insurance

August 21, 2025

0.1 PORTFORLIO PROJECT LINKS

- GitHub Link: https://github.com/sankdyl/insurance_prediction_portfolio_project/
- Tableau Link: https://public.tableau.com/app/profile/santhosh.k1788/viz/Insuranceforecasting_17554196
- Loom Video Link: https://www.loom.com/share/183272ff7a034b71987adca3f312d5a8
- Technical Blog Link: https://medium.com/@sankdyl 84845/insurance-cost-predictionusing-machine-learning-3cc96f7ef62b

```
[5]: import numpy as np
     import pandas as pd
     import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
     import seaborn as sns
     import pickle
     import shap
     from scipy.stats import pearsonr, spearmanr, ttest_ind
     from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
     from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split, cross_val_score,_
      GridSearchCV
     from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression, Ridge, Lasso, ElasticNet
     from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestRegressor, GradientBoostingRegressor
     from sklearn.metrics import mean_absolute_error, mean_squared_error, r2_score
     from xgboost import XGBRegressor
```

```
[6]: df = pd.read_csv('insurance.csv')
     df.head()
```

[6]:	Age	Diabetes	${\tt BloodPressureProblems}$	AnyTransplants	AnyChronicDiseases	\
0	45	0	0	0	0	
1	60	1	0	0	0	
2	36	1	1	0	0	
3	52	1	1	0	1	
4	38	0	0	0	1	

	Height	Weight	${ t KnownAllergies}$	${\tt HistoryOfCancerInFamily}$	\
0	155	57	0	0	
1	180	73	0	0	
2	158	59	0	0	
3	183	93	0	0	

4 166 88 0

 NumberOfMajorSurgeries
 PremiumPrice

 0
 0
 25000

 1
 0
 29000

 2
 1
 23000

 3
 2
 28000

 4
 1
 23000

[7]: df.info()

<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 986 entries, 0 to 985
Data columns (total 11 columns):

#	Column	Non-Null Count	Dtype
0	Age	986 non-null	int64
1	Diabetes	986 non-null	int64
2	${\tt BloodPressureProblems}$	986 non-null	int64
3	AnyTransplants	986 non-null	int64
4	AnyChronicDiseases	986 non-null	int64
5	Height	986 non-null	int64
6	Weight	986 non-null	int64
7	KnownAllergies	986 non-null	int64
8	${\tt HistoryOfCancerInFamily}$	986 non-null	int64
9	NumberOfMajorSurgeries	986 non-null	int64
10	PremiumPrice	986 non-null	int64

dtypes: int64(11)
memory usage: 84.9 KB

[8]: df.shape

[8]: (986, 11)

• We have 986 records and 11 features in our data including the target variable.

[9]: df.isna().sum()

[9]: Age 0 Diabetes 0 BloodPressureProblems 0 AnyTransplants 0 AnyChronicDiseases 0 Height 0 Weight 0 KnownAllergies 0 HistoryOfCancerInFamily 0 NumberOfMajorSurgeries 0 PremiumPrice

dtype: int64

 $\bullet\,$ No null values are present in data.

0

[10]: df.describe().T

[10]:		count	mea	ın	std	min	25%	\	
	Age	986.0	41.74543	36 13.	963371	18.0	30.0		
	Diabetes	986.0	0.41987	78 0.	493789	0.0	0.0		
	BloodPressureProblems	986.0	0.46856	0.	499264	0.0	0.0		
	AnyTransplants	986.0	0.05578	31 0.	229615	0.0	0.0		
	AnyChronicDiseases	986.0	0.18052	27 0.	384821	0.0	0.0		
	Height	986.0	168.18255	66 10.	098155	145.0	161.0		
	Weight	986.0	76.95030	14.	265096	51.0	67.0		
	KnownAllergies	986.0	0.21501	.0 0.	411038	0.0	0.0		
	HistoryOfCancerInFamily	986.0	0.11764	7 0.	322353	0.0	0.0		
	NumberOfMajorSurgeries	986.0	0.66734	.3 0.	749205	0.0	0.0		
	PremiumPrice	986.0	24336.71399	6 6248.	184382	15000.0	21000.0		
		50%	% 75%	max					
	Age	42.0	53.0	66.0					
	Diabetes	0.0	1.0	1.0					
	BloodPressureProblems	0.0	1.0	1.0					
	AnyTransplants	0.0	0.0	1.0					
	AnyChronicDiseases	0.0	0.0	1.0					
	Height	168.0	176.0	188.0					
	Weight	75.0	87.0	132.0					
	KnownAllergies	0.0	0.0	1.0					
	HistoryOfCancerInFamily	0.0	0.0	1.0					
	NumberOfMajorSurgeries	1.0		3.0					
	PremiumPrice	23000.0	28000.0	40000.0					

