Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A LEC A (62250), Spring Qtr 2018

Responses: 43/344 (12.5%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?
   - **8** Never
   - **1** Once
   - **4** 2 - 3 times
   - **10** 4 - 5 times
   - **4** 6 - 7 times
   - **2** 8 times
   - **11** More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?
   - **20** Never
   - **4** Once
   - **6** 2 - 3 times
   - **3** 4 - 5 times
   - **0** 6 - 7 times
   - **0** 8 times
   - **5** More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:
   - **8** 0 (N/A or Unsure)
   - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
   - **0** 2
   - **1** 3
   - **5** 4 (OK or Average)
   - **4** 5
   - **6** 6
   - **15** 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:
   - **8** 0 (N/A or Unsure)
   - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
   - **0** 2
   - **1** 3
   - **5** 4 (OK or Average)
   - **5** 5
   - **5** 6
   - **15** 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:
   - **8** 0 (N/A or Unsure)
   - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
   - **0** 2
   - **1** 3
   - **5** 4 (OK or Average)
   - **5** 5
   - **5** 6
   - **15** 7 (Among Best)

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:
   - **8**   0 (N/A or Unsure)
   - **0**   1 (Among Worst)
   - **0**   2
   - **1**   3
   - **4**   4 (OK or Average)
   - **5**   5
   - **6**   6
   - **14**   7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:
   - **9**   0 (N/A or Unsure)
   - **0**   1 (Among Worst)
   - **0**   2
   - **1**   3
   - **4**   4 (OK or Average)
   - **5**   5
   - **5**   6
   - **15**   7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:
   - **8**   0 (N/A or Unsure)
   - **0**   1 (Among Worst)
   - **0**   2
   - **1**   3
   - **6**   4 (OK or Average)
   - **3**   5
   - **6**   6
   - **15**   7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:
   - **8**   0 (N/A or Unsure)
   - **0**   1 (Among Worst)
   - **0**   2
   - **1**   3
   - **5**   4 (OK or Average)
   - **4**   5
   - **6**   6
   - **15**   7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:
    - **1**   Poor
    - **0**   Inadequate
    - **0**   Less than adequate
    - **12**   Adequate
    - **5**   More than adequate
    - **6**   Very good
    - **13**   Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

    1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

- Explains topics really well that is easily understandable
- GREAT EXPLANATION PATIENCE
- na
- patience
- TA was very clear and organized. Discussions were ran well and she was able to communicate numerous topics. She was very patient and kind.
- the examples
- This TA provided a lot of helpful examples and made lecture materials easier to understand.
- used graphs and took attendance
- 35 blank answer(s).

2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?

- buy more markers, keep teaching, i think youre the best ta in the whole economics department
- change the way of thinking when explaining problem to foreign students
- I do not have any recommendations for this TA for the future.
- na
- None
- step by step math problems would be helpful and explaining some formulas
- 37 blank answer(s).