



CS 228 : Logic in Computer Science

Krishna. S

Rules for Natural Deduction

The rule of double negation elimination $\neg\neg e$

$$\frac{\neg\neg\varphi}{\varphi}$$

The rule of double negation introduction $\neg\neg i$

$$\frac{\varphi}{\neg\neg\varphi}$$

Rules for Natural Deduction

The **implies elimination rule** or Modus Ponens MP

$$\frac{\varphi \quad \varphi \rightarrow \psi}{\psi}$$

A Second Proof

- ▶ Show that $p, p \rightarrow q, p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \neg\neg r) \vdash r$
 1. $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \neg\neg r)$ premise
 - 2.

A Second Proof

► Show that $p, p \rightarrow q, p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \neg\neg r) \vdash r$

1. $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \neg\neg r)$ premise
2. $p \rightarrow q$ premise
- 3.

A Second Proof

► Show that $p, p \rightarrow q, p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \neg\neg r) \vdash r$

1. $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \neg\neg r)$ premise
2. $p \rightarrow q$ premise
3. p premise
- 4.

A Second Proof

► Show that $p, p \rightarrow q, p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \neg\neg r) \vdash r$

- | | | |
|----|--|---------|
| 1. | $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \neg\neg r)$ | premise |
| 2. | $p \rightarrow q$ | premise |
| 3. | p | premise |
| 4. | $q \rightarrow \neg\neg r$ | MP 1,3 |
| 5. | | |

A Second Proof

- Show that $p, p \rightarrow q, p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \neg\neg r) \vdash r$

1.	$p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \neg\neg r)$	premise
2.	$p \rightarrow q$	premise
3.	p	premise
4.	$q \rightarrow \neg\neg r$	MP 1,3
5.	q	MP 2,3
6.		

A Second Proof

► Show that $p, p \rightarrow q, p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \neg\neg r) \vdash r$

- | | | |
|----|--|---------|
| 1. | $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \neg\neg r)$ | premise |
| 2. | $p \rightarrow q$ | premise |
| 3. | p | premise |
| 4. | $q \rightarrow \neg\neg r$ | MP 1,3 |
| 5. | q | MP 2,3 |
| 6. | $\neg\neg r$ | MP 4,5 |
| 7. | | |

A Second Proof

► Show that $p, p \rightarrow q, p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \neg\neg r) \vdash r$

1.	$p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \neg\neg r)$	premise
2.	$p \rightarrow q$	premise
3.	p	premise
4.	$q \rightarrow \neg\neg r$	MP 1,3
5.	q	MP 2,3
6.	$\neg\neg r$	MP 4,5
7.	r	$\neg\neg e$ 6

Rules for Natural Deduction

Another **implies elimination rule** or Modus Tollens MT

$$\frac{\varphi \rightarrow \psi \quad \neg \psi}{\neg \varphi}$$

A Proof

► Show that $p \rightarrow \neg q, q \vdash \neg p$

1. $p \rightarrow \neg q$ premise

2.

A Proof

► Show that $p \rightarrow \neg q, q \vdash \neg p$

1. $p \rightarrow \neg q$ premise
2. q premise
- 3.

A Proof

► Show that $p \rightarrow \neg q, q \vdash \neg p$

1. $p \rightarrow \neg q$ premise
2. q premise
3. $\neg\neg q$ $\neg\neg i$ 2
- 4.

A Proof

► Show that $p \rightarrow \neg q, q \vdash \neg p$

- | | | |
|----|------------------------|----------------|
| 1. | $p \rightarrow \neg q$ | premise |
| 2. | q | premise |
| 3. | $\neg\neg q$ | $\neg\neg i$ 2 |
| 4. | $\neg p$ | MT 1,3 |

More Rules

- ▶ Can we prove $p \rightarrow q \vdash \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$?

More Rules

- ▶ Can we prove $p \rightarrow q \vdash \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$?
- ▶ So far, no proof rule that can do this.

