

# DAO SCORING RUBRIC GUIDELINES (2025 Metrics)

By Sanmay Aryan

Each attribute is rated on a **1–5 scale**, where:

## Score Interpretation

- |   |                                       |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | Very Poor / Non-existent              |
| 2 | Weak / Incomplete Implementation      |
| 3 | Moderate / Adequate                   |
| 4 | Strong / Well-Structured              |
| 5 | Excellent / Fully Mature and Scalable |

## Attribute-Specific Scoring Guidelines

### 1. Governance Structure

- **1:** No formal governance process; ad hoc decisions.
- **3:** Defined governance flow, but limited modularity or transparency.
- **5:** Clearly documented, modular governance contracts (e.g., proposals, quorums, execution phases) with full public auditability.

### 2. Member Engagement

- **1:** <5% voter turnout; inactive forums or Discord.
- **3:** Medium engagement (~5–10% participation), irregular proposal discussion.
- **5:** Consistently high turnout (>15%), active delegate systems, responsive community participation.

### 3. Technical Transparency

- **1:** Contracts are closed source or partially documented.

- **3:** Most contracts are public, but audit trails or upgrade history is unclear.
- **5:** Fully open-source contracts, accessible on GitHub, with verifiable audit reports and version tracking.

#### **4. Proposal Success Rate**

- **1:** <25% of submitted proposals pass due to quorum failure or poor design.
- **3:** Around 50–60% success rate.
- **5:** >80% of proposals pass, well-informed by community input, often precede with temp checks.

#### **5. Token Distribution Equality**

- **1:** Extreme concentration (top 1–2 wallets hold >50%).
- **3:** Noticeable centralization, but partial mitigation through delegation.
- **5:** Broad, decentralized distribution; high Gini diversity, strong long-tail governance.

#### **6. Voting System Type**

- **1:** Only token-weighted voting without delegation or refinement.
- **3:** Includes delegation or supports off-chain voting.
- **5:** Implements quadratic, conviction, or reputation-based voting with sybil resistance mechanisms.

#### **7. Quorum Achievement**

- **1:** Most proposals fail due to unmet quorums.
- **3:** Inconsistent quorum success; only major proposals pass.
- **5:** Regular quorum achievement with dynamic or tuned thresholds to improve reliability.

#### **8. Adaptability**

- **1:** Governance contracts are immutable and outdated.
- **3:** Supports upgrades but lacks community responsiveness.

- **5:** Uses proxy patterns, parameterized modules, and responds to governance feedback with agile improvement.

## **9. Tooling Integration**

- **1:** Minimal or fragmented tool use.
- **3:** Core tooling (Snapshot, Aragon, etc.) used, but limited analytics or UX integration.
- **5:** Rich ecosystem of integrated tools (e.g., Snapshot, Tally, Dune, Boardroom) with seamless UI/UX.

## **10. Treasury Size & Utilization**

- **1:** Small, idle treasury; limited visibility on spending.
- **3:** Moderate size, with occasional disbursements but no clear strategy.
- **5:** Large, actively managed treasury with budgeting cycles, grants, and transparent disbursement logs.