Attrition rate prediction in an organization In [38]: import numpy as np import pandas as pd import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import seaborn as sns %matplotlib inline sns.set_style("dark") In [40]: | df = pd.read_csv('.../Data/HR_comma_sep.csv") df.head() Out[40]: satisfaction_level last_evaluation number_project average_montly_hours time_spend_company Work_accident left prom 0 2 0.53 0.38 157 1 0.80 0.86 5 262 6 0 1 0.11 0.88 272 3 0.72 0.87 5 223 5 0 0.37 0.52 2 159 In [41]: df.info() <class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'> RangeIndex: 14999 entries, 0 to 14998 Data columns (total 10 columns): satisfaction_level 14999 non-null float64 14999 non-null float64 last evaluation number_project 14999 non-null int64 average montly hours 14999 non-null int64 time_spend_company 14999 non-null int64 Work_accident 14999 non-null int64 left 14999 non-null int64 promotion_last_5years 14999 non-null int64 Department 14999 non-null object salary 14999 non-null object dtypes: float64(2), int64(6), object(2) memory usage: 1.1+ MB In [42]: df.head() Out[42]: satisfaction_level last_evaluation number_project average_montly_hours time_spend_company Work_accident left prom 0 0.38 0.53 2 157 1 0.80 0.86 5 262 6 0 1 0.11 88.0 272 3 0 1 0.72 0.87 5 223 5 2 3 0.37 0.52 159 Employee satisfaction vs employee attrition. Lower the satisfaction level higher chances of employee leaving In [43]: sns.barplot(x="left", y= "satisfaction_level", data=df) plt.show() 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 0 Effect of last performance evaluation on employee attrition In [44]: sns.boxplot(x="left", y= "last_evaluation", data=df) plt.show() 1.0 0.9 0.8 0.7 ± 0.6 0.5 0.4 0 Do employees spending more hours tends to leave organization? In [45]: sns.boxplot(x="left", y= "average_montly_hours", data=df) plt.show() 300 250 200 150 100 0 Does years spent in the organization influence employees to leave? In [46]: sns.boxplot(x="left", y="time_spend_company", data=df) plt.show() Do number of projects changes cause attrition? Seems employees who worked on between 3 to 4 projects stayed on than people with very less changes or very high changes In [47]: sns.boxplot(x="left", y="number_project", data=df) plt.show() 0 In [48]: #Convert salary into numerical type by Dummification df = pd.get_dummies(df, columns=['salary'], drop_first=True) df.head() Out[48]: satisfaction_level last_evaluation number_project average_montly_hours time_spend_company Work_accident left prom 0 0.53 0.38 157 0.80 0.86 5 262 6 0 0.11 0.88 272 5 3 0.87 5 223 0.72 0.37 0.52 159 In [49]: #Split the data set into train and test y = df["left"] #drop department & left columns = ['Department', 'left'] df = df.drop(columns, axis=1) col = df.columns x = df[col]x.head() Out[49]: satisfaction_level last_evaluation number_project average_montly_hours time_spend_company Work_accident promotion 3 0.38 0.53 157 5 0.80 0.86 262 6 0 0.11 0.88 272 3 0.72 0.87 5 223 5 0 0.37 0.52 159 Build model and import logistic regression In [50]: from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression #Split the data intorain and test x_train, x_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(x, y, test_size = 0.20, random_state = 1) In [51]: x_train.shape Out[51]: (11999, 9) In [52]: x_test.shape Out[52]: (3000, 9) In [53]: y_train.shape Out[53]: (11999,) In [54]: y_test.shape Out[54]: (3000,) Train the data In [55]: logisticRegr = LogisticRegression() logisticRegr.fit(x train, y train) e:\Anaconda\lib\site-packages\sklearn\linear_model\logistic.py:433: FutureWarning: Default solve ${\tt r}$ will be changed to 'lbfgs' in 0.22. Specify a solver to silence this warning. FutureWarning) Out[55]: LogisticRegression(C=1.0, class_weight=None, dual=False, fit_intercept=True, intercept_scaling=1, max_iter=100, multi_class='warn', n_jobs=None, penalty='12', random_state=None, solver='warn', tol=0.0001, verbose=0, warm_start=False) Predict the test data In [56]: pred = logisticRegr.predict(x_test) pred Out[56]: array([0, 0, 0, ..., 0, 1, 0], dtype=int64) Model Evaluation using accuracy classification score In [57]: **from sklearn import** metrics ac = metrics.accuracy_score(y_test, pred) print('Accuracy score for test data is:', ac) Model Evaluation using confusion matrix In [58]: from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix confusion_matrix = pd.DataFrame(confusion_matrix(y_test, pred)) print(confusion matrix) 0 2107 180 454 259 In [37]: def plot_confusion_matrix(df_confusion): plt.figure(figsize=(8,8)) sns.heatmap(df_confusion, linewidth=.2, fmt='.2f', annot=True, square = True, cmap = 'Blues_r') plt.title('Confusion Matrix', size = 15) plt.ylabel('Actual', fontsize = 15) plt.xlabel('Predicted', fontsize = 15) plot confusion matrix(confusion matrix) Confusion Matrix 1733.00 554.00 Actual 900 188.00 600 0 Predicted Check the score via logisticRegr.score()

In [59]: score = logisticRegr.score(x test, y test)

Setting the threshold to 0.75

score

Out[59]: 0.788666666666666

Accuracy score fo test data is: 0.7573333333333333

ac = metrics.accuracy_score(y_test, pred) print('Accuracy score fo test data is:', ac)

The accuracy has been reduced from 0.78 to 0.75. Hence, 0.75 is not a good threshold for our model. Setting the threshold to 0.25

In [61]: pred = np.where(logisticRegr.predict_proba(x_test)[:,1] >= .25, 1, 0) ac = metrics.accuracy_score(y_test, pred) print('Accuracy score fo test data is:', ac)

The accuracy has been reduced from 0.78 to 0.75. Hence, 0.25 is also not a good threshold for our model. In []:

Accuracy score fo test data is: 0.7526666666666667

In [60]: $pred = np.where(logisticRegr.predict_proba(x_test)[:,1] >= .75, 1, 0)$