- The minimum age in our data is 18 and maximum age is 66. The mean age is 41.74 and the median is 42 which are almost similar, Therefore no ourliers present for Age.
- Mean and Median for Weight and Height is also similar, Therefore no outliers present in the data.


[11]: df.nunique()

[11]: Age 49 2 Diabetes BloodPressureProblems 2 AnyTransplants 2 AnyChronicDiseases 2 Height 44 Weight 74 KnownAllergies 2

```
HistoryOfCancerInFamily
    NumberOfMajorSurgeries
                          4
    PremiumPrice
                         24
    dtype: int64
[12]: cat_cols = ['Diabetes', 'BloodPressureProblems', 'AnyTransplants', __
     "AnyChronicDiseases', 'KnownAllergies', 'HistoryOfCancerInFamily'
    for col in cat_cols:
       des = f'Distribution of data in categorical column: {col}'
       print("*"*len(des)+"\n"+des+"\n"+"*"*len(des))
       print(df[col].value counts(),"\n\n")
    **************
    Distribution of data in categorical column: Diabetes
    *******************
    Diabetes
       572
       414
    1
    Name: count, dtype: int64
    ************************
    Distribution of data in categorical column: BloodPressureProblems
    ***********************
    BloodPressureProblems
       524
       462
    1
    Name: count, dtype: int64
    *******************
    Distribution of data in categorical column: AnyTransplants
    ****************
    AnyTransplants
       931
        55
    Name: count, dtype: int64
    **********************
    Distribution of data in categorical column: AnyChronicDiseases
    ********************
    AnyChronicDiseases
       808
       178
    Name: count, dtype: int64
```

```
Distribution of data in categorical column: KnownAllergies
    *******************
    KnownAllergies
    0
         774
         212
    Name: count, dtype: int64
     *************************
    Distribution of data in categorical column: HistoryOfCancerInFamily
    ************************
    HistoryOfCancerInFamily
         870
    0
     1
         116
    Name: count, dtype: int64
[13]: summary_table = pd.DataFrame()
     summary_percentage = pd.DataFrame()
     for col in cat_cols:
         counts = df[col].value_counts()
         summary_table[col] = counts.astype(str) + " ("+round((counts/
      \rightarrowlen(df))*100,2).astype(str)+"%"+")"
     summary_table
Γ137:
                  Diabetes BloodPressureProblems AnyTransplants \
     Diabetes
              572 (58.01%)
                                   524 (53.14%)
                                                931 (94.42%)
              414 (41.99%)
                                  462 (46.86%)
                                                  55 (5.58%)
             AnyChronicDiseases KnownAllergies HistoryOfCancerInFamily
     Diabetes
                                  774 (78.5%)
     0
                   808 (81.95%)
                                                       870 (88.24%)
                                  212 (21.5%)
     1
                   178 (18.05%)
                                                       116 (11.76%)
[14]: df.columns
[14]: Index(['Age', 'Diabetes', 'BloodPressureProblems', 'AnyTransplants',
            'AnyChronicDiseases', 'Height', 'Weight', 'KnownAllergies',
            'HistoryOfCancerInFamily', 'NumberOfMajorSurgeries', 'PremiumPrice'],
           dtype='object')
```

0.1.1 Checking Distribution of Data





```
[16]: num_cols = ['Age', 'Height', 'Weight', 'PremiumPrice']


[17]: total_cols = len(num_cols)
    # Create subplots


fig, axes = plt.subplots(total_cols, 1, figsize=(5, 5 * total_cols))

for i, col in enumerate(num_cols):
    sns.histplot(x=col, data=df, ax=axes[i], edgecolor='black', kde=True)
    axes[i].set_title(f'Histplot of {col}')
    axes[i].set_xlabel(col)
    axes[i].set_ylabel('Counts')
    plt.tight_layout(pad=2)
```











```
[18]: plt.figure(figsize=(5,6))
sns.boxplot(df['PremiumPrice'])
```

