 2020; Song, Richards, & Tan, 2020), and to deal with the limitations of artificial boundaries when planning at fine spatial scales.

Statement of Need

home2park is an R package that provides a way to measure multiple aspects of park provision to homes, at the resolution of individual buildings. Coarse-scale population data is re-distributed into residential buildings using 'dasymetric mapping' (Dmowska, 2019), which helps highlight important areas where more people will benefit from the presence of parks. Multiple attributes important for recreation (e.g. dense vegetation, length of waterfronts, open spaces, trails, etc.) may be summarised at each park, and the supply of each attribute to residential buildings can be calculated while accounting for 'distance decay,' or the fact that supply from parks further away are reduced (Rossi et al., 2015; Tu et al., 2020). If user data are unavailable, home2park downloads and processes relevant data from OpenStreetMap using the R package osmextract (Lovelace, 2019). The user may also supply data for new buildings and parks, for the purpose of future scenario planning. By providing fine-grained measures for a variety of park attributes, we hope that home2park will contribute toward a more nuanced understanding of recreation that will improve the planning and design of parks and homes across the city.

Acknowledgements

We thank Edwin Y. W. Tan and Justin K. H. Nai for useful discussions on the methodology.

References

- Dmowska, A. (2019). Dasymetric modelling of population distribution - Large data approach. *Quaestiones Geographicae*, 38(1), 15–27. <https://doi.org/10.2478/quageo-2019-0008>

- 35 Lovelace, R. (2019). *Osmextract*. [https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/osmextract/](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/osmextract/index.html)
36 [index.html](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/osmextract/index.html)
- 37 Rossi, S. D., Byrne, J. A., & Pickering, C. M. (2015). The role of distance in peri-urban
38 national park use: Who visits them and how far do they travel? *Applied Geography*, 63,
39 77–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2015.06.008>
- 40 Song, X. P., Richards, D. R., He, P., & Tan, P. Y. (2020). Does geo-located social media
41 reflect the visit frequency of urban parks? A city-wide analysis using the count and content
42 of photographs. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 203, 103908. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2020.103908)
43 [j.landurbplan.2020.103908](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2020.103908)
- 44 Song, X. P., Richards, D. R., & Tan, P. Y. (2020). Using social media user attributes to
45 understand human–environment interactions at urban parks. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1),
46 808. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-57864-4>
- 47 Tan, P. Y., & Samsudin, R. (2017). Effects of spatial scale on assessment of spatial equity of
48 urban park provision. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 158, 139–154. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2016.11.001)
49 [1016/j.landurbplan.2016.11.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2016.11.001)
- 50 Tu, X., Huang, G., Wu, J., & Guo, X. (2020). How do travel distance and park size influence
51 urban park visits? *Urban Forestry and Urban Greening*, 52(August 2019), 126689. [https:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2020.126689)
52 [//doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2020.126689](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2020.126689)
- 53 Venter, Z. S., Barton, D. N., Gundersen, V., Figari, H., & Nowell, M. (2020). Urban na-
54 ture in a time of crisis: Recreational use of green space increases during the COVID-19
55 outbreak in Oslo, Norway. *Environmental Research Letters*, 15(10). [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abb396)
56 [1088/1748-9326/abb396](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abb396)