microsoft / Phi-3-mini-128k-instruct



Model Description

The Phi-3-Mini-128K-Instruct is a 3.8 billion-parameter, lightweight, state-of-the-art open model trained using the Phi-3 datasets. This dataset includes both synthetic data and filtered publicly available website data, with an emphasis on high-quality and reasoning-dense properties. The model belongs to the Phi-3 family with the Mini version in two variants 4K and 128K which is the context length (in tokens) that it can support.

After initial training, the model underwent a post-training process that involved supervised fine-tuning and direct preference optimization to enhance its ability to follow instructions and adhere to safety measures. When evaluated against benchmarks that test common sense, language understanding, mathematics, coding, long-term context, and logical reasoning, the Phi-3 Mini-128K-Instruct demonstrated robust and state-of-the-art performance among models with fewer than 13 billion parameters.

Our training data includes a wide variety of sources, totaling 4.9 trillion tokens, and combines:

Datasets

Publicly available documents filtered rigorously for quality, selected high-quality educational data, and code;

- Newly created synthetic, "textbook-like" data for the purpose of teaching math, coding, common
- sense reasoning, general knowledge of the world (science, daily activities, theory of mind, etc.); High quality chat format supervised data covering various topics to reflect human preferences on
- different aspects such as instruct-following, truthfulness, honesty and helpfulness.
- We are focusing on the quality of data that could potentially improve the reasoning ability for the model, and we filter the publicly available documents to contain the correct level of knowledge. As an

example, the result of a game in premier league in a particular day might be good training data for frontier models, but we need to remove such information to leave more model capacity for reasoning for the small size models. More details about data can be found in the Phi-3 Technical Report. **Intended Uses Primary Use Cases**

The model is intended for commercial and research use in English. The model provides uses for

applications which require: Memory/compute constrained environments Latency bound scenarios

Our model is designed to accelerate research on language and multimodal models, for use as a

Strong reasoning (especially code, math and logic)

Use Case Considerations

building block for generative AI powered features.

should consider common limitations of language models as they select use cases, and evaluate and mitigate for accuracy, safety, and fariness before using within a specific downstream use case, particularly for high risk scenarios. Developers should be aware of and adhere to applicable laws or

regulations (including privacy, trade compliance laws, etc.) that are relevant to their use case.

Our models are not specifically designed or evaluated for all downstream purposes. Developers

Nothing contained in this Model Card should be interpreted as or deemed a restriction or

modification to the license the model is released under. Summary of Model Risks by Example Uses We identified 14 potential model risks and 17 mitigation strategies M for 4 potential model uses

Applicable to the use Not applicable to the use

Risk resulted in real-world harm*

Risks (U3) (R1) Produces unfair, unreliable, or offensive outputs in high-risk scenarios harming reputations Discriminates against marginalized groups by perpetuating harmful biases and stereotypes Misinterprets or underperforms in response to informal English slang, or non-English languages Facilitates misinformation by spreading false knowledge Increases psychological harm by causing fear and anxiety through threatening statements Produces harmful content if explicitly prompted or instructed Generates content that mirrors societal biases Produces inaccurate or outdated content Facilitates misuse for fraud, spam, or malware production Violates privacy by exposing internal messages Violates user trust by bypassing safeguards designed to restrict

certain functionalities Struggles or fails to adhere to intricate or nuanced instructions Produces problematic outputs when not aligned to human

Details of the example uses Purpose: Recommending personalized content Capability: Analyzing preferences for suggestions Al User: Streaming platforms Al Subject: Content consumers Domain: Recommender Systems and Personalization

Al User: Marketing agencies

Al Subject: Students

preferences for safety

transparency

Uses a mix of Web data and technical sources without

(v2) Purpose: Creating personalized ad campaigns

Domain: Education and vocational training

Capability: Detecting inappropriate posts

Capability risk Representation and toxicity harms

Capability risk Representation and toxicity harms

M Assess suitability in high-risk scenarios

M Use available safety classifiers or custom solutions

M Implement additional mitigations for sensitive contexts

Perform further assessments and additional debiasing techniques

(4) Purpose: Moderating harmful content

Al Subject: Consumers Domain: Marketing and Advertising (v3) Purpose: Personalizing learning experiences Capability: Analyzing student performance and tailoring content Al User: Educational platforms

