

Lune-incidence Algebra and Non-commutative Möbius Theory

Udit Mavinkurve

Based on chapter 15
from Topics in Hyperplane Arrangements
by Marcelo Aguiar and Swapneel Mahajan

1 The main result

We will attempt to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *The following pieces of data are equivalent:*

1. *a non-commutative zeta function ζ of \mathcal{A}*
2. *a homogeneous section \mathfrak{u} of \mathcal{A}*
3. *an Eulerian family \mathbb{E} of \mathcal{A}*
4. *a complete system of primitive orthogonal idempotents of $\Sigma [\mathcal{A}]$*
5. *an algebra section of the support map*
$$s : \Sigma [\mathcal{A}] \rightarrow \Pi [\mathcal{A}]$$
6. *a \mathbb{Q} -basis for $\Sigma [\mathcal{A}]$*
7. *a special Zie family \mathbb{P} of \mathcal{A}*
8. *a non-commutative Möbius function μ of \mathcal{A}*

We have seen that 2. - 7. are equivalent:

2. \Rightarrow 3. : Saliola construction

3. \Rightarrow 2. : base term

4. \Leftrightarrow 5. : general fact about elementary algebras

3. \Rightarrow 5. : $Q_X \mapsto E_X$

5. \Rightarrow 3. : image of Q_X under algebra section

3. \Rightarrow 6. : $Q_F = H_F \cdot E_s(F)$

6. \Rightarrow 3. : $H_O = \sum_F u^F Q_F$; $u_X = \sum_{F:s(F)=X} u^F H_F$

6. \Rightarrow 7. : $P_X = (\beta_{X,F} \circ \Delta_F)(Q_F)$

7. \Rightarrow 6. : $Q_F = (\mu_F \circ \beta_{F,X})(P_X)$

The theorem is proved by demonstrating the equivalences

1. \Leftrightarrow 2. and 7. \Leftrightarrow 8.

Objective: define non-commutative zeta functions for \mathcal{A} and show that:

$$1. \Leftrightarrow 2.$$

Due to the limited time, we will omit showing $7. \Leftrightarrow 8.$

The full details can be found in chapter 15 of notes.

We start off by recalling some classical Möbius theory.

2 Classical Möbius Theory

2.1 Incidence algebra of a poset

Recall that for any finite poset P , we defined its incidence algebra $\mathcal{I}(P)$ as the vector space of incidence functions:

$$f : \{(x, y) \in P^2 \mid x \leq y\} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$$

and for any $f, g \in \mathcal{I}(P)$, we define $f \cdot g \in \mathcal{I}(P)$ by:

$$(f \cdot g)(x, z) = \sum_{y: x \leq y \leq z} f(x, y) g(y, z)$$

The (multiplicative) identity is given by $\delta \in \mathcal{I}(P)$, defined as follows:

$$\delta(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The zeta function $\zeta \in \mathbf{I}[P]$ is defined by:

$$\zeta(x, y) = 1 \quad \text{for all } x \leq y$$

It is an invertible element of $\mathbf{I}[P]$.

Its inverse is the Möbius function $\mu \in \mathbf{I}[P]$, which is uniquely characterized by:

$$\mu(x, x) = 1 \quad \text{for all } x$$

$$\sum_{z: x \leq z \leq y} \mu(z, y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x < y$$

2.2 Example: the flat-incidence algebra

Taking $P = \Pi [\mathcal{A}]$, the lattice of flats, we get the flat-incidence algebra $I_{\text{flat}} [\mathcal{A}]$.

It consists of functions on nested flats (i.e. pairs (X, Y) s.t. $X \leq Y$) with:

$$(f \cdot g)(X, Y) = \sum_{Y: X \leq Y \leq Z} f(X, Y) g(Y, Z)$$

We have the identity element, the zeta function, the Möbius function, the incidence module and Möbius inversion exactly as in the general case.

Since the underlying poset $\Pi [\mathcal{A}]$ is a lattice, $I_{\text{flat}} [\mathcal{A}]$ is very well-behaved as an algebra.

In fact, we have the following result:

Proposition 1. *The flat-incidence algebra $I_{\text{flat}} [\mathcal{A}]$ is elementary. Its split semi-simple quotient is the Birkhoff algebra $\Pi [\mathcal{A}]$ with the quotient map given by:*

$$I_{\text{flat}} [\mathcal{A}] \twoheadrightarrow \Pi [\mathcal{A}] \quad ; \quad f \mapsto \sum_X f(X, X) Q_X$$

In particular, its radical consists of functions $f \in I_{\text{flat}} [\mathcal{A}]$ with $f(X, X) = 0$ for all flats X .

We omit the proof.

2.3 Example: the face-incidence algebra

Taking $P = \Sigma [\mathcal{A}]$, the poset of faces, we get the face-incidence algebra $I_{\text{face}} [\mathcal{A}]$.

