Database Management System – 35 Database design – Minimal Set of Functional Dependencies and Keys

Ajay James
Asst. Prof in CSE
Government Engineering College Thrissur

### Outline

- Minimal Set of Functional Dependencies
- Algorithm to find minimal cover
- Example
- Algorithm to find key

# Minimal Sets of Functional Dependencies

- We applied inference rules to expand on a set
   F of FDs to arrive at F<sup>+</sup> (closure)
- Now think in the opposite direction to see if we could shrink or reduce the set F to its minimal form
  - so that the minimal set is still equivalent to the original set F

# Minimal Sets of Functional Dependencies

- Informally, a minimal cover of a set of functional dependencies E is a set of functional dependencies F that satisfies the property that every dependency in E is in the closure F<sup>+</sup> of F
- F must have no redundancies in it
- Dependencies in F are in a standard form

#### Extraneous attribute - definition

- An attribute in a functional dependency is considered an extraneous attribute if we can remove it without changing the closure of the set of dependencies
- Given F, the set of functional dependencies, and a functional dependency X → A in F,
  - attribute Y is extraneous in X if  $Y \subset X$ ,
  - and F logically implies (F (X  $\rightarrow$  A) ∪ { (X Y)  $\rightarrow$  A } )

#### Extraneous attribute example

- R(A, B, C)
- $F = \{AB \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow C\}$
- $F^+ = \{AB \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow C\}$
- B is extraneous
- A → C, which means A alone can determine C, the use of B is unnecessary (redundant)
- Given F, the set of functional dependencies, and a functional dependency X → A in F,
  - attribute Y is extraneous in X if Y ⊂ X,
  - and F logically implies (F (X → A)  $\cup$  { (X Y) → A }

## How to find extraneous attribute? Case 1

- $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ . Assume that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are set of one or more attributes.
- Case 1 (LHS): To find if an attribute A in  $\alpha$  is extraneous or not
- Step 1: Find  $({\alpha} A)^+$  using the dependencies of F
- Step 2: If  $({\alpha} A)^+$  contains all the attributes of  $\beta$ , then A is extraneous.

#### Extraneous attribute example case 1

- $F = \{P \rightarrow Q, PQ \rightarrow R\}$ . Is Q extraneous in  $PQ \rightarrow R$ ?
- Step 1: Find  $(\{\alpha\} A)^+$  using the dependencies of F
  - $-\alpha$  is PQ. So find (PQ Q)+, ie., P+
  - $P^+ = \{PQR\}$
- Step 2: If  $(\{\alpha\} A)^+$  contains all the attributes of  $\beta$ , then A is extraneous.
  - (PQ Q)<sup>+</sup> contains R. Hence, Q is extraneous in PQ $\rightarrow$ R.
  - $-\{P\rightarrow Q, P\rightarrow R\}$

## How to find extraneous attribute? Case 2

- $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ . Assume that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are set of one or more attributes
- Case 2 (RHS): To find if an attribute A in  $\beta$  is extraneous or not
- Step 1: Find  $\alpha^+$  using the dependencies in F' where F' = (F { $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ }) U {  $\alpha \rightarrow (\beta A)$  }.
- **Step 2**: If  $\alpha^+$  contains A, then A is extraneous.

#### Extraneous attribute example case 2

- $F = \{P \rightarrow QR, Q \rightarrow R\}$ . Is R extraneous in  $P \rightarrow QR$ ?
- **Step 1**: Find  $\alpha^+$  using the dependencies in F' where F' = (F { $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ }) U { $\alpha \rightarrow (\beta A)$ }
  - $-F' = (\{P \rightarrow QR, Q \rightarrow R\} \{P \rightarrow QR\}) \cup \{P \rightarrow (QR-R)\} = (\{Q \rightarrow R\} \cup \{P \rightarrow Q\})$
  - $-F' = \{Q \rightarrow R, P \rightarrow Q\}$
  - Here,  $\alpha$  is P. So find (P)+, using the F'
  - Closure of P is {PQR}
- **Step 2**: If  $\alpha^+$  contains A, then A is extraneous.
  - P+ contains R. Hence, R is extraneous in P $\rightarrow$ QR
  - $-F = \{P \rightarrow Q, Q \rightarrow R\}.$