More Rules

- ▶ Can we prove $p \rightarrow q \vdash \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$?
- ▶ So far, no proof rule that can do this.
- ▶ Given $p \rightarrow q$, let us assume $\neg q$. Can we then prove $\neg p$?

More Rules

- ▶ Can we prove $p \rightarrow q \vdash \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$?
- ▶ So far, no proof rule that can do this.
- ▶ Given $p \rightarrow q$, let us assume $\neg q$. Can we then prove $\neg p$?
- ▶ Yes, using MT.

The implies introduction rule $\rightarrow i$

► $p \rightarrow q \vdash \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$

1. $p \rightarrow q$ premise

2. $\neg q$ assumption

3. $\neg p$ MT 1,2

4. $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ $\rightarrow i$ 2-3

More on \rightarrow *i*

► $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$

1. *true*

premise

2.

More on $\rightarrow i$

► $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	$q \rightarrow r$	assumption
3.		

More on $\rightarrow i$

► $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	$q \rightarrow r$	assumption
3.	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	assumption
4.		

More on $\rightarrow i$

► $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	$q \rightarrow r$	assumption
3.	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	assumption
4.	p	assumption
5.		

More on $\rightarrow i$

► $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	$q \rightarrow r$	assumption
3.	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	assumption
4.	p	assumption
5.	$\neg\neg p$	$\neg\neg i$ 4
6.		

More on $\rightarrow i$

► $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	$q \rightarrow r$	assumption
3.	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	assumption
4.	p	assumption
5.	$\neg\neg p$	$\neg\neg i$ 4
6.	$\neg\neg q$	MT 3,5
7.		

More on $\rightarrow i$

► $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	$q \rightarrow r$	assumption
3.	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	assumption
4.	p	assumption
5.	$\neg\neg p$	$\neg\neg i$ 4
6.	$\neg\neg q$	MT 3,5
7.	q	$\neg\neg e$ 6
8.		

More on $\rightarrow i$

► $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	$q \rightarrow r$	assumption
3.	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	assumption
4.	p	assumption
5.	$\neg\neg p$	$\neg\neg i$ 4
6.	$\neg\neg q$	MT 3,5
7.	q	$\neg\neg e$ 6
8.	r	MP 2,7

More on $\rightarrow i$

► $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	$q \rightarrow r$	assumption
3.	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	assumption
4.	p	assumption
5.	$\neg\neg p$	$\neg\neg i$ 4
6.	$\neg\neg q$	MT 3,5
7.	q	$\neg\neg e$ 6
8.	r	MP 2,7
9.	$p \rightarrow r$	$\rightarrow i$ 4-8

More on $\rightarrow i$

► $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	$q \rightarrow r$	assumption
3.	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	assumption
4.	p	assumption
5.	$\neg\neg p$	$\neg\neg i$ 4
6.	$\neg\neg q$	MT 3,5
7.	q	$\neg\neg e$ 6
8.	r	MP 2,7
9.	$p \rightarrow r$	$\rightarrow i$ 4-8
10.	$(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$	$\rightarrow i$ 3-9
11.		

More on $\rightarrow i$

► $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	$q \rightarrow r$	assumption
3.	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	assumption
4.	p	assumption
5.	$\neg\neg p$	$\neg\neg i$ 4
6.	$\neg\neg q$	MT 3,5
7.	q	$\neg\neg e$ 6
8.	r	MP 2,7
9.	$p \rightarrow r$	$\rightarrow i$ 4-8
10.	$(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$	$\rightarrow i$ 3-9
11.	$(q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$	$\rightarrow i$ 2-10

Transforming Proofs

- ▶ Knowing the proof of $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$, can you prove

Transforming Proofs

- ▶ Knowing the proof of $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$, can you prove
 - ▶ $q \rightarrow r \vdash (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$

Transforming Proofs

- ▶ Knowing the proof of $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$, can you prove
 - ▶ $q \rightarrow r \vdash (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$
 - ▶ $(q \rightarrow r), (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \vdash p \rightarrow r$

Transforming Proofs

- ▶ Knowing the proof of $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$, can you prove
 - ▶ $q \rightarrow r \vdash (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$
 - ▶ $(q \rightarrow r), (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \vdash p \rightarrow r$
 - ▶ $(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \vdash [(q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$

Transforming Proofs

- ▶ Knowing the proof of $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$, can you prove
 - ▶ $q \rightarrow r \vdash (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$
 - ▶ $(q \rightarrow r), (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \vdash p \rightarrow r$
 - ▶ $(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \vdash [(q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$
 - ▶ $(q \rightarrow r), (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p), p \vdash r$
- ▶ Knowing the proof of any of the above 4 sequents, can you prove $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$?