[18]: <Axes: ylabel='PremiumPrice'>



```
[19]: sns.scatterplot(data=df, x='Age', y='PremiumPrice')
```

[19]: <Axes: xlabel='Age', ylabel='PremiumPrice'>




0.1.2 Separating Healthy and Unhealthy people for further analysis

[20]: df_healthy = df[(df[['Diabetes', 'BloodPressureProblems', 'AnyTransplants', |


```
plt.pie(sizes, labels=labels, autopct='%1.1f%%', explode = [0.05,0.05], colors=['lightgreen', 'pink'], startangle=90, wedgeprops={'edgecolor': colors'}) # Adds black edges for clarity

plt.title('Proportion of Healthy vs. Unhealthy Individuals')
plt.show()
```

Proportion of Healthy vs. Unhealthy Individuals



```
[22]: plt.figure(figsize=(10, 8))
    sns.heatmap(df.corr(), annot=True, cmap='coolwarm', fmt='.2f', linewidths=0.5)
    plt.title("Correlation Heatmap")
    plt.show()
```



0.1.3 Hypothesis Testing

```
Correlation Matrix
```

```
[23]: corr = df[['Age', 'Weight', 'Height', 'PremiumPrice']].corr()
print(corr)
```

```
Height PremiumPrice
                  Age
                         Weight
             1.000000 -0.018590 0.039879
                                               0.697540
Age
Weight
            -0.018590 1.000000
                                 0.066946
                                               0.141507
Height
             0.039879 0.066946
                                 1.000000
                                               0.026910
PremiumPrice 0.697540 0.141507 0.026910
                                               1.000000
```

```
Correlation test for Numerical Features
```

```
[24]: cols = ['Age', 'Weight', 'Height']
for col in cols:
```


```
l=len(f"Hypothesis Test : {col} and Premium Costs")

# Perform Pearson Correlation Test
corr, p_value = pearsonr(df[col], df['PremiumPrice'])
print("*"*1)
print(f"Hypothesis Test : {col} and Premium Costs")
print("*"*1)
print(f"Pearson correlation: {corr}, p-value: {p_value}")

sns.scatterplot(x=df[col], y=df['PremiumPrice'])
plt.show()


if p_value < 0.05:
    print(f"Result: Significant correlation between {col} and PremiumPrice.
→\n\n")
else:
    print(f"Result: No significant correlation between {col} and ...
→PremiumPrice.\n\n")</pre>
```

Pearson correlation: 0.6975399655058028, p-value: 1.322507157832202e-144




Result: Significant correlation between Age and PremiumPrice.

Pearson correlation: 0.14150740525639743, p-value: 8.186473650456375e-06



Result: Significant correlation between Weight and PremiumPrice.

Pearson correlation: 0.026909513982139983, p-value: 0.3986376207316487



Result: No significant correlation between Height and PremiumPrice.

• Height has No Significant correlation with Premium Price.

```
Correlation test for ordinal feature

[25]: corr, p_value = spearmanr(df['NumberOfMajorSurgeries'], df['PremiumPrice'])
    print(f"Spearman correlation: {corr}, p-value: {p_value}")

if p_value < 0.05:
    print("Result: Reject the null hypothesis.\nNumberOfMajorSurgeries have
    significant impact on Premium Prices.")

else:
    print("Result: Failed to reject the null hypothesis.
    \n\numberOfMajorSurgeries have no impact on Premium Prices")
```


Spearman correlation: 0.28948194124643145, p-value: 1.7309753268947138e-20 Result: Reject the null hypothesis.

NumberOfMajorSurgeries have significant impact on Premium Prices.