#### Minimal set of FDs conditions

- 1. Every dependency in F has a single attribute for its right-hand side. (canonical/standard form)
- We cannot replace any dependency X → A in F with a dependency Y → A, where Y is a proper subset of X, and still have a set of dependencies that is equivalent to F
- 3. We cannot remove any dependency from F and still have a set of dependencies that is equivalent to F.

#### Minimal cover algorithm

- Input: A set of functional dependencies E.
- 1. Set F:=E.
- 2. Replace each functional dependency  $X \to \{A_1, A_2, ..., A_n\}$  in F by the n functional dependencies  $X \to A_1, X \to A_2, ..., X \to A_n$
- 3. For each functional dependency  $X \to A$  in F for each attribute B that is an element of X if  $\{ \{F \{X \to A\} \} \cup \{(X \{B\}) \to A\} \}$  is equivalent to F then replace  $X \to A$  with  $(X \{B\}) \to A$  in F. (\* The above constitutes a removal of the extraneous attribute B from X \*)
- 4. For each remaining functional dependency  $X \to A$  in F if  $\{F \{X \to A\}\}$  is equivalent to F, then remove  $X \to A$  from F.
  - (\* The above constitutes a removal of the redundant dependency  $X \rightarrow A$  from F \*)

#### Example 1

- $E: \{B \rightarrow A, D \rightarrow A, AB \rightarrow D\}$
- Step 1 canonical form
- Step 2 extraneous attributes in LHS
  - Check for  $AB \rightarrow D$
  - Remove A, find B<sup>+</sup>
  - $-B^{+} = \{BAD\}, B^{+}$  contains D, so A is extraneous
  - $-E: \{B \rightarrow A, D \rightarrow A, B \rightarrow D\}$
- Step 3: Redundant FD
  - $-B \rightarrow D$ ,  $D \rightarrow A$  implies  $B \rightarrow A$  (transitivity rule)
- $F=\{D \rightarrow A, B \rightarrow D\}$  (minimal cover)

## Example 2

- G:  $\{A \rightarrow BCDE, CD \rightarrow E\}$ .
- Step 1: G:  $\{A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow D, A \rightarrow E, CD \rightarrow E\}$
- Step 2: Redundant/extraneous attribute in LHS
  - $-CD \rightarrow E$ , remove C
  - $Find D^+ = \{D\}$
  - $-CD \rightarrow E$ , remove D
  - $Find C^+ = \{C\}$
  - So, C and D are not redundant attributes

#### Example 2 contd...

- G:  $\{A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow D, A \rightarrow E, CD \rightarrow E\}$
- A $\rightarrow$  C, A $\rightarrow$  D implies A $\rightarrow$  CD
- A  $\rightarrow$  CD and CD  $\rightarrow$  E implies A $\rightarrow$  E
- So A → E is redundant
- Minimal cover
  - $-F = \{A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow D, CD \rightarrow E\}$
  - Or F= {A  $\rightarrow$  BCD, CD  $\rightarrow$  E}

### Algorithm to find key of a relation

- Input: A universal relation R and a set of functional dependencies F on the attributes of R
- 1. Set K := R;
- 2. For each attribute A in K
   {
   Compute (K -A)+ with respect to F;
   If (K -A)+ contains all the attributes in R,
   then set K := K -{A};
   }

#### Reference

 Elmasri R. and S. Navathe, Database Systems: Models, Languages, Design and Application Programming, Pearson Education 6<sup>th</sup> edition and 7<sup>th</sup> edition

Thank you