Transforming Proofs

- ▶ Knowing the proof of $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$, can you prove
 - ▶ $q \rightarrow r \vdash (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$
 - ▶ $(q \rightarrow r), (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \vdash p \rightarrow r$
 - ▶ $(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \vdash [(q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$
 - ▶ $(q \rightarrow r), (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p), p \vdash r$
- ▶ Knowing the proof of any of the above 4 sequents, can you prove $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$?
- ▶ Transform any proof $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \vdash \psi$ to $\vdash \varphi_1 \rightarrow (\varphi_2 \rightarrow \dots (\varphi_n \rightarrow \psi) \dots)$ by adding n lines of the rule $\rightarrow i$
- ▶ See an example : transform proof of $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \vdash (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$ into that of $\vdash p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r]$

An Example for Proof Transformation

► $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \vdash (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$

1. $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$ premise

2.

An Example for Proof Transformation

► $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \vdash (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$

1. $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$ premise

2. $p \wedge q$ assumption

3.

An Example for Proof Transformation

► $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \vdash (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$

1. $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$ premise

2. $p \wedge q$ assumption

3. p $\wedge e_1$ 2

4.

An Example for Proof Transformation

► $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \vdash (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$

1. $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$ premise

2. $p \wedge q$ assumption

3. p $\wedge e_1$ 2

4. q $\wedge e_2$ 2

5.

An Example for Proof Transformation

► $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \vdash (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$

1.	$p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$	premise
2.	$p \wedge q$	assumption
3.	p	$\wedge e_1$ 2
4.	q	$\wedge e_2$ 2
5.	$q \rightarrow r$	MP 1,3
6.		

An Example for Proof Transformation

► $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \vdash (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$

1. $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$ premise

2. $p \wedge q$ assumption

3. p $\wedge e_1$ 2

4. q $\wedge e_2$ 2

5. $q \rightarrow r$ MP 1,3

6. r MP 4,5

7.

An Example for Proof Transformation

► $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \vdash (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$

1. $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$ premise

2. $p \wedge q$ assumption

3. p $\wedge e_1$ 2

4. q $\wedge e_2$ 2

5. $q \rightarrow r$ MP 1,3

6. r MP 4,5

7. $p \wedge q \rightarrow r$ $\rightarrow i$ 2-6

Transform proof

► $\vdash [p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow [(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r]$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	$p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$	assumption
3.		

Transform proof

► $\vdash [p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow [(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r]$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	$p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$	assumption
3.	$p \wedge q$	assumption
4.		

Transform proof

► $\vdash [p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow [(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r]$

1.	$true$	premise
2.	$p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$	assumption
3.	$p \wedge q$	assumption
4.	p	$\wedge e_1$ 3
5.		

Transform proof

► $\vdash [p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow [(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r]$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	$p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$	assumption
3.	$p \wedge q$	assumption
4.	p	$\wedge e_1$ 3
5.	q	$\wedge e_2$ 3
6.		

Transform proof

► $\vdash [p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow [(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r]$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	$p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$	assumption
3.	$p \wedge q$	assumption
4.	p	$\wedge e_1$ 3
5.	q	$\wedge e_2$ 3
6.	$q \rightarrow r$	MP 2,4
7.		

Transform proof

► $\vdash [p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow [(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r]$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	$p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$	assumption
3.	$p \wedge q$	assumption
4.	p	$\wedge e_1$ 3
5.	q	$\wedge e_2$ 3
6.	$q \rightarrow r$	MP 2,4
7.	r	MP 5,6
8.		