T-Test for Categorical Features


```
[26]: for col in cat_cols:
          # Separate premium costs based on the presence of diseases
          with_disease = df[df[col] == 1]['PremiumPrice']
          without_disease = df[df[col] == 0]['PremiumPrice']
          l=len(f"Hypothesis Test : {col} and Premium Costs")
          # Perform an independent t-test
          t_stat, p_value = ttest_ind(with_disease, without_disease)
          print("*"*1)
          print(f"Hypothesis Test : {col} and Premium Costs")
          print("*"*1)
          print(f"T-statistic: {t_stat:.2f}, P-value: {p_value:.2f}")
          sns.barplot(x=df[col], y=df['PremiumPrice'], estimator='mean')
          plt.show()
          if p_value < 0.05:</pre>
              print(f"Result: Reject the null hypothesis.\n{col} have significant⊔
       →impact on Premium Prices\n\n")
          else:
              print(f"Result: Failed to reject the null hypothesis. \n{col} have no⊔
       →impact on Premium Prices\n\n")
```

T-statistic: 2.40, P-value: 0.02




Result: Reject the null hypothesis.
Diabetes have significant impact on Premium Prices

T-statistic: 5.32, P-value: 0.00



Result: Reject the null hypothesis.
BloodPressureProblems have significant impact on Premium Prices


T-statistic: 9.47, P-value: 0.00



Result: Reject the null hypothesis.

AnyTransplants have significant impact on Premium Prices


T-statistic: 6.69, P-value: 0.00



Result: Reject the null hypothesis.


AnyChronicDiseases have significant impact on Premium Prices

T-statistic: 0.38, P-value: 0.70



Result: Failed to reject the null hypothesis. KnownAllergies have no impact on Premium Prices

T-statistic: 2.62, P-value: 0.01



Result: Reject the null hypothesis.
HistoryOfCancerInFamily have significant impact on Premium Prices

• Known Allergies have no impact on the Premium Prices.

0.1.4 Feature Engineering & Data Preprocessing

Feature Scaling

0.1.5 Splitting the Data

```
[29]: X = df.drop('PremiumPrice', axis=1)
      y = df['PremiumPrice']
      X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.2,_
       →random_state=42)
[30]: X_train.head()
[30]:
                Age Diabetes BloodPressureProblems AnyTransplants
      762 -1.629763
      334 -0.769935
                            0
                                                    1
                                                                     0
      890 1.164677
                            1
                                                    0
                                                                     0
      529 -0.769935
                            0
                                                    1
                                                                     0
      468 -1.128196
                            1
                                                    1
                                                                     0
           AnyChronicDiseases
                                  Height
                                            Weight KnownAllergies
      762
                            0 -2.197809 -1.539523
      334
                            0 -0.612557 0.704853
                                                                  0
      890
                            0 -2.098731 -0.136788
                                                                  0
      529
                            0 0.279147 0.564580
                                                                  0
      468
                            0 -0.117166 -0.487472
                                                                  0
           HistoryOfCancerInFamily NumberOfMajorSurgeries
      762
                                  0
      334
                                  0
                                                          0
      890
                                  0
                                                          0
      529
                                  0
                                                          0
      468
                                  1
                                                          1
```

0.1.6 Model Building

```
[32]: def plot_residuals(model):
          pred = model.predict(X_test)
          # Calculate Residuals
          residuals = y_test - pred
          # Calculate R2 Score
          r2 = r2_score(y_test, pred)
          # Create side-by-side plots
          fig, axes = plt.subplots(1, 2, figsize=(10, 6))
          # Residual Plot
          axes[0].scatter(pred, residuals, alpha=0.6)
          axes[0].axhline(0, color='red', linestyle='--', lw=2)
          axes[0].set_xlabel('Predicted Premium Price')
          axes[0].set_ylabel('Residuals (Actual - Predicted)')
          axes[0].set_title('Residual Plot')
          # Actual vs. Predicted Scatter Plot
          sns.scatterplot(x=y_test, y=pred, alpha=0.6, ax=axes[1])
          axes[1].plot([min(y_test), max(y_test)], [min(y_test), max(y_test)],__

color='red', linestyle="--", lw=2)
          axes[1].set xlabel("Actual Premium Price")
          axes[1].set_ylabel("Predicted Premium Price")
          axes[1].set title(f"Actual vs. Predicted (R^2 = \{r2:.2f\})")
          # Adjust layout and display
          plt.tight_layout()
          plt.show()
```

