

Hemacandra surpasses in bulk the Ekârtha. All these facts lead to the conclusion that Halâyudha preceded Hemacandra, and we shall hardly go too far back if we place him at the end of the eleventh century.

In Mallinâthas commentary on the Mâghakâvya (Calc. ed.) two passages (I, 19. XVI, 18) are cited from an Abhidhânaratnamâlâ which seems to have been different from that of Halâyudha.

In compiling the glossary, the editor has constantly consulted the commentaries of Kshîrasvâmin and Râyamukûṭa on Amara, which form the only valuable basis of all later scholiasts. Of modern writers, he feels himself under great obligation to Colebrooke's edition of the Amarakosha, and to Molesworth's Mahrati and English Dictionary.

In conclusion he would express his thanks to the publishers for having most obligingly undertaken to bring out a work which, from its nature, can be of interest only to a limited number of Sanskrit scholars.