

---

# CS771: Intro to ML

## Assignment 1

---

**Sandeep Parmar**  
Roll no- 210922  
BS-SDS

**Daphal Sanket Anil**  
Roll no- 210300  
BS-MTH

**Sandeep Singh Gurjar**  
Roll no- 210923  
BT-CE

**Sarthak Agarwal**  
Roll no- 210934  
BT-EE

**Satish Kumar**  
Roll no- 210939  
BT-EE

**Sutariya Smitkumar Sureshbhai**  
Roll no - 211087  
BT-EE

### 1 Question 1

To solve the CAR-PUF first we have to show that how single 32-bit arbitrary PUF can be broken by single linear model. Below fig describe the general setup for arbitrary PUF.

#### Notations -

1.  $C'_i$ 's is challenges, it can be either 0 or 1. More generally our input is vector  $C$  of length 32,  $C := \{0, 1\}^{32}$ .
2.  $r$  denotes response OR output, it is also 0 or 1.
3.  $t_i^u$  is the (unknown) time at which the upper signal leaves the  $i^{th}$  mux.
4.  $t_i^l$  is the time at which the lower signal leaves the  $i^{th}$  mux.
5.  $p_i, q_i, r_i$ , and  $s_i$  is the time travel to inside the  $i^{th}$  mux depend on the which path signal travel.

Based on above setting, our response  $r$  take values based on following decision rule.

$$r = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t_{31}^u < t_{31}^l \\ 1 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Time relation -

$$t_1^u = (1 - C_1) \cdot (t_0^u + p_1) + C_1 \cdot (t_0^l + s_1)$$

similarly,

$$t_1^l = (1 - C_1) \cdot (t_0^l + q_1) + C_1 \cdot (t_0^u + r_1)$$

Define  $\Delta_i = t_i^u - t_i^l$

Then our decision rule become  $\Delta_{31} < 0$  or not.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= t_1^u - t_1^l \\ &= (1 - C_1) \cdot (t_0^u + p_1) + C_1 \cdot (t_0^l + s_1) - \{(1 - C_1) \cdot (t_0^l + q_1) + C_1 \cdot (t_0^u + r_1)\} \\ &= (1 - C_1) \cdot (t_0^u + p_1 - t_0^l - q_1) + C_1 \cdot (t_0^l + s_1 - t_0^u - r_1) \\ &= (1 - c_1) \cdot (\Delta_0 + p_1 - q_1) + c_1 \cdot (-\Delta_0 + s_1 - r_1) \\ &= (1 - 2c_1) \cdot \Delta_0 + (q_1 - p_1 + s_1 - r_1) \cdot c_1 + (p_1 - q_1) \end{aligned}$$

Let define  $d_i = 1 - 2 \cdot C_i \implies d_i \in \{-1, 1\}$  Then

$$\Delta_1 = \Delta_0 \cdot d_1 + \alpha_1 \cdot d_1 + \beta_1$$

Where  $\alpha_1 = \frac{(p_1 - q_1 + r_1 - s_1)}{2}$  and  $\beta_1 = \frac{(p_1 - q_1 - r_1 + s_1)}{2}$

For the  $\Delta_i$  similar relation hold

$$\Delta_i = \Delta_{i-1} \cdot d_i + \alpha_i \cdot d_i + \beta_i$$

12 We take  $\Delta_{-1} = 0$  because initial decay absorb into  $p_0, q_0, r_0, s_0$

13 Recursively we find all  $\Delta'_i$ s in terms of  $d'_i$ s

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_0 &= \alpha_0 \cdot d_0 + \beta_0 \quad (\Delta_{-1} = 0) \\ \Delta_1 &= \Delta_0 \cdot d_1 + \alpha_1 \cdot d_1 + \beta_1 \\ &= (\alpha_0 \cdot d_0 + \beta_0) \cdot d_1 + \alpha_1 \cdot d_1 + \beta_1 \\ &= \alpha_0 \cdot d_0 \cdot d_1 + (\alpha_1 + \beta_0) \cdot d_1 + \beta_1 \\ \Delta_2 &= d_2 \cdot \Delta_1 + \alpha_2 \cdot d_2 + \beta_1 \\ &= d_2 \cdot \{\alpha_0 \cdot d_0 \cdot d_1 + (\alpha_1 + \beta_0) \cdot d_1 + \beta_1\} + \alpha_2 \cdot d_2 + \beta_1 \\ &= \alpha_0 \cdot d_0 \cdot d_1 \cdot d_2 + (\alpha_1 + \beta_0) \cdot d_1 \cdot d_2 + (\beta_1 + \alpha_2) \cdot d_2 + \beta_1\end{aligned}$$