0.1.7 Linear Regression

```
[33]: # Initialize the Model
lr = LinearRegression()
# Train the model on the training data
lr.fit(X_train, y_train)

# Predict Train Value and Metrics
y_train_pred_lr = lr.predict(X_train)
model_metrics('Linear Regression', 'Train Data', y_train, y_train_pred_lr)

# Predict Test Value and Metrics
y_test_pred_lr = lr.predict(X_test)
model_metrics('Linear Regression', 'Test Data', y_test, y_test_pred_lr)


# Plotting Residuals
plot_residuals(lr)
```

Prediction Result of Linear Regression on Train Data

Linear Regression MAE: 2692.47 Linear Regression RMSE: 3793.46 Linear Regression R^2: 0.62

Prediction Result of Linear Regression on Test Data

Linear Regression MAE: 2586.23 Linear Regression RMSE: 3495.95 Linear Regression R^2: 0.71



0.1.8 Random Forest Regressor

```
[34]: # Initialize the Model
    rf = RandomForestRegressor(n_estimators=100, random_state=42)
    # Train the model on the training data
    rf.fit(X_train, y_train)

# Predict Train Value and Metrics
    y_train_pred_rf = rf.predict(X_train)
    model_metrics('Random Forest Regressor', 'Train Data', y_train, y_train_pred_rf)

# Predict Test Value and Metrics
    y_test_pred_rf = rf.predict(X_test)
    model_metrics('Random Forest Regressor', 'Test Data', y_test, y_test_pred_rf)
```


Plotting Residuals plot_residuals(rf)

Prediction Result of Random Forest Regressor on Train Data

Random Forest Regressor MAE: 452.5 Random Forest Regressor RMSE: 1099.19 Random Forest Regressor R^2: 0.97

Prediction Result of Random Forest Regressor on Test Data

Random Forest Regressor MAE: 1036.97 Random Forest Regressor RMSE: 2309.59 Random Forest Regressor R^2: 0.87




$0.1.9 \quad GBDT \ Regressor$

Prediction Result of Gradient Boosting Regressor on Train Data

Gradient Boosting Regressor MAE: 1179.02 Gradient Boosting Regressor RMSE: 2138.06 Gradient Boosting Regressor R^2: 0.88


Prediction Result of Gradient Boosting Regressor on Test Data

Gradient Boosting Regressor MAE: 1503.64 Gradient Boosting Regressor RMSE: 2480.21 Gradient Boosting Regressor R^2: 0.86



$0.1.10 \quad XGB \ Regressor$

```
[36]: # !pip install xgboost
[37]: # Initialize the Model
      xgb = XGBRegressor(n_estimators=200, learning_rate=0.1, max_depth=5,__
       ⇒subsample=0.8, colsample_bytree=0.8, random_state=42)
      # Train the model on the training data
      xgb.fit(X_train, y_train)
      # Predict Train Value and Metrics
      y_train_pred_xgb = xgb.predict(X_train)
      model_metrics('XGB Regressor', 'Train Data', y_train, y_train_pred_xgb)
      # Predict Test Value and Metrics
      y_test_pred_xgb = xgb.predict(X_test)
      model_metrics('XGB Regressor', 'Test Data', y_test, y_test_pred_xgb)
      # Plotting Residuals
     plot_residuals(xgb)
     Prediction Result of XGB Regressor on Train Data
     XGB Regressor MAE: 385.0
     XGB Regressor RMSE: 600.56
     XGB Regressor R^2: 0.99
     Prediction Result of XGB Regressor on Test Data
     XGB Regressor MAE: 1513.22
     XGB Regressor RMSE: 2622.34
     XGB Regressor R^2: 0.84
```



0.1.11 Cross-Validation

```
General Regressor':gbdt, 'XGB Regressor':xgb}

[39]: for model_name,model in models.items():
    head = f'{model_name} CV Metrics'
    print("*"*len(head)+"\n"+head+"\n"+"*"*len(head))
    cv_scores = cross_val_score(model, X_train, y_train, cv=5,__

    scoring='neg_mean_squared_error')
    cv_rmse = np.sqrt(-cv_scores)
    print("RMSE:", round(cv_rmse.mean(),2))

    cv_r2_scores = cross_val_score(model, X_train, y_train, cv=5, scoring='r2')
    print("R2:", round(cv_r2_scores.mean(),2),'\n')
```

