

Closure Method

This method is used to find out all possible candidate keys in a relation or table.

Example 1 – R(ABCD)

FD { $A \rightarrow B$, $B \rightarrow C$, $C \rightarrow D$ }

So, with the help of FD we can say

Step 1 - $A^+ = B$ [\because A is determining B]

Step 2 - $A^+ = BC$ [\because A is determining B and B is determining C]

Step 3 - $A^+ = BCD$ [\because A is determining B and B is determining C and C is determining D]

Step 3 - $A^+ = ABCD$ [\because A is also determining itself]

So, here we can determine all attributes using A. So, we can identify A as candidate key of this relation.

Now, we will try to find out all other candidate keys .

$B^+ = BCD$

We can determine B , C and D using B . But , B can not determine A. So , B is not a Candidate Key .

$C^+ = CD$

We can determine C and D using C . But , C can not determine A and B . So , C is not a Candidate Key .

$D^+ = D$

We can determine only D using D . But , D can not determine A , B and C . So , D is not a Candidate Key .

So , $CK = \{ A \}$

Now , if we try $(AB)^+ = ABCD$

So, we can determine all attributes with the help of AB . But it is not a candidate key .Because A is already proved as candidate key and if we try to add any attribute with it , it will become a super key .

Example 2 – R(ABCD)

FD { $A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow A$ }

- $A^+ = ABCD$
- $B^+ = BCDA$
- $C^+ = CDAB$
- $D^+ = DABC$

•• In this above example we can say that all keys are candidate keys.

•• $CK = \{ A, B, C, D \}$

Now , What is primary attribute ?

Attributes present in the CK are prime attributes .

In Example 2

Prime attributes = { A , B , C , D }

Non Prime attributes = { \emptyset }

In Example 1

Prime attributes = { A }

Non Prime attributes = { B , C , D }

So, we have to find out all CKs then we can find out all prime and non prime attributes.

Example 3 – R(ABCDE)

FD { $A \rightarrow B, BC \rightarrow D, E \rightarrow C, D \rightarrow A$ }

Now , if we look at the FD , we can see B , D ,C and A can be determined (•• at the right side of FD)

So, $\quad = BDCA$

But , only E is not determined by any other attribute . So, we can assume that E can determine other attributes. So , if we try to place E to the RHS then we have to place it to the LHS too.

So, $\mathbf{E} = BDCA\mathbf{E}$

It means all possible candidate keys must have E.

So, E itself is not a Candidate key [•• $E^+ = EC$].

So, $AE^+ = ABECD$

Now, $BE^+ = BECDA$

Another method to find other CKs is to replace the right side attribute with left side attribute.($D \rightarrow A$)

$AE^+ = ABECD$

↓

$DE^+ = DEACB$

So , $CK = \{ AE , BE , DE \}$

Closure to find out 3NF

If there is no non key attribute is functionally dependent on another non key attribute , only then we can say that, the relation is in 3NF.

We can use closure method to check whether a relation is in 3NF or not.

Example 1 – R(ABC)

FD { $AB \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D$ }

$AB^+ = ABCD$

- • CK = { AB }
- • Primary Attributes = A , B
- • Non Primary Attributes = C , D

Here , C and D both are non key attributes and C is determining D . So, we can say that the table is not in 3NF.

Example 2 – R(ABCD)

FD { $AB \rightarrow CD, D \rightarrow A$ }

$AB^+ = ABCD$ [• • AB is Candidate Key]

↓

$DB^+ = DBAC$ [• • DB is another Candidate Key]

- • CK = {AB, DB }
- • Primary Attributes = A , B , D
- • Non Primary Attributes = C

Now , we have to check the following condition in each and every FD –

LHS must be CK or SK OR RHS must be a Prime Attribute

In this example in every FD this condition is satisfying . So , it is proved that this table is in 3NF.