




### Free/Open (neuro) Science



# Free/Open Science: Everyone should have the freedom to share, study, and modify scientific material. Free/Open Science includes and relies heavily on Free/Open Source Software (FOSS). FOSS: Everyone should have the freedom to share, study, and modify software<sup>5</sup>. \*\*Tree software foundation\*\* 3/14



NeuroFedora: why, how, what?



Neuroscience community: highly multidisciplinary

 various specialities: biologists, mathematicians, physicists, chemists, psychologists, ...,
 small proportion of trained software developers

6/14

### (Anecdotal) notes on development of research software

- often single developer, or small development teams
- limited maintenance, short-lived projects
- limited access to hardware/resources
- limited code quality
- limited use of established best practices
- limited testing for correctness (!)
- complex dependency chains
- lack of documentation and support
- · lack of community development know-how

7/14

### (Anecdotal) notes on users of research software

- waste time and effort installing (and reinstalling) their software stacks
- rarely run test suites (!)
- rarely report bugs upstream
- rarely send improvements upstream
- are unaware of helpful development tools

8/14

## Developers (upstream) support code feedback code Distributions: Fedora/Debian ... support binaries feedback End users (downstream)

### Iliaison between upstream and users have the infrastructure follow best practices in software development constantly work on community development learn from one another—train while working disseminate information to end-users