[38]: models = {'Linear Regression':lr, 'Random Forest Regressor':rf, 'Gradient,

R2: 0.6

RMSE: 3064.08

0.1.12 Hyperparameter Tuning


Ridge

```
[40]: # Hyperparameter Tuning for Linear Regression
      param_grid = {'alpha': [0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100]}
      # Ridge Regression Tuning
      grid_search_ridge = GridSearchCV(Ridge(random_state=42), param_grid, cv=5,_
       ⇔scoring='neg_mean_squared_error')
      grid_search_ridge.fit(X_train, y_train)
      print("Best Parameters (Alpha):", grid_search_ridge.best_params_)
      print()
      best_ridge = grid_search_ridge.best_estimator_
      # Fitting the best model
      best_ridge.fit(X_train, y_train)
      y_train_pred_ridge = best_ridge.predict(X_train)
      model_metrics('Ridge', 'Train Data', y_train, y_train_pred_ridge)
      print()
      y_test_pred_ridge = best_ridge.predict(X_test)
      model_metrics('Ridge', 'Test Data', y_test, y_test_pred_ridge)
      # Plotting Residuals
      plot_residuals(best_ridge)
     Best Parameters (Alpha): {'alpha': 1}
```

Prediction Result of Ridge on Train Data Ridge MAE: 2694.0 Ridge RMSE: 3793.7 Ridge R^2: 0.62

Prediction Result of Ridge on Test Data

Ridge MAE: 2592.39 Ridge RMSE: 3503.41 Ridge R^2: 0.71



```
print()
y_test_pred_lasso = best_lasso.predict(X_test)
model_metrics('Lasso', 'Test Data', y_test, y_test_pred_lasso)

# Plotting Residuals
plot_residuals(best_lasso)
```


Best Parameters (Alpha): {'alpha': 10}

Prediction Result of Lasso on Train Data


Lasso MAE: 2693.33 Lasso RMSE: 3794.26 Lasso R^2: 0.62

Prediction Result of Lasso on Test Data

Lasso MAE: 2596.73 Lasso RMSE: 3510.18 Lasso R^2: 0.71



```
'l1_ratio': [0.1, 0.5, 0.9] # Mix of L1 and L2 regularization
}
grid_search_elastic = GridSearchCV(ElasticNet(max_iter=10000, random_state=42),__
 →param_grid, cv=5, scoring='neg_mean_squared_error')
grid_search_elastic.fit(X_train, y_train)
print("Best Parameters:", grid_search_elastic.best_params_)
print()
best_elastic = grid_search_elastic.best_estimator_
# Fitting the best model
best_elastic.fit(X_train, y_train)
y_train_pred_elastic = best_elastic.predict(X_train)
model_metrics('Elastic Net', 'Train Data', y_train, y_train_pred_elastic)
print()
y_test_pred_elastic = best_elastic.predict(X_test)
model_metrics('Elastic Net', 'Test Data', y_test, y_test_pred_elastic)
# Plotting Residuals
plot_residuals(best_elastic)
Best Parameters: {'alpha': 0.01, 'l1_ratio': 0.9}
Prediction Result of Elastic Net on Train Data
Elastic Net MAE: 2693.67
Elastic Net RMSE: 3793.61
Elastic Net R^2: 0.62
Prediction Result of Elastic Net on Test Data
Elastic Net MAE: 2591.11
Elastic Net RMSE: 3501.82
Elastic Net R^2: 0.71
```