We observe pattern and At the  $i^{th}$  step

$$\Delta_i = \alpha_0 \cdot (d_0 d_1 \dots d_i) + (\alpha_1 + \beta_0) \cdot (d_1 d_2 \dots d_i) + (\alpha_2 + \beta_1) \cdot (d_2 d_3 \dots d_i) \dots + \beta_i$$

At the  $31^{st}$  step

$$\Delta_{31} = W_0 \cdot x_0 + W_1 \cdot x_1 + W_2 \cdot x_3 + \dots W_{31} \cdot x_{31} + \beta_{31} = \mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{b} \quad (1)$$

14 Where

$$x_i = d_i \cdot d_{i+1} \dots d_{31} \quad (1)$$

$$W_0 = \alpha_0 \quad (2)$$

$$W_i = \alpha_i + \beta_{i-1} \quad ; \quad \forall i > 0 \quad (3)$$

15 At the end we are only interested in  $\Delta_{31}$ , our response  $y_i$  depends only  $sign(\Delta_{31})$ . And we observe  
16 that equation-(1) is the equation of linear regression, So we can predict future response  $y_i$  by the use  
17 of linear classifier model.

Final Decision rule

$$r = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \Delta_{31} < 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } \Delta_{31} > 0. \end{cases}$$

18

OR

$$r = \frac{sign(W^T X + b) + 1}{2}$$

19 Note that  $X$  is modified feature vector and its each element  $X_i$  is function of challenges  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{31}$   
20 and  $r$  is corresponding response.

## 21 1.1 Conclusion

22 Solving the arbitrary PUFs is just a binary classification problem. We can use any linear classifier  
23 like SVM, logistics regression etc. Given the set of training data CRP's (Challenges and responses)  
24 we find  $W$  and  $b$  and for future observation we can predict  $y$ .

## 25 1.2 Solving CAR-PUF

26 Given :-

27 - 2 arbiter PUFs – a *working* PUF and a *reference* PUF

28 - Threshold  $\tau > 0$

29 -  $\Delta_w, \Delta_r$  be the difference in timings experienced for the two PUFs on the same challenge.

Based on given condition and challenge response  $r$ .

$$r = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |\Delta_w - \Delta_r| \leq \tau \\ 1 & \text{if } |\Delta_w - \Delta_r| > \tau. \end{cases}$$

30 **objective**

31 - To how a CAR-PUF can be broken by a single linear model.

- derivations for a map  $\phi : \{0, 1\}^{32} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^D$  mapping 32-bit 0/1-valued challenge vectors to  $D$ -dimensional feature vectors (for some  $D > 0$ ) so that for any CAR-PUF, there exists a  $D$ -dimensional linear model  $W \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times 32}$  and a bias term  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for all CRPs  $(c, r)$  with  $c \in \{0, 1\}^{32}$ ,  $r \in \{0, 1\}$  we have

$$\frac{1 + \text{sign}(W^T \phi(c) + b)}{2} = r$$

32 **Soln**

33 Let  $(u, p), (v, q)$  be the two linear models that can exactly predict the outputs of the two arbiter PUFs.  
34 (Derived above)

35 Then from derivation

$$\Delta_w = u^T X + p \quad (4)$$

$$\Delta_r = v^T X + q \quad (5)$$

$$|\Delta_w - \Delta_r| = |(u - v)^T X + p - q|$$

For simplicity let  $W_o = u - v$  and  $b_o = p - q$ . then

$$|\Delta_w - \Delta_r| = |W_o^T X + b_o|$$

Modified classification rule

$$r = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |W_o^T X + b_o| \leq \tau \\ 1 & \text{if } |W_o^T X + b_o| > \tau. \end{cases}$$

