Yes, research and development efforts exist in the area of predicting fluid flow dynamics in complex systems using a combination of deep learning and physics-based models.

These approaches aim to leverage the strengths of both methods: deep learning for rapid prediction and pattern recognition, and physics-based models for capturing the underlying physical principles of fluid flow. While specific commercially available products may vary, this research area is active and developing, with ongoing efforts to create efficient and accurate models for various fluid flow scenarios. [1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 5, 6]

Here's a more detailed look:

1. Deep Learning for Flow Field Prediction: [1, 7, 8]

- **Data-Driven Approaches:** Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and other deep learning architectures are being used to directly predict the flow field (velocity, pressure) from input parameters like geometry, operating conditions, and boundary conditions.
- **Example:** One approach uses CFD simulations to generate a training dataset for CNNs, allowing the model to learn the relationships between input parameters and the flow field, as shown in a study on hydrofoil performance prediction.
- **Benefits:** This approach can provide fast predictions of flow fields, potentially much faster than traditional CFD simulations, as demonstrated in a study where a hydrofoil flow field could be obtained in under 0.5 seconds with high accuracy. [1, 7, 8]

2. Physics-Informed Neural Networks (PINNs): [3, 9]

- Combining Physics and Deep Learning: PINNs incorporate known physical laws (like Navier-Stokes equations) into the neural network architecture, ensuring the model adheres to physical principles.
- Advantages: This approach can reduce the need for extensive training data, as the
 physical constraints guide the learning process, and can improve the accuracy and
 generalization of the model.
- **Example:** PINNs have been used to predict flow fields in cyclone separators and other complex systems, demonstrating their ability to capture complex flow behavior while being significantly faster than traditional CFD simulations. [3, 9]

3. Surrogate Models for Complex Fluid Dynamics: [4, 10]

- Reduced-Order Models: Deep learning models are being developed as surrogate
 models for complex fluid dynamics, capturing the essential features of the flow field
 without the computational cost of detailed simulations. [4, 10]
- **Applications:** These surrogate models can be used for various applications, including model calibration, uncertainty quantification, and optimization in complex systems like subsurface flow. [11]
- **Example:** A study proposed a reduced-order model for reactor flow field prediction using deep learning and Singular Value Decomposition (SVD), demonstrating the model's ability to accurately predict the flow field with reduced computational cost. [4]

4. Emerging Trends and Challenges: [8, 8]

- Interpretability: While deep learning models are powerful, their black-box nature can make it difficult to understand the underlying mechanisms of fluid flow, as discussed in a study on using CNNs to identify coherent structures in buffet flow. [8, 8, 12, 13]
- **Generalization:** Ensuring that deep learning models can generalize well to unseen conditions and geometries remains a challenge, as highlighted in a study on the scalability of CNNs for fluid flow prediction. [14, 14]
- Data Availability: Access to large and consistent datasets for training deep learning models can be a challenge, as mentioned in a review on deep learning for fluid mechanics simulations. [10, 10]

In conclusion, while specific products may vary, the field of predicting fluid flow dynamics using deep learning and physics-based models is actively developing, with researchers exploring various approaches to create efficient, accurate, and robust models for a wide range of applications. [1, 2, 4]

Generative AI is experimental.

- [1] https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S002980182300077X
- [2] https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0957417421003651
- [3] https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/14/22/7760
- [4] https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0306454922004029
- [5] https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0378775324020287
- [6] https://www.studysmarter.co.uk/explanations/engineering/engineering-fluid-mechanics/eddy-viscosity/
- [7] https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-021-03651-8
- [8] https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2095034923000533
- [9] https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2772508121000028
- [10] https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132321007137
- [11] https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1029/2024WR037953
- [12] https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0957417425011224
- [13] https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1674283423000594
- [14] https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-024-73529-y