# Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A LEC A (62240), Spring Qtr 2019

Responses: 41/336 (12.2%)

### **ATTENDANCE**

- 1. How often did you attend discussion sections?
  - 5 Never
  - 2 Once
  - **3** 2 3 times
  - **6** 4 5 times
  - **7** 6 7 times
  - **6** 8 times
  - 9 More than 8 times
- 2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?
  - 18 Never
  - 7 Once
  - **4** 2 3 times
  - **1** 4 5 times
  - **3** 6 7 times
  - 1 8 times
  - 3 More than 8 times

## **PRESENTATION**

- 3. T.A. was competent in course material:
  - **3** 0 (N/A or Unsure)
  - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
  - **0** 2
  - **1** 3
  - **5** 4 (OK or Average)
  - **3** 5
  - **6** 6
  - **20** 7 (Among Best)
- 4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:
  - **3** 0 (N/A or Unsure)
  - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
  - **2** 2
  - **1** 3
  - **2** 4 (OK or Average)
  - 4 5
  - **5** 6
  - **21** 7 (Among Best)
- 5. T.A. was responsive to students:
  - **3** 0 (N/A or Unsure)
  - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
  - **1** 2
  - **0** 3
  - 4 (OK or Average)
  - **3** 5
  - 7 6
  - **19** 7 (Among Best)

06/20/2019 Page 1 of 3

- 6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:
  - **3** 0 (N/A or Unsure)
  - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
  - 0 2
  - **3** 3
  - **3** 4 (OK or Average)
  - **3** 5
  - **5** 6
  - 21 7 (Among Best)
- 7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:
  - **3** 0 (N/A or Unsure)
  - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
  - **0** 2
  - 0 3
  - **5** 4 (OK or Average)
  - **3** 5
  - **7** 6
  - **20** 7 (Among Best)
- 8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:
  - $3 \quad 0 \text{ (N/A or Unsure)}$
  - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
  - **2** 2
  - 1 3
  - **3** 4 (OK or Average)
  - **3** 5
  - **6** 6
  - **20** 7 (Among Best)
- 9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:
  - **3** 0 (N/A or Unsure)
  - 1 1 (Among Worst)
  - 0 2
  - **1** 3
  - 4 (OK or Average)
  - **3** 5
  - **6** 6
  - **20** 7 (Among Best)

## **EFFECTIVENESS**

- 10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:
  - 1 Poor
  - **0** Inadequate
  - 0 Less than adequate
  - 7 Adequate
  - 5 More than adequate
  - 11 Very good
  - 13 Excellent

### **COMMENTS**

- 11. <No question text>
  - 1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

06/20/2019 Page 2 of 3

- I don't know.
- na
- Not my TA
- She is great! She comes prepared every time, and her explainations are so clear. There's definitely a few concepts that were a little rushed through or unclear in the lectures, and she is able to clarify them with those graphs that are easy to understand. A solid TA.
- She is so relatable. She knows how to connect to her students. Explains things clearly and carefully. Very patient. She also provides detailed study guide for the finals. Highly recommend her.
- The best econ TA ever. She is always prepared and organized in discussions. Very detailed and clear notes and speech style.
- Very clear
- very clear and thourrough
- Very clear with how she presented the ideas, literally one of the best TAs I've had here at UCI. Thank you so much!!!!
- Very thorough. Explains well.
- well-organized notes as well as explanations helpful review session for exams
- 30 blank answer(s).
- 2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
  - N/A
  - na
  - No
  - No.
  - Nothing
  - She is a great TA already.
  - 35 blank answer(s).

06/20/2019 Page 3 of 3