36 Since  $|W_o^T X + b_o|$  and  $\tau$  both are positive quantity so squaring both side in above inequalities does  
37 not affect decision rule.

$$|W_o^T X + b_o|^2 > \tau^2 \quad (6)$$

$$(W_o^T X)^2 + 2 \cdot W_o^T X \cdot b_o + b_o^2 > \tau^2 \quad (7)$$

$$(W_o^T X)^2 + 2 \cdot W_o^T X \cdot b_o + b_o^2 - \tau^2 > 0 \quad (2) \quad (8)$$

This implies  $y = 1$  if eqn-(2) holds. So this can be helpful to make feature vector  $\phi(c)$

$$(W_o^T X)^2 + 2 \cdot W_o^T X \cdot b_o + b_o^2 - \tau^2 = W^T \phi(c) + b \quad (3)$$

38 Calculating each term of LHS explicitly

$$(W_o^T X)^2 = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{31} w_{oi} \cdot x_i \right)^2 \quad (9)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{31} w_{io}^2 \cdot x_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i=0}^{31} \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^{31} x_i \cdot x_j \cdot w_{io} \cdot w_{jo} \quad (10)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{31} w_{io}^2 + 2 \sum_{i=0}^{31} \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^{31} x_i \cdot x_j \cdot w_{io} \cdot w_{jo} \quad (4) \quad (11)$$

39 because  $x_i \in \{0, 1\} \implies x_i^2 = 1$  Always.

second term of LHS

$$2 \cdot W_o^T X \cdot b_o = 2b \sum_{i=0}^{31} w_{io} x_i = \sum_{i=0}^{31} (2b \cdot w_{io}) x_i \quad (5)$$

40 Substitute (4) and (5) into (3) and comparing RHS and LHS

$$\phi(c) = \left( \underbrace{4x_0x_1, 4x_0x_2, 4x_0x_3, \dots, 4x_{31}x_{30}}_{\text{Total 496 terms}}, \underbrace{2x_1, 2x_2, 2x_3, \dots, 2x_{31}}_{\text{Total 32 terms}} \right)^T$$

41 In eqn. (4),  $x_1x_2 = x_2x_1$  so Total unique terms =  $\frac{31 \times 32}{2} = 496$ .

And Corresponding **W** vector

$$W = (w_{o0}w_{o1}, w_{o0}w_{o2}, w_{o0}w_{o3}, \dots, w_{o31}w_{o30}, w_{o1}b_o, w_{o2}b_o, w_{o3}b_o, \dots, w_{o31}b_o)^T$$

Bias term **b**

$$b = b_o^2 - \tau^2 + \sum_{i=0}^{31} w_i^2$$

42 The length of vector  $\phi(c)$  is given by  $D = 496 + 32 = 528$ .

## 43 2 Question 3

### 44 2.1 a

Table 1: Performance metrics with different loss functions in Linear SVC

Loss	$t_{train}$	$t_{map}$	Acc
Square Hinge loss	2.29	0.072	0.9919
Hinge Loss	18.204	0.071	0.9896

45 **Inference** - We have observed that time required in Hinge-Loss is approximately 9-times more and  
46 also accuracy is decreased in Hinge-Loss.

### 47 2.2 b

Table 2: Linear SVC with default parameters and different C

C	$t_{train}$	$t_{map}$	Acc
0.1	0.7927	0.0646	0.9871
1	2.0876	0.0362	0.9919
10	2.1012	0.0357	0.9929
100	17.7667	0.0485	0.9921
1000	37.6770	0.0526	0.9921

Table 3: Logistic Regression with default parameters and different C

C	$t_{train}$	$t_{map}$	Acc
0.1	1.6017	0.0281	0.9
1	0.8421	0.0638	0.9907
10	0.9383	0.0608	0.9922
100	1.2620	0.0612	0.9930
110	1.3353	0.0636	0.9930
150	1.3194	0.0619	0.9930
1000	1.7927	0.0622	0.9923

48 **Inference** -

49 As compare to LinearSVC, LogisticRegression takes less time to train the data. And at  $C = 100$  in  
50 Logistic we get highest Accuracy.