## Bolzano's Theorem:

Let  $h:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$  be continuous on [a,b], and suppose h(a) and h(b) have opposite signs. Then, there exists  $c\in(a,b)$  such that h(c)=0.

**Proof**: (Complete the proof by filling in the blanks)

Without loss of generality, assume h(a) < 0 and h(b) > 0. So h(a) < 0 < h(b). Define three sequences  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\{y_n\}$  and  $\{z_n\}$  as follows. First, let

$$x_1 = a$$
 ,  $y_1 = b$  , and  $z_1 = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + y_1)$ .

For n > 1,

- (i) If  $h(z_n) < 0$ , define  $x_{n+1} = z_n$  and  $y_{n+1} = y_n$ ,
- (ii) If  $h(z_n) \ge 0$ , define  $x_{n+1} = x_n$  and  $y_{n+1} = z_n$ ,
- (iii) For either situation, define  $z_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} (x_{n+1} + y_{n+1})$ .

Define the interval  $I_n$  by  $I_n = [x_n, y_n]$ .

Since  $I_n$  forms a [ \_\_\_\_\_\_ ], by the Nested Interval Property, there exists c such that [ \_\_\_\_\_ ].

However, [length( $I_n$ ) =], and thus [ $x_n \to$ ] and [ $y_n \to$ ].
Now, since $h$ is continuous at $c$ , $[\lim h(x_n) = \underline{}]$ where $[h(x_n) \underline{}]$ (insert a comparative
here, such as $\geq$ , = or $<$ ). Thus, [ $h(c)$ 0 ].
On the other hand, $[\lim h(y_n) = \underline{\qquad}]$ where $[h(y_n) \underline{\qquad}0]$ . Thus, $[h(c) \underline{\qquad}0]$ .
Therefore, $[h(c) 0]$ .
Now, $c \in [a, b]$ . But $c \neq a$ since $h(a) \neq 0$ . Similarly, []
Therefore, $c \in (a, b)$ .