PH423 Assignment 4

Parth Sastry 180260026

Sahas Kamat 180260030

Sankalp Gambhir 180260032

November 4, 2020

- Question 2.
- [Sankalp: Got it]
- (a) The given Hamiltonian $H(\epsilon)$ is

$$H(\epsilon) = V_0 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} (1 - \epsilon) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \epsilon \\ 0 & \epsilon & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (1)

and the unperturbed Hamiltonian H_0

$$H_0 = H(0) = V_0 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (2)

- is diagonal, so the eigenvectors of the unperturbed system are simply (1,0,0), (0,1,0), and
- (0, 0, 1) with eigenvalues V_0, V_0 , and $2V_0$ respectively.
- The perturbation H' is thus 10

$$H' = H(\epsilon) - H_0 = V_0 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -\epsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon \\ 0 & \epsilon & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (3)

- Eigenvalues of the whole system are given by the equation in ϵ and lambda, the eigenvalues themselves, 12
 - (4)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (H(\epsilon) - \lambda \ \hat{\mathbf{1}}) \right| &= 0 \text{ , or,} \\ \left| (V_0(1 - \epsilon) - \lambda) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & V_0 - \lambda & V_0 \epsilon \\ 0 & V_0 \epsilon & 2V_0 - \lambda \end{aligned} \right| = 0$$
 (5)

$$((1 - \epsilon)V_0 - \lambda)((V_0 - \lambda)(2V_0 - \lambda) - V_0^2 \epsilon^2) = 0$$
(6)

This gives $\lambda = (1 - \epsilon)V_0$, $\frac{V_0}{2}(3 - \sqrt{4\epsilon^2 + 1})$, $\frac{V_0}{2}(3 + \sqrt{4\epsilon^2 + 1})$ as the eigenvalues of H. Call these $\lambda_{1,2,3}$ respectively. 14

15

18

Evaluating to second order in ϵ , we get

$$(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = V_0(1 - \epsilon, 1 - \epsilon^2, 2 + \epsilon^2) \tag{7}$$

- (b) The last eigenvalue, $2V_0$, is non degenerate, so we attempt to approximate its perturbed analogue using non-degenerate perturbation theory as required, using both first and second order theories.
 - The first order correction is given simply by

$$\langle v_3 | H' | v_3 \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\epsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon \\ 0 & \epsilon & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \epsilon \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= 0. \tag{8}$$

- That is, there is no first-order correction to the eigenvalue, which is expected, given the calculation from part a.
- Moving on, representing the n^th order correction to the i^th eigenket as $|v_{i,n}\rangle$, the second-order correction is

$$\langle v_{3,0} | H' | v_{3,1} \rangle = \langle v_{3,0} | H' \sum_{m \neq 3} \frac{\langle v_{m,0} | H' | v_{3,1} \rangle}{E_3^{(0)} - E_m^{(0)}} | v_{m,0} \rangle$$
(9)

Since our eigenvectors are basis vectors, this basically filters components. Since we know $H'|v_{3,1}\rangle$, the calculation trivially reduces to

$$\langle v_{3,0} | H' | v_{3,1} \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\epsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon \\ 0 & \epsilon & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \epsilon \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \epsilon^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \epsilon^2$$

$$(10)$$

- Thus the second order correction is ϵ^2 , exactly matching the result from part a.
- (c) As for the degenerate levels, corresponding to $|v_{1,0}\rangle$ and $|v_{2,0}\rangle$, we apply the degenerate thoery. That is, the secular equation, as follows

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} a_i (\langle v_{j,0} | H' | v_{i,0} \rangle - E_i^{(0)} \delta_{ij}) = 0 \text{ for } j \in \{1, 2\}$$
(11)

	PH423	Parth Sastry, Sahas Kamat, Sankalp Gambhir (180260026, 30, 32)	Assignment 4
28	Question 3.		
29			
30	Question 4.		
31			
32	Question 6.		
33			
34	Question 8.		
35			