Random Forest Regressor

```
[43]: # Hyperparameter Tuning for Random Forest Regressor
      param_grid = {
          'n_estimators': [50, 100, 200],
          'max_depth': [None, 5, 10],
          'min_samples_split': [2, 5, 10]
      }
      grid_search_rf = GridSearchCV(RandomForestRegressor(random_state=42),__
       →param_grid, cv=5, scoring='neg_mean_squared_error')
      grid_search_rf.fit(X_train, y_train)
      print("Best Parameters:", grid_search_rf.best_params_)
      print()
      best_rf = grid_search_rf.best_estimator_
      # Fitting the best model
      best_rf.fit(X_train, y_train)
      y_train_pred_rf = best_rf.predict(X_train)
      model_metrics('Random Forest Regressor', 'Train Data', y_train, y_train_pred_rf)
      print()
      y_test_pred_rf = best_rf.predict(X_test)
      model_metrics('Random Forest Regressor', 'Test Data', y_test, y_test_pred_rf)
```

```
# Plotting Residuals
plot_residuals(best_rf)
```


Best Parameters: {'max_depth': None, 'min_samples_split': 10, 'n_estimators':
200}

Prediction Result of Random Forest Regressor on Train Data

Random Forest Regressor MAE: 813.87 Random Forest Regressor RMSE: 2077.88 Random Forest Regressor R^2: 0.89

Prediction Result of Random Forest Regressor on Test Data


Random Forest Regressor MAE: 994.44 Random Forest Regressor RMSE: 2078.73 Random Forest Regressor R^2: 0.9



Gradient Boosting Regressor

```
[44]: # Hyperparameter Tuning for Gradient Boosting Regressor
param_grid = {
    'n_estimators': [50, 100, 200],
    'learning_rate': [0.01, 0.1, 0.2],
    'max_depth': [3, 5, 7],
    'min_samples_split': [2, 5, 10],
```

```
'min_samples_leaf': [1, 2, 4]
}
grid_search_gbdt = GridSearchCV(GradientBoostingRegressor(random_state=42),__
 →param_grid, scoring='neg_mean_squared_error', cv=5, verbose=1, n_jobs=-1)
grid search gbdt.fit(X train, y train)
print("Best parameters:", grid_search_gbdt.best_params_)
print()
best_gbdt = grid_search_gbdt.best_estimator_
# Fitting Best Model
best_gbdt.fit(X_train, y_train)
y_train_pred_gbdt = best_gbdt.predict(X_train)
model_metrics('Gradient Boosting Regressor', 'Train Data', y_train, __
 →y_train_pred_gbdt)
y_test_pred_gbdt = best_gbdt.predict(X_test)
model_metrics('Gradient Boosting Regressor', 'Test Data', y_test, __
 →y_test_pred_gbdt)
# Plotting Residuals
plot_residuals(best_gbdt)
Fitting 5 folds for each of 243 candidates, totalling 1215 fits
Best parameters: {'learning_rate': 0.1, 'max_depth': 5, 'min_samples_leaf': 2,
'min_samples_split': 10, 'n_estimators': 50}
Prediction Result of Gradient Boosting Regressor on Train Data
Gradient Boosting Regressor MAE: 857.37
Gradient Boosting Regressor RMSE: 1677.67
Gradient Boosting Regressor R^2: 0.93
Prediction Result of Gradient Boosting Regressor on Test Data
Gradient Boosting Regressor MAE: 1242.41
Gradient Boosting Regressor RMSE: 2348.88
Gradient Boosting Regressor R^2: 0.87
```



XGB Regressor

```
[45]: # Hyperparameter Tuning for XGB Regressor
      param_grid = {
          'n_estimators': [100, 500, 1000],
          'learning_rate': [0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2],
          'max_depth': [3, 5, 7, 10],
          'subsample': [0.6, 0.8, 1.0],
          'colsample_bytree': [0.6, 0.8, 1.0]
      }
      grid_search_xgb = GridSearchCV(XGBRegressor(objective='reg:squarederror',_
       orandom_state=42), param_grid, scoring='neg_mean_squared_error', cv=5,⊔
       ⇔verbose=1, n_jobs=-1)
      grid_search_xgb.fit(X_train, y_train)
      print("Best parameters:", grid_search_xgb.best_params_)
      print()
      best_xgb = grid_search_xgb.best_estimator_
      # Fitting Best Model
      best_xgb.fit(X_train, y_train)
      y_train_pred_xgb = best_xgb.predict(X_train)
      model_metrics('XGB Regressor', 'Train Data', y_train, y_train_pred_xgb)
```

```
y_test_pred_xgb = best_xgb.predict(X_test)
model_metrics('XGB Regressor', 'Test Data', y_test, y_test_pred_xgb)

# Plotting Residuals
plot_residuals(best_xgb)
```