Capability: Analyzing user behavior from social media posts

Al User: Social media companies Al Subject: Platform users Domain: Social Media Mitigations for Risks (R1) Produces unfair, unreliable, or offensive outputs in high-risk scenarios harming reputations

M Develop ways to reduce model toxicity M Use available safety classifiers or custom solutions

or non-English languages

M Perform further assessments and additional debiasing techniques (M) Implement additional mitigations for sensitive contexts

(R2) Discriminates against marginalized groups by perpetuating harmful biases and stereotypes

Capability risk Misinformation harms M Assess outputs for their context

(M) Exercise caution and critical thinking when interpreting model outputs

Misinterprets or underperforms in response to informal English slang,

M Treat outputs as suggestions or starting points

R4 Facilitates misinformation by spreading false knowledge

Human interaction risk Representation and toxicity harms

M Inform end-users they are interacting with an Al system M Build feedback mechanisms and pipelines to ground responses M Use available safety classifiers or custom solutions M Exercise caution and critical thinking when interpreting model outputs

R5 Increases psychological harm by causing fear and anxiety through threatening statements Human interaction risk Malicious use M Use available safety classifiers or custom solutions

R6 Produces harmful content if explicitly prompted or instructed Capability risk Information and safety harms M Use available safety classifiers or custom solutions

M Implement additional safeguards at the application level

M Develop ways to reduce model toxicity

M Follow transparency best practices

M Assess outputs for their context

(R8) Produces inaccurate or outdated content

Capability risk Misinformation harms

M Implement additional safeguards at the application level M Implement additional mitigations for sensitive contexts

Generates content that mirrors societal biases Capability risk Representation and toxicity harms M Develop ways to reduce model toxicity

M Perform further assessments and additional debiasing techniques

M Exercise caution and critical thinking when interpreting model outputs

M Build feedback mechanisms and pipelines to ground responses

M Inform end-users they are interacting with an Al system M Assess outputs for their context

Facilitates misuse for fraud, spam, or malware production

M Implement additional mitigations or user consent flows

M Treat outputs as suggestions or starting points

M Implement additional mitigations for sensitive contexts

(M) Manually verify all API uses Ensure applications do not violate laws and regulations M Implement additional safeguards at the application level

Capability risk Information and safety harms

Capability risk Misinformation harms M Inform end-users they are interacting with an AI system (M) Ensure applications do not violate laws and regulations

M Follow transparency best practices

M Follow transparency best practices

M Assess outputs for their context

Glossarv

M Manually verify all API uses

Violates privacy by exposing internal messages

Violates user trust by bypassing safeguards designed to restrict certain functionalities Systemic risk Malicious use

(M) Implement additional safeguards at the application level

Struggles or fails to adhere to intricate or nuanced instructions Capability risk Representation and toxicity harms M Build feedback mechanisms and pipelines to ground responses

(M) Implement additional safeguards at the application level

(M) Use available safety classifiers or custom solutions

M Use available safety classifiers or custom solutions M Implement additional safeguards at the application level

Produces problematic outputs when not aligned to human preferences for safety Capability risk Representation and toxicity harms

Treat outputs as suggestions or starting points

M Perform further assessments and additional debiasing techniques (M) Implement additional mitigations for sensitive contexts M Develop ways to reduce model toxicity

Uses a mix of Web data and technical sources without transparency Capability risk Human autonomy and integrity harms (M) Inform end-users they are interacting with an AI system

(M) Follow transparency best practices (M) Implement additional safeguards at the application level Release the model for research purposes only

Risks Capability risk emerges from the technical components of the model (Human interaction risk) emerges from the experience of people interacting with the model Systemic risk emerges from the impact of the system on the broader systems in which it is embedded, such as society, the economy, and the natural environment real-world harm sourced from the AI Incident Database [www.incidentdatabase.ai]

Human autonomy and integrity harms) emerge when the model compromises human agency or circumvents meaningful human control Socioeconomic and environmental harms emerge when the model exacerbates inequalities or negatively impacts employment, innovation, or the environment

Malicious use emerges when the model lowers costs and barriers for harmful actors to engage in illicit activities (Misinformation harms) emerge when the model under-, over-, or misrepresents certain groups, generating toxic, offensive, abusive, or hateful content Information and safety harms) emerge when the model leaks, reproduces, generates, or infers sensitive, private, or hazardous information Representation and toxicity harms) emerge when the model generates and spreads inaccurate or misleading information, causing people to develop false beliefs