It consists of functions on nested faces (i.e. pairs (F, G) s.t. $F \leq G$) with:

$$(f \cdot g)(F, H) = \sum_{G: F \leq G \leq H} f(F, G) g(G, H)$$

We have the identity element, the zeta function, the Möbius function, the incidence module and Möbius inversion exactly as in the general case.

$I_{\text{face}} [\mathcal{A}]$ is not quite as well-behaved as $I_{\text{flat}} [\mathcal{A}]$.

We will focus instead on a subalgebra $I_{\text{lune}} [\mathcal{A}] \subseteq I_{\text{face}} [\mathcal{A}]$ which, as we will see, is more well-behaved.

3 The Lune-incidence Algebra

3.1 Nested faces and lunes

Let A and B be faces of the same support. Recall that we have the isomorphism of posets:

$$\Sigma [\mathcal{A}_A] \xrightarrow{\cong} \Sigma [\mathcal{A}_B] \quad ; \quad F/A \mapsto BF/B$$

We define an equivalence relation \sim on nested faces:

$$(A, F) \sim (B, G) \Leftrightarrow s(A) = s(B), G = BF, F = AG$$

or equivalently,

$$(A, F) \sim (B, G) \Leftrightarrow AB = A, BA = BG = BF, F = AG$$

The equivalence classes are called **lunes**. We will typically label lunes as L, M, N .

Lemma 1. *Let $(A, F) \sim (B, G)$. Then, for H such that $A \leq H \leq F$, we have:*

$$(A, H) \sim (B, BH) \quad (H, F) \sim (BH, G)$$

Proof.

$ABH = A \cdot H = H$. This proves the first equivalence.

$$s(BH) = s(B) s(H) = s(A) s(H) = s(AH) = s(H)$$

$$BHF = BF = G$$

$AG = F$ and $A \leq G \leq F \Rightarrow HG = F$. This proves the second equivalence. □

3.2 Lune-incidence algebra

Let $I_{\text{lune}}[\mathcal{A}]$ be the vector subspace of $I_{\text{face}}[\mathcal{A}]$ consisting of functions f such that $f(A, F) = f(B, G)$ whenever $(A, F) \sim (B, G)$.

By the above lemma, for any $f, g \in I_{\text{lune}}[\mathcal{A}]$, if $(A, F) \sim (B, G)$ then:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (f \cdot g)(A, F) &= \sum_{H: A \leq H \leq F} f(A, H) g(H, F) \\
 &= \sum_{H: A \leq H \leq F} f(B, BH) g(BH, F) \\
 &= \sum_{H: B \leq H \leq F} f(B, H) g(H, F) \\
 &= (f \cdot g)(B, F)
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have the result:

Proposition 2. $I_{\text{lune}}[\mathcal{A}]$ is a subalgebra of the face-incidence algebra $I_{\text{face}}[\mathcal{A}]$.

It consists of functions f which are constant on each lune L .

We call $I_{\text{lune}}[\mathcal{A}]$ the **lune-incidence algebra** of \mathcal{A} .

Warning. *Unlike the flat-incidence algebra and the face-incidence algebra, the lune-incidence algebra is not an incidence algebra of a poset.*

3.3 The base-support map

Given a nested face (F, G) , there are two (possibly distinct) flats associated to it via the support map, viz. $s(F)$ and $s(G)$.

We call $s(F)$, the **base** of (F, G) , and $s(G)$ the **support** of (F, G) :

$$b(F, G) = s(F) \quad s(F, G) = s(G)$$

We make the following observations:

1. $b(F, G) \leq s(F, G)$ for all nested faces (F, G) .
2. If $(A, F) \sim (B, G)$ then $b(A, F) = b(B, G)$ and $s(A, F) = s(B, G)$. Thus, the base and support maps are constant on lunes.

Hence, we have a map from lunes to nested flats given by $L \mapsto (b(L), s(L))$.

Let (X, Y) be any nested flat and fix any face A with $s(A) = X$.

Consider the map

$$\{F \mid F \geq A, s(F) = Y\} \rightarrow \{L \mid b(L) = X, s(L) = Y\}$$

$$F \mapsto (A, F)_{\sim}$$

Since $(A, F) \sim (A, G)$ implies $F = G$, the above map is injective.

Furthermore, since any $L = (B, G)_{\sim}$ with $s(B) = X$ and $s(G) = Y$ is the image of AG , the above map is also surjective.

Thus, the two sets are in bijection.