Fitting 5 folds for each of 432 candidates, totalling 2160 fits
Best parameters: {'colsample_bytree': 1.0, 'learning_rate': 0.01, 'max_depth': 5, 'n_estimators': 500, 'subsample': 0.6}

Prediction Result of XGB Regressor on Train Data

XGB Regressor MAE: 884.01 XGB Regressor RMSE: 1623.04 XGB Regressor R^2: 0.93


Prediction Result of XGB Regressor on Test Data

XGB Regressor MAE: 1275.48 XGB Regressor RMSE: 2243.17 XGB Regressor R^2: 0.88




0.1.13 Feature Importance

```
[46]: # Get feature importances from the trained Random Forest model
      feature_importances = best_rf.feature_importances_
      # Get feature names
      feature_names = X_train.columns
      # Sort feature importances in descending order
      sorted_indices = np.argsort(feature_importances)[::-1]
      sorted_features = feature_names[sorted_indices]
      sorted_importances = feature_importances[sorted_indices]
      # Plot the feature importance
      plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
      plt.barh(sorted_features, sorted_importances, color="skyblue")
      plt.xlabel("Feature Importance Score")
      plt.ylabel("Features")
      plt.title("Feature Importance from Best Random Forest")
      plt.gca().invert_yaxis() # Invert y-axis to show the most important feature at ___
       \hookrightarrow the top
      plt.show()
```



- Age is the most Important feature in predicting Premium price
- Diabetes and Known Allergies has no or less impact on Premium price

```
[47]: # Get feature importances from the trained Gradient Boosting Regressor model
      feature_importances = best_gbdt.feature_importances_
      # Get feature names
      feature_names = X_train.columns
      # Sort feature importances in descending order
      sorted_indices = np.argsort(feature_importances)[::-1]
      sorted_features = feature_names[sorted_indices]
      sorted_importances = feature_importances[sorted_indices]
      # Plot the feature importance
      plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
      plt.barh(sorted_features, sorted_importances, color="skyblue")
      plt.xlabel("Feature Importance Score")
      plt.ylabel("Features")
      plt.title("Feature Importance from Best Gradient Boosting Regressor")
      plt.gca().invert_yaxis() # Invert y-axis to show the most important feature at_
       ⇔the top
      plt.show()
```




- Age is the most Important feature in predicting Premium price
- Known Allergies has least impact in predicting Premium price

```
[48]: # !pip install shap
```


```
[49]: # Best Random Forest model's predictions using SHAP
explainer = shap.TreeExplainer(best_rf)
shap_values = explainer.shap_values(X_train)

# Summary plot
shap.summary_plot(shap_values, X_train)
```



```
[50]: # Best GBDT model's predictions using SHAP
explainer = shap.TreeExplainer(best_gbdt)
shap_values = explainer.shap_values(X_train)

# Summary plot
shap.summary_plot(shap_values, X_train)
```



0.1.14 Selecting Saving trained model to Pickle file

```
[51]: # Dumping Model in pickle file
model_file = open('model.pkl',mode='wb')
pickle.dump(best_rf, model_file)
model_file.close()
```

Evaluating model performance While analyzing metrics for Random Forest, Gradient Boosting, and XGB models. Random Forest shows moderate error with high R2, Gradient Boosting shows potential overfitting, and XGB has low training errors but higher test errors. #### Assessing model metrics Random Forest exhibits a slight edge on test data, with an R2 of 0.9, compared to other models: Ridge (0.71), Lasso (0.71), ElasticNet(0.71), Gradient Boosting (0.87) and XGB (0.88). #### Observations ##### Generalization (Train vs. Test Performance): While some models (especially the XGB) achieve very high performance on the training set (e.g., lower errors and higher R²), their performance on the test data is not as strong. This gap between train and test results is a sign of overfitting. #### Random Forest Regressor: - The Random Forest shows comparable train and test metrics. - Its test performance (MAE 994.44, RMSE 2078.73, R² 0.90) indicates good generalization. - The close alignment between train and test metrics suggests that the model is not overfitting.