Newman-Radford Rigidity and Noncommutative Möbius Theory

Udit Mavinkurve

Based on material from
Bimonoids for Hyperplane Arrangements
by Marcelo Aguiar and Swapneel Mahajan

Contents

1	Lune-incidence Algebra	3
2	Newman-Radford for free bimonoid on a cocommutative comonoid	9
3	Zeta and Möbius as inverses	16

1 Lune-incidence Algebra

1.1 Nested faces and lunes

A nested face is a pair of faces (A, F) with $F \geq A$.

Let A and B be faces having the same support. Recall that we have an isomorphism of posets:

$$\Sigma \left[\mathcal{A} \right]_A \xrightarrow{\cong} \Sigma \left[\mathcal{A} \right]_B \quad ; \quad F/A \mapsto BF/B$$

We define an equivalence relation \sim on nested faces:

$$(A, F) \sim (B, G) \Leftrightarrow s(A) = s(B), G = BF, F = AG$$

The equivalence classes are called lunes.

1.2 Face-incidence algebra

As a set, $I_{face}\left[\mathcal{A}\right]$ consists of \Bbbk -valued functions on nested faces:

$$f:\left\{ \left(A,F\right)\in\Sigma\left[\mathcal{A}\right]^{2}|F\geq A\right\} \rightarrow\mathbb{k}$$

It forms a vector space under pointwise addition and scalar multiplication. Furthermore, for any $f,g\in I_{face}\left[\mathcal{A}\right]$, we define $f\cdot g\in I_{face}\left[\mathcal{A}\right]$ by:

$$\left(f\cdot g\right)\left(A,F\right) = \sum_{H:A\leq H\leq F} f\left(A,H\right)g\left(H,F\right)$$

Under this product, $I_{face}\left[\mathcal{A}\right]$ forms an algebra with the multiplicative identity $\delta \in I_{face}\left[\mathcal{A}\right]$ given by:

$$\delta\left(A,F\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A = F \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

1.3 Lune-incidence algebra

Let $I_{lune}\left[\mathcal{A}\right]$ be the vector subspace of $I_{face}\left[\mathcal{A}\right]$ consisting of functions f such that $f\left(A,F\right)=f\left(B,G\right)$ whenever $(A,F)\sim(B,G)$.

Proposition. $I_{lune}[A]$ is a subalgebra of $I_{face}[A]$. That is, it is closed under multiplication.

We omit the proof.

We call $I_{lune}\left[\mathcal{A}\right]$ the lune-incidence algebra of $\mathcal{A}.$

Unlike $I_{\rm flat}$ [${\cal A}$] and $I_{\rm face}$ [${\cal A}$], $I_{\rm lune}$ [${\cal A}$] is not an incidence algebra of a poset.

1.4 Noncommutative zeta functions

A noncommutative zeta function is an element $\pmb{\zeta} \in \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{lune}}\left[\mathcal{A}\right] \text{ such that } \pmb{\zeta}\left(A,A\right) = 1 \text{ for all faces } A \text{ and } A \in \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{lune}}\left[\mathcal{A}\right]$

(1)
$$\sum_{\substack{F: F \geq A, HF = G, \\ \text{s } (F) = \text{s } (G)}} \zeta \left(A, F \right) = \zeta \left(H, G \right)$$

for all $A \leq H \leq G.$ We refer to (1) as the star-lune formula.

Setting H=G and $X=\mathrm{s}\left(G\right)$ in (1), we get the following:

(2)
$$\sum_{F:F \geq A, s(F) = X} \zeta(A, F) = 1$$

for all $s(A) \leq X$. We refer to (2) as the star-flat formula.

Lemma. A noncommutative zeta function ζ is equivalent to a choice of scalars $\zeta(O, F)$, one for each face F, such that for each flat X,

(3)
$$\sum_{F:s(F)=X} \zeta(O,F) = 1$$

In particular, noncommutative zeta functions exist.

Proof sketch. If ζ is a noncommutative zeta function, then (3) is simply the star-flat formula with A=O.

Conversely, given scalars ζ (O,F) satisfying (3), we can recover ζ (A,F) for any $O \leq A \leq F$ using the star-lune formula:

$$\zeta\left(A,F\right) := \sum_{\substack{H:AH=F,\\ \text{s}\left(H\right)=\text{s}\left(F\right)}} \zeta\left(O,H\right)$$

1.5 Noncommutative Möbius functions

A noncommutative Möbius function is an element $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{lune}}\left[\mathcal{A}\right] \text{ such that } \boldsymbol{\mu}\left(A,A\right) = 1 \text{ for all faces } A \text{ and }$

(4)
$$\sum_{F:F>A,HF=H} \mu(A,F) = 0$$

for all $A < H \le G$. We refer to (4) as the noncommutative Weisner formula.