Transform proof

► $\vdash [p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow [(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r]$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	$p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$	assumption
3.	$p \wedge q$	assumption
4.	p	$\wedge e_1$ 3
5.	q	$\wedge e_2$ 3
6.	$q \rightarrow r$	MP 2,4
7.	r	MP 5,6
8.	$p \wedge q \rightarrow r$	$\rightarrow i$ 3-7
9.	$[p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow [(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r]$	$\rightarrow i$ 2-8

More Rules

The or introduction rule $\vee i_1$

$$\frac{\varphi}{\varphi \vee \psi}$$

The or introduction rule $\vee i_2$

$$\frac{\psi}{\varphi \vee \psi}$$

More Rules

The or elimination rule $\vee e$

$$\frac{\varphi \vee \psi \quad \varphi \vdash \chi \quad \psi \vdash \chi}{\chi}$$

Or Elimination Example

► $q \rightarrow r \vdash (p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \vee r)$

1. $q \rightarrow r$ premise

2.

Or Elimination Example

► $q \rightarrow r \vdash (p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \vee r)$

1.	$q \rightarrow r$	premise
2.	$p \vee q$	assumption
3.		

Or Elimination Example

► $q \rightarrow r \vdash (p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \vee r)$

1.	$q \rightarrow r$	premise
2.	$p \vee q$	assumption
3.	p	assumption
4.		

Or Elimination Example

► $q \rightarrow r \vdash (p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \vee r)$

1.	$q \rightarrow r$	premise
2.	$p \vee q$	assumption
3.	p	assumption
4.	$p \vee r$	$\vee i_1$ 3
5.		

Or Elimination Example

► $q \rightarrow r \vdash (p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \vee r)$

1.	$q \rightarrow r$	premise
2.	$p \vee q$	assumption
3.	p	assumption
4.	$p \vee r$	$\vee i_1$ 3
5.	q	assumption
6.		

Or Elimination Example

► $q \rightarrow r \vdash (p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \vee r)$

1.	$q \rightarrow r$	premise
2.	$p \vee q$	assumption
3.	p	assumption
4.	$p \vee r$	$\vee i_1$ 3
5.	q	assumption
6.	r	MP 1,5
7.		

Or Elimination Example

► $q \rightarrow r \vdash (p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \vee r)$

1.	$q \rightarrow r$	premise
2.	$p \vee q$	assumption
3.	p	assumption
4.	$p \vee r$	$\vee i_1$ 3
5.	q	assumption
6.	r	MP 1,5
7.	$p \vee r$	$\vee i_2$ 6

Or Elimination Example

► $q \rightarrow r \vdash (p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \vee r)$

1.	$q \rightarrow r$	premise
2.	$p \vee q$	assumption
3.	p	assumption
4.	$p \vee r$	$\vee i_1$ 3
5.	q	assumption
6.	r	MP 1,5
7.	$p \vee r$	$\vee i_2$ 6
8.	$p \vee r$	$\vee e$ 2, 3-4, 5-7

Or Elimination Example

► $q \rightarrow r \vdash (p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \vee r)$

1.	$q \rightarrow r$	premise
2.	$p \vee q$	assumption
3.	p	assumption
4.	$p \vee r$	$\vee i_1$ 3
5.	q	assumption
6.	r	MP 1,5
7.	$p \vee r$	$\vee i_2$ 6
8.	$p \vee r$	$\vee e$ 2, 3-4, 5-7
9.		

Or Elimination Example

► $q \rightarrow r \vdash (p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \vee r)$

1.	$q \rightarrow r$	premise
2.	$p \vee q$	assumption
3.	p	assumption
4.	$p \vee r$	$\vee i_1$ 3
5.	q	assumption
6.	r	MP 1,5
7.	$p \vee r$	$\vee i_2$ 6
8.	$p \vee r$	$\vee e$ 2, 3-4, 5-7
9.	$(p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \vee r)$	$\rightarrow i$ 2-8