Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A DIS A3 (62273), Fall Qtr 2015

Responses: 20/44~(45.45%)

ATTENDANCE

- 1. How often did you attend discussion sections?
 - 1 Never
 - 1 Once
 - **0** 2 3 times
 - **4** 4 5 times
 - **1** 6 7 times
 - **3** 8 times
 - 7 More than 8 times
- 2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?
 - 10 Never
 - 2 Once
 - **2** 2 3 times
 - **0** 4 5 times
 - **0** 6 7 times
 - 0 8 times
 - 3 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

- 3. T.A. was competent in course material:
 - 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - **1** 3
 - 1 4 (OK or Average)
 - **1** 5
 - **4** 6
 - 9 7 (Among Best)
- 4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:
 - 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
 - **0** 2
 - **1** 3
 - 1 4 (OK or Average)
 - 1 :
 - **3** 6
 - $\mathbf{10} \quad 7 \; (\mathrm{Among \; Best})$
- 5. T.A. was responsive to students:
 - 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
 - **0** 2
 - **0** 3
 - **2** 4 (OK or Average)
 - **1** 5
 - **3** 6
 - 10 7 (Among Best)

01/04/2019 Page 1 of 3

- 6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:
 - 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - **0** 3
 - **2** 4 (OK or Average)
 - **1** 5
 - **2** 6
 - 11 7 (Among Best)
- 7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:
 - 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - **0** 2
 - **0** 3
 - **2** 4 (OK or Average)
 - **0** 5
 - **3** 6
 - 9 7 (Among Best)
- 8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:
 - 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
 - **0** 2
 - 1 3
 - 1 4 (OK or Average)
 - **1** 5
 - **2** 6
 - 11 7 (Among Best)
- 9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:
 - 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 0 3
 - **2** 4 (OK or Average)
 - **1** 5
 - **3** 6
 - **10** 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

- 10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:
 - 0 Poor
 - **0** Inadequate
 - ${\bf 0}\quad {\rm Less\ than\ adequate}$
 - 2 Adequate
 - **0** More than adequate
 - 4 Very good
 - 10 Excellent

COMMENTS

- 11. <No question text>
 - 1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

01/04/2019 Page 2 of 3

- Good presenter
- Thank you for helping me went over the materials that I missed. It was so useful and I got A for the second midterm exam.
- The TA organized the lecture material very clearly. Sanjana is one of the best TAs I had the pleasure to learn form so far.
- 17 blank answer(s).
- 2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
 - \bullet so good so far
 - 19 blank answer(s).

01/04/2019 Page 3 of 3

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A DIS A4 (62274), Fall Qtr 2015

Responses: 14/45 (31.11%)

ATTENDANCE

- 1. How often did you attend discussion sections?
 - 1 Never
 - **0** Once
 - 1 2 3 times
 - **4** 4 5 times
 - **2** 6 7 times
 - **2** 8 times
 - 3 More than 8 times
- 2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?
 - 6 Never
 - 1 Once
 - **3** 2 3 times
 - **2** 4 5 times
 - **0** 6 7 times
 - 0 8 times
 - 1 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

- 3. T.A. was competent in course material:
 - 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 :
 - **1** 3
 - **3** 4 (OK or Average)
 - **1** 5
 - **2** 6
 - 5 7 (Among Best)
- 4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:
 - 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - **0** 3
 - 4 (OK or Average)
 - 0 !
 - 3 6
 - **5** 7 (Among Best)
- 5. T.A. was responsive to students:
 - $1 \quad 0 \text{ (N/A or Unsure)}$
 - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
 - **0** 2
 - **0** 3
 - 4 4 (OK or Average)
 - **0** 5
 - 2 6
 - 6 7 (Among Best)

01/04/2019 Page 1 of 3

- 6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:
 - 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - **1** 3
 - **3** 4 (OK or Average)
 - **2** 5
 - 1 6
 - **5** 7 (Among Best)
- 7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:
 - 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - **1** 3
 - **2** 4 (OK or Average)
 - **0** 5
 - **2** 6
 - 6 7 (Among Best)
- 8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:
 - $1 \quad 0 \text{ (N/A or Unsure)}$
 - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 1 3
 - **3** 4 (OK or Average)
 - **0** 5
 - **1** 6
 - 6 7 (Among Best)
- 9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:
 - 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 5
 - 1 3
 - **2** 4 (OK or Average)
 - **0** 5
 - **2** 6
 - 6 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

- 10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:
 - 0 Poor
 - 0 Inadequate
 - **0** Less than adequate
 - 4 Adequate
 - **3** More than adequate
 - 2 Very good
 - 4 Excellent

COMMENTS

- 11. <No question text>
 - 1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

01/04/2019 Page 2 of 3

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A DIS A4 (62274), Fall Qtr 2015

- $\bullet\,$ concise and fair
- none
- She clearly clarifies class materials and gives excellent answer for problem sets
- She is the best. She always list the key points and materials on the board and was really clear and easy to follow all the time.
- 10 blank answer(s).
- 2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
 - Best TA ever
 - more enthusiasm
 - none
 - 11 blank answer(s).

01/04/2019 Page 3 of 3