Claim. The inverse of a noncommutative zeta function ζ in $I_{lune}[\mathcal{A}]$ is a noncommutative Möbius function. In particular, noncommutative Möbius functions exist.

We will prove this claim towards the end of this talk.

2 Newman-Radford for free bimonoid on a cocommutative comonoid

Let (c, Δ) be a comonoid.

We first recall the definition of $\mathcal{T}(c)$. As a species, it is given by:

$$\mathcal{T}\left(\mathsf{c}\right)\left[A\right] = \bigoplus_{F:F > A} \mathsf{c}\left[F\right]$$

It is equipped with the concatenation product:

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathsf{c})[H] \xrightarrow{\mu_A^H} \mathcal{T}(\mathsf{c})[A]$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

and the dequasishuffle coproduct:

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathsf{c})[A] \xrightarrow{\Delta_A^H} \mathcal{T}(\mathsf{c})[H]$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad$$

We now consider the bimonoid $\mathcal{T}(c_t)$. As a species, it is given by:

$$\mathcal{T}\left(\mathsf{c}_{t}\right)\left[A\right] = \bigoplus_{F:F > A} \mathsf{c}\left[F\right]$$

It is equipped with the concatenation product:

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathsf{c}_t)[H] \xrightarrow{\mu_A^H} \mathcal{T}(\mathsf{c}_t)[A]$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\
\mathsf{c}[F] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{id}} \mathsf{c}[F]$$

and the deshuffle coproduct:

$$\mathcal{T}\left(\mathsf{c}_{t}\right)\left[A\right] \xrightarrow{\Delta_{A}^{H}} \mathcal{T}\left(\mathsf{c}_{t}\right)\left[H\right]$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\mathsf{c}\left[F\right] \xrightarrow{\beta_{HF,F}} \begin{cases} \mathsf{c}\left[HF\right] & \text{if } F = FH \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Observe that $\mathcal{T}(c)$ and $\mathcal{T}(c_t)$ are identical as monoids. On the face of it, only their coproduct differs.

Question. Is the coproduct on $\mathcal{T}(c)$ genuinely different from the coproduct on $\mathcal{T}(c_t)$?

The dequasishuffle coproduct on $\mathcal{T}(c)$ is cocommutative iff c is cocommutative. In contrast, $\mathcal{T}(c_t)$ is always cocommutative.

Thus, if c is not cocommutative to begin with, then $\mathcal{T}(c)$ and $\mathcal{T}(c_t)$ cannot possibly be isomorphic as bimonoids.

What happens when c is cocommutative?

What would an isomorphism $\mathcal{T}\left(\mathsf{c}\right)
ightarrow \mathcal{T}\left(\mathsf{c}_{t}\right)$ look like?

Proposition. Fix a noncommutative zeta function $\zeta \in I_{lune}[A]$. For a cocommutative comonoid c, the map $f: \mathcal{T}(c) \to \mathcal{T}(c_t)$ given on the A-component by

(5)
$$\mathcal{T}(\mathsf{c})[A] \xrightarrow{f_A} \mathcal{T}(\mathsf{c}_t)[A] \\
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

is an isomorphism of bimonoids.

Proof. Note that f is a well-defined morphism of species.

Since the matrix form of f is unitriangular, it is an isomorphism of species.

It remains to check that f is a morphism of bimonoids.

By the universal property of

 $\mathcal{T}: \mathsf{Comon}(\mathcal{A}\text{-}\mathsf{Sp}) \to \mathsf{Bimon}(\mathcal{A}\text{-}\mathsf{Sp})$, it suffices to check that $f: \mathsf{c} \to \mathcal{T}(\mathsf{c}_t)$ is a morphism of comonoids.