The **base-support map** $\text{bs} : \mathcal{I}_{\text{lune}} [\mathcal{A}] \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\text{flat}} [\mathcal{A}]$ takes any function $f \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{lune}} [\mathcal{A}]$ to the function $\text{bs}(f) \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{flat}} [\mathcal{A}]$ given by:

$$\text{bs}(f)(X, Y) = \sum_{L: \text{b}(L)=X, \text{s}(L)=Y} f(L)$$

By the discussion above, we have the alternative description:

$$\text{bs}(f)(X, Y) = \sum_{F: F \geq A, \text{s}(F)=Y} f(A, F)$$

for any face A of support X .

In particular, the definition is independent of choice of the face A .

Using the alternative description of the base-support map, it is easy to verify that

$$\text{bs}(f \cdot g)(X, Z) = (\text{bs}(f) \cdot \text{bs}(g))(X, Z)$$

for any $f, g \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{lune}}[\mathcal{A}]$ and any nested flat (X, Z) .

Proposition 3. *The base-support map*

$\text{bs} : \mathbf{I}_{\text{lune}}[\mathcal{A}] \rightarrow \mathbf{I}_{\text{flat}}[\mathcal{A}]$ *is an algebra homomorphism.*

We omit the proof.

3.4 Lune-incidence algebra is elementary

As promised, the lune-incidence algebra is well-behaved:

Proposition 4. *The lune-incidence algebra $I_{\text{lune}} [\mathcal{A}]$ is elementary. Its split semi-simple quotient is the Birkhoff algebra $\Pi [\mathcal{A}]$ with the quotient map given by:*

$$I_{\text{lune}} [\mathcal{A}] \twoheadrightarrow \Pi [\mathcal{A}] \quad ; \quad f \mapsto \sum_X f(F, F) Q_X$$

where, for each flat X , we have fixed a face F of support X . In particular, its radical consists of functions $f \in I_{\text{lune}} [\mathcal{A}]$ with $f(F, F) = 0$ for all faces F .

We omit the proof.

3.5 Non-commutative zeta functions

A non-commutative zeta function is an element

$\zeta \in I_{\text{lune}}[\mathcal{A}]$ such that $\zeta(F, F) = 1$ for all faces F and

$$(1) \quad \sum_{F: F \geq A, s(F)=s(G), HF=G,} \zeta(A, F) = \zeta(H, G)$$

for all $A \leq H \leq G$.

For $A = H$, we have $HF = G \Rightarrow F = G$ and so the second condition is automatically satisfied.

On the other hand, taking $H = G$, we get:

$$\sum_{F: F \geq A, s(F)=s(G)} \zeta(A, F) = \zeta(G, G) = 1 \quad \text{for all } A \leq G$$

Thus, we have the following result:

Proposition 5. *The base-support map*

$$\text{bs} : \mathcal{I}_{\text{lune}} [\mathcal{A}] \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\text{flat}} [\mathcal{A}]$$

maps any non-commutative zeta function $\zeta \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{lune}} [\mathcal{A}]$ to the classical zeta function $\zeta \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{flat}} [\mathcal{A}]$.

Proof. For any nested flat (X, Y) we fix a face A of support X to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{bs} (\zeta) (X, Y) &= \sum_{F: F \geq A, s(F)=Y} \zeta (A, F) \\ &= \zeta (G, G) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

where $G \geq A$ is any face of support Y . □

We have not yet made any comments about the existence or uniqueness of non-commutative zeta functions in $\mathcal{I}_{\text{lune}} [\mathcal{A}]$. The following result should help.

3.6 Inching towards the main result

Lemma 2. *A non-commutative zeta function is the same as a homogeneous section.*

Proof. Given ζ , take $u^F = \zeta(O, F)$.

Taking $A = O$ and $B = G$ in (1), we get:

$$\sum_{F:s(F)=X} u^F = 1$$

for $X = s(B)$, so that the scalars u^F define a homogeneous section of \mathcal{A} .

Conversely, given a homogeneous section u , take

$$\zeta(A, F) = u_A^F.$$

For $(A, F) \sim (B, G)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(A, F) = u_A^F &= \sum_{H: AH=F, s(H)=s(F)} u^H \\ &= \sum_{H: BH=G, s(H)=s(G)} u^H = u_B^G = \zeta(B, G) \end{aligned}$$

so that $\zeta \in I_{\text{lune}}[\mathcal{A}]$.

Since $u_F^F = 1$ for any face F , we obtain the first condition:

$$\zeta(F, F) = u_F^F = 1$$

Furthermore, for any $A \leq H \leq G$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{F: F \geq A, s(F)=s(G), HF=G} u_A^F \\
&= \sum_{F: F \geq A, s(F)=s(G), HF=G} \left(\sum_{H': AH'=F, s(H')=s(F)} u^{H'} \right) \\
&= \sum_{H': HA H'=G, s(H')=s(G)} u^{H'} \\
&= \sum_{H': HH'=G, s(H')=s(G)} u^{H'} \\
&= u_H^G
\end{aligned}$$

which gives us the second condition. □