Thus, we need to verify that the following diagram commutes for all $H \geq A$:

$$c[H] \xrightarrow{f_H} \mathcal{T}(c_t)[H]$$

$$\Delta_A^H \uparrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow \Delta_A^H$$

$$c[A] \xrightarrow{f_A} \mathcal{T}(c_t)[A]$$

$$\Delta_{A}^{H} \circ f_{A} = \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{F:F \geq A, \\ F=FH}} \beta_{HF,F}\right) \circ \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{K:K \geq A}} \zeta\left(A,K\right) \Delta_{A}^{K}\right)$$

$$= \bigoplus_{\substack{F:F \geq A, \\ F=FH}} \zeta\left(A,F\right) \beta_{HF,F} \Delta_{A}^{H}$$

$$= \bigoplus_{\substack{F:F \geq A, \\ F=FH}} \zeta\left(A,F\right) \Delta_{A}^{HF}$$

$$= \bigoplus_{\substack{G:G \geq F}} \left(\sum_{\substack{F:F \geq A, HF=G, \\ F=FH}} \zeta\left(A,F\right)\right) \Delta_{A}^{G}$$

$$= \bigoplus_{\substack{G:G \geq H}} \zeta\left(H,G\right) \Delta_{A}^{G}$$

$$= \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{G:G \geq H}} \zeta\left(H,G\right) \Delta_{H}^{G}\right) \circ \Delta_{A}^{H}$$

$$= f_{H} \circ \Delta_{A}^{H}$$

where we have used (1) in fifth step, with the HF=G, F=FH replacing the conditions $HF=G, \mathbf{s}\left(F\right)=\mathbf{s}\left(G\right)$.

Example. Taking c to be the exponential comonoid E, the above proposition gives us an isomorphim $\mathcal{T}(\mathsf{E}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{T}(\mathsf{E}_t)$ that maps the H-basis of Σ to a Q-basis of Σ .

Remark. We have one such isomorphism for every choice of a noncommutative zeta function $\zeta \in I_{lune} [\mathcal{A}].$

3 Zeta and Möbius as inverses

We now prove the claim we made earlier, viz. the inverse of a noncommutative zeta function ζ in the lune-incidence algebra is a noncommutative Möbius function.

Note that a noncommutative zeta function $\zeta \in I_{lune}[A]$ is always invertible, since $\zeta(A,A)=1$ for all faces A.

In fact, if μ denotes its inverse in the lune-incidence algebra, then we also have μ (A,A)=1 for all faces A.

It remains to show that the inverse of ζ satisfies (4).

Let ζ be a noncommutative zeta function, and let μ denote its inverse in the lune-incidence algebra.

Consider the isomorphism of bimonoids $f: \mathcal{T}(\mathsf{c}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{T}(\mathsf{c}_t)$, as given in (5).

The inverse map $g:\mathcal{T}\left(\mathsf{c}_{t}\right)\overset{\cong}{\longrightarrow}\mathcal{T}\left(\mathsf{c}\right)$ takes the form

(6)
$$\mathcal{T}(\mathsf{c}_{t})[A] \xrightarrow{g_{A}} \mathcal{T}(\mathsf{c})[A]$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

By the universal property of $\mathcal{T}:\mathcal{A}\text{-Sp}\to\mathsf{Bimon}\,(\mathcal{A}\text{-Sp}),$ g must restrict to a morphism of species $g:\mathsf{c}_t\to\mathcal{P}\,(\mathcal{T}\,(\mathsf{c})).$

That is, $\Delta_A^H \circ g_A = 0$ for all H > A.

$$\Delta_{A}^{H} \circ g_{A} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\bigoplus_{F:F \geq A} \beta_{HF,FH} \Delta_{F}^{FH} \right) \circ \left(\bigoplus_{K:K \geq A} \boldsymbol{\mu} (A, K) \Delta_{A}^{K} \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \bigoplus_{F:F \geq A} \boldsymbol{\mu} (A, F) \beta_{HF,FH} \Delta_{F}^{FH} \Delta_{A}^{F} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \bigoplus_{F:F \geq A} \boldsymbol{\mu} (A, F) \Delta_{A}^{HF} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \bigoplus_{F:F \geq A} \left(\sum_{F:F \geq A} \boldsymbol{\mu} (A, F) \right) \Delta_{A}^{G} = 0$$

Since this is true for any cocommutative comonoid c, we get the following:

$$\sum_{F:F\geq A,HF=G}\boldsymbol{\mu}\left(A,F\right)=0$$

which is precisely the noncommutative Weisner formula (4).

Thus, we have proved the first half of the following theorem:

Theorem. In the lune-incidence algebra, the inverse of a noncommutative zeta function is a noncommutative Möbius function, and vice-versa

Proof of the second half can be obtained by simply reversing all the implications.